

# Relation Algebras Compatible with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -sets

Jeremy F. Alm, John W. Snow

January 2025

## Abstract

We provide a characterization of those relation algebras which are isomorphic to the algebras of compatible relations of some  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -set. We further prove that this class is finitely axiomatizable in first-order logic in the language of relation algebras.

## 1 Introduction

In this article, we introduce a new notion of representation for relation algebras that we call a *group-action representation*. A relation algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  is group-action representable (or  $\mathbf{B}$  is a GARRA) if for some group  $G$  there is a  $G$ -set  $\mathbf{A}$  so that  $\mathbf{B}$  is isomorphic to the relation algebra of binary relations compatible with  $\mathbf{A}$ . After providing some examples of GARRAs, we give a characterization of all GARRAs whose associated group is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . These turn out to be the collection of simple, pair-dense relation algebras in which every atom or its converse is a function. An immediate corollary to this result is that the collection of GARRAs whose associated group is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is finitely axiomatizable in first-order logic in the language of relation algebras.

## 2 Background

We assume that the reader is familiar with relation algebras and group actions. For background information on relation algebras, we refer the reader to [5]. For background information on group actions, we recommend any standard graduate text on algebra such as [2].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a group, let  $A$  be a non-empty set, and let  $G$  act on  $A$ , which we will denote by left multiplication. We say that a binary relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$  is a *compatible relation* on  $\mathbf{A}$  if for every  $(x, y) \in R$  and every  $g \in G$ ,  $(gx, gy) \in R$ . Let  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{A})$  be the set of compatible relations on the  $G$ -set  $\mathbf{A}$ . We also use  $\text{Rel}(U)$  to represent the set of all binary relations on any set  $U$ .

That is, a compatible relation on  $\mathbf{A}$  is merely a subuniverse of  $\mathbf{A}^2$  in the language of universal algebra (for background information on universal algebra, which is not necessary to read further, see [6]). If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a  $G$ -set, then  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{A})$  is closed under the relation algebra operations in  $A^2$ . It is an easy exercise to show that the identity and universal relations are compatible and to prove closure under intersection, converse, and composition. That  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{A})$  is closed under unions follows from the fact that the operations on  $\mathbf{A}$  are unary. Closure under complementation follows from the injectivity of the operations of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $\mathbf{A}$  is a  $G$ -set. We denote the relation algebra

$$\langle \text{Rel}(\mathbf{A}), \cup, \cap, ^c, \emptyset, A^2, \circ, ^{-1}, \text{id}_A \rangle$$

as  $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{A})$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Given a relation algebra  $\mathbf{B}$ , we say that  $\mathbf{B}$  has a *group-action representation* or is *group-action representable* or is a *GARRA* if there exists a group  $G$  and a  $G$ -set  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{B}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{A})$ .

The representation result we prove here concerns pair-dense relation algebras, introduced by Maddux in [4]

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a relation algebra, and let  $x$  be a nonzero element of  $\mathbf{B}$ . We say that  $x$  is a *point* if  $x; 1; x \leq 1'$ . We say that  $x$  is a *pair* if  $x; 0'; x; 0'; x \leq 1'$ . Here  $0'$  is the diversity relation. A *twin* is a pair that does not contain a point.  $\mathbf{B}$  is *pair-dense* if every element below  $1'$  contains a pair.

The definition of point is intended to describe a one-element subset of the identity relation. The definition of twin is intended to identify a two-element subset of the identity. In a concrete representation of a relation algebra  $\mathbf{B}$ , points are relations of the form  $\{(a, a)\}$ , pairs are relations of the form  $\{(a, a), (b, b)\}$ , and twins are relations of the form  $\{(a, a), (b, b)\}$  for which neither  $\{(a, a)\}$  nor  $\{(b, b)\}$  is a point in  $\mathbf{B}$ .

**Notation 2.5.** We will use  $\text{At}(\mathbf{B})$  to denote the set of atoms of a relation algebra  $\mathbf{B}$ .  $\text{Pr}(\mathbf{B})$  will denote the set of pairs in  $\mathbf{B}$ .  $\text{Fn}(\mathbf{B})$  is the set of functions in  $\mathbf{B}$ .

### 3 The Structure of Pair-Dense Algebras

Here we briefly summarize the structure of pair-dense relation algebras derived by Maddux in [4]. Suppose that  $\mathbf{R}$  is a simple, pair-dense relation algebra. Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is simple and pair-dense,  $\mathbf{R}$  is representable by Theorem 51 in [4] and is atomic by Theorem 48 in [4]. Moreover, the discussion on pages 86 and 87 of [4] describe the representation exactly. There is a set  $U$  and a relation algebra  $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \text{Rel}U$  with  $\mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{B}$ . Let  $P$  be the set of all  $\{a\} \subseteq U$  for which  $\{(a, a)\}$  is a point in  $\mathbf{B}$ . Let  $T$  be the set of all two-element subsets  $\{a, b\}$  of  $U$  for which  $\{(a, a), (b, b)\}$  is a pair which does not contain a point.  $P \cup T$  is a partition of  $U$  into one- and two-element subsets. The discussion on pages 86 and 87 of [4] describes the atoms of  $\mathbf{B}$ . There is an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $T$  so that the atoms of  $\mathbf{B}$  are specified in this way:

1. If  $\{a\} \in P$ , then  $\{(a, a)\}$  is an atom.
2. If  $\{a, b\} \in T$  then  $\{(a, a), (b, b)\}$  and  $\{(a, b), (b, a)\}$  are atoms.
3. If  $\{a\}, \{b\} \in P$ , then  $\{(a, b)\}$  and  $\{(b, a)\}$  are atoms.
4. If  $\{a, b\} \in T$  and  $\{c\} \in P$ , then  $\{(a, c), (b, c)\}$  and its converse are atoms.
5. If  $\{a, b\}, \{c, d\} \in T$  and  $\{a, b\} \sim \{c, d\}$ , then  $\{(a, c), (b, d)\}$  and  $\{(a, d), (b, c)\}$  and their converses are atoms.
6. If  $\{a, b\}, \{c, d\} \in T$  and  $\{a, b\} \not\sim \{c, d\}$ , then  $\{(a, c), (b, d), (a, d), (b, c)\}$  and its converse are atoms.

### 4 Examples

For our first example, we start with a group action and see which relation algebra we get. Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  acting on itself by left multiplication. We can calculate the atoms of the algebra of compatible relations as follows.

Consider the pair  $(0, 0)$ . By letting each element of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  act on  $(0, 0)$ , we get the identity  $\text{Id} = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2)\}$ . Similarly, by starting with  $(0, 1)$  and applying the action, we get  $R = \{(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 0)\}$ . Finally, by starting with  $(1, 0)$  and applying the action, we get  $R^{-1} = \{(1, 0), (2, 1), (0, 2)\}$ . This exhausts all nine pairs, and we have relation algebra  $2_3$ , with atoms  $1'$ ,  $r$ , and  $\check{r}$ , with sole forbidden cycle  $rrr$ .

For our second example, we will start with the abstract relation algebra and construct a group action. Consider relation algebra  $5_7$ , with atoms  $1'$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$ , all symmetric, with forbidden cycles  $aaa$  and  $bbb$ . It is well known that this algebra is representable over a 5-point set only. In fact, it is representable over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , where the image of  $a$  is  $\{1, 4\}$  and the image of  $b$  is  $\{2, 3\}$ . This group representation induces a representation over  $U = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with atoms as follows:

- $\{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4)\}$
- $\{(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), (4, 0), (1, 0), (2, 1), (3, 2), (4, 3), (0, 4)\}$
- $\{(0, 2), (1, 3), (2, 4), (3, 0), (4, 1), (2, 0), (3, 1), (4, 2), (0, 3), (1, 4)\}$

Now we define an action of  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  on  $U = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  as follows: given  $a \in U$ , and  $g = (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , if  $y = 0$  then  $g * a = a + x \pmod{5}$  and if  $y = 1$ , then  $g * a = -(a + x) \pmod{5}$ . The interested reader is invited to check that applying every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  via this action to  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ , and  $(0, 2)$ , respectively, generates the itemized sets above.

## 5 Pair-Dense Relation Algebras and Group Actions

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{R}$  is the algebra of binary relations compatible with a  $G$ -set  $\mathbf{A}$  where  $G$  is a cyclic group of prime order  $p$ . If  $r$  is an atom of  $\mathbf{R}$ , then either  $r$  or  $\check{r}$  is a function.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a group of prime order  $p$  written in multiplicative notation, and let  $g$  be a generator for  $G$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a  $G$ -set, and let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the algebra of binary relations compatible with  $\mathbf{A}$ . Suppose that  $r$  is an atom in  $\mathbf{R}$ . There is an ordered pair of elements  $(x, y)$  in  $\mathbf{A}$  so that  $r = \{(g^n x, g^n y) : n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . If  $gy = y$ , then  $r$  is the graph of a

constant function (constantly  $y$ ). If  $gx = x$ , then  $\check{r}$  is the graph of a constant function (constantly  $x$ ). If  $gx \neq x$  and  $gy \neq y$ , then  $x, gx, g^2x, \dots, g^{p-1}x$  are  $p$  distinct elements of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $y, gy, g^2y, \dots, g^{p-1}y$  are  $p$  distinct elements of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Since no first or second coordinate in  $r$  is repeated  $r$  is a function (and so is  $\check{r}$ ).  $\square$

This condition that each atom or its converse is a function is essential to our main result below. However, it is interesting in and of itself as it implies representability for atomic relation algebras. We first need:

**Theorem 5.2.** (See Theorem 7 of [3] or Theorem G of [4]) If  $\mathbf{R}$  is a relation algebra and  $\sum\{\check{x}; y : x, y \in \text{Fn}(\mathbf{R})\} = 1$  then  $\mathbf{R}$  is representable.

**Theorem 5.3.** *If  $\mathbf{R}$  is an atomic relation algebra in which every atom or its converse is a function, then  $\mathbf{R}$  is representable.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbf{R}$  is an atomic relation algebra in which every atom or its converse is a function. We will use Theorem 5.2 to prove that  $\mathbf{R}$  is representable. Let  $F$  be the set of atoms of  $\mathbf{R}$  which are functions, and let  $N$  be the set of atoms of  $\mathbf{R}$  whose converses are functions. Also let  $X = \sum\{\check{x}; y : x, y \in \text{Fn}\mathbf{R}\}$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $1 \leq X$ . Note that if  $x \in F$  then  $x = 1'$ ;  $x \leq X$ . If  $x \in N$  then  $\check{x} \in F$  and  $x = \check{\check{x}}; 1' \leq X$ . Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is atomic,

$$1 = \sum_{x \in \text{At}(\mathbf{R})} x = \left( \sum_{x \in F} x \right) + \left( \sum_{x \in N} x \right) \leq X + X = X.$$

By Theorem 5.2, we can now conclude  $\mathbf{R}$  is representable.  $\square$

We now move on to our main theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.** *A relation algebra  $\mathbf{R}$  is isomorphic to the algebra of binary relations compatible with a  $G$ -set where  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  if and only if  $\mathbf{R}$  is simple, pair-dense, and each atom of  $\mathbf{R}$  or its converse is a function.*

*Proof.* For the entirety of this proof suppose  $G = \{1_G, g\}$  is a two-element group with multiplicative notation. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a  $G$ -set, and let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the algebra of binary relations compatible with  $\mathbf{A}$ . By Theorem 5.1 we know that each atom in  $\mathbf{R}$  or its converse is a function. In  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $1 = A \times A$ , so  $\mathbf{R}$  is simple. We need only show that  $\mathbf{R}$  is pair-dense. This is simple. Suppose

that  $(a, a) \in 1'$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $r$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{A}^2$  generated by  $(a, a)$ . Then  $r = \{(a, a), (ga, ga)\}$  is a pair and  $(a, a) \in r$ . It follows that  $1' = \sum \text{Pr}(\mathbf{R})$ , so  $\mathbf{R}$  is pair-dense.

Now suppose that  $\mathbf{R}$  is a simple pair-dense relation algebra and that each atom of  $\mathbf{R}$  or its converse is a function. We must prove that  $\mathbf{R}$  is isomorphic to the algebra of binary relations compatible with a  $G$ -set. Let  $U$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $T$ ,  $P$ , and  $\sim$  be as in the discussion of the structure of pair-dense relation algebras in section 3.

Consider an atom  $r = \{(a, c), (b, d), (a, d), (b, c)\}$  of type 6. It must be that  $c \neq d$  since the pairs in  $T$  are disjoint. Since  $r$  contains  $(a, c)$  and  $(a, d)$ ,  $r$  is not a function. Moreover, it must be that  $a \neq b$  since the pairs in  $T$  are disjoint. Since  $\check{r}$  contains  $(c, a)$  and  $(c, b)$ ,  $\check{r}$  is not a function. This contradicts our assumption that every atom of  $\mathbf{R}$  or its converse is a function. Therefore, there are no atoms of type 6 in  $\mathbf{B}$ . This implies that  $\sim$  is the total relation on  $T$  and that the only atoms of  $\mathbf{B}$  are of types 1-5.

We now prove that  $\mathbf{B}$  is the algebra of binary relations compatible with a  $G$ -set on  $U$ . To do so, we define an action of  $G = \{1_G, g\}$  on  $U$ . The element  $1_G$  must act as the identity. If  $\{a\} \in P$ , define  $ga = a$ . If  $\{a, b\} \in T$  define  $ga = b$  and  $gb = a$ . This defines an action of  $G$  on  $U$ . Denote the resulting  $G$ -set as  $\mathbf{U}$ . We must show that  $\mathbf{B} = \text{Rel}(\mathbf{U})$ . A quick check will show that each atom of types 1-5 above is closed under the action of  $G$ . This implies that the atoms of  $\mathbf{B}$  are in  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{U})$ . Since  $\mathbf{B}$  is atomic,  $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \text{Rel}(\mathbf{U})$ .

Now suppose that  $r$  is an atom of  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{U})$ . This implies that there exist  $a, b \in U$  so that  $r = \{(a, b), (ga, gb)\}$ . We proceed by cases on which of  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  may be in  $P$ . Suppose that  $\{a\}, \{b\} \in P$ . Then  $ga = a$  and  $gb = b$ , so  $r = \{(a, b)\}$  with  $\{a\}, \{b\} \in P$ . In this case,  $r$  is an atom of  $\mathbf{B}$  of type 3. Now suppose that  $\{a\} \in P$  and  $\{b\} \notin P$ . Since  $\{a\} \in P$ ,  $ga = a$ . Since  $\{b\} \notin P$ , there is a  $d \in U$  with  $\{b, d\} \in T$ . This implies  $gb = d$  and  $gd = b$ . In this case,  $r = \{(a, b), (a, d)\}$  with  $\{a\} \in P$  and  $\{b, d\} \in T$ , so  $r$  is an atom of  $\mathbf{B}$  of type 4 (it is the converse of the kind explicitly displayed in type 4). Finally, suppose that  $\{a\} \notin P$  and  $\{b\} \notin P$ . There are two subcases here. Either  $\{a, b\} \in T$  or not. If  $\{a, b\} \in T$ , then  $ga = b$  and  $gb = a$ . This implies  $r = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$ . In this case,  $r$  is an atom of  $\mathbf{B}$  of type 2 (the second kind in type 2). Finally, if neither of  $\{a\}$  or  $\{b\}$  is in  $P$  and if  $\{a, b\} \notin T$ , then there must be  $c, d \in U$  so that  $\{a, c\} \in T$  and  $\{b, d\} \in T$ . This implies that  $ga = c$ ,  $gc = a$ ,  $gb = d$ , and  $gd = b$ . Therefore,  $r = \{(a, b), (c, d)\}$ . In this case,  $r$  is an atom of  $\mathbf{B}$  of type 5.

We have proven that every atom of  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{U})$  is also an atom of  $\mathbf{B}$ . Since

$\text{Rel}(\mathbf{U})$  is atomic,  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{U}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ . Since  $\mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{B}$ , we now that that  $\mathbf{R}$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\text{Rel}(\mathbf{U})$  of binary relations compatible with the  $G$ -set  $\mathbf{U}$ . □

**Corollary 5.5.** *The collection  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -GARRA of RAs that are representable as algebras of compatible relations on a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -set is finitely axiomatizable in first-order logic in the language of relation algebras.*

*Proof.* We give three axioms that imply, in turn, simplicity, point-density, and the property that every atom or its converse is a function. (The axioms for relation algebras are assumed but not restated here.)

1.  $\forall x[(x > 0) \rightarrow (1; x; 1 = 1)]$
2.  $\forall x([(x > 0) \wedge [x \leq 1']]) \rightarrow \exists y([y \leq x] \wedge [y; 0'; y; 0'; y \leq 1'])]$
3.  $\forall x([(x > 0) \wedge \forall y[(y < x) \rightarrow (y = 0)]) \rightarrow ([\check{x}; x \leq 1'] \vee [x; \check{x} \leq 1'])]$

□

## 6 Open Problems

The notion of “group-action representation” seems to suggest many questions for further study. We state a few here.

**Problem 1.** *Is there a first-order characterization for relation algebras compatible with  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -sets for  $p > 2$ ?*

**Problem 2.** *What is the relationship between group-representable relation algebras and group-action-representable relation algebras? Is  $\text{GRA} \subset \text{GARRA}$ ?*

**Problem 3.** *Which “small” relation algebras have group-action representations? We have given two examples here.*

**Problem 4.** *In [1], the cyclic group spectrum was determined for some small relation algebras. Can the “group-action spectrum” be determined for these algebras? It would be a (possibly empty) subset of the ordinary spectrum.*

**Problem 5.** *If a relation algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -set representation, then  $\mathbf{B}$  is pair-dense, so every representation of  $\mathbf{B}$  has the structure described in [4]. This implies every representation of  $\mathbf{B}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -set representation. Call a relation algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  strongly group-action representable if every representation of  $\mathbf{B}$  is a group-action representation. Which relation algebras are strongly group-action representable?*

## References

- [1] Jeremy F. Alm, Ashlee Bostic, Claire Chenault, Kenyon Coleman, and Chesney Culver. Cyclic group spectra for some small relation algebras. In *Relational and algebraic methods in computer science*, volume 14787 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 19–27. Springer, Cham, [2024] ©2024.
- [2] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. *Abstract Algebra*. John Wiley and Sons, 2003.
- [3] Maddux, Roger D. Some sufficient conditions for the representability of relation algebras. *Algebra Universalis*, 8:162–172, 1978.
- [4] Maddux, Roger D. Pair-Dense Relation Algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 328(1):83–131, 1991.
- [5] Maddux, Roger D. *Relation Algebras*. Volume 150 of *Studies in logic and the foundations of mathematics*. Elsevier, 2006.
- [6] R. McKenzie, G. McNulty, and W Taylor. *Algebras, Lattices, Varieties*, Volume I. Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Monterey, California, 1987.