

STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES FOR ORTHONORMAL SYSTEMS ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

XING WANG, AN ZHANG AND CHENG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We establish new Strichartz estimates for orthonormal systems on compact Riemannian manifolds in the case of wave, Klein-Gordon and fractional Schrödinger equations. Our results generalize the classical (single-function) Strichartz estimates on compact manifolds by Kapitanski [18], Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [5], Dinh [11], and extend the Euclidean orthonormal version by Frank-Lewin-Lieb-Seiringer [12], Frank-Sabin [13], Bez-Lee-Nakamura [2]. On the flat torus, our new results cover prior work of Nakamura [26] for the Schrödinger equation, which exploits the dispersive estimate of Kenig-Ponce-Vega [20]. We achieve sharp results on compact manifolds by combining the frequency localized dispersive estimates for small time intervals with the duality principle due to Frank-Sabin. We observe a new phenomenon that the results in the supercritical regime are sensitive to the geometry of the manifold. Moreover, we establish sharp Strichartz estimates on the flat torus for the fractional Schrödinger equations by proving a new decoupling inequality for certain non-smooth hypersurfaces. As applications, we obtain the well-posedness of infinite systems of dispersive equations with Hartree-type nonlinearity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $d \geq 1$. Let (M, g) be a d -dimensional smooth compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let Δ_g be the Laplacian-Beltrami operator on M . Let $\Delta = -\Delta_g$. Let $e^{itP}f$ denote the solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + Pu = 0, & (x, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

A wide class of dispersive equations have this form, such as the Schrödinger equation $P = \Delta$, the fractional Schrödinger equation $P = \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ ($\alpha \neq 0, 1$), the wave equation $P = \sqrt{\Delta}$, and the Klein-Gordon equation $P = \sqrt{1 + \Delta}$.

In quantum mechanics, a system of N independent fermions is described by a collection of N orthonormal functions f_1, \dots, f_N in L^2 . So functional inequalities that incorporate a significant number of orthonormal functions are highly valuable for the mathematical analysis of large-scale quantum systems. The inequalities have applications to the Hartree equation modeling infinitely many fermions in a quantum system, see Chen-Hong-Pavlovic [7, 8], Frank-Sabin [13], Lewin-Sabin [22, 21] and Sabin [28]. The idea in this line of investigation is to generalize the classical inequalities for a single-function input to an orthonormal system. In the pioneering work of Lieb-Thirring [25], they first established such an extension of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality. In the recent work of Frank-Lewin-Lieb-Seiringer [12] for the Schrödinger propagator $e^{it\Delta}$, they proved a generalization of the well known Strichartz estimates for systems of orthonormal functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Later, Frank-Sabin [13], Bez-Hong-Lee-Nakamura-Sawano [1], Bez-Lee-Nakamura [2] investigated a wide

Key words and phrases. Strichartz estimates, orthonormal systems, decoupling inequality, Hartree equation.

class of dispersive equations and established the Strichartz estimates for systems of orthonormal functions on the Euclidean space. To our best knowledge, there are only a few results concerning such generalizations on compact Riemannian manifolds. Frank-Sabin [14] established the spectral cluster bounds for orthonormal systems on compact manifolds, and recently Ren-Zhang [27] obtained some improvements on non-positively curved manifolds. Nakamura [26] studied the Strichartz estimates on the flat torus with orthonormal system input and obtained sharp estimates in certain sense. In this paper, we provide substantial progress in this direction, extending the orthonormal Strichartz estimates to general compact Riemannian manifolds.

The classical (single-function) Strichartz estimates in the Euclidean space date back to the seminal paper of Strichartz [34]. See also Ginibre-Velo [15], Keel-Tao [19] and references therein. In the case of compact manifolds, Kapitanski [18], Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [5], Dinh [11] obtained Strichartz estimates for the wave, the Schrödinger and the fractional Schrödinger equations respectively. See also Cacciafesta-Danesi-Meng [6] for the Dirac equations. In the case of the torus, see e.g. the celebrated work of Bourgain-Demeter [4]. In this paper, we shall investigate their generalizations to orthonormal systems.

In the following, let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval of length $|I| > 0$. We fix $(e_k)_k$ to be an orthonormal eigenbasis in $L^2(M)$ associated with the eigenvalues $(\lambda_k)_k$ of $\sqrt{\Delta}$. Here $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ are arranged in increasing order and we account for multiplicity. We define the Fourier coefficient $\hat{f}(k) = \langle f, e_k \rangle$ for $f \in L^2(M)$. We call $(f_j)_j$ an orthonormal system in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} if the functions f_j are orthonormal in \mathcal{H} . We investigate the Strichartz estimates of the form

$$(1) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{itP} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^\sigma \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $L^2(M)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$. The estimates are independent of the choice of the eigenbasis $(e_k)_k$. The main goal in the line of investigation is to determine the optimal range of β for a fixed exponent σ . A natural choice of σ is just the one in the classical (single-function) case. In this case, (1) trivially holds with $\beta = 1$ by Minkowski inequality, while the question is to determine the largest β by exploiting the orthogonality between the functions. On the other hand, it is also interesting to determine the optimal range of β for any fixed σ . Moreover, we shall observe that the the optimal range of β will be sensitive to the geometry of the manifold for (p, q) in certain regime. We shall establish sharp estimates in the form of (1) by combining the frequency localized dispersive estimates for small time intervals with the duality principle due to Frank-Sabin. In comparison, Nakamura [26] and Bez-Lee-Nakamura [2] exploit stronger frequency global dispersive estimates in the flat case, as in the prior work of Kenig-Ponce-Vega [20].

By the vector-valued version of the Littlewood-Paley inequality (e.g. [29, Lemma 1]), it is straightforward to upgrade the frequency localized estimate (1) to the frequency global one: for all $s > \sigma/2$

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{itP} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $H^s(M)$ and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$. Unlike the single-function case, it seems difficult to take $s = \sigma/2$ in the case of orthonormal data by Littlewood-Paley theory. Similar difficulties also appear in the Euclidean case. Indeed, Bez-Hong-Lee-Nakamura-Sawano [1] observed a crucial fact that on a certain critical line

the desired estimates without the frequency localization are not true, see [1, Prop. 5.2]. Bez-Lee-Nakamura [2] achieved frequency global estimates by establishing delicate weighted oscillatory integral estimates and the global dispersive estimates in \mathbb{R}^d , see [2, Prop. 6]. Nevertheless, it seems difficult to proceed in this way on compact manifolds.

Notations. Throughout this paper, $X \lesssim Y$ means $X \leq CY$ for some positive constants C . If the constant depends on $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote $X \lesssim_\varepsilon Y$. If $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$, we denote $X \approx Y$.

1.1. Some observations. Let $d \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$, $N \geq 10$. Let $P = \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ or $\sqrt{m^2 + \Delta}$ with $m \geq 0$. We begin with several crucial observations. These will be used to show the optimality of our main theorems.

Observation 1. For all $p, q \geq 2$, we have the universal bound

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{itP} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^d \|\nu\|_{\ell^\infty}$$

for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $L^2(M)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$ and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$. Indeed, for each t the system $\{e^{itP} f_j\}_j$ is also orthonormal in $L^2(M)$ with Fourier coefficients supported in $\{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$, then we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_j |e^{itP} f_j(x)|^2 \leq \sum_{k: \lambda_k \leq N} |e_k(x)|^2 \lesssim N^d, \quad \forall t \in I, \forall x \in M$$

by the pointwise Weyl law. Moreover, the exponent of N in (3) cannot be replaced by any number less than d , since if we fix $\nu_j = 1$ for $j \in \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$ then by the Weyl law

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{itP} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_{t,x}^1} \approx \sum_{k: \lambda_k \leq N} 1 \approx N^d.$$

Observation 2. The condition

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\beta} \geq 1 - \frac{\sigma}{d}$$

is necessary for the estimate

$$(7) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{itP} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^\sigma \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

to hold for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $L^2(M)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$. Indeed, if we fix $\nu_j = 1$ with $j \in \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$ then by (5) we see that (7) implies (6).

Observation 3. Let $M = S^d$ be the standard sphere, and we fix a point $x_0 \in M$. Recall that each eigenvalue of $\sqrt{\Delta}$ on the sphere has the form $\mu_j = \sqrt{j(j+d-1)}$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and for each $\mu_j \approx N$ we can find an L^2 -normalized zonal function Z_j with $Z_j(x) \approx N^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ when $d_g(x, x_0) \lesssim N^{-1}$. See e.g. [32]. These Z_j form an orthonormal system of size $\approx N$ since they are associated with distinct eigenvalues. Thus, we have

$$(8) \quad \left\| \sum_j |Z_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \gtrsim N^d (N^{-d})^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

Then (7) implies

$$N^d (N^{-d})^{\frac{2}{q}} \lesssim N^\sigma N^{\frac{1}{\beta}},$$

which gives another necessary condition for (7) on the sphere S^d

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{\beta} \geq d - \frac{2d}{q} - \sigma.$$

If the sharp Schrödinger admissible condition $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$ holds, then (9) is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{\beta} \geq \frac{4}{p} - \sigma.$$

If the sharp wave admissible condition $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$ holds, then (9) is equivalent to

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{\beta} \geq \frac{d-4}{d-1} \frac{1}{p} - \sigma.$$

Now, we introduce our main new results on the fractional Schrödinger, the wave and the Klein-Gordon equations on compact manifolds.




FIGURE 1. Sharp Schrödinger admissible pairs

1.2. Fractional Schrödinger equation. Suppose $p \geq 2$, $q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. We divide these sharp Schrödinger admissible pairs (p, q) into four groups. See Figure 1.

- (i) Subcritical regime: $d \geq 1$, $2 \leq q < \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$
- (ii) Critical point: $d \geq 2$, $q = \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$
- (iii) Supercritical regime: $d = 2$, $\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1} < q < \infty$ or $d \geq 3$, $\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1} < q < \frac{2d}{d-2}$
- (iv) Keel-Tao endpoint: $d \geq 3$, $q = \frac{2d}{d-2}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$, $N \geq 10$. Suppose $p \geq 2$, $q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. Let*

$$(12) \quad \sigma_0 = \begin{cases} 2/p, & \alpha > 1 \\ 2(2 - \alpha)/p, & \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(13) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^{\sigma_0} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $L^2(M)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$, and the following β with respect to the pairs (p, q) in the four groups:

- (i) *Subcritical regime:* $\beta \leq \frac{d}{d-2/p}$
- (ii) *Critical point:* $\beta < p/2$
- (iii) *Supercritical regime:* $\beta < p/2$
- (iv) *Keel-Tao endpoint:* $\beta = 1$.

When $\alpha > 1$, the ranges of β are essentially sharp by the necessary condition (6) on any manifold and (10) on the sphere, since $\sigma_0 = 2/p$ when $\alpha > 1$. As we will observe in the forthcoming Theorem 6, the range of β in the supercritical regime can be improved on the flat torus. This suggests that the optimal range of β in the supercritical regime should be sensitive to the geometry of the manifold, while the optimal range of β in the subcritical regime is the same on any manifold. It is interesting to determine the optimal range of β in the supercritical regime on the manifolds under certain geometric assumptions, such as the hyperbolic manifolds. Moreover, it is open to show the sharpness for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

The exponent σ_0 corresponds to the Sobolev exponent in the classical (single-function) Strichartz estimates by Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [5] and Dinh [11]. The optimality of β only makes sense when the power of N in (13) is fixed, so we take it to be the one in the single-function case. Moreover, Nakamura [26, Theorem 1.5] obtained the same estimates in the subcritical regime for the Schrödinger propagator $e^{it\Delta}$ on the flat torus.

Furthermore, we may expect to raise the power of N to increase the range of β . By observing the universal bound (3), we only need to consider the case where the power of N is $\sigma \leq d$. We obtain the following result that is sharp in certain regime.

Theorem 2. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$, $N \geq 10$. Suppose $p \geq 2$, $q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. Let σ_0 be defined in (12). Then*

$$(14) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^\sigma \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $L^2(M)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$, and the following σ and β with respect to the pairs (p, q) in the four groups:

- (i) *Subcritical regime:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, d]$, $\beta \leq \frac{d-\sigma_0}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$
- (ii) *Critical point:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, d)$, $\beta < \frac{d-\sigma_0}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$, or $\sigma = d$, $\beta \leq \infty$
- (iii) *Supercritical regime:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, \sigma_*)$, $\beta < (\frac{2}{p} + \sigma_0 - \sigma)^{-1}$, or $\sigma \in [\sigma_*, d]$, $\beta \leq \frac{d-\sigma_*}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$
- (iv) *Keel-Tao endpoint:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, \sigma_*)$, $\beta \leq (\frac{2}{p} + \sigma_0 - \sigma)^{-1}$, or $\sigma \in [\sigma_*, d]$, $\beta \leq \frac{d-\sigma_*}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$.

Here

$$(15) \quad \beta_* = \frac{d}{d-2/p}, \quad \sigma_* = \sigma_0 + \frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta_*}.$$

When $\alpha > 1$, the ranges of β in (i)(ii) are essentially sharp by the necessary conditions (6), and the ranges of β for $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, \sigma_*]$ in (iii)(iv) are also essentially sharp by the necessary condition (10). It is open to show the ranges of β for $\sigma \in [\sigma_*, d]$ in (iii)(iv) are sharp. Since they are sharp in the extreme cases $\sigma = \sigma_*$ and $\sigma = d$ by the necessary condition (10), we expect that they are also sharp for the intermediate values. Moreover, it is open to show the sharpness for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 2 can be deduced from interpolation between Theorem 1, the universal bound (3), and the ‘‘kink point’’ estimate

$$(16) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^{\beta_*}} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\sigma_*}, & q > \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1} \\ N^{\sigma_*}(\log N), & q = \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}. \end{cases}$$

By the necessary condition (10), the estimate (16) is sharp when $\alpha > 1$, in the sense that β_* cannot be replaced by any $\beta > \beta_*$. Moreover, Nakamura [26, Theorem 5.1] obtained similar estimates for the Schrödinger propagator $e^{it\Delta}$ on the flat torus with an ε -loss.

Next, we can obtain new Strichartz estimates on the flat torus for the fractional Schrödinger equation by establishing a new decoupling inequality for the hypersurface $(\xi, |\xi|^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. See the forthcoming Theorem 9. We shall exploit Bourgain-Demeter’s ℓ^2 decoupling theorem for the functions in $L^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d}}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. On the flat torus $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$, it is standard to define the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(k) = \langle f, e^{2\pi i k \cdot x} \rangle$ associated to the standard orthonormal basis $\{e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.

Theorem 3. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\alpha > 1$, $N \geq 10$. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-N, N]^d$. Then we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(17) \quad \|e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f\|_{L_{t,x}^q(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})} \lesssim_\varepsilon N^{\sigma_1 + \varepsilon} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

where

$$(18) \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{cases} 0, & 2 \leq q \leq \frac{2(d+2)}{d} \\ \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d+2}{q}, & \frac{2(d+2)}{d} \leq q \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

The case $\alpha = 2$ is due to Bourgain-Demeter [4, Theorem 2.4], while the case $\alpha \neq 2$ is new. By Hölder inequality and interpolation with the trivial endpoint $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$, this theorem implies the following Strichartz estimates on the flat torus for the sharp Schrödinger admissible pairs (p, q) .

Theorem 4. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\alpha > 1$, $N \geq 10$. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-N, N]^d$. Suppose $p \geq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. Then we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(19) \quad \|e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})} \lesssim_\varepsilon N^{\sigma_1 + \varepsilon} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

where σ_1 is given by (18).

Note that $\sigma_1 < 1/p$ for all sharp Schrödinger admissible pairs (p, q) whenever $d > 4$. So these improve the estimates by Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [5] and Dinh [11] when $d > 4$.

In particular, at the Keel-Tao endpoint $(p, q) = (2, \frac{2d}{d-2})$ we have

$$(20) \quad \|e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})} \lesssim_\varepsilon N^{\frac{2}{d} + \varepsilon} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

To our knowledge, these seem to be the best estimates at the Keel-Tao endpoint up to now, even in the case $\alpha = 2$. See e.g. [9] for recent related work on the mixed norm ℓ^2 decoupling inequality. A reasonable conjecture at the Keel-Tao endpoint $(p, q) = (2, \frac{2d}{d-2})$ is that

$$(21) \quad \|e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})} \lesssim_\varepsilon N^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

but it seems out of reach. See [4, Conjecture 2.6] for the related discrete restriction conjecture on the flat torus.

By Theorem 4 and interpolation we can obtain sharp result in the subcritical regime.

Theorem 5. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\alpha > 1$, $N \geq 10$. Suppose $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. Then*

$$(22) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})} \lesssim N^\sigma \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset [-N, N]^d$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$, and all $\sigma \in (0, d]$ and $\beta < \frac{d}{d-\sigma}$.

The range of β is essentially sharp by the necessary condition (6). A remarkable feature is that the range $\sigma \in (0, d]$ greatly improves the range $\sigma \in [\frac{2}{p}, d]$ in Theorem 2, and it is essentially optimal by observing the universal bound (3). When $\frac{2(d+2)}{d} < q < \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$, we can also obtain (22) for all $\sigma \in (2\sigma_1, d]$ and certain β by interpolation. Moreover, Nakamura [26, Theorem 1.4] proved a similar result in the case $\alpha = 2$ for $p = q = \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$ and $\sigma \in (0, \frac{d}{d+2}]$ by directly applying Bourgain-Demeter's ℓ^2 decoupling theorem. This result is covered by Theorem 5.

We may improve the range of β in the supercritical regime in Theorem 1 on the flat torus, by using the refined estimate at the Keel-Tao endpoint (20).

Theorem 6. *Let $d \geq 5$, $\alpha > 1$ and $N \geq 10$. Suppose $\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1} < q \leq \frac{2d}{d-2}$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. Then*

$$(23) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset [-N, N]^d$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$, and all $\beta < \frac{pd(d-3)}{8+pd(d-4)}$.

Note that $\frac{pd(d-3)}{8+pd(d-4)} > p/2$ whenever $p < \frac{2(d+1)}{d}$, which is equivalent to $\frac{2(d+1)}{d-1} < q$. So the range of β is larger than the one in the supercritical regime in Theorem 1. Furthermore, if the conjecture (21) holds, then the range of β in the supercritical regime can be improved to $\beta < \frac{d+1}{d}$, which is exactly the same as the range of β at the critical point in Theorem 1.

1.3. Wave and Klein-Gordon equations. It is well-known that the Klein-Gordon propagator $e^{it\sqrt{m^2+\Delta}}$ behaves like the wave propagator $e^{it\sqrt{\Delta}}$ in the high-frequency regime, while it behaves like the Schrödinger propagator $e^{it\Delta}$ in the low-frequency regime. For the Strichartz estimates on compact manifolds, the high-frequency regime is more significant. As in the forthcoming Lemma 4, the wave and the Klein-Gordon equations share the same dispersive property on compact manifolds. Indeed, they can be handled in a unified way as pseudodifferential operators, see Sogge [33, Chapter 4]. So we shall only consider the sharp wave admissible pairs (p, q) .

Let $d \geq 2$. Suppose $p \geq 2$, $q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. We divide these sharp wave admissible pairs (p, q) in the following four groups. See Figure 2.

- (i) Subcritical regime: $d \geq 2$, $2 \leq q < \frac{2d}{d-2}$
- (ii) Critical point: $d \geq 3$, $q = \frac{2d}{d-2}$
- (iii) Supercritical regime: $d = 3$, $\frac{2d}{d-2} < q < \infty$ or $d \geq 4$, $\frac{2d}{d-2} < q < \frac{2(d-1)}{d-3}$
- (iv) Keel-Tao endpoint: $d \geq 4$, $q = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-3}$.




FIGURE 2. Sharp wave admissible pairs

Theorem 7. Let $d \geq 2$, $N \geq 10$. Let $m \geq 0$. Suppose $p \geq 2$, $q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. Then

$$(24) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\sqrt{m^2 + \Delta}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $L^2(M)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$, and the following β with respect to the pairs (p, q) in the four groups:

- (i) Subcritical regime: $\beta \leq \frac{d-1}{d-1-2/p}$
- (ii) Critical point: $\beta < p/2$
- (iii) Supercritical regime: $\beta < p/2$
- (iv) Keel-Tao endpoint: $\beta = 1$.

The ranges of β in (ii)(iii)(iv) are essentially sharp by the necessary condition (11). It is open to show the ranges of β in (i) is sharp. Since it is essentially sharp in the extreme cases $q = 2$ and $q = \frac{2d}{d-2}$ by the necessary conditions (6) and (11), we expect that it is sharp for the intermediate values. As before, the exponent σ_0 corresponds to the Sobolev exponent in the classical (single-function) Strichartz estimates by Kapitanski [18] (see also [6]). The optimality of β only makes sense when the power of N in (24) is fixed, so we take it to be the one in the single-function case.

As before, we may reasonably expect to raise the power of N to increase the range of β . We can obtain such estimates that are sharp in certain regime.

Theorem 8. *Let $d \geq 2$, $N \geq 10$. Let $m \geq 0$. Suppose $p \geq 2$, $q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})$. Let $\sigma_0 = \frac{2}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1}$. Then*

$$(25) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\sqrt{m^2+\Delta}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^\sigma \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $L^2(M)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j \subset \{k : \lambda_k \leq N\}$, and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$, and the following σ and β with respect to the pairs (p, q) in the four groups:

- (i) *Subcritical regime:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, d]$, $\beta \leq \frac{d-\sigma_0}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$
- (ii) *Critical point:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, d)$, $\beta < \frac{d-\sigma_0}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$, or $\sigma = d$, $\beta \leq \infty$
- (iii) *Supercritical regime:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, \sigma_*)$, $\beta < (\frac{2}{p} + \sigma_0 - \sigma)^{-1}$, or $\sigma \in [\sigma_*, d]$, $\beta \leq \frac{d-\sigma_*}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$
- (iv) *Keel-Tao endpoint:* $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, \sigma_*)$, $\beta \leq (\frac{2}{p} + \sigma_0 - \sigma)^{-1}$, or $\sigma \in [\sigma_*, d]$, $\beta \leq \frac{d-\sigma_*}{d-\sigma} \beta_*$.

Here

$$(26) \quad \beta_* = \frac{d-1}{d-1-2/p}, \quad \sigma_* = \sigma_0 + \frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{\beta_*}.$$

The ranges of β in (ii)(iii)(iv) are essentially sharp for $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, \sigma_*]$ by the necessary conditions (11). It is open to show other ranges of β are sharp. By the necessary conditions (6) and (11), the range of β in (i) is sharp in the extreme cases $q = 2$ and $q = \frac{2d}{d-2}$. By the necessary condition (11), the ranges of β for $\sigma \in [\sigma_*, d]$ in (iii)(iv) are sharp in the extreme cases $\sigma = \sigma_*$ and $\sigma = d$. So we expect that they are also sharp for the intermediate values.

Theorem 8 can be deduced from interpolation between Theorem 7, the universal bound (3), and the ‘‘kink point’’ estimate

$$(27) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\sqrt{m^2+\Delta}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^{\beta_*}} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\sigma_*}, & q > \frac{2d}{d-2} \\ N^{\sigma_*} (\log N), & q = \frac{2d}{d-2}. \end{cases}$$

By the necessary condition (11), the estimate (27) is sharp in the sense that β_* cannot be replaced by any $\beta > \beta_*$.

1.4. Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1 by combining the frequency localized dispersive estimates for small time intervals with the duality principle due to Frank-Sabin. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 2 by establishing the kink point estimate (16). In Section 4, we prove the theorems on the flat torus by establishing a new decoupling inequality for certain non-smooth hypersurface. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 7 by using the frequency localized dispersive estimates for the wave and the Klein-Gordon equations. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 8 by establishing the kink point estimate (27). In Section 7, we discuss the applications to the well-posedness of infinite systems of dispersive equations with Hartree-type nonlinearity.

2. PROOF OF THOEREM 1

We shall use the duality principle in Frank-Sabin [13] that can transfer the orthonormal inequalities to Schatten norm estimates, and the frequency localized dispersive estimates in Dinh [11, (3.8)] and Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [5, Lemma 2.5].

We recall the definition of the Schatten norm. For $\beta \in [1, \infty)$, $\mathfrak{S}^\beta = \mathfrak{S}^\beta(L^2(M))$ denotes the Schatten space based on $L^2(M)$ that is the space of all compact operators T on $L^2(M)$ such that $\text{Tr}|T|^\beta < \infty$ with $|T| = \sqrt{TT^*}$, and its norm is defined by $\|T\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\beta} = (\text{Tr}|T|^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$. If $\beta = \infty$, we define

$$\|T\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty} = \|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}.$$

Also, \mathfrak{S}^2 is the Hilbert-Schmidt class and the \mathfrak{S}^2 norm is given by

$$\|T\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2} = \|K\|_{L^2(M \times M)}$$

if K is the integral kernel of T . See Simon [31] for more details on the Schatten classes.

Next, we recall the duality principle in Frank-Sabin [13, Lemma 3].

Lemma 1 (Duality principle). *Let $p, q, \beta \geq 1$. Suppose that T is a bounded operator from L^2 to $L_t^p L_x^q$. Then*

$$(28) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |Tf_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \leq C \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal system $(f_j)_j$ in L^2 and all $\nu = (\nu_j)_j$ in ℓ^β if and only if

$$(29) \quad \|WTT^*\overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^{\beta'}} \leq C \|W\|_{L_t^{2(p/2)'} L_x^{2(q/2)'}}^2$$

holds for all $W \in L_t^{2(p/2)'} L_x^{2(q/2)'}$.

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]^d} \leq \psi \leq \mathbb{1}_{[-2,2]^d}.$$

Let $(e_k)_k$ be an orthonormal eigenbasis in $L^2(M)$ associated with the eigenvalues $(\lambda_k)_k$ of $\sqrt{\Delta}$. For $f \in L^2(M)$, let

$$\mathcal{E}_N f(t, x) = \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N) \hat{f}(k) e^{it\lambda_k^\alpha} e_k(x).$$

To prove (13), it suffices to show

$$(30) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^{\sigma_0} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}.$$

At the endpoint $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$ we have $\beta = 1$, and by Minkowski inequality and Plancherel theorem

$$(31) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} \leq \|\nu\|_{\ell^1} \sup_j \|\mathcal{E}_N f_j\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^1}.$$

When $d \geq 3$, at the Keel-Tao endpoint $(p, q) = (2, \frac{2d}{d-2})$, we also have $\beta = 1$. By the Strichartz estimates [11, Theorem 1.2] and Minkowski inequality we have

$$(32) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{d}{d-2}}} \leq \|\nu\|_{\ell^1} \sup_j \|\mathcal{E}_N f_j\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}}^2 \\ \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^1} \cdot \begin{cases} N, & \alpha > 1 \\ N^{2-\alpha}, & \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Fix $r \in (d+1, d+2)$. By interpolation, we only need to prove

$$(33) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\frac{2}{p}}, & \alpha > 1 \\ N^{\frac{2(2-\alpha)}{p}}, & \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

for $\beta' = 2(q/2)' = dp/2 = r$. The case $d = 1, 2$ can also be handled similarly. These (p, q) can be close to the critical point $(\frac{2(d+1)}{d}, \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1})$ as $r \rightarrow d+1$. There is a correspondence between r and the range of (p, q) : the subcritical regime ($r > d+1$), the critical point ($r = d+1$), the supercritical regime ($d < r < d+1$), the Keel-Tao endpoint ($r = d$).

Let $\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \varphi_\ell(s) = 1$ be the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, where $\varphi_\ell \in C_0^\infty$ and for each $\ell > 0$, φ_ℓ is supported in $\{|s| \approx 2^\ell\}$. Let

$$(34) \quad \mathcal{E}_{N,\ell} f(x) = \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N) \varphi_\ell(\lambda_k) e^{it\lambda_k^\alpha} \hat{f}(k) e_k(x).$$

When $\alpha > 1$, we further split the interval I into $\approx 2^{(\alpha-1)\ell}$ short intervals $\{I_{\ell,n}\}_n$ of length $2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}$. When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we do not need to split the interval I , as it will be clear from the forthcoming Lemma 2. Then by Minkowski inequality we have

$$(35) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \leq \left(\sum_{2^\ell \lesssim N} \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_{N,\ell} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}}^{1/2} \right)^2 \\ \leq \left(\sum_{2^\ell \lesssim N} 2^{\frac{1}{p}(\alpha-1)\ell} \max_n \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_{N,\ell} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2}(I_{\ell,n}) L_x^{q/2}}^{1/2} \right)^2.$$

For any small $\varepsilon > 0$, we define an analytic family of operators $T_{z,\ell}^\varepsilon$ on the strip

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -r/2 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0\}$$

with the kernels

$$K_{z,\ell}^\varepsilon(t, x, s, y) = \mathbb{1}_{|t| \leq 2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}} \mathbb{1}_{|s| \leq 2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}} \mathbb{1}_{\varepsilon < |t-s|} (t-s)^{-1-z} \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N)^2 \varphi_\ell(\lambda_k)^2 e^{i(t-s)\lambda_k^\alpha} e_k(x) \overline{e_k(y)}.$$

By the duality principle in Lemma 1, we need to estimate $\|WT_{-1,\ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r}$, which follows from the Stein interpolation between the bounds of $\|WT_{z_1,\ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2}$ and $\|WT_{z_2,\ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty}$, where $z_1 = -\frac{r}{2} + ib$ and $z_2 = ib$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

We shall use the frequency localized dispersive estimates in Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov [5, Lemma 2.5] and Dinh [11, (3.8)].

Lemma 2. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. There exists $t_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $h \in (0, 1]$*

$$(36) \quad \|e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} \varphi(h\sqrt{\Delta}) f\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq Ch^{-d} (1 + |t|h^{-\alpha})^{-d/2} \|f\|_{L^1(M)}$$

for each $t \in [-t_0 h^{\alpha-1}, t_0 h^{\alpha-1}]$.

By Lemma 2 we obtain for $|t-s| \lesssim 2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}$

$$(37) \quad |K_{z_1,\ell}^\varepsilon| \lesssim |t-s|^{\frac{r-d-2}{2}} 2^{(2-\alpha)d\ell/2}.$$

Then

$$\|WT_{z_1,\ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2} = \|W(t, x) K_{z_1,\ell}^\varepsilon(t, x, s, y) \overline{W}(s, y)\|_{L_{t,x,s,y}^2} \\ \leq C \|W\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{r-d}} L_x^2}^2 2^{(2-\alpha)d\ell/2},$$

where we use the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality to estimate the $L_t^{\frac{2}{r-d}} \rightarrow L_t^{(\frac{2}{r-d})'}$ norm of the convolution operator with the kernel $|t|^{r-d-2}$. So we require $1 < \frac{2}{r-d} < 2$, namely $r \in (d+1, d+2)$. The constant C is independent of ε and b .

Next, by Plancherel theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|WT_{z_2, \ell}^\varepsilon \bar{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty} &= \|WT_{z_2, \ell}^\varepsilon \bar{W}\|_{L_{t,x}^2 \rightarrow L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\leq \|W\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|T_{z_2, \ell}^\varepsilon\|_{L_{t,x}^2 \rightarrow L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\leq C(1+|b|) \|W\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the uniform $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ boundedness of the truncated Hilbert transform

$$(38) \quad H_b^\varepsilon f(t) = \int_{\varepsilon < |t-s|} \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-ib}} ds$$

with

$$(39) \quad \|H_b^\varepsilon\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C(1+|b|)$$

where the constant C is independent of ε and b . See e.g. Grafakos [16, Theorem 5.4.1], Vega [36, p. 204]. Using the Stein interpolation with $\theta = \frac{2}{r}$, we get

$$(40) \quad \|WT_{-1, \ell}^\varepsilon \bar{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r} \leq C 2^{\frac{2}{p}(2-\alpha)\ell} \|W\|_{L_t^{\frac{2r}{r-d}} L_x(M)}^2,$$

since

$$-1 = (1-\theta)0 + \theta(-\frac{r}{2}), \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{\infty} + \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{\frac{2r}{r-d}} = \frac{1-\theta}{\infty} + \frac{\theta}{\frac{4}{r-d}}.$$

The constant C is independent of ε . Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (40). Then by the duality principle in Lemma 1, we have for $\alpha > 1$

$$(41) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} (I_{\ell, m}) L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{2}{p}(2-\alpha)\ell} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}, \quad \forall n.$$

Plugging this into (35), we get (33) for $\alpha > 1$. The case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is obtained by directly summing the estimate in (41) over ℓ , since we do not need to split the interval I .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

In Theorem 2, the subcritical regime directly follows from interpolation between Theorem 1 and the universal bound (3). This direct interpolation argument is not enough to give a sharp range of β in the supercritical regime. Unlike the proof of Theorem 1, we shall further decompose the kernel of $\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell} \mathcal{E}_{N, \ell}^*$ to establish the kink point estimate (16), where $\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell}$ is given by (34).

Let $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\varphi}_m(s) = 1$ be the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, where each $\tilde{\varphi}_m \in C_0^\infty$ is supported in $\{|s| \approx 2^m\}$. We define the operator $T_{\ell, m}$ with the kernel

$$K_{\ell, m}(t, x, s, y) = \mathbb{1}_{|t| \leq 2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}} \mathbb{1}_{|s| \leq 2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}} \tilde{\varphi}_m(|t-s|) \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N)^2 \varphi_\ell(\lambda_k)^2 e^{i(t-s)\lambda_k^\alpha} e_k(x) \overline{e_k(y)}.$$

This comes from the dyadic decomposition of the operator $\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell} \mathcal{E}_{N, \ell}^*$. Fix $r \in [d, d+1]$. Let $\beta' = 2(q/2)' = dp/2 = r$. As before, we shall estimate $\|WT_{\ell, m} \bar{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r}$ by interpolation between the \mathfrak{S}^2 norm and the \mathfrak{S}^∞ norm.

We first estimate the \mathfrak{S}^2 norm. By Lemma 2 we obtain for $2^m \lesssim 2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}$

$$(42) \quad |K_{\ell, m}| \lesssim \min\{2^{-dm/2} 2^{(2-\alpha)d\ell/2}, 2^{d\ell}\}.$$

Then we have

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \|WT_{\ell,m}\overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2} &= \|W(t,x)K_{\ell,m}(t,x,s,y)\overline{W}(s,y)\|_{L^2_{t,x,s,y}} \\ &\lesssim \|W\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{r-d}}L_x^2}^2 2^{m/2}2^{(\alpha-1)\ell(r-d-1)/2} \cdot \min\{2^{-dm/2}2^{(2-\alpha)d\ell/2}, 2^{d\ell}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we require $\frac{2}{r-d} \geq 2$, namely $d \leq r \leq d+1$, since we use the inequality

$$(44) \quad \left| \int_I \int_I f(t)h(t-s)g(s)dsdt \right| \leq |I|^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|h\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^p}, \quad \forall p \geq 2.$$

It simply follows from Hölder inequality.

Next, we estimate the \mathfrak{S}^∞ norm. Then by Plancherel theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|WT_{\ell,m}\overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty} &= \|WT_{\ell,m}\overline{W}\|_{L^2_{t,x} \rightarrow L^2_{t,x}} \\ &\leq \|W\|_{L^2_{t,x}}^2 \|T_{\ell,m}\|_{L^2_{t,x} \rightarrow L^2_{t,x}} \\ &\lesssim 2^m \|W\|_{L^2_{t,x}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then interpolation gives

$$\|WT_{\ell,m}\overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r} \lesssim \|W\|_{L_t^{\frac{2r}{r-d}}L_x^r}^2 2^{\frac{\ell}{r}(\alpha-1)(r-d-1)} \min\{2^{m(1-\frac{d+1}{r})}2^{\frac{d\ell}{r}(2-\alpha)}, 2^{m(1-\frac{1}{r})}2^{2d\ell/r}\}.$$

When $\alpha > 1$, summing over $2^m \lesssim 2^{(1-\alpha)\ell}$ and by the duality principle, we have for each small interval $I_{\ell,n}$

$$(45) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_{N,\ell} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2}(I_{\ell,n})L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta} \cdot \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{\ell}{r}(3d-\alpha d+1-r)}, & r \in [d, d+1) \\ (1+\ell)2^{\frac{\ell}{r}(3d-\alpha d+1-r)}, & r = d+1. \end{cases}$$

Plugging this into (35), we get for $\alpha > 1$

$$\left(\sum_{2^\ell \lesssim N} 2^{\frac{(\alpha-1)d\ell}{2r}} 2^{\frac{\ell}{2r}(3d-\alpha d+1-r)} \right)^2 \lesssim N^{\frac{2d+1}{r}-1} = N^{\frac{2}{p} + \frac{2(d+1)}{pd}-1}.$$

When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have no need to split the interval and we directly sum the estimates (45) over ℓ to obtain

$$\sum_{2^\ell \lesssim N} 2^{\frac{\ell}{r}(3d-\alpha d+1-r)} \lesssim N^{\frac{(3-\alpha)d+1}{r}-1} = N^{\frac{2(2-\alpha)}{p} + \frac{2(d+1)}{pd}-1}.$$

Thus, if we define

$$(46) \quad \beta_* = \frac{d}{d-2/p}, \quad \sigma_* = \sigma_0 + \frac{2(d+1)}{pd} - 1,$$

with σ_0 given in (12), then we have

$$(47) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2}L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^{\beta_*}} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\sigma_*}, & r \in [d, d+1) \\ N^{\sigma_*}(\log N), & r = d+1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\sigma_* = \sigma_0$ is equivalent to $p = \frac{2(d+1)}{d}$. By interpolation between (47), (3) and Theorem 1, we obtain Theorem 2.

In particular, when $d \geq 1$, at the critical point $(p, q) = (\frac{2(d+1)}{d}, \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1})$, we have $r = d+1$, $\beta = \frac{d+1}{d}$ and

$$(48) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\frac{2}{p}} (\log N), & \alpha > 1 \\ N^{\frac{2(2-\alpha)}{p}} (\log N), & \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

When $d \geq 2$, at the Keel-Tao endpoint $(p, q) = (2, \frac{2d}{d-2})$, we have $r = d$, $\beta = \frac{d}{d-1}$ and

$$(49) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{d}}, & \alpha > 1 \\ N^{\frac{2(2-\alpha)}{p} + \frac{1}{d}}, & \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Note that when $\alpha > 1$ this estimate is sharp by the necessary condition (10).

Remark 1. To compare this method with the proof of Theorem 1, we remark that one can slightly modify this method to handle the subcritical regime ($r > d+1$) up to a log loss:

$$(50) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\frac{2}{p}} (\log N), & \alpha > 1 \\ N^{\frac{2(2-\alpha)}{p}} (\log N), & \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Indeed, for $r \in (d+1, d+2)$ by Young's inequality, we can replace (43) by

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \|WT_{\ell, m} \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2} &= \|W(t, x) K_{\ell, m}(t, x, s, y) \overline{W}(s, y)\|_{L_{t, x, s, y}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|W\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{r-d}} L_x^2}^2 2^{\frac{2+d-r}{2} m} \cdot \min\{2^{-dm/2} 2^{(2-\alpha)d\ell/2}, 2^{d\ell}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then repeating the interpolation argument above we can obtain (50). So we expect that this method essentially gives sharp bounds for all admissible (p, q) .

Remark 2. It is natural to ask whether one can remove log factor in (48) at the critical point $(p, q) = (\frac{2(d+1)}{d}, \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1})$. Recall that it is not removable in the Euclidean version, see [12, 1, 2]. However, surprisingly it can be removed for the Schrödinger propagator $e^{it\Delta}$ on the one dimensional flat torus by following the spirit of the Hardy-Littlewood circle method. See Nakamura [26, Theorem 1.6].

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS ON THE FLAT TORUS

Let $d \geq 1$. For $c_1, \dots, c_{d+1} > 0$, let $R = [-c_1, c_1] \times \dots \times [-c_{d+1}, c_{d+1}]$ be a rectangular box. We shall use two weight functions associated with R

$$\omega_R(x) = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} |x_j|/c_j\right)^{-10d}$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_R(x) = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} |x_j|/c_j\right)^{-8d}.$$

Let $\alpha > 1$ and $S = \{(y, |y|^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : y \in [-1, 1]^d\}$. We define the extension operator

$$E_Q g(x) = \int_Q g(y) e(x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_d y_d + x_{d+1} |y|^\alpha) dy$$

where Q is some subset in \mathbb{R}^d and $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$. Let $\text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}([-1, 1]^d)$ denote a partition of $[-1, 1]^d$ into cubes of side length $\delta^{1/2}$. We first prove the following decoupling inequality for the hypersurface S .

Theorem 9. *Let $\alpha > 1$ and $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$. Then we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(52) \quad \|E_{[-1,1]^d} g\|_{L^p(B_R)} \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{\Delta \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}([-1,1]^d)} \|E_\Delta g\|_{L^p(\omega_{B_R})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where B_R is a ball of radius $R \geq \delta^{-\max\{1, \alpha/2\}}$.

The proof extends the strategy of the one dimensional case in [3], which used a piece of parabola to locally approximate the curve and then apply Bourgain-Demeter's decoupling theorem. For recent works on decoupling inequalities for smooth hypersurfaces with vanishing Gaussian curvature, see e.g. Demeter [10, Section 12.6], Yang [37], Li-Yang [23, 24] and Guth-Maldague-Oh [17]. Now we use this inequality to obtain the Strichartz estimates, and postpone its proof to the end of this section.

Theorem 9 immediately implies a discrete restriction estimate.

Theorem 10. *Let $\alpha > 1$ and $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$. Let Λ be a N^{-1} -separated set in $[-1, 1]^d$. Then we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(53) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} \left| \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} a_\xi e(x_1 \xi_1 + \dots + x_d \xi_d + x_{d+1} |\xi|^\alpha) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim_\varepsilon N^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} |a_\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where B_R is a ball of radius $R \geq N^{\max\{2, \alpha\}}$.

This discrete restriction estimate together with the trivial endpoint $p = \infty$ estimate directly implies the Strichartz estimate on the flat torus in Theorem 3. This argument is standard, see e.g. [4, Proof of Theorem 2.4]. To prove Theorem 5, we use Theorem 4 and Minkowski inequality to get

$$(54) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})} \lesssim_\varepsilon N^{2\sigma_1 + \varepsilon} \|\nu\|_{\ell^1},$$

where σ_1 is given by (18). Then we obtain (22) by interpolation between (54), the universal bound (3), and the endpoint estimate (31). To prove Theorem 6, by interpolation between the Keel-Tao endpoint estimate (20) and the kink point estimate (49), at the Keel-Tao endpoint $(p, q) = (2, \frac{2d}{d-2})$ we have

$$(55) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\Delta^{\alpha/2}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}, \quad \forall \beta < \frac{d(d-3)}{(d-2)^2}.$$

And then Theorem 6 follows from interpolation between (55) and the subcritical regime in Theorem 1.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 9. Theorem 9 can be deduced from the following Lemma 3 and Minkowski inequality.

Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha > 1$ and $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$. Then we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(56) \quad \|E_{[-1,1]^d} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{R_\delta})} \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{\Delta \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}([-1,1]^d)} \|E_\Delta g\|_{L^p(\tilde{\omega}_{R_\delta})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where R_δ is a rectangular box of size $\delta^{-1} \times \dots \times \delta^{-1} \times \delta^{-\max\{1, \alpha/2\}}$.

Proof. We dyadically decompose the cube $[-1, 1]^d$ into

$$[-1, 1]^d = \{y \in [-1, 1]^d : |y| \leq \delta^{1/2-\varepsilon}\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^K \{y \in [-1, 1]^d : 2^{k-1}\delta^{1/2-\varepsilon} \leq |y| \leq 2^k\delta^{1/2-\varepsilon}\}.$$

Here $K \approx \log(\delta^{-1})$. The first part can be easily controlled. It suffices to prove that for any $\delta^{1/2-\varepsilon} \leq a \leq 1/2$ and the annulus

$$A_a = \{y \in [-1, 1]^d : a \leq |y| \leq 2a\}$$

we have

$$(57) \quad \|E_{A_a}g\|_{L^p(\omega_{R_\delta})} \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{\Delta \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}(A_a)} \|E_{\Delta}g\|_{L^p(\tilde{\omega}_{R_\delta})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We claim that for any $\delta^{1/2-\varepsilon} \leq a \leq 1/2$,

$$(58) \quad \|E_{A_a}g\|_{L^p(\omega_{R_{a,\delta}})} \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{\Delta \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}(A_a)} \|E_{\Delta}g\|_{L^p(\omega_{R_{a,\delta}})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

where $R_{a,\delta}$ is a rectangular box of size $\delta^{-1} \times \dots \times \delta^{-1} \times a^{2-\alpha}\delta^{-1}$. Since $\delta^{-\max\{1,\alpha/2\}} \gtrsim a^{2-\alpha}\delta^{-1}$, we can split R_δ into copies of $R_{a,\delta}$. Note that

$$(59) \quad \omega_{R_\delta}(x) \lesssim \sum_j \omega_{R_{a,\delta}(j)}(x) \omega_{R_\delta}(j) \lesssim \tilde{\omega}_{R_\delta}(x)$$

where each $R_{a,\delta}(j)$ is a copy of $R_{a,\delta}$ centered at $j \in \delta^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d \times a^{2-\alpha}\delta^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$, and the implicit constants only depend on d . Then (58) implies (57) by (59) and Minkowski inequality. It is worth to mention that $\sum_j \omega_{R_{a,\delta}(j)}(x) \omega_{R_\delta}(j) \approx \omega_{R_\delta}(x)$ cannot hold, so we should use two slightly different rectangular weight functions in (59), which is different from the forthcoming (63) for the cube weight functions.

Now we prove (58). Let $\phi(y) = |y|^\alpha$. For $y_0 \in A_a$, the Taylor expansion

$$a^{2-\alpha}\phi(y_0 + z) = a^{2-\alpha}(\phi(y_0) + \phi'(y_0)z + \frac{1}{2}z^T\phi''(y_0)z) + O(a^{-1}|z|^3)$$

where

$$\phi''(y_0) = \alpha|y_0|^{\alpha-2}(I_d + (\alpha-2)\frac{y_0y_0^T}{|y_0|^2}).$$

Thus, $a^{2-\alpha}|z^T\phi''(y_0)z| \approx |z|^2$ whenever $\alpha > 1$.

When $|z| \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}$ with $\sigma = \varepsilon/3$, the error term $O(a^{-1}|z|^3) = O(\delta)$ since $a \geq \delta^{1/2-\varepsilon}$. So when $|y - y_0| \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}$, the surface $S_a(y) = (y, a^{2-\alpha}|y|^\alpha)$ is in the δ -neighborhood of the paraboloid

$$(60) \quad \tilde{S}_a(y) = (y, a^{2-\alpha}(\phi(y_0) + \phi'(y_0)(y - y_0) + \frac{1}{2}(y - y_0)^T\phi''(y_0)(y - y_0))).$$

Now we rescale the last variable. Let

$$E_{\Delta, S_a}g(x) = \int_{\Delta} g(y)e(x_1y_1 + \dots + x_dy_d + a^{2-\alpha}x_{d+1}|y|^\alpha)dy$$

Then

$$E_{\Delta}g(x) = E_{\Delta, S_a}g(x_1, \dots, x_d, a^{2-\alpha}x_{d+1})$$

and

$$\|E_{\Delta}g\|_{L^p(R_{a,\delta})} = a^{\frac{2-\alpha}{p}} \|E_{\Delta, S_a}g\|_{L^p(Q_\delta)}$$

where Q_δ is a cube of side length δ^{-1} . By the scaling, to prove (58), it suffices to show

$$(61) \quad \|E_{A_a, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})} \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{\Delta \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}(A_a)} \|E_{\Delta, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It suffices to show that the smallest constant $K_p(\delta)$ that makes the following inequality holds satisfies $K_p(\delta) \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\varepsilon}$

$$(62) \quad \|E_{A_a, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})} \leq K_p(\delta) \left(\sum_{\Delta \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}(A_a)} \|E_{\Delta, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Note that

$$(63) \quad \sum_j \omega_{Q_{\delta^{1-2\sigma}}(j)}(x) \omega_{Q_\delta}(j) \approx \omega_{Q_\delta}(x)$$

where each $Q_{\delta^{1-2\sigma}}(j)$ is a copy of $Q_{\delta^{1-2\sigma}}$ centered at $j \in \delta^{-1+2\sigma}\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, and the implicit constants only depend on d . By (62) and Minkowski inequality, we get

$$\|E_{A_a, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})} \leq CK_p(\delta^{1-2\sigma}) \left(\sum_{\tau \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}}(A_a)} \|E_{\tau, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Applying Bourgain-Demeter's decoupling inequality [4, Theorem 1.1] to the paraboloid (60), we get

$$\|E_{\tau, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})} \leq D_p(\delta) \left(\sum_{\Delta \in \text{Part}_{\delta^{1/2}}(A_a), \Delta \subset \tau} \|E_{\Delta, S_a} g\|_{L^p(\omega_{Q_\delta})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where the decoupling constant $D_p(\delta) \leq C_\varepsilon \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus,

$$K_p(\delta) \leq CD_p(\delta)K_p(\delta^{1-2\sigma}).$$

Recall $\sigma = \varepsilon/3$. We iterate to get

$$\begin{aligned} K_p(\delta) &\leq C^k D_p(\delta) D_p(\delta^{1-2\sigma}) \dots D_p(\delta^{(1-2\sigma)^{k-1}}) K_p(\delta^{(1-2\sigma)^k}) \\ &\leq C^k C_\varepsilon^k \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2(1+(1-2\sigma)^2+\dots+(1-2\sigma)^{k-1})} K_p(\delta^{(1-2\sigma)^k}) \\ &= C^k C_\varepsilon^k \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon(1-(1-2\sigma)^k)} K_p(\delta^{(1-2\sigma)^k}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\delta^{1/2-\varepsilon} \leq a \leq 1/2$. We choose k such that $\delta^{(1-2\sigma)^k} \approx a^2 \leq 1/4$, then $K_p(\delta^{(1-2\sigma)^k}) \approx 1$ and $k \lesssim \log \log(\delta^{-1})$. Thus

$$K_p(\delta) \lesssim C^k C_\varepsilon^k \delta^{-\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon(1-(1-2\sigma)^k)} \lesssim_\varepsilon \delta^{-\varepsilon}.$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 7

The proof of Theorem 7 is similar to that of Theorem 1. The main difference is that the frequency localized dispersive estimates of the wave and the Klein-Gordon equations in Lemma 4 hold for all $|t| \lesssim 1$, which is much better than that of the fractional Schrödinger equations in Lemma 2. So there is no need to decompose the time interval and the Strichartz estimate has no loss of derivatives compared to the Euclidean version. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]^d} \leq \psi \leq \mathbb{1}_{[-2,2]^d}.$$

Let $(e_k)_k$ be an orthonormal eigenbasis in $L^2(M)$ associated with the eigenvalues $(\lambda_k)_k$ of $\sqrt{\Delta}$. For $f \in L^2(M)$, let

$$\mathcal{E}_N f(t, x) = \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N) \hat{f}(k) e^{it\sqrt{m^2 + \lambda_k^2}} e_k(x).$$

To prove (24), it suffices to show

$$(64) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}(I \times M)} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}.$$

At the endpoint $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$ we have $\beta = 1$, and

$$(65) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} \leq \|\nu\|_{\ell^1} \sup_j \|\mathcal{E}_N f_j\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^1}.$$

When $d \geq 4$, at the Keel-Tao endpoint $(p, q) = (2, \frac{2(d-1)}{d-3})$, we also have $\beta = 1$. By the Strichartz estimates [6, Theorem 1] for single functions, we have

$$(66) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{d-1}{d-3}}} \leq \|\nu\|_{\ell^1} \sup_j \|\mathcal{E}_N f_j\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2(d-1)}{d-3}}}^2 \lesssim N^{\frac{d+1}{d-1}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^1}.$$

Fix $r \in (d, d+1)$. By interpolation, we only need to prove

$$(67) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_N f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

for $\beta' = 2(q/2)' = (d-1)p/2 = r$. These (p, q) can be close to $(\frac{2d}{d-1}, \frac{2d}{d-2})$ as $r \rightarrow d$. The case $d = 2, 3$ can also be handled similarly. There is a correspondence between r and the range of (p, q) : the subcritical regime ($r > d$), the critical point ($r = d$), the supercritical regime ($d-1 < r < d$), the Keel-Tao endpoint ($r = d-1$).

Let $\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \varphi_\ell(s) = 1$ be the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, where $\varphi_\ell \in C_0^\infty$ and for each $\ell > 0$, φ_ℓ is supported in $\{|s| \approx 2^\ell\}$. Let

$$(68) \quad \mathcal{E}_{N,\ell} f = \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N) \varphi_\ell(\lambda_k) \hat{f}(k) e^{it\sqrt{m^2 + \lambda_k^2}} e_k(x).$$

For any small $\varepsilon > 0$, we define an analytic family of operators $T_{z,\ell}^\varepsilon$ on the strip

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -r/2 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0\}$$

with the kernels

$$K_{z,\ell}^\varepsilon(t, x, s, y) = \mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon < |t-s|} (t-s)^{-1-z} \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N)^2 \varphi_\ell(\lambda_k)^2 e^{i(t-s)\sqrt{m^2 + \lambda_k^2}} e_k(x) \overline{e_k(y)}.$$

By the duality principle in Lemma 1, we need to estimate $\|WT_{-1,\ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r}$ by the Stein interpolation between the bounds of $\|WT_{z_1,\ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2}$ and $\|WT_{z_2,\ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty}$, where $z_1 = -\frac{r}{2} + ib$ and $z_2 = ib$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

We shall use the frequency localized dispersive estimates for the wave and the Klein-Gordon equations on compact manifolds. See e.g. Cacciafesta-Danesi-Meng [6, Prop. 3], Sogge [33, Chapter 4]. Let

$$\tilde{m} = \begin{cases} m, & m > 0 \\ 1, & m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}])$. There exists $t_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $h \in (0, 1]$*

$$(69) \quad \|e^{it\sqrt{m^2+\Delta}}\varphi(h\sqrt{\Delta})f\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq Ch^{-d}(1+|t|/h)^{-(d-1)/2}\|f\|_{L^1(M)}$$

for each $t \in [-t_0, t_0]$.

By Lemma 4 we obtain for $|t-s| \lesssim 1$

$$(70) \quad |K_{z_1, \ell}^\varepsilon| \lesssim |t-s|^{\frac{r-d-1}{2}} 2^{(d+1)\ell/2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|WT_{z_1, \ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2} &= \|W(t, x)K_{z_1, \ell}^\varepsilon(t, x, s, y)\overline{W}(s, y)\|_{L_{t,x,s,y}^2} \\ &\leq C\|W\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{r-d+1}}L_x^2}^2 2^{(d+1)\ell/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality to estimate the $L_t^{\frac{2}{r-d+1}} \rightarrow L_t^{(\frac{2}{r-d+1})'}$ norm of the convolution operator with the kernel $|t|^{r-d-1}$. So we require $1 < \frac{2}{r-d+1} < 2$, namely $r \in (d, d+1)$. The constant C is independent of ε and b .

Next, by Plancherel theorem and the uniform $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ boundedness of the truncated Hilbert transform (38) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|WT_{z_2, \ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty} &= \|WT_{z_2, \ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{L_{t,x}^2 \rightarrow L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\leq \|W\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|T_{z_2, \ell}^\varepsilon\|_{L_{t,x}^2 \rightarrow L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\leq C(1+|b|)\|W\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The constant C is independent of ε and b . Using the Stein interpolation we get

$$(71) \quad \|WT_{-1, \ell}^\varepsilon \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r} \leq C2^{\frac{2}{p}\frac{d+1}{d-1}\ell} \|W\|_{L_t^{\frac{2r}{r-d+1}}L_x^r(M)}^2.$$

The constant C is independent of ε . Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (71). Then by the duality principle in Lemma 1, we have

$$(72) \quad \left| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{2}{p}\frac{d+1}{d-1}\ell} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}.$$

So summing over $2^\ell \lesssim N$ we get (67).

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 8

The subcritical regime in Theorem 8 directly follows from interpolation between Theorem 7 and the universal bound (3). To handle the supercritical regime, we shall modify the proof of Theorem 2 to establish the kink point estimate (27). The argument is similar to the proof of (16), which dyadically decomposes the kernel of $\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell} \mathcal{E}_{N, \ell}^*$ with respect to $|t-s|$.

Let $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\varphi}_n(s) = 1$ be the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, where each $\tilde{\varphi}_n \in C_0^\infty$ is supported in $\{|s| \approx 2^n\}$. We define the operator $T_{\ell, n}$ with the kernel

$$K_{\ell, n}(t, x, s, y) = \tilde{\varphi}_n(|t-s|) \sum_k \psi(\lambda_k/N)^2 \varphi_\ell(\lambda_k)^2 e^{i(t-s)\sqrt{m^2+\lambda_k^2}} e_k(x) \overline{e_k(y)}.$$

This comes from the dyadic decomposition of the operator $\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell} \mathcal{E}_{N, \ell}^*$ with $\mathcal{E}_{N, \ell}$ given by (68). Fix $r \in [d-1, d]$. Let $\beta' = 2(q/2)' = (d-1)p/2 = r$. As before, we shall estimate $\|WT_{\ell, n} \overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r}$ by interpolation between the \mathfrak{S}^2 norm and the \mathfrak{S}^∞ norm.

We first estimate the \mathfrak{S}^2 norm. By Lemma 4 we obtain for $2^m \lesssim 1$

$$(73) \quad |K_{\ell,n}| \lesssim \min\{2^{-(d-1)n/2}2^{(d+1)\ell/2}, 2^{d\ell}\}.$$

Then we have

$$(74) \quad \begin{aligned} \|WT_{\ell,n}\overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^2} &= \|W(t,x)K_{\ell,n}(t,x,s,y)\overline{W}(s,y)\|_{L_{t,x,s,y}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|W\|_{L_t^{r-d+1}L_x^2}^2 2^{n/2} \cdot \min\{2^{-(d-1)n/2}2^{(d+1)\ell/2}, 2^{d\ell}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we require $\frac{2}{r-d+1} \geq 2$, namely $d-1 \leq r \leq d$, by using the inequality (44).

Next, we estimate the \mathfrak{S}^∞ norm. Then by Plancherel theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|WT_{\ell,n}\overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty} &= \|WT_{\ell,n}\overline{W}\|_{L_{t,x}^2 \rightarrow L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\leq \|W\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|T_{\ell,n}\|_{L_{t,x}^2 \rightarrow L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^n \|W\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then interpolation gives

$$\|WT_{\ell,n}\overline{W}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^r} \lesssim \|W\|_{L_t^{r-d+1}L_x^r}^2 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{r})} \min\{2^{-(d-1)n/r}2^{(d+1)\ell/r}, 2^{2d\ell/r}\}.$$

Summing over $2^n \lesssim 1$ and by the duality principle in Lemma 1, we have

$$(75) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_{N,\ell} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta} \cdot \begin{cases} 2^{(2\frac{d+1}{r}-1)\ell}, & r \in [d-1, d) \\ (1+\ell)2^{(2\frac{d+1}{r}-1)\ell}, & r = d. \end{cases}$$

Recall that $r = \beta' = (d-1)p/2$. Thus, if we define

$$(76) \quad \beta_* = \frac{d-1}{d-1-2/p}, \quad \sigma_* = \frac{2(d+1)}{p(d-1)} + \frac{2d}{p(d-1)} - 1,$$

then summing over ℓ we have

$$(77) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |\mathcal{E}_{N,\ell} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^{\beta_*}} \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\sigma_*}, & r \in [d-1, d) \\ N^{\sigma_*}(\log N), & r = d. \end{cases}$$

This proves (27). Note that $\sigma_* = \frac{2}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1}$ is equivalent to $p = \frac{2d}{d-1}$. By interpolation between (27), (3) and Theorem 7, we obtain Theorem 8.

In particular, when $d \geq 2$, at the critical point $(p, q) = (\frac{2d}{d-1}, \frac{2d}{d-2})$, we have $r = d$, $\beta = \frac{d}{d-1}$ and

$$(78) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\sqrt{m^2+\Delta}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1}} (\log N) \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}.$$

When $d \geq 3$, at the Keel-Tao endpoint $(p, q) = (2, \frac{2(d-1)}{d-3})$, we have $r = d-1$, $\beta = \frac{d-1}{d-2}$ and

$$(79) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{it\sqrt{m^2+\Delta}} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}} \lesssim N^{\frac{2}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1} + \frac{1}{d-1}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}.$$

Note that this estimate is sharp by the necessary condition (11).

7. APPLICATIONS

As in the works by Frank–Sabin [13], Lewin–Sabin [22, 21], Nakamura [26], Bez–Lee–Nakamura [2], we can exploit the Strichartz estimates to prove the well-posedness of the infinite systems of dispersive equations with Hartree-type nonlinearity on compact manifolds

$$(80) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u_j = P u_j + (W\rho)u_j, & j \in \mathbb{N} \\ u_j(0, \cdot) = f_j \end{cases}$$

where $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j|^2$ and $W\rho$ is a real-valued function on M . We focus on $P = \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ or $\sqrt{m^2 + \Delta}$ with $m \geq 0$. In the flat case, it is standard to take the convolution operator $W\rho = w * \rho$, where w is the interaction potential function on M .

7.1. Assumptions. Let $s \geq 0$. We first assume the Strichartz estimate

$$(81) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{itP} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}([0,1] \times M)} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}$$

holds for all orthonormal systems $(f_j)_j$ in $H^s(M)$ and all sequences $\nu = (\nu_j)_j \in \ell^\beta$. As we have seen in the introduction, these frequency global estimates can be deduced from the frequency localized estimates in Theorems 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8. Moreover, (81) implies for any $T > 0$,

$$(82) \quad \left\| \sum_j \nu_j |e^{itP} f_j|^2 \right\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^{q/2}([0,T] \times M)} \lesssim T^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\nu\|_{\ell^\beta}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D} = \sqrt{1 + \Delta}$. We also assume the control of the Hartree-type nonlinearity

$$(83) \quad \|\mathcal{D}^{\pm s}(W\rho)\mathcal{D}^{\mp s}\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\infty} \leq C_{s,q,W} \|\rho\|_{L^{q/2}(M)}$$

or equivalently

$$(84) \quad \|(W\rho)f\|_{H^r(M)} \leq C_{s,q,W} \|\rho\|_{L^{q/2}(M)} \|f\|_{H^r(M)}, \quad \forall f \in H^r(M), \quad r = \pm s.$$

In the flat case with $W\rho = w * \rho$, the assumption (83) is true with $C_{s,q,W} = C_{s,\delta} \|w\|_{B_{(q/2)',\infty}^{s+\delta}}$ for all $\delta > 0$ (see [26, 2]). Indeed, it follows from the inequality for the Besov norm

$$(85) \quad \|gf\|_{H^r} \leq C_{r,\delta} \|g\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{[r]+\delta}} \|f\|_{H^r}, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \delta > 0,$$

and by Hölder inequality

$$(86) \quad \|w * \rho\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{s+\delta}} \leq \|w\|_{B_{(q/2)',\infty}^{s+\delta}} \|\rho\|_{L^{q/2}}.$$

See Triebel [35, p. 29 & p. 205] and Seeger–Sogge [30, Theorem 4.1] for characterizations of Besov space on compact manifolds. A natural generalization of the convolution operator $|x|^{-a} *$ with $a < d$ on compact manifolds is the spectral multiplier \mathcal{D}^{-d+a} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}^{-d+a}\rho\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^s} &= \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{js} \|\varphi_j(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{D}^{-d+a}\rho\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{j(s-d+a)} \|\tilde{\varphi}_j(\mathcal{D})\rho\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{j(s-d+a+2d/q)} \|\rho\|_{L^{q/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ shares essentially the same property as the Littlewood–Paley bump function φ_j . So we require that $s - d + a + 2d/q \leq 0$, which is equivalent to $a \leq d - \frac{2d}{q} - s$. For the

sharp Schrödinger admissible pairs (p, q) , it is equivalent to $a \leq \frac{4}{p} - s$. For the sharp wave admissible pairs (p, q) , it is equivalent to $a \leq \frac{4d}{p(d-1)} - s$.

7.2. Well-posedness results. For applications, it is useful to state the assumption (81) in an operator-theoretic version. Given a compact self-adjoint operator γ on $L^2(M)$, by the spectral theorem we can write

$$\gamma h = \sum_j \nu_j \langle h, f_j \rangle f_j, \quad \forall h \in L^2(M).$$

We formally denote the diagonal of the integral kernel of γ by

$$\rho_\gamma(x) = \sum_j \nu_j |f_j(x)|^2.$$

By the assumption (81), $\rho_{\mathcal{D}^{-s}\gamma(t)\mathcal{D}^{-s}}$ is well-defined in $L_t^{p/2}L_x^{q/2}$, where $\gamma(t) = e^{itP}\gamma_0e^{-itP}$, and satisfies

$$(87) \quad \|\rho_{\mathcal{D}^{-s}\gamma(t)\mathcal{D}^{-s}}\|_{L_t^{p/2}L_x^{q/2}} \leq C_* \|\gamma_0\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\beta}$$

whenever $\gamma_0 \in \mathfrak{S}^\beta$. We define the Sobolev-type Schatten norm by

$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{S}^{\beta,s}} = \|\mathcal{D}^s \gamma \mathcal{D}^s\|_{\mathfrak{S}^\beta}.$$

It is standard to transform the infinite system (80) into the operator formalism

$$(88) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \gamma = [P + W\rho_\gamma, \gamma], & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times M, \\ \gamma(0, \cdot) = \gamma_0. \end{cases}$$

The following local well-posedness was proved in the abstract form in [2, Prop. 10], and it still holds on compact manifolds.

Proposition 1 (Local well-posedness). *Suppose (81) and (83) hold. Then for any $\gamma_0 \in \mathfrak{S}^{\beta,s}$, there exist $T = T(\|\gamma_0\|_{\mathfrak{S}^{\beta,s}}, C_{s,q,W}) > 0$ and a unique solution $\gamma \in C_t^0([0, T]; \mathfrak{S}^{\beta,s})$ to (88) on $[0, T] \times M$ with $\rho_\gamma \in L_t^{p/2}L_x^{q/2}$.*

We also have the following global well-posedness for small data. The argument is similar, see [26, Prop. 4.1].

Proposition 2 (Almost global well-posedness). *Suppose (81) and (83) hold. Then each $T > 0$, there exists $\delta_T = \delta_T(C_{s,q,W})$ such that for any $\|\gamma_0\|_{\mathfrak{S}^{\beta,s}} < \delta_T$, there exists a unique solution $\gamma \in C_t^0([0, T]; \mathfrak{S}^{\beta,s})$ to (88) on $[0, T] \times M$ with $\rho_\gamma \in L_t^{p/2}L_x^{q/2}$.*

Consequently, we obtain the well-posedness of (88) for the pairs (p, q) , β , and s in (81), whenever the control of the Hartree-type nonlinearity in (83) is valid.

Corollary 1. *Let $d \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 1$. Suppose (p, q) and β are as in Theorem 1. Let $s > \frac{1}{p}$ and $a \leq \frac{4}{p} - s$. Let $P = \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ and $W\rho = \mathcal{D}^{-d+a}\rho$. Then the system (88) has local well-posedness and almost global well-posedness as in Propositions 1 and 2.*

Corollary 2. *Let $d \geq 2$. Suppose (p, q) and β are as in Theorem 7. Let $s > \frac{1}{p} \frac{d+1}{d-1}$ and $a \leq \frac{4d}{p(d-1)} - s$. Let $P = \sqrt{m^2 + \Delta}$ with $m \geq 0$ and $W\rho = \mathcal{D}^{-d+a}\rho$. Then the system (88) has local well-posedness and almost global well-posedness as in Propositions 1 and 2.*

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bez, Y. Hong, S. Lee, S. Nakamura, and Y. Sawano. On the Strichartz estimates for orthonormal systems of initial data with regularity. *Adv. Math.*, 354:106736, 37, 2019.
- [2] N. Bez, S. Lee, and S. Nakamura. Strichartz estimates for orthonormal families of initial data and weighted oscillatory integral estimates. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 9:Paper No. e1, 52, 2021.
- [3] C. Biswas, M. Gilula, L. Li, J. Schwend, and Y. Xi. ℓ^2 decoupling in \mathbb{R}^2 for curves with vanishing curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(5):1987–1997, 2020.
- [4] J. Bourgain and C. Demeter. The proof of the l^2 decoupling conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(1):351–389, 2015.
- [5] N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov. Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 126(3):569–605, 2004.
- [6] F. Cacciafesta, Danesi E., and L. Meng. Strichartz estimates for the half wave/Klein–Gordon and Dirac equations on compact manifolds without boundary. *Math. Ann.*, 389(3):3009–3042, 2024.
- [7] T. Chen, Y. Hong, and N. Pavlovic. Global well-posedness of the NLS system for infinitely many fermions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(1):91–123, 2017.
- [8] T. Chen, Y. Hong, and N. Pavlovic. On the scattering problem for infinitely many fermions in dimensions $d \geq 3$ at positive temperature. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 35(2):393–416, 2018.
- [9] S. Dasu, H. Jung, Z.K. Li, and J. Madrid. Mixed norm l^2 decoupling for paraboloids. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):17972–18000, 2023.
- [10] C. Demeter. *Fourier restriction, decoupling, and applications*, volume 184 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020.
- [11] V. D. Dinh. Strichartz estimates for the fractional Schrödinger and wave equations on compact manifolds without boundary. *J. Differential Equations*, 263:8804–8837, 2017.
- [12] R. L. Frank, M. Lewin, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer. Strichartz inequality for orthonormal functions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(7):1507–1526, 2014.
- [13] R. L. Frank and J. Sabin. Restriction theorems for orthonormal functions, Strichartz inequalities, and uniform Sobolev estimates. *Amer. J. Math.*, 139(6):1649–1691, 2017.
- [14] R. L. Frank and J. Sabin. Spectral cluster bounds for orthonormal systems and oscillatory integral operators in Schatten spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 317:157–192, 2017.
- [15] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Smoothing properties and retarded estimates for some dispersive evolution equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 144(1):163–188, 1992.
- [16] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014.
- [17] L. Guth, D. Maldague, and C. Oh. l^2 decoupling theorem for surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 , 2024.
- [18] L. V. Kapitanski. Some generalizations of the Strichartz-Brenner inequality. *Algebra i Analiz*, 1(3):127–159, 1989.
- [19] M. Keel and T. Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *Amer. J. Math.*, 120(5):955–980, 1998.
- [20] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 40(1):33–69, 1991.
- [21] M. Lewin and J. Sabin. The Hartree equation for infinitely many particles, II: Dispersion and scattering in 2D. *Anal. PDE*, 7(6):1339–1363, 2014.
- [22] M. Lewin and J. Sabin. The Hartree equation for infinitely many particles I. Well-posedness theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 334(1):117–170, 2015.
- [23] J. Li and T. Yang. Decoupling for mixed-homogeneous polynomials in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Math. Ann.*, 383(3–4):1319–1351, 2022.
- [24] J. Li and T. Yang. Decoupling for smooth surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 . *To appear in Amer. J. Math.*, 2024.
- [25] E. H. Lieb and W. E. Thirring. Bound for the kinetic energy of fermions which proves the stability of matter. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 35(11):687–689, 1975.
- [26] S. Nakamura. The orthonormal Strichartz inequality on torus. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(2):1455–1476, 2020.
- [27] T. Ren and A. Zhang. Improved spectral cluster bounds for orthonormal systems. *Forum Math.*, 36(5):1383–1392, 2024.
- [28] J. Sabin. The Hartree equation for infinite quantum systems. *Journées équations aux dérivées partielles*, (8):18, 2014.

- [29] J. Sabin. Littlewood–Paley decomposition of operator densities and application to a new proof of the Lieb–Thirring inequality. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 19(2), 2016.
- [30] A. Seeger and C. D. Sogge. On the boundedness of functions of (pseudo-) differential operators on compact manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 59(3):709–736, 1989.
- [31] B. Simon. *Trace ideals and their applications*. Number 120 in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [32] C. D. Sogge. *Hangzhou lectures on eigenfunctions of the Laplacian*. Number 188 in Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.
- [33] C. D. Sogge. *Fourier integrals in classical analysis, second edition*, volume 210 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [34] Robert S. Strichartz. Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations. *Duke Math. J.*, 44(3):705–714, 1977.
- [35] Hans Triebel. *Theory of function spaces. II*, volume 84 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [36] Luis Vega. Restriction theorems and the Schrödinger multiplier on the torus. In *Partial differential equations with minimal smoothness and applications (Chicago, IL, 1990)*, volume 42 of *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, pages 199–211. Springer, New York, 1992.
- [37] T. Yang. Uniform ℓ^2 -decoupling in \mathbb{R}^2 for polynomials. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 31(11):10846–10867, 2021.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, HN 410012, CHINA
Email address: xingwang@hnu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIHANG UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, BJ 100191, CHINA
Email address: anzhang@buaa.edu.cn

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, BJ 100084, CHINA
Email address: czhang98@tsinghua.edu.cn