

# Compactification of Anisotropies in Einstein-Scalar-Gauss-Bonnet Cosmology

Alex Giacomini,<sup>1,\*</sup> Andronikos Paliathanasis,<sup>2,3,4,5,†</sup> and Alexey Toporensky<sup>6,‡</sup>

*<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas,  
Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile*

*<sup>2</sup>Institute of Systems Science & Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Sciences,  
Durban University of Technology, Durban 4000, South Africa*

*<sup>3</sup>School for Data Science and Computational Thinking,  
Stellenbosch University, 44 Banghoek Rd, Stellenbosch 7600, South Africa*

*<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,  
Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile*

*<sup>5</sup>National Institute for Theoretical and Computational Sciences (NITheCS), South Africa.*

*<sup>6</sup>Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

We investigate the evolution of anisotropies in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory with a scalar field coupled to the Gauss-Bonnet term. Specifically, we examine the simplest scenario in which the scalar field lacks a kinetic term, and its kinetic contribution arises from an integration by parts of the Gauss-Bonnet scalar. We consider four- and five-dimensional anisotropic spacetimes, focusing on Bianchi I and extended Bianchi I geometries. Our study reveals that the asymptotic solutions correspond to locally symmetric spacetimes where at least two scale factors exhibit analogous behavior or, alternatively, to isotropic configurations where all scale factors evolve identically. Additionally, we discuss the effects of a cosmological constant, finding that the presence of the cosmological constant does not lead to an isotropic universe.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: Cosmology; Gauss-Bonnet; Scalar field; Dynamics

---

\*Electronic address: alexgiacomini@uach.cl

†Electronic address: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

‡Electronic address: atopor@rambler.ru

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Lovelock's theory [1] is the generalization of General Relativity in higher-order dimensional geometries. Lovelock theory is a second-order gravitational theory free from Ostrogradsky instabilities and is reduced to General Relativity in the case of a four-dimensional manifold. The gravitational Action in Lovelock theory is constructed from geometric scalars formed from contractions of the Riemann tensor, with the Ricci scalar being the first construction and the Gauss-Bonnet scalar being the second construction term.

The gravitational theory where the Lagrangian is a linear combination of the first and second construction terms of Lovelock's theory is known as the Gauss-Bonnet theory of gravity [3]. Although the nonlinearity of the gravitational field equations increases when the Gauss-Bonnet scalar appears, the gravitational field equations remain of second order. Due to the existence of the new geometrodynamical terms, new physical behaviors occur. Five-dimensional black holes and wormholes within Gauss-Bonnet gravity were investigated in [4]; brane cosmologies with nontrivial bulk solutions were found in [5]. In [6], Birkhoff's theorem was discussed in detail in Gauss-Bonnet and Lovelock theories. Recently, in [7], the phenomena of gravitational collapse in pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity were investigated for higher-dimensional spacetimes. It was found that for a seven dimensional geometry, the theory has gravitational dynamics indistinguishable from Einstein's theory in the case of four dimensions, while for dimensions five and six, gravity becomes weaker, and for dimensions higher than seven gravity becomes stronger. For a five-dimensional geometry, the model provides the formation of a massive timelike singularity not provided by General Relativity [8]. Recently, a charged black hole solution was found in [9]. On the other hand, in [15], the pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity has been used as a dark energy candidate, where the acceleration of the universe is driven by the Gauss-Bonnet scalar. The evolution of the anisotropies in the framework of five- and six-dimensional Bianchi I geometries was studied in detail in [16, 17], where new singular behaviors of the geometry were determined.

The Gauss-Bonnet scalar is a topological invariant for a four-dimensional geometry, which means that it does not introduce any dynamical term in the gravitational theory. The introduction of a scalar field [10–14] non-minimally coupled to gravity overcomes this property, and this new gravitational theory remains of second order while the new degrees of freedom lead to new behavior for the physical variables and to new phenomena, like new inflationary

behavior, and new strong gravitational field solutions; for more details, we refer the reader to [23–34] and references therein. Another attempt is the introduction of a nonlinear function of the Gauss-Bonnet term in the modification of the Einstein-Hilbert Action [20, 21]. Nevertheless, by introducing a Lagrange multiplier, the latter theory can have a scalar field description as in [10]. In [22] it was found that there exist a spontaneous symmetry breaking as a result of extra symmetry breaking within the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet scalar field theory when the scalar field is coupled not to the Gauss-Bonnet term but to the Ricci scalar.

In this study, we investigate the evolution of the anisotropies within the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet scalar field theory. In particular, we consider a four-dimensional Bianchi I geometry and we perform a detailed study for the phase-space by introducing dimensionless variables. We focus on the case where there is not any kinetic term for the scalar field in the gravitational Lagrangian. This is a very interesting model because it shows that there exist anisotropic solutions which are decomposable, that is, at least one of the scale factors is constant, and the isometries of the Bianchi geometry can become gradient isometries. At the same time, the asymptotic solutions admit more than three isometries. The isotropic universe is an attractor for the model, but for general initial conditions, it is possible to have attractors which describe Big Rip singularities. The introduction of the cosmological constant dramatically changes the behavior of the asymptotic solutions, but now we can have future solutions which lead to anisotropic decomposable spacetimes. Finally, in order to understand the behavior of the anisotropies in higher-dimensional spacetimes, we present some numerical solutions in the case of a five-dimensional Bianchi I (-like) geometry. We see that new behavior exists, while there are initial conditions in which the pure Gauss-Bonnet solution can be recovered. The structure of the paper is as described below.

In Section 2, we examine the gravitational model under consideration, which is Einstein-Scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity in a four-dimensional manifold. Here, the scalar field is coupled to the Gauss-Bonnet scalar to ensure a nonzero contribution to the gravitational field equations. We use the Bianchi I line element with three distinct scale factors and derive the point-like Lagrangian for the field equations as provided by the minisuperspace approach. Sections 3 and 4 contains the main results of this study, where we conduct a detailed analysis of the asymptotic behavior of the physical variables.

Moreover, in Section 3, we assume that the scalar field appears in the gravitational model solely through its coupling with the Gauss-Bonnet scalar. Given that the Gauss-

Bonnet scalar is a boundary term, integration by parts introduces kinetic components for the scalar field in the field equations, resulting in second-order derivatives of the scalar field. For this model, the asymptotic analysis reveals a unique stationary point describing an isotropic universe, while the other stationary points lead to anisotropic universes with two equal scale factors. There are also points with one or two constant scale factors. Introducing the cosmological constant yields similar outcomes; however, there is no isotropic solution with a nonzero cosmological constant. In Section 4, we extend our consideration to the case of a five-dimensional anisotropic background geometry. Our findings and conclusions are summarized in Section 5.

## 2. ANISOTROPIC EINSTEIN-SCALAR-GAUSS-BONNET GRAVITY

We consider the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Action, with a scalar-field coupled to the Gauss-Bonnet scalar, that is,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R - \phi G - 2\Lambda), \quad (1)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci scalar of the four-dimensional Riemannian space with metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $G$  is the Gauss-Bonnet scalar and  $\phi$  is the scalar field coupling to the Gauss-Bonnet term, parameter  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant.

The Gauss-Bonnet scalar is defined as

$$G = R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}R^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}. \quad (2)$$

where  $R_{\mu\nu}$  is the Ricci tensor and  $R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}$  the Riemann tensor for the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Nevertheless, for a four-dimensional space, scalar  $G$  is a pure boundary term, when  $\phi$  is a nonconstant function, then the component  $\phi G$  of the gravitational Lagrangian contributes to the cosmic evolution. We remark that without loss of generality we can assume the scalar field  $\varphi$  with coupling function  $\phi = \phi(\varphi)$ , thus, since there is not kinetic term or potential function the analysis is independent from the nonlinear definition of function  $\phi(\varphi)$ .

One might assume that, in this context, the scalar field is non-dynamical. However, this is not the case. As we will show below, a kinetic component for the scalar field emerges from the variation with respect to the metric tensor due to the boundary contributions of the Gauss-Bonnet scalar.

## 2.1. Bianchi I background

We introduce the anisotropic Bianchi I spacetime with line element

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t) dt^2 + (S_1(t))^2 dx^2 + (S_2(t))^2 dy^2 + (S_3(t))^2 dz^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $N(t)$  is the lapse function and  $S_1(t)$ ,  $S_2(t)$  and  $S_3(t)$  are the three scale factors. The volume of the three-dimensional hypersurface is defined as  $V = S_1(t) S_2(t) S_3(t)$ . From the three scale factors we can define the three Hubble functions

$$H_1 = \frac{\dot{S}_1}{S_1}, \quad H_2 = \frac{\dot{S}_2}{S_2}, \quad H_3 = \frac{\dot{S}_3}{S_3}. \quad (4)$$

In terms of the Misner variables the line element (3) reads

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t) dt^2 + e^{3a} \left( e^{2\beta_+(t)} dx^2 + e^{-\beta_+(t)} \left( e^{\beta_-(t)} dy^2 + e^{-\beta_-(t)} dz^2 \right) \right), \quad (5)$$

where now the volume is defined as  $V(t) = e^{3a}$  and  $\beta_+(t)$ ,  $\beta_-(t)$  are the two anisotropic parameters. When  $\beta_+(t) = \beta_-(t) = 0$ , the spacetime is reduced to the isotropic spatially flat FLRW geometry. The Hubble function is defined as  $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ , such that

$$H = \frac{1}{3} (H_1 + H_2 + H_3), \quad (6)$$

or

$$H_1 = H (1 + \Sigma_1), \quad (7)$$

$$H_2 = H \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \Sigma_+ - \sqrt{3}\Sigma_2 \right) \right), \quad (8)$$

$$H_3 = H \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \Sigma_+ + \sqrt{3}\Sigma_2 \right) \right). \quad (9)$$

are the expansion rates on the three Killing directions.

From the line-element (5) we calculate the Ricciscalar

$$R = 6\ddot{a} + 12\dot{a}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \left( \dot{\beta}_+ \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{\beta}_- \right)^2, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\int N e^{3a} G dt = \frac{2}{N^3} e^{3a} (\dot{a} + \dot{\beta}_+) (2\dot{a} - \dot{\beta}_+ - \dot{\beta}_-) (2\dot{a} - \dot{\beta}_- + \dot{\beta}_+). \quad (11)$$

## 2.2. Minisuperspace description

By replacing (10) and (11) in the Action Integral (1) and integrating by parts we end with the following point-like Lagrangian function

$$\begin{aligned} L(N, a, \dot{a}, \beta_{\pm}, \dot{\beta}_{\pm}) &= \frac{e^{3a}}{N} \left( -3\dot{a}^2 + \frac{3}{4}\dot{\beta}_+^2 + \frac{1}{4}\dot{\beta}_-^2 - 2\Lambda N \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{e^{3a}}{N^3} \dot{\phi} (\dot{a} + \dot{\beta}_+) (2\dot{a} - \dot{\beta}_+ - \dot{\beta}_-) (2\dot{a} - \dot{\beta}_- + \dot{\beta}_+) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We remark that when  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ , i.e.  $\phi = 0$ , then the limit of General Relativity is recovered.

The field equations follow from the variation of the aforementioned point-like Lagrangian function with respect to the dynamical variables  $N$ ,  $a$ ,  $\beta_{\pm}$  and  $\phi$ .

Without loss of generality we assume the lapse function  $N = 1$ . Thus, for the constant lapse function the gravitational field equations read

$$0 = 3H^2 - \frac{3}{4}\dot{\beta}_+^2 - \frac{1}{4}\dot{\beta}_-^2 - 3\dot{\phi} (H + \dot{\beta}_+) (2H - \dot{\beta}_+ - \dot{\beta}_-) (2H - \dot{\beta}_- + \dot{\beta}_+) - 2\Lambda, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2\dot{H} + H^2 (3 - 4\ddot{\phi}) - 2\Lambda + \dot{\beta}_+^3 \dot{\phi} - 8H\dot{H}\dot{\phi} - \dot{\beta}_+ \dot{\phi} (\dot{\beta}_-^2 - 2\ddot{\beta}_+) \\ &\quad + \dot{\beta}_+^2 \left( \frac{3}{4} + \ddot{\phi} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \dot{\beta}_- (\dot{\beta}_- (3 + \ddot{\phi}) + 8\dot{\phi} \ddot{\beta}_-), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\frac{3}{2}\ddot{\beta}_+ + 18H^2 \dot{\beta}_+ \dot{\phi} + 2\dot{\phi} (3\dot{\beta}_+ (\dot{H} - \dot{\beta}_-) + \dot{\beta}_- \ddot{\beta}_-) + \ddot{\phi} (\dot{\beta}_-^2 - 3\dot{\beta}_+^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}H (2\dot{\phi} (\dot{\beta}_- + 2\ddot{\beta}_+) + \dot{\beta}_+ (4\ddot{\phi} - 3) - 6\dot{\beta}_+^2 \dot{\phi}), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$0 = \ddot{\beta}_- \left( 4\dot{\beta}_+ \dot{\phi} - 1 \right) + 12H^2 \dot{\beta}_- \dot{\phi} + 4\dot{\beta}_-^2 \left( \dot{\phi} \left( \dot{H} + \ddot{\beta}_+ \right) + \dot{\beta}_+ \ddot{\phi} \right) + H \left( 4\dot{\phi} \ddot{\beta}_- + \dot{\beta}_- \left( 4\ddot{\phi} - 3 + 12\dot{\beta}_+ \dot{\phi} \right) \right), \quad (16)$$

and

$$0 = \left( e^{3\alpha} \left( H + \dot{\beta}_+ \right) \left( 2H - \dot{\beta}_+ - \dot{\beta}_- \right) \left( 2H - \dot{\beta}_- + \dot{\beta}_+ \right) \right). \quad (17)$$

In the following Section we study the dynamical evolution of the anisotropic parameters and the evolution of the physical parameters. We employ dimensionless variables and we perform a detailed phase-space analysis.

### 3. EVOLUTION OF THE ANISOTROPIES

We work within the framework of the  $H$ -normalization [39] such we introduce the new dependent dimensionless variables

$$x = H\dot{\phi}, \quad \Sigma_+ = \frac{\dot{\beta}_+}{H}, \quad \Sigma_- = \frac{\dot{\beta}_-}{\sqrt{3}H}, \quad \Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{3H^2}, \quad (18)$$

and the independent variable  $\tau = \ln a$ . At this point we remark that from (14) it is possible the Hubble function  $H$  to change sign, that is, to take the value  $H = 0$ ; in the theories with this properties another normalization approach is considered (see for instance [32, 33]); however in this model the consideration of the more general normalization does not lead to the detection of new solutions with different physical properties. Hence, without loss of physical properties, we focus with the  $H$ -normalization and we assume that  $H > 0$  (or  $H < 0$ ).

With the use of the dimensionless variables, the gravitational field equations reduced to an algebraic-differential system of the form

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}) \quad (19)$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = (x, \Sigma_+, \Sigma_-, \Omega_\Lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4)$ . The algebraic constraint equation reads

$$4(1 - 2\Omega_\Lambda) - \Sigma_+^2 - \Sigma_-^2 - 4x(1 + \Sigma_+) \left( (2 - \Sigma_+)^2 - 3\Sigma_-^2 \right) = 0. \quad (20)$$

### 3.1. Model $\Lambda = 0$

In the absence of the cosmological constant, i.e. on the surface where  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0$ , the dynamical system (19) reads

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - 2x (2 + \Sigma_+^2 + \Sigma_-^2)), \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{d\Sigma_+}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \Sigma_+) (\Sigma_-^2 + \Sigma_+ (\Sigma_+ - 2)), \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{d\Sigma_-}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_- (\Sigma_-^2 + \Sigma_+ (\Sigma_+ + 2) - 2), \quad (23)$$

while the constraint equation is simplified as

$$4 - \Sigma_+^2 - \Sigma_-^2 - 4x (1 + \Sigma_+) ((2 - \Sigma_+)^2 - 3\Sigma_-^2) = 0. \quad (24)$$

With the use of the algebraic equation (24) the dimension of the dynamical system is reduced to two. We observe that the dynamical system is foliated, and there is not any dependence of the dynamical system of the anisotropic variables  $\Sigma_+$ ,  $\Sigma_-$  from the value of variable  $x$ . The only dependency follows from the constraint (24).

Each point  $P = (x(P), \Sigma_+(P), \Sigma_-(P))$ , describes a universe where the deceleration parameter  $q = -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$  is given by the expression

$$q(P) = \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_+^2(P) + \Sigma_-^2(P)). \quad (25)$$

Thus,  $q(P) \geq 0$ , where  $q(P) = 0$  only in the isotropic limit of FLRW.

In order to understand the asymptotic behaviour of the dynamical system (21)-(24) we determine the stationary points. For each stationary point we recover the physical solution and we investigate the stability properties.

The stationary points for the dynamical system (21)-(24) are

$$P_1 = \left( \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0 \right),$$

from where we derive  $q(P_1) = 0$ . The solution describes an isotropic FLRW geometry with Hubble function  $H(t) = \frac{1}{t}$  and volume  $e^{3a(t)} = (a_0 t)^3$ . The unique scale factor is  $e^{a(t)} = a_0 t$ .



In order to determine the stability properties for the stationary point we determine the eigenvalues of the linearized system around the stationary point for the two equations (22), (23). We calculate the negative eigenvalues  $-1, -1$  from where we infer that the point is an attractor.

$$P_2 = \left( \frac{1}{12}, 2, 0 \right), P_3 = \left( \frac{1}{12}, -1, \sqrt{3} \right), P_4 = \left( \frac{1}{12}, -1, -\sqrt{3} \right).$$

with  $q(P_{2,3,4}) = 2$ , that is  $H(t) = \frac{1}{3t}$  and volume  $e^{3a(t)} = (a_0)^3 t$ . The anisotropic parameters are  $(\beta_+, \beta_-)_{P_2} = \left( \frac{2}{3t}, 0 \right)$ ,  $(\beta_+, \beta_-)_{P_3} = \left( -\frac{1}{3t}, \frac{3}{t} \right)$  and  $(\beta_+, \beta_-)_{P_4} = \left( -\frac{1}{3t}, -\frac{3}{t} \right)$ .

Therefore, the each of the above points the three Hubble functions for the line element (3) are calculated  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{P_2} = \left( \frac{1}{t}, 0, 0 \right)$ ;  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{P_3} = \left( 0, \frac{1}{t}, 0 \right)$  and  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{P_4} = \left( 0, 0, \frac{1}{t} \right)$ . Thus the corresponding scale factors are derived  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{P_2} = (S_{10}t, 0, 0)$ ;  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{P_3} = (0, S_{20}t, 0)$  and  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{P_4} = (0, 0, S_{30}t)$ . For these three stationary points we derive the positive eigenvalues  $+3, +3$ , thus points  $P_2, P_3$  and  $P_4$  are characterized as sources and they describe unstable solutions. These three points describe Kasner spacetimes where the two of the Kasner indices are zero, and the third is one, that is, the spacetime is the flat space.

Indeed, consider the asymptotic solution described by point  $P_2$ , then, the line element reads

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + t^2 dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2, \quad (26)$$

where without loss of generalizy we assumed that  $S_{10} = 1$ ,  $S_{20} = 1$  and  $S_{30} = 1$ . After the change of variables  $T = t \cosh^2 x$ ,  $X = t \sinh^2 x$  the line element takes the diagonal form

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + dX^2 + dy^2 + dz^2. \quad (27)$$

### 3.1.1. Compactified variables

The dynamical variables  $x, \Sigma_{\pm}$  are not constraint, which means that they can take values at the infinity.

In order to study the dynamical evolution at the infinity we define the compactified

variables

$$(\Sigma_+, \Sigma_-) = \left( \frac{Y_+}{\sqrt{1 - Y_+^2 - Y_-^2}}, \frac{Y_-}{\sqrt{1 - Y_+^2 - Y_-^2}} \right), \quad dT = \sqrt{1 - Y_+^2 - Y_-^2} d\tau, \quad (28)$$

therefore the two-dimensional dynamical system (22), (23) reads

$$\frac{dY_+}{dT} = \frac{1}{2} \left( Y_+^4 + Y_-^2 - Y_+^2 (1 + 3Y_-^2) + Y_+ (3(Y_+^2 + Y_-^2) - 2) \sqrt{1 - Y_+^2 - Y_-^2} \right), \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{dY_-}{dT} = \frac{1}{2} Y_- \left( Y_+^3 + Y_+ (2 - 3Y_-^2) + (3(Y_+^2 + Y_-^2) - 2) \sqrt{1 - Y_+^2 - Y_-^2} \right). \quad (30)$$

The stationary points  $Q = (Y_+(Q), Y_-(Q))$  for the latter dynamical system are

$$Q_1 = (0, 0), \quad Q_2 = \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, 0 \right), \quad Q_3^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( -1, \pm\sqrt{3} \right), \quad Q_4 = \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0 \right),$$

$$Q_5^\pm = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( 1, \pm\sqrt{3} \right), \quad Q_6^\pm = (\pm 1, 0), \quad Q_7^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1, \pm\sqrt{3} \right), \quad Q_8^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( -1, \pm\sqrt{3} \right).$$

Stationary points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3^\pm$  are points  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$  and  $P_4$  respectively, defined in the finite regime.

Stationary points  $Q_4$  and  $Q_5^\pm$  are points in which the variable  $x$  reaches infinity. The deceleration parameter is derived  $q(Q_4) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $q(Q_5^\pm) = \frac{1}{2}$ , that is,  $H(t) = \frac{2}{3t}$  and  $e^{a(t)} = a_0 t^{\frac{2}{3}}$ . Furthermore, the anisotropic parameters  $\Sigma_\pm$  are  $(\Sigma_+, \Sigma_-)_{Q_4} = (-1, 0)$ ;  $(\Sigma_+, \Sigma_-)_{Q_5^\pm} = \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)$ . Hence, for the line element (3) we determine the Hubble functions  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{Q_4} = (0, \frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{t})$ ;  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{Q_5^+} = (\frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{t}, 0)$  and  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{Q_5^-} = (\frac{1}{t}, 0, \frac{1}{t})$ . The corresponding scale factors are  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{Q_4} = (0, t, t)$ ;  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{Q_5^+} = (t, t, 0)$  and  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{Q_5^-} = (t, 0, t)$ . These stationary points describe LRS Bianchi I geometries with one scale factor constant.

Finally, the rest of the stationary points are on the surface  $1 - Y_+^2 - Y_-^2 = 0$ , where the anisotropic parameters take values at infinity. The deceleration parameter reads  $q = \frac{1}{2} \left( -1 + \frac{1}{1 - Y_+^2 - Y_-^2} \right)$ ; hence for these stationary points  $q \rightarrow +\infty$  which means that these points describe Big Crunch singularities, where two of the Hubble functions are equal.

As far as the stability properties of the new points is concerned, point  $Q_4$  leads to the eigenvalues  $-\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $+\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}}$ , thus  $Q_4$  is a saddle point. For points  $Q_5^\pm$  we calculate  $-\frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}$ ,  $-\frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1 \mp \sqrt{3}}$ , that is,  $Q_5^+$  is a center point and  $Q_5^-$  is a saddle point.

TABLE I: Stationary points and their physical properties for the dynamical system (21)-(24).

Point	Spacetime	$\mathbf{q}$	$(\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2, \mathbf{S}_3)$	Stability
$P_1$	FLRW	0	$(S_{10}t, S_{20}t, S_{30}t)$	Attractor
$P_2$	LRS Bianchi I (Flat space)	2	$(S_{10}t, S_{20}, S_{30})$	Source
$P_3$	LRS Bianchi I (Flat space)	2	$(S_{10}, S_{20}t, S_{30})$	Source
$P_4$	LRS Bianchi I (Flat space)	2	$(S_{10}, S_{20}, S_{30}t)$	Source
$Q_4$	LRS Bianchi I	$\frac{1}{2}$	$(S_{10}, S_{20}t, S_{30}t)$	Saddle
$Q_5^\pm$	LRS Bianchi I	$\frac{1}{2}$	$(S_{10}t, S_{20}t, S_{30})$ ; or $(S_{10}t, S_{20}, S_{30}t)$	Saddle
$Q_6^\pm$	LRS Bianchi I	$\infty$	Undefined	$Q_6^-$ Attractor
$Q_7^\pm$	LRS Bianchi I	$\infty$	Undefined	$Q_7^+$ Attractor
$Q_8^\pm$	LRS Bianchi I	$\infty$	Undefined	$Q_8^-$ Attractor

As far as the rest of the points at the infinity, it follows that  $Q_6^-$ ,  $Q_7^+$  and  $Q_8^-$  are attractors, while the rest of the points describe unstable solutions.

The equilibrium points and their physical interpretation are summarized in Table I.

In Fig. 1 we plot the phase-space portrait for the dynamical system (29), (30). We observe that for initial conditions inside the area defined by the geometric space which connect the stationary points  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$  and  $Q_4$ ,  $Q_5^\pm$  the future attractor is always the isotropic FLRW universe; otherwise the future attractor is the anisotropic LRS Bianchi universe described by the points at the infinity. This geometric space is the interception of the ellipse with equation

$$2x^2 + y^2 = 1, \quad (31)$$

and the two other ellipses which are the rotations by  $\frac{\pi}{3}$  and  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$  of the latter ellipse.

The latter stationary points at the infinity where  $q \rightarrow +\infty$ , describe gravitational collapse; however, by definition we have assumed that  $H$  can not change sign. Hence, if  $H > 0$ , these points indicate that asymptotically we reach asymptotic solutions where  $H$  reaches zero, such  $H$  to change sign. From Fig. 1 it is clear that in order to avoid such behaviour the initial conditions of the problem should be defined within the space defined by the latter ellipses.




FIG. 1: Phase-space portrait for the dynamical system (29), (30) in the compactified variables  $Y_+$  and  $Y_-$ . With red are marked the points  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  and  $P_4$ , with blue the points  $Q_4$  and  $Q_5^\pm$ . With green are marked the rest of the stationary points at the infinity. The black circle denotes the infinity line, while the two orange circles describe define the geometric space of points  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$  and  $Q_4, Q_5^\pm$ . We observe that for initial conditions inside the area defined by the geometric space (magenta) which connect the stationary points  $P_2, P_3, P_4$  and  $Q_4, Q_5^\pm$  the future attractor is always the isotropic FLRW universe. This geometric space is defined by three ellipses.

### 3.2. Model $\Lambda \neq 0$

Consider now the case where the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  has a nonzero value. Before we proceed with the investigation of the stationary points we focus with the special cases, if the isotropic solution exist; and if the limit of General Relativity is recovered.

On the surface where  $\Sigma_+ = 0, \Sigma_- = 0$ , that is, the spacetime is isotropic and described

the FLRW line element, the dynamical system (19), (20) is reduced to the following system

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - 6\Omega_\Lambda - 4x), \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{d\Sigma_\pm}{d\tau} = 0, \quad (33)$$

with algebraic constraint  $3 - 6\Omega_\Lambda - 12x = 0$ . Therefore, on this surface it follows  $x = \frac{1}{4} + x_0 e^{2t}$ . Therefore there is not any isotropic stationary point for  $\Omega_\Lambda \neq 0$ . We proceed with the investigation of the stationary points  $R = (x(R), \Sigma_+(R), \Sigma_-(R), \Omega_\Lambda(R))$  for  $\Omega_\Lambda \neq 0$  for the dynamical system (19), (20) at the finite regime.

The stationary points are

$$R_1 = \left(\frac{1}{6}, -1, 0, \frac{3}{8}\right), \quad R_2^\pm = \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{3}{8}\right).$$

For the stationary points we calculate  $q(R_1) = -1$  and  $q(R_2^\pm) = -1$ , from where it follows that  $H = H_0$ . The three Hubble function for the line element (3) are calculated  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{R_1} = \frac{3}{2}(0, 1, 1)H$ ,  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{R_2^+} = \frac{3}{2}(1, 1, 0)H$  and  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)_{R_2^-} = \frac{3}{2}(1, 0, 1)H$ . Hence, the stationary points describe LRS Bianchi I spacetimes, with the two scale factors to be exponential and the third one to be constant, that is  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{R_1} = (S_{10}, S_{20}e^{\frac{3}{2}H}, S_{30}e^{\frac{3}{2}H})$ ,  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{R_2^+} = (S_{10}e^{\frac{3}{2}H}, S_{20}e^{\frac{3}{2}H}, S_{30})$  and  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)_{R_2^-} = (S_{10}e^{\frac{3}{2}H}, S_{20}, S_{30}e^{\frac{3}{2}H})$ .

The linearized three-dimensional dynamical system (19), (20) around the above stationary points provide the three negative eigenvalues  $-3, -3, -3$ , from where we infer that the stationary points are always attractors and the asymptotic solutions are stable.

In Fig. 2 we present the phase-space portrait for the dynamical system (19), (20) in the space of variables  $(x, \Sigma_\pm)$ . It is important to mention that the dynamical system is not compactified and there exist trajectories which reach infinity. Due to the nonlinearity and the complexity of the dynamical system, we investigate the trajectories at infinity numerically.

Numerical simulations for the dynamical variables  $(x, \Sigma_\pm)$  and for the normalized functions  $(\frac{H_1}{H}, \frac{H_2}{H}, \frac{H_3}{H})$  are presented in Fig. 3, for initial conditions such that the dynamical system reaches infinity. We can see that even if the metric tends to be an isotropic one (shear values tend to zero), the scalar field does not stabilize, and this regime does not

tend to isotropic GR de Sitter solution despite the presence of cosmological constant in the action.




FIG. 2: Phase-space portrait for the three-dimensional dynamical system (19), (20) on the space  $(x, \Sigma_{\pm})$ . Left Fig. is the two-dimensional surface with  $x = \frac{1}{6}$ , while right Fig. is the three-dimensional phase-space portrait. Blue points are the stationary points at the finite regime. Green and purple vectors represent the trajectories with  $\Sigma_+ = 0$ ,  $\Sigma_- = 0$ .

#### 4. 5D SPACETIME

For a higher-dimensional geometry the Gauss-Bonnet term contributes additional dynamical components in the gravitational field equations. Hence, in order to understand the effects of the dimension on the evolution we consider the same model within a five-dimensional geometry.

Consider now the five-dimensional anisotropic spacetime

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t) dt^2 + S_1^2(t) dx^2 + S_2^2(t) dy^2 + S_3^2(t) dz^2 + S_4^2(t) dw^2 \quad (34)$$




FIG. 3: Qualitative evolution of the dynamical variables  $(x, \Sigma_\pm)$  and for the normalized functions  $(\frac{H_1}{H}, \frac{H_2}{H}, \frac{H_3}{H})$  for various sets of initial conditions such that the dynamical system reaches infinity.

where in Misner variables the four scale factors  $S_I$  are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^2(t) &= \exp(2a(t) + 3\beta_1(t)), \\ S_2^2(t) &= \exp\left(a(t) - \beta_1(t) + 2\sqrt{2}\beta_2\right), \\ S_3^2(t) &= \exp\left(a(t) - \beta_1(t) - \sqrt{2}\beta_2 + \sqrt{6}\beta_3\right), \\ S_4^2(t) &= \exp\left(a(t) - \beta_1(t) - \sqrt{2}\beta_2 - \sqrt{6}\beta_3\right), \end{aligned}$$

such that the volume to be  $V(t) = N(t) \exp(4a(t))$  and Hubble function  $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{N}$

For assume the gravitational Action Integral (1) and we employ the same procedure as before, where now we introduce the dimensionless variables

$$x = \dot{\phi}H, \quad y = \phi H^2, \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{\dot{\beta}_1}{H}, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{\dot{\beta}_2}{H}, \quad \sigma_3 = \frac{\dot{\beta}_3}{H}, \quad \Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{3H^2}, \quad \tau = \ln a$$

The field equations are expressed as the following system of algebraic-differential equations

$$\frac{d\alpha}{d\tau} = \mathbf{\Psi}(\alpha), \quad (35)$$

where  $\alpha = (x, \psi, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  and algebraic equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 8(1 - \Omega_\Lambda) - \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2 - \sigma_3^2 \\ &+ 2y(2 + 3\sigma_1) \left( (\sigma_1 - 2)^2 (\sigma_1 - 6(\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2) - 2) - 4\sqrt{2}\sigma_2(\sigma_2^2 - 3\sigma_3^2) \right) \\ &- 16x \left( 8 + 2(\sigma_1 - 3)\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \left( \sqrt{2}\sigma_2 - 6 \right) - 3 \left( 2 + \sqrt{2}\sigma_2 \right) \sigma_3^2 - 3\sigma_1(\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We compare the latter constraint with that of the four-dimensional manifold (20). We remark that due to the non-boundary term of the Gauss-Bonnet scalar, in the constraint equation there exist a new variable  $y$ , with coefficient  $(2 + 3\sigma_1) \left( (\sigma_1 - 2)^2 (\sigma_1 - 6(\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2) - 2) - 4\sqrt{2}\sigma_2(\sigma_2^2 - 3\sigma_3^2) \right)$ . However, when  $\sigma_3 = \frac{2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{\sqrt{6}}$ , then the constraint (36) is of the form of (20) after a rescale for the anisotropic parameters, and the previous results are recovered, but such solutions are on an singular surface and they are always unstable.

We solve the field equations (35), (36) numerically and in Figs. 4 and 5 we present the



qualitative evolution for the four Hubble function  $H_I = \frac{S_I}{S}$ , of the scalar field  $x$ ,  $y$  and of the deceleration parameter  $q$  for  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0$ , and  $\Omega_\Lambda \neq 0$  respectively.

A trajectory can experience a soft singularity where  $\dot{H}$  diverges while  $H$  remains finite. This situation leads to divergence of  $q$ . In what follows we describe only non-singular solutions. It is important that we have not got any non-singular solution with four particular Hubble parameters to be different asymptotically. This means that splitting into isotropic subspace is still a natural outcome of the cosmological evolution as in the case without coupling.

Apart from splitting, when the cosmological constant term is introduced, there exist the limit of isotropization. This isotropic solution is not a de Sitter one since the asymptotic value of  $q$  is not equal to  $-1$ . We see also that the value of the scalar field does not stabilise. In this sense this situation is similar to 4D case described above.

As for solutions with the splitting, we can see 3+1 spatial splitting, and in this solution  $H$  tends to a constant. Note, that though such splitting exists in a pure Gauss-Bonnet theory, it does not satisfy the stability criterion of [40, 41] and have not been found numerically.

Zero  $\Lambda$  enables the 3+1 splitting with a running scalar field as well as a new solution with 2+1+1 splitting. Both solutions have running  $\phi$  and non-constant  $H$  in the future asymptotic.

In order to compare the numerical results with the pure five-dimensional Gauss-Bonnet gravity, in Fig. 6 we present the qualitative evolution for the physical parameters on the surface with  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ , i.e.  $x = 0$ , for  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . It is known that the only stable splitting in this case is 2+2 splitting, in our simulation it was found and presented in Fig.8. No other type of non-singular trajectories have been detected, as it was expected.

We remark that in the presence of the scalar field the evolution of the trajectories as they are given in Fig. 4, the asymptotic solution in the second column reach the limit  $x = 0$  which means that the nonstandard type singular solution of [16] is approached.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we have considered possible influence of a scalar field coupling to Gauss-Bonnet term on the resulting cosmological dynamics. It is known that in pure vacuum case multidimensional flat Universe for a considerable part of initial conditions evolves into a




FIG. 4: 5D Spacetime: Qualitative evolution for the four Hubble functions  $(\frac{H_1}{H}, \frac{H_2}{H}, \frac{H_3}{H}, \frac{H_4}{H})$ , for the scalar field parameter  $(x, y)$  and the deceleration parameter  $q$ , as they are given by the solution of the dynamical system (35) and (36) for different set of initial conditions and  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0$ . We observe that the dynamical behaviour of the physical space is different from the four-dimensional spacetime

product of two isotopic sub spaces. This property is important for compactification scenarios. So that, it was reasonable to check if this property still exists for more complicated theories. It is worth to note that non-minimal coupling with the Gauss-Bonnet term makes this term to be dynamically important even in 4D dimensions case, Gauss-Bonnet term itself contributes only starting from 5D dimensions, so that we consider this case as well. In the present paper we study only the simplest case when the scalar field coupled to Gauss-Bonnet term and the kinetic term is absent. The dynamical terms of the scalar field follows from the integration by parts for the Gauss-Bonnet scalar. Our results show that in both low-dimension cases considered in the present paper, namely, 4D and 5D dimensions, the nonsingular attractors for cosmological evolution have similar features: in all numerical examples at least two Hubble parameters tend to be the same.

In particular, for 4D theory the regular outcome is splitting of spatial part of the metric into a sum of isotopic 2-dimensional space and 1-dimensional space. The other possibility




FIG. 5: 5D Spacetime: Qualitative evolution for the four Hubble functions  $(\frac{H_1}{H}, \frac{H_2}{H}, \frac{H_3}{H}, \frac{H_4}{H})$ , for the scalar field parameter  $(x, y)$  and the deceleration parameter  $q$ , as they are given by the solution of the dynamical system (35) and (36) for different set of initial conditions and  $\Omega_\Lambda \neq 0$ . We observe that the dynamical behaviour of the physical space is different from the four-dimensional spacetime

is the isotropic universe. However, in 4D dimensions an isotropic Universe represents GR asymptotic regime (which corresponds to a constant  $\phi$ , since in this case the Gauss-Bonnet term does not contribute to dynamical equations for a 4D dimensional world) only without  $\Lambda$  term, otherwise the fixed isotropic point does not exists. All our results in 4D dimensions have been confirmed by analytical studies of the equations of motions.

In 5D theory our results are purely numerical. We have considered a wide set of initial conditions, and in all cases when future behavior is not singular we have detected a splitting into isotropic subspaces. If  $\Lambda$  is nonzero, the most typical regular outcome is separation of a 3-dim isotropic subspace. Some initial conditions lead to full isotropisation. Zero  $\Lambda$  adds a possibility of separation of a 2-dim isotropic subspace. No regular trajectories with four different asymptotic values of Hubble parameters have been detected.

This means that though final state of the Universe is still a sum of an isotopic sub space and something else, the set of allowed combinations is wider that in the pure Einstein Gauss-




FIG. 6: 5D Spacetime: Qualitative evolution for the pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity, i.e.  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ . In figures we present the four Hubble functions  $(\frac{H_1}{H}, \frac{H_2}{H}, \frac{H_3}{H}, \frac{H_4}{H})$ , parameter  $y$ , and the deceleration parameter  $q$ , as they are given by the solution of the dynamical system (35) and (36) for different set of initial conditions and  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0$ .

Bonnet theory, where for four spatial dimensions we have seen only  $2 + 2$  spatial dimensions splitting and isotropic nonsingular attractors. Our results indicate that the 3-dim subspace separation scenario in the theory where Gauss-Bonnet term is coupled to a scalar field is possible already in four spatial dimensions in contrast to pure Einstein Gauss-Bonnet gravity where it requires at least five spatial dimensions with  $3 + 2$  splitting. From the other side,




FIG. 7: 5D Spacetime: Qualitative evolution for the pure Gauss-Bonnet gravity, i.e.  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ . In figures we present the four Hubble functions  $(\frac{H_1}{H}, \frac{H_2}{H}, \frac{H_3}{H}, \frac{H_4}{H})$ , parameter  $y$ , and the deceleration parameter  $q$ , as they are given by the solution of the dynamical system (35) and (36) for different set of initial conditions and  $\Omega_\Lambda \neq 0$ .

the diversity of possible outcomes can in principle affect the scenario in bigger number of dimensions and this problem needs further study. Another possible generalizations of the present work is considering a kinetic term for the scalar field.

**Data Availability Statements:** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

### Acknowledgments

AG was supported by Proyecto Fondecyt Regular 1240247. AP thanks the support of Vicerrectoría de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico (Vridt) at Universidad Católica del Norte through Núcleo de Investigación Geometría Diferencial y Aplicaciones, Resolución Vridt No - 096/2022 and Resolución Vridt No - 098/2022. AP was partially supported by Proyecto Fondecyt Regular 2024, Folio 1240514, Etapa 2024.

- 
- [1] D. Lovelock, *J. Math. Phys.* 12, 498 (1971)
  - [2] M. Crisostomi, R. Klein, and D. Roest, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06, 124 (2017)
  - [3] T. Padmanabhan and D. Kothawala, Lanczos-Lovelock models of gravity, *Phys. Reports* 531, 115 (2013)
  - [4] G. Dotti, J. Oliva and R. Troncoso, *Phys. Rev. D* 76, 064038 (2007)
  - [5] C. Charmousis, J.-F. Dufaux, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 19, 4671 (2002)
  - [6] R. Zegers, *J. Math. Phys.* 46, 072502 (2005)
  - [7] K.F. Dialektopoulos, D. Malafarina and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev. D* 108, 044080 (2023)
  - [8] S.G. Ghosh and D.W. Deshkar, *Phys. Rev. D* 77, 047504 (2008)
  - [9] S. Mukherjee and N. Dadhich, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 81, 458 (2021)
  - [10] S. Tsujikawa and M. Sami, *JCAP* 0701, 006 (2007)
  - [11] T. Koivisto and D.F. Mota, *Phys. Lett. B* 644, 104 (2007)
  - [12] M. Sami, A. Toporensky, P. V. Tretyakov and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B* 619, 193 (2005)
  - [13] G. Calcagni, B. de Carlos and A. De Felice, *Nucl. Phys. B* 752, 404 (2006)
  - [14] D. D. Doneva, K.V. Staykov and S. S. Yazadjiev, *Phys. Rev. D* 99, 104045 (2019)
  - [15] M.A. Garcia-Aspeitia and A. Hernández-Almada, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 32, 100799 (2021)
  - [16] R. Chingangbam, M. Sami, P. V. Tretyakov and A.V. Toporensky, *Phys. Lett. B* 661, 162 (2008)
  - [17] S. A. Pavluchenko and A. V. Toporensky, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 24, 513 (2009)
  - [18] D. Glavan and C. Lin, Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity in 4-dimensional space-time, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 124, 081301 (2020)
  - [19] P.G.S Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton and D.J. Mulryne, The 4D Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet

- Theory of Gravity: A Review, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 39, 063001 (2022)
- [20] B. Li, J.D. Barrow and D.F. Mota, Cosmology of modified Gauss-Bonnet gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* 76, 044027 (2007)
- [21] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou and A.V. Popov, Ghost-free F(R,G) gravity, *Nuclear Phys. B* 973, 115617 (2021)
- [22] D. Chirkov, A. Giacomini, A. Toporensky and P. Tretyakov, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 56, 110 (2024)
- [23] M. Motaharfar and H. R. Sepangi, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76, 646 (2016)
- [24] P. Kanti, R. Gannouji and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev. D* 92, 041302 (2015)
- [25] I. V. Fomin, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* 49, 525 (2018)
- [26] R.A. Konoplya, T. Pappas and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* 101, 044054 (2020)
- [27] N. Chatzarakis and V.K. Oikonomou, *Annals of Physics* 419, 168216 (2020)
- [28] S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou and F.P. Fronimos, *Annals of Physics* 420, 168250 (2020)
- [29] H.M. Sadjadi, *Phys. Lett. B.* 850, 138508 (2024)
- [30] G. Hikmawan, J. Soda, A. Suroso and F. P. Zen, *Phys. Rev. D* 93, 068301 (2016)
- [31] B. Micolta-Riascos, A.D. Milano, G. Leon, B. Droguett and E. Gonzalez, *Fractal Fract.* 8, 626 (2024)
- [32] A.D. Milano, C. Michea, G. Leon and A. Paliathanasis, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 46, 101589 (2024)
- [33] A. Paliathanasis, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 56, 84 (2024)
- [34] R. D' Agostino, O. Luongo and S. Mancini, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 84, 1060 (2024)
- [35] D. Chirkov, S. A. Pavluchenko and A. Toporensky, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 46, 1799 (2014)
- [36] E.O. Pozdeeva, M. Sami, A.V. Toporensky and S. Yu Vernov, *Phys. Rev. D* 100, 083527 (2019)
- [37] E.O. Pozdeeva, M.R. Gangopadhyay, M Sami, A.V. Toporensky and S. Yu Vernov, *Phys. Rev. D* 043525 (2020)
- [38] E.O. Pozdeeva, M.A. Skugoreva, A.V. Toporensky and S. Yu Vernov, *JCAP* 09, 050 (2024)
- [39] E.J. Copeland, A.R. Liddle and D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* 57, 4686 (1998)
- [40] V.D. Ivashchuk, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 431 (2016)
- [41] D. M. Chirkov, A. V. Toporensky, *Gravitation and Cosmology* 23, 4, 359 (2017)