

Photoproduction, Paramagnetic Anisotropic Plasma, IR Log-Gravitational-DBI Renormalization and G_2 -Structure Induced (Almost) Contact 3-Structures in Hot Strongly Magnetic MQCD at Intermediate Coupling

Shivam Singh Kushwah* and Aalok Misra†

Department of Physics,
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, Uttarakhand, India

Abstract

After obtaining the gauge fields that can be supported on the world-volume of flavor $D6$ -branes in the type IIA dual of thermal QCD-like theories at high temperatures and intermediate coupling (the latter incorporated via the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections in its \mathcal{M} -theory uplift) and gauge-invariant fluctuations about them, in the (absence and) presence of a strong magnetic field, we obtain the $\frac{\chi}{N^2 T^2 \omega} - \omega$ variation (χ being the spectral function for the in(reaction)-plane photon polarization and N being the number of color $D3$ -branes in the parent type IIB dual [1] of thermal QCD-like theories). We further obtain a nice agreement with, e.g., bottom-up holographic anisotropic backgrounds in gauged supergravity [2]. Implementing Dirichlet boundary condition at the horizon for the world-volume gauge fields, we also demonstrate at the level of EoS that the holographic dual, in principle, could correspond to several scenarios above T_c . These include stable wormholes, a stable wormhole transitioning via a smooth crossover to dark energy as the universe cools (the converse being prohibited in our setup), and a paramagnetic pressure/energy-anisotropic plasma. Given that above T_c QGP is expected to be paramagnetic [3], the third possibility appears to be the preferred one. Generalizing the TOV equations to include angular mass/pressure/energy profiles, we show up to first order in G , that it is not possible that the anisotropic plasma leads to the formation of a compact star. En route, we show that the IR renormalization of the DBI action requires a boundary Log-determinant-of-Ricci-tensor counter term. *We further conjecture that (i) quantities like photoproduction spectral function, speed of sound (and hence bulk viscosity), etc. that are determined from world-volume gauge field fluctuations that receive $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections, if complexified, include a non-analytic-complexified gauge-coupling dependence, and correspond to Contact 3-Structures; (ii) quantities, e.g., pressure/free energy, energy density, etc. that are determined from world-volume gauge fields that are not $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrected, if complexified, are analytic in the complexified gauge coupling, and correspond to Almost*

*email- shivams_kushwah@ph.iitr.ac.in

†email- aalok.misra@ph.iitr.ac.in

Contact 3-Structures (AC3S), both induced from the G_2 structure of a closed seven-fold - a warped product of the \mathcal{M} -theory circle and a non-Kähler six-fold with the six-fold being a warped product of the thermal circle with a non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$, and (iii) the lack of N -path connectedness in the parameter space associated with AC3S and C3S [4], corresponds therefore to that gauge field fluctuations can not be finite, and in the zero-instanton sector, (type-IIB modular-completion-inspired) $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ non-renormalized gauge fields produce $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrected gauge fluctuations.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Photo-production in top-down holographic QGP	4
1.2	Study of generalized EoS	5
2	Type IIB/IIA Dual of Large-N Thermal QCD-Like Theories, its \mathcal{M}-Theory Uplift and the MQGP Limit	8
3	$\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ Corrections and When to Go Beyond	10
3.1	$\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ terms in $\mathcal{N} = 1, D = 11$ Supergravity Action	10
3.2	When $\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ Is (Not) Enough	13
4	Almost Contact 3-Structures Arising from G_2 Structure in the \mathcal{M} Uplift in the Limit (4)	15
5	$D6$-Branes' Gauge Fields in the Absence of Magnetic Fields in the IR/UV	16
5.1	$A_\mu, \mu = t, \rho, \phi, Z, x^3$ in the IR Up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$	16
5.2	Non-Renormalization Up to $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ of $A_\mu, \mu = t, \rho, \phi, Z, x^3$	20
5.3	$A_\mu, \mu = t, \rho, \phi, Z, x^3$ in the UV Up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$	21
6	$D6$-Branes' Gauge Fields in the Presence of Strong Magnetic Fields	23
6.1	In the IR	23
6.1.1	$A_\mu, \mu = t, x^{1,2,3}, Z$ in the IR up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$	24

6.1.2	Non-Renormalization of $A_{\mu=t,\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}$ in the Self-Consistent truncation $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$	28
6.1.3	Log-Gravitational-DBI IR Renormalization	29
6.2	In the UV at $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$	31
6.3	In the UV at $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$ and Non-Renormalization of $A_{\mu=t,\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}$ in the Self-Consistent truncation $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$	33
6.3.1	$B = 0$	33
6.3.2	Strong Magnetic Fields ($B > (0.15\text{GeV})^2$)	34
7	Photoproduction	34
7.1	Photoproduction in the absence of magnetic field	35
7.1.1	Matching with $D = 5$ gauged SUGRA truncation over an S^5 of $D = 10$ type IIB SUGRA for $B = 0$ [5]	39
7.2	Photoproduction in the presence of strong magnetic field	40
8	Paramagnetic Pressure/Energy-Anisotropic Plasma and Generalized TOV Equations	45
8.1	Pressure/Energy anisotropy, non-analyticity with respect to complexified gauge coupling, and Almost Contact 3-Structures	46
8.2	Various scenarios arising on the basis of Equations of State	50
8.3	Impossibility of obtaining a Compact Star	52
9	Summary	60
9.1	Photoproduction in QGP	62
9.2	Study of generalized EoS	63
9.3	Violation of conformal bound in the presence of strong magnetic field in paramagnetic anisotropic plasma	66

1 Introduction

The QCD has an interesting phase diagram, below the deconfinement temperature it contains hadrons and mesons as degrees of freedom, which are the bound states of quarks formed due to their strong interactions mediated by gluons. Above the deconfinement temperature, a new state of matter is predicted called a Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) which behaves very close to an ideal fluid [6]. In recent years, Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions (RHIC) experiments have started the quest to detect the QGP, and revealed that QGP is strongly coupled, leading to non-applicability of perturbative methods. AdS/CFT is a proposal to deal with the strongly coupled quantum field theories, where a weakly coupled gravity dual can be constructed for them. The more extended version of the AdS/CFT duality is the gauge/gravity duality, where one can consider non-conformal theories like QCD, resulted in a fruitful way to deal with such strongly coupled systems. QGP is believed to be found in the core of stellar objects called Neutron stars, which can be probed experimentally via gravitational wave data, for a detailed discussion on Neutron stars see [7], and for their core supporting quark matter see [8]. Recent heavy ion collision experiments reveal the presence of a strong magnetic field during the early times of production of QGP, and in stellar objects there exists a certain class of Neutron stars, called Magnetars [9–11] characterized by the strong magnetic field and low frequency of rotation compared to neutron stars. These findings make the inclusion of magnetic field an interesting probe to study QGP stars. The photon or dilepton production is another aspect which is interesting to explore because of the thermal nature of plasma. Due to small electromagnetic coupling (α_{em}) the photon interacts very weakly with plasma, hence is considered to be optically thin. The presence of a strong magnetic field in the early stages of QGP production verified by RHIC experiments [12] enhances the rate of photoproduction [13], and produces anisotropy results in their elliptic flow, see [14]. Hence, the strong magnetic field provides an interesting probe to study photoproduction in QGP within close analogy with RHIC experiments.

The study conducted in this paper can be divided into two parts:

1. Photo-production in top-down holographic QGP.
2. Study of generalized Equation of State(EoS).

1.1 Photo-production in top-down holographic QGP

Since QGP is a charged medium it will eventually emit photons or dileptons. These radiated thermal photons(say) encode the characteristic features of the medium. Due to the weak nature of electromagnetic interaction, they do not interact with the strong coupling medium, and they

significantly provide information about the current-current correlators in the hot QGP produced during heavy ion collision experiments. The differential photon emission rate per unit volume in thermal equilibrium can be written as [15]:

$$d\Gamma = \frac{d^3k}{2(2\pi)^3} \frac{\chi(k)}{\omega(e^{\beta\omega} - 1)}, \quad \chi(k) = -2\text{Im}[\sum_{i=1,2} \varepsilon_i^\mu \varepsilon_i^\nu C_{\mu\nu}(k)] \quad (1)$$

where, $\chi(k)$ is the trace of the spectral density, $C_{\mu\nu}$ is the retarded current-current correlators, $i = 1, 2$ denotes the polarization states of the photon.

Depending on the photon momentum, three dynamical classifications of photons as hard, soft, and ultra-soft photons are discussed in [16]. Depending on the type of photon, the characteristic properties of the plasma, such as electrical conductivity, susceptibility, bulk viscosity, etc. are affected. Due to such features, it becomes interesting to explore the photoproduction from QGP.

1.2 Study of generalized EoS

In recent years a lot of work has been devoted to exploring the features of the EoS of QCD below de-confinement and above de-confinement where physicists consider the form $p = \omega\epsilon$, where p is pressure density, E is energy density, and ω is EoS parameter, and ω is constant. But it seems to be an interesting problem where one considers the EoS parameter is not to be a constant. This generalization of EoS leads to interesting phenomena such as dark matter(DM), dark energy(DE), phantom dark energy(PDE), etc., which makes it an interesting problem to explore.

According to cosmological studies, the Friedman equation can be written as,

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -4\pi(E + 3P) \quad (2)$$

where P is the total pressure, E is the energy density, and a is the scale factor that appears in the FRW metric to describe the size of the universe. Consider the generalized EoS $P = P(E)$, where the $\omega(r)$ is the EoS parameter. Now, from the eq(2), one can see that for $\omega(r) < -\frac{1}{3}$, the Universe is expanding i.e. $\ddot{a} > 0$. The EOS with $P = \omega\epsilon$, with $\omega < -\frac{1}{3}$ corresponds to the Dark Energy. Hence one can say that DE is sourcing the accelerated expansion of the current universe. According to the Λ CDM model, the universe consists of nearly 70% dark energy. EoS $w = -1$ corresponds to the positive cosmological constant term, $-1 < \omega < -\frac{1}{3}$ corresponds to quintessence, and $w < -1$ corresponds to the special kind of dark energy called Phantom Dark Energy(PDE). Phantom energy is sourced by the negative-kinetic-energy-term of phantom(or ghost) scalar field which is introduced by hand in the bottom-up models of gravity, say for ϕ as

the phantom (or ghost) field [17],

$$L = -\frac{R}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - V(\phi) \quad (3)$$

But in string theory, a phantom field naturally emerges [18]. Phantom energy boosts the accelerated expansion of the universe leading to the Big Rip scenario. It also violates the null energy condition and hence becomes a candidate to support the wormholes.

Wormholes are considered to be the objects that connect the two regions of the same spacetime or two different spacetimes. Wormholes were first introduced by Flamm in 1916 and then by Einstein and Nathan Rosen in 1935[19], then also called the Einstein-Rosen bridge. These are the geometries supported by the general theory of relativity that appear as solutions to Einstein's field equations. Certain energy conditions need to be followed by the ordinary matter (written in terms of stress-energy tensor) and the perfect fluid (written in terms of energy density(E) and pressure density(P)) which are based on the restrictions on energy-momentum tensor which are as follows[20]:

- Weak Energy Condition (WEC): $T_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu \geq 0$, or $\epsilon \geq 0$, $\epsilon + P \geq 0$, which ensure that for a time like observer moving with 4- velocity, u^μ , the observed energy is always positive.
- The Null energy condition(NEC): $T_{\mu\nu}k^\mu k^\nu \geq 0$, or $\epsilon + P \geq 0$, which prevents the negative energy-like situation along the null trajectories moving with 4-velocity vector k^μ .
- The Strong Energy Condition (SEC): $(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Tg_{\mu\nu})u^\mu u^\nu \geq 0$, or $\epsilon + P \geq 0$, $\epsilon + 3P \geq 0$, which prevents the matter to have gravitational repulsion, hence avoids the exoticness like scenario.
- The Dominant Energy Conditions(DEC): $T_{\mu\nu}u^\mu v^\nu \geq 0$, where u^μ , and v^ν are the co-oriented time-like vectors, or $\epsilon \geq |P|$, which prevents the superluminal transport and maintain causality of energy-momentum flow.

Wormholes violate the null energy condition (NEC). Traversable wormholes are a special class of wormholes that allow travel from one point of the universe to another or from one universe to another and do not contain an event horizon as it prohibits two-way travel. Exotic matter is considered to be required to stabilize the wormhole as it violates the average null energy condition and weak energy condition. Due to negative energy density, the wormholes get the required gravitational repulsion to stabilize [21]. It has been argued that the exotic matter is not necessarily required to stabilize them, but ordinary fermionic matter is also sufficient to do so, for example, for traversable wormholes in Einstein-Maxwell-Dirac theory see [22, 23]. In

certain conditions of the failure of the negative energy source, wormhole converts into a black hole, and inversely a black hole can also convert into a wormhole if it irradiated with negative energy, resulting in stationary wormholes that could be the final state of radiating black holes [24], and would possibly resolve the information loss paradox as there is no singularity present in a traversable wormhole where information loss could happen. There is another well-known effect in quantum field theory, argued to stabilize the traversable wormholes, is the Casimir effect. A Casimir energy is also the candidate that can support the traversable wormholes [23] known as Casimir Wormholes, extensively studied in the context of modified theories of gravity, see [25, 26]. The creation/annihilation of wormholes is controlled by PDE (or DE). Varying EoS parameter crossing the phantom divide $\omega = -1$ generates excessive radial pressure in the dark stars resulting in opening the tunnel leads to the creation of a wormhole [27]. At the spacetime foam level in Euclidean quantum gravity, Wormholes also have some topological implications that arise in higher derivative theories as shown [28] states that topology changes occur due to the formation of the wormhole, later this induced the effective cosmological term purely of topological origin depending on HD-correction-coupling-parameter and density of wormholes, resulting in the DE sector in general time-dependent background. The only example compatible with quantum and classical description is based on traversable wormholes known as $ER = EPR$ conjecture [29] to resolve the EPR paradox. These studies make it very interesting to study the interconnection between ordinary matter (here quark matter), dark energy, phantom energy, and wormholes as they coexist, and play a crucial role in the stellar structure of the universe.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 consists of an introduction to the \mathcal{M} -theoretic uplift of the type-IIA SYZ (Strominger-Yau-Zaslow) mirror constructed via triple T-duality, of the parent type-IIB dual of holographic QCD like theories as developed in [1, 30, 31]. Section 3 discusses the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections in the \mathcal{M} -theoretic uplift and when to go beyond. In section 4, we summarize the basics of (Almost) Contact 3-Structures. In section 5, we obtain the flavor D6-brane world-volume gauge fields in the absence of magnetic field in the UV and the IR in a self-consistent truncation resulting in non-renormalization of gauge fields at $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ (in the zero-instanton sector). The analysis of section 5 is repeated in section 6 but with the inclusion of a strong magnetic field. Section 7 consists of a discussion on the photo-production in QGP within the aforementioned \mathcal{M} -theoretic QGP setup. In section 8 we will discuss the generalized EoS, for the respective \mathcal{M} -theoretic QGP, which consists of an interesting interplay of quark matter, phantom energy, stable wormholes depending on the temperature range. Section 9 consists of the summary of the results obtained.

2 Type IIB/IIA Dual of Large- N Thermal QCD-Like Theories, its \mathcal{M} -Theory Uplift and the MQGP Limit

The holographic dual of thermal QCD-like theories at finite coupling was successfully constructed in [30, 31]. Finite gauge coupling on the gauge theory side would correspond to strong coupling limit of string theory, i.e. \mathcal{M} -theory, to be consistent with gauge - gravity duality. The same was effected via the "MQGP ¹ limit" defined as [30, 31]:

$$g_s^{-1} \equiv \mathcal{O}(1) - \mathcal{O}(10); N_f, M \equiv \mathcal{O}(1), N \gg 1, \frac{(g_s M^2)^{m_1} (g_s N_f)^{m_2}}{N} \ll 1, m_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}, \quad (4)$$

where $(g_s, N, M, N_f) \equiv$ (string coupling, number of color $D3$ -branes, number of fractional $D3$ -branes/ $D5$ -branes wrapping the vanishing S^2 of a resolved conifold, number of flavor $D7$ -branes) in the type IIB dual [1] of thermal QCD-like theories at high (i.e. above the deconfinement) temperature.

In this work, we use the specific values of g_s, M, N_f as given in Table 2 (as also given in [32]) which is *purely motivated by the desire the theory makes contact with real QCD* as well as to work with *intermediate N duals of thermal QCD-like theories*. However, it should be noted that **all results pertaining to the G_2 structure, Almost Contact 3-Structures and the resultant transverse $SU(3)$ structures of this work are valid $\forall(g_s, M, N_f)$ satisfying (4)**. We will see that for the values of g_s, M, N_f as given in Table 2 [even though

S. No.	Parameter ^c	Value chosen consistent with (4)	Physics reason
1.	g_s	0.1	QCD fine structure constant (EW scale)
2.	M	3	Number of colors in the IR after a Seiberg-like duality cascade to match real QCD
3.	N_f	2 or 3	u, d (and s) quarks - the light quarks of QCD

Table 1: Values of g_s, M, N_f in the IR motivated by realistic QCD

this table appears in the published [32] with both the authors as co-authors, chronologically, it first appeared in a preliminary version of this paper that appeared as arXiv:2211.13186 [hep-th], v1], $N = 100 \pm \mathcal{O}(1)$ **is the value of N picked out to obtain explicit Contact 3-Structures (and the associated transverse $SU(3)$ 3-structures)**; a different choice of $(g_s, M = \mathcal{O}(1), N_f = \mathcal{O}(1))$ would pick out another (intermediate) N .

¹Short for \mathcal{M} -theoretic Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) - essentially implying study of QGP-like thermal QCD systems at intermediate/finite coupling, holographically, and from a top-down approach.

The \mathcal{M} -theory uplift of the type IIB string dual [1] of thermal QCD-like theories, was obtained by first constructing its type IIA Strominger-Yau-Zaslow (SYZ) type IIA mirror [via triple T duality along a delocalized special Lagrangian (sLag) T^3 resolved/deformed conifold which could be identified with the T^2 -invariant sLag of [33] with a large base $\mathcal{B}(r, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ [30, 31]], and then uplifted to \mathcal{M} -theory. As regards delocalization, (as summarized in [34]²) the \mathcal{M} -theory uplift (excluding the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections) of the type IIB holographic dual of [1] of our manuscript, was constructed in the MQGP limit in references [30], [31], by first constructing the delocalized SYZ type IIA mirror (wherein a pair of squashed S^2 s are replaced by a pair of T^2 s, and the correct T-duality coordinates are identified). Analogous to [35], the \mathcal{M} -theory uplift corresponds to a bona-fide G_2 structure satisfying the EOMs even if one removes the delocalization, i.e., take the uplift to be valid for all angles $\theta_{1,2}, \psi$. Further, working in the aforementioned vanishing-Ouyang-embedding's-modulus limit (essentially limiting to the first-generation quarks[+s quark]), it is evident that one will have to work near small values of $\theta_{1,2}$. *As an example*, we work in the neighborhood of

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \left(\frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{N^{1/5}}, \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}}{N^{3/10}} \right), \\ \alpha_{\theta_{1,2}} &\equiv \mathcal{O}(1); \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

the slightly different powers of N in the delocalized $\theta_{1,2}$ is also to remind us that in the pair of squashed S^2 's, the vanishing $S^2(\theta_1, \phi_1)$ and resolved $S^2(\theta_2, \phi_2)$ are not on the ‘‘same footing’’. At the level of on-shell action, the results up to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$ are made independent of the delocalization (as explained in [34]) by replacing the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ delocalization parameters $\alpha_{\theta_{1,2}}$ respectively by $N^{1/5} \sin \theta_1$ or $N^{3/10} \sin \theta_2$. One can then choose a different delocalization by then replacing $\sin \theta_{1,2}$ by

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{\theta_1}}{N^{\gamma_{\theta_1}}}, \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{\theta_2}}{N^{\gamma_{\theta_2}}} \right), \gamma_{\theta_1} \neq \frac{1}{5}, \gamma_{\theta_2} \neq \frac{3}{10}; \tilde{\alpha}_{\theta_{1,2}} \equiv \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{6}$$

The results pertaining to G_2 -structure torsion classes of the closed M_7 and the existence of (Almost)Contact(3)(Metric)Structures and transverse $SU(3)$ structures, remain unchanged and independent of delocalization.

The UV-complete (unlike [36]) Type IIB string dual of [1], involves N color $D3$ -branes placed at the tip of a resolved conifold, M $D5$ -branes and $\overline{D5}$ -branes both wrapping the vanishing S^2 but at antipodal points of the resolved S^2 , and N_f flavor $D7$ - and $\overline{D7}$ -branes ‘‘wrapping’’ a non-

²This is explained in [35]. A resolved warped *deformed* conifold (in the type IIB gravity dual (See Fig. 1)) does not possess an isometry along ψ . Therefore, to construct its type IIA SYZ mirror and its subsequent \mathcal{M} -theory uplift, to begin with, one works in the delocalized limit $\psi = \langle \psi \rangle$ wherein one replaces $S^2(\theta_{1,2}, \phi_{1,2})$ by $T^2(\theta_{1,2}, x/y)$ via (11). Then, similar to [35] in the context of $D5$ -branes wrapped around the resolved squashed S^2 of a resolved conifold, it can be shown that freeing the uplift of the delocalization generates a G_2 structure, and therefore the \mathcal{M} -theory uplift and thus its type IIA descendant, are both free of delocalization.

compact four cycle $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times S^3$ involving the vanishing S^2 but at antipodal points of the resolved conifold.

SYZ mirror symmetry is triple T-duality along three isometry directions (ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ψ) . By performing first T-duality along ψ direction, one obtains N $D4$ branes which are wrapping ψ direction and M $D4$ -branes straddling a pair of orthogonal NS5-branes. Further, from T-dualities along ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , one obtains a pair of Taub-Nut spaces and N $D6$ branes. Effect of triple T-dualities on the flavor $D7$ branes is that $D7$ branes are replaced by $D6$ -branes. The \mathcal{M} -theory mirror of the type IIA mirror yields KK monopoles (variants of Taub-NUT spaces). Therefore, we can see that there are no branes in \mathcal{M} -theory uplift and we have \mathcal{M} -theory on a G_2 -structure manifold with fluxes. This is summarized in Fig. 1.

(As explained in, e.g., [37]) After application of repeated Seiberg-like dualities at finite temperature, N $D3$ -branes are cascaded away in the IR yielding an $SU(M)$ gauge theory that is UV-conformal, IR-confining wherein the quarks transform in the fundamental representation of flavor and color groups. As M then gets identified with the number of colors in the IR, in the MQGP-limit (4) M can not only be taken to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ but in fact even the realistic-QCD-inspired value of 3. Further, the type IIB dual of [1] is valid at all temperatures.

3 $\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ Corrections and When to Go Beyond

In this section, via two subsections, we talk about some aspects of $\mathcal{N} = 1, D = 11$ supergravity action up to terms quartic in curvature in subsection 3.1 and a competition between IR-enhancement and large- N suppression thereby answering the question when one would require to go beyond the quartic-in-curvature corrections, in 3.2.

3.1 $\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ terms in $\mathcal{N} = 1, D = 11$ Supergravity Action

The $\mathcal{N} = 1, D = 11$ supergravity action inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ terms is pretty well known, and has been summarized in several previous publications from our group, e.g., [34]. Apart from the Einstein-Hilbert, the boundary Gibbons-Hawking-York and flux terms at the leading order, the higher derivative corrections start at terms quartic in the curvature (as well as terms which are cubic in curvature and quadratic in the four-form flux $G_4 = d\mathcal{C}_3$ with \mathcal{C}_3 being the \mathcal{M} -theory three-form potential). The $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ terms come in three varieties - the " $J_0 = t_8^2 R^4$ " (see, e.g., [34] for the definition of the t_8 tensor), the eleven-dimensional generalization of the eight-dimensional Eulerian density " $E_8 = \epsilon_{11}^2 R^4$ " (ϵ_{11} being the 11-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol) and " X_8 " given in terms of the second and the square of the first Pontryagin classes of the 11-fold




Figure 1: The Status of the Type IIB/IIA/ \mathcal{M} -theory dual of large- N QCD at high temperature [1], [30], [31] inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ M-theory corrections [34]; $T_{NE}^{1,1}$ denotes a non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$

(relevant to anomaly inflow); X_8 was shown to vanish in [30] (See [38] and [34] for a discussion on a completion of the 1-loop $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ in the presence of NS-NS B in type IIA compatible with T duality and its \mathcal{M} -theory uplift). As in [34], the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections are $\beta \sim l_p^6$ (l_p being the Planckian length)-suppressed.

Now, the \mathcal{M} -theory uplift corresponding to high temperatures in QCD is given as follows [30], [34]:

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{-\frac{2\phi^{\text{IIA}}}{3}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{h(r, \theta_{1,2})}} \left(-g(r)dt^2 + (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 \right) + \sqrt{h(r, \theta_{1,2})} \left(\frac{dr^2}{g(r)} + ds_{\text{IIA}}^2(r, \theta_{1,2}, \phi_{1,2}, \psi) \right) \right] + e^{\frac{4\phi^{\text{IIA}}}{3}} \left(dx^{11} + A_{\text{IIA}}^{F_1^{\text{IIB}} + F_3^{\text{IIB}} + F_5^{\text{IIB}}} \right)^2, \quad (7)$$

where $A_{\text{IIA}}^{F_{1,3,5}^{\text{IIB}}}$ correspond to the RR Type IIA one-form gauge field generated from the type IIB $F_{1,3,5}^{\text{IIB}}$ via the SYZ mirror of the type IIB string dual [1]. Also, $g(r) = 1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}$.

The $D = 11$ action is holographically renormalizable by the construction of appropriate counter terms \mathcal{S}^{ct} . It was shown in [39] that inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections, the bulk on-shell $D = 11$ supergravity action is given by:

$$S_{D=11}^{\text{on-shell}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[-2S_{\text{EH}}^{(0)} + 2S_{\text{GHY}}^{(0)} + \beta \left(\frac{20}{11} S_{\text{EH}}^{(1)} - 2 \int_{M_{11}} (\sqrt{-g})^{(1)} R^{(0)} + 2S_{\text{GHY}}^{(1)} - \frac{2}{11} \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{-g^{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{MN} \frac{\delta J_0}{\delta g_{(0)}^{MN}} \right) \right], \quad (8)$$

where the superscripts "(0)" and "(1)" refer to the contributions of the relevant term at $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$ respectively. The UV divergences of the on-shell action of (8) are of the following types:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{-g} R \Big|_{\text{UV-divergent}}, \quad \int_{\partial M_{11}} \sqrt{-h} K \Big|_{\text{UV-divergent}} &\sim r_{\text{UV}}^4 \log r_{\text{UV}}, \\ \int_{M_{11}} \sqrt{-g} g^{MN} \frac{\delta J_0}{\delta g^{MN}} \Big|_{\text{UV-divergent}} &\sim \frac{r_{\text{UV}}^4}{\log r_{\text{UV}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It was shown in [39] that a certain linear combination of the boundary terms: $\int_{\partial M_{11}} \sqrt{-h} K \Big|_{r=r_{\text{UV}}}$ and $\int_{\partial M_{11}} \sqrt{-h} h^{mn} \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial h^{mn}} \Big|_{r=r_{\text{UV}}}$ serves as the appropriate counter terms to cancel the UV divergences as given in (9).

Now, it was shown in [34] that if one makes an ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{MN} &= g_{MN}^{(0)} + \beta g_{MN}^{(1)}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{MNP} &= \mathcal{C}_{MNP}^{(0)} + \beta \mathcal{C}_{MNP}^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

to be substituted into the equations of motion, one can self-consistently set $\mathcal{C}_{MNP}^{(1)} = 0$. Further, as proved in [34] (as Lemma 1), in the neighborhood of the Ouyang embedding of flavor $D7$ -branes (see [1]) (that figure in the type IIB string dual of thermal QCD-like theories at high temperatures [1]) effected by working in the neighborhood of small $\theta_{1,2}$ (assuming a vanishingly small Ouyang embedding parameter), in the MQGP limit (4), $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_8}{J_0} = 0$, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_8 t_8 G^2 R^3}{E_8} = 0$. Therefore, E_8 and $t_8^2 G^2 R^3$ -contributions (were) are disregarded (in [34]).

3.2 When $\mathcal{O}(l_p^6)$ Is (Not) Enough

Based on the results of this paper and its applications as discussed in detail in [37], [39], we now address the question when it becomes necessary to go beyond $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections in \mathcal{M} -theory.

An extremely important lesson that we learn from the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ \mathcal{M} -theory corrections obtained in [34], can be abstracted from Table 2.

S. No.	$G_{MN}^{\mathcal{M}}$	IR-Enhancement Factor $\frac{(\log \mathcal{R}_h)^m}{\mathcal{R}_h^n}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ Correction	N -Suppression Factor in the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ Correction
1	$G_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\log \mathcal{R}_h$	$N^{-\frac{9}{4}}$
2	$G_{rr, \theta_1 x}^{\mathcal{M}}$	1	$N^{-\frac{8}{15}}$
3	$G_{\theta_1 z, \theta_2 x}^{\mathcal{M}}$	\mathcal{R}_h^{-5}	$N^{-\frac{7}{6}}$
4	$G_{\theta_2 y}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\log \mathcal{R}_h$	$N^{-\frac{7}{5}}$
5	$G_{\theta_2 z}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\log \mathcal{R}_h$	$N^{-\frac{7}{6}}$
6	$G_{xy}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\log \mathcal{R}_h$	$N^{-\frac{21}{20}}$
7	$G_{xz}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$(\log \mathcal{R}_h)^3$	$N^{-\frac{5}{4}}$
8	$G_{yy}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\log \mathcal{R}_h$	$N^{-\frac{7}{4}}$
9	$G_{yz}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\frac{\log \mathcal{R}_h}{\mathcal{R}_h^7}$	$N^{-\frac{29}{12}}$
10	$G_{zz}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\log \mathcal{R}_h$	$N^{-\frac{23}{20}}$
11	$G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}$	$\frac{\log \mathcal{R}_h^3}{\mathcal{R}_h^2}$	$N^{-\frac{5}{4}}$

Table 2: IR Enhancement vs. large- N Suppression in $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -Corrections in the M-theory Metric in the $\psi = 2n\pi$, $n = 0, 1, 2$ Patches; $\mathcal{R}_h \equiv \frac{r_h}{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}} < 1$, $\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}$ being the $D5 - \overline{D5}$ separation

In Table 2, the delocalized $T^3(x, y, z)$ coordinates x, y, z are defined near $r = \langle r \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle$ close to the Ouyang embedding of the flavor $D7$ -branes in the parent type IIB dual [1], as

[30]³:

$$\begin{aligned}
dx &= \sqrt{h_2} \left[h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle) \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin \langle \theta_1 \rangle \langle r \rangle d\phi_1, \\
dy &= \sqrt{h_4} \left[h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle) \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin \langle \theta_2 \rangle \langle r \rangle d\phi_2, \\
dz &= \sqrt{h_1} \left[h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle) \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle r \rangle d\psi,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle)$ being the delocalized warp factor [1]:

$$h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle) = \frac{L^4}{\langle r \rangle^4} \left[1 + \frac{3g_s M_{\text{eff}}^2}{2\pi N} \log \langle r \rangle \left\{ 1 + \frac{3g_s N_f^{\text{eff}}}{2\pi} \left(\log \langle r \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{g_s N_f^{\text{eff}}}{4\pi} \log \left(\sin \frac{\langle \theta_1 \rangle}{2} \sin \frac{\langle \theta_2 \rangle}{2} \right) \right\} \right], \tag{12}$$

wherein $L \equiv 4\pi g_s N \alpha'^2$, with the effective number of fractional $D3$ -branes, M_{eff} , and the effective number of flavor $D7$ -branes, N_f^{eff} , defined, e.g., in [37]. The squashing factors are defined below [1]:

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{9} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{g_s M^2}{N} \right), \quad h_2 = \frac{1}{6} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{g_s M^2}{N} \right), \quad h_4 = h_2 + \frac{4a^2}{\langle r \rangle^2}, \tag{13}$$

(a being the radius of the blown-up S^2).

One notes that in the IR: $r = \chi r_h$, $\chi \equiv \mathcal{O}(1)$, and up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$:

$$f_{MN} \sim \beta \frac{(\log \mathcal{R}_h)^m}{\mathcal{R}_h^n N^{\beta_N}}, \quad m \in \{0, 1, 3\}, \quad n \in \{0, 2, 5, 7\}, \quad \beta_N > 0. \tag{14}$$

Note $|\mathcal{R}_h| \ll 1$ and as estimated in [40],

$$|\log \mathcal{R}_h| \sim \kappa_{r_h} N^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad 0 < \kappa_{r_h} = \frac{1}{3(6\pi)^{1/3} (g_s N_f)^{2/3} (g_s M^2)^{1/3}} < 1. \tag{15}$$

This implies Planckian and large- N suppression, and infra-red enhancement arising from $m, n \neq 0$ in (14), are mutually competing effects. As shown in [34], choosing a hierarchy: $\beta \sim e^{-\gamma \beta N^{\gamma N}}$

³As explained in [42], the T^3 -valued (x, y, z) are defined via:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= \langle \phi_1 \rangle + \frac{x}{\sqrt{h_2} [h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle)]^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin \langle \theta_1 \rangle \langle r \rangle}, \\
\phi_2 &= \langle \phi_2 \rangle + \frac{y}{\sqrt{h_4} [h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle)]^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin \langle \theta_2 \rangle \langle r \rangle}, \\
\psi &= \langle \psi \rangle + \frac{z}{\sqrt{h_1} [h(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle)]^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle r \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the IR, it was shown [43] that the delocalized $\langle \theta_{1,2} \rangle$ can be promoted to global $\theta_{1,2}$; we do so in all the results in the paper.

[41], $\gamma_\beta, \gamma_N > 0 : \gamma_\beta N^{\gamma_N} > 7\kappa_{r_h} N^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left(\frac{m}{3} - \beta_N\right) \log N$, ensures that the IR-enhancement does not dominate over Planckian suppression. Hence, if $\gamma_\beta N^{\gamma_N} \sim 7\kappa_{r_h} N^{\frac{1}{3}}$, one would have to go to a higher order in β .

Thus, for any $\alpha > 0$, $\frac{E_8}{J_0} \sim \frac{1}{N^\alpha}$. It was shown in [39] that one obtains the hierarchy $t_8^2 G^2 R^3 < E_8 < J_0$ in the MQGP limit. Hence, we will consider only “ J_0 ” term for the calculation purpose in this paper similar to [4, 37, 39, 44–46].

In the remainder of the paper it will be understood that use would have been made of the following for simplifying expression. In the \mathcal{M} -theory $C_3^\beta = 0$ -truncation, it was shown in [34] that $|\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1x}}^{\text{bh}}| \ll 1$,

$(-\mathcal{C}_{zz}^{\text{bh}} + 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1z}}^{\text{bh}} - 3\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1x}}^{\text{bh}}) = 0$ where $\mathcal{C}_{MN}^{\text{bh}}$ corresponds to the constant of integration appearing in solutions of the EOMs of the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ \mathcal{M} -theory metric $g_{MN}^{\mathcal{M}}$.

4 Almost Contact 3-Structures Arising from G_2 Structure in the \mathcal{M} Uplift in the Limit (4)

Due to non-trivial \mathcal{M} -theory four-form fluxes, M_7 is generically not Ricci-flat and hence does not possess G_2 holonomy, but usually possesses G_2 structure⁴. Given that the adjoint of $SO(7)$ decomposes under G_2 as $\mathbf{21} \rightarrow \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14}$ where $\mathbf{14}$ is the adjoint representation of G_2 , one obtains the following four G_2 -structure torsion classes:

$$\tau \in \Lambda^1 \otimes g_2^\perp = W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27} = \tau_0 \oplus \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3, \quad (16)$$

g_2^\perp representing the orthogonal complement of g_2 ; the subscript a in W_a denotes the dimensionality of the torsion class W_a , and p in τ_p denotes the rank of the associated differential form. The four intrinsic G_2 -structure torsion classes are defined, e.g. in [?].

The G_2 -structure torsion classes τ_p 's of the seven-fold $M_7 = S_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \times_w (S_{\text{thermal}}^1 \times_w M_5)$, M_5 being a non-Einsteinian generalization of $T^{1,1}$, and close to the Ouyang embedding (??) of the flavor $D7$ -branes in the parent type IIB dual in the limit of very-small-Ouyang-embedding parameter limit ($|\mu_{\text{Ouyang}}| \ll 1$) were worked out in [4]:

$$\tau(M_7) = \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \oplus \tau_3. \quad (17)$$

It was also shown in [4] that in the $N \gg 1$ -MQGP limit (footnote 1) and the intermediate- N MQGP limit (4), the aforementioned closed M_7 supports Almost Contact 3-Structures [Lemma 2 of [4]]. But M_7 supports Contact 3-Structures only in the latter limit (4) [Lemma 4 of [4]].

⁴If V is a seven-dimensional real vector space, then a three-form Φ is said to be positive if it lies in the $GL(7; \mathbb{R})$ orbit of Φ_0 , where Φ_0 is a three-form on \mathbb{R}^7 which is preserved by G_2 -subgroup of $GL(7; \mathbb{R})$. The pair $(\Phi; g)$ for a positive 3-form Φ and the corresponding metric g , constitute a G_2 -structure.

The main result of [4] is that the four-parameter space $\mathcal{X}_{G_2}(g_s, M, N_f; N)$ [$g_s \in (0, 1)$ and varying continuously; $M_{UV}, N_f^{UV} N$ varying in steps of 1 such that M, N_f are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\frac{1}{N} \ll 1$] of M_7 supporting G_2 structures and relevant to the aforementioned \mathcal{M} -theory uplift of thermal QCD-like theories, is not N -path connected with reference to Contact Structures in the IR, i.e., the $N \gg 1$ Almost Contact 3-Structures arising from the G_2 structure in the $N \gg 1$ MQGP limit (footnote 1), do not connect to a Contact 3-Structures (in the IR) which is shown to exist only for an appropriate intermediate N effected by the intermediate- N MQGP limit (4) and, e.g., by the QCD-inspired parameters $M_{UV}, N_f^{UV} g_s$ of Table 1.

5 $D6$ -Branes' Gauge Fields in the Absence of Magnetic Fields in the IR/UV

In this section, we work out the gauge fields that can supported on the world volume of the type IIA flavor $D6$ -branes in the absence of an external magnetic field in the IR (5.1 - 5.2) and in the UV 5.3.

Here we will consider the DBI action for N_f flavor $D6$ -branes,

$$S_{D6} = -T_{D6} N_f \int d^7 \xi e^{-\phi_{IIA}} \sqrt{-\det \{i^*(g + B) + F\}}, \quad (18)$$

with $2\pi\alpha' = 1$, $i : \Sigma_{D6} \hookrightarrow M_{10}$ defines the embedding of the $D6$ -brane world volume in the ten-dimensional type IIA gravity dual involving a non-Kähler resolved conifold, and $\{t, x^1, x^2, x^3, Z, \theta_2, \tilde{y}\}$ are the coordinates of the worldvolume directions of the $D6$ -branes with $\{t, x^1, x^2, x^3\}$ are usual Minkowski coordinates. Here the radial coordinate is redefined as $r = r_h e^Z$, where r is the radial coordinate and θ_2, \tilde{y} are angular coordinates. The $U(1)$ gauge field strength is $F_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, and ϕ_{IIA} is the type-IIA dilaton (triple T-dual of type-IIB dilaton). In this section, we will consider the aforementioned DBI action with vanishing magnetic field.

5.1 $A_\mu, \mu = t, \rho, \phi, Z, x^3$ in the IR Up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$

We will work in the gauge $A_Z(\rho, Z, x^3) = 0$. One can decompose the gauge field as, $A_\mu(t, \rho, \phi, Z, x^3) = A_\mu(\rho, \phi, Z, x^3)^{\beta^0} + \beta A_\mu(\rho, Z, x^3)^\beta$, where, $A_\mu(\rho, \phi, Z, x^3)^{\beta^0}$ are gauge fields without considering the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections, and $A_\mu(\rho, \phi, Z, x^3)^\beta$ are the fields which encodes the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections. First, we work out $A_\mu(\rho, Z, x^3)^{\beta^0}$ in the IR region.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\phi^{\text{IIA}}} &= \frac{3(g_s N_f \log(9b^2 + e^{2Z}) + 6g_s N_f \log(r_h) + 4g_s N_f Z - 8\pi)}{8\pi g_s} + \frac{9b(8\pi b + 3)g_s M^2 N_f (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{4\pi N(9b^2 + e^{2Z})} \\
&+ \frac{243b^{10}(9b^2 + 1)^3 \beta M \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{5/4} (19683\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_1}^6 + 6642\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 - 40\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4) (e^Z - 2) e^{2Z} \log^3(r_h)}{32\pi^2 (3b^2 - 1)^5 (6b^2 + 1)^3 g_s (\log N)^4 N_f r_h \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \\
&\times (g_s N_f \log(9b^2 + e^{2Z}) + 6g_s N_f \log(r_h) + 4g_s N_f Z - 8\pi). \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

In the IR,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{-(i^*G + F)}^{B=0, \beta^0} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}N^{3/5}r_h e^Z \sqrt{(\partial_{x^3} A_t^{\beta^0})^2 \left((\partial_Z A_\rho^{\beta^0})^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0})^2 \right) - 2(\partial_Z A_\rho^{\beta^0})(\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta^0})(\partial_{x^3} A_t^{\beta^0})(\partial_Z A_{x^3}^{\beta^0}) + (\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta^0})^2 \left((\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi)^2 \right)}}{3\sqrt[3]{3}\alpha_{\theta_2}^2}. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming $\partial_{x^3} A_t^{\beta^0} = 0$, (20) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho e^Z r_h \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0} &= \frac{\rho \sqrt{(\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta^0})^2 \left((\partial_Z A_{x^3}^{\beta^0})^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0})^2 \right)}}{139968\sqrt{2}3^{5/6}\pi g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^5} \left(34992\sqrt{3}N^{3/5}r_h^2 e^{2Z} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \right) \\
&\times \left(\frac{2(9 + 8\sqrt{3}\pi)g_s^2 M^2 N_f (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{\sqrt{3}N(e^{2Z} + 3)} + g_s N_f \log(e^{2Z} + 3) + 6g_s N_f \log(r_h) + 4g_s N_f Z - 8\pi \right). \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

A_ϕ EOM

As $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta(\partial_\rho A_\phi^{\beta^0})} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta(\partial_{x^3} A_\phi^{\beta^0})} = 0$, the $A_\phi^{\beta^0}$ EOM is $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta(\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0})} = \mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3}$. Assuming $\partial_{x^3} A_t = 0$, and the following ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\phi^{\beta^0}(Z, \rho, Z) &= a_\phi^\rho(\rho) a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) a_\phi^Z(Z), \\
A_\rho^{\beta^0}(Z, \rho, Z) &= a_\rho^\rho(\rho) a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) a_\rho^Z(Z), \\
A_{x^3}^{\beta^0}(Z, \rho, Z) &= a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) a_{x^3}^Z(Z), \\
A_t^{\beta^0}(Z, \rho, Z) &= a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^Z(Z), \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

this implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} (\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta^0})^2 (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0}) \left(6g_s N_f \log(r_h) - \frac{(9+8\sqrt{3}\pi)g_s^2 M^2 N_f (Z^2+2Z-4)(c_1+c_2 \log(r_h))}{8\sqrt{3}N} \right)}{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt[3]{3}\pi g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{-(\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta^0})^2 \left((\partial_Z A_{x^3}^{\beta^0})^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0})^2 \right)}} \\
&= - \frac{N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^Z(Z) a_\phi^\rho(\rho) a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) a_\phi^{Z'}(Z) \left(6g_s N_f \log(r_h) - \frac{(9+8\sqrt{3}\pi)g_s^2 M^2 N_f (Z^2+2Z-4)(c_1+c_2 \log(r_h))}{8\sqrt{3}N} \right)}{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt[3]{3}\pi g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2 + a_\phi^\rho(\rho)^2 a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_\phi^{Z'}(Z)^2}} \\
&= \mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3, B=0}(\rho, x^3). \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, (23) simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3^{2/3} N^{3/5} N_f \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^Z(Z) \log(r_h) a_t^{\rho'}(\rho)}{2\pi \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} 2 + 2}} \\
& \frac{\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5} \left((3\sqrt{3} + 8\pi) g_s M^2 N_f \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} (Z^2 + 2Z - 4) a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^Z(Z) a_t \rho'(\rho) (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h)) \right)}{32 \left(\sqrt[3]{3\pi} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} 2 + 2} \right)} \\
& + \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{6/5} \right) = \mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3, B=0}(\rho, x^3). \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

One hence sees that (24) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_t^Z(Z) &= - \frac{\mathcal{C}_\phi^{tZ, B=0} e^{-2Z}}{729\sqrt{3}\pi N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \left((3\sqrt{3} + 8\pi) c_2 g_s M^2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5} (Z^2 + 2Z - 4) - 48N^{3/5} \right)} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{C}_\phi^{tZ, B=0} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} (1 - 2Z + 2Z^2)}{34992\sqrt{3}\pi N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} + \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{6/5} \right), \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_\phi^{tZ, B=0} \rho a_t^{x^3}(x^3) \log(r_h) a_t^{\rho'}(\rho)}{23328 \ 3^{5/6} \pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 \sqrt{2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} 2 + 2}} = \mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3, B=0}(\rho, x^3) \equiv \text{Constant}, \tag{26}$$

which yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_t^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_\rho^{B=0} \log(\rho) + c_1, \\
a_t^{x^3}(x^3) &= \frac{23328 \ 3^{5/6} \pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 \sqrt{2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} 2 + 2\mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3, B=0}(\rho, x^3)}}{\mathcal{C}_\phi^{tZ, B=0} \mathcal{C}_\rho^{B=0} \log(r_h)}. \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

We have assumed $\partial_{x^3} A_t^{\beta_0} = 0$.

A_ρ EOM

Now, assuming $\partial_{x^3} A_t^{\beta_0} = 0$, one can show that the A_ρ -EOM is identically satisfied.

A_t EOM

As $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta \partial_Z A_t^{\beta_0}} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta \partial_\rho A_t^{\beta_0}} = 0$, the $A_t^{\beta_0}$ EOM is $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta \partial_{x^3} A_t^{\beta_0}} = \mathcal{C}_t^{\rho Z}$. This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} \left(6g_s N_f \log(r_h) - \frac{(9+8\sqrt{3}\pi) g_s^2 M^2 N_f (Z^2 + 2Z - 4) (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{8\sqrt{3}N} \right)}{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt[3]{3}\pi g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \\
& \times \sqrt{a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^Z(Z)^2 + a_\phi^\rho(\rho)^2 a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_\phi^Z(Z)^2} = \mathcal{C}_t^{x^3 Z, B=0}(x^3, Z) \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

that is satisfied by:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} a_\phi^\rho(\rho), \\
a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3), \\
a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} a_\phi^{Z'}(Z).
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\phi\rho}^{B=0} N^{3/5} r_h^2 (2Z^2 + 2Z + 1) a_\phi^{Z'}(Z) \left(6g_s N_f \log(r_h) - \frac{(9+8\sqrt{3}\pi)g_s^2 M^2 N_f (Z^2+2Z-4)(c_1+c_2 \log(r_h))}{8\sqrt{3}N} \right)}{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt[3]{3}\pi g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \\
&= \mathcal{C}_{\phi Z}^{B=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Defining,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0 &= 17496 (9 + 8\sqrt{3}\pi) g_s^2 M^2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5} N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h)) + 209952\sqrt{3}g_s N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \log(r_h), \\
a_1 &= 26244\sqrt{3} (3\sqrt{3} + 8\pi) g_s^2 M^2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5} N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h)) + 419904\sqrt{3}g_s N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \log(r_h), \\
a_2 &= 13122\sqrt{3} (3\sqrt{3} + 8\pi) g_s^2 M^2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5} N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h)) + 419904\sqrt{3}g_s N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \log(r_h),
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

and using

$$\int \frac{dZ}{a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2} = \frac{2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{a_1 + 2a_2 Z}{\sqrt{4a_0 a_2 - a_1^2}} \right)}{\sqrt{4a_0 a_2 - a_1^2}}, \tag{32}$$

one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\phi^Z(Z) &= \frac{i\sqrt{2}\pi \mathcal{C}_{\phi Z}^{B=0} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 (\log((1-i) - 2iZ) - \log(2iZ + (1+i)))}{3^{2/3} \mathcal{C}_{\phi\rho}^{B=0} N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h)}, \\
a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) &= \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} + 1} \mathcal{C}_t^{x^3 Z, B=0}(x^3, Z)}{\mathcal{C}_{\phi Z}^{B=0}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

A_{x^3} EOM

As $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta \partial_\rho A_{x^3}^{\beta^0}} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta \partial_{x^3} A_{x^3}^{\beta^0}} = 0$, the $A_\phi^{\beta^0}$ EOM is $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{IR}}}{\delta \partial_Z A_{x^3}^{\beta^0}} = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho x^3}$, i. e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^Z(Z) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)}{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}\pi g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 (-a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2 - a\phi\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2}} \\
& \times \left(6g_s N_f \log(r_h) - \frac{(9 + 8\sqrt{3}\pi) g_s^2 M^2 N_f (Z^2 + 2Z - 4) (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{8\sqrt{3}N} \right) \\
& = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} \mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3, B=0}(\rho, x^3) \\
& \frac{(3\sqrt{3} + 8\pi) c_2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} g_s M^2 (Z^2 + 2Z - 4) \mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3, B=0}(\rho, x^3)}{48N} \\
& = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{x^3\rho, B=0}, \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

such that $\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} \sim N^{-x}, x > 0$.

5.2 Non-Renormalization Up to $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ of $A_\mu, \mu = t, \rho, \phi, Z, x^3$

In the $A_Z(\rho, \phi, Z, x^3) = 0$ gauge for static solutions, we show here that $A_{\mu=\rho, \phi, Z, x^3}(\rho, Z, x^3)^\beta = 0$ is a consistent truncation of the A_μ EOMs up to $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$.

Writing $A_\mu = A_\mu^{\beta^0} + \beta A_\mu^\beta \delta_\mu^t$, and $b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \epsilon$, $A_t^\beta(Z, \rho, x^3) = a_t^{Z, \beta}(Z) a_t^{\rho, \beta^0}(\rho) a_t^{x^3, \beta^0}(x^3)$, one

The A_{x^3} EOM: $\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\partial_\mu A_{x^3}} \right) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\delta A_{x^3}}$ yields: $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\partial_Z A_{x^3}} = \text{constant}$. Now, using an ansatz similar to (22),

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\partial_Z A_{x^3}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{4Z} a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^Z(Z) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}{\sqrt{a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^Z(Z)^2 + a_\phi^\rho(\rho)^2 a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_\phi^Z(Z)^2 + 2r_h^2}}. \quad (38)$$

Assuming $a_{x^3}^Z(Z) = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z} a_\phi^Z(Z)$, $a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) = \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} a_\phi^\rho(\rho)$, one hence obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z}{}^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 + a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3)^2}} &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}}, \\ \rho a_t^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}(\rho), \\ e^{4Z} a_t^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which are solved to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3)}{\sqrt{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 - \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z}{}^2}}, \\ a_t^\rho(\rho) &= \int_1^\rho \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}(\rho)}{\rho} d\rho + c_1, \\ a_t^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} e^{-4Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

A_t EOM

The A_t EOM: $\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\partial_\mu A_t} \right) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\delta A_t}$ yields: $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\partial_\rho A_t} = \text{constant}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV, B=0}}}{\partial_\rho A_t} \\ &= \frac{\beta M^{\text{UV}} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{13/20} \rho e^{6Z} (-19683\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_1}^6 - 6642\alpha_{\theta_2}^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 40\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4) \log^3(r_h) \sqrt{\partial_Z A_{x^3}^2 + \partial_Z A_\phi^2}}{2187\sqrt{23^{5/6}}\pi\epsilon^5 g_s N_f^{\text{UV}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 \log^4(N)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2} N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{5Z} \sqrt{\partial_Z A_{x^3}^2 + \partial_Z A_\phi^2}}{\sqrt[3]{3} g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Making an ansatz similar to (22), one is motivated to assume:

$$e^{5Z} a_\phi^Z(Z) = -5 \mathcal{C}_{a_\phi^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}}, \quad (42)$$

i.e.,

$$a_\phi^Z(Z) = \mathcal{C}_{a_\phi^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} e^{-5Z} + c_1. \quad (43)$$

Also,

$$a_\phi^\rho(\rho) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi}^{B=0, \text{UV}}}{\rho}. \quad (44)$$

One hence also obtains:

$$a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_t^{x^3}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \sqrt{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 - \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, \text{UV}}}}{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)}. \quad (45)$$

A_ρ EOM

Now, assuming $\partial_{x^3} A_t^{\beta^0} = 0$, one can show that the A_ρ -EOM is identically satisfied.

A_ϕ EOM

The A_ϕ EOM: $\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV}, B=0}}{\partial_\mu A_\phi} \right) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV}, B=0}}{\delta A_\phi}$ yields: $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV}, B=0}}{\partial_Z A_\phi} = \text{constant}$. Now,

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{UV}, B=0}}{\partial_Z A_\phi} = \frac{\rho e^{4Z} \partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z A_\phi}{\sqrt{(\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2 + \partial_Z A_\phi^2}}. \quad (46)$$

Using results from $A_{x^3, t}$ EOMs' solutions, one is then required to impose the following constraint:

$$4 \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{a_t^{x^3}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} - \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, \text{UV}}} = 1. \quad (47)$$

6 $D6$ -Branes' Gauge Fields in the Presence of Strong Magnetic Fields

In this section, we will work out the gauge fields supported on the world volume of the flavor $D6$ -branes in the presence of strong magnetic field (in $e = 1$ -units) $B \ll T_c^2 \sim 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$. First, considering the gauge field in the IR region (later in the UV region) we will obtain their equations of motion via the standard method of variation of action, and then will obtain the respective gauge fluctuations up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta')$. Then we will derive the non-renormalization of gauge fields in a self-consistent truncation of gauge fluctuations at $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$.

6.1 In the IR

Here we will work out the gauge field up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta')$ in the static gauge $A_Z = 0$ in the presence of a strong magnetic field by varying the DBI action of $D6$ -flavor brane, in the IR region.

6.1.1 A_μ , $\mu = t, x^{1,2,3}, Z$ in the IR up to $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$

Consider the DBI Lagrangian for flavor $D6$ -branes, in the large B limit, assuming $F_{tx^3} = \mathcal{C}_{tx^3}$ and $F_{t\phi} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0+\beta, B \neq 0} &= \sqrt{(2Z^2 - 2Z + 1) ((\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2 (\rho^2 \Xi(Z) (\partial_\rho A_t)^2 - 4B^2 Z(2Z + 1)) + 2B \Xi(Z) (\partial_\rho A_t) (\partial_Z A_t) (\partial_Z A_\phi) + \Xi(Z) (\partial_\rho A_t)^2 (\partial_Z A_\phi)^2 + B^2 \Xi(Z) (\partial_Z A_t)^2)} \\ &\left[\frac{N^{3/5} \rho r_h (Z^2 + 2Z + 2) (48g_s N_f \log(r_h) + g_s N_f (3Z^2 + 36Z + 8 \log(4)) - 64\pi) r_h}{64\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{2} \sqrt[3]{3} \pi g_s} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\beta \log(r_h)^3 M \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{13/20} \rho (Z^2 - 1) (-19683\sqrt{3}\alpha_{\theta_1}^6 - 3321\sqrt{2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 40\sqrt{3}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4) (48g_s N_f \log(r_h) + g_s N_f (3Z^2 + 36Z + 8 \log(4)) - 64\pi)}{157464 \cdot 3^{5/6} \pi^2 \epsilon^5 g_s (\log N)^4 N_f \alpha_{\theta_2}^5} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where $\Xi(Z) \equiv (8Z^2 + 4Z + 1)$. In (48), it is understood that $A_\mu = A_\mu^{\beta^0} + \beta A_\mu^\beta$ where $\mu = t, \rho, \phi, Z, x^3$. We will first work with $A_\mu^{\beta^0}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}$.

In the large- B limit,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0} = \frac{3^{2/3} N^{3/5} N_f \rho r_h^2 \log(r_h) ((9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) (\partial_\rho A_t) (\partial_Z A_t) (\partial_Z A_\phi) + B (9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) (\partial_Z A_t)^2 - 8BZ(Z + 1) (\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2)}{4\pi\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{(4Z^2 + 4Z + 2) (\partial_Z A_t)^2 - 8Z (\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2}}. \quad (49)$$

A_ϕ EOM

Assuming ϕ -independence of $A_{\mu=t,\phi,\rho,Z,x^3}$, given that $B = \frac{1}{\rho} (A_\phi + \rho \partial_\rho A_\phi)$,

$$\partial_Z \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta \partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0}} \right) + \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta \partial_\rho A_\phi^{\beta^0}} \right) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta A_\phi}, \quad (50)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\partial_Z \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta \partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0}} \right) + \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta B} \right) = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta B}. \quad (51)$$

Substituting (22) into (51), its LHS:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{3^{2/3} N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h)}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left((2Z^2 + 2Z + 1) a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 - 4Z a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2 \right)^{3/2} \\ &\times \left\{ \rho a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^Z(Z) a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) \Xi_1 - 4\rho Z (19Z^2 + 12Z + 4) a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2 \right. \\ &+ 2\rho (25Z^2 + 10Z + 2) a_t^\rho(\rho)^3 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^4 a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) a_t^{Z'}(Z)^4 - 4Z a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2 \\ &\times \left(\rho (7Z^2 + 6Z + 2) a_{x^3}^{\rho'}(\rho) + (17Z^2 + 12Z + 4) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) \right) + (25Z^2 + 10Z + 2) a_t^\rho(\rho)^4 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^4 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^4 + 32Z^2 (Z + 1) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^3 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^4 \\ &\left. \times \left(\rho a_{x^3}^{\rho'}(\rho) + a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) \right) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^4 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_1 \equiv & (27Z^2 + 20Z + 4) a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^3 + 2a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z) \\ & \left((-27Z^2 - 6Z + 2) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z) + 2Z(9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) a_{x^3}^{Z''}(Z) \right) - 4Z(9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3)^2 a_t^{Z''}(Z) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Similarly, the RHS of (51) yields,

$$\frac{3^{2/3} N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) \left((9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 - 8Z(Z+1) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2 \right)}{4\pi\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{(4Z^2 + 4Z + 2) a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 - 8Z a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2}}. \quad (54)$$

We make the following ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} a_t^\rho(\rho) \\ a_{x^3}^{\rho^3} (x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B a_t^{\rho^3} (x^3) \\ a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} a_t^{Z'}(Z). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 \left(Z \left(2 - 4\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) + 2Z^2 + 1 \right) \left(Z^2 \left(8\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 9 \right) + Z \left(8\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 2} \\ & \times \left\{ \rho \left(2a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 \left[a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) \left(Z^2 \left(24\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 25 \right) + 2Z \left(4\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 5 \right] - 2 \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} Z a_{x^3}^{\rho'}(\rho) \left(Z^2 \left(7 - 8\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) + Z \left(6 - 8\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) + 2 \right) \right] \\ & + a_t^Z(Z) a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) \left(8\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} Z(3Z+1) \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} a_t^{Z''}(Z) - a_{x^3}^{Z''}(Z) \right) + a_t^{Z'}(Z) \left(2\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) \left(27Z^2 + 6Z - 2 \right) - 27Z^2 - 20Z - 4 \right) \right) \\ & \left. + a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 \left(Z^2 \left(-32\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) + 48\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 25 \right) + 2Z \left(8\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 2 \right\} = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

which can be satisfied by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho a_t^{\rho'}(\rho)}{a_t^\rho(\rho)} &= \mathcal{C}_B^{t\rho}, \\ \frac{\rho a_{x^3}^{\rho'}(\rho)}{a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)} &= \mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

One therefore obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} & a_t^{Z'}(Z) \left[\mathcal{C}_B^{t\rho} \left(Z^2 \left(24\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 25 \right) + 2Z \left(4\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) - 5 \right] - 2 \\ & + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} Z \left(\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} (6Z+2) - 8\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{C}_B^{t\rho} a_t^Z(Z) \left(2\mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \left(27Z^2 + 6Z - 2 \right) - 27Z^2 - 20Z - 4 \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int dZ \frac{\mathcal{C}_B^{t\rho} (2\mathbb{X} (27Z^2 + 6Z - 2) - 27Z^2 - 20Z - 4)}{2 \left(\mathcal{C}_B^{t\rho} (Z^2 (24\mathbb{X} - 25) + 2Z (4\mathbb{X} - 5) - 2) + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2Z \left(\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} (6Z + 2) - 8\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2Z \right) \right)} \\
& = \sum_{n=0}^2 \kappa_n Z^n + \mathcal{O}(Z^3), \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{X} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2$, therefore, one can show:

$$a_t(Z) = \mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} + \mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} \kappa_1 Z + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 Z^2 + \mathcal{O}(Z^3), \tag{60}$$

where, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_0 \equiv & \frac{1}{2 \left(16\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^3\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^4 - 12\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 (\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho}^B + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}) + 25 \right)^2} \\
& \times \left\{ \log(2) \left(96\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^6\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^5\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^6 + 4\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^3\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^4 (18\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} - 31\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho}) + 6\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 (11\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}) - 115 \right) \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^4 (\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} - 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B})^2 - 4\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 (\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho}^B + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}) + 25}} \\
& \times \left\{ 8\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 \left(-79\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} + 128\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^8\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^7\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^8 - 8\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^5\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^4 (14\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 - 27) \right. \right. \\
& - 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^3\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 (29\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 + 279) - 480\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^6\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^6\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^6 \\
& \left. \left. + 728\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^4\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^4 - 109\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 + \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} (39\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 + 342) \right) \right\} \\
& \times \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{5 - 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 (\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B})}{\sqrt{-4\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^4 (\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} - 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B})^2 - 4\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 (\mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}) + 25}} \right) \left. \right\}. \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

A_{x^3} EOM

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{3^{2/3} N^{3/5} N_f \rho r_h^2 Z \log(r_h) (\partial_Z A_t) (\partial_Z A_t) (\partial_Z A_\phi) + B (8Z^3 + 7Z^2 + 6Z + 2) (\partial_Z A_t)^2 - 8BZ(Z+1) (\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 ((2Z^2 + 2Z + 1) (\partial_Z A_t)^2 - 4Z (\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2)^{3/2}}} \\
& = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(\rho, x^3), \tag{62}
\end{aligned}$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\phi^\rho(\rho) & = \mathcal{C}_{\rho\rho}^B \rho, \\
\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(\rho, x^3) & = \rho \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3). \tag{63}
\end{aligned}$$

One hence obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3^{2/3} \mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 Z \log(r_h)}{4\sqrt{2\pi\kappa_1^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} (3\kappa_1 Z + 1)^2 \left(Z \left(2 - 4\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 \right) + 2Z^2 + 1 \right)^{3/2}} \\
& \times \left[2\mathcal{C}_{\phi\rho}^B \mathcal{C}_{\phi x^3}^B \kappa_1 (9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) (3\kappa_1^2 Z^2 + 2\kappa_1 Z + 2) (3\kappa_1 Z + 1) a_\phi(Z)'(Z) + 32B\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} {}^2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} {}^2 \kappa_1^2 Z(Z+1) (3\kappa_1 Z + 1)^2 \right. \\
& \left. - B (8Z^3 + 7Z^2 + 6Z + 2) (3\kappa_1^2 Z^2 + 2\kappa_1 Z + 2)^2 \right] = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3). \tag{64}
\end{aligned}$$

The solution of (64) is:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\phi(Z) &= \frac{1}{4860\sqrt{23}^{2/3} C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B \kappa_1 N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h)} \\
&\left\{ \frac{2160\sqrt{23}^{2/3} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} 3\kappa_1 (3\kappa_1^3 - 14\kappa_1^2 + 15\kappa_1 - 18) N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) \log(3\kappa_1^2 Z^2 + 2\kappa_1 Z + 2)}{\kappa_1^4 - 2\kappa_1^3 + 2\kappa_1^2 - 6\kappa_1 + 9} - \frac{1}{2\kappa_1^6 - 6\kappa_1^5 + 9\kappa_1^4 - 18\kappa_1^3 + 32\kappa_1^2 - 24\kappa_1 + 9} \right. \\
&\times \left[40\sqrt{23}^{2/3} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) \log(9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) \left(3\kappa_1^7 (72C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 1) \right. \right. \\
&- 4\kappa_1^6 (81C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 4) + \kappa_1^5 (62 - 864C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B}) \\
&+ \kappa_1^4 (1350C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} - 131) + \kappa_1^3 (201 - 864C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B}) + 6\kappa_1^2 (27C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} - 58) + 450\kappa_1 - 189 \left. \right) \Big] \\
&+ \frac{4320 \cdot 3^{2/3} \sqrt{10} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} 3\kappa_1^2 (3\kappa_1^2 - 2\kappa_1 - 3) N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3\kappa_1 Z + 1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)}{\kappa_1^4 - 2\kappa_1^3 + 2\kappa_1^2 - 6\kappa_1 + 9} \\
&- \frac{80\sqrt{23}^{2/3} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) \tan^{-1}(3Z + 1)}{2\kappa_1^6 - 6\kappa_1^5 + 9\kappa_1^4 - 18\kappa_1^3 + 32\kappa_1^2 - 24\kappa_1 + 9} \\
&\times \left[\kappa_1^7 (432C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 11) - \kappa_1^6 (1188C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 37) + 54\kappa_1^5 (38C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 1) \right. \\
&- 2\kappa_1^4 (1215C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 56) + 7\kappa_1^3 (216C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 31) - 9\kappa_1^2 (54C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 19) + 90\kappa_1 - 108 \left. \right] \\
&+ \frac{30\sqrt{23}^{2/3} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} (28\kappa_1^2 + 5\kappa_1 + 40) N_f r_h^2 Z \log(r_h)}{\kappa_1} \\
&+ \frac{50\sqrt{23}^{2/3} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} (54\kappa_1^3 - 54\kappa_1^2 + 21\kappa_1 - 8) N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) \log(3\kappa_1 Z + 1)}{\kappa_1^2 (2\kappa_1^2 - 2\kappa_1 + 1)} \\
&+ 720\sqrt{23}^{2/3} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \kappa_1 N_f r_h^2 Z^3 \log(r_h) + 45\sqrt{23}^{2/3} B C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} (5\kappa_1 + 8) N_f r_h^2 Z^2 \log(r_h) \Big\} + \mathcal{O}(1/N^{3/5}) \\
&= \frac{1}{243C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B \kappa_1 (2\kappa_1^2 - 2\kappa_1 + 1) (\kappa_1^4 - 2\kappa_1^3 + 2\kappa_1^2 - 6\kappa_1 + 9)} \\
&\left\{ B \left[\pi \left(\kappa_1^7 \left(- (432C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 11) \right) + \kappa_1^6 (1188C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 37) - 54\kappa_1^5 (38C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 1) \right. \right. \right. \\
&+ 2\kappa_1^4 (1215C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 56) - 7\kappa_1^3 (216C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 31) + 9\kappa_1^2 (54C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 19) - 90\kappa_1 + 108 \left. \right) \\
&- 216C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} (2\kappa_1^2 - 2\kappa_1 + 1) \kappa_1 \left[\kappa_1^4 \log(2) - \kappa_1^3 \left(\log(4) + 3\sqrt{5} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right) \right) + \kappa_1^2 \left(\log(4) + 2\sqrt{5} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right) \right) \right. \right. \\
&+ 3\kappa_1 \left(\sqrt{5} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right) - \log(4) \right) + \log(512) \left. \right] - 2\kappa_1^7 \log(8) + 32\kappa_1^6 \log(2) - 124\kappa_1^5 \log(2) + 262\kappa_1^4 \log(2) - 402\kappa_1^3 \log(2) + 696\kappa_1^2 \log(2) \\
&- 900\kappa_1 \log(2) + 378 \log(2) \left. \right] + 243C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B C_{\phi Z}^B \kappa_1 (2\kappa_1^6 - 6\kappa_1^5 + 9\kappa_1^4 - 18\kappa_1^3 + 32\kappa_1^2 - 24\kappa_1 + 9) \Big\} + \frac{BZ}{C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B \kappa_1} \\
&- \frac{Z^2 (2BC_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} + 2\kappa_1 + B)}{C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B} + \mathcal{O}(Z^3) \equiv C_{\phi Z}^B + B(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 Z + \alpha_4 Z^2) + \frac{\pi\kappa_1 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 C_{x^3}^B(x^3) \log(Z)}{\sqrt{23}^{2/3} C_{x^3t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h)}. \tag{65}
\end{aligned}$$

One also obtains:

$$a_t^\rho(\rho) = C_t^{\rho, B} \rho. \tag{66}$$

A_t EOM

$$\partial_Z \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta \partial_Z A_t^{\beta^0}} \right) + \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{\beta^0}}{\delta \partial_\rho A_t^{\beta^0}} \right) = 0. \quad (67)$$

The numerator of (67) in the IR and for large B is proportional to:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\partial_Z A_t)^3 (\partial_Z A_{x^3}) \left((-81Z^2 - 10Z + 2) (\partial_Z A_{x^3}) + 4Z(5Z + 1)(\partial_Z^2 A_{x^3}) \right) + 16Z(\partial_Z A_t)(\partial_Z A_{x^3})^3 \left((15Z^2 + 3Z - 1) (\partial_Z A_{x^3}) - 2Z(3Z + 1)(\partial_Z^2 A_{x^3}) \right) \\ & + 32Z^2(\partial_Z^2 A_t)(\partial_Z A_{x^3})^4 - 8Z(5Z + 1)(\partial_Z^2 A_t)(\partial_Z A_t)^2(\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2 + (75Z^2 + 28Z + 4) (\partial_Z A_t)^5 \\ & = a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t^{x^3}(x^3) \left(-8Z(5Z + 1) a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^Z(Z)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 a_t^{Z''}(Z) a_{x^3}^{x^3'}(x^3)^2 + 2a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^3 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z) \right) \\ & \times \left((-81Z^2 - 10Z + 2) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z) + 4Z(5Z + 1) a_{x^3}^{Z''}(Z) \right) + (75Z^2 + 28Z + 4) a_t^\rho(\rho)^4 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^4 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^5 + 16Z a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^4 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^4 a_t^{Z'}(Z) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^3 \\ & \times \left((15Z^2 + 3Z - 1) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z) - 2Z(3Z + 1) a_{x^3}^{Z''}(Z) \right) + 32Z^2 a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^4 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^4 a_t^{Z''}(Z) a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^4. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Assuming,

$$a_t^{x^3}(x^3) = \mathcal{C}_{tx^3}^B, \quad (69)$$

and using (55), (68) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}_{t\rho}^B \rho^4 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^4 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^4 \left(a_t^{Z'}(Z) \left(16\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^4 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^4 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^4 Z(15Z^2 + 3Z - 1) - 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^2 (81Z^2 + 10Z - 2) + 75Z^2 + 28Z + 4 \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 8\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^2 Z a_t^{Z''}(Z) \left(-12\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^2 Z^2 + 5Z + 1 \right) \right) \\ & = 4\mathcal{C}_{\alpha_Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} \kappa_1 \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^2 + 1 \right) + 4\mathcal{C}_{\alpha_Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} \kappa_1 Z \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^2 (9\kappa_1 - 5) - 4\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^4 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^4 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^4 + 3\kappa_1 + 7 \right) \\ & - 3Z^2 \left(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha_Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} \kappa_1 \left(16\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^4 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^4 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^4 (\kappa_1 - 1) + 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3t}^B{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}{}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}{}^2 (27 - 10\kappa_1) - 28\kappa_1 - 25 \right) \right) + O(Z^3). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

One hence sees that (67) is identically satisfied in the IR and in the large- B limit provided

$$\kappa_0 < 0, \quad |\kappa_0| \gg 1. \quad (71)$$

6.1.2 Non-Renormalization of $A_{\mu=t,\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}$ in the Self-Consistent truncation $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$

Here we show the non-renormalization of the background gauge fluctuations A_μ in the self-consistent truncation $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$ in the IR region. One can show:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^\beta & \in \frac{N^{3/5} \rho r_h (Z^2 + 2Z + 2) (48g_s N_f \log(r_h) + g_s N_f (3Z^2 + 36Z + 8 \log(4)) - 64\pi)}{64\sqrt{2}\sqrt[3]{3}\pi g_s} \\ & \times \sqrt{\Lambda(Z)}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(Z) & \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha_{\theta_2}^4} \left\{ r_h^2 (2Z^2 - 2Z + 1) \left[(\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2 (\rho^2 (8Z^2 + 4Z + 1) (\partial_\rho A_t)^2 - 4B^2 Z(2Z + 1)) + 2B\Xi(Z) (\partial_\rho A_t) (\partial_Z A_t) (\partial_Z A_\phi) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \Xi(Z) (\partial_\rho A_t)^2 (\partial_Z A_\phi)^2 + B^2 \Xi(Z) (\partial_Z A_t)^2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Now, once again, substituting $A_\mu = A_\mu^{\beta^0} + \beta A_\mu^\beta$ into (72), under the truncation $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$, yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^\beta &= \beta \frac{1}{26244 \cdot 3^{5/6} \pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 \sqrt{(4Z^2 + 4Z + 2) (\partial_Z A_t)^2 - 8Z (\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2}} \left\{ \rho \log(r_h) \left(19683 \sqrt{3} \pi N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 (9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 (\partial_Z A_t) (\partial_\rho A_t^\beta) (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0}) \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. \frac{2\sqrt{2} \log(r_h)^3 M \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{13/20}}{(Z^2 + 2Z - 2) \Sigma_1} \left((9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) (\partial_Z A_t) (\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta^0}) (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0}) + B (9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) (\partial_Z A_t)^2 - 8BZ(Z+1) (\partial_Z A_{x^3})^2 \right) \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{26244 \cdot 3^{5/6} \pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 \sqrt{(4Z^2 + 4Z + 2) a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 - 8Z a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2}} \\ &\left\{ \rho \log(r_h) \left(19683 \sqrt{3} \pi N^{3/5} N_f r_h^2 (9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_\phi^\rho(\rho) a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^{Z'}(Z) a_\phi^{Z'}(Z) (\partial_\rho A_t^\beta) - \frac{8\sqrt{2} \log(r_h)^3 M \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{13/20} \Sigma_1}{\epsilon^5 (\log N)^4} \right) \right\} \\ &\times \left((Z+1)^2 a_t^{x^3}(x^3) \left(a_t^Z(Z) a_\phi^\rho(\rho) a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^{\rho'}(\rho) a_\phi(Z)'(Z) (\partial_Z A_t) + B a_t^\rho(\rho)^2 a_t^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^{Z'}(Z)^2 \right) + 4BZ a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^{Z'}(Z)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

which for $e^{-\kappa_0} \rightarrow 0$ implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^\beta &= \beta \left[(\partial_\rho A_t^\beta) \frac{N^{3/5} \rho^2 (9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) \left(6BC_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B N_f r_h^2 Z \log(r_h) (\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 Z) + \sqrt{2} \sqrt[3]{3} \pi \kappa_1 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 C_{x^3}^B(x^3) \right)}{8\sqrt[3]{3} \pi C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} Z \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{Z \left(4 - 8C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \right) + 4Z^2 + 2}} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{8BC_{t\rho}^B C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{2t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{2tZ, B} e^{-\kappa_0} \log(r_h)^3 M \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{13/20} \rho^2 Z \left(-19683 \sqrt{3} \alpha_{\theta_1}^6 - 3321 \sqrt{2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 40 \sqrt{3} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \right) \log(r_h)}{6561 \cdot 3^{5/6} \pi^2 C_{a_t^Z}^B \epsilon^5 \kappa_1 (\log N)^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 (3\kappa_1 Z + 1) \sqrt{Z \left(2 - 4C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{2t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{2tZ, B} \right) + 2Z^2 + 1}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

At $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$, the A_t^β EOM: $\partial_\rho \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^\beta}{\delta \partial_\rho A_t^\beta} \right) = 0$ implies:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{N^{3/5} \rho^2 (9Z^2 + 6Z + 2) \left(6BC_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} C_{\rho\rho}^B C_{\phi x^3}^B N_f r_h^2 Z \log(r_h) (\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 Z) + \sqrt{2} \sqrt[3]{3} \pi \kappa_1 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 C_{x^3}^B(x^3) \right)}{8\sqrt[3]{3} \pi C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} Z \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{Z \left(4 - 8C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{2t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{2tZ, B} \right) + 4Z^2 + 2}} \\ &= \frac{\kappa_1 \rho^2 C_{x^3}^B(x^3)}{4C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} Z} + \mathcal{O}(Z^0, r_h^2) \\ &= C_t^\beta(Z, x^3). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Assuming $|\kappa_1 C_{x^3}^B(x^3)| \ll 1$, $|C_t^\beta(Z, x^3)| \ll 1$, the A_t^β -EOM is identically satisfied. One can hence set $A_\mu^\beta = 0$.

6.1.3 Log-Gravitational-DBI IR Renormalization

Here we will derive the renormalization of DBI action by working out the DBI action at the boundary, in the IR region.

Given that:

$$\det(\text{Ricci}_{\Sigma D_6})|_{\text{fixed } Z \in \text{IR}} \sim \frac{10^{-15} g_s^{7/2} M^8 N_f^4 r_h^8 e^{4Z} (e^{4Z} - 1) (5.8\beta C_{zz} - 4.1) (\cos(2\theta_2) - 3)^6 \csc^{11}(\theta_2) \log^4(r_h e^Z)}{\kappa_2^5 N^{17/2}}, \quad (77)$$

one sees that:

$$e^{-\phi^{IIA}} \log(\det(\text{Ricci}_{\Sigma D6})|_{\text{fixed } Z \in \text{IR}}) \sim \frac{3 \left(\frac{(9+8\sqrt{3}\pi)g_s^2 M^2 N_f (c_1+c_2 \log(r_h))}{2\sqrt{3}N} + 6g_s N_f \log(r_h) + g_s N_f \log(4) - 8\pi \right)}{8\pi g_s} \times \left[\log \left(\frac{10^{-13} g_s^{7/2} M^{16} N_f^4 r_h^8 \left(\sqrt{2} \beta C_{zz} - 2 \right)^2 \log^4(r_h) (\cos(2\theta_2) - 3)^6 \csc^{11}(\theta_2)}{25\kappa_2^5 N^{17/2}} \right) + \log(Z) \right]. \quad (78)$$

As,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\theta_2) &\equiv \int d\theta_2 \log [(-3 + \cos(2\theta_2))^6 \csc^{11} \theta_2] \\ &= -\frac{11}{2} i \left(\theta_2^2 + \text{Li}_2 \left(e^{2i\theta_2} \right) \right) + 3i \left[\text{Li}_2 \left((3 - 2\sqrt{2}) e^{2i\theta_2} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left((3 + 2\sqrt{2}) e^{2i\theta_2} \right) \right] \\ &+ 6i\theta_2^2 + 11\theta_2 \log \left(1 - e^{2i\theta_2} \right) - 6 \log \left(1 + (2\sqrt{2} - 3) e^{2i\theta_2} \right) (\theta_2 - i \sinh^{-1}(1)) - 6 \log \left(1 - (3 + 2\sqrt{2}) e^{2i\theta_2} \right) (\theta_2 + i \sinh^{-1}(1)) \\ &- 12 \sinh^{-1}(1) \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2} \tan(\theta_2) \right) + \theta_2 \log \left((\cos(2\theta_2) - 3)^6 \csc^{11}(\theta_2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\theta_2 = \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0) &= \frac{1}{2} i \epsilon_2 \left(-2i \log \left(\frac{1}{32\epsilon_2^{11}} \right) - 22i \log(-2i\epsilon_2) + 22i \log(\epsilon_2) + 55\pi - 22i \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{12} i \left(-36\text{Li}_2(3 - 2\sqrt{2}) - 36\text{Li}_2(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) + 11\pi^2 - 72i\pi \log(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) + 72i\pi \sinh^{-1}(1) - 72 \log(3 - 2\sqrt{2}) \sinh^{-1}(1) \right); \\ \mathcal{I}(\theta_2 = \pi - \epsilon_2 \rightarrow \pi) &= \frac{1}{12} i \left[-12i\pi \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2^{11}} \right) - 132i\pi \log(2i\epsilon_2) + 36\text{Li}_2(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) + 36\text{Li}_2(3 - 2\sqrt{2}) + 67\pi^2 + 72i\pi \log(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) + 72i\pi \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) \right. \\ &\left. + 72i\pi \log(\sqrt{2} - 1) + 12i\pi \log(64) + 72i\pi \sinh^{-1}(1) - 72 \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) \sinh^{-1}(1) + 72 \log(\sqrt{2} - 1) \sinh^{-1}(1) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} i \epsilon_2 \left(-2i \log \left(\frac{1}{32\epsilon_2^{11}} \right) - 22i \log(2i\epsilon_2) + 22i \log(\epsilon_2) + 57\pi - 22i \right) + O(\epsilon_2^2) \sim -11\pi \log \epsilon_2. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

One can hence see that:

$$S_{\text{DBI}}(Z \sim 0) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^2 C_{aZ}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B e^{\kappa_0 \kappa_1 N^{9/20} \rho^2 \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} C_{x^3}^B(x^3) (-6g_s N_f \log(r_h) - g_s N_f \log(4) + 8\pi)}}{3C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \sqrt{g_s N_f r_h} |\log(r_h)|} \log Z. \quad (81)$$

We hence obtain:

$$\int_{\Sigma_{D6}(\text{IR})} e^{-\phi^{IIA}} \log(\det(\text{Ricci}_{\Sigma D6})) = \frac{4\pi^{5/2} \sqrt{N} \log(Z) \left(\frac{11}{16} g_s N_f \log(\epsilon_2) \left(-\frac{(3\sqrt{3}+8\pi)g_s M^2 (c_1+c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} - 12 \log(r_h) \right) - \frac{3\beta C_{zz}}{8\sqrt{2}} \right)}{\sqrt{g_s r_h}}. \quad (82)$$

Now, the IR-divergent DBI action's IR-divergent contribution is given by:

$$S_{\text{DBI}}^{\text{IR-div}} \sim \frac{\pi^2 C_{aZ}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B e^{\kappa_0 \kappa_1 N^{9/20} \rho^2 \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} C_{x^3}^B(x^3) \log(Z) (-6g_s N_f \log(r_h) - g_s N_f \log(4) + 8\pi)}}{C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \sqrt{g_s N_f r_h} |\log(r_h)|}. \quad (83)$$

Further, around some $\theta_1 = \theta_{10}$

$$\det(F + i^* B_{\text{NS-NS}}^{\text{IIA}})|_{Z \in \text{IR}} \sim (BLF_{tx^3})^2, \quad (84)$$

with $F_{tx^3} = \epsilon_{tx^3} \rightarrow 0$: $BL\epsilon_{tx^3}$ is finite in the large B and MQGP limit. Therefore, the IR boundary gravitational-DBI counter term will be given by:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{IR}}^{\text{ct}} \sim - \frac{\int_{\Sigma_{D6}(Z=0)} e^{-\phi^{IIA}} \sqrt{\det(F + i^* B_{\text{NS-NS}}^{\text{IIA}})} \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{\det(\text{Ricci}_{\Sigma_{D6}})}{\det(F + i^* B_{\text{NS-NS}}^{\text{IIA}})}} \right)}{BL\epsilon_{tx^3}}, \quad (85)$$

with $\epsilon_{tx^3} \sim \frac{1}{BL}$.

6.2 In the UV at $\mathcal{O}(\beta^0)$

Following the footsteps of the previous sub-section for IR, here we will derive the EOMs and their solution for background gauge field fluctuation, A_μ , but now in the UV region.

Consider the DBI action of flavor $D6$ -branes in the UV region,

$$\rho r_h e^Z \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}} \sim - \frac{N_f N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} \sqrt{\partial_Z A_{x^3}^2 (\rho^2 \partial_\rho A_t^2 - B^2) + 2B \partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z A_t \partial_Z A_\phi + \partial_\rho A_t^2 \partial_Z A_\phi^2 + B^2 \partial_Z A_t^2}}{g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}, \quad (86)$$

which in the large- B limit is given by:

$$- \frac{N_f N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} (\partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z A_t \partial_Z A_\phi + B \partial_Z A_t^2 - B \partial_Z A_{x^3}^2)}{g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \sqrt{\partial_Z A_t^2 - \partial_Z A_{x^3}^2}}. \quad (87)$$

A_t EOM

One can show that $\partial_\rho \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}}{\delta \partial_\rho A_t} \right) + \partial_Z \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}}{\delta \partial_Z A_t} \right) = 0$, in the large- B limit yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_Z A_t \partial_Z A_{x^3} (\rho (\partial_Z \partial_\rho A_{x^3} \partial_Z A_\phi + B \partial_Z^2 A_{x^3}) - \partial_Z A_{x^3} (\rho \partial_Z \partial_\rho A_\phi + \partial_Z A_\phi + 2B\rho)) \\ & - \rho \partial_Z A_{x^3}^2 (\partial_Z \partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z A_\phi + B \partial_Z^2 A_t) + \partial_Z A_t^3 (\rho (\partial_Z \partial_\rho A_\phi + 2B) + \partial_Z A_\phi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Assuming ϕ -independence of A_ρ ,

$$B = \frac{\rho \partial_\rho A_\phi + A_\phi(Z, \rho, x^3)}{\rho}. \quad (89)$$

Using (89), (88) simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \left(\partial_Z A_t \partial_Z A_{x^3} \left(- \frac{2(\rho \partial_\rho A_\phi + A_\phi) \partial_Z A_{x^3}}{\rho} + \partial_Z \partial_\rho A_{x^3} \partial_Z A_\phi + \frac{(\rho \partial_\rho A_\phi + A_\phi) \partial_Z^2 A_{x^3}}{\rho} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \partial_Z A_{x^3}^2 \left(\partial_Z \partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z A_\phi + \frac{(\rho \partial_\rho A_\phi + A_\phi) \partial_Z^2 A_t}{\rho} \right) + \frac{2(\rho \partial_\rho A_\phi + A_\phi(Z, \rho, x^3)) \partial_Z A_t^3}{\rho} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Using the ansatz (22), (90) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_t^{x^3}(x^3) \left(-a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_{x^3}^Z{}'(Z)^2 \left(\frac{a_t^\rho(\rho) a_t''(Z) (\rho \partial_\rho A_\phi + A_\phi)}{\rho} + a_\phi^\rho(\rho) a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) a_t^\rho{}'(\rho) a_t^Z{}'(Z) a_\phi Z'(Z) \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{2a_t^\rho(\rho)^3 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^Z{}'(Z)^3 (\rho \partial_{x^3} A_\phi + A_\phi)}{\rho} \\
& \left. + a_t^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^Z{}'(Z) a_{x^3}^Z{}'(Z) \left(\frac{a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) (a_{x^3}^Z{}''(Z) - 2a_{x^3}^Z{}'(Z)) (\rho \partial_{x^3} A_\phi + A_\phi(Z, \rho, x^3))}{\rho} + a_\phi^\rho(\rho) a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) a_{x^3}^\rho{}'(\rho) a_{x^3}^Z{}'(Z) a_\phi Z'(Z) \right) \right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

We see that (91) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_t^{x^3}(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}} a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3), \\
a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}}, \\
a_t^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}} a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho), \\
a_\phi^\rho(\rho) &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}}^2 a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)}{a_{x^3}^\rho{}'(\rho)},
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

and

$$BC_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}} a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^3 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 \left(a_t^Z{}'(Z) a_{x^3}^Z{}'(Z) (a_{x^3}^Z{}''(Z) - 2a_{x^3}^Z{}'(Z)) - a_t Z''(Z) a_{x^3}^Z{}'(Z)^2 + 2\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}}^2 \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}}^2 a_t^Z{}'(Z)^3 \right) = 0, \tag{93}$$

satisfied by:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_t^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B + (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1) e^{-\kappa_{a_t^Z} Z}, \\
a_{x^3}^Z(Z) &= \kappa_{a_{x^3}Z,1} + (\kappa_{a_{x^3}Z,2} + \epsilon_2) e^{-\kappa_{a_{x^3}Z} Z}, \\
\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}} &= \pm \frac{\kappa_{a_{x^3}Z,2}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}}}, \\
|\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B| &\ll 1, |\kappa_{a_{x^3}Z,2}| \ll 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

A_ϕ EOM

One can show that (51) would yield:

$$\frac{\sqrt{2} N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 e^{2Z} \left(-\partial_Z A_t^2 \partial_Z A_{x^3} \partial_Z \partial_\rho A_{x^3} + \partial_Z A_t \partial_Z A_{x^3} (\partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z^2 A_{x^3} - 2\partial_Z \partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z^2 A_{x^3}) + \partial_Z A_{x^3}^2 (\partial_Z A_{x^3} \partial_Z \partial_\rho A_{x^3} - \partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z^2 A_t) + 2\partial_Z \partial_\rho A_t \partial_Z A_t^3 \right)}{\sqrt[3]{3} g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 (\partial_Z A_t^2 - \partial_Z A_{x^3}^2)^{3/2}} = 0. \tag{95}$$

It turns out that in the $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ -limit, the LHS of (95) is given by:

$$-\frac{\left(\kappa_{a_{x^3}Z,2} \right)^4 e^{-4Z} (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^Z)}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B} \left(a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) \right)^4 (a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho))^3 a_{x^3}^\rho{}'(\rho), \tag{96}$$

which is at least $e^{-3Z_{UV}}$ -suppressed in the UV.

A_ρ EOM is identically satisfied

A_{x^3} EOM

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2}e^{2Z}N^{3/5}\rho r_h^2\partial_Z A_{x^3}(\partial_\rho A_t\partial_Z A_t\partial_Z A_\phi - B\partial_Z A_t^2 + B\partial_Z A_{x^3}^2)}{\sqrt[3]{3}g_s\alpha_{\theta_2}^2(\partial_Z A_t^2 - \partial_Z A_{x^3}^2)^{3/2}} = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3, \rho), \quad (97)$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\frac{(-\kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z})^{7/2}\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{B,UV}N^{3/5}\rho r_h^2e^{2Z}a_\phi^Z'(Z)(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B)}{2\sqrt[3]{3}\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B{}^3\mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^{B,UV}{}^2\epsilon_2^{3/2}g_s\alpha_{\theta_2}^2} = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3, \rho), \quad (98)$$

solved by:

$$a_\phi^Z(Z) = -\frac{\epsilon_2^{3/2}\kappa_\phi^Z(2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B{}^2\log(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B) - 2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B{}^2Z - 2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z} + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B{}^2e^{-2Z})}{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B{}^3} + c_1,$$

$$\frac{\kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{7/2}\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{B,UV}\kappa_\phi^ZN^{3/5}\rho r_h^2}{2\sqrt[3]{3}\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B{}^3\mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^{B,UV}{}^2g_s\alpha_{\theta_2}^2} = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3, \rho) = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B\rho. \quad (99)$$

6.3 In the UV at $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$ and Non-Renormalization of $A_{\mu=t,\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}$ in the Self-Consistent truncation $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$

6.3.1 $B = 0$

Now, we will show that the $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ are non-renormalized at $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$, due to the self consistent truncation, i.e., $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}} = -\frac{N^{3/5}\rho r_h^2 e^{4Z}\sqrt{-2\partial_{x^3}A_t^2(\partial_Z A_\rho^2 + \partial_Z A_\phi^2 + 2r_h^2) + 4\partial_Z A_\rho\partial_\rho A_t\partial_{x^3}A_t\partial_Z A_{x^3} - 2\partial_\rho A_t^2(\partial_Z A_{x^3}^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi)^2 + 2r_h^2)}}{\sqrt[3]{3}g_s\alpha_{\theta_2}^2}$$

$$+ \frac{M^{\text{UV}}(\frac{1}{N})^{13/20}\rho e^{6Z}(19683\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_1}^6 + 6642\alpha_{\theta_2}^2\alpha_{\theta_1}^3 - 40\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4)\log^3(r_h)(\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta_0})^2((\partial_Z A_{x^3}^{\beta_0})^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta_0})^2 + 4r_h^2)}{2187\sqrt{2}3^{5/6}\pi\epsilon^5g_s\log N^4N_f^{\text{UV}}\alpha_{\theta_2}^5\sqrt{-(\partial_\rho A_t^{\beta_0})^2(\partial_Z A_{x^3,\beta_0}^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta_0})^2 + 2r_h^2)}}. \quad (100)$$

Assuming $\partial_{x^3}A_t = 0$, $A_{\mu=\rho,\phi,Z,x^3}^\beta = 0$, replacing $\alpha_{\theta_2} \rightarrow N^{3/10}\sin\theta_2$ and integrating out $\theta_{1,2}, \phi_2$, the second term in (100) is proportional to the larger of :

$$\frac{\beta\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0,UV}\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{B=0,UV}\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{B=0,UV}M^{\text{UV}}r_h e^{2Z_{\text{UV}}}(g_s N)^{1/4}}{g_s\epsilon^5g_s N^{19/20}N_f^{\text{UV}}\rho\log^4(N)}, \quad (101)$$

and

$$\frac{i\beta \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} M^{\text{UV}} (\log r_h)^3 (g_s N)^{1/4}}{\pi \epsilon^5 g_s N_f^{\text{UV}} \rho^2 N^{27/10} \log^4(N)}, \quad (102)$$

subject to (200). Taking the large- N limit first and dropping terms of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{\beta}{N^{1+\alpha_N}})$, $\alpha_N > 0$, and assuming:

$$\frac{M^{\text{UV}}}{\epsilon^5 N_f^{\text{UV}}} \ll 1, \quad (103)$$

and $|\mathcal{C}_{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}}| \ll 1$, and that $r_h \sim e^{-0.3N^{1/3}}$ [40] for QCD-inspired values $(g_s, M, N_f) = (0.1, 3, 3)$, one can effect the vanishing of (101) and (102).

The A_t^β -EOM will hence be:

$$\frac{e^Z \rho \sqrt{\left(2r_h^2 + (\partial_Z A_{x^2}^{\beta^0})^2 + (\partial_Z A_\phi^{\beta^0})^2\right)}}{g_s} \equiv \text{Constant}. \quad (104)$$

The LHS of (104) is the larger of $\frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} r_h^2 e^{-Z_{\text{UV}}}}{g_s}$ and $\frac{e^{4Z_{\text{UV}}} r_h^3 \rho}{g_s}$, which for reasons stated in the previous paragraph, are negligible each.

6.3.2 Strong Magnetic Fields ($B > (0.15 \text{GeV})^2$)

The DBI integrand at $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$ assuming only $A_t^\beta(Z, \rho, x^3) = a_t^{Z, \beta}(Z) a_t^{\rho, \beta^0}(\rho) a_t^{x^3, \beta^0}(x^3)$, up to leading order in $\epsilon_{1,2}$, is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^\beta = - \frac{2\beta B^2 e^{-Z} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{\text{UV}, B} 2 a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)^2 a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3)^2 a_t^{Z, \beta}(Z)}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ, 2}^B} - \frac{8\sqrt{\epsilon_2} \left(\sqrt{2}\beta B \sqrt{-\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z}^{\text{UV}, B}} M^{\text{UV}} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{13/20} \rho e^{3Z} (19683\sqrt{3}\alpha_{\theta_1}^6 + 3321\sqrt{2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 - 40\sqrt{3}\alpha_{\theta_2}^4) a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) \log^3(r_h) \right)}{19683 \left(3^{5/6} \pi \epsilon^5 g_s N_f^{\text{UV}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 \log^4(N) \right)}. \quad (105)$$

Using (103) and in the $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ -limit, one obtains:

$$a_t^{Z, \beta}(Z) \sim 0. \quad (106)$$

We may choose $a_t^{Z, \beta} = 0$.

7 Photoproduction

In this section, we will derive the spectral density of photon production in the UV region, which is related to the differential photon production rate. First considering the case with

zero magnetic field, and utilizing the solutions of the gauge field derived in section 6.2, one can derive the gauge invariant gauge fluctuations, E_{long} , and E_{trans} [see appendix A of [15] for more details], the longitudinal and transverse directions here are considered based on photon's 4-momentum, say $k^\mu = (\omega, \omega, 0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}(t, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ with a uniform and strong magnetic field along x^3 : $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$, where x^2 -direction is the transverse direction. By solving EOMs for E_{trans} one can derive the spectral density of photon production. In the second part, we will repeat the same procedure in the presence of a strong magnetic field.

7.1 Photoproduction in the absence of magnetic field

Here we derive the EOM for E_{trans} . After solving the EOM we derive the spectral density of photon production in the absence of a magnetic field in the UV region. Using results of gauge fluctuations in the UV for $B = 0$ of (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{x^2 x^2} &= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2\sqrt{g_s} \sqrt{N} e^{-2Z} \cos^2(\phi)}{r_h^2 \left(\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \right)} \\
&+ \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} \beta \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2\sqrt{g_s} \sqrt{N} \rho^2 e^{10Z} \cos^2(\phi) (\mathcal{C}_{zz} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1z}} + 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1x}}) \left(\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 - \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2 \right)}{25 \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \left(\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \right)^2} \\
G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{ZZ} &= -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} r_h^2 e^{10Z}}{32\sqrt{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2\sqrt{g_s} (\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \log(\rho) + c_1)^2} - \frac{\beta \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \rho^4 r_h^4 e^{20Z} (\mathcal{C}_{zz} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1z}} + 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1x}})}{512\sqrt{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4\sqrt{g_s}} \\
G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{tt} &= \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \rho^2 r_h^2 e^{10Z}}{2\sqrt{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2\sqrt{g_s} 8\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \beta \sqrt{g_s} \sqrt{N} \rho^2 e^{8Z} (\mathcal{C}_{zz} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1z}} + 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1x}})}{8\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} &= \frac{5i\beta \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \log r_h^3 M^{\text{UV}} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{13/20} e^{-3Z}}{2187\sqrt{2} 3^5/6 \pi \epsilon^5 g_s \log N^4 N_f^{\text{UV}} \rho^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^5} \\
&\times \left(-19683\sqrt{6} \alpha_{\theta_1}^6 - 6642\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 40\sqrt{6} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4 \right) - \frac{5i\sqrt{2} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{\phi\rho}^{B, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^3(x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} N^{3/5} r_h^2 e^{-5Z}}{\sqrt[3]{3} g_s \rho \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}. \tag{107}
\end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$\rho \left(\int_1^\rho \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}}(\kappa)}{\kappa} d\kappa + c_1 \right) = \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}, \tag{108}$$

to obtain a sensible E_{trans} EOM.

Writing $E_{\text{trans}} = E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0} + \beta E_{\text{trans}}^\beta$, using standard techniques [15], the EOM for $E_{\text{trans}}^\beta(Z)$ turns to be:

$$\mathcal{X}^{\beta^0} E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0 \prime}(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0 \prime\prime}(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0}(Z) \left(-\left(q^2 \mathcal{Y}_2^{\beta^0} + w^2 \mathcal{Y}_1^{\beta^0} \right) \right) - E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0}(Z) \left(q^2 \mathcal{Y}_2^\beta + w^2 \mathcal{Y}_1^\beta \right) + \mathcal{X}^\beta E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0}(Z) = 0, \tag{109}$$

wherein,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X} &\equiv \partial_Z \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{ZZ} G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{x^2 x^2} \right) = \mathcal{X}^{\beta^0} + \beta \mathcal{X}^\beta, \\
\mathcal{Y}_1 &\equiv \frac{G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{tt}}{G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{ZZ}} = \mathcal{Y}_1^{B=0, \beta^0} + \beta \mathcal{Y}_1^{B=0, \beta}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_2 &\equiv \frac{G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{x^1 x^1}}{G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{ZZ}} = \mathcal{Y}_2^{B=0, \beta^0} + \beta \mathcal{Y}_2^{B=0, \beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1^{B=0, \beta^0} &= -\frac{16\rho^2 (C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \log(\rho) + c_1)^2}{C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_1^{B=0, \beta} &= -\frac{4\pi\beta g_s N \rho^2 e^{-2Z} (C_{zz} - 2C_{\theta_1 z} + 2C_{\theta_1 x}) (C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \log(\rho) + c_1)^2}{C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2r_h^2}; \\
\mathcal{Y}_2^{B=0, \beta^0} &= -\frac{64\pi C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_{x^3} (Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} 2C_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2g_s N e^{-12Z} \cos^2(\phi) (C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \log(\rho) + c_1)^2}{r_h^4 \left(C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} 2C_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + C_{a_t (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \right)}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_2^{B=0, \beta} &= -\frac{64\pi\beta C_{a_{x^3} (Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4g_s N \rho^2 \cos^2(\phi) (C_{zz} - 2C_{\theta_1 z} + 2C_{\theta_1 x}) (C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \log(\rho) + c_1)^2}{25C_{a_t (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_t (\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_t (Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2r_h^2 \left(C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} 2C_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + C_{a_t (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \right)^2} \\
&\times \left(C_{a_t (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 - C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} 2C_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2 \right); \\
\mathcal{X}^{B=0, \beta^0} &= 3, \\
\mathcal{X}^{B=0, \beta} &= \frac{12C_{a_t (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2\rho^2 r_h^2 e^{12Z} (C_{zz} - 2C_{\theta_1 z} + 2C_{\theta_1 x}) \left(C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 - C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} 2C_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2 \right)}{25C_{a_t (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_t (\rho)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_t (Z)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} 2C_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2 \left(C_{a_{x^3} (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2C_{x^3}^{\rho\phi} 2C_{x^3}^{\phi Z} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + C_{a_t (x^3)}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

The EOM satisfied by $E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0, \beta^0}(Z)$ is:

$$\frac{16C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^2 E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0, \beta^0}(Z)}{C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}} - 7E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0, \beta^0}{}'(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0, \beta^0}{}''(Z) = 0, \tag{112}$$

which is solved to yield:

$$E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0, \beta^0}(Z) = c_{1, \text{UV}}^{B=0} e^{\frac{1}{2}Z \left(7 - \sqrt{49 - \frac{64C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^2}{C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2}} \right)} + c_{2, \text{UV}}^{B=0} e^{\frac{1}{2}Z \left(\sqrt{49 - \frac{64C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^2}{C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2}} + 7 \right)}. \tag{113}$$

By substituting (113) into (109), we hence obtain the following EOM in the UV:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{\text{trans}}^\beta{}''(Z) + 3E_{\text{trans}}^\beta{}'(Z) \\
&+ \frac{16C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^2 E_{\text{trans}}^\beta(Z)}{C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}}} + 3 \left(c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}Z \left(7 - \sqrt{49 - \frac{64C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^2}{C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2}} \right)} + c_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}Z \left(\sqrt{49 - \frac{64C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^2}{C_{a_{x^3} \rho}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2}} + 7 \right)} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

Making the following perturbative ansatz: $E_{\text{trans}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^{2n} E_{\text{trans}}^{w^n}$, we come with the following EOMs for $E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta^0}$.

- $\mathcal{O}(w^0)$:

$$e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} + c_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0} + 3E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta^0}{}'(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta^0}{}''(Z) = 0, \quad (115)$$

whose solution is given by:

$$e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} + c_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0} + 3E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta^0}{}'(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta^0}{}''(Z) = 0. \quad (116)$$

- $\mathcal{O}(w^2)$:

$$\frac{16\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} Z \left(c_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0} - e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} \right)}{7\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2}} + \frac{16\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} \left(-\frac{Zc_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0}}{3} - \frac{1}{70} e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} - \frac{1}{3} c_1 e^{-3Z} + c_2 \right)}{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2}} + 3E_{\text{trans}}^{w^2, \beta^0}{}'(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{w^2, \beta^0}{}''(Z) = 0, \quad (117)$$

whose solution is given by:

$$E_{\text{trans}}^{w^2, \beta^0}{}'(Z) = \frac{7840\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} Z(3Z-2)c_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0} + 216\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} e^{7Z}(7Z-1)c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} - 1715e^{-3Z}}{46305\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2}} \times \left(16\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} (3c_1 Z + 9c_2 e^{3Z} Z + c_1) + 9\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} c_3 \right) + c_4. \quad (118)$$

- $\mathcal{O}(w^4)$:

$$\frac{128\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4} Z \left((7Z+2)c_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0} + e^{7Z}(7Z-2)c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} \right)}{343\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4}} + \frac{16\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2}}{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2}} \times \left[\frac{7840\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} Z(3Z-2)c_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0} + 216\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} e^{7Z}(7Z-1)c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} - 1715e^{-3Z}}{46305\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2}} \left(16\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} (3c_1 Z + 9c_2 e^{3Z} Z + c_1) + 9\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} c_3 \right) + c_4 \right] + 3E_{\text{trans}}^{w^4, \beta}{}'(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{w^4, \beta}{}''(Z) = 0, \quad (119)$$

whose solution is given by:

$$E_{\text{trans}}^{w^4, \beta}{}'(Z) = c_6 - \frac{640\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4} Z(777Z^2 - 1284Z + 856)c_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0} + \frac{15552}{49}\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4} e^{7Z}(2-7Z)^2 c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} + 1715e^{-3Z}}{416745\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4}} \times \left(144\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} (3c_3 Z + 9c_4 e^{3Z} Z + c_3) + 128\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4} (c_1(3Z+2)^2 - 9c_2 e^{3Z} Z(3Z-2)) + 81\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4} c_5 \right). \quad (120)$$

We therefore obtain:

$$E_{\text{trans}}^\beta = w^4 \left(-\frac{64\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4} e^{7Z} Z^2 c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0}}{1715\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 4}} - \frac{c_5}{3} + c_6 \right) + w^2 \left(\frac{8\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2} e^{7Z} Z c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0}}{245\mathcal{C}_{a_{x3}\rho}^{B=0, \text{UV } 2}} + c_4 \right) - \frac{Zc_{\text{UV}}^{1, B=0}}{3} - \frac{1}{70} e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2, B=0} + c_2. \quad (121)$$

So, finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0} &= w^4 \left(\frac{128C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4Z \left((7Z+2)c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} + e^{7Z}(7Z-2)c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} \right)}{343C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4} \right. \\
&+ \beta \left[-\frac{4736C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4Z^3 c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0}}{3969C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4} - \frac{64C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4e^{7Z} Z^2 c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0}}{1715C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4} + \frac{128C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4c_2 Z^2}{9C_{3,Z}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4} - \frac{16C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2c_4 e^{3Z} Z}{3C_{3,Z}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2} - \frac{c_5}{3} + c_6 \right] \\
&+ w^2 \left(\frac{16C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2Z \left(c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} - e^{7Z} c_{2B0UV} \right)}{7C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2} + \beta \left[\frac{8C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2e^{7Z} Z c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0}}{245C_{3,Z}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2} + c_4 \right] \right) \\
&+ e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} + c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} + \beta \left(-\frac{Zc_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0}}{3} - \frac{1}{70} e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} + c_2 \right). \tag{122}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0} (Z)}{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0} (Z)} \right)^{\beta^0} &= \frac{7e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0}}{e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} + c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0}} \\
&+ \frac{16C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^2 \left(-14e^{7Z} Z c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} - e^{14Z} c_{2B0UV}^2 + c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} \right)}{7C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \left(e^{7Z} c_{2B0UV} + c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} \right)^2} \\
&+ \frac{256C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4w^4 \left(e^{7Z} (98Z^2 + 14Z + 1) c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} - e^{14Z} (98Z^2 - 14Z + 1) c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} - e^{21Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} + c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} \right)}{343C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4 \left(e^{7Z} c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} + c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} \right)^3} + O(w^5). \tag{123}
\end{aligned}$$

At $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$, assuming:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{\text{UV}}^{1,B=0} &= e^{-\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0}} Z_{\text{UV}}, \\
c_{\text{UV}}^{2,B=0} &= e^{-\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0}} Z_{\text{UV}}, \\
\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0} - \alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} - 7 &> 0, \\
\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0} - 7 &< 2\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0}, \\
c_2 &= \frac{1}{3} Z_{\text{UV}} e^{-\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0}} Z_{\text{UV}} - \frac{1}{21} e^{Z_{\text{UV}}(\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0} - 2\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} - 7)}, \tag{124}
\end{aligned}$$

one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0} (Z)}{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0} (Z)} \right) &= \frac{\beta w^2 e^{\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0}} Z_{\text{UV}} \left(16C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2e^{Z_{\text{UV}}(3\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0} - \alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} - 7)} - 1029C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2c_4 e^{(3\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} + 14)Z_{\text{UV}}} \right)}{147C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2 \left(e^{\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0}} Z_{\text{UV}} + e^{(\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} + 7)Z_{\text{UV}}} \right)^3} \\
&- \frac{128\beta C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4w^4}{12005C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4} - \frac{32\beta C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2w^4 Z_{\text{UV}} e^{-4(\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} + 7)Z_{\text{UV}}}}{9261C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 4} \times \left[464C_{a_t}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2e^{Z_{\text{UV}}(5\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0} - \alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} - 7)} \right. \\
&\left. + 3087C_{a_{x3\rho}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} 2c_4 \left(e^{Z_{\text{UV}}(3\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0} + 2\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} + 10)} - 2e^{Z_{\text{UV}}(\alpha_{2,\text{UV}}^{B=0} + 4\alpha_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} + 24)} \right) \right]. \tag{125}
\end{aligned}$$

Using results of [15]

$$\chi_2 = \Im m \left[\left(\frac{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0} (Z)}{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0} (Z)} \right) G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{x^2 x^2} G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{ZZ} \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \right] = w^2 (\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0} + \beta \chi_2^{w^2, \beta}) + w^4 (\chi_2^{w^4, \beta^0} + \beta \chi_2^{w^4, \beta}), \tag{126}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0} &= \frac{320i\sqrt{2}\pi C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{x^3}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 4N^{8/5}w^2e^{-9Z_{UV}} \cos^4(\phi)}{7\sqrt[3]{3}C_{a_{x^3}\rho}^{B=0, UV} \rho r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \left(e^{7Z_{UV}} c_{2B0UV} + c_{UV}^{1, B=0} \right)^2 \left(C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2 \right)^2} \\
&\times \left(14e^{7Z_{UV}} Z_{UV} c_{UV}^{2, B=0} c_{UV}^{1, B=0} + e^{14Z_{UV}} c_{2B0UV}^2 - c_{UV}^{1, B=02} \right), \\
\chi_2^{w^2, \beta} &= \frac{20i\sqrt{2}\pi\beta C_{a_{x^3}^{x^3}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 4N^{8/5}w^2e^{-9Z_{UV}} \cos^4(\phi)}{147\sqrt[3]{3}C_{a_{x^3}\rho}^{B=0, UV} \rho r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \left(e^{\alpha_{UV}^{B=0}} Z_{UV} + e^{(\alpha_{UV}^{B=0}+7)Z_{UV}} \right)^3 \left(C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2 \right)^2} \\
&\times \left(16C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} 2e^{Z_{UV}} (4\alpha_{UV}^{B=0} - \alpha_{UV}^{B=0} - 7) - 1029C_{a_{x^3}\rho}^{B=0, UV} 2c_4e^{Z_{UV}} (\alpha_{UV}^{B=0} + 3\alpha_{UV}^{B=0} + 14) \right); \\
\chi_2^{w^4, \beta^0} &= -\frac{5120i\sqrt{2}\pi C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{x^3}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 4N^{8/5}w^4e^{-9Z_{UV}} \cos^4(\phi)}{343\sqrt[3]{3}C_{a_{x^3}\rho}^{B=0, UV} 3\rho r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \left(e^{7Z_{UV}} c_{UV}^{2, B=0} + c_{UV}^{1, B=0} \right)^3 \left(C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2 \right)^2} \\
&\times \left(c_{UV}^{1, B=0} - e^{7Z_{UV}} c_{UV}^{2, B=0} \right) \left(e^{7Z_{UV}} (98Z_{UV}^2 + 1) c_{2B0UV} c_{UV}^{1, B=0} + e^{14Z_{UV}} c_{UV}^{2, B=0} 2 + c_{UV}^{1, B=02} \right), \\
\chi_2^{w^4, \beta} &= \frac{16i\sqrt{2}\pi\beta C_{a_{x^3}^{x^3}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 4N^{8/5}w^4e^{-9Z_{UV}} \cos^4(\phi)}{64827\sqrt[3]{3}C_{a_{x^3}\rho}^{B=0, UV} 3\rho r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \left(C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 2(\rho^2 - 1) + C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2 \right)^2} \\
&\times \left(32C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} 4 \left(4060Z_{UV} e^{5Z_{UV}} (\alpha_{UV}^{B=0} - \alpha_{UV}^{B=0} - 7) + 27 \right) - 864360C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}\rho}^{B=0, UV} 2c_4Z_{UV} \left(2e^{(\alpha_{UV}^{B=0}-4)Z_{UV}} - e^{Z_{UV}} (3\alpha_{UV}^{B=0} - 2(\alpha_{UV}^{B=0}+9)) \right) \right). \tag{127}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\chi}{w} \sim w \left(\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0} e^{w^2 \left(\frac{\beta(\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0} \chi_2^{w^4, \beta} - \chi_2^{w^2, \beta} \chi_2^{w^4, \beta^0})}{\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0} 2} + \frac{\chi_2^{w^4, \beta^0}}{\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0}} \right)} + \beta \chi_2^{w^2, \beta} e^{\frac{\chi_2^{w^4, \beta^0} w^2}{\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0}}} \right). \tag{128}$$

7.1.1 Matching with $D = 5$ gauged SUGRA truncation over an S^5 of $D = 10$ type IIB SUGRA for $B = 0$ [5]

Utilizing the result obtained in the previous section for the spectral density of photon production in the absence of a magnetic field, we will see our results match nicely with those in gauged type IIB supergravity compactified on S^5 [5].

Now, (128) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\chi}{w} &\sim \frac{C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{x^3}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(\rho)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_t^\phi(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(Z)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 4N \left(\beta e^{\frac{928e^5 \beta C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} 2w^2}{1323C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} 2\xi_{UV}^{B=0} + 1}} - 21 \right)}{C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} r_h^2 \left(C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} 2C_{a_{x^3}^{\phi Z}}^{B=0, UV} 2 + C_{a_{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} 2 \right)^2} \\
&\times w e^{-\frac{16C_{a_t^\rho}^{B=0, UV} 2w^2 \left(\frac{58e^5 \beta}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}} - 27 \right)}{1323C_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} 2} - \frac{9}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}}}. \tag{129}
\end{aligned}$$

Using [48]:

$$\beta = \frac{16384\pi^7 \kappa_\beta \left(\frac{24g_s M^2 N_f (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h)) \left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2}{9 \left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 + 1} + \frac{3NN_f (\log(N) - 3 \log(r_h))}{4\pi} \right)^3}{2187g_s^4 N^3 N_f^7 (\log(N) - 3 \log(r_h))^7}, \quad (130)$$

along with $r_h = e^{-\kappa r_h (g_s, M, N_f) N^{1/3}}$, which for $g_s = 0.1, M = N_f = 3, N = 100$ and values of $c_{1,2}$ as obtained in [48] to match with $SU(3)$ Gluodynamics lattice results [49], yields $\beta = 1.1$ (essentially implying that the higher derivative corrections can not be disregarded). Defining $\mathcal{R} = -\frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_+^{B=0,UV}}^2}{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x_3}^Z(\rho)}^{B=0,UV}}$, one obtains:

$$\frac{\chi}{w} = \mathcal{C} w e^{-\frac{16\mathcal{R}w^2 \left(27 - \frac{9468.76}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}} \right)}{1323} - \frac{9}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}}} \left(1.1 e^{1 - \frac{114.513\mathcal{R}w^2}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}}} - 21 \right). \quad (131)$$

Now, $c_2, c_{0,UV}^{B=0}, c_{2,UV}^{B=0}$ have dimensions of T , c_4 has dimensions of $1/T$, $\mathcal{C}_{a_+^{B=0,UV}}^2 / \mathcal{C}_{a_{x_3}^Z(\rho)}^{B=0,UV}$ has dimensions of $1/T$; c_5, c_6 must have dimensions of $1/T^3$. Hence, $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/T^2$ with now a dimensionless ratio $\tilde{w} \equiv w/(2\pi T)$. Thus, with $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{C}}{4\pi^2 N^2}$,

$$\frac{\chi}{4N^2 \tilde{w} T^2} = \mathcal{C} \tilde{w} e^{-\frac{16\mathcal{R}\tilde{w}^2 \left(27 - \frac{9468.76}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}} \right)}{1323} - \frac{9}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}}} \left(1.1 e^{1 - \frac{114.513\mathcal{R}\tilde{w}^2}{\xi_{UV}^{B=0}}} - 21 \right). \quad (132)$$

Numerically, one obtains a reasonable match with [5] for

$$\mathcal{C} = 0.0064, \quad \xi_{UV}^{B=0} = -3.095, \quad \mathcal{R} = 0.5727. \quad (133)$$

7.2 Photoproduction in the presence of strong magnetic field

In this section, we will generalize the procedure adopted in section 7.1 in the presence of a strong magnetic field and similarly will obtain the respective spectral density by utilizing the $E_{trans.}$ in the presence of the strong magnetic field.




Figure 2: $\frac{X}{2N^2 \tilde{w} T^2}$ -vs- \tilde{w} ; the plot in red is based on (132)-(206), and the plots points in blue are from [5]; the comparison though not entirely justified [the wave vector is orthogonal to the x^3 -axis in (132)-(206), but at 45° relative to x^3 in [5] for $B = 0$], is just to illustrate that one can fit the parameters \mathcal{C} , $\xi_{UV}^{B=0}$, \mathcal{R} to results of [5]

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
G^{x^2 x^2} &= \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}\beta C_{x^3 x^3}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 C_{tZ}^B C_{x^3 \rho}^B \sqrt{g_s} \sqrt{N} e^{Z-2\rho} \cos^2(\phi) (C_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + C_{tZ,2}^B)}{B^2 C_{tZ,2}^B \rho^2 r_h^2} \\
&+ \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} C_{x^3 x^3}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 C_{x^3 \rho}^B \sqrt{g_s} \sqrt{N} e^{-2\rho} \cos^2(\phi) (C_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + C_{tZ,2}^B)^2}{B^2 C_{tZ,2}^B \rho^2 r_h^2}, \\
G^{ZZ} &= -\frac{\beta \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} r_h^4 e^{12Z} (e^{4Z} - 1) (6b^2 + e^{2Z}) (C_{zz} - 2C_{\theta_{1z}} + 2C_{\theta_{1x}})}{2\sqrt{\pi} C_{x^3 x^3}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^4 \sqrt{g_s} (9b^2 + e^{2Z}) (C_{x^3 \rho,1}^B + C_{x^3 \rho}^B e^{-\rho})^4} - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} r_h^2 e^{4Z} (e^{4Z} - 1)}{2\sqrt{\pi} C_{x^3 x^3}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 \sqrt{g_s} (C_{x^3 \rho,1}^B + C_{x^3 \rho}^B e^{-\rho})^2}, \\
G^{tt} &= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\beta \sqrt{g_s} \sqrt{N} (6b^2 + e^{2Z}) e^{2\rho+6Z} (C_{zz} - 2C_{\theta_{1z}} + 2C_{\theta_{1x}})}{C_{x^3 x^3}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 (e^{4Z} - 1) (9b^2 + e^{2Z}) (C_{x^3 \rho,1}^B e^\rho + C_{x^3 \rho}^B)^2} + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{g_s} \sqrt{N} e^{2Z}}{r_h^2}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^B &= \frac{2\beta C_{x^3 x^3}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^4 C_{tZ,1}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 C_{tZ}^B C_{x^3 \rho}^B \rho^2 (\kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 + \epsilon_2)^2 e^{-4\rho-2Z} (C_{x^3 \rho,1}^B e^\rho + C_{x^3 \rho,2}^B)^2}{C_{tZ,2}^B \rho^2} \\
&\frac{\sqrt{2} N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2 \sqrt{\frac{C_{x^3 x^3}^B \rho^2 e^{-4\rho} (C_{x^3 \rho,1}^B e^\rho + C_{x^3 \rho}^B)^2 (C_{x^3 x^3}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 C_{tZ,1}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 C_{x^3 \rho}^B \rho^2 (\kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 + \epsilon_2)^2 - B^2 e^{2\rho} (C_{tZ,2}^B \rho^2 \epsilon_2 (2\kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 + \epsilon_2) - 2C_{tZ,2}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 \epsilon_1 - \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 \epsilon_1^2))}{C_{tZ,2}^B \rho^2}}}{\sqrt[3]{3} g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}. \tag{134}
\end{aligned}$$

The $E_{\text{trans}}(Z)$ EOM hence is:

$$E'_{\text{trans}}(Z) \left(-\frac{2e^{-Z} (C_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)}{C_{tZ,1}^B} - 2 \right) + E''_{\text{trans}}(Z) + \frac{4\pi g_s N w^2 e^{-2Z} E_{\text{trans}}(Z)}{r_h^2} = 0. \tag{135}$$

The solution of (135) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp \left(- \frac{e^{-Z} \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2 r_h^2 - 4\pi \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2 g_s N w^2} + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B r_h \right)}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B r_h} \right) \\
&\times \left[c_{1,UV}^{B \neq 0} U \left(\frac{9\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^4 g_s^2 N^2 w^4}{r_h^4 (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)^4} + \frac{3\pi \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2 g_s N w^2}{r_h^2 (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)^2} + 3, 3, - \frac{4\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^3 g_s^2 N^2 w^4 e^{-Z}}{r_h^4 (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)^3} - \frac{4\pi \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B g_s N w^2 e^{-Z}}{r_h^2 (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{2e^{-Z} (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B} \right) \right] \\
&+ c_{2,UV}^{B \neq 0} L^2 \left[- \frac{9\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^4 g_s^2 N^2 \pi^2 w^4}{(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)^4 r_h^4} - \frac{3\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2 g_s N \pi w^2}{(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)^2 r_h^2} - 3 \left(- \frac{4\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^3 e^{-Z} g_s^2 N^2 \pi^2 w^4}{(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)^3 r_h^4} - \frac{4\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^{-Z} g_s N \pi w^2}{(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1) r_h^2} + \frac{2e^{-Z} (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1)}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B} \right) \right] \\
&= c_{1,UV}^{B \neq 0} e^{2Z} \left(\frac{2\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^6 g_s^2 N^2 w^4}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^6 r_h^4} + \frac{\pi \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^4 g_s N w^2}{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^4 r_h^2} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2}{8\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2} \right) \\
&+ c_{2,UV}^{B \neq 0} \left(\frac{177.653 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^4 g_s^2 N^2 w^4}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^4 r_h^4} - \frac{4\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2 e^{-2Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2} + \frac{g_s N w^2 e^{-Z} \left(\frac{2\pi \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B r_h}{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2 r_h^2}} - \frac{12.5664 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B} \right)}{r_h^2} + 1 \right). \tag{136}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us look at the EOM for $E_{\text{trans}}^\beta(Z)$:

$$\mathcal{X}^{\beta^0} E_{\text{trans}}^\beta '(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^\beta ''(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^\beta (Z) \left(- (q^2 \mathcal{Y}_2^{\beta^0} + w^2 \mathcal{Y}_1^{\beta^0}) \right) - E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0} (Z) (q^2 \mathcal{Y}_2^\beta + w^2 \mathcal{Y}_1^\beta) + \mathcal{X}^\beta E_{\text{trans}}^{\beta^0} (Z) = 0, \tag{137}$$

wherein,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X} &\equiv \partial_Z \log \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^B G^{ZZ} G^{x^2 x^2} \right) = \mathcal{X}^{\beta^0} + \beta \mathcal{X}^\beta, \\
\mathcal{Y}_1 &\equiv \frac{G^{tt}}{G^{ZZ}} = \mathcal{Y}_1^{\beta^0} + \beta \mathcal{Y}_2^\beta, \\
\mathcal{Y}_2 &\equiv \frac{G^{x^1 x^1}}{G^{ZZ}} = \mathcal{Y}_2^{\beta^0} + \beta \mathcal{Y}_2^\beta; \tag{138}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1^{\beta^0} &= - \frac{4\pi \mathcal{C}_{x^3 x^3}^B {}^2 \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 g_s \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N^{3/2} e^{-2\rho - 6Z} \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho, 1}^B e^\rho + \mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho}^B \right)^2}{r_h^4}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_1^\beta &= - \frac{4\pi \beta g_s N^{3/2} e^{-2Z} (\mathcal{C}_{zz} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1z}} + 2\mathcal{C}_{\theta_{1x}})}{r_h^2}; \\
\mathcal{Y}_2^{\beta^0} &= - \frac{4\pi \mathcal{C}_{x^3 x^3}^B {}^4 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2 \kappa_{a_{x^3}^4}^4 \mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho, 2}^B {}^2 g_s N e^{-4\rho - 6Z} \sin^2(\phi) \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho, 1}^B e^\rho + \mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho, 2}^B \right)^2}{B^2 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2 r_h^4}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_2^\beta &= - \frac{8\pi \beta \mathcal{C}_{x^3 x^3}^B {}^4 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2 \kappa_{a_{x^3}^4}^4 \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho}^B {}^2 g_s N e^{-4\rho - 6Z} \sin^2(\phi) \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho, 1}^B e^\rho + \mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho}^B \right)^2}{B^2 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2 r_h^4}; \\
\mathcal{X}^{\beta^0} &= 2 - \frac{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B}, \\
\mathcal{X}^\beta &= \frac{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B e^{-Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2}. \tag{139}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, making the following perturbative ansatz up to $\mathcal{O}(w^4)$,

$$E_{\text{trans}}^\beta(Z) = E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta}(Z) + w^2 E_{\text{trans}}^{w^2, \beta}(Z) + w^4 E_{\text{trans}}^{w^4, \beta}(Z), \quad (140)$$

one obtains the following EOMs.

- $\mathcal{O}(w^0)$:

$$\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B C_{tZ}^B c_2 e^{-3Z} (C_{tZ,1}^B)^2 e^{2Z} - 4C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2)}{C_{tZ,1}^B{}^4} + \left(2 - \frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B}\right) E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta}'(Z) + \frac{C_{tZ}^B c_1 e^Z}{4C_{tZ,2}^B} + E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta}''(Z) = 0, \quad (141)$$

whose solution is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{trans}}^{w^0, \beta}(Z) &= c_4 + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{24C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 C_{tZ}^B c_2 e^{-2Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B{}^3} + \frac{C_{tZ}^B (c_1 + 12c_2) e^{-\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B}}}{C_{tZ,1}^B{}^2} \left(C_{tZ,1}^B + 2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z} \right) \text{Ei} \left(\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3C_{tZ,1}^B c_3 e^{-\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} - Z}}{C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2} \left(C_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + 2C_{tZ,2}^B \right) + \frac{12C_{tZ}^B c_2 Z}{C_{tZ,1}^B} - \frac{C_{tZ}^B c_1 e^Z}{C_{tZ,2}^B} \right) \\ &= \frac{-2C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 C_{tZ}^B c_1 Z - 24C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 C_{tZ}^B c_2 Z}{24C_{tZ,1}^B C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2} - \frac{C_{tZ}^B c_1 e^Z}{12C_{tZ,2}^B}. \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

- $\mathcal{O}(w^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{r_h^4} \left\{ 4\pi C_{x^3, x^3}^B{}^2 \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 g_s \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N^{3/2} e^{-2(\rho+3Z)} \left(C_{x^3, \rho, 1}^B e^\rho + C_{x^3, \rho, 2}^B \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{12} \left\{ \frac{24C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 C_{tZ}^B c_2 e^{-2Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B{}^3} + \frac{C_{tZ}^B (c_1 + 12c_2) e^{-\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B}}}{C_{tZ,1}^B{}^2} \left(C_{tZ,1}^B + 2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z} \right) \text{Ei} \left(\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{3C_{tZ,1}^B c_3 e^{-\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} - Z}}{C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2} \left(C_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + 2C_{tZ,2}^B \right) + \frac{12C_{tZ}^B c_2 Z}{C_{tZ,1}^B} - \frac{C_{tZ}^B c_1 e^Z}{C_{tZ,2}^B} \right\} + c_4 \right] \\ &+ \frac{\pi C_{tZ,1}^B{}^2 C_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s N e^Z}{C_{tZ,2}^B{}^3 r_h^2} + \frac{4C_{tZ}^B c_2 g_s N e^{-2Z} \left(\pi \sqrt{C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 r_h^2} - 6.28319 C_{tZ,2}^B r_h \right)}{C_{tZ,1}^B C_{tZ,2}^B r_h^3} + \left(2 - \frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} \right) E_{\text{trans}}^{w^2, \beta}'(Z) + E_{\text{trans}}^{w^2, \beta}''(Z) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

whose solution is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{trans}}^{w^2, \beta}(Z) &= c_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} - Z}}{12C_{tZ,2}^B{}^3 r_h^2} \\ &\quad \times \left[C_{tZ,1}^B \left(-4\pi C_{tZ,1}^B C_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s N e^{2\left(\frac{C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} + Z\right)} + 3C_{tZ,1}^B C_{tZ,2}^B c_2 r_h^2 e^Z + 6C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 c_2 r_h^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4\pi C_{tZ,2}^B C_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s N \left(C_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + 2C_{tZ,2}^B \right) \text{Ei} \left(\frac{2C_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{C_{tZ,1}^B} \right) \right] = -\frac{\pi C_{tZ,1}^B{}^2 C_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s N e^Z}{3C_{tZ,2}^B{}^3 r_h^2} - \frac{\pi C_{tZ,1}^B C_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s N Z}{3C_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 r_h^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

• $\mathcal{O}(w^4)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4\pi\mathcal{C}_{x^3x^3}^B \kappa_{\alpha_{x^3}}^2 g_s \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N^{3/2} e^{-2(\rho+3Z)} \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho,1}^B e^\rho + \mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho,2}^B \right)^2 \left(-\frac{\pi\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s N (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B Z)}{3\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^3r_h^2} \right)}{r_h^4} (Z) \\
& + \frac{4\pi^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s^2 N^2 e^Z}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^5r_h^4} + \frac{355.306\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_2 g_s^2 N^2 e^{-Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^3r_h^4} + \left(2 - \frac{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B} \right) E_{\text{trans}}^{w^4, \beta}{}'(Z) \\
& + E_{\text{trans}}^{w^4, \beta}{}''(Z) = 0, \tag{145}
\end{aligned}$$

whose solution is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{trans}}^{w^4, \beta} (Z) &= c_3 + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^{-\frac{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B} - Z}}{12\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^5r_h^4} \\
&\times \left(-16\pi^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^3\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s^2 N^2 e^{2\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B} + Z\right)} + 3\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^3c_2 r_h^4 e^Z + 16\pi^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s^2 N^2 \left(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + 2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B \right) \right. \\
&\times Ei \left(\frac{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B} + 6\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^4c_2 r_h^4 \right) = -\frac{4\pi^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^4\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_1 e^Z g_s^2 N^2}{3\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^5r_h^4} - \frac{4\pi^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^3\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_1 g_s^2 N^2 Z}{3\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^4r_h^4}. \tag{146}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left(\frac{E_{\text{trans}}{}'(Z)}{E_{\text{trans}}(Z)} \right) = 2 + \frac{c_2 g_s N w^2 (\beta \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B (100.5 - 201.1Z) + 201.1\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B)}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B c_1 e^{2Z} r_h^2} - \frac{2842.4\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2c_2 g_s^2 N^2 w^4}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2c_1 e^{2Z} r_h^4} + \frac{2\beta\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B}{3\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^2e^Z}. \tag{147}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_2 &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{x^3x^3}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \kappa_{\alpha_{x^3}}^2 \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_{x^3}}^B {}^3N^{3/5} \rho^2 e^{8Z-2\rho} \cos^2(\phi)}{B^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^5c_1 g_s r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho,1}^B e^\rho + \mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho}^B \right)} \\
&\times \left(-2787.15\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B {}^3c_2 g_s^2 N^2 w^4 + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2r_h^2 \left(197.191c_2 g_s N w^2 + 1.96112c_1 r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) - 197.191\beta\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B c_2 g_s N r_h^2 w^2 (Z - 0.5) \right). \tag{148}
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming $(4\pi g_s N)^{1/N_{>4}} = \frac{r_h}{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}} e^{Z_{UV}}$, and $r_h(g_s = 0.1, M = N_f = 3) \sim e^{-0.3N^{1/3}} l_s$, and $w \sim \frac{r_h^{\kappa w}}{l_s^2}$, one sees that $\frac{1.96e^{2Z_{UV}} r_h^2}{197.19g_s N w^2} \sim \frac{(l_s^2 \mathcal{O}(1) g_s N)^{2/N_{>4}} r_h^2}{(\mathcal{O}(10^2) g_s N r_h^{2\kappa w})}$. Therefore, $\frac{\mathcal{O}(1)(g_s N)^{2/N_{>4}} r_h^2}{(\mathcal{O}(10^2) g_s N r_h^{2\kappa w})} \sim (g_s N)^{2/N_{>4}-1} e^{-0.6(1-\kappa w)N^{1/3}}$.

Now, $2/N_{>4} < 1/2$, hence $2/N_{>4} - 1 < 0$. Hence, in a large - N limit, $\left| \frac{\mathcal{O}(w^0)}{\mathcal{O}(w)} \right| \ll 1$.

Writing $N^{\frac{1}{N_{>4}}} = \frac{\sqrt[4]{N}}{\kappa_{Z_{UV}}}$, $N_{>4} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N_{>4} > 4$, one obtains the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\chi_2}{N^2 \tilde{w} T^2} &\sim \frac{\mathcal{C}_{x^3x^3}^B {}^2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \kappa_{\alpha_{x^3}}^2 c_2 N \tilde{w} \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho}^B (\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho}^B - 8\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho,1}^B) - 8\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho,1}^B {}^2Li_3 \left(-\frac{\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho,2}^B}{\mathcal{C}_{x^3\rho,1}^B} \right) \right) (\beta\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B Z_{UV} - \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B)}{\alpha_{Z_{UV}}^8 B^2 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^3c_1} \\
&\times e^{-\frac{4\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \tilde{w}^2 (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B + \beta\mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B Z_{UV})}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2}} \equiv \frac{\kappa_1 \tilde{w} e^{-\kappa_2 \tilde{w}^2}}{\tilde{B}^2}, \tag{149}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 3: $\frac{\chi}{2N^2\tilde{w}T^2}$ -vs- \tilde{w} - the plot in red is with an extra term quadratic in \tilde{w} added by hand, the plot in thick blue is based on (149) and the plot points in pale blue are from [5] for $\frac{B}{T^2} = 11.24$

where $\tilde{B} \equiv \frac{B}{T^2}$. If one adds a term quadratic in \tilde{w}^2 in the numerator of the RHS of (149),

$$\frac{\chi_2}{N^2\tilde{w}T^2} = \frac{\kappa_1\tilde{w}e^{-\kappa_2\tilde{w}^2} + \kappa_3\tilde{w}^2}{\tilde{B}^2}, \quad (150)$$

one obtains the comparative plot in Fig. 3.

8 Paramagnetic Pressure/Energy-Anisotropic Plasma and Generalized TOV Equations

In this section, we are interested in deciphering the features of the generalized EoS obtained for the M-theoretic uplift of thermal QCD-like theories at temperatures above the deconfinement temperature. The free energy F is obtained by the regularized DBI action providing the pressure (P) via the relation $P = -F$. The energy density E at finite temperature and chemical potential can be obtained via the relation, $E = T\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} + \mu\frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu} - P$. Then one obtains the generalized EOS by relating the pressure with energy density. We then demonstrate that the holographic dual, in principle, could correspond to several scenarios above T_c . These include stable wormholes, a stable wormhole transitioning via a smooth crossover to dark energy as the universe cools (the converse being prohibited in our setup), and a paramagnetic pressure/energy-anisotropic plasma. Given that above T_c QGP is expected to be paramagnetic [3], the third possibility appears to be the preferred one. Generalizing the TOV equations to include angular mass/pressure/energy profiles, we show that it is not possible that the anisotropic plasma leads to the formation of a compact star. En route, we show that the IR renormalization of the DBI action requires a boundary Log-determinant-of-Ricci-tensor counter term.

8.1 Pressure/Energy anisotropy, non-analyticity with respect to complexified gauge coupling, and Almost Contact 3-Structures

The UV-finite DBI action per unit \mathbb{R}^3 -coordinate density of the type IIA flavor $D6$ -branes, near, e.g. $\theta_1 = \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{N^{1/5}}$, is:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{UV-finite}} \sim - \frac{N_f^{\text{UV}} B \sqrt[5]{N} \left(\alpha_{\theta_2} \left(6a^2 r_h^2 e^{2Z_{D5/\overline{D5}}} - 2r_h^4 e^{4Z_{D5/\overline{D5}}} \right) + 99\pi a^4 Z_{D5/\overline{D5}} \right)}{\sqrt{g_s} r_h}, \quad (151)$$

(the “ \sim ” is indicative of the fact that multiplicative numerical factors have been disregarded) which upon substituting $e^{Z_{D5/\overline{D5}}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}a}{r_h}$ yields:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{UV-div}} \sim - \frac{N_f^{\text{UV}} \pi^{5/2} B \sqrt{N} \rho r_h^3 e^{4Z_{\text{UV}}}}{\sqrt{g_s}}. \quad (152)$$

As, the UV boundary cosmological constant term near $\theta_1 = \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{N^{1/5}}$ with the embedding $i : \Sigma_{D6} \hookrightarrow M_{10} \cong (S_t^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \times_w \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times_w \mathcal{T}_{\text{NE}}^{1,1}$:

$$\int_{\Sigma_{D6}(Z=Z_{\text{UV}})} \sqrt{-\det(i^*g)} \sim \frac{e^{4Z_{\text{UV}}} r_h^3 \alpha_{\theta_1}}{g_s^{1/4} N^{9/20}}, \quad (153)$$

The UV counter term will be proportional to:

$$\frac{B N N_f^{\text{UV}}}{g_s^{1/4}} \int_{\Sigma_{D6}(Z=Z_{\text{UV}})} \sqrt{-\det(i^*g)}. \quad (154)$$

Now,

$$P^{\text{UV}} = - \frac{99\sqrt{3}\pi^{7/2} B \sqrt[5]{N} \rho^2 r_h^3 \left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^4 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + 1 \right)}{4\sqrt{g_s}}, \quad (155)$$

utilizing $\mu = \frac{\kappa_\mu}{a^2}$ [44] implying:

$$\mu \frac{dP^{\text{UV}}}{d\mu} \Big|_{\mu=\mu(a(r_h))} = - \frac{99\sqrt{3}\pi^{7/2} B \sqrt[5]{N} \rho^2 r_h^3 \left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^4 \left(4 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + 1 \right) + 1 \right)}{8\sqrt{g_s}}, \quad (156)$$

and

$$T \frac{dP^{\text{UV}}}{dT} \Big|_{a=a(r_h)} = - \frac{99\sqrt{3}\pi^{7/2} B \sqrt[5]{N} \rho^2 r_h^3 \left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^4 \left(4 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + 1 \right) + 1 \right)}{8\sqrt{g_s}}. \quad (157)$$

Similarly,

$$P^{\text{IR}} = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}\sqrt[6]{3} C_{x^3 t}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \sqrt{g_s} N_f r_h \log(r_h) \sqrt{\frac{\left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 \left(6r_h^2 - 9C_{a_t^Z}^B C_{t x^3}^{2\rho, B} C_{t x^3}^{2\rho, B} 2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \rho^2 \right) - C_{a_t^Z}^B C_{t x^3}^{2\rho, B} C_{t x^3}^{2\rho, B} 2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \rho^2 + r_h^2}}{9 \left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 + 1}} \times \left\{ \pi^{5/2} C_{a_t^Z}^B C_{t x^3}^{2\rho, B} C_{t x^3}^{2\rho, B} 2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \sqrt{N} \rho^3 \log(3) C_{x^3}^B (x^3) \left(g_s N_f \log \left(r_h^6 \left[9 \left(\frac{g_s M^2 (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 + 1 \right] \right) - 8\pi \right) \right\}, \quad (158)$$

implying:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \frac{dP^{\text{IR}}}{d\mu} \Big|_{\mu=\mu(a(r_h))} \\ &= - \frac{\pi^{5/2} C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \sqrt{N} \rho^3 \log(3)} C_{x^3}^B(x^3) \left(-24 C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 N_f \rho^2} + 18 g_s N_f r_h^2 + 6 g_s N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) - 8 \pi r_h^2 \right)}{24 \sqrt{2} \sqrt[6]{3} C_{x^3}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \sqrt{g_s} N_f r_h \log(r_h) \left(3 r_h^2 - 4 C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \rho^2} \right)^{3/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & T \frac{dP^{\text{IR}}}{dT} \Big|_{a=a(r_h)} \\ &= \frac{\pi^{5/2} C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \sqrt{N} \rho^3 \log(3)} C_{x^3}^B(x^3)}{12 \sqrt{2} \sqrt[6]{3} C_{x^3}^B C_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} C_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \sqrt{g_s} N_f r_h \log^2(r_h) \left(3 r_h^2 - 4 C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \rho^2} \right)^{3/2}} \\ & \times \left[4 \left(8 \pi - g_s N_f \log(4 r_h^6) \right) \left(3 r_h^2 - 4 C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \rho^2} \right) + \log(r_h) \left\{ 2 \left(-36 C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 N_f \rho^2} \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left. - 64 \pi C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \rho^2} + 27 g_s N_f r_h^2 + 100 \pi r_h^2 \right) - g_s N_f \log(4 r_h^6) \left(25 r_h^2 - 16 C_{a_z^t}^B C_t^{\rho, B} C_{tx^3}^B C_{x^3}^{2e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \rho^2} \right) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

In the following, we will re-interpret the plots in terms of variations of pressure, energy, and equation-of-state parameter as a function of "boundary time", relevant to calculating the entanglement entropy of the relevant eternal black hole corresponding to the Hartman-Maldacena-like surface using Dong's formula [50] for the computation of entanglement entropy in higher derivative theories. In \mathcal{M} -theory dual, Hartman-Maldacena-like surface is a co-dimension two surface which is located at $x^1 = x_R$ and corresponds to the embedding $t = t(r)$. We can write an expression for the entanglement entropy for Hartman-Maldacena-like surface in the following form:

$$S_{EE} = \int dr dx^2 dx^3 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 dx dy dz dx^{10} \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{z\bar{z}z\bar{z}}} + \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{zizj} \partial R_{\bar{z}m\bar{z}l}} \right)_{\alpha} \frac{8 K_{zij} K_{\bar{z}ml}}{(q_{\alpha} + 1)} \right], \quad (161)$$

where g is the determinant of the induced metric on the co-dimension two surface, $z = x e^{it}$ along the directions normal to the HM surface, (i, j, k, l) are along tangential directions, $K_{zij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_z G_{ij}$ and its trace is defined as $K_z = K_{zij} G^{ij}$; see [50] for the definition of q_{α} . The solution to the embedding equation $t = t(r)$ at $r = r_h$ with $t(r = r_h) = t_b$, i.e., the "boundary time" was shown in [46] to yield:

$$r_h = \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{2/3}}{\left(\frac{(c_2 - t_{b0}) N^{-\frac{3n_{t_b}}{2}}}{c_1} \right)^{2/3}}, \quad (162)$$

where $c_{1,2} < 0$ and $|c_2| \sim e^{|c_1|}$ (rendering a "Swiss-Cheese" structure to the HM entanglement entropy) and $n_{t_b} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

From (155) - (160), one sees that the pressure and energy per unit \mathbb{R}^3 coordinate volume, near $\theta_1 \sim \frac{1}{N^{1/5}}$, have an angular dependence:

$$\begin{aligned} p(r, \theta; r_h(T)) &= r \sin \theta \left(\sqrt{N} f_p^{(1)}(g_s; r_h; \{\mathcal{C}\}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} f_p^{(2)}(g_s, M; r_h; \{\mathcal{C}\}) + \frac{B}{N^{4/5}} f_p^{(3)}(g_s, M; r_h; \{\mathcal{C}\}) \right), \\ E(r, \theta; r_h(T)) &= r \sin \theta \left(\sqrt{N} f_E^{(1)}(g_s, M, N_f; r_h; \{\mathcal{C}\}) + B N^{1/5} f_E^{(2)}(g_s; r_h; \{\mathcal{C}\}) + \frac{f_E^{(3)}(g_s, M; r_h; \{\mathcal{C}\})}{\sqrt{N}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (163)$$

where $\{\mathcal{C}\} \equiv c_1, c_2, \kappa_0, \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_t^B}^B, \mathcal{C}_t^{\rho, B}, \mathcal{C}_{tx^3}^B, \kappa_1, \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_t^B}^B, \mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B}, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B}, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3)$. We hence see a pressure/energy anisotropy. Introducing the magnetic field along a direction (say z -direction in three dimensional space) breaks the rotational invariance and gives rise to the pressure anisotropy, and is vital in proving the paramagnetic behaviour of QGP. The anisotropic pressure decomposes into longitudinal pressure along the direction of the external magnetic field and transverse pressure orthogonal to the direction of the external magnetic field. Our results of increased transverse pressure as compared to the longitudinal pressure in the presence of a strong uniform magnetic field is consistent with, e.g. [51] based on a quasi-particle model.

Using standard KS(Klebanov-Strassler)-like RG-flow equations [52], [53], one sees that (similar to [54]):

$$g^2(T/T_c) \sim \left(e^{-\phi^{\text{IIA}}} \int_{S^2} B^{\text{IIA}} \right)^{-1} \Big|_{r=r_h} \sim \frac{1}{(g_s M)(g_s N_f)} \left[\left| \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}T}{2(1+\sqrt{3}\varepsilon)T_c} \right) \right| \left(\log N - 3 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}T}{2(1+\sqrt{3}\varepsilon)T_c} \right) \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (164)$$

One sees from (155) - (160) that near $\theta_1 \sim \frac{1}{N^{1/5}}$, up to LO in $r_h, |\log r_h| (> 1)$ and N :

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{\text{UV}} &\sim -\frac{BN^{1/5}r_h^3\rho^2\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\right)^4\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\right)}{\sqrt{g_s}}, \\
\mu\frac{\partial P^{\text{UV}}}{\partial\mu} &\sim -\frac{BN^{1/5}r_h^3\rho^2\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\right)^4\left[1+4\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\right)\right]}{\sqrt{g_s}}, \\
T\frac{\partial P^{\text{UV}}}{\partial\mu} &\sim -\frac{N^{1/5}Br_h^3\rho^2\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\right)^3}{\sqrt{g_s}}\left[\sqrt{3}c_2\frac{g_sM^2}{N}\left(1+4\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\right)\right)+3\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}}{r_h}\right)\right], \\
P^{\text{IR}} &\sim\frac{\sqrt{g_sN}\rho^2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}}\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3)}{r_h\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)}}}, \\
\mu\frac{\partial P^{\text{IR}}}{\partial\mu} &\sim-\frac{\sqrt{g_sN}r_h\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}}\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)}}, \\
T\frac{\partial P^{\text{IR}}}{\partial T} &\sim\frac{\sqrt{g_sN}\rho^2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}}\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3)}{r_h\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

We hence note the absence of an explicit $\log r_h$ -dependence of the pressure and energy densities (165). Hence, from (164), writing $g^2 = -\frac{\mathcal{C}_{\text{gauge}}}{g_s^2MN_f\log r_h(\log N-3\log r_h)}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{gauge}} > 0$, one sees that

$$\log r_h = \frac{1}{6}\left[\log N - \frac{\sqrt{12\mathcal{C}_{\text{gauge}} + g_s^2\log^2NMN_fg^2\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)}}{g_s\sqrt{MN_f}g\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)}\right]. \tag{166}$$

Hence, one sees that if one were to complexify the expressions for pressure and energy densities, then there will be no non-analytic dependence (of pressure and energy densities) on the complexified $g\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)$. We also note the absence of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections of the pressure and energy densities.

Using the results of [4], and motivated by the conjecture of the connection between the non-analytic-complexified-gauge-coupling-dependence of the complexified bulk-to-shear-viscosity ratio and the existence of Contact 3-Structures for $(g_s, M, N_f) = (0.1, 3, 3)$ and $N = 100$ in [48], we now conjecture that the existence of Almost Contact 3-Structures for the same values of (g_s, M, N_f) as above but for $N = 200$ [$N = 100$ resulting in complex free energy derivable from the renormalized DBI action for the type IIA flavor $D6$ -branes] maps to the absence of non-analytic dependence of the complexified pressure and energy on the complexified coupling constant.




Figure 4: A Smooth Crossover from Stable Wormhole to Phantom Dark Energy as Universe Cools but stays above T_c : $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} \approx 0.33$, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = -1$, $\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = 1$

8.2 Various scenarios arising on the basis of Equations of State

From (163), it turns out that $p(r, \theta; r_h(T))$ and $E(r, \theta; r_h(T))$ depend on the following combinations of integrations of constant for the type IIA $D6$ -world-volume gauge fields in the IR:


$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} \equiv e^{2\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 \left(\mathcal{C}_{tx^3}^B \mathcal{C}_{a_t^z}^B \mathcal{C}_t^{\rho, B} \right)^2, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3 t}^B, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3). \quad (167)$$

In the following, we will choose $\varepsilon = 0.3$, $c_1 = -109$, $c_2 = -10$ [48] and take $N = 200$ (to ensure $p(r, \theta), E(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}$, unlike [48]), and $\rho = 1$. Here are some possible scenarios.

(a) A Smooth Crossover from Stable Wormhole to Phantom Dark Energy as Universe Cools but stays above T_c

(b) Anisotropic Plasma

I) $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 1, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001$; see Fig. 5 and 6



(a) Pressure/Energy density-vs-temperature

(b) $\frac{\text{Energy}}{\tilde{t}^4} / \frac{\text{Pressure}}{\tilde{t}^4}$ -vs-temperature


Figure 5: Anisotropic plasma: $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 1, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001, B = 1 (\text{GeV})^4$; $\tilde{t} \equiv \frac{T}{T_c}$

II) $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 100, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001$; see Figs. 7 and 8


(c) **Stable Wormhole:** $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 1, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = 10^5$; see Fig. 7

To understand the relevance of wormholes, consider the following.


- The asymptotic AdS_{1+1} metric written by delocalizing w.r.t. $\theta_{1,2}, \phi_{1,2}, \psi, x^{1,2}$ and disregarding the $\frac{1}{N}$ -suppressed non-conformal corrections is given by: $ds^2 = -g_{tt}dt^2 + g_{rr}dr^2$. Using the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates $\chi_1 = t - \int \sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}}} dr, \chi_2 = t + \int \sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}}} dr$, one obtains $ds_{1+1}^2 = -\frac{g_{tt}}{4}d\chi_1d\chi_2$. As an example near $r = 0$, $ds^2 \sim \frac{\left(\frac{r_h^4}{L^2}\right)^{1/3} d\chi_1d\chi_2}{(\chi_1 - \chi_2)^{2/3}}$ where $\chi_1 = t - \frac{v^{3/2}L^2}{3r_h^4}, \chi_2 = t + \frac{v^{3/2}L^2}{3r_h^4}$. Defining $\kappa = (\chi_1 - \chi_2)^{1/3}$, one sees that $ds_{1+1}^2 \sim dt d\kappa$. Finally defining $T = (t - \kappa)/2, X = (t + \kappa)/2$, one obtains $ds_{1+1}^2 = -dT^2 + dX^2$. Schematically, near $r = 0$, one thus sees that the $AdS_{1+1} \times S_{\text{resolved}}^2$ -metric would be given as: $ds^2 = -dT^2 + dX^2 + ((X - T)^2 + a^2)ds_{S^2(\text{resolvedconifold})}^2$, which is somewhat like the Ellis wormhole.
- By writing $\log r = \frac{1}{2} \log r^2$ and approximating $\frac{(r + \#a^2 \log r)}{r}$ by 1 (given that for QCD-inspired values of (g_s, M, N_f) of Table 1, in the UV $\frac{a^2 \log r}{r} \sim e^{-\mathcal{O}(1)N^{1/3} \frac{\log N}{N^{1/4}}} \ll 1$ and in the IR, $\frac{a^2 \log r}{r} \sim e^{-\frac{N^{1/3}}{\mathcal{O}(1)} \frac{N^{1/3}}{\mathcal{O}(1)}} < 1$), in the type IIB/IIA ten-dimensional warp factor



(a) $\frac{\text{Pressure}}{\tilde{t}^4}$ -vs-temperature for $B = 0.2, 0.3, 0.5 \text{ (GeV)}^2$



(b) $\frac{\text{Energy}}{\tilde{t}^4}$ -vs-temperature $T \in [T_c, 1.2T_c]$




(c) $\frac{\text{Energy}}{\tilde{t}^4}$ -vs-temperature $T \in [1.2T_c, 1.5T_c]$

Figure 6: Anisotropic plasma: $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 1, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001; \tilde{t} \equiv \frac{T}{T_c}$

$h, B_2^{\text{NS-SN}}, H_3^{\text{NS-NS}}, F_3^{\text{IIB,RR}}/F_2^{\text{IIA,RR}}$ of the type IIB/IIA dual [1] of thermal QCD-like theories at high temperatures, one can show that the type IIB/IIA/ \mathcal{M} -theory dual of [1], [30, 31, 34] have $r \rightarrow -r$ symmetry.

8.3 Impossibility of obtaining a Compact Star


Let us now discuss the possibility of whether one could obtain a quark star composed of a perfect fluid whose energy-momentum tensor is given by $T_{\mu\nu} = (E + p)u_\mu u_\nu + pg_{\mu\nu}$ from our



(a) Pressure/Energy density-vs-temperature

(b) $\frac{\text{Energy}}{\tilde{t}^4} / \frac{\text{Pressure}}{\tilde{t}^4}$ -vs-temperature

Figure 7: Anisotropic plasma: $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 100, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001; \tilde{t} \equiv \frac{T}{T_c}$



(a) $\frac{\text{Pressure}}{\tilde{t}^4}$ -vs-temperature for $B = 0.2, 0.3, 0.5 \text{ (GeV)}^2$

(b) $\frac{\text{Energy}}{\tilde{t}^4}$ -vs-temperature $T \in [T_c, 1.2T_c]$

Figure 8: Anisotropic plasma: $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1, \mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 100, \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001; \tilde{t} \equiv \frac{T}{T_c}$

background gauge configuration on the world volume of the flavor $D6$ -branes. Inside a static spherical (quark) star with the metric:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2Gm(r)}{r}\right) dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (168)$$

(by Birkoff's theorem, the exterior will be given by the Schwarzschild metric). Respectively from the tt, rr components and conservation of the energy-momentum tensor, one obtains the




Figure 9: A Stable Wormhole: $C_{\text{combo}} = -1, C_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 1, C_{x^3}^B(x^3) = 10^5$

Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p'(r) &= -\frac{E(r)m(r)}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{p(r)}{E(r)}\right) \frac{\left(1 + \frac{4\pi p(r)r^3}{m(r)}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}\right)}, \\
 \Phi'(r) &= -\frac{\frac{2}{E(r)}p'(r)}{\left(1 + \frac{p(r)}{E(r)}\right)}, \\
 m'(r) &= 4\pi r^2 E(r).
 \end{aligned} \tag{169}$$

Motivated by (163), (168) is modified include a θ dependence in Φ as well as m , i.e., $\Phi = \Phi(r, \theta), m = m(r, \theta)$. With an ansatz, $m(r, \theta) = m_r(r)m_\theta(\theta), P(r, \theta) = p_r(r)p_\theta(\theta), E(r, \theta) =$

$E_r(r)E_\theta(\theta)$, this results in the following modified TOV equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) G_{tt} &= \frac{\Sigma_1(r, \theta)}{r^2(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))^2} = 8\pi T_{tt} = 8\pi E_r(r)E_\theta(\theta)e^{-\Phi(r, \theta)}, \\
\Sigma_1(r, \theta) &\equiv Ge^{2\Phi(r, \theta)} \left(8G^2 m_r(r)^2 m_\theta(\theta)^3 m'_r(r) + 2 m_\theta(\theta) (G m_r(r))^2 (\cot(\theta) m'_\theta(\theta) + m''_\theta(\theta)) + r^2 m'_r(r) - 8Gr m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta)^2 m'_r(r) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - m_r(r) (3G m_r(r) m'_\theta(\theta)^2 + r \cot(\theta) m'_\theta(\theta) + r m''_\theta(\theta)) \right); \\
(ii) G_{rr} &= \frac{r \left(\frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \theta^2} + 2r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \cot(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right) - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta) (2r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 1)}{r^2(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))} = 8\pi T_{rr} = 8\pi \left(1 - \frac{2Gm_r(r)m_\theta(\theta)}{r} \right) P_r(r)P_\theta(\theta); \\
(iii) G_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{\Sigma_2(r, \theta)}{r(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))} = 8\pi T_{\theta\theta} = 8\pi \frac{P_r(r)P_\theta(\theta)}{r^2}, \\
\Sigma_2(r, \theta) &\equiv 2G^2 m_r(r)^2 m_\theta(\theta)^2 \left(2r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial r} + 2r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} + r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - 1 \right) \\
&\quad + Gr m_r(r) \left(2G m_\theta(\theta)^2 m'_r(r) \left(r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 1 \right) + m'_\theta(\theta) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} + \cot(\theta) \right) - m_\theta(\theta) \left(4r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial r} + 4r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} + 3r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 2 \cot(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} - 1 \right) \right) \\
&\quad + r^2 \left(-G m_\theta(\theta) m'_r(r) \left(r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 1 \right) + r \left(r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \Phi_r^{(1,0)}(r, \theta) + r \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} \right) + \cot(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right); \\
(iv) G_{\phi\phi} &= \frac{\Sigma_3(r, \theta)}{r(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))^2} = 8\pi T_{\phi\phi} = 8\pi \frac{\csc^2 \theta P_r(r)P_\theta(\theta)}{r^2}, \\
\Sigma_3(r, \theta) &\equiv \sin^2(\theta) \left[G^2 r m_r(r)^2 \left(-4G m_\theta(\theta)^3 m'_r(r) \left(r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 1 \right) - 2 m_\theta(\theta) \left(m'_\theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} + m''_\theta(\theta) \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 4 m_\theta(\theta)^2 \left(3r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial r} + 3r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} + 2r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \theta^2} - 1 \right) + 3 m'_\theta(\theta)^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 4G^3 m_r(r)^3 m_\theta(\theta)^3 \left(2r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial r} + 2r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} + r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - 1 \right) + Gr^2 m_r(r) \left(4G m_\theta(\theta)^2 m'_r(r) \left(r \Phi_r^{(1,0)}(r, \theta) + 1 \right) + m'_\theta(\theta) \Phi_r^{(0,1)}(r, \theta) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - m_\theta(\theta) \left(6r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial r} + 6r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} + 5r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 4 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} + 4 \Phi_r^{(0,2)}(r, \theta) - 1 \right) + m''_\theta(\theta) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + r^3 \left(-G m_\theta(\theta) m'_r(r) \left(r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 1 \right) + r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} + r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \Phi_r^{(0,1)}(r, \theta)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \right]; \\
(vi) \text{ We require : } G_{r\theta} &= \frac{G m_r(r) \left(m'_\theta(\theta) \left(r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + 1 \right) + 2 m_\theta(\theta) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \left(r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - 1 \right) + r \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right) \right) + r \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \left(1 - r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} \right) - r \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r \partial \theta} \right)}{r(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))} = 8\pi T_{r\theta} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

• Assuming

$$\begin{aligned}
m'_\theta(\theta) &= 0, \\
\Phi(r, \theta) &= \Phi_r(r) + \epsilon \Phi_r^{(2)}(r) \Phi_\theta(\theta),
\end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

one can show that $G_{r\theta} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$, and hence is negligible.

- $G_{tt} = 8\pi T_{tt}$, up to $\mathcal{O}(G)$ and approximating $e^{\Phi_r(r) + \epsilon\Phi_r^{(2)}(r)\Phi_\theta} \approx e^{\Phi_r(r)}$, would yield:

$$\frac{Ge^{2\Phi_r(r)} (2r m_\theta(\theta) m_r'(r) - m_r(r) (\cot(\theta) m_\theta'(\theta) + m_\theta''(\theta)))}{r} + \mathcal{O}(G^2) = 8\pi r E_r(r) E_\theta(\theta) e^{-2\Phi_r(r)}, \quad (172)$$

which implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \cot(\theta) m_\theta'(\theta) + m_\theta''(\theta) &= \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} m_\theta(\theta), \\ E_\theta(\theta) &= \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}^E m_\theta(\theta), \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

i.e.,

$$m_\theta(\theta) = c_1 P_{\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{1-4\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}} - 1)(\cos(\theta)) + c_2 Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{1-4\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}} - 1)(\cos(\theta)). \quad (174)$$

Now, one of the simplest ways to ensure $m_\theta'(\theta) = 0$ is to have an infinitesimal \mathcal{C}_{m_θ} and $c_2 = 0$ as $P_0(\cos \theta) = 1$. One thus sees that (173) yields:

$$E_r(r) = \frac{Ge^{4\Phi_r(r)} (2r m_r'(r) - \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} m_r(r))}{8\pi \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}^E r}, \quad |\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}| \ll 1. \quad (175)$$

- $G_{rr} = 8\pi T_{rr}$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(r) &= \frac{2r^2 \Phi_r'(r) - 2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G m_r(r) (2r \Phi_r'(r) + 1)}{\mathcal{C}_{P_\theta} r (r - 2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G m_r(r))^2}, \\ P_\theta(\theta) &= \mathcal{C}_{P_\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

- $G_{\theta\theta} = 8\pi T_{\theta\theta}$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G m_r'(r) (r \Phi_r'(r) + 1) - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G m_r(r) (2r^2 \Phi_r'(r)^2 + 2r^2 \Phi_r''(r) + r \Phi_r'(r) - 1)}{r} + r (r \Phi_r'(r)^2 + \Phi_r'(r) + r \Phi_r''(r)) \\ &= \frac{8\pi (2r^2 \Phi_r'(r) - 2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G m_r(r) (2r \Phi_r'(r) + 1))}{r^3 (r - 2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G m_r(r))^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

which if $m_r(r) = r\mathcal{C}_m$, would imply

$$\left(r^2 \Phi_r'(r)^2 + \left(r - \frac{16\pi}{r^3} \right) \Phi_r'(r) + r^2 \Phi_r''(r) \right) - \frac{2G (\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m (r^6 \Phi_r'(r)^2 + (r^5 + 16\pi r) \Phi_r'(r) + r^6 \Phi_r''(r) - 8\pi))}{r^4} + \mathcal{O}(G^2) = 0. \quad (178)$$

Making an ansatz $\Phi_r(r) = \Phi_r^{(0)}(r) + G\Phi_r^{(1)}(r)$ and substituting into (178) obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_r^{(0)}(r) &= \log \left(-Ei \left[-\frac{4\pi}{r^4} \right] + 4c_{\Phi_r^{0,1}} \right) + c_{\Phi_r^{0,2}} \\ &\Downarrow \text{near } r = 0 \\ &= \log(4c_{\Phi_r^{0,1}}) + c_{\Phi_r^{0,2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

Near $r = 0$, one can show:

$$r^2 \Phi_r^{(1)'}(r)^2 + \left(r - \frac{16\pi}{r^3}\right) \Phi_r^{(1)'}(r) + r^2 \Phi_r^{(1)''}(r) = \frac{16\pi \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{r^4}, \quad (180)$$

that is solved to yield:

$$\Phi_r^{(1)}(r) = \int^r \frac{4\pi \left(\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} c_{11} F_1 \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{4}; 2; -\frac{4\pi}{\tilde{r}^4} \right) \mathcal{C}_m - 4G_{1,2}^{2,0} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\tilde{r}^4} \middle| \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{4} \right) \right)}{\tilde{r}^5 \left(c_{11} F_1 \left(-\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{4}; 1; -\frac{4\pi}{\tilde{r}^4} \right) + G_{1,2}^{2,0} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\tilde{r}^4} \middle| \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{4} + 1 \right) \right)} d\tilde{r} + c_2. \quad (181)$$

Near $r = 0$, the integral in (181) can be shown to be approximated by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_r^{(1)}(r) &= 2^{-\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m} \pi^{-\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{2}} \left[\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}^2 \mathcal{C}_m^2 r^{2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m + 4} {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{2} + 1; \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{2} + 2; -\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}^2 r^4 \mathcal{C}_m^2}{64\pi} \right)}{32\pi \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m + 64\pi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} r^{2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m} + \frac{(4\pi)^{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m} r^{1-2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}}{2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m - 1} \right] = r^{2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m} \left(\frac{2^{-\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m} \mathcal{C}_m^{-5} \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}^2 \pi^{-\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{2}} r^{-1} \mathcal{C}_m^2 r^4}{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m + 2} + O(r^5) \right) \\ &\quad - 2^{-\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m - 1} \pi^{-\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{2}} r^{2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m} + \frac{2^{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m} \pi^{\frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}{2}} r^{1-2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m}}{2\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (182)$$

Assuming $\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} \mathcal{C}_m = 1$,

$$\Phi_r(r \sim 0) = \log(4c_{\Phi_r^{0,1}}) + c_{\Phi_r^{0,2}} + G \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{r} \right). \quad (183)$$

- $G_{\phi\phi} = 8\pi T_{\phi\phi}$ using (171), implies:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sin^2(\theta) \left(\epsilon^2 \Phi_r^{(2)}(r)^2 \Phi_\theta'(\theta)^2 + \epsilon \Phi_r^{(2)}(r) \Phi_\theta''(\theta) + r^2 \Phi_r'(r)^2 + r^2 \Phi_r''(r) + r \Phi_r'(r) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G \sin^2(\theta) (r m_r'(r) (r \Phi_r'(r) + 1) + m_r(r) (2r^2 \Phi_r'(r)^2 + 2r^2 \Phi_r''(r) + r \Phi_r'(r) - 1))}{r} + O(G^2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

Assuming

$$r^2 \Phi_r'(r)^2 + r^2 \Phi_r''(r) + r \Phi_r'(r) = 0 \quad (185)$$

(so that $G_{\phi\phi}$, like $T_{\phi\phi}$, can be written as a product of a θ -dependent and an r -dependent functions), implying:

$$\Phi_r(r) = \log(\log(r) + c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}}) + c_{\Phi_r^{(2)}}. \quad (186)$$

Assuming $r \rightarrow 0$ as $r \sim N^{-\alpha_r}$, $\alpha_r \geq 1$, $c_{c_{\Phi_r^{(2)}}} > 0$, $c_{c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}}} > \log N$, one reconciles (186) with the $\mathcal{O}(G^0)$ result of (183).

One hence obtains:

$$T_{\phi\phi} = \frac{16\pi \csc^2(\theta)}{r^4(\log(r) + c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}})} - \frac{16G \left(\pi \mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} m_r(r) \csc^2(\theta) (c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}} + \log(r) - 2) \right)}{r^5(\log(r) + c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}})} + \mathcal{O}(G^2). \quad (187)$$

Therefore,

$$\epsilon \Phi_r^{(2)}(r) \sin^2(\theta) \Phi_\theta''(\theta) = \frac{16\pi \csc^2(\theta)}{r^4(\log(r) + c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}})}, \quad (188)$$

implying

$$\Phi_r^{(2)}(r) = \frac{16\pi}{\epsilon r^4(\log(r) + c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}})}. \quad (189)$$

Assuming $|c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}}| \gg |\log r| \forall r$ assuming r approaches any value like N^{κ_r} , $\epsilon c_{\Phi_r^{(1)}} = c_{\Phi_r^{\text{finite}}} =$ finite as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, implying $\Phi_r^{(2)}(r) = \frac{16\pi}{r^4 c_{\Phi_r^{\text{finite}}}}$. Further,

$$\sin^2(\theta) \Phi_\theta''(\theta) = \csc^2(\theta), \quad (190)$$

which yields:

$$\Phi_\theta(\theta) = c_{\Phi_\theta^1} + \frac{\csc^2(\theta)}{6} - \frac{2}{3} \log(\sin(\theta)). \quad (191)$$

The conservation of the energy-momentum tensor yields ($T^{t\mu}{}_{;\mu} = T^{\phi\mu}{}_{;\mu} \equiv 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} T^{r\mu}{}_{;\mu} &= \frac{E_r(r) E_\theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} (r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))}{r} + P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} \left(1 - \frac{2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta)}{r} \right) + P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) \left(\frac{2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta)}{r^2} - \frac{2G m_\theta(\theta) m_r'(r)}{r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{2G m_\theta(\theta) P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) (r m_r'(r) - m_r(r)) \left(1 - \frac{2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta)}{r} \right)}{r(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))} + P_\theta(\theta) P_r'(r) \left(1 - \frac{2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta)}{r} \right) - \frac{P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) (r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))}{r^2} \\ &+ \frac{P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) (2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta) - r)}{r^2} + \frac{2P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) \left(1 - \frac{2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta)}{r} \right)}{r} \\ &= \left(E_r(r) E_\theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + P_\theta(\theta) \left(P_r(r) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + P_r'(r) \right) \right) - \frac{2G \left(m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta) \left(E_r(r) E_\theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + P_\theta(\theta) \left(P_r(r) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + P_r'(r) \right) \right) \right)}{r} + \mathcal{O}(G^2) \\ &= \frac{2(r\Phi_r'(r)^2 - \Phi_r'(r) + r\Phi_r''(r))}{r^2} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta} G (r m_r'(r) (r^2 e^{4\Phi_r(r)} + 16\pi) \Phi_r'(r) - 8\pi) - 24\pi m_r(r) (r\Phi_r'(r) - 1)}{4\pi r^4} + \mathcal{O}(G^2); \\ T^{\theta\mu}{}_{;\mu} &= \frac{E_r(r) E_\theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}}{r^2} + \frac{P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}}{r^2} + \frac{G m_r(r) P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) m_\theta'(\theta)}{r^2(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))} - \frac{G m_r(r) P_r(r) P_\theta(\theta) m_\theta'(\theta) \left(1 - \frac{2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta)}{r} \right)}{r(r - 2G m_r(r) m_\theta(\theta))^2} + \frac{P_r(r) P_\theta'(\theta)}{r^2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (192)$$

Now, $T^{\theta\mu}{}_{;\mu} \equiv 0$ as $T^{\theta\mu}{}_{;\mu} \sim \epsilon \Phi_r^{(2)}(r) \Phi_\theta(\theta)$, which is negligible as $|\epsilon| \ll 1$. Substituting $m_r(r) = r\mathcal{C}_m$ into $T^{r\mu}{}_{;\mu} = 0$, writing $\Phi_r(r) = \Phi_r^{(0)}(r) + G\Phi_r^{(1)}(r)$, one obtains at $\mathcal{O}(G^0)$:

$$r\Phi_r^{(0)'}(r)^2 - \Phi_r^{(0)'}(r) + r\Phi_r^{(0)''}(r) = 0, \quad (193)$$

which is solved to obtain:

$$\Phi_r^{(0)}(r) = \log(r^2 + 2\tilde{c}_{\Phi_r^{0,1}}) + \tilde{c}_{\Phi_r^{0,2}}. \quad (194)$$

Near $r = 0$, one needs to solve:

$$r\Phi_r^{(1)'}(r)^2 - \Phi_r^{(1)'}(r) + r\Phi_r^{(1)''}(r) = \frac{4\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}{r^3}, \quad (195)$$

which is solved to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_r^{(1)}(r) = & \tilde{c}_{\Phi_r^{(2)}} + \int^r d\tilde{r} \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\left(4\sqrt{\pi}I_1\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right) + K_1\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right)\tilde{c}_{\Phi_r^{(1)}}\right)\tilde{r}^2} \times \\ & \left(\left[-4\sqrt{\pi}I_0\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right) - 4\sqrt{\pi}I_2\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right) + \left(K_0\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right) + K_2\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right)\right)\tilde{c}_{\Phi_r^{(1)}} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + 4\sqrt{\pi}I_1\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right)\tilde{r} + K_1\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right)\tilde{c}_{\Phi_r^{(1)}}\tilde{r} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (196)$$

Setting $\tilde{c}_{\Phi_r^{(1)}} = 0$, and using:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int^r \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m} \left(-4\sqrt{\pi}I_0\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right) - 4\sqrt{\pi}I_2\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right) \right) + 4\sqrt{\pi}\tilde{r}I_1\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right)}{4\sqrt{\pi}\tilde{r}^2I_1\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{\tilde{r}}\right)} d\tilde{r} \\ & = \log \left[I_1\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{r}\right) \right] + \log(r), \end{aligned} \quad (197)$$

one obtains:

$$\Phi_r(r \sim 0) = \log(2\tilde{c}_{\Phi_0^{(1)}}) + \tilde{c}_{\Phi_0^{(2)}} + G \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m}}{r} - \frac{1}{4} \log(\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m) + \tilde{c}_{\Phi_1^{(2)}} + \frac{3 \log(r)}{2} \right). \quad (198)$$

For $\mathcal{C}_{m_\theta}\mathcal{C}_m = 1$, assuming $r \rightarrow 0$ as $r = N^{-\alpha_r}$, $\alpha_r \geq 1$ and $2N^{\kappa_r} = 2N^{\alpha_r}\sqrt{\pi} + \tilde{c}_{\Phi_1^{(2)}} - \frac{3\kappa_r \log N}{2}$, (198) and the $\mathcal{O}(G)$ result of (183) are shown to be mutually consistent.

Upon comparison of (173) and (176) with (163), one concludes that one can not have a compact-star solution. Instead, we have a pressure/energy anisotropic phase of plasma.

9 Summary

The study of thermal QCD-like theories in the presence of strong magnetic fields and at intermediate coupling from \mathcal{M} theory, had been missing in the literature. We fill this gap by looking at the world-volume theory of the flavor $D6$ -branes in the type IIA SYZ mirror of the parent type IIB dual [1], the former constructed in [30], [31] inclusive of $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections [34], in the presence of a large uniform magnetic field. The two issues we look at are photoproduction and Equation of State. In the process of doing so, in the \mathcal{M} -theory $C_3 = 0$ -truncation, one sees that while the former receives $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections, the latter - motivated by the type IIB modular completion at $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ [47] - receives no such corrections. In the language of differential geometry, this corresponds to the lack of N -connectedness of the parameter space corresponding to (Almost) Contact 3-Structures derivable from G_2 -structures supported on a closed seven-fold [4] - a warped product of the \mathcal{M} -theory circle and a non-Kähler six-fold with the six-fold being a warped product of the thermal circle with a non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$.

For the purpose of computation of the spectral density relevant to photoproduction and the free energy relevant to EoS via the pressure, we first obtained the following background gauge field configurations supported on the world-volume of the type IIA flavor $D6$ -branes:

- UV, $B = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{x^3}^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z} a_{\phi}^Z(Z), a_{x^3}^{\rho}(\rho) = \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} a_{\phi}^{\rho}(\rho), \\
a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} a_{\phi}^{x^3}(x^3)}{\sqrt{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 - \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z}{}^2}}, \\
a_t^{\rho}(\rho) &= \int_1^{\rho} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(\rho)}^{B=0, UV}}{\rho} d\rho + c_1, \\
a_t^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, UV} e^{-4Z} \\
a_{\phi}^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{\phi}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, UV} e^{-5Z} + c_1, \\
a_{\phi}^{\rho}(\rho) &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_{\phi}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV}}{\rho} \\
a_{\phi}^{x^3}(x^3) &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} \sqrt{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)^2 - \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z}{}^2}}{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)}. \tag{199}
\end{aligned}$$

subject to the following constraint:

$$4\mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(Z)}^{B=0, UV} \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{a_t^{x^3}(x^3)}^{B=0, UV}{}^2 - \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^Z(x^3)}^{B=0, UV} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^{\rho\phi}}^{B=0, UV} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z}{}^2} = 1. \tag{200}$$

- Strong $B \gg (0.15\text{GeV})^2$ in the UV:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_t^{x^3}(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}} a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3), \\
a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}}, \\
a_t^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}} a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho), \\
a_\phi^\rho(\rho) &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}} a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho)}{a_{x^3}^\rho{}'(\rho)}, \\
a_t^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B + (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B + \epsilon_1) e^{-\kappa_{a_t^Z} Z}, \\
a_{x^3}^Z(Z) &= \kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z,1} + (\kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z,2} + \epsilon_2) e^{-\kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z} Z}, \\
\mathcal{C}_{a_t^\rho}^{B \text{ UV}} &= \pm \frac{\kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z,2}}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}}}, \\
|\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B| &\ll 1, |\kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z,2}| \ll 1, \\
a_\phi^Z(Z) &= -\frac{\epsilon_2^{3/2} \kappa_\phi^Z (2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B)^2 \log(\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B e^Z + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B) - 2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B{}^2 Z - 2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B e^{-Z} + \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B{}^2 e^{-2Z}}{2\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B{}^3} + c_1, \\
\frac{\kappa_{a_{x^3}^Z,2}^{7/2} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}} \kappa_\phi^Z N^{3/5} \rho r_h^2}{2\sqrt[3]{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B} \mathcal{C}_{a_{x^3}^B}^{B \text{ UV}} 2g_s \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3, \rho) = \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B \rho, \tag{201}
\end{aligned}$$

- IR, $B = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_t^Z(Z) &= -\frac{\mathcal{C}_\phi^{tZ, B=0} e^{-2Z}}{729\sqrt{3}\pi N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \left((3\sqrt{3} + 8\pi) c_2 g_s M^2 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5} (Z^2 + 2Z - 4) - 48N^{3/5} \right)} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{C}_\phi^{tZ, B=0} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} (1 - 2Z + 2Z^2)}{34992\sqrt{3}\pi N_f r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{6/5}\right), \\
a_t^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_\rho^{B=0} \log(\rho) + c_1, \\
a_t^{x^3}(x^3) &= \frac{23328 \ 3^{5/6} \pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^5 \sqrt{2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} + 2\mathcal{C}_\phi^{\rho x^3, B=0}(\rho, x^3)}}{\mathcal{C}_\phi^{tZ, B=0} \mathcal{C}_\rho^{B=0} \log(r_h)}, \\
a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} a_\phi^\rho(\rho), \\
a_{x^3}^{x^3}(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3), \\
a_{x^3}^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} a_\phi^Z(Z), \\
a_\phi^Z(Z) &= \frac{i\sqrt{2}\pi \mathcal{C}_{\phi Z}^{B=0} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 (\log((1-i) - 2iZ) - \log(2iZ + (1+i)))}{3^{2/3} \mathcal{C}_{\phi\rho}^{B=0} N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h)}, \\
a_\phi^{x^3}(x^3) &= \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\rho\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi, B=0} 2\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{\phi Z, B=0} + 1\mathcal{C}_t^{x^3 Z, B=0}(x^3, Z)}}{\mathcal{C}_{\phi Z}^{B=0}} \tag{202}
\end{aligned}$$

- IR, Strong $B \gg (0.1 \text{ GeV})^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{x^3}^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} a_t^\rho(\rho) \\
a_{x^3} x^3(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B a_t^{x^3}(x^3) \\
a_{x^3}^Z(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} a_t^{Z'}(Z) \\
\frac{\rho a_t^{\rho'}(\rho)}{a_t^\rho(\rho)} &= \mathcal{C}_B^{t\rho}, \\
\frac{\rho a_{x^3}^{\rho'}(\rho)}{a_t^\rho(\rho)} &= \mathcal{C}_B^{x^3\rho} \\
a_t(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} + \mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} \kappa_1 Z + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{C}_{a_t^Z}^B e^{\kappa_0} \kappa_1^2 Z^2 + \mathcal{O}(Z^3), \\
a_\phi^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_{\rho\rho}^B \rho, \\
\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(\rho, x^3) &= \rho \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3), \\
a_t^\rho(\rho) &= \mathcal{C}_t^{\rho, B} \rho \\
a_t^{x^3}(x^3) &= \mathcal{C}_{tx^3}^B, \\
a_\phi(Z) &= \mathcal{C}_{\phi Z}^B + B(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 Z + \alpha_4 Z^2) + \frac{\pi \kappa_1 \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{3/5} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) \log(Z)}{\sqrt{2} 3^{2/3} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{t\rho, B} \mathcal{C}_{x^3}^{tZ, B} \mathcal{C}_{\rho\rho}^B \mathcal{C}_{\phi x^3}^B N_f r_h^2 \log(r_h)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{203}$$

9.1 Photoproduction in QGP

In this part of the study, we explored the photon production through QGP with or without a strong magnetic field produced via background gauge field supported on the world-volume of the type IIA flavor $D6$ -branes in the UV region. For this part, we have derived the spectral density of photon production with or without a strong magnetic field. First, the spectral density of photons without a magnetic field in the UV is computed by deriving the EOM for the transverse gauge invariant field E_{trans} , up to $\mathcal{O}(\omega^4)$ in the absence of magnetic field:

$$\chi_2 = \Im m \left[\left(\frac{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0}(Z)}{E_{\text{trans}}^{B=0}(Z)} \right) G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{x^2 x^2} G_{B=0, \text{UV}}^{ZZ} \mathcal{L}_{\text{DBI}}^{B=0, \text{UV}} \right] = w^2 (\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0} + \beta \chi_2^{w^2, \beta}) + w^4 (\chi_2^{w^4, \beta^0} + \beta \chi_2^{w^4, \beta}), \tag{204}$$

where, $\chi_2^{w^2, \beta^0}$, $\chi_2^{w^2, \beta}$, $\chi_2^{w^4, \beta^0}$, and $\chi_2^{w^4, \beta}$ is given in eq(127). Considering the parameters $g_s = 0.3$, $M = N_f = 3$ along with $r_h = e^{-\kappa r_h (g_s, M, N_f) N^{1/3}}$, and the tunable parameters, $c_{1,2}$ obtained in [48], we obtained the spectral density as:

$$\frac{\chi}{4N^2 \tilde{w} T^2} = \mathcal{C} \tilde{w} e^{-\frac{16\mathcal{R}\tilde{w}^2 \left(27 - \frac{9468.76}{\xi_{\text{UV}}^{B=0}}\right)}{1323}} - \frac{9}{\xi_{\text{UV}}^{B=0}} \left(1.1 e^{1 - \frac{114.513\mathcal{R}\tilde{w}^2}{\xi_{\text{UV}}^{B=0}}} - 21 \right). \tag{205}$$

Numerically, one obtains a reasonable match with [5] for

$$\mathcal{C} = 0.0064, \quad \xi_{\text{UV}}^{B=0} = -3.095, \quad \mathcal{R} = 0.5727. \tag{206}$$

We have also obtained the spectral density of photon production in the presence of a strong magnetic field up to $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$, via a simple generalization of the procedure adopted in the absence

of a magnetic field case:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi_2}{N^2 \tilde{w} T^2} &\sim \frac{\mathcal{C}_{x^3 x^3}^B \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \kappa_{a_{x^3}^2}^2 c_2 N \tilde{w} \left(\mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho}^B (\mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho}^B - 8 \mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho,1}^B) - 8 \mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho,1}^B {}^2 Li_3 \left(-\frac{\mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho,2}^B}{\mathcal{C}_{x^3 \rho,1}^B} \right) \right) (\beta \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B Z_{UV} - \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B)}{\alpha_{ZUV}^8 B^2 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^3 c_1} \\ &\times e^{-\frac{4 \mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B \tilde{w}^2 (\mathcal{C}_{tZ,1}^B + \beta \mathcal{C}_{tZ}^B Z_{UV})}{\mathcal{C}_{tZ,2}^B {}^2}} \equiv \frac{\kappa_1 \tilde{w} e^{-\kappa_2 \tilde{w}^2}}{\tilde{B}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (207)$$

where $\tilde{B} \equiv \frac{B}{T^2}$. If one adds a term quadratic in \tilde{w}^2 in the numerator of the RHS of (149),

$$\frac{\chi_2}{N^2 \tilde{w} T^2} = \frac{\kappa_1 \tilde{w} e^{-\kappa_2 \tilde{w}^2} + \kappa_3 \tilde{w}^2}{\tilde{B}^2}, \quad (208)$$

one obtains a good match with results of type IIB gauged supergravity [5]. The various constants of integration appearing in (149), are defined in (203).

Now, $\beta \sim l_p^6 \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}}}{g_s^{2/3}} \right)^6$ where $\sqrt{G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}}}$ is the size of the \mathcal{M} -theory circle near the $\psi = 2n\pi, n = 0, 1, 2$ -patches. Now, near (5), [48]

$$G_{x^{10} x^{10}}^{\mathcal{M}} \sim \frac{\left(\frac{24a^2 g_s M^2 N_f (c_1 + c_2 \log(r_h))}{9a^2 + r^2} + \frac{3NN_f (\log(N) - 3 \log(r))}{4\pi} \right)}{N(N_f (\log(N) - 3 \log(r)))^{7/3}}. \quad (209)$$

One hence sees that

$$\beta \sim l_p^6 \sim \frac{1}{|\log r_h|^4} \sim \left(\frac{(g_s N_f)^{2/3} (g_s M^2)^{1/3}}{N^{1/3}} \right)^4, \quad (210)$$

which, using (166) implies that the complexified β will not be analytic in the complexified coupling $g \left(\frac{T}{T_c} \right)$. The complexified spectral density pertaining to photoproduction, would hence have a non-analytic gauge-coupling- g dependence due to a non-trivial $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ contribution with the non-analyticity contained in the latter. For the purpose of comparison with the gauged supergravity results of [5] consistent with [48] the latter having been shown to be consistent with $SU(3)$ Gluodynamics result of [49], apart from $(g_s, M, N_f) = (0.1, 3, 3)$ one needs to consider $N = 100$, that as shown in [4], corresponds to the existence of Contact 3-Structures.

9.2 Study of generalized EoS

In this section, we summarize the results obtained for EoS $P = P(E)$, which is obtained from the renormalized DBI action. With the embedding $i : \Sigma_{D6} \hookrightarrow M_{10} \cong (S_t^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \times_w \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times_w \mathcal{T}_{NE}^{1,1}$,

the UV boundary cosmological term near $\theta_1 = \frac{\alpha_{\theta_1}}{N^{1/5}}$ given by eq(153), the ZUV counter term will be proportional to :

$$\frac{BNN_f^{\text{UV}}}{g_s^{1/4}} \int_{\Sigma_{D6}(Z=Z_{\text{UV}})} \sqrt{-\det(i^*g)}, \quad (211)$$

where $r = r_h e^Z$, $Z_{\text{UV}} \equiv \text{UV cutoff}$. The DBI action also possesses logarithmic divergence in the IR - see (83). One hence needs the following counter term:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{IR}}^{\text{ct}} \sim - \frac{\int_{\Sigma_{D6}(Z=0)} e^{-\phi^{\text{IIA}}} \sqrt{\det(F + i^*B_{\text{NS-NS}}^{\text{IIA}})} \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{\det(\text{Ricci}_{\Sigma_{D6}})}{\det(F + i^*B_{\text{NS-NS}}^{\text{IIA}})}} \right)}{BL\epsilon_{tx^3}}, \quad (212)$$

with $\epsilon_{tx^3} \sim \frac{1}{BL}$. Using the renormalized DBI action for flavor $D6$ -brane we have computed the pressure and energy density.

We demonstrate from the EoS that the holographic dual, in principle, could correspond to several $T > T_c$ scenarios: stable wormhole, stable wormhole transitioning via a smooth crossover to dark energy as the universe cools, and a paramagnetic pressure/energy-anisotropic plasma. Given that $T > T_c$ QGP is expected to be paramagnetic [3], the third possibility appears to be the preferred one. We also show that it is not possible that the anisotropic plasma leads to the formation of a compact star.

In the consistent truncation of only A_t^β being the only non-trivial $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -correction to the background $D6$ -brane world-volume gauge field, it was shown that there are no $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections to the $D6$ -brane world-volume gauge field supporting a constant magnetic field and hence no $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections to the free energy/pressure and energy densities, and hence no non-analytic-in-complexified-gauge-coupling dependence in complexified pressure/energy density. For the aforementioned values of (g_s, M, N_f) , it turns out that $N = 100$ results in complex free energy/pressure and energy densities, but, e.g., for $N = 100$, one obtains real free energy/pressure and energy densities. From [4], this corresponds to the existence of Almost Contact 3-Structures, and not Contact 3-Structures. Combined with the conjecture of [48], namely the existence of Contact 3-Structures is mapped to the non-analytic-complexified-gauge-coupling dependence of the complexified bulk-to-shear-viscosity ratio on the temperature-dependent gauge coupling, we hence further conjecture the following.

The failure of the space of AC3S to be N -path connected to C3S in the parameter space of such structures induced from G_2 -structures on closed seven-folds that are a warped product of \mathcal{M} -theory circle and a non-Kähler six-fold with the six-fold being a warped product of the thermal circle with a non-Einsteinian deformation of $T^{1,1}$, conjectured earlier/above to be mapped to the existence of non-analytic(corresponding to C3S)/analytic(corresponding to AC3S)-dependence, is the differential geometric analog of the following pair of statements. (i) Fluctuations in world-volume gauge fields (relevant to, e.g., holographic photoproduction spectral density computation) can not be finite, unlike the finite background world-volume gauge field (relevant to, .e.g, EoS); (ii) in the zero-instanton sector, (type-IIB modular-completion-inspired) $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ non-renormalized gauge fields corresponding to AC3S produce $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrected gauge fluctuations corresponding to C3S.

Lastly, motivated by the above conjecture and a pair of observations: (i) replacing M and N_f by the effective number of $D5$ -branes and $D7$ -branes respectively in the parent type IIB dual of [1], (210) implies that $\beta \xrightarrow{\text{UV}} 0$ as there is no net effective $D5/D7$ -brane charge in the UV validating the expected UV conformality, and (ii) the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -corrections to the \mathcal{M} -theory uplift of thermal QCD-like theories vanish in the UV [37], we now conjecture:

$$\text{C3S} \xrightarrow{\text{UV}} \text{AC3S}.$$

Note, the above is not a contradiction of the lack of N -path connectedness in the parameter space of (A)C3S as noted in [4]. First, the aforementioned conjecture of [4] was in the IR. What the last conjecture above is based on is given that the effective number of $D5/D7$ -branes can RG-flow continuously with r , the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ corrections become vanishingly small in the UV.

During heavy nuclei collisions when QGP is produced, the strong magnetic field was observed for a short time [55]. QGP is the plasma of charged particles, and hence, it is highly responsive to a strong magnetic fields. Defining the magnetization, $M = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial B} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B}$, we see that $M = \frac{P^{\text{UV}}}{B} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{B^2}\right)$ [P^{UV} as defined in (8.2)] for $B > (0.15 \text{ GeV})^2$, which turns out to be positive for strong magnetic fields, implying the anisotropic plasma of scenario (b) in 8.2, is paramagnetic for high temperatures above T_c . The magnetic susceptibility, $\chi_m = -\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial B^2} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{B}\right)^3$ in our setup which in the large- B limit, we drop and hence $\chi_m \approx 0$ (as supported by the negligible value of χ_m obtained in "parton-hadron-string-dynamics transport approach" [56], as well as lattice results [3]).





Figure 10: Magnetization-vs-Temperature

9.3 Violation of conformal bound in the presence of strong magnetic field in paramagnetic anisotropic plasma

For $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}} = -1$, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 1$ (Scenario I) of (b)8.2, 100 (Scenario II) of (b)8.2, $\mathcal{C}_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001$, plotting $c_s^2 = \frac{dP}{dE}|_{\text{DBI}} + c_s^2 \text{SUGRA}$, where $c_s^2 \text{SUGRA} \equiv \frac{1}{3} + \frac{517 \left(16N\pi^2 \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\tilde{t}}{2(1+\varepsilon)}\right) - 4,653g_s^2 M^2 N_f \log^4\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\tilde{t}}{2(1+\varepsilon)}\right) \right)}{25,200\sqrt{3}N\pi^2 \left(2 + \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\tilde{t}}{2(1+\varepsilon)}\right) \right)}$ [48], we see a slight violation of the conformal bound for c_s^2 in Fig. 9. Curiously, using "Field Correlation Method", a similar violation of the conformal bound is seen in [57].



(a) c_s^2 -vs-temperature for different $B = (1, 10, 100, 300) (\text{GeV})^2$ for anisotropic plasma, scenario I) (b) c_s^2 -vs-temperature for different $B = (1, 10, 100, 300) (\text{GeV})^2$ for anisotropic plasma, scenario II)

Figure 11: Anisotropic plasma: $C_{\text{combo}} = -1, C_{\text{combo}}^{(2)} = 1$ or $100, C_{x^3}^B(x^3) = -0.001; \tilde{t} \equiv \frac{T}{T_c}$

Acknowledgements

SSK is supported by a Junior Research Fellowship (JRF) from the Ministry of Human Resource and Development (MHRD), Govt. of India. AM is partly supported by a Core Research Grant number SER-1829-PHY from the Science and Engineering Research Board, Govt. of India. We also thank Rajnish Kumar Jha and Ankit Patel for participation in some developments pertaining to the paper.

References

- [1] M. Mia, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale and S. Jeon, *Five Easy Pieces: The Dynamics of Quarks in Strongly Coupled Plasmas*, Nucl. Phys. B **839**, 187 (2010) [arXiv:hep-th/0902.1540].
- [2] D. Ávila, F. Nettel and L. Patiño, *Darker and brighter branes: Suppression and enhancement of photon production in a strongly coupled magnetized plasma*, Phys. Rev. D **107**, no.6, 066010 (2023) [arXiv:2204.00024 [hep-th]].
- [3] G. S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrődi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and A. Schäfer, *Effects of magnetic fields on the quark–gluon plasma*, Nucl. Phys. A **931**, 752-757 (2014).
- [4] A. Misra and G. Yadav, *(Almost) Contact (3) (Metric) Structure(s) and Transverse SU(3) Structures Associated with M-Theory Dual of Thermal QCD at Intermediate Coupling*, [arXiv:2211.13186[hep-th]].
- [5] D. Ávila, F. Nettel and L. Patiño, *Darker and brighter branes: Suppression and enhancement of photon production in a strongly coupled magnetized plasma*, Phys. Rev. D **107**, no.6, 066010 (2023) [arXiv:2204.00024 [hep-th]].

- [6] J. Adams *et al.* [STAR], Nucl. Phys. A **757** (2005), 102-183 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085 [arXiv:nucl-ex/0501009 [nucl-ex]].
- [7] N. Kovensky, A. Poole and A. Schmitt, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.3, 034022 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034022 [arXiv:2111.03374 [hep-ph]].
- [8] C. Hoyos, D. Rodríguez Fernández, N. Jokela and A. Vuorinen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117** (2016) no.3, 032501 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.032501 [arXiv:1603.02943 [hep-ph]].
- [9] C. Thompson and R. C. Duncan, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **275** (1995), 255-300 doi:10.1093/mnras/275.2.255
- [10] R. C. Duncan and C. Thompson, Astrophys. J. Lett. **392** (1992), L9 doi:10.1086/186413
- [11] L. L. Lopes and D. Menezes, JCAP **08** (2015), 002 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/08/002 [arXiv:1411.7209 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [12] Y. Zhong, C. B. Yang, X. Cai and S. Q. Feng, Chin. Phys. C **39** (2015) no.10, 104105 doi:10.1088/1674-1137/39/10/104105 [arXiv:1410.6349 [hep-ph]].
- [13] K. Tuchin, Phys. Rev. C **87** (2013) no.2, 024912 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.87.024912 [arXiv:1206.0485 [hep-ph]].
- [14] A. Bzdak and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) no.19, 192301 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.192301 [arXiv:1208.5502 [hep-ph]].
- [15] S. Y. Wu and D. L. Yang, *Holographic Photon Production with Magnetic Field in Anisotropic Plasmas*, JHEP **08**, 032 (2013) [arXiv:1305.5509 [hep-th]].
- [16] M. A. Martin Contreras and J. M. R. Roldan, [arXiv:1611.08785 [hep-ph]].
- [17] V. Dzhunushaliev and V. Folomeev, Gen. Rel. Grav. **42** (2010), 1889-1896 doi:10.1007/s10714-010-0967-7 [arXiv:0909.2739 [gr-qc]].
- [18] A. Sen, JHEP **04** (2002), 048 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/04/048 [arXiv:hep-th/0203211 [hep-th]].
- [19] A. Einstein and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **48** (1935), 73-77 doi:10.1103/PhysRev.48.73
- [20] E. A. Kontou and K. Sanders, Class. Quant. Grav. **37** (2020) no.19, 193001 doi:10.1088/1361-6382/ab8fcf [arXiv:2003.01815 [gr-qc]].
- [21] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, Am. J. Phys. **56** (1988), 395-412 doi:10.1119/1.15620

- [22] J. L. Blázquez-Salcedo, C. Knoll and E. Radu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126** (2021) no.10, 101102 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.101102 [arXiv:2010.07317 [gr-qc]].
- [23] J. Maldacena, A. Milekhin and F. Popov, Class. Quant. Grav. **40** (2023) no.15, 155016 doi:10.1088/1361-6382/acde30 [arXiv:1807.04726 [hep-th]].
- [24] S. A. Hayward, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **8** (1999), 373-382 doi:10.1142/S0218271899000286 [arXiv:gr-qc/9805019 [gr-qc]].
- [25] Z. Hassan, S. Ghosh, P. K. Sahoo and K. Bamba, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) no.12, 1116 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-11107-0 [arXiv:2207.09945 [gr-qc]].
- [26] O. Sokoliuk, A. Baransky and P. K. Sahoo, Nucl. Phys. B **980** (2022), 115845 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2022.115845 [arXiv:2205.14011 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [27] A. DeBenedictis, R. Garattini and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008), 104003 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.104003 [arXiv:0808.0839 [gr-qc]].
- [28] S. A. Tsilioukas, E. N. Saridakis and C. Tzerefos, Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.8, 084010 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.084010 [arXiv:2312.07486 [gr-qc]].
- [29] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013), 781-811 doi:10.1002/prop.201300020 [arXiv:1306.0533 [hep-th]].
- [30] M. Dhuria and A. Misra, *Towards MQGP*, JHEP 1311 (2013) 001 [arXiv:hep-th/1306.4339].
- [31] K. Sil and A. Misra, *On Aspects of Holographic Thermal QCD at Finite Coupling*, Nucl. Phys. B **910**, 754 (2016) [arXiv:1507.02692 [hep-th]].
- [32] G. Yadav, S. S. Kushwah and A. Misra, JHEP **05** (2024), 015 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2024)015 [arXiv:2311.09306 [hep-th]].
- [33] M. Ionel and M. Min-Oo, *Cohomogeneity One Special Lagrangian 3-Folds in the Deformed and the Resolved Conifolds*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 52, Number 3 (2008).
- [34] A. Misra and V. Yadav, *On \mathcal{M} -Theory Dual of Large- N Thermal QCD-Like Theories up to $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ and G -Structure Classification of Underlying Non-Supersymmetric Geometries*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 26, 10 (2022) [arXiv:2004.07259 [hep-th]].
- [35] M. Becker, K. Dasgupta, A. Knauf and R. Tatar, Nucl. Phys. B **702** (2004), 207-268 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.09.020 [arXiv:hep-th/0403288 [hep-th]].
- [36] T. Sakai, S. Sugimoto, *Low energy hadron physics in holographic QCD*, Prog.Theor.Phys.113:843-882,2005 [arXiv:hep-th/0412141]; T. Sakai, S. Sugimoto, *More on a holographic dual of QCD*, Prog.Theor.Phys.114:1083-1118,2005 [arXiv:hep-th/0507073].

- [37] V. Yadav, G. Yadav and A. Misra, *(Phenomenology/Lattice-Compatible) $SU(3)$ $M\chi PT$ HD up to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ and the $\mathcal{O}(R^4)$ -Large- N Connection*, JHEP **2108** (2021) 151 [arXiv:2011.04660 [hep-th]].
- [38] J. T. Liu and R. Minasian, *Higher-derivative couplings in string theory: dualities and the B-field*, arXiv:1304.3137 [hep-th].
- [39] G. Yadav, V. Yadav and A. Misra, *$\mathcal{M}cTEQ$ (\mathcal{M} chiral perturbation theory-compatible deconfinement Temperature and Entanglement entropy up to terms Quartic in curvature) and FM (Flavor Memory)*, JHEP **10** (2021) 220, arXiv:2108.05372 [hep-th].
- [40] A. Czajka, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale, S. Jeon, A. Misra, M. Richard and K. Sil, *Bulk Viscosity at Extreme Limits: From Kinetic Theory to Strings*, JHEP **07**, 145 (2019)[arXiv:1807.04713 [hep-th]].
- [41] G. Yadav and A. Misra, Phys. Rev. D **107** (2023) no.10, 106015 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.106015 [arXiv:2207.04048 [hep-th]].
- [42] A. Knauf, *Geometric Transitions on non-Kaehler Manifolds*, Fortsch. Phys. **55**, 5-107 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0605283 [hep-th]].
- [43] K. Dasgupta, M. Grisar, R. Gwyn, S. H. Katz, A. Knauf and R. Tatar, *Gauge-Gravity Dualities, Dipoles and New Non-Kahler Manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **755**, 21-78 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605201 [hep-th]].
- [44] A. Misra and G. Yadav, *QCD-Compatible Supermassive Inert Top-Down Holographic Mesinos at Intermediate Coupling*, Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023) 10, 106013 [arXiv:2308.05033 [hep-th]].
- [45] G. Yadav, *Deconfinement temperature of rotating QGP at intermediate coupling from M-theory*, Phys. Lett. B **841** (2023) 137925 [arXiv:2203.11959 [hep-th]].
- [46] G. Yadav and A. Misra, *Entanglement entropy and Page curve from the M-theory dual of thermal QCD above T_c at intermediate coupling*, Phys. Rev. D **107** (2023) 10, 106015 [arXiv:2207.04048[hep-th]].
- [47] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, *Effects of D instantons*, Nucl. Phys. B **498**, 195 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9701093].
- [48] S. S. Kushwah and A. Misra, *Bulk viscosity, speed of sound, and contact structure at intermediate coupling*, Phys. Rev. D **110**, no.12, 126010 (2024) [arXiv:2403.10541 [hep-th]].

- [49] H. B. Meyer, *Calculation of the Bulk Viscosity in $SU(3)$ Gluodynamics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 162001.
- [50] Xi Dong, *Holographic Entanglement Entropy for General Higher Derivative Gravity*, JHEP **01** (2014) 044 [arXiv:1310.5713[hep-th]].
- [51] S. Koothottil and V. M. Bannur, Phys. Rev. C **102** (2020) no.1, 015206 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.102.015206 [arXiv:2001.00287 [hep-ph]].
- [52] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, *Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and chi SB resolution of naked singularities*, JHEP **08**, 052 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0007191 [hep-th]].
- [53] A. Czajka, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale, S. Jeon, A. Misra, M. Richard and K. Sil, *Bulk Viscosity at Extreme Limits: From Kinetic Theory to Strings*, JHEP **1907**, 145 (2019) [arXiv:1807.04713 [hep-th]].
- [54] M. Bluhm, B. Kampfer and K. Redlich, *Ratio of bulk to shear viscosity in a quasihadron plasma: from weak to strong coupling*, Phys. Lett. B **709**, 77-81 (2012) [arXiv:1101.3072 [hep-ph]].
- [55] V. Skokov, A. Y. Illarionov and V. Toneev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24** (2009), 5925-5932 doi:10.1142/S0217751X09047570 [arXiv:0907.1396 [nucl-th]].
- [56] T. Steinert and W. Cassing, *Electric and magnetic response of hot QCD matter*, Phys. Rev. C **89**, no.3, 035203 (2014) [arXiv:1312.3189 [hep-ph]].
- [57] Z. V. Khaidukov and R. A. Abramchuk, *Quark-gluon plasma speed of sound in magnetic field*, [arXiv:2008.13716 [hep-ph]].