

Strong decays of $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ within the Bethe-Salpeter framework

Qiang Li,¹ Chao-Hsi Chang,^{2,3} Xin Tong,¹ Xiao-Ze Tan,^{4,5} Tianhong Wang⁶ and Guo-Li Wang⁷

¹*School of Physical Science and Technology, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China*

²*CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

³*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

⁴*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany*

⁵*Department of Physics and Center for Field Theory and Particle Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China*

⁶*School of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China*

⁷*Department of Physics, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China*

E-mail: liruo@nwpu.edu.cn, zhangzx@itp.ac.cn,

tx2019301663@mail.nwpu.edu.cn, xiaoze.tan@desy.de, thwang@hit.edu.cn,

wgl@hbu.edu.cn

ABSTRACT: By combining the effective Lagrangian and Bethe-Salpeter framework, we studied the mass spectra, wave functions, and strong decay widths of the two pentaquark states $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ reported by LHCb in 2019. We calculate the one-boson-exchange interaction kernel of $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ in the isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ configuration. Then we present the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) and wave functions for the bound states of a vector meson and a $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$. By solving the BSE we obtain 2 bound states for both $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ spin-parity configuration, and the mass results favor the $(\frac{3}{2})^-$ and $(\frac{1}{2})^-$ configuration for the $P_\psi^N(4440)$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$. Combining the effective Lagrangians and the obtained BS wave functions, we further calculate the strong decay channels $\bar{D}^{(*)0}\Lambda_c^+$, $J/\psi(\eta_c)p$, and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ for the two P_ψ^N states. In the favored $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{1}{2}^-$ configuration, the obtained total widths are 34.8 MeV and 2.2 MeV for $P_\psi^N(4440)$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$, respectively, which are substantially consistent with the LHCb data. The obtained decay widths suggest that $\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ are the dominant decay channels to detect $P_\psi^N(4440)$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$. Taking into account both the mass spectra and decay widths, our results favor the interpretation of $P_\psi^N(4440)$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$ as the isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ $[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]$ molecular states with J^P configuration $(\frac{3}{2})^-$ and $(\frac{1}{2})^-$ respectively.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	P_ψ^N as the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecular state	3
2.1	Bethe-Salpeter equation of a spin-1 meson and a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon	4
2.2	Interaction kernel based on the one-boson exchange	6
2.3	Salpeter wave function for the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ pentaquark states	9
3	Strong decays of P_ψ^N with the BS wave function	10
3.1	Decay modes and involved Lagrangians	10
3.2	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow (V + B)$	15
3.2.1	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ by D exchange	16
3.2.2	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ by D^* exchange	19
3.2.3	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$	21
3.3	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow (P + B)$	22
3.3.1	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$ by D exchange	22
3.3.2	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$ by D^* exchange	24
3.3.3	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$	25
3.3.4	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c$	26
3.4	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow (P + B^*)$	28
3.4.1	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ by pion exchange	28
3.4.2	$P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ by ρ and ω exchange	30
3.5	Partial decay widths	32
4	Numerical results and discussions	34
4.1	Numerical parameters	34
4.2	Numerical results and discussions	35
4.3	Summary	40
A	Expressions of the decay form factors	41

1 Introduction

The exotic pentaquark states are playing important roles in hadron physics. The LHCb collaboration first reported two exotic structures $P_c(4380)^+$ and $P_c(4450)^+$ in the $J/\psi p$ mass spectrum with high statistical significance in 2015 [1], which are interpreted as resonant states having minimal valance quark content [$c\bar{c}uud$]. The detected masses and widths are 4380 ± 30 MeV and 205 ± 88 MeV for $P_c(4380)^+$, and are 4449.8 ± 3.0 MeV and 39 ± 20 MeV for the latter one, namely, $P_c(4450)^+$. The preferred J^P assignments are of opposite parity,

with one state having spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and the other $\frac{5}{2}$. These two exotic charmonium-pentaquark states attracted great attention in particle physics community and inspired lots of theoretical researches.

In 2019 the LHCb collaboration further resolved the $P_c(4450)^+$ into two different resonances $P_c(4440)^+$ and $P_c(4457)^+$ [2], and also identified a new $P_c(4312)^+$ state, which will be referred as $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$, $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$, and $P_\psi^N(4312)^+$ respectively latter in this work following the new naming scheme suggested by the LHCb in 2022 [3], where the superscript N is used to denote the isotopic spin $I = \frac{1}{2}$ and the subscript ψ is used to represent the hidden charm flavor. The measured mass and total width are respectively [2]

$$\begin{aligned} M[P_\psi^N(4440)^+] &= 4440.3 \pm 1.3_{-4.7}^{+4.1} \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma[P_\psi^N(4440)^+] &= 20.6 \pm 4.9_{-10.1}^{+8.7} \text{ MeV}; \\ M[P_\psi^N(4457)^+] &= 4457.3 \pm 0.6_{-1.7}^{+4.1} \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma[P_\psi^N(4457)^+] &= 6.4 \pm 2.0_{-1.9}^{+5.7} \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned}$$

Although these two states have been discovered four several years, the inner structure, spin-parity and decay properties are still not clear. The proximity to the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ threshold of the two observed narrow peaks suggests that they may play an important role in the dynamics of $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ states. The $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecular states picture is then a natural interpretation to these two exotic particles. In a previous work [4], we studied the mass spectra, inner interaction kernel and strong decay behaviors of the $P_\psi^N(4312)^+$ within the $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ molecule picture based on the Bethe-Salpeter equation and effective Lagrangians. This work aims to further investigate the mass spectra and strong decay widths of the $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ states.

The hidden charm molecular pentaquark states have been proposed before the experimental confirmation [5–11]. After the LHCb discoveries, lots of literature explored these newly observed pentaquark states from different aspects within different approaches, such as refs. [12–29]. Although the properties of the two P_ψ^{N+} states are most likely to be the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecules with $|I, I_3\rangle = |\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ [12–18, 28, 29], the possibilities of the compact pentaquark states [30, 31] or kinematical effects [32, 33] still exist. On the other hand, within the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecule picture, there still exist ambiguities in spin-parity configuration, namely, $\frac{1}{2}^-$ or $\frac{3}{2}^-$. The essence of these two pentaquark states is still an open problem.

Besides the mass spectrum, the strong decay properties also play important roles in determining the nature of the pentaquark states. Several approaches are used to study the decay properties of these pentaquark states [12, 17, 31, 34–39], including the effective Lagrangian methods [12, 17], the flavor-spin and heavy quark spin symmetry [31, 34], the chiral constituent quark model [35], QCD sum rules [38, 39], etc. Most of the previous studies are based on the nonrelativistic Schrodinger or Lippmann-Schwinger equation and the results are dependent on several introduced free parameters, especially the cutoff value in the form factors. These undetermined parameters weaken the prediction power of the theories and bring ambiguity in interpreting the nature of the P_ψ^{N+} . More studies on the decay behaviors of P_ψ^{N+} can be important and helpful to explore its inner structure and dynamics. This work aims to calculate the strong decays of $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ to $J/\psi p$, $\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$, $\eta_c p$, $\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$, $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$, and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ channels. Notice that $P_\psi^N(4457)$ may also strong decay to $P_\psi^N(4312)\pi$, however, this channel is estimated to be ~ 100 keV [40].

In this work, we will calculate the strong decay widths of $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ by combining the Bethe-Salpeter (BS) framework with the effective Lagrangians. First within the heavy quark symmetry and the light quark chiral symmetry, we calculate the internal interaction kernel for the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecular states based on the one-boson exchange model. Then by solving the corresponding Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) to obtain the bound states mass spectra and wave functions. Finally, by combining the BS wave functions and the effective Lagrangians we calculate the main strong decay widths and then obtain the total widths to compare with the experimental data. The Bethe-Salpeter equation is a relativistic two-body bound state equation. The constructed BS wave functions only depend on the good quantum number spin-parity and the Lorentz covariance. The BS methods are successfully used to deal with mass spectra of the doubly heavy baryons [41, 42], producing the observed molecular pentaquarks [28] and the fully heavy tetraquark $T_{QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}}$ states [43], and also the hadronic transitions and decays [44–49].

This paper is organized as follows. After the introduction, we start with the Bethe-Salpeter equation for P_ψ^N as the molecular state of a vector meson and a baryon, including the interaction kernel and the relevant Salpeter wave functions (Sect. 2), then we calculate the strong decay widths of $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ to the eight channels aforementioned (Sect. 3). We finally present the numerical results, discussion and summaries in Sect. 4.

2 P_ψ^N as the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecular state

In this section, we first review the Bethe-Salpeter equation for a bound state consisting of a vector meson and a baryon. Then we calculate the pentaquark interaction kernel based on the one-boson exchange. The BS wave functions of the $J^P = (\frac{1}{2})^-$ and $(\frac{3}{2})^-$ P_ψ^N states will be constructed and solved numerically to prepare for the following strong decays.




Fig. 1: Bethe-Salpeter equation of the molecular state consisting of a vector meson and a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon. The red letters denote the Lorentz indices. The blue letters P , $p_1(k_1)$, $p_2(k_2)$ denote the momenta of the pentaquark, constituent meson and baryon, respectively.

2.1 Bethe-Salpeter equation of a spin-1 meson and a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon

The Bethe-Salpeter equation for the molecular state of a meson and a baryon is schematically depicted in Fig. 1, and can be expressed as

$$\Gamma^\alpha(P, q, r) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} (-i) K^{\alpha\beta}(k, q) [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(P, k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)], \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Gamma(P, q, r)$ denotes the effective vertex for the pentaquark, constituent meson and baryon; the symbols P , q , and r represent the pentaquark total momentum, inner relative momentum, and spin state respectively; the inner relative momentum q and k are defined as $q \equiv \alpha_2 p_1 - \alpha_1 p_2$, $k \equiv \alpha_2 k_1 - \alpha_1 k_2$, with $\alpha_{1(2)} \equiv \frac{m_{1(2)}}{m_1 + m_2}$, $k_{1(2)}$ denoting the momentum of the constituent meson (baryon), and $m_{1(2)}$ is the corresponding mass; $S(k_2) = \frac{i}{\not{k}_2 - m_2}$ is the free Dirac propagator of the baryon; the effective propagator for a spin-1 constituent meson reads

$$D^{\beta\gamma}(k_1) = i \frac{\left(-g^{\beta\gamma} + \frac{p_{1\perp}^\beta p_{1\perp}^\gamma}{m_1^2} \right)}{k_1^2 - m_1^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here we introduce the abbreviation $x_\perp \equiv x - x_P \hat{P}$ for any four-vector x to represent the corresponding spacelike variable with $x_P \equiv x \cdot \hat{P}$, $\hat{P} \equiv \frac{P}{M}$ and M denoting the pentaquark mass, then $p_{1\perp}$ is implied. The $(i\epsilon)$ should be implied in all the propagators.

In this work both the constituents \bar{D}^* and Σ_c contain a heavy charm quark, the velocity of the relative motion would be small. The interaction kernel $K(k, q)$ is assumed to be instantaneous and is not dependent on the time component of the exchanged momentum $(k - q)$, namely, $K(k, q) \sim K(k_\perp - q_\perp)$. Throughout this work, this instantaneous approximation is assumed for the pentaquark kernel.

The four-dimensional Bethe-Salpeter wave function is defined as

$$\psi_\alpha(q) = S(p_2) \Gamma^\beta(q) D_{\beta\alpha}(p_1), \quad (2.3)$$

where the dependence on P and r is omitted for simplicity. Under the instantaneous approximation of interaction kernel $K(k_\perp - q_\perp)$, the integral over the time component of q can be directly absorbed into the wave function by defining the Salpeter wave function as

$$\varphi_\alpha(q_\perp) \equiv -i \int \frac{dq_P}{2\pi} \psi_\alpha(q). \quad (2.4)$$

where the convention factor $(-i)$ is for later convenience.

By using the instantaneous approximation and the Salpeter wave function, we can reduce one-dimensional integral of the BS equation. Performing the contour integral over q_P on both sides of Eq. (2.3), we obtain the Salpeter equation (SE) for meson-baryon bound

state [28],

$$\varphi_\alpha(q_\perp) = \frac{1}{2w_1} \left[\frac{\Lambda^+(p_{2\perp})}{M - w_1 - w_2} + \frac{\Lambda^-(p_{2\perp})}{M + w_1 + w_2} \right] d_{\alpha\beta}(p_{1\perp}) \Gamma^\beta(q_\perp), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$d_{\alpha\beta} = \left(-g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{p_{1\perp\alpha} p_{1\perp\beta}}{m_1^2} \right) \quad (2.6)$$

is the numerator of the vector propagator; $w_i = (m_i^2 - p_{i\perp}^2)^{1/2}$ ($i = 1, 2$) denotes the kinetic energy of the constituent meson and baryon respectively; the BS vertex $\Gamma^\beta(q_\perp)$ is expressed by a three-dimensional integral of the defined Salpeter wave function,

$$\Gamma^\beta(q_\perp) = \int \frac{d^3 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} K^{\beta\gamma}(k_\perp - q_\perp) \varphi_\gamma(k_\perp). \quad (2.7)$$

The projector operators $\Lambda^\pm(p_{2\perp})$ are defined as

$$\Lambda^\pm(p_{2\perp}) = \frac{1}{2} [1 \pm H_2(p_{2\perp})] \gamma_0, \quad (2.8)$$

where the dimensionless operator $H_2(p_{2\perp})$ is the usual Dirac Hamiltonian divided by the kinetic energy w_2 ,

$$H_2(p_{2\perp}) = \frac{1}{w_2} (p_{2\perp}^\alpha \gamma_\alpha + m_2) \gamma^0. \quad (2.9)$$

Using the projector operator, we can further define the positive and negative energy wave functions as $\varphi^\pm \equiv \Lambda^\pm \gamma^0 \varphi$, and we also have $\varphi = \varphi^+ + \varphi^-$. The Salpeter equation above can also be rewritten as the following type

$$M\varphi_\alpha = (w_1 + w_2) H_2(p_{2\perp}) \varphi_\alpha + \frac{1}{2w_1} d_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_0 \Gamma^\beta(q_\perp). \quad (2.10)$$

The Salpeter Eq. (2.10) is in fact an eigenvalue equation about the Salpeter wave function $\varphi_\alpha(q_\perp)$, where the pentaquark mass M behaves as the eigenvalue. The three-dimensional BSE, namely, Eq. (2.10), indicates that the mass of the pentaquark state consists of two parts, the kinetic energy and the potential energy.

The normalization of the BS wave function is generally expressed as,

$$-i \int \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{\psi}^\alpha(P, q, \bar{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial P^0} I_{\alpha\beta}(P, k, q) \psi^\beta(P, k, r) = 2M \delta_{r\bar{r}},$$

where the integral kernel in the normalization condition reads,

$$I_{\alpha\beta}(P, q, k) = (2\pi)^2 \delta^4(k - q) S^{-1}(p_2) D_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(p_1) + i K_{\alpha\beta}(P, k, q).$$

Notice in this work, under the instantaneous and on-shell approximation, the interaction

kernel has no dependence on P^0 and q_P , namely, $K_{\alpha\beta}(P, k, q) \simeq K_{\alpha\beta}(k_\perp - q_\perp)$. Then the normalization would only involve the inverse of the two propagators. The inverse of the vector propagator reads,

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(p_1) = \vartheta_{\alpha\beta} D^{-1}(p_1), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\vartheta^{\alpha\beta} = -g^{\alpha\beta} - p_{1\perp}^\alpha p_{1\perp}^\beta / w_1^2$ and fulfills $\vartheta^{\alpha\beta} d_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha$. Notice now there is no P^0 dependence in the numerator of $D^{\alpha\beta}(p_1)$. Inserting the inverse of the propagators, we further obtain the normalization condition for the general Salpeter wave function,

$$\int \frac{d^3 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} 2w_1 \vartheta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\varphi}_\alpha(q_\perp, \bar{r}) \gamma^0 \varphi_\beta(q_\perp, r) = 2M \delta_{r\bar{r}}. \quad (2.12)$$

2.2 Interaction kernel based on the one-boson exchange

The $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ are consistent with the $\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c$ molecular state with isospin $|I, I_3\rangle = |\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle$. We will extract the interaction kernel by calculating the $\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c$ scattering under the isospin symmetry,

$$\langle \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} | H_{\text{eff}} | \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \rangle. \quad (2.13)$$

Since the isospin eigenstate does not coincide with the flavor eigenstate, it is more convenient to work in the uncoupled representation of isospin,

$$|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} |1, +1\rangle |\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |1, 0\rangle |\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} |\Sigma_c^{++}\rangle |D^{*-}\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |\Sigma_c^+\rangle |\bar{D}^{*0}\rangle. \quad (2.14)$$

In the molecular state scenario, the interaction between the two constituents Σ_c and \bar{D}^* can be realized by the one-boson exchange.

Different from the $P_\psi^N(4312)^+$ consisting of the $\bar{D} \Sigma_c$ where the usual one-pion exchange is not possible for the parity, we need to take into account the light (pseudo)scalar and vector meson exchange. Considering the heavy quark spin-flavor symmetry, the heavy field of $\bar{D}^{(*)}$ in the heavy quark limit can be represented by

$$H_{\bar{Q}} = (\bar{D}^{*\alpha} \gamma_\alpha + i\bar{D} \gamma_5) \frac{1 - \not{v}}{2}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\bar{D} = (\bar{D}^0, D^-, D_s^-)^T$ denotes anti-charmed heavy-light meson fields in flavor triplet, and $\bar{D}^{*\mu}$ is the corresponding vector state; $\bar{H}_{\bar{Q}} = \gamma^0 H_{\bar{Q}}^\dagger \gamma_0$ denotes the usual conjunction in Dirac space; and v denotes four-velocity of the anti-heavy-light meson.

Considering the heavy quark spin-flavor symmetry, hidden local symmetry and the light quark chiral symmetry, the involved Lagrangian describing the anti-heavy-light meson and one light meson reads [8, 50]

$$L_M = g_s \langle \bar{H}_{\bar{Q}} \sigma H_{\bar{Q}} \rangle + g \langle \bar{H}_{\bar{Q}} \not{\psi} \gamma_5 H_{\bar{Q}} \rangle - \beta \langle \bar{H}_{\bar{Q}} v_\alpha \Omega^\alpha H_{\bar{Q}} \rangle - \lambda \langle \bar{H}_{\bar{Q}} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} H_{\bar{Q}} \rangle, \quad (2.16)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes taking the 4×4 Dirac trace; the light scalar meson is represented by

σ . The axial vector current u_α is defined as

$$u_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}i \left(\xi^\dagger \partial_\alpha \xi - \xi \partial_\alpha \xi^\dagger \right) = -\frac{1}{f} \partial_\alpha \Sigma + \dots, \quad (2.17)$$

with $f = 132 \text{ MeV}$ denoting the pion decay constant, $\xi = \exp(i\Sigma/f)$ and Σ representing the 3×3 traceless hermitian matrix consisting of eight pseudoscalar meson fields,

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.18)$$

The tensor field $F_{\alpha\beta}(\Omega)$ is defined as $F_{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_\alpha \Omega_\beta - \partial_\beta \Omega_\alpha)$ with $\Omega = (g_V/\sqrt{2})V$, where the symbol V denotes the 3×3 matrix consisting of the 9 light vector meson fields [8, 50]

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\rho^0 + \omega)}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ & K^{*+} \\ \rho^- & -\frac{(\rho^0 - \omega)}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \phi \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.19)$$

The symbols β , λ , and g_s denote the corresponding coupling constants.

Considering the heavy quark symmetry, hidden local symmetry and chiral symmetry, the effective Lagrangian of the heavy-light baryon and one light meson reads [8, 51–53]

$$L_B = \frac{3}{2} g_1 i \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \langle \bar{S}_\alpha u_\beta S_\mu \rangle + \beta_S \langle \bar{S}_\alpha v_\beta \rho^\beta S^\alpha \rangle + i \lambda_S \langle \bar{S}_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} S_\beta \rangle + l_S \langle \bar{S}_\alpha \sigma S^\alpha \rangle. \quad (2.20)$$

where the symbol $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ denotes the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor with $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ and convention $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$; the abbreviation $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes taking trace in the 3×3 flavor space. The single heavy baryon in spin doublet are incorporated into field as

$$S_\alpha = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\gamma_\alpha + v_\alpha) \gamma^5 B + B_\alpha^*, \quad (2.21)$$

where B represents the 3×3 matrix for the systematic baryon sextet,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_c^{++} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma_c^+ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_c'^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma_c^+ & \Sigma_c^0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_c'^0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_c'^+ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_c'^0 & \Omega_c^0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.22)$$

The conjugation defines as usual for the spinor field $\bar{S}_\mu^{mn} = (S_\mu^{mn})^\dagger \gamma_0$. An asterisk on the symbol denotes the corresponding spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ baryon.

Using above relevant Lagrangian and based on the one-boson exchange, we calculate

the interaction kernel of $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ in isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ as

$$K_{\alpha\beta}(s) = K_1\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta + K_2(\gamma_\alpha\hat{s}_\beta - \gamma_\beta\hat{s}_\alpha)\hat{s} \cdot \gamma + K_3(\hat{P}_\alpha\hat{s}_\beta - \hat{P}_\beta\hat{s}_\alpha) + K_4g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.23)$$

where the spacelike $s = (k_\perp - q_\perp)$ represents the the exchanged momentum in the inner interaction of one-boson exchange, and $\hat{s} = s/\sqrt{-s^2}$;

$$K_i = F^2(s^2)M_{\bar{D}^*}V_i \quad (2.24)$$

with $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $F(s^2)$ denoting an introduced regulator function in the heavy hadron (\bar{D}^* or Σ_c here) vertex; and V_i behaves

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \frac{1}{3}\frac{gg_1}{f^2}s^2(6D_\pi - D_\eta), \\ V_2 &= \frac{1}{3}\frac{gg_1}{f^2}s^2(6D_\pi - D_\eta) + \frac{1}{3}\lambda\lambda_S g_V^2 s^2(4D_\rho - 2D_\omega), \\ V_3 &= \beta_S \lambda_s g_V^2 (2D_\rho - D_\omega), \\ V_4 &= -\frac{1}{3}\frac{gg_1}{f^2}s^2(6D_\pi - D_\eta) + 2g_s l_s D_\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\beta\beta_S g_V^2 (2D_\rho - D_\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where $D_\eta = (s^2 - m_\eta^2)^{-1}$ denotes the propagator of the inter-mediator η meson, and then D_π , D_σ , D_ρ and D_ω are implied.

It is clear that when the exchanged momentum $(-s^2) \rightarrow \infty$, the obtained $V_{1(2,4)}$ does not converge to 0, which is caused by the derivative item in Lagrangians. To obtain the stable bound state, it is necessary to introduce a regulator function to suppress the contribution from high momentum. There is no general method to choose the regulator functions. In this work, we use the following simple propagator-type regulator function, namely,

$$F(s^2) = \frac{m_\Lambda^2}{s^2 - m_\Lambda^2}, \quad (2.26)$$

where m_Λ is an introduced cutoff parameter to characterize the regulator function. In this work, m_Λ is the only free parameter and will be determined by fitting bound state mass to the experimental data, which is found to be $m_\Lambda = 0.915$ GeV for $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$. In the limit $s^2 \rightarrow 0$, the heavy hadron is seen by the inter-mediator mesons as a point-like particle, and hence the regular function is normalized to 1. The cutoff value m_Λ is usually believed to be much larger than the typical energy scale $\sqrt{2\mu\epsilon} \sim 0.05$ GeV for $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and ~ 0.007 GeV for $P_\psi^N(4457)$, where $\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$ is the reduced mass of the two-hadron system and $\epsilon = (m_1 + m_2 - M)$ denotes the bound energy [17, 54]. Our determined cutoff value is consistent with this universal estimation. The obtained K_i for isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ are displayed graphically in Fig. 3. We have also tried another regulator function $\exp(s^2/m_\Lambda^2)$, and the obtained mass spectra and decay rates keep consistent with those under the propagator-type one used here.

2.3 Salpeter wave function for the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ pentaquark states

According to the spin-parity properties, and also considering the proper Lorentz structures, the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ Salpeter wave function formed by the $J^P = 1^-$ meson and $\frac{1}{2}^+$ baryon can be written as

$$\varphi_\alpha(P, q_\perp, r) = A_\alpha(x)u(P, r), \quad (2.27)$$

with

$$A_\alpha = (g_1 + g_2\not{x}) (\gamma_\alpha - \hat{P}_\alpha) + (g_3 + g_4\not{x}) x_\alpha, \quad (2.28)$$

where we introduce a spacelike dimensionless variable $x_\alpha = \frac{q_{\perp\alpha}}{|\vec{q}|}$ to simplify the expression; $u(P, r)$ represents the Dirac spinor with momentum P , and spin state r ; the radial wave function $g_i(|\vec{q}|)$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) just depends on $|\vec{q}|$ explicitly. It is clear to see that g_1 corresponds to the S -wave component, $g_{2(3)}$ corresponds to the P -wave components, and g_4 contributes to both the S and D partial waves (see ref. [41] for a detailed expression in terms of the spherical harmonics Y_l^m).

Inserting Eq. (2.27) into Eq. (2.12), the normalization behaves as

$$\int \frac{d^3q_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} 2w_1 \vartheta^{\alpha\beta} (\bar{u}_{r'} \bar{A}_\alpha \gamma_0 A_\beta u_r) = 2M \delta_{r'r}. \quad (2.29)$$

Eliminating the polarization states and expand A_α explicitly, we obtain the following normalization

$$\int \frac{d^3q_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} 2w_1 [2(g_1^2 + g_2^2) + o_0(g_4 - g_1)^2 + o_0(g_3 + g_2)^2] = 1$$

with $o_0 = m_1^2/w_1^2$.

For two-body bound states with $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$, the Salpeter wave function can be expressed as

$$\varphi_\alpha(P, q_\perp, r) = A_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 u^\beta(P, r), \quad (2.30)$$

where $u^\beta(P, r)$ is the Rarita-Schwinger spinor, and $r = \pm\frac{3}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}$ denotes the polarization state; and

$$A_{\alpha\beta}(x) = (h_1 + h_2\not{x}) g_{\alpha\beta} + (h_3 + h_4\not{x}) (\gamma_\alpha + \hat{P}_\alpha) x_\beta + i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\hat{P}x} (h_5 + h_6\not{x}) \gamma_5 + (h_7 + h_8\not{x}) x_\alpha x_\beta, \quad (2.31)$$

where the radial wave function $h_i(|\vec{q}|)$ just depends on $|\vec{q}|$ explicitly. Both the Salpeter wave functions constructed in Eq. (2.30) and Eq. (2.27) also fulfill the Lorentz condition $P^\alpha \varphi_\alpha = 0$.

For pentaquark states with $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$, the normalization is expressed as

$$-\int \frac{d^3q_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} 2w_1 \vartheta^{\alpha\beta} (\bar{u}_r^\nu \gamma_5 \bar{A}_{\alpha\nu} \gamma_0 A_{\beta\mu} \gamma_5 u_r^\mu) = 2M \delta_{r\bar{r}}, \quad (2.32)$$

which can be further expanded explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{d^3q_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2w_1}{3} [(o_0 + 2)(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h_3^2 + h_4^2) \\ & - o_0(2h_1h_7 + 2h_2h_8 + 2h_3h_8 - 2h_4h_7 - h_7^2 - h_8^2) \\ & - 2(1 - o_0)(h_2h_3 - h_1h_4) - 2(-h_1h_6 + h_2h_5 - h_3h_5 - h_4h_6 - h_5^2 - h_6^2)] = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where the following completeness relation of the Rarita-Schwinger spinor [55] has been used,

$$u^\alpha(P, r) \bar{u}^\beta(P, r) = (\not{P} + M) \left[-g^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{3} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta - \frac{P^\alpha \gamma^\beta - P^\beta \gamma^\alpha}{3M} + \frac{2P^\alpha P^\beta}{3M^2} \right]. \quad (2.34)$$

Inserting the Salpeter wave function Eq. (2.27) for $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ into the Salpeter equation Eq. (2.10), eliminating the spinor, and then calculating the possible different traces, we can obtain four coupled eigenvalue equations with the molecule mass M as the eigenvalue and the radial wave functions g_{1-4} as the eigen functions (see Refs. [28, 41, 42] for details). Solving the eigenvalue equations numerically, we obtain the corresponding mass spectra and numerical wave functions, which are also graphically displayed in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. The BSE for $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ pentaquark bound state can be solved similarly.

3 Strong decays of P_ψ^N with the BS wave function

In this section, we first present the relevant effective Lagrangian for P_ψ^N strong decays; then we give the decay amplitude by using the BS wave function combining with the effective Lagrangian; finally, the expressions of the partial decay widths are presented in terms of the relevant form factors.

3.1 Decay modes and involved Lagrangians

In this work, we interpret $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ as the molecular states $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ consisting of $\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c$ constituents, where the particular spin configuration are not assumed. We will calculate the following eight decay channels, $J/\psi p$, $\bar{D}^{*0} \Lambda_c^+$, $\eta_c p$, $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$, $D^- \Sigma_c^{++}$, $\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+$, $D^- \Sigma_c^{*++}$ and $\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^{*+}$. According to the final products, we categorize the main strong decay modes of P_ψ^N as three ones: a pseudoscalar meson and a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon ($P + B$), a vector meson and a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon ($V + B$), and a pseudoscalar meson and a spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ baryon ($P + B^*$).

For the ($V + B$) decay mode, the mainly decay channels are $J/\psi p$ and $\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c$. The $J/\psi p$ channel can be realized by a D or D^* exchange, while the $\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c$ channel can be realized by a π or a ρ exchange. The ($P + B$) decay mode mainly contains the $\eta_c p$, $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$ and $\bar{D} \Sigma_c$, where the last one consists of the $\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+$ and $D^- \Sigma_c^{++}$ two decay channels. For the

($P + B^*$) decay mode, the main strong decay channel is $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ which can be realized by a π or ρ exchange. The involved interactions for mesons are similar with ($P + B$) type, and the extra ones for baryons are $\Sigma_c\Sigma_c^*\pi$ with BB^*P type and $\Sigma_c\Sigma_c^*\rho$ with BB^*V type.

The interactions listed above can be represented by the corresponding effective Lagrangians under the hadronic level. The $J/\psi(\eta_c)p$ decay channels involve the interactions of the doubly heavy mesons and the heavy-light mesons. The heavy-light charmed mesons in S -wave can be represented by [50, 56, 57]

$$H_Q = \frac{1 + \not{\psi}}{2}(D^{*\alpha}\gamma_\alpha + iD\gamma_5), \quad (3.1)$$

where $D = (D^0, D^+, D_s^+)$ denotes the fields of the corresponding pseudoscalar charmed mesons, which behaves as a row vector in the light quark flavor space, and then D^* is implied. The anti-heavy-light meson doublet $H_{\bar{Q}}$ has been presented in Eq. (2.15).

For doubly heavy mesons, the heavy quark flavor symmetry does not hold any longer, while the heavy quark spin symmetry still holds. In the ground states, the charmonium forms a doublet consisting of a pseudoscalar η_c and a vector J/ψ , which can then be represented by [58]

$$R = \frac{1 + \not{\psi}}{2}(\psi^\alpha\gamma_\alpha + i\eta_c\gamma_5)\frac{1 - \not{\psi}}{2}, \quad (3.2)$$

where ψ^α and η_c denotes the fields of the corresponding mesons. Noticed that here all the hadron fields in above equations contain a extra factor of $\sqrt{M_H}$ with M_H the corresponding meson mass.

By assuming the invariance under independent rotations of the heavy quark spins, it is possible to write down the effective coupling between the S -wave charmonia and the heavy-light mesons as [59, 60]

$$L_{\bar{D}^{(*)}D^{(*)}R} = ig_R\text{Tr}(\bar{R}H_Q\gamma_\alpha\partial^\alpha H_{\bar{Q}} - \bar{R}\partial^\alpha H_Q\gamma_\alpha H_{\bar{Q}}) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (3.3)$$

which is invariant under independent heavy quark spin symmetry; the coupling constant g_R has a dimension of $(-\frac{3}{2})$. Consequently, we obtain the following effective Lagrangians describing the $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ coupling to J/ψ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}\psi^\dagger} = & + g_{D\bar{D}\psi}iD\partial_\alpha\bar{D}\psi^{\dagger\alpha} \\ & + g_{D\bar{D}^*\psi}\frac{1}{M_\psi}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha D\bar{D}_\beta^*\partial_\mu\psi_\nu^\dagger \\ & - g_{D^*\bar{D}\psi}\frac{1}{M_\psi}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha D_\beta^*\bar{D}\partial_\mu\psi_\nu^\dagger \\ & - g_{D^*\bar{D}^*\psi}i(\partial_\alpha D_\beta^*\bar{D}^{*\alpha}\psi^{\dagger\beta} + 2D_\alpha^*\partial_\beta\bar{D}^{*\alpha}\psi^{\dagger\beta} + D^{*\alpha}\bar{D}_\beta^*\partial_\alpha\psi^{\dagger\beta}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where the relevant coupling constants are related to a single coupling g_R , which is determined to be $g_R = \sqrt{M_\psi}/(2M_D f_\psi)$ by the VMD method with f_ψ denoting the J/ψ decay constant [59]. It is also convenient to express the coupling constants in terms of the $g_{D\bar{D}\psi}$

as

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{D\bar{D}\psi} &= \frac{2M_\psi}{f_\psi}, \\
g_{D\bar{D}^*\psi} &= \left(\frac{M_{\bar{D}^*}}{M_{\bar{D}}}\right)^{1/2} g_{D\bar{D}\psi}, \\
g_{D^*\bar{D}\psi} &= \left(\frac{M_{D^*}}{M_D}\right)^{1/2} g_{D\bar{D}\psi}, \\
g_{D^*\bar{D}^*\psi} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_{D^*}}{M_D}\right) g_{D\bar{D}\psi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The obtained lagrangians for coupling to η_c are

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}\eta_c^\dagger} &= + g_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c} i(\partial_\alpha D \bar{D}^{*\alpha}) \eta_c^\dagger \\
&\quad - g_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c} i(D^{*\alpha} \partial_\alpha \bar{D}) \eta_c^\dagger \\
&\quad - g_{D^*\bar{D}^*\eta_c} \frac{1}{M_{\eta_c}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha D_\beta^* \bar{D}_\mu^* \partial_\nu \eta_c^\dagger,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where the coupling constants behaves

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_{\eta_c} M_{\bar{D}^*}}{M_\psi M_{\bar{D}}}\right)^{1/2} g_{D\bar{D}\psi}, \\
g_{D^*\bar{D}\eta_c} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_{\eta_c} M_{D^*}}{M_\psi M_D}\right)^{1/2} g_{D\bar{D}\psi}, \\
g_{D^*\bar{D}^*\eta_c} &= \left(\frac{M_{\eta_c}}{M_\psi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{M_{D^*}}{M_D} g_{D\bar{D}\psi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

In the lagrangian involved the charmonia, we have already divided a meson mass to keep all the coupling constants dimensionless if necessary, and now the all the meson fields above are dimension 1.

The Lagrangians between anti-heavy-light $\bar{D}^{(*)}$ meson and light pions can be obtained by expanding the second term in Eq. (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\bar{D}^{(*)}\Sigma\bar{D}^{(*)}} &= + g_{\bar{D}\Sigma\bar{D}^*} i\bar{D}^\dagger \partial_\alpha \Sigma \bar{D}^{*\alpha} \\
&\quad - g_{\bar{D}^*\Sigma\bar{D}} i(\bar{D}^{*\alpha})^\dagger \partial_\alpha \Sigma \bar{D} \\
&\quad + g_{\bar{D}^*\Sigma\bar{D}^*} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha (\bar{D}_\beta^*)^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma \bar{D}_\nu^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where the coupling constants are determined within the heavy quark and chiral symmetry as

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\bar{D}\Sigma\bar{D}^*} &= (M_{\bar{D}} M_{\bar{D}^*})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2g}{f}, \\
g_{\bar{D}^*\Sigma\bar{D}} &= (M_{\bar{D}^*} M_{\bar{D}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2g}{f}, \\
g_{\bar{D}^*\Sigma\bar{D}^*} &= R_{\langle\bar{D}^{*\dagger}\bar{D}^*\rangle} \frac{2g}{f},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where the abbreviation $R_{\langle\bar{D}^{*\dagger}\bar{D}^*\rangle}$ denotes the ratio of arithmetic mean to geometric mean of the corresponding particle masses, namely

$$R_{\langle\bar{D}^{*\dagger}\bar{D}^*\rangle} = \frac{M_{\bar{D}^{*\dagger}} + M_{\bar{D}^*}}{2(M_{\bar{D}^{*\dagger}}M_{\bar{D}^*})^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Expanding the third and the fourth terms in Eq. (2.16), we obtain the Lagrangians between anti-heavy-light $\bar{D}^{(*)}$ meson and light vector mesons as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\bar{D}^{(*)}V\bar{D}^{(*)}} = & -g_{\bar{D}V\bar{D}}i\partial_\alpha\bar{D}^\dagger V^\alpha\bar{D} \\ & + g_{\bar{D}^*V\bar{D}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha\bar{D}_\beta^{*\dagger}\partial_\mu V_\nu\bar{D} \\ & - g_{\bar{D}V\bar{D}^*}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha\bar{D}^\dagger\partial_\beta V_\mu\bar{D}_\nu^* \\ & + g_{\bar{D}^*V\bar{D}^*}i(A_r\partial_\alpha\bar{D}_\beta^{*\dagger}V^\alpha\bar{D}^{*\beta} + \bar{D}_\alpha^{*\dagger}\partial_\beta V^\alpha\bar{D}^{*\beta} + \bar{D}_\alpha^{*\dagger}V_\beta\partial_\alpha\bar{D}^{*\beta}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where the coupling constants are determined under the heavy quark limit as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\bar{D}V\bar{D}} &= R_{\langle\bar{D}^\dagger\bar{D}\rangle}\sqrt{2}(\beta g_V), \\ g_{\bar{D}^*V\bar{D}} &= R_{\langle\bar{D}^{*\dagger}\bar{D}\rangle}\sqrt{8}(\lambda g_V), \\ g_{\bar{D}V\bar{D}^*} &= R_{\langle\bar{D}^\dagger\bar{D}^*\rangle}\sqrt{8}(\lambda g_V), \\ g_{\bar{D}^*V\bar{D}^*} &= M_{\langle\bar{D}^{*\dagger}\bar{D}^*\rangle}\sqrt{8}(\lambda g_V), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where we also introduce another abbreviation to denote the mean value for mass

$$M_{\langle AB\rangle} = \frac{2M_A M_B}{M_A + M_B}; \quad (3.13)$$

the coefficient A_r reads $A_r = \frac{1}{2}\beta/\lambda M_{\langle\bar{D}^{*\dagger}\bar{D}^*\rangle}$. Notice that under the flavor $SU(4)$ symmetry, the coefficient A_r would be 1 and here we obtain a small value of $A_r = 0.40$.

Expanding the first item in Eq. (2.20), we obtain the effective lagrangians for single heavy baryon and a light pseudoscalar meson as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{BB\Sigma} = & -g_{\bar{B}B\Sigma}\langle i\bar{B}\gamma_5\Sigma B\rangle \\ & + g_{BB^*\Sigma}\langle(\bar{B}\partial_\alpha\Sigma B^{*\alpha} + \bar{B}^{*\alpha}\partial_\alpha\Sigma B)\rangle \\ & - g_{\bar{B}^*B^*\Sigma}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\langle\partial_\beta\bar{B}_\nu^*\partial_\alpha\Sigma B_\mu^*\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle$ here denotes trace in the flavor space; the coupling constants are determined under the heavy quark limit as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\bar{B}B\Sigma} &= (M_{\bar{B}} + M_B)\frac{g_1}{f}, \\ g_{BB^*\Sigma} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\frac{g_1}{f}, \\ g_{\bar{B}^*B^*\Sigma} &= \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{M_{\langle\bar{B}^*B^*\rangle}}\frac{g_1}{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the expressions of $g_{\bar{B}B\Sigma}$ and $g_{\bar{B}^*B^*\Sigma}$ are dependent on the masses of the annihilated and created baryons. Also notice that in a specific Lagrangian, there exists an extra flavor factor since the trace in flavor space had not been explicitly completed.

The second and the third item in Eq. (2.20) show the effective lagrangians for the single heavy baryon and a light vector meson,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{BBV} = & -g_{\bar{B}BV} \langle \bar{B} \gamma_\alpha V^\alpha B \rangle \\
& -g_{\bar{B}B^*V} \langle i\bar{B} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 (\partial^\alpha V^\beta - \partial^\beta V^\alpha) B_\beta^* \rangle \\
& +g_{\bar{B}^*BV} \langle i\bar{B}_\beta^* \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 (\partial^\alpha V^\beta - \partial^\beta V^\alpha) B \rangle \\
& +g_{\bar{B}^*B^*V} \langle i\bar{B}_\alpha^* (\partial^\alpha V^\beta - \partial^\beta V^\alpha) B_\beta^* \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where the coupling constants are related to the chiral constants β_S and λ_S by

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\bar{B}BV} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} (M_{\bar{B}} + M_B) g_V \lambda_S, \\
g_{\bar{B}B^*V} &= g_{\bar{B}^*BV} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} g_V \lambda_S, \\
g_{\bar{B}^*B^*V} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g_V \lambda_S.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Notice here the coupling constants are general, and the dimensions are not consistent with each other. A specific coupling constant will also depend on the specific lagrangian form, the involved baryons' masses, and the flavor factors of the involved vector mesons. We will listed the specific lagrangians and dimensionless coupling constants involved when calculating the relavent strong decay amplitudes.

The single-heavy baryon in flavor anti-triplet can be incorporated in the traceless 3×3 matrix as

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_c^+ & \Xi_c^+ \\ -\Lambda_c^+ & 0 & \Xi_c^0 \\ -\Xi_c^+ & -\Xi_c^0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.17}$$

The effective lagrangian behaves [51, 53]

$$L_{\Lambda B} = g_4 \langle \bar{\Lambda} u_\alpha S^\alpha \rangle + \lambda_I \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} v_\alpha \langle \bar{\Lambda} F_{\mu\nu} S_\beta \rangle + \text{H.c.} \tag{3.18}$$

Expanding above equation we obtain the following Lagrangians

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\Lambda B} = & -g_{\Lambda\Sigma B} \langle i\bar{\Lambda} \Sigma \gamma_5 B + i\bar{B} \Sigma \gamma_5 \Lambda \rangle \\
& -g_{\Lambda\Sigma B^*} \langle \bar{\Lambda} \partial^\alpha \Sigma B_\alpha^* + \bar{B}_\alpha^* \partial^\alpha \Sigma \Lambda \rangle \\
& +g_{\Lambda VB} \langle \bar{\Lambda} V_\alpha \gamma^\alpha B + \bar{B} V_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \Lambda \rangle \\
& -g_{\Lambda VB^*} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \langle i\partial_\beta \bar{\Lambda} \partial_\alpha V_\nu B_\mu^* + i\partial_\beta \bar{B}_\mu^* \partial_\alpha V_\nu \Lambda \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where the four coupling constants behaves

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\Lambda\Sigma B} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(M_\Lambda + M_B)\frac{g_4}{f}, \\
g_{\Lambda\Sigma B^*} &= \frac{g_4}{f}, \\
g_{\Lambda VB} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}(M_\Lambda + M_B)(\lambda_I g_V), \\
g_{\Lambda VB^*} &= \sqrt{2}\frac{1}{M_{\langle\Lambda B\rangle}}(\lambda_I g_V).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

The three pentaquark states P_ψ^N are all found in the $J/\psi p$ channel and then the Lagrangians for $N\Sigma_c$ interactions are also needed to calculate this decay width. The nucleon $N = (p, n)^T$ forms a $SU(2)$ doublet of the isospin, and the three Σ_c baryons also form a triplet representation of the isospin. Considering the isospin symmetry, the effective Lagrangian between $N\Sigma_c D^{(*)}$ can be expressed as

$$L_{N\Sigma_c D^{(*)}} = g_{N\Sigma_c D} i\bar{N}\gamma_5 \Sigma_c (-i\sigma_2) \cdot D^\dagger + g_{N\Sigma_c D^*} \bar{N}\gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c (-i\sigma_2) \cdot D_\alpha^{*\dagger} + \text{H.c.}, \tag{3.21}$$

where σ_2 denotes the usual second Pauli matrix, and the $D^{(*)}$ here only denotes the doublet ($D^{(*)0}, D^{(*)+}$) which also forms a fundamental representation of the isospin, and Σ_c represents the 1-2 sector in the symmetric baryon field matrix B , namely,

$$\Sigma_c = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_c^{++} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^+ & \Sigma_c^0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.22}$$

Expanding above Lagrangian, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\bar{N}\Sigma_c D^{(*)}} &= -g_{N\Sigma_c D} i \left(\bar{p}\gamma_5 \Sigma_c^{++} D^{+\dagger} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{p}\gamma_5 \Sigma_c^+ D^{0\dagger} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{n}\gamma_5 \Sigma_c^+ D^{+\dagger} - \bar{n}\gamma_5 \Sigma_c^0 D^{0\dagger} \right) \\
&\quad - g_{N\Sigma_c D^*} \left(\bar{p}\gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c^{++} D_\alpha^{*+\dagger} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{p}\gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c^+ D_\alpha^{*0\dagger} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{n}\gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c^+ D_\alpha^{*+\dagger} - \bar{n}\gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c^0 D_\alpha^{*0\dagger} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

3.2 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow (V + B)$

P_ψ^N as the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecular state can strongly decay to a vector meson and a baryon by exchanging either a vector meson or a pseudo-scalar meson. We first calculate the $J/\psi p$ channel amplitude and then the similar channel $\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c$ will be given directly. $P_\psi^N[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]$ molecular state can decay to $J/\psi p$ by exchanging either a D or a D^* virtual meson, and the total amplitude is the sum of the two.




Fig. 2: Strong decay of $P_\psi^N [\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c]^+$ to the $J/\psi p$ by exchanging a virtual mediator D (left panel) and D^* (right panel). P , k_1 , k_2 , P_1 , P_2 denote the momenta of P_ψ^N , constituent meson, constituent baryon, the final J/ψ , and the final p respectively.

3.2.1 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ by D exchange

The effective Lagrangians responsible for the $D\bar{D}^*J/\psi$ and $\bar{p}\Sigma_c D$ interactions have been given before, which read

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{D\bar{D}^*\psi} &= +g_{D\bar{D}^*\psi} \frac{1}{M_\psi} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha D \bar{D}_\beta^* \partial_\mu \psi_\nu^\dagger, \\
 L_{\bar{p}\Sigma_c D} &= -g_{ND\Sigma_c} i \left(\bar{p} \gamma_5 \Sigma_c^{++} D^{+\dagger} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{p} \gamma_5 \Sigma_c^+ D^{0\dagger} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Given above Lagrangians, the amplitude for $P_\psi^N(4440) \rightarrow J/\psi p$ by exchanging one D can be expressed by the BS wave vertex as

$$\mathcal{A}_{11;1/2} = -i^3 g_{ND\Sigma_c} g_{D\bar{D}^*\psi} \bar{u}_2 \gamma_5 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D(k_3) \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}}{M_1} P_{1\mu} e_{1\nu}^* k_{3\alpha}, \tag{3.25}$$

where u_2 is short for $u_{(r_2)}(P_2)$ with r_2 representing the proton spin state; e_1^* is short for $e_{(r_1)}^*(P_1)$ representing the polarization vector of the final J/ψ with P_1 denoting the J/ψ momentum and $r_1 = 0, \pm 1$ representing the 3 possible polarization states, which fulfills the Lorentz condition $e_1^\alpha P_{1\alpha} = 0$. The momentum of the exchanged virtual charmed meson is denoted as $k_3 = (k_1 - P_1)$. We will use M_1 and M_2 to denote the masses of the final meson and baryon (here J/ψ and proton) respectively. To calculate this decay amplitude, we strip off the triangle amplitude involved the integral over k as

$$T_{11}^\nu u(P, r) = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta P_1 \nu}}{M_1} \gamma_5 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D(k_3) k_{1\alpha}. \tag{3.26}$$

Then the decay amplitude by exchanging a D can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{11;1/2} = G_{11} e_1^{*\nu} \bar{u}_2 T_{11\nu} u(P, r). \tag{3.27}$$

where we also define a dimensionless constant

$$G_{11} = g_{ND\Sigma_c} g_D \bar{D}^* \psi \quad (3.28)$$

to denote the strong interaction strength for $\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow J/\psi p$ scattering by one D exchange.

Now the main task is to calculate the above triangle integral. To express the amplitude by the Salpeter wave functions, we first perform a contour integral over k_P . First we split off the time component of all the items involved k ,

$$D(k_1) = i \frac{1}{2w_1} \left(\frac{1}{k_P - \zeta_1^+ + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{k_P - \zeta_1^- - i\epsilon} \right), \quad (3.29)$$

$$S(k_2) = (-i) \left(\frac{\Lambda^+(k_\perp)}{k_P - \zeta_2^+ - i\epsilon} + \frac{\Lambda^-(k_\perp)}{k_P - \zeta_2^- + i\epsilon} \right), \quad (3.30)$$

$$D(k_3) = i \frac{1}{2w_3} \left(\frac{1}{k_P - \zeta_3^+ + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{k_P - \zeta_3^- - i\epsilon} \right), \quad (3.31)$$

where the six poles are defined as

$$\zeta_1^\pm = -\alpha_1 M \pm w_1, \quad \zeta_2^\pm = +\alpha_2 M \mp w_2, \quad \zeta_3^\pm = E_1 - \alpha_1 M \pm w_3. \quad (3.32)$$

Using above expression we obtain the following result,

$$\int \frac{dk_P}{2\pi} [S(k_2) \Gamma_\gamma(k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D(k_3) k_{1\alpha} = \frac{1}{2w_3} (a_{1\alpha} \Lambda^+ + a_{2\alpha} \Lambda^-) \gamma_0 \varphi_\beta. \quad (3.33)$$

where the two variables a_1 and a_2 behave

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1\alpha} &= c_1 k_{11\alpha} + c_3 k_{13\alpha} + c_5 k_{15\alpha}, \\ a_{2\alpha} &= c_2 k_{12\alpha} + c_4 k_{14\alpha} + c_6 k_{16\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

where $k_{1i} = k_1(k_P = k_{P_i})$ with $(i = 1, \dots, 6)$, and k_{P_i} s are defined as

$$k_{P1} = \zeta_1^+, \quad k_{P2} = \zeta_1^-, \quad k_{P3} = \zeta_2^+, \quad k_{P4} = \zeta_2^-, \quad k_{P5} = \zeta_3^+, \quad k_{P6} = \zeta_3^-. \quad (3.35)$$

Here we need to express $k_1 = \alpha_1 P + k$. The coefficients c_i s ($i = 1, \dots, 6$) are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1(2)} &= \mp \frac{1}{E_1 \mp (w_1 + w_3)}, \\ c_{3(4)} &= \mp \frac{1}{E_2 \mp (w_2 + w_3)}, \\ c_{5(6)} &= \pm \frac{M \mp (w_1 + w_2)}{[E_1 \pm (w_1 + w_3)][E_2 \mp (w_2 + w_3)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Inserting above result of the contour integral, we can express $T_1^\nu u(P, r)$ by the Salpeter

wave function as

$$T_{11}^\nu u(P, r) = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta P_1\nu}}{M_1} \gamma_5 \int \frac{dk_\perp^3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (a_{1\alpha}\Lambda^+ + a_{2\alpha}\Lambda^-) \gamma_0 \varphi_\beta(k_\perp). \quad (3.37)$$

Now T_1 has been expressed by the integral over the three-dimensional Salpeter wave function φ_β . Inserting the Salpeter wave functions Eq. (2.27) for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ into Eq. (3.37) respectively, we can further simplified the amplitude $T_{11\alpha}$ in terms of two form factors s_{11} and s_{12} ,

$$T_{11\alpha;1/2} = (s_{11}\gamma_\alpha + s_{12}\hat{P}_\alpha). \quad (3.38)$$

where the following identities of the Levi-Civita symbol are used,

$$\gamma_\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = i(\gamma^\beta \gamma^\gamma \gamma^\delta - \gamma^\delta g^{\beta\gamma} + \gamma^\gamma g^{\beta\delta} - \gamma^\beta g^{\gamma\delta}) \gamma^5. \quad (3.39)$$

The amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{11;1/2}$ then behaves as

$$\mathcal{A}_{11;1/2} = G_{11} e_1^{*\alpha} \bar{u}_2 \left(s_{11}^1 \gamma_\alpha + s_{12}^1 \hat{P}_\alpha \right) u. \quad (3.40)$$

Here we introduce a symbol $s_{m(o)}^n$ to represent the strong decay form factor, where the first subscript $m(1, \dots, 8)$ distinguishes the eight decay channels, namely, $J/\psi p$, $\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$, $\eta_c p$, $\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$, $D^-\Sigma_c^{++}$, $\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+$, $D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}$ and $\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^{*+}$, respectively; the superscript n is used to denote the different exchanged particle, 1 for $D(\pi)$, 2 for $D^*(\rho)$, and 4 for ω exchange; the third one o is used when the possible form factor number is more than one.

For $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ to $J/\psi p$ channel by D exchange, the calculation process is quite similar and the only difference is to make a replacement

$$T_{11}^\alpha u(P, r) \rightarrow T_{11}^{\alpha\beta} u_\beta(P, r). \quad (3.41)$$

Namely, the decay amplitude is expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{11;3/2} = G_{11} e_1^{*\alpha} \bar{u}_2 T_{11\alpha\beta} u^\beta(P, r), \quad (3.42)$$

where the triangle amplitude is expressed by the integral of the Salpeter wave function as

$$T_{11}^{\nu\gamma} u_\gamma(P, r) = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta P_1\nu}}{M_1} \gamma_5 \int \frac{dk_\perp^3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (a_{1\alpha}\Lambda^+ + a_{2\alpha}\Lambda^-) \gamma_0 A_{\beta\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r). \quad (3.43)$$

After inserting the BS wave function Eq. (2.30) into above expression, we further express $T_{11\alpha\beta}$ as the form factors,

$$T_{11\alpha\beta} = t_{11}^1 i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\hat{P}\hat{P}_1} + \left(t_{12}^1 g_{\alpha\beta} + t_{13}^1 \gamma_\alpha \hat{P}_{1\beta} + t_{14}^1 \hat{P}_\alpha \hat{P}_{1\beta} \right) \gamma_5, \quad (3.44)$$

where we used $t_{m(o)}^n$ to denote the form factor for $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ decay with m, n, o representing the same meanings as those in $s_{m(o)}^n$ for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$.

In the appendix A we collect the specific expressions of the two form factors in terms of the Salpeter wave functions g_1 , g_2 , g_3 and g_4 , and the numerical values can then be calculated by performing the three-dimensional integral over k_\perp numerically.

3.2.2 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ by D^* exchange

The effective Lagrangian responsible for the $J/\psi D^* \bar{D}^*$ interaction is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{D^* \bar{D}^* \psi} &= -g_{D^* \bar{D}^* \psi} i (\partial_\alpha D_\beta^* \bar{D}^{*\alpha} \psi^{\dagger\beta} + 2D_\alpha^* \partial_\beta \bar{D}^{*\alpha} \psi^{\dagger\beta} + D^{*\alpha} \bar{D}_\beta^* \partial_\alpha \psi^{\dagger\beta}), \\ L_{\bar{p} \Sigma_c D^*} &= -g_{N \Sigma_c D^*} \left(\bar{p} \gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c^{++} D_\alpha^{*\dagger} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{p} \gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c^+ D_\alpha^{*0\dagger} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

The invariant amplitude for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ by exchanging one D^* can be expressed by the BS wave vertex as

$$\mathcal{A}_{12;1/2} = G_{12} \bar{u}_2 \gamma_\nu \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma_\gamma(k, r) D^{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D^{\mu\nu}(k_3) e_1^{*\alpha} O_{\alpha\beta\mu\rho}(k_1 + k_3)^\rho, \quad (3.46)$$

where the propagator of the exchanged D^* meson behaves as

$$D_{\mu\nu}(k_3) = i \frac{-g_{\mu\nu} + k_{3\mu} k_{3\nu} / m_3^2}{k_3^2 - m_3^2 + i\epsilon} \quad (3.47)$$

with the propagator mass m_3 is M_{D^*} ; and

$$O_{\alpha\beta\mu\rho} \equiv - \left(g_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \iota_2 g_{\beta\mu} g_{\alpha\rho} + \iota_3 g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\rho} \right), \quad (3.48)$$

where we introduced $\iota_{2(3)}$ for later convenience, and obviously here $\iota_2 = 2$ and $\iota_3 = 1$. Also as before we define the dimensionless constant

$$G_{12} = g_{N D^* \Sigma_c} g_{D^* \bar{D}^* \psi} \quad (3.49)$$

to denote the strong interaction strength for $\bar{D}^{*-} \Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow J/\psi p$ scattering by one D^* exchange. Again we can express the decay amplitude as

$$\mathcal{A}_{12;1/2} = G_{12} (e_1^\alpha)^* \bar{u}_2 T_{3\alpha} u(P, r),$$

where we have stripped off the triangle integral over k as before by defining

$$T_{12\alpha} u(P, r) = O_{\alpha\beta\mu\rho} \gamma_\nu \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma_\gamma(k, r) D^{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D^{\mu\nu}(k_3) (k_1 + k_3)^\rho. \quad (3.50)$$

Now we perform the contour integral over k_P as usual and then obtain

$$T_{12\alpha} u(P, r) = O_{\alpha\beta\mu\rho} \gamma_\nu \int \frac{d^3 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (a_3^{\mu\nu\rho} \Lambda^+ + a_4^{\mu\nu\rho} \Lambda^-) \gamma_0 \varphi^\beta, \quad (3.51)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{3\mu\nu\rho} &= \sum_{i=1,3,5} c_i d_{\mu\nu}(k_{3i})(k_{1i} + k_{3i}), \\ a_{4\mu\nu\rho} &= \sum_{i=2,4,6} c_i d_{\mu\nu}(k_{3i})(k_{1i} + k_{3i}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

where we used the notations

$$d_{\mu\nu}(k_{3i}) = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{3i\mu}k_{3i\nu}}{m_3^2}, \quad (3.53)$$

$$k_{3i} = k_{1i} - P_1. \quad (3.54)$$

Notice that contribution of the momentum part in $d_{\mu\nu}$ will be suppressed when the exchanged particle is heavy. Now $T_{12\alpha}$ has been expressed by the Salpeter wave function. After performing the three-dimensional integral numerically, we obtain

$$T_{12\alpha} = (s_{11}^2 \gamma_\alpha + s_{12}^2 \hat{P}_\alpha). \quad (3.55)$$

For $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ by exchanging D^* meson, the decay amplitude is similar with that for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and the only difference is to make replacement

$$T_{12\alpha} u(P, r) \rightarrow T_{12\alpha\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r); \quad (3.56)$$

and then replace the Salpeter wave function of $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ to $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$, namely,

$$\varphi_\alpha = A_\alpha u(P, r) \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha = A_{\alpha\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r) \quad (3.57)$$

After performing the numerical integration, we express $T_{12\alpha\beta}$ by the form factors as

$$T_{12\alpha\beta} = t_{11}^2 i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\hat{P}\hat{P}_1} + \left(t_{12}^2 g_{\alpha\beta} + t_{13}^2 \gamma_\alpha \hat{P}_{1\beta} + t_{14}^2 \hat{P}_\alpha \hat{P}_{1\beta} \right) \gamma_5. \quad (3.58)$$

Combing the two amplitudes from D and D^* mediators together, we obtain the full invariant amplitude for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ decay by two form factors,

$$\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow J/\psi p] = \mathcal{A}_{11} + \mathcal{A}_{12} = e_1^{*\alpha} \bar{u}_2 \left(s_{11} \gamma_\alpha + s_{12} \hat{P}_\alpha \right) u. \quad (3.59)$$

where s_{11} and s_{12} are related to the corresponding strong interaction constants and are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11} &= G_{11} s_{11}^1 + G_{12} s_{11}^2, \\ s_{12} &= G_{11} s_{12}^1 + G_{12} s_{12}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

The full amplitude for $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ is expressed by three form factors,

$$\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow J/\psi p] = e_1^{*\alpha} \bar{u}_2 \left(i t_{11} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\hat{P}\hat{P}_1} + t_{12} g_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 + t_{13} \hat{P}_{1\beta} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 + t_{14} \hat{P}_\alpha \hat{P}_{1\beta} \gamma_5 \right) u^\beta, \quad (3.61)$$

where t_{1i} ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) is related to the coupling constants by

$$t_{1i} = G_{11}t_{1i}^1 + G_{12}t_{1i}^2. \quad (3.62)$$

In above equations, the specific expressions of s_{11}^2 and s_{12}^2 can be obtained by inserting the Salpeter wave functions and performing the integral numerically. The specific expressions are presented in the appendix A.

3.2.3 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$

The decay channel for $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ is quite similar with that of $P_\psi^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$. The only difference is the final vector meson J/ψ replaced by \bar{D}^{*0} , the final proton baryon replaced by the Λ_c^+ baryon, the propagator D and D^* replaced by the π and ρ in the pseudoscalar and vector exchange respectively. Then we can obtain the amplitude directly from the $J/\psi p$ channel.

From Eq. (3.8) and Eq. (3.19) we obtain the relevant Lagrangians with π exchange as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\pi} &= +g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\pi} \frac{1}{M_{\bar{D}^{*0}}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha \pi^+ \bar{D}_\beta^{*-} \partial_\mu \bar{D}_\nu^{*0\dagger}, \\ L_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} &= +g_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} i\bar{\Lambda}_c^+ \gamma_5 \pi^- \Sigma_c^{++}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

where we have divided a $M_{\bar{D}^{*0}}$ in the first lagrangian to keep the coupling constant dimensionless; and the coupling constants now behaves

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\pi} &= M_{\bar{D}^{*0}} \frac{2g}{f}, \\ g_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (M_{\Lambda_c^+} + M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}) \frac{g_4}{f}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

For the ρ exchange, the relevant Lagrangians have been given in Eq. (3.11) and Eq. (3.19), which can be further expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\rho} &= g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\rho} i(\partial^\alpha \rho^{+\beta} \bar{D}_\alpha^{*-} \bar{D}_\beta^{*0\dagger} + \rho^{+\alpha} \partial^\beta \bar{D}_\alpha^{*-} \bar{D}_\beta^{*0\dagger} + A_r \rho^{+\alpha} \bar{D}^{*-\beta} \partial_\alpha \bar{D}_\beta^{*0\dagger}), \\ L_{\bar{\Lambda}_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} &= -g_{\bar{\Lambda}_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} \bar{\Lambda}_c^+ \rho_\alpha \gamma^\alpha \Sigma_c^{++}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

where the two coupling constants read

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\rho} &= R_{(\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-})} \sqrt{8}(\lambda g_V), \\ g_{\bar{\Lambda}_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} (M_{\bar{\Lambda}_c^+} + M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}) (\lambda_I g_V). \end{aligned} \quad (3.66)$$

In this work we calculate all the decay widths under the isospin symmetry, then the contributions from other Lagrangians, such as $L_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}\pi}$ and $L_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^+\pi}$, will be induced into the final isospin factors.

Comparing the above Lagrangians with the ones in $J/\psi p$ channel, we find the Lagrangians involved in the two decay modes share the same structure, and then we can

directly express the strong decay amplitude for P_ψ^N to $\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ by the form factors as

$$\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+] = e_1^{*\alpha}\bar{u}_2 \left(s_{21}\gamma_\alpha + s_{22}\hat{P}_\alpha \right) u, \quad (3.67)$$

$$\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+] = e_1^{*\alpha}\bar{u}_2 \left(t_{21}i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\hat{P}\hat{P}_1} + (t_{22}g_{\alpha\beta} + t_{23}\gamma_\alpha\hat{P}_{1\beta} + t_{24}\hat{P}_\alpha\hat{P}_{1\beta})\gamma_5 \right) u, \quad (3.68)$$

where the form factors s_{2i} ($i = 1, 2$) and t_{2j} ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are defined as

$$s_{2i} = G_{21}s_{2i}^1 + G_{22}s_{2i}^2, \quad (3.69)$$

$$t_{2j} = G_{21}t_{2j}^1 + G_{22}t_{2j}^2, \quad (3.70)$$

where we define two dimensionless constants

$$G_{21} = g_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\pi}g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\pi},$$

$$G_{22} = g_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\rho}g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\rho}$$

to represent the strong interaction strength for $\bar{D}^{*-}\Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ scattering by one pion and one ρ exchange respectively.

The form factors s_{2i}^1 , s_{2i}^2 , t_{2j}^1 , and t_{2j}^2 share exactly the same expressions with s_{1i}^1 , s_{1i}^2 , t_{1j}^1 , and t_{1j}^2 of $P_\psi^N \rightarrow J/\psi p$ decays, respectively, while the only difference is to change the masses of m_3 , M_1 , and M_2 from $M_D(M_{D^*})$, M_ψ , and M_p to $M_\pi(M_\rho)$, $M_{\bar{D}^{*0}}$, and $M_{\Lambda_c^+}$, respectively, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2i}^1 &= s_{1i}^1[m_3 \rightarrow M_\pi, M_1 \rightarrow M_{\bar{D}^{*0}}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Lambda_c^+}], \\ t_{2j}^1 &= t_{1j}^1[m_3 \rightarrow M_\pi, M_1 \rightarrow M_{\bar{D}^{*0}}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Lambda_c^+}], \\ s_{2i}^2 &= s_{1i}^2[M_1 \rightarrow M_{\bar{D}^*}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Lambda_c^+}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\rho, \iota_2 \rightarrow 1, \iota_3 \rightarrow A_r], \\ t_{2j}^2 &= t_{1j}^2[M_1 \rightarrow M_{\bar{D}^*}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Lambda_c^+}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\rho, \iota_2 \rightarrow 1, \iota_3 \rightarrow A_r], \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

where s_{2i}^1 ($i = 1, 2$) and t_{2j}^1 ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) represent the contribution from pion exchange, while s_{2i}^2 and t_{2j}^2 represent the contribution from ρ exchange.

3.3 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow (P + B)$

For the decay mode of P_ψ^N to a pseudoscalar meson and a baryon, namely, the $(P + B)$ decay mode, the main decay channels are $\eta_c p$, $\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$, and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$. The $\eta_c p$ channel can be realized by a D or D^* exchange with P -wave barrier, while last two can be realized by the π or ρ exchange.

3.3.1 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$ by D exchange

Different from the $P_\psi^N(4312)^+[\bar{D}\Sigma_c]$ which can only decay to $\eta_c p$ by exchanging a D^* , $P_\psi^N[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]$ can decay to $\eta_c p$ through both the D and D^* mode. From Eq. (3.6), the involved effective Lagrangians for $D\bar{D}^*\eta_c$ interaction reads

$$L_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c} = -ig_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c}D\bar{D}^{*\alpha}\partial_\alpha\eta_c^\dagger, \quad (3.72)$$

and the lagrangian for $\bar{p}\Sigma_c D$ interaction has been given in Eq. (3.24).

The corresponding Feynman diagram is similar with that for the decay to $J/\psi p$ with D^* exchange. The decay amplitude for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$ behaves as

$$i\mathcal{A}_{31;1/2} = i\bar{u}_2(-ig_{ND\Sigma_c})\gamma_5 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2)\Gamma^\gamma(k, r)D_{\beta\gamma}(k_1)]D(k_3)(-ig_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c})(iP_1^\beta). \quad (3.73)$$

As usual, it is convenient to strip off the part involved the integral over k as

$$T_{31}u(P, r) = (\gamma_5 P_1^\beta) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2)\Gamma^\gamma(k, r)D_{\beta\gamma}(k_1)]D(k_3).$$

Performing the contour integral over k_P , we can express T_3 by the three-dimensional Salpeter wave function

$$T_{31}u(P, r) = (\gamma_5 P_1^\beta) \int \frac{d^3k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (b_1\Lambda^+ + b_2\Lambda^-) \gamma_0\varphi_\beta, \quad (3.74)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= c_1 + c_3 + c_5, \\ b_2 &= c_2 + c_4 + c_6. \end{aligned} \quad (3.75)$$

Inserting the Salpeter wave function Eq. (2.27) of $P_{\psi 1/2}$, we obtain T_{31} expressed by one form factor,

$$T_{31} = s_{31}\gamma_5. \quad (3.76)$$

Finally, we obtain the amplitude for decay to $\eta_c p$ by form factor s_3^1 with a simple form

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p(D)] = G_{31}\bar{u}_2 s_3^1 \gamma_5 u(P, r), \quad (3.77)$$

where we define

$$G_{31} = g_{ND\Sigma_c} g_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c}$$

to denote the strong interaction strength for $\bar{D}^{*-}\Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow \eta_c p$ by one D exchange.

For $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$ by D exchange, the decay amplitude is expressed as

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p(D)] = G_{31}\bar{u}_2 T_{31\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r). \quad (3.78)$$

where

$$T_{31\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r) = (\gamma_5 P_1^\beta) \int \frac{d^3k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (b_1\Lambda^+ + b_2\Lambda^-) \gamma_0 A_{\beta\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r). \quad (3.79)$$

Inserting the Salpeter wave function and calculating the three-dimensional integral, we

obtain

$$T_{31\gamma} = t_3^1 \hat{P}_{1\gamma}. \quad (3.80)$$

3.3.2 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$ by D^* exchange

The effective Lagrangian responsible for $D^* \bar{D}^* \eta_c$ interaction behaves as

$$L_{D^* \bar{D}^* \eta_c^\dagger} = -g_{D^* \bar{D}^* \eta_c} \frac{1}{M_{\eta_c}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha D_\beta^* \bar{D}_\mu^* \partial_\nu \eta_c^\dagger, \quad (3.81)$$

while the lagrangians for $\bar{p} \Sigma_c^{++} D^*$ has been given in Eq. (3.24). The decay amplitude is expressed as

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p(D^*)] = -iG_{32} \bar{u}_2 \gamma^\nu \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\beta\gamma}(k_1)] D_{\mu\nu}(k_3) \frac{\epsilon^{k_3 \beta \mu P_1}}{M_1}, \quad (3.82)$$

where define the

$$G_{32} = g_{ND^* \Sigma_c} g_{D^* \bar{D}^* \eta_c} \quad (3.83)$$

to denote the strong interaction strength for $\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow \eta_c p$ by one D^* exchange.

This amplitude can be simplified as

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2} \rightarrow \eta_c p(D^*)] = G_{32} \bar{u}(P_2, r_2) T_{32} u(P, r) \quad (3.84)$$

by stripping off the integral over k

$$T_{32} u = -i \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \gamma^\nu [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\beta\gamma}(k_1)] D_{\mu\nu}(k_3) \frac{\epsilon^{k_3 \beta \mu P_1}}{M_1}. \quad (3.85)$$

First notice the contraction with Levi-Civita symbol forces momentum part of the numerator in propagator $D_{\mu\nu}$ to be zero, namely,

$$d_{\mu\nu}(k_3) = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{3\mu} k_{3\nu}}{m_3^2} \rightarrow (-g_{\mu\nu}), \quad (3.86)$$

Then above expression is further simplified as

$$T_{32} u = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu P_1}}{M_1} \gamma_\mu \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\beta\gamma}(k_1)] D(k_3) k_{1\alpha}. \quad (3.87)$$

Now we perform the contour integral as usual over k_P to express T by the Salpeter wave function

$$T_{32} u(P, r) = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu P_1}}{M_1} \gamma_\mu \int \frac{d^3 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (a_{1\alpha} \Lambda^+ + a_{2\alpha} \Lambda^-) \gamma_0 \varphi_\beta. \quad (3.88)$$

where the expressions for $a_{1\alpha}$ and $a_{2\alpha}$ have been presented in Eq. (3.34); the Salpeter wave

function behaves $\varphi_\beta[P_{\psi_{1/2}}^N] = A_\beta u(P, r)$. Inserting Eq.(2.28) and then performing the three-dimensional integral, we can express T_{32} by one form factor as

$$T_{32} = s_3^2 \gamma_5. \quad (3.89)$$

For $P_{\psi_{3/2}}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$, the decay amplitude is quite similar, and we just need to make a replacement

$$T_{32} u(P, r) \rightarrow T_{32\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r). \quad (3.90)$$

Namely,

$$T_{32\gamma} u^\gamma = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu P_1}}{M_1} \gamma_\mu \int \frac{d^3 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (a_{1\alpha} \Lambda^+ + a_{2\alpha} \Lambda^-) \gamma_0 A_{\beta\gamma} u^\gamma, \quad (3.91)$$

where we have inserted the Salpeter wave function $\varphi_\beta[P_{\psi_{3/2}}^N] = A_{\beta\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r)$. Performing this integral, we obtain

$$T_{32\gamma} = t_3^2 \hat{P}_{1\gamma}. \quad (3.92)$$

Combining the amplitudes for D and D^* exchange, we finally obtain

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi_{1/2}}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p] = s_3 \bar{u}_2 \gamma_5 u(P, r), \quad (3.93)$$

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi_{3/2}}^N \rightarrow \eta_c p] = t_3 \bar{u}_2 \left(\hat{P}_{1\alpha} \right) u^\alpha(P, r), \quad (3.94)$$

where the form factors s_3 and t_3 behave

$$\begin{aligned} s_3 &= G_{31} s_3^1 + G_{32} s_3^2, \\ t_3 &= G_{31} t_3^1 + G_{32} t_3^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.95)$$

3.3.3 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$

The $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$ decay channel is almost the same compared with the $\eta_c p$ channel, while the only differences are η_c replaced by \bar{D}^0 , p replaced by Λ_c^+ , and the propagators D and D^* replaced by the π and ρ in the pseudoscalar and vector exchange respectively. From the Lagrangians in Eq.(3.8) and Eq.(3.11), we can rewrite the relevant effective Lagrangian for $\bar{D}\pi\bar{D}^*$ and $\bar{D}\rho D^*$ interactions as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\bar{D}^0 \bar{D}^* - \pi} &= -g_{\bar{D}^0 \bar{D}^* - \pi} i \partial_\alpha \bar{D}^{0\dagger} \pi \bar{D}^{*-\alpha}, \\ L_{\bar{D}^0 \bar{D}^* - \rho} &= +g_{\bar{D}^0 \bar{D}^* - \rho} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}}{M_{\bar{D}^0}} \partial_\alpha \rho_\beta \bar{D}_\mu^{*-} \partial_\nu \bar{D}^{0\dagger}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.96)$$

where the coupling constants behave

$$g_{\bar{D}^0 \bar{D}^* - \pi} = (M_{\bar{D}^0} M_{\bar{D}^* -})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2g}{f},$$

$$g_{\bar{D}^0 \bar{D}^* - \rho} = R_{(\bar{D}^0 \bar{D}^* -)} M_{\bar{D}^0} \sqrt{8} (\lambda g_V).$$

The lagrangians for the baryon sector, namely, $\Lambda_c^+ \pi(\rho) \Sigma_c^{++}$ have been given in Eq. (3.63) and Eq. (3.65). Also the contribution from other similar Lagrangians will be induced into the isospin factor. Comparing the above Lagrangians with those of the $\eta_c p$ channel, we can express the the amplitude for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ to $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$ as

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+] = s_4 \bar{u}_2 (\gamma_5) u(P, r), \quad (3.97)$$

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+] = t_4 \bar{u}_2 \hat{P}_{1\alpha} u^\alpha(P, r), \quad (3.98)$$

where the two form factors are expressed as

$$s_4 = G_{41} s_4^1 + G_{42} s_4^2, \quad (3.99)$$

$$t_4 = G_{41} t_4^1 + G_{42} t_4^2,$$

and we define two dimensionless constants

$$G_{41} = g_{\bar{D} \Sigma \bar{D}^*} g_{\Lambda_c^+ \Sigma_c^{++} \pi},$$

$$G_{42} = g_{\bar{D}^0 \rho \bar{D}^* -} g_{\Lambda_c^+ \rho \Sigma_c^{++}}$$

to denote the strong interaction strength for $\bar{D}^* - \Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$ scattering by one π and one ρ exchange respectively.

The form factors s_4^1 and t_4^1 denote the contribution from pion exchange, while s_4^2 and t_4^2 represent the contribution from ρ exchange for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ decays, respectively, which be obtained from the results of $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \eta_c p$ channel by making the following replacements,

$$s_4^1 = s_3^1 [M_1 = M_{\bar{D}^0}, M_2 = M_{\Lambda_c^+}, m_3 = M_\pi],$$

$$t_4^1 = t_3^1 [M_1 = M_{\bar{D}^0}, M_2 = M_{\Lambda_c^+}, m_3 = M_\pi], \quad (3.100)$$

$$s_4^2 = s_3^2 [M_1 = \bar{D}, M_2 = M_{\Lambda_c}, m_3 = M_\rho],$$

$$t_4^2 = t_3^2 [M_1 = \bar{D}, M_2 = M_{\Sigma_c}, m_3 = M_\rho].$$

3.3.4 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D} \Sigma_c$

The P_ψ^N can decay to both $D^- \Sigma_c^{++}$ and $\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+$ channels. We will use $\bar{D} \Sigma_c$ to denote the sum of these two channels. We first consider the $D^- \Sigma_c^{++}$ channel. Besides the contributions from the π and ρ exchange, the ω exchange can also contribute in this channel compared

with $\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$ channel. The involved Lagrangian for meson sector read

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{D^-D^{*-}\pi} &= +g_{D^-D^{*-}\pi}i\partial_\alpha D^{-\dagger}\pi\bar{D}^{*-\alpha}, \\
L_{D^-D^{*-}\rho} &= -g_{D^-D^{*-}\rho}\frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}}{M_{D^-}}\partial_\alpha\rho_\beta D_\mu^{*-}\partial_\nu D^{-\dagger}, \\
L_{D^-D^{*-}\omega} &= +g_{D^-D^{*-}\omega}\frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}}{M_{D^-}}\partial_\alpha\omega_\beta D_\mu^{*-}\partial_\nu D^{-\dagger},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.101}$$

where the coupling constants behaves

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{D^-D^{*-}\pi} &= (M_{D^-}M_{D^{*-}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{f}, \\
g_{D^-D^{*-}\rho} &= R_{\langle D^-D^{*-} \rangle}M_{D^-}2(\lambda g_V), \\
g_{D^-D^{*-}\omega} &= g_{D^-D^{*-}\rho}.
\end{aligned}$$

The involved Lagrangian for baryon sector read

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\bar{\Sigma}_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} &= -g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi}i\bar{\Sigma}_c^{++}\gamma_5\pi\Sigma_c^{++}, \\
L_{\bar{\Sigma}_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} &= -g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho}\bar{\Sigma}_c^{++}\gamma_\alpha\rho^\alpha\Sigma_c^{++}, \\
L_{\bar{\Sigma}_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega} &= -g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega}\bar{\Sigma}_c^{++}\gamma_\alpha\omega^\alpha\Sigma_c^{++},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.102}$$

where the coupling constants read

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(M_{\Sigma_c^{++}} + M_{\Sigma_c^{++}})\frac{g_1}{f}, \\
g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} &= \frac{1}{3}(M_{\Sigma_c^{++}} + M_{\Sigma_c^{++}})g_V\lambda_S, \\
g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.103}$$

Again the contribution from other similar Lagrangian will be induced into the isospin factor. From isospin analysis, the isospin factor behaves $C_5 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$ for π and ρ exchange, and for the ω exchange the isospin factor is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$. It is clear to see from above Lagrangian that the ρ and ω would make destructive interference in this decay.

Compared with the $\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$ channel, the difference in $D^-\Sigma_c^{++}$ channels are the replacements of Λ_c^+ by Σ_c^{++} for π and ρ exchanges. Then the amplitude for $P_\psi^N \rightarrow D^-\Sigma_c^{++}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow D^-\Sigma_c^{++}] &= C_5 s_5 \bar{u}_2(\gamma_5)u(P, r), \\
i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow D^-\Sigma_c^{++}] &= C_5 t_5 \bar{u}_2 \hat{P}_{1\alpha} u^\alpha(P, r),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.104}$$

where the two form factors are

$$\begin{aligned}
s_5 &= G_{51}s_5^1 + G_{52}s_5^2 - \frac{1}{2}G_{54}s_5^4, \\
t_5 &= G_{51}t_5^1 + G_{52}t_5^2 - \frac{1}{2}G_{54}t_5^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.105}$$

where $\frac{1}{2}$ comes from the relative isospin factor aforementioned. As usual we also define three dimensionless constants

$$\begin{aligned} G_{51} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} g_{D^-D^*\pi}, \\ G_{52} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} g_{D^-D^*\rho}, \\ G_{54} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega} g_{D^-D^*\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

to denote the strong interaction strength for $\bar{D}^{*-}\Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow D^-\Sigma_c^{++}$ by one pion, one ρ and one ω exchange, respectively.

The form factors s_5^1, t_5^1 denote the form factors from pion exchange while s_5^2 and t_5^2 from ρ exchange, and s_5^4 and t_5^4 for ω exchange for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ decay, respectively, which can be obtained by making the following replacements

$$\begin{aligned} s_5^1 &= s_3^1[M_1 \rightarrow M_{D^-}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\pi], \\ t_5^1 &= t_3^1[M_1 \rightarrow M_{D^-}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\pi]; \\ s_5^2 &= s_3^2[M_1 \rightarrow M_{D^-}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\rho], \\ t_5^2 &= t_3^2[M_1 \rightarrow M_{D^-}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\rho]; \\ s_5^4 &= s_3^4[M_1 \rightarrow M_{D^-}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\omega], \\ t_5^4 &= t_3^4[M_1 \rightarrow M_{D^-}, M_2 \rightarrow M_{\Sigma_c^{++}}, m_3 \rightarrow M_\omega]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.106}$$

From an isospin analysis, we can see that the decay amplitude for $\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+$ channel is just the same as that for $\bar{D}^-\Sigma_c^{++}$ channel except that the isospin factor C_6 for the former one is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ of the last one C_5 . And then within the isospin symmetry, the decay width for P_ψ^N to $\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+$ channel would be $\frac{1}{2}$ of that for $D^-\Sigma_c^+$ channel.

3.4 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow (P + B^*)$

$P_\psi^N[\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c]$ can also decay to $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ channel with the final particles being a pseudoscalar meson and a $\frac{3}{2}$ baryon, which can be realized by one π, ρ or ω exchange. The isospin factor for $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ is exactly the same as that for $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ channel, namely, $C_7[D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}] = \sqrt{2}C_8[\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^{*+}] = C_5$.

3.4.1 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ by pion exchange

We first consider the $D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}$ channel and then the result for $\bar{D}^0\Sigma_c^+$ channel can be obtained by isospin factor directly. The effective Lagrangian for $D^-D^*\pi$ has been given in Eq. (3.101), while the Lagrangian for $\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi$ reads

$$L_{\bar{\Sigma}_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} = +g_{\bar{\Sigma}_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} \frac{1}{M_{\Sigma_c^*}} \bar{\Sigma}_c^{*++\alpha} \partial_\alpha \pi \Sigma_c^{++}, \tag{3.107}$$

where we divided a $M_{\Sigma_c^*}$ to keep the coupling constant dimensionless, and now

$$g_{\bar{\Sigma}_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_1}{f} M_{\Sigma_c^*}$$

Again contributions from other Lagrangian, such as $\bar{\Sigma}_c^{*+} \pi \Sigma_c^{++}$ will be collected into the isospin factor. Then the amplitude by π exchange behaves

$$i\mathcal{A}_{71}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow D^- \Sigma_c^{*++}] = G_{71} \bar{u}^\alpha(P_2, r_2) T_{71\alpha} u(P, r), \quad (3.108)$$

where we defined

$$G_{71} = g_{\Sigma_c^{*++} \Sigma_c^{++} \pi} g_{D^- D^{*-} \pi}$$

to denote the strong decay strength for $\bar{D}^{*-} \Sigma_c^{++} \rightarrow D^- \Sigma_c^{*++}$ scattering by one pion exchange; and the triangle integral behaves

$$T_{71\alpha} u(P, r) = \frac{P_1^\beta}{M_2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D(k_3) k_{3\alpha}. \quad (3.109)$$

Performing the contour integral over k_P , we obtain

$$\int \frac{dk_P}{2\pi} [S(k_2) \Gamma_\gamma(k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D(k_3) k_{3\alpha} = \frac{1}{2w_3} (b_{3\alpha} \Lambda^+ + b_{4\alpha} \Lambda^-) \gamma_0 \varphi_\beta, \quad (3.110)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_3 &= c_1 k_{31} + c_3 k_{33} + c_5 k_{35}, \\ b_4 &= c_2 k_{32} + c_4 k_{34} + c_6 k_{36}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.111)$$

where $k_{3i} = k_3(k_P = k_{Pi})$ and c_i with $(i = 1, \dots, 6)$ have been introduced before. Then the triangle integral can be expressed by the Salpeter wave function as

$$T_{71\alpha} u(P, r) = \frac{P_1^\beta}{M_2} \int \frac{d^3 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (b_{3\alpha} \Lambda^+ + b_{4\alpha} \Lambda^-) \gamma_0 \varphi_\beta, \quad (3.112)$$

which can be further simplified as one form factor after finishing above integral numerically, namely,

$$T_{71\alpha} = s_7^1 \hat{P}_\alpha. \quad (3.113)$$

For $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow D^- \Sigma_c^{*++}$ by π exchange, the amplitude behaves

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D} \Sigma_c^*(\pi)] = G_{71} \bar{u}^\alpha T_{71\alpha\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r), \quad (3.114)$$

where

$$T_{71\alpha\gamma} u^\gamma(P, r) = \frac{P_1^\beta}{M_2} \int \frac{d^3 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (b_{3\alpha} \Lambda^+ + b_{4\alpha} \Lambda^-) \gamma_0 A_{\beta\gamma} u^\gamma, \quad (3.115)$$

which can be further simplified as three form factors after finishing above integral over the

Salpeter wave function,

$$T_{71\alpha\beta} = it_{71}^1 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\hat{P}\hat{P}_1} + \left(t_{72}^1 g^{\alpha\beta} + t_{73}^1 \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_1^\beta \right) \gamma_5. \quad (3.116)$$

3.4.2 $P_\psi^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ by ρ and ω exchange

The $D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}$ channel by ρ and ω exchange also involves the $\Sigma_c^*\Sigma_c\rho(\omega)$ interaction which can be described by the effective Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} &= +ig_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} \frac{1}{M_{\Sigma_c^*}} \bar{\Sigma}_c^{*++\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^5 (\partial_\alpha \rho_\beta - \partial_\beta \rho_\alpha) \Sigma_c^{++}, \\ L_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega} &= +ig_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega} \frac{1}{M_{\Sigma_c^*}} \bar{\Sigma}_c^{*++\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^5 (\partial_\alpha \omega_\beta - \partial_\beta \omega_\alpha) \Sigma_c^{++}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.117)$$

where we have divided a $M_{\Sigma_c^*}$ to keep the coupling constant $g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho(\omega)}$ dimensionless, and then

$$g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} = g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} M_{\Sigma_c^*} g_V \lambda_S. \quad (3.118)$$

The Lagrangian for $D^-D^{*-}\rho(\omega)$ has been presented in Eq. (3.101). Now we first consider the ρ exchange and ω exchange can be obtained by a simple replacement. The corresponding decay amplitude can then be expressed as

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*(\rho)] = G_{72} \bar{u}^\sigma(P_2, r_2) T_{72\sigma} u(P, r). \quad (3.119)$$

As usual we define two dimensionless constants

$$\begin{aligned} G_{72} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} g_{D^-D^{*-}\rho}, \\ G_{74} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{++}\omega} g_{D^-D^{*-}\omega} \end{aligned}$$

to represent the strong interaction strength for $D^{*-}\Sigma_c^{*++} \rightarrow D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}$ by one $\rho(\omega)$ exchange. The triangle integral behaves

$$T_{72}^\sigma u(P, r) = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\hat{P}_1}}{M_2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} (g^{\sigma\nu} \gamma^\rho - g^{\rho\sigma} \gamma^\nu) \gamma_5 [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D_{\mu\nu}(k_3) k_{3\rho} k_{3\alpha}. \quad (3.120)$$

Considering the contraction with Levi-Civita, the above amplitude can be further simplified by $D_{\mu\nu}(k_3) \rightarrow (-g_{\mu\nu})D(k_3)$, $k_{3\alpha} \rightarrow k_{1\alpha}$, namely,

$$T_{72}^\sigma u(P, r) = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\hat{P}_1}}{M_2} (g^{\rho\sigma} \gamma_\mu - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\sigma\nu} \gamma^\rho) \gamma_5 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} [S(k_2) \Gamma^\gamma(k, r) D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)] D(k_3) k_{3\rho} k_{1\alpha}. \quad (3.121)$$

Then we perform the contour integral over k_P as usual and obtain

$$\int \frac{dk_P}{2\pi} [S(k_2)\Gamma^\gamma(k, r)D_{\gamma\beta}(k_1)]D(k_3)k_{3\rho}k_{1\alpha} = \frac{1}{2w_3} (b_{5\alpha\rho}\Lambda^+ + b_{6\alpha\rho}\Lambda^-) \gamma_0\varphi_\beta, \quad (3.122)$$

where we defined

$$\begin{aligned} b_{5\alpha\rho} &= c_1 k_{11\alpha} k_{31\rho} + c_3 k_{13\alpha} k_{33\rho} + c_5 k_{15\alpha} k_{35\rho}, \\ b_{6\alpha\rho} &= c_1 k_{12\alpha} k_{32\rho} + c_4 k_{14\alpha} k_{34\rho} + c_6 k_{16\alpha} k_{36\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.123)$$

Finally, we can express T_{72} by the three-dimensional integral of the Salpeter wave function as

$$T_{72}^\sigma u(P, r) = i \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\hat{P}_1}}{M_2} (g^{\rho\sigma}\gamma_\mu - g_{\mu\nu}g^{\sigma\nu}\gamma^\rho)\gamma_5 \int \frac{d^3k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2w_3} (b_{5\alpha\rho}\Lambda^+ + b_{6\alpha\rho}\Lambda^-) \gamma_0\varphi_\beta, \quad (3.124)$$

which can be further simplified as one form factor by finishing this integral numerically, namely,

$$T_{72\alpha} = s_7^2 \hat{P}_\alpha. \quad (3.125)$$

For $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ decay by ρ exchange, the calculations are very similar and we just need make a replacement

$$T_{72}^\alpha u(P, r) \rightarrow T_{72}^{\alpha\beta} u_\beta(P, r), \quad (3.126)$$

namely,

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*(\rho)] = G_{72}\bar{u}_\alpha(P_2, r_2)T_{72}^{\alpha\beta} u_\beta(P, r), \quad (3.127)$$

with $T_{72\alpha\beta}$ expressed by three form factors as

$$T_{72\alpha\beta} = \left(it_{71}^2 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\hat{P}\hat{P}_1} + t_{72}^2 g^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_5 + t_{73}^2 \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_1^\beta \gamma_5 \right). \quad (3.128)$$

The form factors for ω exchange can be obtained from the that for ρ exchange by making replacement $m_\rho \rightarrow m_\omega$. Finally, combining the contributions from the π , ρ and ω exchange, we obtain the amplitude for P_ψ^N to $D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}$ channels as

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}] = \bar{u}_2^\alpha (s_7 \hat{P}_\alpha) u(P, r), \quad (3.129)$$

$$i\mathcal{A}[P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow D^-\Sigma_c^{*++}] = \bar{u}_{2\alpha} \left(it_{71} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta PP_1}}{MM_1} + t_{72} g^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_5 + t_{73} \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_1^\beta \gamma_5 \right) u_\beta(P, r), \quad (3.130)$$

where s_7 and t_{7i} ($i = 1, 2, 3$) behaves

$$\begin{aligned} s_7 &= G_{71}s_6^1 + G_{72}s_7^2 - \frac{1}{2}G_{74}s_7^4, \\ t_{7i} &= G_{71}t_{7i}^1 + G_{72}t_{7i}^2 - \frac{1}{2}G_{74}t_{7i}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.131)$$

with the form factors from ω exchange reading

$$\begin{aligned} s_7^4 &= s_7^2[m_3 \rightarrow m_\omega], \\ t_{7i}^4 &= t_{7i}^2[m_3 \rightarrow m_\omega]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.132)$$

3.5 Partial decay widths

To obtain the partial decay widths, we first square the amplitude and then sum all the polarization states. The specific form of the squared amplitude depends on both the initial P_ψ^N state and the final decay mode. For the decay mode of $P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow (V + B)$, we obtain

$$\sum |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \left(-g^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{P_1^\alpha P_1^\beta}{M_1^2} \right) \text{Tr} (\not{P}_2 + M_2) T_{m\alpha} (\not{P} + M) \bar{T}_{m\beta}, \quad (3.133)$$

where the summation is over all the spin states of the involved initial and final particles, namely, r_1, r_2 and r ; $T_{m\alpha}$ is expressed as

$$T_{m\alpha} = \left(s_{m1} \gamma_\alpha + s_{m2} \hat{P}_\alpha \right) \quad (3.134)$$

with $m = 1$ and 2 representing the form factor for $J/\psi p$ and $\bar{D}^{*0} \Lambda_c^+$ channel, respectively; and $\bar{T}_\beta = \gamma^0 T_\beta^\dagger \gamma_0$ is defined as the usual conjugation variable; and we also used the relationship of the summation over the vector polarization states r_1 ,

$$\sum_{r_1} e_{(r_1)}^\alpha e_{(r_1)}^{*\beta} = -g^{\alpha\beta} + \hat{P}_1^\alpha \hat{P}_1^\beta; \quad (3.135)$$

and the summation over the polarization states of the spinors

$$\sum_{r_2} u(P_2, r_2) \bar{u}(P_2, r_2) = (\not{P}_2 + M_2), \quad (3.136)$$

$$\sum_r u(P, r) \bar{u}(P, r) = (\not{P} + M). \quad (3.137)$$

For the mode of $P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow (P + B)$, the squared amplitude behaves

$$\sum |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \text{Tr} (\not{P}_2 + M_2) T_m (\not{P} + M) \bar{T}_m = 4M(E_2 - M_2)s_m^2, \quad (3.138)$$

where $T_m = s_m \gamma_5$ with $m = 3, 4, 5$ representing the form factor for $\eta_c p$, $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$ and $\bar{D} \Sigma_c$ channels, respectively. The squared amplitude is proportional to the kinetic energy of the final baryon. For the decay mode of $P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow (P + B^*)$, the squared amplitude behaves

$$\sum |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \text{Tr} u_2^{\alpha 1} \bar{u}_2^\alpha T_{6\alpha} (\not{P} + M) \bar{T}_{6\alpha 1} \quad (3.139)$$

with $T_{6\alpha} = s_6 \hat{P}_\alpha$ denoting the form factor for $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ channel, where we need the following relationship for Rarita-Schwinger spinor

$$u_2^\alpha \bar{u}_2^{\alpha_1} = (\not{P}_2 + M_2) \left[-g^{\alpha\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{3} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^{\alpha_1} - \frac{P_2^\alpha \gamma^{\alpha_1} - P_2^{\alpha_1} \gamma^\alpha}{3M_2} + \frac{2P_2^\alpha P_2^{\alpha_1}}{3M_2^2} \right]. \quad (3.140)$$

For the decay mode of $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow (V + B)$, we obtain

$$\sum |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \left(-g^{\alpha\alpha_1} + \hat{P}_1^\alpha \hat{P}_1^{\alpha_1} \right) \text{Tr} (\not{P}_2 + M_2) T_{1(2)\alpha\beta} u^\beta(P, r) \bar{u}^{\beta_1}(P, r) \bar{T}_{1(2)\alpha_1\beta_1}, \quad (3.141)$$

where

$$T_{i\alpha\beta} = it_{i1} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\hat{P}\hat{P}_1} + \left(t_{i2} g_{\alpha\beta} + t_{i3} \hat{P}_{1\beta} \gamma_\alpha + t_{i4} \hat{P}_\alpha \hat{P}_{1\beta} \right) \gamma_5 \quad (3.142)$$

with $i = 1$ and 2 denotes the form factors for the $J/\psi p$ and $\bar{D}^{*0} \Lambda_c^+$ channel, respectively; and we also need the relationship Eq. (2.34) for Rarita-Schwinger spinor u^α . For the decay mode $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow (P + B)$, we obtain

$$\sum |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \text{Tr} (\not{P}_2 + M_2) T_{m\alpha} u^\alpha(P, r) \bar{u}^\beta(P, r) \bar{T}_{m\beta}, \quad (3.143)$$

where $T_{m\alpha} = s_m \hat{P}_{1\alpha}$ with $m = 3, 4, 5$ denotes the form factor for $\eta_c p$, $\bar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+$ and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ channel, respectively. For the $P_{\psi 3/2}^N \rightarrow (P + B^*)$ decay mode, the squared amplitude behaves

$$\sum |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \text{Tr} u^{\alpha_1}(P_2, r_2) \bar{u}^\alpha(P_2, r_2) T_{6\alpha\beta} u^\beta(P, r) \bar{u}^{\beta_1}(P, r) \bar{T}_{6\alpha_1\beta_1}, \quad (3.144)$$

where the form factor for $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ decay channel behaves

$$T_{6\alpha\beta} = it_{61} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta PP_1}}{MM_1} + \left(t_{62} g^{\alpha\beta} + t_{63} \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_1^\beta \right) \gamma_5. \quad (3.145)$$

Finally, the two-body partial decay width is expressed as

$$\Gamma[P_\psi^N \rightarrow M_{P(V)} B^{(*)}] = \frac{|\mathbf{P}_1|}{8\pi M^2} C_i^2 \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum |\mathcal{A}|^2, \quad (3.146)$$

where J denotes spin of the initial P_ψ^N state; the three-momentum of the final meson is given by

$$|\mathbf{P}_1| = \frac{1}{2M} \sqrt{[(M^2 - (M_1 + M_2)^2)[M^2 - (M_1 - M_2)^2]];} \quad (3.147)$$

C_i^2 denotes the isospin factor, which is $C_1^2 = C_2^2 = C_3^2 = C_4^2 = \frac{3}{2}$ and $C_5^2 = C_7^2 = \frac{8}{3}$, and $C_6^2 = C_8^2 = \frac{4}{3}$.

4 Numerical results and discussions

4.1 Numerical parameters

Before giving the decay widths, we first summarize the numerical values used in this work, including the hadron masses, decay constants and coupling constants in effective Lagrangians involved. The hadron masses involved are [61]

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{P_\psi^N(4440)} &= 4.440 \text{ GeV}, & M_{P_\psi^N(4457)} &= 4.457 \text{ GeV}, & M_\psi &= 3.097 \text{ GeV}, & M_{\eta_c} &= 2.983 \text{ GeV}, \\
M_p &= 0.938 \text{ GeV}, & M_{\Sigma_c^{++}} &= 2.454 \text{ GeV}, & M_{\Lambda_c^+} &= 2.286 \text{ GeV}, & M_{\Sigma_c^+} &= 2.453 \text{ GeV}, \\
M_{\bar{D}^0} &= 1.865 \text{ GeV}, & M_{\bar{D}^{*0}} &= 2.007 \text{ GeV}, & M_D &= 1.868 \text{ GeV}, & M_{D^*} &= 2.009 \text{ GeV}, \\
M_{\Sigma_c^{*++}} &= 2.518 \text{ GeV}, & M_{\Sigma_c^{*+}} &= 2.517 \text{ GeV}, & M_\pi &= 0.138 \text{ GeV}, & M_\rho &= 0.775 \text{ GeV}.
\end{aligned}$$

While the masses of the mediator mesons are isospin-averaged. The pion decay constant we used is $f = 0.132 \text{ GeV}$, while the J/ψ decay constant $f_\psi = 0.416 \text{ GeV}$ is estimated from the dilepton decay width [62].

Notice the interaction constant is directly related to the specific form of the Lagrangian used. The chiral parameters between the singly heavy hadron and the light bosons are obtained under the heavy quark symmetry and the chiral symmetry, which read [8, 11, 14, 50, 53]:

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= 0.59, & (\lambda_{g_V}) &= 3.25 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, & (\beta_{g_V}) &= 5.22, & g_s &= 0.76; \\
g_1 &= 0.94, & (\lambda_S g_V) &= 19.20 \text{ GeV}^{-1}, & (\beta_S g_V) &= 10.09, & l_S &= 6.2; \\
g_4 &= 1.0, & (\lambda_I g_V) &= (\lambda_S g_V)/\sqrt{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

From these chiral parameters, we obtain the coupling constants associated with the specific Lagrangians we used are

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\pi} &= \frac{2g}{f}M_{\bar{D}^{*0}} = 17.94, & g_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\pi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(M_{\Lambda_c^+} + M_{\Sigma_c^{++}})\frac{g_4}{f} = 20.73, \\
g_{\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\rho} &= \sqrt{8}M_{\langle\bar{D}^{*0}\bar{D}^{*-}\rangle}(g_V\lambda) = 18.47, & g_{\Lambda_c^+\Sigma_c^{++}\rho} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}(M_{\Lambda_c^+} + M_{\Sigma_c^{++}})(\lambda_I g_V) = 26.27, \\
g_{\bar{D}^0\bar{D}^{*-}\pi} &= (M_{\bar{D}^0}M_{\bar{D}^{*-}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{2g}{f} = 17.32, & g_{\bar{D}^0\bar{D}^{*-}\rho} &= R_{\langle\bar{D}^0\bar{D}^{*-}\rangle}M_{\bar{D}^0}\sqrt{8}(\lambda_{g_V}) = 17.16, \\
g_{D^-D^{*+}\pi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_{\bar{D}^0D^{*+}\pi} = 12.25, & g_{\Sigma_c^{*+}\Sigma_c^{*+}\pi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(M_{\Sigma_c^{*+}} + M_{\Sigma_c^{*+}})\frac{g_1}{f} = 24.71, \\
g_{D^-D^{*+}\rho} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_{\bar{D}^0D^{*+}\rho} = 12.13, & g_{\Sigma_c^{*+}\Sigma_c^{*+}\rho} &= \frac{1}{3}(M_{\Sigma_c^{*+}} + M_{\Sigma_c^{*+}})g_V\lambda_S = 31.40, \\
g_{D^-D^{*+}\omega} &= g_{D^-D^{*+}\rho}, & g_{\Sigma_c^{*+}\Sigma_c^{*+}\omega} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{*+}\Sigma_c^{*+}\rho}, \\
g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{*+}\pi} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}}\frac{g_1}{f}M_{\Sigma_c^{*+}} = 10.98, & g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{*+}\rho} &= g_{\Sigma_c^{*++}\Sigma_c^{*+}\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}M_{\Sigma_c^{*+}}\lambda_S g_V = 13.95.
\end{aligned}$$

Then in the heavy quark limit, from the relationship in Eq. (3.5) the coupling constants

for the $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ pair and charmonia read

$$g_{D\bar{D}\psi} = 14.89, \quad g_{D\bar{D}^*\psi} = 15.43, \quad g_{D^*\bar{D}\psi} = 8.01, \quad g_{D\bar{D}^*\eta_c} = 7.58, \quad g_{D^*\bar{D}^*\eta_c} = 15.72.$$

Combined with the total amplitude Eq. (3.59), it can be found that the partial decay widths for $J/\psi(\eta_c)p$ are proportional to $\frac{1}{f_\psi^2}$. The coupling constants for $ND^{(*)}\Sigma_c$ used are [63]

$$g_{ND\Sigma_c} = 2.69, \quad g_{ND^*\Sigma_c} = 7.8.$$

Combining above coupling constants and the mass parameters, the strong interaction strength defined for the six decay channels in the previous section behaves

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11} &= 41.5, & G_{12} &= 62.5, & G_{21} &= 371.9, & G_{22} &= 485.2, \\ G_{31} &= 20.4, & G_{32} &= 122.6, & G_{41} &= 359.0, & G_{42} &= 455.0, \\ G_{51} &= 302.7, & G_{52} &= 380.9, & G_{71} &= 134.5, & G_{72} &= 169.2, \\ G_{54} &= G_{52}, & G_{61} &= G_{51}, & G_{62} &= G_{52}, & G_{64} &= G_{54}, \\ G_{74} &= G_{72}, & G_{81} &= G_{71}, & G_{82} &= G_{72}, & G_{84} &= G_{74}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

These values are the standard parameters used in this work. It should be pointed out that most of the involved coupling constants are just rough estimations, and the obtained decay rates make sense for an order-of-magnitude estimations.

4.2 Numerical results and discussions

The only introduced free parameter in this work is the regulator m_Λ in the form factor $F(s^2)$ in Eq. (2.26). All the other parameters have been determined by the previous experimental data. By solving the relevant BS eigenvalue equation, we find proper values of m_Λ can produce bound states of $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ based on the one-boson exchange kernel in isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$. Then by fitting the bound state mass M to the experimental data, we can fix m_Λ , which is also dependent on the J^P configuration of $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$. The obtained mass spectra and the corresponding m_Λ are listed in Tab. I. The obtained K_i in the interaction

Tab. I: Mass spectra of the $(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$ bound states and the corresponding cutoff m_Λ defined in Eq. (2.26) in units of GeV with different J^P configuration, where the blue values are fixed by fitting to data.

J^P	Mass	Mass	m_Λ		Mass	Mass	m_Λ		Mass	Mass	m_Λ
$\frac{1}{2}^-$	4.440	4.443	1.07		4.457	4.458	0.860		4.453	4.454	0.915
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	4.457	4.4625	0.754		4.440	4.450	0.974		4.445	4.454	0.915

kernel are graphically shown in Fig. 3.

The obtained bound state mass is dependent on the value of m_Λ . When a universal cutoff value $m_\Lambda = 0.915$ GeV is set, the predicted masses are $M[P_{\psi 3/2}^N] = 4.445$ GeV and




Fig. 3: The interaction kernel K_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) in the isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ with $m_\Lambda = 1.07$ GeV, 0.754 GeV, and 0.915 GeV, respectively.

$M[P_{\psi_{1/2}}^N] = 4.453$ GeV, which are consistent with the experimental results $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ reported by LHCb within a few MeV. And the obtained numerical results also show that the mass of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ state is larger than that of the $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ one. This conclusion is consistent with the those in refs. [25, 27, 64–67]. The obtained mass spectra of the $I = \frac{1}{2}$ ($\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$) molecules also indicate that there may exist two bound states in both $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$. This result is robust within a range of $\pm 15\%$ for m_Λ , and the second bound state would disappear when m_Λ is less than ~ 0.74 GeV. By using an unitary coupled-channel model, ref. [68] also found four states playing a significant impact on the physical observables, with two having a spin-parity of $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and the other two having $\frac{3}{2}^-$. In the following of this work, we focus on the two ground states of $J = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$.

In Fig. 4 we show the obtained radial BS wave functions g_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) for the obtained two bound states of $P_{\psi_{1/2}}^N$, where the up two ones are solved with $m_\Lambda = 1.07$ GeV and the ground state mass is fixed to be 4.440 GeV; where the down two ones are solved with $m_\Lambda = 0.915$ GeV and the mass of the first bound state is determined to be 4.453 GeV. When m_Λ decreases, the ground state mass increases and the wave functions shifts towards the left. Fig. 5 shows the BS wave functions h_n ($n = 1, \dots, 8$) for the two bound states




Fig. 4: The BS wave functions of the two bound states for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ with mass 4.440 GeV (up, left), 4.443 GeV (up, right), and 4.453 GeV (down, left), 4.454 GeV (down, right) respectively, where the up two and the down two are solved with $m_\Lambda = 1.07$ GeV and 0.915 GeV, respectively.

of $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$, where the up two are solved with $m_\Lambda = 0.754$ GeV and the ground state is fixed to be 4.457 GeV; and the down two are solved with $m_\Lambda = 0.917$ GeV with the mass of the first bound state being 4.445 GeV. Notice when the first bound state of $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ is fixed to be 4.457 GeV, the mass 4.4625 GeV of the second one is very close to the threshold with the bound energy just ~ 0.1 MeV. This near-threshold feature is also reflected in the wave functions which almost only distributes in a very narrow intervals with momentum less than 0.05 GeV. The obtained mass spectra hint that the experimental $P_\psi^N(4457)$ may consist of more than one bound states, where both the second bound states for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ are also very close to the $P_\psi^N(4457)$.

Inserting the numerical wave functions into the expressions of the decay form factors, we obtain the numerical form factors for P_ψ^N decays, which are listed in [Tab. II](#) and [Tab. III](#)




Fig. 5: The BS wave functions of the two bound states for $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ with mass 4.457 GeV (up, left), 4.4625 GeV (up, right), and 4.445 GeV (down, left), 4.454 GeV (down, right) respectively, where the up two and the down two are solved with $m_\Lambda = 7.54$ GeV and 0.915 GeV, respectively.

with $m_\Lambda = 0.915$ GeV. The form factors are the same for $\bar{D}^0 \Sigma_c^{(*)+}$ and $D^- \Sigma_c^{(*)++}$. Since the coupling constants for ρ and ω exchange are the same and the mass difference are also quite slight, the form factors for ρ and ω exchange are almost the same and then are not copied in the tables.

Inserting above form factors into the decay width expressions, we obtain the partial decay widths for $P_\psi^N(4440)$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$. The obtained partial widths are listed in [Tab. IV](#). We present the results for two different scenarios of the J^P configuration, where $P_\psi^N(4440)$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$ are taken as $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{1}{2}^-$ respectively in the scenario I with a universal $m_\Lambda = 0.86$ GeV used, and are just opposite in the scenario II with a different $m_\Lambda = 1.07$ and 0.754 GeV respectively. When a universal $m_\Lambda = 0.86$ GeV is used, the mass of $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ is fixed to be 4.457 GeV and the $\frac{3}{2}$ one is about 4.450 GeV. Notice the obtained decay width results are totally predictive and there are no any free adjustable parameters since the regulator m_Λ is already fixed by the masses of $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$. In scenario I, the calculated

Tab. II: Numerical values of strong decay form factors for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ with $m = 1, \dots, 8$ denoting the 8 decay channels we calculated.

s_{mo}^n	$\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$	$J/\psi p$	$\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c$	$\eta_c p$	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$
s_{m1}^1	1.9×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-6}	-3.5×10^{-5}	-2.6×10^{-3}	-5.7×10^{-6}	4.1×10^{-3}
s_{m1}^2	-4.5×10^{-4}	-1.9×10^{-5}	2.4×10^{-5}	8.0×10^{-5}	1.1×10^{-6}	-1.3×10^{-4}
s_{m2}^1	-2.7×10^{-4}	-2.9×10^{-6}	—	—	—	—
s_{m2}^2	-2.2×10^{-4}	-1.0×10^{-5}	—	—	—	—

Tab. III: Numerical values of form factors t_{mo}^n for $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$ decays with $m = 1, \dots, 8$ denoting the 8 decay channels we calculated, $n = 1, 2$ representing the pseudoscalar and vector meson exchange, respectively.

t_{mo}^n	$\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$	$J/\psi p$	$\bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c$	$\eta_c p$	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$
t_{m1}^1	3.1×10^{-3}	9.1×10^{-5}	-1.9×10^{-3}	-8.5×10^{-3}	-1.6×10^{-6}	-3.8×10^{-8}
t_{m1}^2	2.4×10^{-7}	1.2×10^{-8}	-1.0×10^{-4}	-3.4×10^{-4}	-3.2×10^{-5}	1.3×10^{-4}
t_{m2}^1	-3.4×10^{-4}	-7.6×10^{-6}	—	—	—	1.9×10^{-5}
t_{m2}^2	1.8×10^{-3}	5.3×10^{-5}	—	—	—	3.9×10^{-4}
t_{m3}^1	-9.8×10^{-5}	-4.2×10^{-6}	—	—	—	-2.1×10^{-2}
t_{m3}^2	-1.3×10^{-3}	-1.3×10^{-4}	—	—	—	1.5×10^{-3}
t_{m4}^1	8.3×10^{-5}	2.3×10^{-7}	—	—	—	—
t_{m4}^2	1.3×10^{-2}	-2.4×10^{-4}	—	—	—	—

total widths of $P_{\psi}^N(4440)$ and $P_{\psi}^N(4457)$ are 34.8 MeV and 2.2 MeV respectively, while in scenario II the total widths are 7.4 MeV and 14.1 MeV respectively. The calculated width for $P_{\psi}^N(4440)$ is larger than that for $P_{\psi}^N(4457)$ in scenario I while is smaller for II. On the other hand, the total widths reported by the LHCb are

$$\Gamma[P_{\psi}^N(4440)] = 20.6 \pm 4.9_{-10.1}^{+8.7} \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma[P_{\psi}^N(4457)] = 6.4 \pm 2.0_{-1.9}^{+5.7} \text{ MeV}.$$

Considering the theoretical errors in the coupling constants of effective Lagrangians and the approximation of heavy and chiral quark symmetry, the obtained results in scenario I are more consistent with the experimental data of LHCb. Namely, our results of the calculated total widths are more favor of the $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{1}{2}^-$ configuration for $P_{\psi}^N(4440)$ and $P_{\psi}^N(4457)$, which is also consistent with the mass order obtained in this work. This spin-parity configuration is also favored by the conclusion obtained in refs. [17, 25, 27, 64–67].

The results show that the $\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ channels are the dominant decay channels for $P_{\psi}^N(4440)$. The decay width of $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ can amount to more than 70% of the total width, which would be a most promising decay channel to be detected in experiments; $\bar{D}^{(*)0}\Lambda_c^+$ and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ are also important decay channels. For $P_{\psi}^N(4457)$, our results show that the $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ and $\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ are the more important decay channels, and the sum of the two ones can amount

to $\sim 70\%$ of the total width. A comparison of our results with other works is also listed in [Tab. IV](#), where the first and the second column results in refs. [\[17, 69\]](#) represent the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ spin-parity configuration respectively. Our obtained decay widths are roughly consistent with those in refs. [\[17, 69\]](#), where the result in ref. [\[17\]](#) also favors the $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{1}{2}^-$ spin configuration, while the conclusion in ref. [\[69\]](#) is just opposite. More theoretical studies and experimental measurement are important and necessary to determine the essence of the two exotic hadrons.

Tab. IV: Comparison of the obtained decay widths for $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ with other works in units of MeV. The assuming J^P configuration of $P_\psi^N(4440)$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)$ are $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{1}{2}^-$ respectively in scenario I, and are exact opposite in scenario II. In refs. [\[17, 69\]](#), the two columns represent the results under the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ spin-parity configuration respectively.

Channel	I	II	[69]	[17]
$P_\psi^N(4440) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$	4.9	4.5	-	13.9 – 6.2
$P_\psi^N(4440) \rightarrow J/\psi p$	2.7×10^{-4}	2.1×10^{-4}	4.1 – 4.1	0.03 – 0.02
$P_\psi^N(4440) \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$	1.1	8.1×10^{-2}	5.98 – 4.53	5.6 – 1.7
$P_\psi^N(4440) \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c$	27.1	2.5	10.43 – 5.45	3.4 – 0.5
$P_\psi^N(4440) \rightarrow \eta_c p$	1.7×10^{-5}	4.7×10^{-8}	-	0 – 0
$P_\psi^N(4440) \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$	1.8	3.5×10^{-1}	-	0.8 – 5.4
Total	34.8	7.4	20.52 – 13.98	23.7 – 13.9
$P_\psi^N(4457) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$	7.2×10^{-1}	1.5×10^{-1}	-	12.5 – 6.1
$P_\psi^N(4457) \rightarrow J/\psi p$	3.6×10^{-5}	7.6×10^{-5}	1.52 – 1.52	0.02 – 0.01
$P_\psi^N(4457) \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\Lambda_c^+$	1.4×10^{-3}	2.8×10^{-3}	2.47 – 2.15	3.8 – 1.5
$P_\psi^N(4457) \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c$	8.8×10^{-1}	13.9	5.60 – 4.11	2.6 – 1.0
$P_\psi^N(4457) \rightarrow \eta_c p$	3.0×10^{-9}	4.9×10^{-6}	-	0 – 0
$P_\psi^N(4457) \rightarrow \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$	5.5×10^{-1}	9.5×10^{-3}	-	1.9 – 6.2
Total	2.2	14.1	9.59 – 7.78	20.7 – 14.7

4.3 Summary

In this work, firstly, based on the effective Lagrangians in the heavy quark and chiral limit, we calculate the one-boson-exchange interaction kernel of $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ in the isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ state, where the light bosons σ , π , η , ρ and ω are considered in the kernel calculations. Then by solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation for $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ bound states, we obtain the mass spectra and wave functions of $P_{\psi 1/2}^N$ and $P_{\psi 3/2}^N$. We obtain two bound states for both $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ spin-parity configurations, and the mass results favor the $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{1}{2}^-$ configuration for the experimental $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$. Then by combining the effective Lagrangians and the obtained BS wave function, we calculate the main strong decay widths for the two P_ψ^N . In the

favored $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{1}{2}^-$ configuration, we obtain the total widths are 34.8 MeV and 2.2 MeV for $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$, respectively. The partial decay widths suggest that $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ and $\bar{D}^*\Lambda_c^+$ are the more promising decay channels to detect both $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$. These results can also serve as important tests for the molecular interpretation of the two P_ψ^N states. Our results are roughly consistent with several other calculations and also the LHCb experimental measurements. Taking into account both the mass spectra and decay widths, our results favor the interpretation of $P_\psi^N(4440)^+$ and $P_\psi^N(4457)^+$ as the isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecular states with J^P configuration $(\frac{3}{2})^-$ and $(\frac{1}{2})^-$ respectively. However, more theoretical researches and experimental measurements are necessary to decisively determine the essence of these P_ψ^N states.

A Expressions of the decay form factors

Since the expressions of the form factors are still quite complicated when expressed by the Salpeter wave functions, here we just list the form factors in Eq. (3.60) for $P_{\psi 1/2}^N \rightarrow (V + B)$ as an example to show the calculation details, which are all represented by the integral over the radial Salpeter wave functions g_1, g_2, g_3 and g_4 . Parts of following expressions are calculated with the help of the `FeynCalc` package [70–72]. The form factors are respectively expressed as

$$s_{1o}^n = \int \frac{d^3k_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4w_3} S_{1o}^n \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with $n = 1, 2$ representing the pseudoscalar and vector exchange, respectively, and $o = 1, 2$ denoting the two form factors, where S_{1o}^n behaves

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{11}^1 &= -\gamma_1 x_2 + 2x_{1o8} + x_{2o8} + 2x_{1o9} + x_{2o9}, \\
 S_{12}^1 &= -4x_{1o8} - x_{2o8} - x_{2o9}, \\
 S_{11}^2 &= y_1 + C_1 o_4 y_2 - C_1 o_3 o_8 y_2 - C_1 o_3 o_9 y_2 + C_{22} y_6, \\
 S_{12}^2 &= -2C_1 o_4 y_2 + 2C_1 o_3 o_8 y_2 + y_3 - C_1 o_4 y_4 + C_1 o_3 o_8 y_4 \\
 &\quad - C_1 o_3 o_9 y_4 - C_1 o_4 y_5 - C_{22} y_6 + C_{21} o_4^2 y_6 - C_{21} o_3 o_4 o_8 y_6 + C_{21} o_3 o_4 o_9 y_6,
 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where x_i and y_i are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 &= -C_{22} (c_8 g_2 o_2 + c_8 g_1 o_1 + c_7 g_2), \\
 x_2 &= -c_7 C_{21} g_2 o_4^2 - c_8 C_{21} g_1 o_1 o_4^2 - c_8 C_{21} g_2 o_2 o_4^2 + c_9 C_1 g_2 o_4 - c_8 C_{21} g_2 o_2 o_3 o_9 o_4 \\
 &\quad + c_{10} C_1 g_1 o_1 o_4 - c_8 C_1 g_2 o_1 o_4 + c_8 C_1 g_1 o_2 o_4 + c_{10} C_1 g_2 o_2 o_4 + c_7 C_{21} g_2 o_3 o_8 o_4 \\
 &\quad + c_8 C_{21} g_1 o_1 o_3 o_8 o_4 + c_8 C_{21} g_2 o_2 o_3 o_8 o_4 - c_7 C_{21} g_2 o_3 o_9 o_4 - c_8 C_{21} g_1 o_1 o_3 o_9 o_4 \\
 &\quad + 2c_8 C_{22} g_1 o_1 + 2c_8 C_{22} g_2 o_2 - c_{10} C_{22} g_4 o_2 - c_9 C_1 g_2 o_3 o_8 - c_{10} C_1 g_1 o_1 o_3 o_8 \\
 &\quad - c_{10} C_1 g_2 o_2 o_3 o_8 + c_9 C_1 g_2 o_3 o_9 + c_{10} C_1 g_1 o_1 o_3 o_9 + c_{10} C_1 g_2 o_2 o_3 o_9 + 2c_7 C_{22} g_2 \\
 &\quad + c_{10} g_2 o_1 - c_{10} g_1 o_2 + c_9 g_1 - c_7 C_1 g_1 o_4 + c_9 C_{22} g_4 - c_{10} C_{22} g_3 o_1;
 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1 = & -2c_8g_2\iota_3o_6^3 - 2c_9g_1o_1\iota_3o_6^3 - 2c_9g_2o_2\iota_3o_6^3 - 2c_{14}g_1\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_2o_1\iota_3o_6^2 - 3c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3 \\
& + 2c_{15}g_1o_2\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_8g_1o_7o_8\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_2o_1o_7o_8\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_1o_2o_7o_8\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_8g_1o_7o_9\iota_3o_6^2 \\
& + 2c_9g_2o_1o_7o_9\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_1o_2o_7o_9\iota_3o_6^2 + 3cc_8g_2o_7v_1\iota_3o_6^2 + 3cc_9g_1o_1o_7v_1\iota_3o_6^2 + 3c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3 \\
& + 3cc_9g_2o_2o_7v_1\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_8g_2o_6 - 2c_8g_3o_6 - 2c_9g_1o_1o_6 + 2c_9g_4o_1o_6 - 2c_9g_2o_2o_6 - 2c_9g_3o_2o_6 + c_8g_2o_7^2\iota_3o_6 \\
& + c_9g_1o_1o_7^2\iota_3o_6 + c_9g_2o_2o_7^2\iota_3o_6 - 2c_8g_2\iota_3o_6 + 2c_{16}g_2\iota_3o_6 - 2c_9g_1o_1\iota_3o_6 + 2c_{17}g_1o_1\iota_3o_6 \\
& - 2c_9g_2o_2\iota_3o_6 + 2c_{17}g_2o_2\iota_3o_6 + 3cc_{14}g_1o_7v_1\iota_3o_6 + 3cc_{15}g_2o_1o_7v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_{15}g_1o_2o_7v_1\iota_3o_6 \\
& - 3cc_8g_1o_7^2o_8v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_8v_1\iota_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_8v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_8g_1o_7^2o_9v_1\iota_3o_6 \\
& - 3cc_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_9v_1\iota_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_9v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3c_{14}g_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3o_6 - 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1\iota_3o_6 \\
& - 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3o_6 - c_8g_1o_7o_8 - c_9g_2o_1o_7o_8 + c_9g_1o_2o_7o_8 - c_8g_1o_7o_9 - c_9g_2o_1o_7o_9 + c_9g_1o_2o_7o_9 \\
& + 2cc_8g_2o_7v_1 + cc_8g_3o_7v_1 + 2cc_9g_1o_1o_7v_1 - cc_9g_4o_1o_7v_1 + 2cc_9g_2o_2o_7v_1 + cc_9g_3o_2o_7v_1 + c_8g_1o_7\gamma_1 \\
& + c_9g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1 - c_9g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1 + c_{14}g_1o_7^2\iota_3 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2\iota_3 - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2\iota_3 - 2c_{14}g_1\iota_3 \\
& + 2c_{18}g_1\iota_3 - 2c_{15}g_2o_1\iota_3 + 2c_{19}g_2o_1\iota_3 + 2c_{15}g_1o_2\iota_3 - 2c_{19}g_1o_2\iota_3 - c_8g_1o_7^3o_8\iota_3 - c_9g_2o_1o_7^3o_8\iota_3 \\
& + c_9g_1o_2o_7^3o_8\iota_3 + c_8g_1o_7o_8\iota_3 - 2c_{16}g_1o_7o_8\iota_3 + c_9g_2o_1o_7o_8\iota_3 - 2c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_8\iota_3 - c_9g_1o_2o_7o_8\iota_3 \\
& + 2c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_8\iota_3 - c_8g_1o_7^3o_9\iota_3 - c_9g_2o_1o_7^3o_9\iota_3 + c_9g_1o_2o_7^3o_9\iota_3 + c_8g_1o_7o_9\iota_3 - 2c_{16}g_1o_7o_9\iota_3 \\
& + c_9g_2o_1o_7o_9\iota_3 - 2c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_9\iota_3 - c_9g_1o_2o_7o_9\iota_3 + 2c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_9\iota_3 - 3c_{16}g_1o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{17}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 \\
& + 3c_{17}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3, \\
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_2 = & 2c_8g_1\iota_3o_6^3 + 2c_9g_2o_1\iota_3o_6^3 - 2c_9g_1o_2\iota_3o_6^3 + 2c_{14}g_2\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_1o_1\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_2o_2\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_8g_2o_7o_8\iota_3o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_8g_2o_7o_9\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9\iota_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_4o_2o_6 \\
& - 3cc_9g_2o_1o_7v_1\iota_3o_6^2 + 3cc_9g_1o_2o_7v_1\iota_3o_6^2 - 2c_8g_1o_6 + 2c_8g_4o_6 - 2c_9g_2o_1o_6 - 2c_9g_3o_1o_6 + 2c_9g_1o_2o_6 \\
& - c_9g_2o_1o_7^2\iota_3o_6 + c_9g_1o_2o_7^2\iota_3o_6 + 2c_8g_1\iota_3o_6 - 2c_{16}g_1\iota_3o_6 + 2c_9g_2o_1\iota_3o_6 - 2c_{17}g_2o_1\iota_3o_6 - 2c_9g_1o_2\iota_3o_6 \\
& - 3cc_{14}g_2o_7v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7v_1\iota_3o_6 + 3cc_8g_2o_7^2o_8v_1\iota_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_8v_1\iota_3o_6 \\
& + 3cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_8v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_8g_2o_7^2o_9v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_9v_1\iota_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_9v_1\iota_3o_6 + 3c_{14}g_1o_7\gamma_1\iota_3o_6 \\
& + 3c_{15}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1\iota_3o_6 - 3c_{15}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3o_6 + c_8g_2o_7o_8 + c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8 + c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8 - c_8g_2o_7o_9 - c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9 \\
& - cc_8g_4o_7v_1 + cc_9g_3o_1o_7v_1 + cc_9g_4o_2o_7v_1 - c_8g_2o_7\gamma_1 - c_9g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1 - c_9g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1 - c_{14}g_2o_7^2\iota_3 - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2\iota_3 \\
& + 2c_{14}g_2\iota_3 - 2c_{18}g_2\iota_3 + 2c_{15}g_1o_1\iota_3 - 2c_{19}g_1o_1\iota_3 + 2c_{15}g_2o_2\iota_3 - 2c_{19}g_2o_2\iota_3 + c_8g_2o_7^3o_8\iota_3 + c_9g_1o_1o_7^3o_8\iota_3 \\
& + c_9g_2o_2o_7^3o_8\iota_3 - c_8g_2o_7o_8\iota_3 + 2c_{16}g_2o_7o_8\iota_3 - c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8\iota_3 + 2c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_8\iota_3 - c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8\iota_3 \\
& - c_8g_2o_7^3o_9\iota_3 - c_9g_1o_1o_7^3o_9\iota_3 - c_9g_2o_2o_7^3o_9\iota_3 + c_8g_2o_7o_9\iota_3 - 2c_{16}g_2o_7o_9\iota_3 + c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9\iota_3 - 2c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_9\iota_3 \\
& + c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9\iota_3 - 2c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_9\iota_3 + 3c_{16}g_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{17}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{17}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 \\
& - 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3, \\
& - 3cc_8g_1o_7v_1\iota_3o_6^2 - c_8g_1o_7^2\iota_3o_6 + 2c_{17}g_1o_2\iota_3o_6 - c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9 - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2\iota_3 + 2c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_8\iota_3. \\
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_3 = & 2c_8g_2l_3o_6^3 + 2c_9g_1o_1l_3o_6^3 + 2c_9g_2o_2l_3o_6^3 + 2c_{14}g_1o_6^2 - 2c_{14}g_4o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_2o_1o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_3o_1o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_1o_2o_6^2 \\
& + 2c_{15}g_4o_2o_6^2 - c_{14}g_1l_2o_6^2 + c_{14}g_4l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_2o_1l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_3o_1l_2o_6^2 + c_{15}g_1o_2l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_4o_2l_2o_6^2 \\
& + 2c_{14}g_1l_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_2o_1l_3o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_1o_2l_3o_6^2 - 2c_8g_1o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_2o_1o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_1o_2o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_8g_1o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_2o_1o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_1o_2o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 - 3cc_8g_2o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 - 3cc_9g_1o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 \\
& - 3cc_9g_2o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 + 2c_{16}g_2o_6 + 2c_{16}g_3o_6 + 2c_{17}g_1o_1o_6 - 2c_{17}g_4o_1o_6 + 2c_{17}g_2o_2o_6 + 2c_{17}g_3o_2o_6 \\
& - 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_8o_6 + 2c_{15}g_4o_1o_7o_8o_6 - 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_8o_6 - 2c_{15}g_3o_2o_7o_8o_6 + 3c_{14}g_2o_7o_9o_6 + 2c_{14}g_3o_7o_9o_6 \\
& - 2c_{15}g_4o_1o_7o_9o_6 + 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_9o_6 + 2c_{15}g_3o_2o_7o_9o_6 - 2cc_{14}g_1o_7v_1o_6 + cc_{14}g_4o_7v_1o_6 - 2cc_{15}g_2o_1o_7v_1o_6 \\
& + 2cc_{15}g_1o_2o_7v_1o_6 - cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7v_1o_6 + c_{14}g_2o_7\gamma_1o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1o_6 + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1o_6 - c_{16}g_2l_2o_6 \\
& - c_{17}g_1o_1l_2o_6 + c_{17}g_4o_1l_2o_6 - c_{17}g_2o_2l_2o_6 - c_{17}g_3o_2l_2o_6 + 2c_{14}g_2o_7o_8l_2o_6 + c_{14}g_3o_7o_8l_2o_6 \\
& - c_{15}g_4o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6 + 2c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_3o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6 - 2c_{14}g_2o_7o_9l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_3o_7o_9l_2o_6 \\
& + c_{15}g_4o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6 - 2c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6 - c_{15}g_3o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6 + 2cc_{14}g_1o_7v_1l_2o_6 - cc_{14}g_4o_7v_1l_2o_6 \\
& + cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6 - 2cc_{15}g_1o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6 + cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 \\
& - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 - c_8g_2o_7^2l_3o_6 - c_9g_1o_1o_7^2l_3o_6 - c_9g_2o_2o_7^2l_3o_6 + 2c_8g_2l_3o_6 - 2c_{16}g_2l_3o_6 + 2c_9g_1o_1l_3o_6 \\
& - 2c_{17}g_1o_1l_3o_6 + 2c_9g_2o_2l_3o_6 - 2c_{17}g_2o_2l_3o_6 - 3cc_{14}g_1o_7v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_{15}g_2o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_{15}g_1o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 3cc_8g_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_8g_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 \\
& - 3cc_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 + 3c_{14}g_2o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 + 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 + 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 - c_{14}g_1o_7^2 \\
& - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2 + 2c_8g_1o_7o_8 - c_{16}g_1o_7o_8 + 2c_9g_2o_1o_7o_8 - c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_8 - 2c_9g_1o_2o_7o_8 \\
& + c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_9 - c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_9 - 2cc_{16}g_2o_7v_1 - cc_{16}g_3o_7v_1 - 2cc_{17}g_1o_1o_7v_1 + cc_{17}g_4o_1o_7v_1 - 2cc_{17}g_2o_2o_7v_1 \\
& + 2cc_{14}g_2o_7^2o_8v_1 + cc_{14}g_3o_7^2o_8v_1 + 2cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_8v_1 - cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7^2o_8v_1 + 2cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_8v_1 + cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7^2o_8v_1 \\
& - 2cc_{14}g_2o_7^2o_9v_1 - cc_{14}g_3o_7^2o_9v_1 - 2cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_9v_1 + cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7^2o_9v_1 - 2cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_9v_1 - cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7^2o_9v_1 \\
& + c_{16}g_1o_7\gamma_1 + c_{17}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1 - c_{17}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1 + c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1 - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1 \\
& - c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1 - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1 + c_{14}g_1o_7^2l_2 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2l_2 - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2l_2 \\
& - 3c_{14}g_1l_2 + c_{14}g_4l_2 - 3c_{15}g_2o_1l_2 - c_{15}g_3o_1l_2 + 3c_{15}g_1o_2l_2 - c_{15}g_4o_2l_2 + c_{16}g_1o_7o_8l_2 + c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_8l_2 \\
& - c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_8l_2 - c_{16}g_1o_7o_9l_2 - c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_9l_2 + c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_9l_2 + 2cc_{16}g_2o_7v_1l_2 + cc_{16}g_3o_7v_1l_2 \\
& + 2cc_{17}g_1o_1o_7v_1l_2 - cc_{17}g_4o_1o_7v_1l_2 + 2cc_{17}g_2o_2o_7v_1l_2 + cc_{17}g_3o_2o_7v_1l_2 - 2cc_{14}g_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 \\
& - cc_{14}g_3o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 - 2cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 + cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 - 2cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 - cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 \\
& + 2cc_{14}g_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 + cc_{14}g_3o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 + 2cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 - cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 + 2cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 \\
& + cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 - c_{16}g_1o_7\gamma_1l_2 - c_{17}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2 + c_{17}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2 - c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2 \\
& - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2 + c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2 \\
& - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2 - c_{14}g_1o_7^2l_3 - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2l_3 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2l_3 + 2c_{14}g_1l_3 - 2c_{18}g_1l_3 + 2c_{15}g_2o_1l_3 \\
& - 2c_{19}g_2o_1l_3 - 2c_{15}g_1o_2l_3 + 2c_{19}g_1o_2l_3 + c_8g_1o_7^3o_8l_3 + c_9g_2o_1o_7^3o_8l_3 - c_9g_1o_2o_7^3o_8l_3 - c_8g_1o_7o_8l_3 \\
& + 2c_{16}g_1o_7o_8l_3 - c_9g_2o_1o_7o_8l_3 + 2c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_8l_3 + c_9g_1o_2o_7o_8l_3 - 2c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_8l_3 + c_8g_1o_7^3o_9l_3 \\
& + c_9g_2o_1o_7^3o_9l_3 - c_9g_1o_2o_7^3o_9l_3 - c_8g_1o_7o_9l_3 + 2c_{16}g_1o_7o_9l_3 - c_9g_2o_1o_7o_9l_3 + 2c_{17}g_2o_1o_7o_9l_3 \\
& - 2c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_9l_3 + 3c_{16}g_1o_7\gamma_1l_3 + 3c_{17}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{17}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 \\
& - 3c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 + 3c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{14}g_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{15}g_2o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 + 3c_{15}g_1o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3, \\
& - 2c_{14}g_3o_7o_8o_6 + 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_9o_6 - cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7v_1o_6 - 2c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6 + 2c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6 - c_{16}g_3l_2o_6 \\
& - 3c_{14}g_2o_7o_8o_6 + c_9g_1o_2o_7o_9l_3 - cc_{17}g_3o_2o_7v_1 + 2cc_{15}g_2o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6 + c_{17}g_1o_2o_7o_8 + c_{16}g_1o_7o_9, \\
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_4 = & -2c_8g_1l_3o_6^3 - 2c_9g_2o_1l_3o_6^3 + 2c_9g_1o_2l_3o_6^3 + 2c_{14}g_2o_6^2 + 2c_{14}g_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_1o_1o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_4o_1o_6^2 \\
& + 2c_{15}g_2o_2o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_3o_2o_6^2 - c_{14}g_2l_2o_6^2 - c_{14}g_3l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_1o_1l_2o_6^2 + c_{15}g_4o_1l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_2o_2l_2o_6^2 \\
& - c_{15}g_3o_2l_2o_6^2 - 2c_{14}g_2l_3o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_1o_1l_3o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_2o_2l_3o_6^2 + 2c_8g_2o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 \\
& + 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 - 2c_8g_2o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 + 3cc_8g_1o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 \\
& + 3cc_9g_2o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 - 3cc_9g_1o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 + 2c_{16}g_1o_6 - 2c_{16}g_4o_6 + 2c_{17}g_2o_1o_6 + 2c_{17}g_3o_1o_6 - 2c_{17}g_1o_2o_6 \\
& + 2c_{17}g_4o_2o_6 - c_{14}g_1o_7o_8o_6 + 2c_{14}g_4o_7o_8o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7o_8o_6 - 2c_{15}g_3o_1o_7o_8o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7o_8o_6 \\
& - c_{14}g_1o_7o_9o_6 + 2c_{14}g_4o_7o_9o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7o_9o_6 - 2c_{15}g_3o_1o_7o_9o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7o_9o_6 - 2c_{15}g_4o_2o_7o_9o_6 \\
& + cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7v_1o_6 - cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7v_1o_6 - c_{14}g_1o_7\gamma_1o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1o_6 - c_{16}g_1l_2o_6 \\
& + c_{16}g_4l_2o_6 - c_{17}g_2o_1l_2o_6 - c_{17}g_3o_1l_2o_6 + c_{17}g_1o_2l_2o_6 - c_{17}g_4o_2l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_4o_7o_8l_2o_6 \\
& + c_{15}g_3o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_4o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_4o_7o_9l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_3o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_4o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6 \\
& + cc_{14}g_3o_7v_1l_2o_6 - cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6 + cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6 + c_{14}g_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 \\
& - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_8g_1o_7^2l_3o_6 + c_9g_2o_1o_7^2l_3o_6 - c_9g_1o_2o_7^2l_3o_6 - 2c_8g_1l_3o_6 + 2c_{16}g_1l_3o_6 \\
& - 2c_9g_2o_1l_3o_6 + 2c_{17}g_2o_1l_3o_6 + 2c_9g_1o_2l_3o_6 - 2c_{17}g_1o_2l_3o_6 + 3cc_{14}g_2o_7v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 3cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_8g_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 3cc_8g_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 - 3c_{14}g_1o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 \\
& - 3c_{15}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 + 3c_{15}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 + c_{14}g_2o_7^2 + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2 + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2 - 2c_8g_2o_7o_8 \\
& + c_{16}g_2o_7o_8 - 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8 + c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_8 - 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8 + c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_8 + c_{16}g_2o_7o_9 + c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_9 \\
& + cc_{16}g_4o_7v_1 - cc_{17}g_3o_1o_7v_1 - cc_{17}g_4o_2o_7v_1 - cc_{14}g_4o_7^2o_8v_1 + cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7^2o_8v_1 + cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7^2o_8v_1 \\
& + cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7^2o_9v_1 + cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7^2o_9v_1 - c_{16}g_2o_7\gamma_1 - c_{17}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1 - c_{17}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1 - c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1 \\
& - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1 - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1 - c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1 - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1 - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1 \\
& - c_{14}g_2o_7^2l_2 - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2l_2 - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2l_2 + c_{14}g_2l_2 - c_{14}g_3l_2 + c_{15}g_1o_1l_2 + c_{15}g_4o_1l_2 \\
& + c_{15}g_2o_2l_2 - c_{15}g_3o_2l_2 - c_{16}g_2o_7o_8l_2 - c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_8l_2 - c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_8l_2 - c_{16}g_2o_7o_9l_2 - c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_9l_2 \\
& - c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_9l_2 - cc_{16}g_4o_7v_1l_2 + cc_{17}g_3o_1o_7v_1l_2 + cc_{17}g_4o_2o_7v_1l_2 + cc_{14}g_4o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 \\
& - cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 - cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2 + cc_{14}g_4o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 - cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 - cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2 \\
& + c_{16}g_2o_7\gamma_1l_2 + c_{17}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2 + c_{17}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2 + c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2 + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2 \\
& + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2 + c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2 + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2 + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2 \\
& + c_{14}g_2o_7^2l_3 + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2l_3 + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2l_3 - 2c_{14}g_2l_3 + 2c_{18}g_2l_3 - 2c_{15}g_1o_1l_3 + 2c_{19}g_1o_1l_3 \\
& - 2c_{15}g_2o_2l_3 + 2c_{19}g_2o_2l_3 - c_8g_2o_7^3o_8l_3 - c_9g_1o_1o_7^3o_8l_3 - c_9g_2o_2o_7^3o_8l_3 + c_8g_2o_7o_8l_3 - 2c_{16}g_2o_7o_8l_3 \\
& + c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8l_3 - 2c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_8l_3 + c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8l_3 - 2c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_8l_3 + c_8g_2o_7^3o_9l_3 + c_9g_1o_1o_7^3o_9l_3 \\
& + c_9g_2o_2o_7^3o_9l_3 - c_8g_2o_7o_9l_3 + 2c_{16}g_2o_7o_9l_3 - c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9l_3 + 2c_{17}g_1o_1o_7o_9l_3 - c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9l_3 \\
& + 2c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_9l_3 - 3c_{16}g_2o_7\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{17}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{17}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1l_3 + 3c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 \\
& + 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 + 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{14}g_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{15}g_1o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 \\
& - 3c_{15}g_2o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 - cc_{14}g_3o_7v_1o_6 - 2c_{15}g_4o_2o_7o_8o_6 - cc_{14}g_4o_7^2o_9v_1 + c_{17}g_2o_2o_7o_9,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_6 = & 2c_8g_2o_6^3 + 2c_8g_3o_6^3 + 2c_9g_1o_1o_6^3 - 2c_9g_4o_1o_6^3 + 2c_9g_2o_2o_6^3 + 2c_9g_3o_2o_6^3 - c_8g_2l_2o_6^3 - c_8g_3l_2o_6^3 \\
& - c_9g_1o_1l_2o_6^3 + c_9g_4o_1l_2o_6^3 - c_9g_2o_2l_2o_6^3 - c_9g_3o_2l_2o_6^3 + 2c_8g_3l_3o_6^3 - 2c_9g_4o_1l_3o_6^3 + 2c_9g_3o_2l_3o_6^3 \\
& + 2c_{14}g_1o_6^2 - 2c_{14}g_4o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_2o_1o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_3o_1o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_1o_2o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_4o_2o_6^2 - c_8g_1o_7o_8o_6^2 \\
& - c_9g_2o_1o_7o_8o_6^2 - 2c_9g_3o_1o_7o_8o_6^2 + c_9g_1o_2o_7o_8o_6^2 - 2c_9g_4o_2o_7o_8o_6^2 - c_8g_1o_7o_9o_6^2 + 2c_8g_4o_7o_9o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_9g_3o_1o_7o_9o_6^2 + c_9g_1o_2o_7o_9o_6^2 - 2c_9g_4o_2o_7o_9o_6^2 - cc_8g_3o_7v_1o_6^2 + cc_9g_4o_1o_7v_1o_6^2 - cc_9g_3o_2o_7v_1o_6^2 \\
& - c_8g_1o_7\gamma_1o_6^2 - c_9g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1o_6^2 + c_9g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1o_6^2 - c_{14}g_1l_2o_6^2 + c_{14}g_4l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_2o_1l_2o_6^2 \\
& - c_{15}g_3o_1l_2o_6^2 + c_{15}g_1o_2l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_4o_2l_2o_6^2 - c_8g_4o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 + c_9g_3o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 + c_9g_4o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 \\
& - c_8g_4o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 + c_9g_3o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 + c_9g_4o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 + cc_8g_3o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 - cc_9g_4o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 \\
& + cc_9g_3o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 + c_8g_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6^2 + c_9g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6^2 - c_9g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_{14}g_4l_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_3o_1l_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_4o_2l_3o_6^2 + 2c_8g_4o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_3o_1o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_9g_4o_2o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 + 2c_8g_4o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_3o_1o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_4o_2o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 - 3cc_8g_3o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 \\
& + 3cc_9g_4o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 - 3cc_9g_3o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 + c_8g_2o_7^2o_6 + c_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_6 + c_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_6 + c_{14}g_2o_7o_8o_6 \\
& + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_8o_6 + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_8o_6 + c_{14}g_2o_7o_9o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_9o_6 + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_9o_6 + cc_{14}g_4o_7v_1o_6 \\
& - cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7v_1o_6 - cc_8g_4o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 + cc_9g_3o_1o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 + cc_9g_4o_2o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 - cc_8g_4o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 \\
& + cc_9g_4o_2o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 - c_{14}g_2o_7\gamma_1o_6 - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1o_6 - c_8g_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1o_6 \\
& - c_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1o_6 - c_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1o_6 - c_8g_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1o_6 - c_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1o_6 - c_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1o_6 \\
& - c_8g_2o_7^2l_2o_6 - c_9g_1o_1o_7^2l_2o_6 - c_9g_2o_2o_7^2l_2o_6 + c_8g_2l_2o_6 - c_8g_3l_2o_6 + c_9g_1o_1l_2o_6 + c_9g_4o_1l_2o_6 \\
& + c_9g_2o_2l_2o_6 - c_9g_3o_2l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_2o_7o_8l_2o_6 - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_2o_7o_9l_2o_6 \\
& - c_{15}g_1o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6 - cc_{14}g_4o_7v_1l_2o_6 + cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6 + cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6 \\
& + cc_8g_4o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 - cc_9g_3o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 - cc_9g_4o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 + cc_8g_4o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 \\
& - cc_9g_3o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 - cc_9g_4o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 + c_{14}g_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 \\
& + c_{15}g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_8g_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2o_6 \\
& + c_8g_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2o_6 - c_8g_3o_7^2l_3o_6 \\
& + c_9g_4o_1o_7^2l_3o_6 - c_9g_3o_2o_7^2l_3o_6 + 2c_8g_3l_3o_6 - 2c_{16}g_3l_3o_6 - 2c_9g_4o_1l_3o_6 + 2c_{17}g_4o_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 2c_9g_3o_2l_3o_6 - 2c_{17}g_3o_2l_3o_6 + 3cc_{14}g_4o_7v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_{15}g_4o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6 \\
& - 3cc_8g_4o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_3o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_4o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_8g_4o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 3cc_9g_3o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_4o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 + 3c_{14}g_3o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 - 3c_{15}g_4o_1o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 3c_{15}g_3o_2o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 + c_{14}g_4o_7^2l_3 - c_{15}g_3o_1o_7^2l_3 - c_{15}g_4o_2o_7^2l_3 - 2c_{14}g_4l_3 + 2c_{18}g_4l_3 \\
& + 2c_{15}g_3o_1l_3 - 2c_{19}g_3o_1l_3 + 2c_{15}g_4o_2l_3 - 2c_{19}g_4o_2l_3 - c_8g_4o_7^3o_8l_3 + c_9g_3o_1o_7^3o_8l_3 + c_9g_4o_2o_7^3o_8l_3 \\
& + c_8g_4o_7o_8l_3 - 2c_{16}g_4o_7o_8l_3 - c_9g_3o_1o_7o_8l_3 + 2c_{17}g_3o_1o_7o_8l_3 - c_9g_4o_2o_7o_8l_3 + 2c_{17}g_4o_2o_7o_8l_3 \\
& - c_8g_4o_7^3o_9l_3 + c_9g_3o_1o_7^3o_9l_3 + c_9g_4o_2o_7^3o_9l_3 + c_8g_4o_7o_9l_3 - 2c_{16}g_4o_7o_9l_3 - c_9g_3o_1o_7o_9l_3 \\
& + 2c_{17}g_3o_1o_7o_9l_3 - c_9g_4o_2o_7o_9l_3 + 2c_{17}g_4o_2o_7o_9l_3 - 3c_{16}g_4o_7\gamma_1l_3 + 3c_{17}g_3o_1o_7\gamma_1l_3 \\
& + 3c_{17}g_4o_2o_7\gamma_1l_3 + 3c_{14}g_4o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{15}g_3o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{15}g_4o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_3 \\
& + 3c_{14}g_4o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{15}g_3o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 - 3c_{15}g_4o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_3 - c_9g_2o_1o_7o_9o_6^2 + 2c_8g_4o_7o_8o_6^2, \\
& + cc_9g_3o_1o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 - cc_{15}g_3o_1o_7v_1o_6,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_5 = & 2c_8g_1o_6^3 - 2c_8g_4o_6^3 + 2c_9g_2o_1o_6^3 + 2c_9g_3o_1o_6^3 - 2c_9g_1o_2o_6^3 + 2c_9g_4o_2o_6^3 - c_8g_1l_2o_6^3 + c_8g_4l_2o_6^3 \\
& - c_9g_2o_1l_2o_6^3 - c_9g_3o_1l_2o_6^3 + c_9g_1o_2l_2o_6^3 - c_9g_4o_2l_2o_6^3 - 2c_8g_4l_3o_6^3 + 2c_9g_3o_1l_3o_6^3 \\
& + 2c_9g_4o_2l_3o_6^3 + 2c_{14}g_2o_6^2 + 2c_{14}g_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_1o_1o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_4o_1o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_2o_2o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_3o_2o_6^2 \\
& - 3c_8g_2o_7o_8o_6^2 - 2c_8g_3o_7o_8o_6^2 - 3c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8o_6^2 + 2c_9g_4o_1o_7o_8o_6^2 - 3c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8o_6^2 - 2c_9g_3o_2o_7o_8o_6^2 \\
& + 3c_8g_2o_7o_9o_6^2 + 2c_8g_3o_7o_9o_6^2 + 3c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9o_6^2 - 2c_9g_4o_1o_7o_9o_6^2 + 3c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9o_6^2 + 2c_9g_3o_2o_7o_9o_6^2 \\
& - 2cc_8g_1o_7v_1o_6^2 + cc_8g_4o_7v_1o_6^2 - 2cc_9g_2o_1o_7v_1o_6^2 - cc_9g_3o_1o_7v_1o_6^2 + 2cc_9g_1o_2o_7v_1o_6^2 - cc_9g_4o_2o_7v_1o_6^2 \\
& + c_8g_2o_7\gamma_1o_6^2 + c_9g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1o_6^2 + c_9g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1o_6^2 - c_{14}g_2l_2o_6^2 - c_{14}g_3l_2o_6^2 \\
& - c_{15}g_1o_1l_2o_6^2 + c_{15}g_4o_1l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_2o_2l_2o_6^2 - c_{15}g_3o_2l_2o_6^2 + 2c_8g_2o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 \\
& + c_8g_3o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 + 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 - c_9g_4o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 + 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 + c_9g_3o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_8g_2o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 - c_8g_3o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 - 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 + c_9g_4o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 - 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 \\
& - c_9g_3o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6^2 + 2cc_8g_1o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 - cc_8g_4o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 + 2cc_9g_2o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 \\
& + cc_9g_3o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 - 2cc_9g_1o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 + cc_9g_4o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6^2 - c_8g_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6^2 \\
& - c_9g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6^2 - c_9g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6^2 + 2c_{14}g_3l_3o_6^2 - 2c_{15}g_4o_1l_3o_6^2 + 2c_{15}g_3o_2l_3o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_8g_3o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_4o_1o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 - 2c_9g_3o_2o_7o_8l_3o_6^2 + 2c_8g_3o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 \\
& - 2c_9g_4o_1o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 + 2c_9g_3o_2o_7o_9l_3o_6^2 + 3cc_8g_4o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 - 3cc_9g_3o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 \\
& - 3cc_9g_4o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6^2 - c_8g_1o_7^2o_6 - c_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_6 + c_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_6 + 4c_8g_1o_6 - 4c_8g_4o_6 + 4c_9g_2o_1o_6 + 4c_9g_3o_1o_6 \\
& - 4c_9g_1o_2o_6 + 4c_9g_4o_2o_6 - c_{14}g_1o_7o_8o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7o_8o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7o_8o_6 + c_{14}g_1o_7o_9o_6 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7o_9o_6 \\
& - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7o_9o_6 - 2cc_{14}g_2o_7v_1o_6 - cc_{14}g_3o_7v_1o_6 - 2cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7v_1o_6 + cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7v_1o_6 - 2cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7v_1o_6 \\
& - cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7v_1o_6 + 2cc_8g_2o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 + cc_8g_3o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 + 2cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 - cc_9g_4o_1o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 \\
& + 2cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 + cc_9g_3o_2o_7^2o_8v_1o_6 - 2cc_8g_2o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 - cc_8g_3o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 - 2cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 \\
& + cc_9g_4o_1o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 - 2cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 - cc_9g_3o_2o_7^2o_9v_1o_6 + c_{14}g_1o_7\gamma_1o_6 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1o_6 \\
& - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1o_6 + c_8g_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1o_6 + c_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1o_6 - c_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1o_6 \\
& - c_8g_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1o_6 - c_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1o_6 + c_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1o_6 + c_8g_1o_7^2l_2o_6 + c_9g_2o_1o_7^2l_2o_6 \\
& - c_9g_1o_2o_7^2l_2o_6 - 3c_8g_1l_2o_6 + c_8g_4l_2o_6 - 3c_9g_2o_1l_2o_6 - c_9g_3o_1l_2o_6 + 3c_9g_1o_2l_2o_6 \\
& - c_9g_4o_2l_2o_6 + c_{14}g_1o_7o_8l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_2o_1o_7o_8l_2o_6 - c_{15}g_1o_2o_7o_8l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_1o_7o_9l_2o_6 \\
& - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7o_9l_2o_6 + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7o_9l_2o_6 + 2cc_{14}g_2o_7v_1l_2o_6 + cc_{14}g_3o_7v_1l_2o_6 + 2cc_{15}g_1o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6 \\
& - cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7v_1l_2o_6 + 2cc_{15}g_2o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6 + cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7v_1l_2o_6 - 2cc_8g_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 - cc_8g_3o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 \\
& - 2cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 + cc_9g_4o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 - 2cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 - cc_9g_3o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_2o_6 \\
& + 2cc_8g_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 + cc_8g_3o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 + 2cc_9g_1o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 - cc_9g_4o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 \\
& + 2cc_9g_2o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 + cc_9g_3o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_2o_6 - c_{14}g_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 - c_{15}g_2o_1o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 \\
& + c_{15}g_1o_2o_7\gamma_1l_2o_6 - c_8g_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2o_6 - c_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1l_2o_6 \\
& + c_8g_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_9g_2o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2o_6 - c_9g_1o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1l_2o_6 + c_8g_4o_7^2l_3o_6 \\
& - c_9g_3o_1o_7^2l_3o_6 - c_9g_4o_2o_7^2l_3o_6 - 2c_8g_4l_3o_6 + 2c_{16}g_4l_3o_6 + 2c_9g_3o_1l_3o_6 - 2c_{17}g_3o_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 2c_9g_4o_2l_3o_6 - 2c_{17}g_4o_2l_3o_6 - 3cc_{14}g_3o_7v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_{15}g_4o_1o_7v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_{15}g_3o_2o_7v_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 3cc_8g_3o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_4o_1o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 + 3cc_9g_3o_2o_7^2o_8v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_8g_3o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 \\
& + 3cc_9g_4o_1o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 - 3cc_9g_3o_2o_7^2o_9v_1l_3o_6 - 3c_{14}g_4o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6 + 3c_{15}g_3o_1o_7\gamma_1l_3o_6
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdots + 3c_{15}g_4o_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3o_6 - 2c_8g_2o_7o_8 - 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_8 - 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_8 + 2c_8g_2o_7o_9 + 2c_9g_1o_1o_7o_9 \\
& + 2cc_8g_4o_7v_1 - 2cc_9g_3o_1o_7v_1 - 2cc_9g_4o_2o_7v_1 + 2c_8g_2o_7\gamma_1 + 2c_9g_1o_1o_7\gamma_1 + 2c_9g_2o_2o_7o_9 \\
& - c_{14}g_3o_7^2\iota_3 + c_{15}g_4o_1o_7^2\iota_3 - c_{15}g_3o_2o_7^2\iota_3 + 2c_{14}g_3\iota_3 - 2c_{18}g_3\iota_3 - 2c_{15}g_4o_1\iota_3 + 2c_{19}g_4o_1\iota_3 \\
& + 2c_{15}g_3o_2\iota_3 - 2c_{19}g_3o_2\iota_3 + c_8g_3o_7^3o_8\iota_3 - c_9g_4o_1o_7^3o_8\iota_3 + c_9g_3o_2o_7^3o_8\iota_3 - c_8g_3o_7o_8\iota_3 \\
& + 2c_{16}g_3o_7o_8\iota_3 + c_9g_4o_1o_7o_8\iota_3 - 2c_{17}g_4o_1o_7o_8\iota_3 - c_9g_3o_2o_7o_8\iota_3 + 2c_{17}g_3o_2o_7o_8\iota_3 \\
& - c_8g_3o_7^3o_9\iota_3 + c_9g_4o_1o_7^3o_9\iota_3 - c_9g_3o_2o_7^3o_9\iota_3 + c_8g_3o_7o_9\iota_3 - 2c_{16}g_3o_7o_9\iota_3 - c_9g_4o_1o_7o_9\iota_3 \\
& + 2c_{17}g_4o_1o_7o_9\iota_3 + c_9g_3o_2o_7o_9\iota_3 - 2c_{17}g_3o_2o_7o_9\iota_3 + 3c_{16}g_3o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{17}g_4o_1o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 \\
& + 3c_{17}g_3o_2o_7\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{14}g_3o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{15}g_4o_1o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{15}g_3o_2o_7^2o_8\gamma_1\iota_3 \\
& + 3c_{14}g_3o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3 - 3c_{15}g_4o_1o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3 + 3c_{15}g_3o_2o_7^2o_9\gamma_1\iota_3 + 2c_9g_2o_2o_7\gamma_1,
\end{aligned}$$

In above expressions, $v_1 = \beta_1\gamma_1$ with $\beta_1 = \frac{P_1}{M_1}$ and $\gamma_1 = \frac{E_1}{M_1}$; the expressions of o_i s are

$$\begin{aligned}
o_1 &= \frac{k}{w_2}, & o_2 &= \frac{m_2}{w_2}, & o_3 &= \frac{M_1}{P_1}, & o_4 &= \frac{1}{\beta_1} = \frac{E_1}{P_1}, \\
o_6 &= \frac{k}{m_3}, & o_7 &= \frac{M_1}{m_3}, & o_8 &= \frac{M}{M_1}, & o_9 &= \frac{M_2}{M_1};
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

and we define

$$C_1 = c = \cos \theta, \quad C_{21} = \frac{1}{2}(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1), \quad C_{22} = \frac{1}{2}(\cos^2 \theta - 1), \tag{A.11}$$

where θ denotes the angle between \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{P}_1 . We also define c_{8-19} to denote the following relevant variables

$$\begin{aligned}
c_8 &= (c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 + c_5 + c_6), \\
c_9 &= (c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4 + c_5 - c_6), \\
c_{10} &= kc_8, \\
c_{11} &= kc_9, \\
c_{12} &= (c_1k_{11} + c_2k_{12} + c_3k_{13} + c_4k_{14} + c_5k_{15} + c_6k_{16}), \\
c_{13} &= (c_1k_{11} - c_2k_{12} + c_3k_{13} - c_4k_{14} + c_5k_{15} - c_6k_{16}), \\
c_{14} &= c_{12}/m_3, \\
c_{15} &= c_{13}/m_3, \\
c_{16} &= (c_1k_{11}^2 + c_2k_{12}^2 + c_3k_{13}^2 + c_4k_{14}^2 + c_5k_{15}^2 + c_6k_{16}^2)/m_3^2, \\
c_{17} &= (c_1k_{11}^2 - c_2k_{12}^2 + c_3k_{13}^2 - c_4k_{14}^2 + c_5k_{15}^2 - c_6k_{16}^2)/m_3^2, \\
c_{18} &= (c_1k_{11}^3 + c_2k_{12}^3 + c_3k_{13}^3 + c_4k_{14}^3 + c_5k_{15}^3 + c_6k_{16}^3)/m_3^3, \\
c_{19} &= (c_1k_{11}^3 - c_2k_{12}^3 + c_3k_{13}^3 - c_4k_{14}^3 + c_5k_{15}^3 - c_6k_{16}^3)/m_3^3,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

where the expressions of c_i ($i = 1, \dots, 6$) has already been listed in Eq. (3.36).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Feng-Kun Guo, Xu-Chang Zheng, and Hao Xu for helpful suggestions and discussions. This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFA1604803), and is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant Nos. 12047503, 12005169, 12075301, 11821505, 12047503, and 12075073. X.Z. Tan also acknowledges the support from Helmholtz-OCPC Postdoctoral Fellowship Program.

References

- [1] R. Aaij, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 072001. [arXiv:1507.03414](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.072001](#).
- [2] R. Aaij, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (22) (2019) 222001. [arXiv:1904.03947](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.222001](#).
- [3] T. Gershon, arXiv: 2206.15233 (2022). [arXiv:2206.15233](#).
- [4] Q. Li, C.-H. Chang, T. Wang, G.-L. Wang (1 2023). [arXiv:2301.02094](#).
- [5] J.-J. Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset, B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 232001. [arXiv:1007.0573](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.232001](#).
- [6] W. L. Wang, F. Huang, Z. Y. Zhang, B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. C 84 (2011) 015203. [arXiv:1101.0453](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.015203](#).
- [7] J.-J. Wu, T. S. H. Lee, B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 044002. [arXiv:1202.1036](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044002](#).
- [8] Z.-C. Yang, Z.-F. Sun, J. He, X. Liu, S.-L. Zhu, Chin. Phys. C 36 (2012) 6–13. [arXiv:1105.2901](#), [DOI:10.1088/1674-1137/36/1/002](#), [10.1088/1674-1137/36/3/006](#).
- [9] X.-Q. Li, X. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (12) (2014) 3198. [arXiv:1409.3332](#), [DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3198-3](#).
- [10] M. Karliner, J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (12) (2015) 122001. [arXiv:1506.06386](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.122001](#).
- [11] R. Chen, X. Liu, X.-Q. Li, S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (13) (2015) 132002. [arXiv:1507.03704](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.132002](#).
- [12] C.-J. Xiao, Y. Huang, Y.-B. Dong, L.-S. Geng, D.-Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 100 (1) (2019) 014022. [arXiv:1904.00872](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.014022](#).
- [13] M.-Z. Liu, Y.-W. Pan, F.-Z. Peng, M. Sánchez Sánchez, L.-S. Geng, A. Hosaka, M. Pavon Valderrama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (24) (2019) 242001. [arXiv:1903.11560](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.242001](#).
- [14] R. Chen, Z.-F. Sun, X. Liu, S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 100 (1) (2019) 011502. [arXiv:1903.11013](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.011502](#).
- [15] C. W. Xiao, J. Nieves, E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D 100 (1) (2019) 014021. [arXiv:1904.01296](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.014021](#).
- [16] J. He, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (5) (2019) 393. [arXiv:1903.11872](#), [DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6906-1](#).

- [17] Y.-H. Lin, B.-S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D 100 (5) (2019) 056005. [arXiv:1908.05309](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.056005](#).
- [18] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 100 (5) (2019) 051501. [arXiv:1903.11001](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.051501](#).
- [19] A. Ali, A. Y. Parkhomenko, Phys. Lett. B 793 (2019) 365–371. [arXiv:1904.00446](#),
[DOI:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.05.002](#).
- [20] L. Meng, B. Wang, G.-J. Wang, S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 100 (1) (2019) 014031.
[arXiv:1905.04113](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.014031](#).
- [21] T. J. Burns, E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D 100 (11) (2019) 114033. [arXiv:1908.03528](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.114033](#).
- [22] M. B. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. D 100 (3) (2019) 034020. [arXiv:1907.01476](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.034020](#).
- [23] F.-K. Guo, X.-H. Liu, S. Sakai, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 112 (2020) 103757.
[arXiv:1912.07030](#), [DOI:10.1016/j.pnpnp.2020.103757](#).
- [24] H.-W. Ke, M. Li, X.-H. Liu, X.-Q. Li, Phys. Rev. D 101 (1) (2020) 014024.
[arXiv:1909.12509](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.014024](#).
- [25] M.-L. Du, V. Baru, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, J. A. Oller, Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (7) (2020) 072001. [arXiv:1910.11846](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.072001](#).
- [26] Z.-G. Wang, Chin. Phys. C 44 (11) (2020) 113106. [arXiv:2006.13028](#),
[DOI:10.1088/1674-1137/abb080](#).
- [27] Y. Yamaguchi, H. Garcia-Tecocoatzí, A. Giachino, A. Hosaka, E. Santopinto, S. Takeuchi, M. Takizawa, Phys. Rev. D 101 (9) (2020) 091502. [arXiv:1907.04684](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.091502](#).
- [28] H. Xu, Q. Li, C.-H. Chang, G.-L. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 101 (5) (2020) 054037.
[arXiv:2001.02980](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.054037](#).
- [29] T. J. Burns, E. S. Swanson, Eur. Phys. J. A 58 (4) (2022) 68. [arXiv:2112.11527](#),
[DOI:10.1140/epja/s10050-022-00723-9](#).
- [30] W. Ruangyoo, K. Phumphan, C.-C. Chen, A. Limphirat, Y. Yan, J. Phys. G 49 (7) (2022) 075001. [arXiv:2105.14249](#), [DOI:10.1088/1361-6471/ac58af](#).
- [31] F. Stancu, Phys. Rev. D 104 (5) (2021) 054050. [arXiv:2108.05841](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.054050](#).
- [32] S. X. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 111503. [arXiv:2103.06817](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.L111503](#).
- [33] S. X. Nakamura, A. Hosaka, Y. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D 104 (9) (2021) L091503.
[arXiv:2109.15235](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.L091503](#).
- [34] S. Sakai, H.-J. Jing, F.-K. Guo, Phys. Rev. D 100 (7) (2019) 074007. [arXiv:1907.03414](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.074007](#).
- [35] Y. Dong, P. Shen, F. Huang, Z. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (4) (2020) 341.
[arXiv:2002.08051](#), [DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7890-1](#).
- [36] G.-J. Wang, L.-Y. Xiao, R. Chen, X.-H. Liu, X. Liu, S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 102 (3) (2020) 036012. [arXiv:1911.09613](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.036012](#).

- [37] H.-X. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (10) (2020) 945. [arXiv:2001.09563](#),
[DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08519-1](#).
- [38] Y.-J. Xu, C.-Y. Cui, Y.-L. Liu, M.-Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D 102 (3) (2020) 034028.
[arXiv:1907.05097](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.034028](#).
- [39] Z.-G. Wang, X. Wang, Chin. Phys. C 44 (2020) 103102. [arXiv:1907.04582](#),
[DOI:10.1088/1674-1137/ababf7](#).
- [40] X.-Z. Ling, J.-X. Lu, M.-Z. Liu, L.-S. Geng, Phys. Rev. D 104 (7) (2021) 074022.
[arXiv:2106.12250](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.074022](#).
- [41] Q. Li, C.-H. Chang, S.-X. Qin, G.-L. Wang, Chin. Phys. C 44 (2020) 013102.
[arXiv:1903.02282](#), [DOI:10.1088/1674-1137/44/1/013102](#).
- [42] Q. Li, C.-H. Chang, S.-X. Qin, G.-L. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 60.
[arXiv:2112.10966](#), [DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10006-8](#).
- [43] Q. Li, C.-H. Chang, G.-L. Wang, T. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 104 (1) (2021) 014018.
[arXiv:2104.12372](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.014018](#).
- [44] C.-H. Chang, C. Kim, G.-L. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 623 (2005) 218–226.
[DOI:10.1016/j.physletb.2005.07.059](#).
- [45] Z.-H. Wang, G.-L. Wang, C.-H. Chang, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 39 (2012) 015009.
[arXiv:1107.0474](#), [DOI:10.1088/0954-3899/39/1/015009](#).
- [46] T. Wang, G.-L. Wang, H.-F. Fu, W.-L. Ju, JHEP 07 (2013) 120. [arXiv:1305.1067](#),
[DOI:10.1007/JHEP07\(2013\)120](#).
- [47] Q. Li, T. Wang, Y. Jiang, H. Yuan, G.-L. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (8) (2016) 454.
[DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4306-3](#).
- [48] Q. Li, Y. Jiang, T. Wang, H. Yuan, G.-L. Wang, C.-H. Chang, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (5) (2017) 297. [arXiv:1701.03252](#), [DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4865-y](#).
- [49] Q. Li, T. Wang, Y. Jiang, G.-L. Wang, C.-H. Chang, Phys. Rev. D 100 (7) (2019) 076020.
[arXiv:1802.06351](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.076020](#).
- [50] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio, G. Nardulli, Phys. Rept. 281 (1997) 145–238. [arXiv:hep-ph/9605342](#), [DOI:10.1016/S0370-1573\(96\)00027-0](#).
- [51] T.-M. Yan, H.-Y. Cheng, C.-Y. Cheung, G.-L. Lin, Y. C. Lin, H.-L. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 1148–1164. [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.46.1148](#).
- [52] H.-Y. Cheng, C.-K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 014006. [arXiv:hep-ph/0610283](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.014006](#).
- [53] Y.-R. Liu, M. Oka, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 014015. [arXiv:1103.4624](#),
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.014015](#).
- [54] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao, B.-S. Zou, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (1) (2018) 015004. [arXiv:1705.00141](#), [DOI:10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015004](#).
- [55] R. E. Behrends, C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. 106 (2) (1957) 345.
[DOI:10.1103/PhysRev.106.345](#).
- [56] M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 45 (7) (1992) R2188. [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.45.R2188](#).
- [57] G. Burdman, J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Lett. B 280 (1992) 287–291.
[DOI:10.1016/0370-2693\(92\)90068-F](#).

- [58] E. E. Jenkins, M. E. Luke, A. V. Manohar, M. J. Savage, Nucl. Phys. B 390 (1993) 463–473. [arXiv:hep-ph/9204238](#), [DOI:10.1016/0550-3213\(93\)90464-Z](#).
- [59] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, T. N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 054023. [arXiv:hep-ph/0310084](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.054023](#).
- [60] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, G. Li, U.-G. Meissner, Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 034013. [arXiv:1008.3632](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034013](#).
- [61] R. L. Workman, et al., PTEP 2022 (2022) 083C01. [DOI:10.1093/ptep/ptac097](#).
- [62] P. A. Zyla, et al., PTEP 2020 (8) (2020) 083C01. [DOI:10.1093/ptep/ptaa104](#).
- [63] E. J. Garzon, J.-J. Xie, Phys. Rev. C 92 (3) (2015) 035201. [arXiv:1506.06834](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevC.92.035201](#).
- [64] M.-L. Du, V. Baru, X.-K. Dong, A. Filin, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, J. Nieves, Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 105 (1) (2022) 014024. [arXiv:2110.13765](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.014024](#).
- [65] M.-Z. Liu, T.-W. Wu, M. Sánchez Sánchez, M. P. Valderrama, L.-S. Geng, J.-J. Xie, Phys. Rev. D 103 (5) (2021) 054004. [arXiv:1907.06093](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.054004](#).
- [66] N. Yalikhun, Y.-H. Lin, F.-K. Guo, Y. Kamiya, B.-S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D 104 (9) (2021) 094039. [arXiv:2109.03504](#), [DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.094039](#).
- [67] F.-Z. Peng, L.-S. Geng, J.-J. Xie (10 2024). [arXiv:2410.21891](#).
- [68] C.-W. Shen, D. Rönchen, U.-G. Meißner, B.-S. Zou, Y.-F. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C 84 (7) (2024) 764. [arXiv:2405.02626](#), [DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-13139-0](#).
- [69] Z.-Y. Yang, J. Song, W.-H. Liang, E. Oset (12 2024). [arXiv:2412.15731](#).
- [70] R. Mertig, M. Bohm, A. Denner, Comput. Phys. Commun. 64 (1991) 345–359. [DOI:10.1016/0010-4655\(91\)90130-D](#).
- [71] V. Shtabovenko, R. Mertig, F. Orellana, Comput. Phys. Commun. 207 (2016) 432–444. [arXiv:1601.01167](#), [DOI:10.1016/j.cpc.2016.06.008](#).
- [72] V. Shtabovenko, R. Mertig, F. Orellana, Comput. Phys. Commun. 256 (2020) 107478. [arXiv:2001.04407](#), [DOI:10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107478](#).