

Star-products for Lie-algebraic noncommutative Minkowski space-times

Valentine Maris^{a,b}, Filip Požar^c, Jean-Christophe Wallet^a

^a*IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, 91405 Orsay, France*

^b*Laboratoire de Physique, ENS de Lyon, Université de Lyon,
CNRS UMR5672, 69007 Lyon, France*

^c*Rudjer Bošković Institute, Bijenčka c.54, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia*

e-mail: valentine.maris@ens-lyon.fr

filip.pozar@irb.hr

jean-christophe.wallet@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Abstract

Poisson structures of the Poincaré group can be linked to deformations of the Minkowski space-time, classified some time ago. We construct the star-products and involutions characterizing the $*$ -algebras of various quantum Minkowski space-times with non-centrally extended coordinates Lie algebras. We show that the usual Lebesgue integral defines either a trace or a KMS weight ("twisted trace") depending whether the Lie group of the coordinates' Lie algebra is unimodular or not. We determine the Hopf algebras modeling the deformed relativistic symmetries, which appear to be either a deformation of the usual (Hopf) Poincaré algebra or a deformation of an enlarged algebra. The results are briefly discussed.

1 Introduction

Quantum deformations of the Minkowski space-time have received considerable attention for more than three decades as they are consensually believed to have a promising physical interest, being of possible relevance in a description of an effective regime of Quantum Gravity [1], [2]. Among these quantum space-times, the κ -Minkowski space-time became from year to year the most popular one in the physical literature [3] due to some of its salient properties, for instance as providing a realization of the Double Special Relativity [4] or its possible relationship to Relative Locality [5], [6]. Its coordinates algebra is a Lie algebra given by $[x_0, x_i] = \frac{i}{\kappa}x_i$, $[x_i, x_j] = 0$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, (d-1)$, where κ is the deformation parameter with mass dimension -1 . Recall that the associative algebra describing the κ -Minkowski space-time, denoted by \mathcal{M}_κ , is acted on by a deformation of the Poincaré algebra, denoted by \mathcal{P}_κ , and is (Hopf) dual to the translations Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{P}_κ so that the entities \mathcal{M}_κ and \mathcal{P}_κ are rigidly linked together, roughly as a pair involving a quantum space-time and its "quantum isometries".

Other Lie-algebraic deformations of the Minkowski space-time have also been identified for a long time but have not been intensively considered so far. These quantum Minkowski space-times are linked to different quantum deformations of the Poincaré/relativistic symmetry, in a similar way as \mathcal{M}_κ and \mathcal{P}_κ are linked as recalled above. It turns out that these deformations are related to the Poisson structures of the Poincaré group given by classical r -matrices, which have been classified a long ago in [7], see also [8]. This result has been used in [9] to construct many Lie-algebraic deformations of the Minkowski space-time, whose coordinates Lie algebra has the general form

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\zeta^\mu(\eta^{\mu\beta}x^\alpha - \eta^{\nu\beta}x^\alpha) - i\zeta^\nu(\eta^{\mu\beta}x^\alpha - \eta^{\nu\beta}x^\alpha), \quad (1.1)$$

where ζ^μ is a vector with dimension of a length and α and β fixed. Among this family of Lie algebras is the so called ρ -Minkowski space-time¹, recovered for $\zeta^\mu = \delta_0^\mu$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 2$, which has been considered in the literature some times ago from various viewpoints, see [13]-[17].

An attempt to extend the above analysis has been recently carried out in [10], based on a few reasonable assumptions which amounts to require the existence of triangular R -matrices in one-to-one correspondence with the r -matrices of the Poincaré algebra classified in [7]. This gives rise [10] to 17 different classes of centrally-extended Lie algebras of coordinates characterizing these new quantum Minkowski space-times.

The purpose of the present paper is to provide a systematic construction of star products and involutions for these 17 models thus defining the corresponding noncommutative algebras describing these quantum Minkowski space-times. We will restrict ourselves for each of the above 17 classes to the simple case of non centrally-extended Lie algebras of coordinates which nevertheless leads to the characterization of 10 new quantum Minkowski space-times with "noncommutativity of Lie-algebra type".

In this paper, the star-products defining the various deformations of the Minkowski space-time will be obtained from a generalisation of a construction introduced a long time

¹Its Lie algebra of coordinates is given by $[x_0, x_1] = i\rho x_2$, $[x_0, x_2] = -i\rho x_1$, $[x_1, x_2] = 0$, where the deformation parameter ρ has the dimension of a length

ago by von Neumann [18] in order to formalize the work of Weyl on the phase space quantization [19] which has led to the definition of the popular Moyal product. This construction proves very convenient whenever the space-time non-commutativity is of "Lie algebra type" and has already been exploited in the context of κ -Minkowski and ρ -Minkowski, [16], [20]-[22]. Schematically, it uses the defining properties of convolution algebras for the group \mathcal{G} related to the Lie algebra of coordinates \mathfrak{g} combined with Weyl quantization map, hereafter denoted by Q . The benefit is that it provides altogether both a star-product expressed as an integral convenient for practical computations, an involution and a natural trace characterizing a well defined associative $*$ -algebra modeling a deformed Minkowski space-time, generically denoted hereafter by \mathcal{M} .

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, the construction for the star-products is presented. A general formula is given and discussed. We also provide the formulas for the corresponding involutions.

In Section 3, we apply the general results to each of the ten yet unexplored quantum Minkowski space-times, discussing each case in detail and in particular paying attention to the case of non-unimodular groups related to some of the coordinate algebras for which the notion of trace must be replaced by a KMS weight as it already happens in the popular κ -Minkowski case [20], [21].

Section 4 deals with the characterization of the deformed relativistic symmetries acting on the quantum Minkowski space-times. These (roughly speaking) "quantum isometries" are described in each case by a deformed Hopf algebra, say \mathcal{H} , such that \mathcal{M} is a left-module algebra over \mathcal{H} .

In Section 5, we summarize the results and conclude.

2 Quantum Minkowski space-times and related algebras

2.1 Weyl quantization map: from convolution algebras to star-products

The whole formalism has been used and commented in detail in e.g [23]. To make the paper self-contained, this subsection summarizes the main steps of the construction and collects the corresponding mathematical tools.

Notice that in this section we will set all the dimensionful constants equal to 1 in order to simplify the notations. These will be reinstalled in Section 3.

Notice also that the present construction can describe quantum space-times with "non-commutative time" or "commutative time", depending on the initial choice for the labeling of the (momentum) coordinates. Passing from one case to the other is straightforward. In this section, we will present the results in their most general form with the coordinate X^M being the main noncommutative coordinates which does not commute with other coordinates.

The first step amounts to determine the Lie group associated to each Lie algebra of coordinates. It turns out that each (locally compact) group considered in this paper is found to have a semi-direct product structure. Namely, one has

$$\mathcal{G} = H \ltimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.1}$$

$n \geq 1$, where $H \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is an abelian Lie group which depends on the structure of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} as it will be illustrated in the next subsection and $\phi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a continuous group morphism, defines the action of H on \mathbb{R}^n viewed as additive groups.

In the ensuing analysis, it will be convenient to use a faithful representation of \mathcal{G} , $\gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$

$$\gamma : (a, x) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

for any $a \in H$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, from which one infers that the action of any element of \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{R}^n is represented by $\gamma((a, x))y = ay + x$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ while ϕ in (2.1) is given by $\phi_a(x) = ax$, for any $a \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. the standard action of the matrix a on a vector x of \mathbb{R}^n . Here, the pair (a, x) denotes any element of \mathcal{G} .

The group law for \mathcal{G} (2.1) is given by

$$(a_1, x_1)(a_2, x_2) = (a_1 a_2, x_1 + a_1 x_2), \quad (2.3)$$

$$(a, x)^{-1} = (a^{-1}, -a^{-1}x), \quad \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{G}} = (\mathbb{I}_H, 0), \quad (2.4)$$

and will be explicitly used to obtain the convolution product.

The second step uses the main features of the convolution algebra for \mathcal{G} . We denote by $d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}$ (resp. $d\nu_{\mathcal{G}}$) the left-invariant (resp. right-invariant) Haar measure on \mathcal{G} , with

$$d\nu_{\mathcal{G}}(s) = \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(s^{-1})d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(s) \quad (2.5)$$

for any $s \in \mathcal{G}$ where the group homomorphism $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ denotes the modular function. Recall that the convolution algebra for \mathcal{G} , $L^1(\mathcal{G})$, is a $*$ -algebra equipped with the convolution product built with respect to the left Haar measure ² and involution defined as in [39]

$$(F \circ G)(s) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(t)F(st)G(t^{-1}), \quad (2.6)$$

$$F^*(u) = \overline{F}(u^{-1})\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(u^{-1}) \quad (2.7)$$

for any $F, G \in L^1(\mathcal{G})$, $s, t, u \in \mathcal{G}$, where \overline{F} is the complex conjugate of F and any group elements in (2.6), (2.7) are of the general form (a, x) (see (2.2)).

For semi-direct products as given by (2.1), the Haar measure and modular function take the form

$$d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}((a, x)) = d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x) d\mu_H(a) |\det(a)|^{-1}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}((a, x)) = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x) \Delta_H(a) |\det(a)|^{-1}, \quad (2.9)$$

in obvious notation. Owing to the fact that $d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x)$ is the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n and $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x) = 1$ as the additive group \mathbb{R}^n is unimodular, (2.8), (2.9) take the form

$$d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}((a, x)) = d^n x d\mu_H(a) |\det(a)|^{-1}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}((a, x)) = \Delta_H(a) |\det(a)|^{-1}, \quad (2.11)$$

for all $a \in H$. In the subsequent analysis, all the subgroups H will happen to be abelian Lie groups implying that they are all unimodular, i.e.,

$$\Delta_H(a) = 1 \quad (2.12)$$

²An equivalent formulation of the convolution product can be build with respect to the right measure. Changing $t \rightarrow t^{-1}$ gives $d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(t)\Delta(t^{-1})F(st^{-1})G(t)$ and $d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(t)\Delta(t^{-1}) = d\nu_{\mathcal{G}}(t)$ so we retrieve back [21].

so that (2.11) simply reduces to

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}((a, x)) = |\det(a)|^{-1}, \quad (2.13)$$

i.e., the unimodularity property of \mathcal{G} is controlled by the value of $\det(a)$, $a \in H \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Accordingly, the left-invariant Haar measure (2.10) will simplify into

$$d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}((a, x)) = d^n x dz |\det(a(z))|^{-1} \quad (2.14)$$

where the second Lebesgue measure dz comes from the particular features of the subgroups H as it will be apparent in a while from their explicit description.

Now as the third step, we assume that the functions of $L^1(\mathcal{G})$ are functions of the momentum space, that is, any $F \in L^1(\mathcal{G})$ can be written as $F = \mathcal{F}f(p)$ where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform ³ with $p = (p^M, \vec{p})$. So that any element of \mathcal{G} can be conveniently parametrized as

$$s(p^M, \vec{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} a(p^M) & \vec{p} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.15)$$

stemming from (2.2) where $a(p^M)$ is a matrix depending on one parameter identified with the energy if p^M is time directed, momentum if p^M space-like oriented and an appropriate linear combination of momenta and energy if p^M is light like⁴. The matrix $a(p^M)$ obviously satisfies

$$a(p^M)a(q^M) = a(p^M + q^M), \quad (2.16)$$

$$a^{-1}(p^M) = a(-p^M), \quad (2.17)$$

$$a(0) = \mathbb{I}, \quad (2.18)$$

for any $a \in H \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. These relations generate some simplification in the general form of the star-products and their related involutions. They can be verified to hold for each of the deformations of the Minkowski space-time given in the next section.

By combining (2.3), (2.4), (2.14) with (2.6), one can rewrite the convolution product (2.6) and corresponding involution (2.7) as

$$(\mathcal{F}f \circ \mathcal{F}g)(p^M, \vec{p}) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} d^n q dq^M |\det(a(q^M))|^{-1} \mathcal{F}f(p^M + q^M, \vec{p} + a(p^M)\vec{q}) \mathcal{F}g(-q^M, -a^{-1}(q^M)\vec{q}), \quad (2.19)$$

$$\mathcal{F}f^*(p^M, \vec{p}) = \overline{\mathcal{F}f}(-p^M, -a^{-1}(p^M)\vec{p}) \times \det(a(p^M)), \quad (2.20)$$

where $a(p^M)\vec{p}$ denotes the action of any matrix $a(p^M) \in H$ on $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. As a technical remark, note that $L^1(\mathcal{G})$ has to be enlarged by a suitable completion. We will denote by $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G})$ the resulting group algebra whose explicit characterization will be not of our concern

³Our convention for the Fourier transform is $\mathcal{F}f(p) = \int \frac{d^d x}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-ip^M x^M - i\vec{p}\vec{x}} f(x)$ and for the inverse $f(x) = \int d^d p e^{ip^M x^M + i\vec{p}\vec{x}} \mathcal{F}f(p)$.

⁴Additionally, some spaces in like and space like p^M classes have boosted momentum as the generator of noncommutativity. In those cases, p^M is interpreted as the boosted energy and momentum, respectively, for time like and space like p^M . This is further discussed in the Subsection 2.2.

in the present paper.

As the last step of the construction, we introduce the Weyl quantization map Q which associates to any function of a noncommutative $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M} , equipped with star-product \star and involution \dagger , a bounded operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the corresponding operator algebra. As mentioned before, the algebra \mathcal{M} models the noncommutative space with coordinates algebra \mathfrak{g} . The Weyl map is a $*$ -algebra morphism defined as

$$Q : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad Q(f) = \pi(\mathcal{F}f), \quad (2.21)$$

where the induced \star -representation of $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G})$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\pi : \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, is defined by

$$\pi(F) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(x) F(x) \pi_U(x) \quad (2.22)$$

for any $F(= \mathcal{F}f) \in \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G})$ and where $\pi_U : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of \mathcal{G} . The quantization map Q is a bounded and non-degenerate $*$ -algebra morphism. Finally, the combination of (2.21) with the fact that Q and π are both $*$ -algebra morphisms yields

$$f \star g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}f \circ \mathcal{F}g), \quad f^\dagger = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(f)^\star), \quad (2.23)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$.

The above construction gives rise to an associative product and corresponding involution thus defining an associative $*$ -algebra of functions \mathcal{M} whose elements are interpreted as inverse Fourier transformed elements of $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G})$ introduced above. Thus, it really is natural to view \mathcal{M} as an algebra of functions modeling the quantum (noncommutative) manifold with the Lie algebra of coordinates given by \mathfrak{g} .

A general expression describing the family of star-products given in (2.23) can be obtained from a standard computation by expressing the various Fourier transforms in (2.23) combined with (2.19). In particular, integrating upon $d^n q$ generates a delta function appearing in the complete expression as

$$\dots \int d^n z \delta\left((a(q^M)^{-1})^T \vec{z} - a(p^M)^T \vec{x}\right) \times \dots$$

where the superscript T denotes the matrix transposition. Then, the integration over $d^n z$ (the integration variable in $\mathcal{F}f$) generates an overall factor $|\det((a(q^M)^{-1})^T)|^{-1} = |\det(a(q^M))|$ which balances the factor $|\det(a(q^M))|^{-1}$ involved in (2.19) so that the resulting expression does not depend whether or not the group is unimodular as it does not depend on $\det(a(q^M))$. Introducing a diagonal extension of the matrix $a^T(p^M)$,

$$A(p^M) = \mathbb{I}^M \oplus a^T(p^M) \oplus^{4-n-1} \mathbb{I} \quad (2.24)$$

one finally obtains

$$f \star g = \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \int dp^M dy^M e^{-ip^M y^M} f(x + y^M) g(A(p^M)x) \quad (2.25)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$, where the argument of f needs to be understood as vector addition

$$x + y^M \equiv x^\mu + \delta^\mu_M y^M. \quad (2.26)$$

A similar computation leads to the general expression for the involution. It is given by

$$f^\dagger(x_0, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp^M dy^M |\det(A(p^M))|^2 e^{-ip^M y^M} \overline{f(A(p^M)x + y^M)}, \quad (2.27)$$

which turns the associative algebra \mathcal{M} into a $*$ -algebra.

At this stage, one comment is in order. The expression (2.7) for the involution equipping $L^1(\mathcal{G})$ insures that π (2.22) defines a $*$ -morphism. Indeed, one can also define the involution as $F^*(u) = \bar{F}(u^{-1})\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(u^\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ in a well defined manner, but the following relation is independent from the involution definition

$$\langle u, \pi(F)^\dagger v \rangle = \langle \pi(F)u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{G}} d\mu(s) \bar{F}(s^{-1}) \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(s^{-1}) \langle u, \pi_U(s)v \rangle \quad (2.28)$$

for any $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, where we used the linearity of the Hilbert product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the fact that π_U is a unitary representation together with $d\mu(s^{-1}) = \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(s^{-1})d\mu(s)$ for any $s \in \mathcal{G}$. But on the other hand, from the new expression of the involution given above, one would infer

$$\langle u, \pi(F^*)v \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{G}} d\mu(s) \bar{F}(s^{-1}) \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(s^\alpha) \langle u, \pi_U(s)v \rangle \quad (2.29)$$

so that $\pi(F)^\dagger = \pi(F^*)$ is verified whenever $\alpha = -1$ ⁵.

2.2 Traces and commutation relations among coordinates

Upon using the star product given in (2.23), one can compute the general form of the commutation relations among coordinates defining the type of "Lie algebra noncommutativity". One easily finds

$$[x^M, x^\mu] = -i [\partial_{p^M} A(p^M)|_{p^M=0}]^\mu \sigma x^\sigma, \quad (2.30)$$

where x^M is the special coordinate which generates the noncommutativity, with all other commutators being equal to 0, which obviously satisfies the Jacobi identity so that (2.30) actually defines a Lie algebra. In this paper, we are actually more interested in reversing the problem - we want to find the star products⁶ for the spaces from [10]. The procedure for doing so is to find a coordinate system⁷ in which the Lie algebra is of the form (2.30) and then to find the Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra.

It turns out that (2.30) encompasses all the Lie algebras listed in Section 3 as it can be easily verified. Note that in the sense of noncommutative Minkowski deformations, there exist actually three different isomorphism classes, all sharing an isomorphic Lie algebra structure (2.30) whose generators are coordinate functions:

1. Timelike noncommutative Minkowski spacetime deformations whose underlying Lie algebra is of the form (2.30) with x^M being a timelike coordinate. The matrix $A(p^M)$ then depends on the impulse in the direction of the timelike coordinate x^M .

⁵Notice that the value of α depends on the choice of left or right Haar measure. In [20] [21] the right Haar measure is used, imposing $\alpha = +1$. Expression of the involution will depend of α . In the case $\alpha = +1$ the $|\det A(p^M)|^2$ factor is removed from 2.27.

⁶i.e., a suitable coordinate system, the special coordinate x^M and the matrix $a(p^M)$.

⁷In [10] all of the spaces are written in the Cartesian coordinate system (t, x, y, z) , but the commutators in the Cartesian system most often do not belong to the form of (2.30) right away.

2. Spacelike noncommutative Minkowski spacetime deformations whose underlying Lie algebra is of the form (2.30) with x^M being a spacelike coordinate. The matrix $A(p^M)$ then depends on the impulse in the direction of the spacelike coordinate x^M .
3. Lightlike noncommutative Minkowski spacetime deformations whose underlying Lie algebra is of the form (2.30) with x^M being a lightlike coordinate. The matrix $A(p^M)$ then depends on the impulse in the direction of the lightlike coordinate x^M . In the case of lightlike spaces, the usual (t, x, y, z) Cartesian coordinate system will not suffice for our considerations as none of its coordinates are lightlike. We will need to transform the coordinate system to include the lightlike coordinate x^M and accordingly transform the metric.

Some examples of all three classes will be given in Section 3 as the table of all applicable deformed Minkowski spaces from [10] encompassing the respective commutator, x^M and other coordinates in terms of Cartesian coordinates, the Lie algebra classification and the Lie group of the algebra and finally, the matrix $A(p^M)$ which dictates the star product behind the entire construction. It is very important to note that the three classes of noncommutative deformations of Minkowski spacetime are really distinct because it is not possible to boost or rotate a coordinate to turn it from a spatial/timelike/lightlike coordinate into one of a different type, so there isn't a justifiable way to say that symmetry of Minkowski spacetime connects any of the three distinct classes. Also, it is important to note that the relation (2.30) puts a lot of constraint on coordinate system x^μ appearing in the star product (2.25). Very often, the x^M coordinate will not be orthogonal to other coordinates. When using the results from the Section 4, one always has to be mindful that the Minkowski metric η needs to be expressed in the coordinate system x^μ as $g_{\mu\nu}$.

In any case, regardless of the coordinate Lie algebra's classification of the noncommutative deformation and regardless if the Lie algebra of coordinates corresponds to a space from [10], the star product (2.25) gives a trace condition

$$\int d^4x f \star g = \int d^4x [\det A(P^M) \triangleright g] \star f \quad (2.31)$$

$$P_M = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^M} \quad (2.32)$$

so we can see that when $\det A \neq 1$, i.e., when the Lie group G from (2.1) is not unimodular, the integral is no longer a trace for the convolution algebra of functions.

Furthermore, for some timelike noncommutative spaces from [10] whose corresponding Lie group is nonunimodular, an interesting modular group structure is exhibited representing a time evolution. For those groups, the Haar measure gives a "twisted trace," which is interpreted as Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) weight. It will be discussed in the next section.

3 Deformed Minkowski space-times

Let us first summarize in a few words the main result of Section 2.

We have considered a family of quantum Minkowski space-times whose Lie groups \mathcal{G} related to the 2-, 3- and 4- dimensional Lie algebras of coordinates \mathfrak{g} are semi-direct products of the form $\mathcal{G} = H \ltimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}^n$ where the abelian subgroup $H \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts on \mathbb{R}^n

as $\phi_a(x) = ax$ for $a \in H$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 1, 2, 3$. We have shown that any of these quantum Minkowski space-times can be modeled by an associative \star -algebra $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{G}), \star, \dagger)$ with the following star-product and involution:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \star g)(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^M} \int dp^M dy^M e^{-ip^M y^M} f(x + y^M) g(A(p^M)x), \\ f^\dagger(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^M} \int dp^M dy^M e^{-ip^M y^M} f(A(p^M)x + y^M) |\det(A(p^M))|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in H$.

In this section, we will give the explicit structure of the above Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} for all of the applicable Minkowski spacetime deformations from [10]. These merely arise from the classification of the Lie algebras of dimension 2, 3 and 4, see e.g. [26] from which we borrow notation. We will consider separately the unimodular and non-unimodular cases for the group \mathcal{G} . In [10] out of the 17 spaces presented, three space's (dubbed as space 19, 20 and 21) Lie algebras are trivial when we set the central extension to zero, and three spaces (dubbed as space 9s, for $s = -1, 0, 1$) do not have a Lie group of the form (2.1), but rather of $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^2$. All other noncommutative Minkowski deformations in [10] are applicable to our formalism and we will use them as explicit examples later in the following subsections.

As some parts of this section are rather technical, we collect all the results of the Section 3 into a table, given in particular the explicit expression for the matrix $A(p^M)$ appearing in (3.1) above. The reader not interested by the technical discussion given in the subsections 3.1 and 3.2 may go directly to the section 4.

It is important to mention that, as explained before, we need to work in specially adapted coordinate systems which have the commutators in the form of (2.30), since the star product (2.25) is only capable of producing commutation relations of the form (2.30). To endow the noncommutative Minkowski spaces from [10] with a star product we will transform each (applicable) space's coordinate system into a coordinate system in which the space's commutation relations obey (2.30). To express the link between the Cartesian coordinate system in [10], which we shall denote⁸ as (t, x, y, z) , and the coordinates that obey (2.30), which we shall denote as x^μ , we will explicitly write every coordinate system x^μ in terms of (t, x, y, z) .

3.1 Coordinates Lie algebras with unimodular groups

In this subsection we will deal with 3- and 4-dimensional Lie algebras. Note that the 3-dimensional algebras can actually be adapted to a 4-d quantum space-time by merely enlarging the initial set of coordinates with an additional central coordinate.

3.1.1 3-d Lie algebras

Typical 3-d Lie algebras illustrating the present framework correspond to the cases 13, 14, 15 of ref. [10], [7]. The corresponding non trivial part of the Lie algebras⁹ can be easily verified to be isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}(2)$.

⁸Notice that in [10] the coordinates are denoted as x^0, x^1, x^2 and x^3 . To not cause confusion with our notation we rename $x^0 = t, x^1 = x, x^2 = y$ and $x^3 = z$ in [10] and leave the x^μ notation for our coordinate systems which need not be orthonormal.

⁹These algebras involve a central coordinate which can be incorporated at the end of the construction.

Case	Coordinates	x^M	Commutators	Group	Matrix $A(p^M)$
UNIMODULAR GROUPS					
10	$x^0 = z - t, x^1 = x,$ $x^2 = z - t - y,$ $x^3 = z.$	$x^M = x^1$	$[x^M, x^2] = -i\lambda x^0$	H	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda p^1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
11	$x^0 = z - t,$ $x^1 = x, x^2 = y,$ $x^3 = -z.$	$x^M = x^2$	$[x^M, x^1] = -i\lambda x^0$ $[x^M, x^3] = -i\lambda x^1$	$\mathcal{G}_{4,1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda p^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\lambda^2 (p^2)^2}{2} & \lambda p^2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
12	$x^0 = z - t,$ $x^1 = x, x^2 = y,$ $x^3 = z.$	$x^M = x^3$	$[x^M, x^0] = -i\lambda x^1$ $[x^M, x^1] = -i\lambda x^0$	$SE(1, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda p^3) & \sinh(\lambda p^3) & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh(\lambda p^3) & \cosh(\lambda p^3) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
13	$x^0 = t, x^1 = x,$ $x^2 = y, x^3 = z.$	$x^M = x^0$	$[x^M, x^1] = i\lambda x^2$ $[x^M, x^2] = -i\lambda x^1$	$SE(2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\lambda p^0) & -\sin(\lambda p^0) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\lambda p^0) & \cos(\lambda p^0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
14	$x^0 = t, x^1 = x,$ $x^2 = y, x^3 = z.$	$x^M = x^3$	$[x^M, x^1] = i\lambda x^2$ $[x^M, x^2] = -i\lambda x^1$	$SE(2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\lambda p^3) & -\sin(\lambda p^3) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\lambda p^3) & \cos(\lambda p^3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
15	$x^0 = t + z,$ $x^1 = x, x^2 = y,$ $x^3 = z.$	$x^M = x^0$	$[x^M, x^1] = i\lambda x^2$ $[x^M, x^2] = -i\lambda x^1$	$SE(2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\lambda p^0) & -\sin(\lambda p^0) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\lambda p^0) & \cos(\lambda p^0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
16	$x^0 = t, x^1 = x,$ $x^2 = y, x^3 = z.$	$x^M = x^1$	$[x^M, x^0] = i\lambda x^3$ $[x^M, x^3] = i\lambda x^0$	$SE(1, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda p^1) & 0 & 0 & -\sinh(\lambda p^1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sinh(\lambda p^1) & 0 & 0 & \cosh(\lambda p^1) \end{pmatrix}$
NONUNIMODULAR GROUPS					
7 ($\alpha = \frac{1}{\zeta}$)	$x^0 = \alpha t,$ $x^1 = x, x^2 = y,$ $x^3 = \alpha(z - t)$	$x^M = x^0$	$[x^M, x^1] = i\lambda(\alpha x^1 + x^2),$ $[x^M, x^2] = i\lambda(\alpha x^2 - x^1)$ $[x^M, x^3] = i\lambda\alpha x^3$	$\mathcal{G}_{4,6}^{\alpha,\alpha}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \cos(\lambda p^0) & -e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \sin(\lambda p^0) \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \sin(\lambda p^0) & e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \cos(\lambda p^0) \end{pmatrix}$
8	$x^0 = t,$ $x^1 = x, x^2 = y,$ $x^3 = \zeta(t - z)$	$x^M = x^0$	$[x^M, x^1] = i\lambda(x^3 + x^1)$ $[x^M, x^2] = i\lambda x^2$ $[x^M, x^3] = i\lambda x^3$	$\mathcal{G}_{4,2}^1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda p^0} & 0 & -\lambda p^0 e^{-\lambda p^0} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda p^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda p^0} \end{pmatrix}$
17	$x^0 = -t,$ $x^1 = x, x^2 = y,$ $x^3 = z - t$	$x^M = x^0$	$[x^M, x^3] = i\lambda x^3$	$\mathcal{G}_{2,1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda p^0} \end{pmatrix}$
18 $\alpha = \frac{1}{\zeta}$	$x^0 = \alpha t,$ $x^1 = x - y,$ $x^2 = x + y,$ $x^3 = t - z.$	$x^M = x^0$	$[x^M, x^1] = i\lambda x^2$ $[x^M, x^2] = -i\lambda x^1$ $[x^M, x^3] = i\lambda x^3$	$\mathcal{G}_{4,6}^{1,0}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\lambda p^0) & -\sin(\lambda p^0) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\lambda p^0) & \cos(\lambda p^0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda p^0} \end{pmatrix}$

Table 1: **Classification of Lie algebras.** 11 Minkowski spacetime deformations are described. The numbers in the left column come from Zakrzewski numeration [7], the next column gives the explicit coordinate change to map Mercati [10] bracket to the (2.30) form of the Lie algebra. Next, the commutator relations and the associated Lie groups are given. The last column gives the $A(p^M)$ matrix, with parameter p^M encoding the time/light/space-like noncommutativity.

The related Lie group is the Euclidean group $E(2) \simeq O(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ which, upon focusing only on orientation preserving isometries, reduces to the special Euclidean group

$$SE(2) \simeq SO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (3.2)$$

which is linked to the ρ -Minkowski space-time as shown in [16]. This deformation of the Minkowski space-time has been introduced a long ago in [9] and investigated more recently in [27], [28].

The star-product and associated involution have been derived recently in [16] using the general construction of Section 2. One merely combines (3.1) to the faithful representation

of (3.2), $\gamma : (a, \vec{x}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & \vec{x} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where the matrix a can be easily cast into

$$a(p^M) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\rho p^M) & \sin(\rho p^M) \\ -\sin(\rho p^M) & \cos(\rho p^M) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

where we have installed the deformation parameter ρ which has the dimension of a length. The resulting expressions are trivially obtained from (3.1) so that we do not reproduce them here. Note that describing a 4-d situation can be easily obtained by incorporating a central coordinate (see e.g. [16]).

The case 12 of ref.[10] where all the central extension θ parameters have been set to zero can be viewed as a Lorentzian version of the above cases. Indeed, the corresponding algebra of coordinates¹⁰ can be cast into the form

$$[t, x] = i(t - z), \quad [t, z] = ix, \quad [x, z] = i(z - t), \quad (3.4)$$

which is further supplemented by a central coordinate, say y . Setting $x^0 = z - t$, one realizes that the non-trivial part (3.4) of the initial coordinates algebra is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{iso}(1, 1)$, namely

$$[x^3, x^0] = -ix^1, \quad [x^1, x^3] = ix^0, \quad [x^1, x^0] = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that (3.4) can be easily verified to be isomorphic to the coordinates Lie algebra of the case 16 of [10] where again all the θ parameters have been set to zero so that both cases can be treated similarly.

The related relevant Lie group is $E(1, 1) \simeq SO(1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with faithful representation (2.2) characterized by the matrix

$$a(p^3) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda p^3) & \sinh(\lambda p^3) \\ \sinh(\lambda p^3) & \cosh(\lambda p^3) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

where we have again installed a parameter λ with length dimension.

The relevant star-product and involution are then readily obtained from (3.6) combined with (3.1). For this 3-d version of the deformed Minkowski space-time, after a convenient relabeling of the coordinates in (3.5), one easily obtains

$$(f \star g)(x^3, \vec{x}) = \int dy^3 dp^3 e^{-iy^3 p^3} f(x^3 + y^3, \vec{x}) g(x^3, u^0, u^1), \quad (3.7)$$

¹⁰Some typos in [10] have been corrected.

$$f^\dagger(x^3, \vec{x}) = \int dy^3 dp^3 e^{-iy^3 p^3} f(x^3 + y^3, u^0, u^1) \quad (3.8)$$

with

$$u^0 = x^0 \cosh(\lambda p^3) + x^1 \sinh(\lambda p^3), \quad u^1 = x^0 \sinh(\lambda p^3) + x^1 \cosh(\lambda p^3) \quad (3.9)$$

which yields the following coordinates algebra

$$[x^3, x^0] = -i\lambda x^1, \quad [x^3, x^1] = -i\lambda x^0, \quad [x^0, x^1] = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

while the replacement of (3.6) by (3.3) into (3.1) would produce the (3-d) coordinates algebra for ρ -Minkowski given by

$$[x^0, x^1] = +i\lambda x^2, \quad [x^0, x^2] = -i\lambda x^1, \quad [x^1, x^2] = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

as explained above.

Finally, a similar analysis holds for the case 10 with the parameter θ is set to zero for which the algebra is found to be isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra. The corresponding matrix $a(\lambda p^1)$ characterizing the associated Lie group is now given by

$$a(\lambda p^1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda p^1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

3.1.2 4-d Lie algebras

A typical 4-d Lie algebra of coordinates is provided with the case 11 in [10] with $\theta = 0$. The corresponding algebra is

$$\begin{aligned} [t, x] &= 0, \quad [t, y] = -ix, \quad [t, z] = 0, \\ [x, y] &= i(z - t), \quad [y, t] = ix, \quad [x, z] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

making the substitution $x^0 = z - t$, one verifies that (3.13) is isomorphic to the indecomposable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{4,1}$ of [26], namely

$$[x^2, x^0] = -i\lambda x^1, \quad [x^2, x^1] = -i\lambda x^0, \quad (3.14)$$

while all the other commutators vanish. Note that this algebra is one of the only two nilpotent 4-d Lie algebras.

The related Lie group can be again characterized as in eqn. (2.2) with the matrix $a(p^2)$ now given by [26]

$$a(\lambda p^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda p^2 & \lambda^2 \frac{(p^2)^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda p^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.15)$$

The expression for the star-product and involution can then be obtained as a simple application of (3.1) combined with (3.15).

3.2 Coordinates Lie algebras with non-unimodular groups

3.2.1 2-d Lie algebras

The space defined by case (17) is a 2-d Lie algebra which corresponds to 2-d light like κ Minkowski with 2 commutative coordinates.

3.3 4-d Lie algebras

The first Lie algebra of coordinates we consider in this subsection is the one associated to a non-unimodular group is related to the case 7 of [7] (see also [10]). It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [t, x] &= i(x + \zeta u), [t, y] = i(y - \zeta x), [t, z] = i(z - t), \\ [y, z] &= -i(y - \zeta x), [t, x] = i(x + \zeta y), [x, y] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $\zeta > 0$ is a dimensionless parameter. Note that the case $\zeta = 0$ corresponds to the coordinate algebra for the usual κ -Minkowski space-time as it can be easily verified.

This Lie algebra is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{4,6}^{\alpha,\beta}$ [26] with $\alpha = \frac{1}{\zeta}$, as it can be easily seen by using the following change of generators into (3.16)

$$x^0 = \alpha t, \quad x^1 = x, \quad x^2 = y, \quad x^3 = \alpha(z - t) \quad (3.17)$$

leading to

$$[x^0, x^1] = i(\alpha x^1 + x^2), \quad [x^0, x^2] = i(\alpha x^2 - x^1), \quad [x^0, x^3] = i\alpha x^3, \quad (3.18)$$

while the other commutators vanish, which define $\mathfrak{g}_{4,6}^{\alpha,\alpha}$ [26] upon redefining the generators as

$$E_k = ix^k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad E_4 = ix^0. \quad (3.19)$$

The final coordinates Lie algebra takes the form

$$[x^0, x^1] = i\lambda(\alpha x^1 + x^2), \quad [x^0, x^2] = \lambda(\alpha x^2 - x^1), \quad [x^0, x^3] = i\lambda\alpha x^3, \quad (3.20)$$

with λ (as always) having the dimension of length, which models a specific "noncommutativity" among the coordinates of a quantum Minkowski space-time.

This 4-dimensional Lie algebra is indecomposable. It turns out that the Lie groups corresponding to 4-dimensional indecomposable algebras have been classified¹¹ in [26]. From this work, one infers that the Lie group related to (3.22) can be represented [26] as in (2.2), namely any element of the group has the matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda p^0) & \vec{x} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (3.21)$$

with

$$a(\lambda p^0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \cos(\lambda p^0) & -e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \sin(\lambda p^0) \\ 0 & e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \sin(\lambda p^0) & e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} \cos(\lambda p^0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.22)$$

¹¹Along with all other Lie algebras up to, and including, the dimension 4.

One checks that $\det(a(p_0)) = e^{-3\alpha\lambda p^0}$ signaling that the group \mathcal{G} is not-unimodular in view of (3.38). Then, from (3.22) and (3.1), one obtains the expression of the star-product together with the involution for the quantum Minkowski space-time with coordinates algebra (3.20). They are given by

$$(f \star g)(x^0, \vec{x}) = \int dy^0 dp^0 e^{-iy^0 p^0} f(x^0 + y^0, \vec{x}) g(x^0, u^1, u^2, e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} x^3), \quad (3.23)$$

$$f^\dagger(x^0, \vec{x}) = \int dy^0 dp^0 e^{-iy^0 p^0} e^{-6\alpha\lambda p^0} f(x^0 + y^0, u^1, u^2, e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} x^3), \quad (3.24)$$

with

$$u^1 = e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} (x^1 \cos(\lambda p^0) - x^2 \sin(\lambda p^0)), \quad u^2 = e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} (x^2 \cos(\lambda p^0) + x^1 \sin(\lambda p^0)). \quad (3.25)$$

One easily verifies that the commutation relations (3.20) can be recovered from (3.32).

One remark is in order here. The case 18 in [10] can be treated in a similar way. It can be verified that the Lie algebra of coordinates is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{4,6}^{\alpha,\beta}$ [26] with $\alpha = \frac{1}{\zeta}$, $\beta = 0$. In this latter case, the matrix a takes the form

$$a(\lambda p^0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\alpha\lambda p^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\lambda p^0) & -\sin(\lambda p^0) \\ 0 & \sin(\lambda p^0) & \cos(\lambda p^0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.26)$$

The corresponding star-product and involution can be obtained from e.g. (3.32), (3.33) by obvious modifications.

Another Lie algebra associated to a non-unimodular group is related to the case 8 of [7], [10]. The corresponding commutation relations are

$$\begin{aligned} [t, x] &= i(x - \zeta(z - t)), \quad [x, z] = -i(x - \zeta(z - t)), \\ [t, z] &= i(z - t), \quad [t, y] = iy, \\ [y, z] &= -iy, \quad [x, y] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where again $\zeta > 0$ is a dimensionless parameter. Upon defining

$$x^0 = -t, \quad x^1 = x, \quad x^3 = \zeta(z - t), \quad x^2 = y \quad (3.28)$$

one realizes that the Lie algebra (3.27) is isomorphic to the indecomposable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{4,2}^1$, see e.g. [26] whose defining commutation relations are

$$[x^2, x^0] = -ix^2, \quad [x^1, x^0] = -i(x^3 + x^1), \quad [x^3, x^0] = -ix^3, \quad (3.29)$$

while the other commutators vanish. Then, combining (3.29) with (3.19), one obtains

$$[x^0, x^1] = i\lambda x^1, \quad [x^0, x^2] = i\lambda x^2, \quad [x^0, x^3] = i\lambda(x^2 + x^3) \quad (3.30)$$

which describes the noncommutativity among the coordinates of another quantum Minkowski space-time which we will now characterize.

The Lie group \mathcal{G} associated with (3.30) can be represented [26] in the matrix form similar to the one given in (3.21) with however $a(\lambda p_0)$ given by

$$a(\lambda p^0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda p^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda p^0} & 0 \\ -\lambda p^0 e^{-\lambda p^0} & 0 & e^{-\lambda p^0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.31)$$

This group is not unimodular since $\det(a(p^0)) = e^{-3\lambda p^0}$. The star-product and the related involution can be easily obtained from the analysis of Section 2. We find

$$(f \star g)(x^0, \vec{x}) = \int dy^0 dp^0 e^{-iy^0 p^0} f(x^0 + y^0, \vec{x}) g(x^0, e^{-\lambda p^0} x^1, u^2, e^{-\lambda p^0} x^3), \quad (3.32)$$

$$f^\dagger(x^0, \vec{x}) = \int dy^0 dp^0 e^{-iy^0 p^0} e^{-6\lambda p^0} f(x^0 + y^0, e^{-\lambda p^0} x^1, u^2, e^{-\lambda p^0} x^3), \quad (3.33)$$

with

$$u^2 = e^{-\lambda p_0} (x^2 - \lambda p^0 x^3). \quad (3.34)$$

3.4 KMS weight as a twisted trace for non-unimodular groups

For non-unimodular groups it appears that the Lebesgue measure actually defines a "twisted trace". A twisted trace (on an algebra) can be roughly defined as a linear positive map, denoted as Tr , satisfying $\text{Tr}(ab) = \text{Tr}((\sigma \triangleright b)a)$, where σ is an automorphism of the algebra, called the twist. Actually, the name "twisted trace" is a slight abuse of language and should be *stricto sensu* replaced by "KMS weight" whose characterization will be given below. Because all of the spaces with nonunimodular group structure that we considered in this paper are in the time noncommutative class, the results of this subsection will be applicable to all of them. In this subsection we will denote $x^M = x^0$ and $x^\mu = \vec{x}$.

A simple computation yields

$$\int dx^0 d\vec{x} (f \star g)(x) = \int dx^0 d\vec{x} dy^0 dp^0 \det A(p^0) e^{-iy^0 p^0} g(x^0 + y^0, \vec{x}) f(A(p^0)x) \quad (3.35)$$

for any $a(p^M) \in H$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ where we used (2.16), (2.17) and (2.23).

One then infers that whenever the group \mathcal{G} is unimodular, which is verified provided $\det(A(p^0)) = 1$, (3.35) reduces obviously to

$$\int dx^0 d\vec{x} (f \star g)(x) = \int dx^0 d\vec{x} (g \star f)(x) \quad (3.36)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ so that one concludes that the usual Lebesgue integral is cyclic w.r.t the star product (2.23). Furthermore, one can verify that for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\int dx^0 d\vec{x} (f^\dagger \star g)(x) = \int dx^0 d\vec{x} \bar{f}(x) g(x) \quad (3.37)$$

provided $\det(A(p^0)) = 1$ still holds. From (3.37) upon setting $f = g$, one concludes that the Lebesgue integral $\int dx^0 d\vec{x}$ defines a positive map $\int dx^0 d\vec{x} : \mathcal{M}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ where \mathcal{M}_+ denotes the positive elements of \mathcal{M} which moreover is cyclic w.r.t the star-product from

(3.36). Hence, $\int dx^0 d\vec{x}$ defines a trace when the group \mathcal{G} is unimodular as announced above.

A convenient way to begin with the case when \mathcal{G} is not unimodular is to use the explicit expressions of $\det(A(p^0))$ for each of the cases considered in this paper. As it can be verified from Section 3, one obtains generically (setting again $\lambda = 1$ for the time being)

$$\det(A(p_0)) = e^{-np_0}, \quad (3.38)$$

where n depends on the dimension of the Lie algebra of coordinates (see Section 3), namely, $n = 1$ or $n = 3$. Then, the combination of (3.38) with (3.35) gives

$$\int dx^0 d\vec{x} (f \star g)(x^0, \vec{x}) = \int dx^0 d\vec{x} ((\sigma \triangleright g) \star f)(x^0, \vec{x}), \quad (3.39)$$

where the twist $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ is given by

$$(\sigma \triangleright g)(x^0, \vec{x}) = (e^{in\partial_0} \triangleright g)(x^0, \vec{x}) = g(x^0 + in, \vec{x}) \quad (3.40)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$. Observe by the way that the Lebesgue integral is nothing but the right Haar measure of \mathcal{G} , as it can be easily verified from (2.11), (2.10) combined with the general relation $d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(s) = \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(s)d\nu_{\mathcal{G}}(s)$ for any $s \in \mathcal{G}$ where $d\nu_{\mathcal{G}}$ denotes the right Haar measure. One can easily check that

$$(\sigma \triangleright f)^\dagger = \sigma^{-1} \triangleright (f^\dagger) \quad (3.41)$$

thus defining a regular automorphism [24]. Note that a quite similar twist equipping a "twisted trace" has already been shown to occur within the κ -Minkowski space-time [21] as the corresponding group of the coordinates algebra is known to be the non-unimodular semi-direct product $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ whose structure fits with (2.1).

Related to (3.40), a distinguished one-parameter group of \ast -automorphisms of \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\{\sigma_t := e^{tn\partial_0}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \quad (3.42)$$

with obviously $\sigma_{t=i} = \sigma$ and satisfies

$$\sigma_{t_1} \sigma_{t_2} = \sigma_{t_1+t_2}, \quad \sigma_t^{-1} = \sigma_{-t}, \quad (3.43)$$

$$\sigma_t \triangleright (f \star g) = (\sigma_t \triangleright f) \star (\sigma_t \triangleright g), \quad (\sigma_t \triangleright f)^\dagger = (\sigma_t \triangleright f^\dagger), \quad (3.44)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, a simple computation gives

$$\int dx^0 d\vec{x} (f \star g^\dagger)(x^0, \vec{x}) = \int dx^0 d\vec{x} f(x^0, \vec{x}) \overline{g}(x^0, \vec{x}), \quad (3.45)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$, which is true for both the unimodular and nonunimodular groups \mathcal{G} in our formalism. This latter relation insures that the Lebesgue integral always defines a positive map $\int dx^0 d\vec{x} : \mathcal{M}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.

It turns out that the group of \ast -automorphisms (3.42) is the modular group for the KMS weight defined by the above map, with Tomita operator given by $\Delta_T = e^{-in\partial_0}$, since

one has $\sigma_t = (\Delta_T)^{it}$. The action of any σ_t (3.40) on \mathcal{M} generates time translations in view of

$$(\sigma_t \triangleright f)(x_0, \vec{x}) = f(x_0 + int, \vec{x}), \quad (3.46)$$

which can be read off from (3.40). This actually represents a time evolution for the operators related to the Weyl quantization map (2.21). Note that this supports *a posteriori* our choice in the labeling of coordinates.

The characterization of the Lebesgue integral as a KMS weight is exactly the same as the one presented in [21]. Recall that the (positive) map Φ , defined by the Lebesgue integral, is a KMS weight if it satisfies the two conditions

$$\Phi(\sigma_z \triangleright f) = \Phi(f), \quad \Phi(f \star f^\dagger) = \Phi((\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}} \triangleright f) \star (\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}} \triangleright f)^\dagger) \quad (3.47)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{M}$ where $\sigma_z, z \in \mathbb{C}$, analytically extends σ_t (3.42) with now $\sigma_z(f^\dagger) = (\sigma_{\bar{z}}(f))^\dagger$. It appears that these conditions hold true stemming from standard computation. Then a theorem (see e.g. theorem 6.36 of ref. [25]) guarantees the existence of a bounded continuous function $F : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\Sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \Im(z) \leq 1\}$ such that $F(t) = \Phi((\sigma_t \triangleright f) \star g)$, $F(t+i) = \Phi(g \star (\sigma_t \triangleright f))$ which can be formally identified to the KMS condition.

4 Related deformations of Poincaré symmetry

In this section, we construct for each deformation of the Minkowski space-time \mathcal{M}_λ , introduced in Section 3, a Hopf algebra denoted by \mathcal{H}_λ such that \mathcal{M}_λ is a left-module algebra over \mathcal{H}_λ . As we will show, \mathcal{H}_λ will be either a mere deformation of the Poincaré algebra, with translations, rotations and boosts as primitive elements, or a deformation of an enlarged algebra.

Recall that a left \mathcal{H}_λ -module algebra \mathcal{M}_λ is an algebra with a given action map denoted by $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{M}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ satisfying

$$\varphi \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes m) = m \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_M) \circ (\Delta \otimes \text{id}_M \otimes \text{id}_M), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\varphi \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes 1_M) = 1_M \circ \epsilon, \quad (4.2)$$

where $m : \mathcal{M}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{M}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is the product on \mathcal{M}_λ , $\Delta : \mathcal{H}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is the coproduct of \mathcal{H}_λ , $1_M : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is the unit of \mathcal{M}_λ , $\tau : \mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{M}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is the flip map and id_H and id_M are the identity maps for \mathcal{H}_λ and \mathcal{M}_λ . For further notational convenience we will set $\varphi(t \otimes f) := t \triangleright f$, for any $t \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda, f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$. Accordingly, one should now calculate $t \triangleright (f \star g) = m(\Delta(t) \triangleright \otimes \triangleright)(f \otimes g)$. Besides, the symbol L_μ will denote the usual multiplication by x_μ , namely $L_\mu \triangleright f(x) = x_\mu f(x)$.

First, we assume that the action of \mathcal{H}_λ on \mathcal{M}_λ is defined from the usual action of P_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ given by

$$P_\mu \triangleright f = -i\partial_\mu f, \quad M_{\mu\nu} \triangleright f = (L_\mu \partial_\nu - L_\nu \partial_\mu) f, \quad (4.3)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ which thus corresponds to a (undeformed) Poincaré Lie algebra structure

$$\begin{aligned} [P_\mu, P_\nu] &= 0, \quad [M_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] = \eta_{\nu\rho} P_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu, \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\tau}] &= \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\tau} - \eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\tau} - \eta_{\nu\tau} M_{\mu\rho} + \eta_{\mu\tau} M_{\nu\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that this choice is different from the one assumed in [10] which insists on having an undeformed coproduct for the boosts and rotations, namely $\Delta(M_{\mu\nu}) = M_{\mu\nu} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes M_{\mu\nu}$. In the present situation, the coproducts and associated antipodes characterizing the Hopf algebras \mathcal{H}_λ will have more complicated expressions while the generators of the algebras act on functions in the usual way.

Then, we will require that the natural Hopf algebra structure of \mathcal{M}_λ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_M(x_\mu) &= x_\mu \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes x_\mu \\ \epsilon_M(x_\mu) &= 0, \quad S_M(x_\mu) = -x_\mu\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

where Δ_M , ϵ_M and S_M are respectively the related coproduct, co-unit and antipode, is dual to the momentum Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_λ , denoted by \mathcal{T}_λ . This is insured provided the following relations are verified:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Delta(P), x \otimes y \rangle &= \langle P, x \star y \rangle = \langle P_{(1)}, x \rangle \langle P_{(2)}, y \rangle \\ \langle PQ, x \rangle &= \langle P \otimes Q, \Delta_M(x) \rangle = \langle P, x_{(1)} \rangle \langle Q, x_{(2)} \rangle \\ \langle S(P), x \rangle &= \langle P, S_M(x) \rangle\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{T}_\tau$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{M}_\tau$ where in the RHS of the two first relations we used the obvious Sweedler notation, e.g. $\Delta(P) = \sum P_{(1)} \otimes P_{(2)}$. In these relations, the bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{M}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ denotes the dual pairing which is given by

$$\langle P_\mu, x^\nu \rangle = -i\delta_\mu^\nu.\tag{4.7}$$

The general expressions for the coproducts and antipodes can be obtained by first computing the twisted Leibnitz rules of the (twisted) derivations P_μ with action (4.3) on the general expression for the star-product (3.1). After a standard calculation, we find

$$\Delta(P_\mu) = P_\mu \otimes 1 + A(P_M)^\nu{}_\mu \otimes P_\nu,\tag{4.8}$$

together with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(M_{\mu\nu}) &= \Delta(L_\mu P_\nu) - \Delta(L_\nu P_\mu) \\ &= M_{\mu\nu} \otimes \mathbb{I} + [A(-\lambda P_M)_\mu{}^\beta A(P_M)^\alpha{}_\nu - A(-\lambda P_M)_\nu{}^\beta A(P_M)^\alpha{}_\mu] \otimes L_\beta P_\alpha \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(g_{\mu\gamma} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma} A^\alpha{}_\sigma \right] (\lambda P_M) A(-\lambda P_M)^{\sigma\beta} P_\nu - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g_{\nu\gamma} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma} A^\alpha{}_\sigma \right] (\lambda P_M) A(-\lambda P_M)^{\sigma\beta} P_\mu \right) \otimes L_\beta P_\alpha,\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

where terms involving $L_\beta P_\alpha$ show up in the RHS and may or may not recombine to form generators $M_{\mu\nu}$ depending on the expression of the matrix $A(P_M)$. In this latter case, the primitive elements $(P_\mu, M_{\mu\nu})$ do not close to a (Hopf) algebra and must be supplemented by elements of the type $L_\mu P_\nu$ so their coproducts must supplement the coproduct (4.9). Additionally, notice that the contraction of the γ index $g_{\mu\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma}$ in (4.9) does not follow the standard tensorial construction where vector and covector components contract. This Lorentz covariance violation can be traced all the way back to the Fourier transform in our star product. Our Fourier transform has exponential functions given as

$$e^{\pm i(p^M x^M + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x})}$$

which is a different convention from the usual, Lorentz covariant, one

$$e^{\pm ip^\mu x^\nu g_{\mu\nu}} .$$

Finally, concerning this nonstandard index contraction, it is not surprising something like that appeared because the star product itself is noncovariant and heavily reliant on the coordinate system in which it is applied. Returning to the extended Hopf algebra construction, from now on, we set

$$L_{\mu\nu} := L_\mu P_\nu, \quad (4.10)$$

and the new set of elements of the Hopf algebra becomes spanned by $\{P_\mu, M_{\mu\nu}, L_{\mu\nu}\}$. Using this notation, one can also compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(L_{\mu\nu}) &= L_{\mu\nu} \otimes \mathbb{I} + A(-\lambda P_M)_\mu{}^\beta A(P_M)^\alpha{}_\nu \otimes L_\beta P_\alpha \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(g_{\mu\gamma} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma} A^\alpha{}_\sigma \right] (\lambda P_M) A(-\lambda P_M)^{\sigma\beta} P_\nu \right) \otimes L_\beta P_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

The final Hopf algebra structure is obtained from the following co-unit given by

$$\epsilon(P_\mu) = \epsilon(M_{\mu\nu}) = \epsilon(L_{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

for which it is easy that the following holds

$$\epsilon(A(P_M)^\mu{}_\nu) = A(0)^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu, \quad (4.13)$$

and the antipode given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(P_\mu) &= - (A^{-1})^\nu{}_\mu (\lambda P_M) P_\nu \\ S(A^\nu{}_\mu (\lambda P_M)) &= A^\nu{}_\mu (-\lambda P_M) \\ S(M_{\mu\nu}) &= - \left[A^\alpha{}_\nu (-\lambda P_M) A_\mu{}^\beta (\lambda P_M) - A^\alpha{}_\mu (-\lambda P_M) A_\nu{}^\beta (\lambda P_M) \right] L_\beta P_\alpha \\ &\quad - \left[\lambda g_{\mu\gamma} A_\nu{}^\rho (-\lambda P_M) A^{\sigma\beta} (\lambda P_M) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma} A^\alpha{}_\sigma \right) (-\lambda P_M) P_\rho \right] L_\beta P_\alpha \\ &\quad + \left[\lambda g_{\nu\gamma} A_\mu{}^\rho (-\lambda P_M) A^{\sigma\beta} (\lambda P_M) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma} A^\alpha{}_\sigma \right) (-\lambda P_M) P_\rho \right] L_\beta P_\alpha \\ S(L_{\mu\nu}) &= - \left[A^\alpha{}_\nu (-\lambda P_M) A_\mu{}^\beta (\lambda P_M) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda g_{\mu\gamma} A_\nu{}^\rho (-\lambda P_M) A^{\sigma\beta} (\lambda P_M) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\gamma} A^\alpha{}_\sigma \right) (-\lambda P_M) P_\rho \right] L_\beta P_\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

One can verify that the co-associativity and co-unit conditions for Δ and ϵ hold true, namely $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$, $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{id}$, while S (4.14) verifies $m \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \eta \circ \epsilon$.

Let us now discuss the above results. First, upon using the expressions for $\Delta(P_\mu)$ and $S(P_\mu)$ from (4.8) and (4.14), along with (4.5), one verifies that the relations (4.6) hold true so that \mathcal{M}_λ and \mathcal{T}_λ are dual as Hopf algebras. Indeed, the first two relations (4.6) are trivially verified as well as the third relation when $\mu = M$. When $\mu \in n$, one has $\langle S(P_\mu), x_\nu \rangle = \langle -(A^{-1})^\rho{}_\mu (P_M) P_\rho, x_\nu \rangle = -\langle P_\mu, x_\nu \rangle$ where the last equality stems from the expansion of $A(-\tau P_M)^\rho{}_\mu$ as $A(-\tau P_M)^\rho{}_\mu = 1^\rho{}_\mu + (\text{terms with at least 1 } P \text{ operator})$ combined with (4.3).

Next, one verifies after a tedious but standard computation that the quantum space-times corresponding to the cases 13, 14 15, 16¹² of [10] (and summarized in Table 1) are all left-module algebras over a deformation of the usual Poincaré Hopf algebra for the action given by (4.3), as (4.1) and (4.2) are satisfied. Recall that the cases 13, 14 15, 16 correspond to unimodular groups with a natural trace given by the usual Lebesgue integral, as shown in Section 2 (see also Table 1).

Then, for any classical action functional of the form $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$, where the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ depends on (smooth) fields, one can easily realize by using (4.3) that for any $t \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda$

$$t \blacktriangleright \int d^4x \mathcal{L} := \int d^4x t \triangleright \mathcal{L} = \epsilon(t) \int d^4x \mathcal{L}, \quad (4.15)$$

which expresses the invariance of the action functional S under the deformed Poincaré Hopf algebra. Notice, as already mentioned in Section 3.1.1, that the cases 13, 14 and 15 are related to the ρ -Minkowski quantum space-time already considered in [9]-[28]. Thus, (4.15) recovers the ρ -Poincaré invariance of the action functional.

As far as the remaining cases are concerned, one can verify by a standard but tedious computation that the set of generators $(P_\mu, M_{\mu\nu})$ must be enlarged by additional generators $L_{\mu\nu}$ (4.10) in order for the Lie and Hopf algebraic structures describing the deformed relativistic symmetries to close.

The resulting Lie algebra is known as $\mathfrak{igl}(1, 3)$ defined by

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0, [L_{\mu\nu}, L_{\lambda\rho}] = \eta_{\nu\lambda}L_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho}L_{\nu\lambda}, [L_{\mu\nu}, P_\lambda] = -P_\nu\eta_{\mu\lambda}. \quad (4.16)$$

In each case, the relations defining the deformed Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_λ can be obtained by a standard computation from (4.8)-(4.14) combined with the suitable $A(p^M)$ matrix given in the Table 1.

One easily observes that any action functional of the form $S = \int d^d x \mathcal{L}$ still satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu \blacktriangleright \int d^4x \mathcal{L} &:= \int d^4x P_\mu \triangleright \mathcal{L} = \epsilon(P_\mu) \int d^4x \mathcal{L} = 0, \\ M_{\mu\nu} \blacktriangleright \int d^4x \mathcal{L} &:= \int d^4x M_{\mu\nu} \triangleright \mathcal{L} = \epsilon(M_{\mu\nu}) \int d^4x \mathcal{L} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

so that the invariance under the deformed Poicaré symmetry still holds while one has however $L_{\mu\nu} \blacktriangleright \int d^4x \mathcal{L} \neq 0$ unless $\mu \neq \nu$. so that the invariance of the action under the full symmetry modeled by \mathcal{H}_λ is lost.

5 Discussion and conclusion

Let us summarize the main points of this paper.

We have constructed star-products and related involutions characterizing the $*$ -algebras modeling 11 quantum Minkowski space-times derived from [7], [10], using for that purpose the properties of convolution algebras for the Lie groups related to the coordinates Lie algebras of these quantum space-times combined with the Weyl quantization. The quantum

¹²Note that although the coordinates algebras for the cases 12 and 16 are isomorphic as Lie algebras, the quantum space-time for the case 12 is not a left-module algebra over a deformation of the Hopf Poincaré algebra

space-times we have considered correspond to the non-centrally extended Lie algebras of coordinates out of the 17 classes of quantum space-times derived in [10].

These star-products and involutions can be expressed through rather simple expressions, roughly speaking Fourier transforms of convolution products, so that they appear to be conveniently designed to be used in the construction of field theories on these so far poorly explored quantum Minkowski space-times.

When the Lie algebra of coordinates corresponds to an unimodular Lie group, we have shown that the usual Lebesgue integral is a natural choice for a trace, while for non-unimodular groups, the notion of trace must be traded for a "twisted trace," which is known in the mathematical literature as a KMS weight. Note that the appearance of a KMS weight [25] has already been exploited in field theories and gauge theories on κ -Minkowski spaces [29]-[33]. Recall that the KMS weight is an important tool in the Tomita-Takesaki modular theory [34] which plays a salient role in the overall set-up of the interesting thermal time hypothesis [35], [36] which is still under debate. For a very recent related study within κ -Minkowski space-time extending the analysis [21], see [37]. Note that all the twists defining the various KMS weights arising in the present analysis are similar to the one showing up in [29], as it is apparent from (3.38), to be compared to the expression for the twist of [29], [37]. It would be interesting to examine if some physical features could emerge from the various KMS weights arising in some of the quantum space-times considered in this paper.

Finally, we have characterized the Hopf algebras, denoted generically by \mathcal{H}_λ , modeling the deformed relativistic symmetries, which appear to be either a deformation of the usual (Hopf) Poincaré algebra or a deformation of $\mathfrak{igl}(1, 3)$ (Hopf) algebra. This is achieved by requiring that the $*$ -algebras modeling the quantum space-times are left-modules algebras over \mathcal{H}_λ . We have found that any action functional $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$ remains invariant under the deformed Poincaré symmetry.

The present framework involves a relevant material to construct and study easily classical and quantum properties of field theories and gauge theories built on various interesting quantum Minkowski space-times which are almost unexplored so far. This opens the way for related systematic studies which we will present in forthcoming publications.

References

- [1] A. Addazi *et al.*, "Quantum gravity phenomenology at the dawn of the multi-messenger era - A review", Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys. **125** (2022) 103948, DOI:10.1016/j.pnpnp.2022.103948, arXiv:2111.05659.
- [2] R. Alves Batista *et al.*, "White Paper and Roadmap for Quantum Gravity Phenomenology in the Multi-Messenger Era, Class. Quantum Grav. **42** (2025) 032001. DOI:10.1088/1361-6382/ad605a
- [3] For a review on κ -deformation, see e.g. J. Lukierski, " κ -deformations: historical developments and recent results", Jour. Phys. Conf. Ser. **804** (2017) 012028, DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/804/1/012028, arXiv:1611.10213.

- [4] For a review on Doubly Special Relativity, see e.g. J. Kowalski-Glikman, “*Introduction to Doubly Special Relativity*”, Springer-Verlag (2005) 131-159, DOI:10.1007/11377306_5, arXiv:hep-th/0405273.
- [5] G. Amelino-Camelia, L. Freidel, J. Kowalski-Glikman, L. Smolin, ” *The principle of relative locality*”, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 084010, DOI:10.1103/physrevd.84.084010, arXiv:1101.0931.
- [6] G. Gubitosi and F. Mercati, “*Relative locality in κ -Poincaré*”, Class. Quant. Grav. **30** (2013) 145002, DOI:10.1088/0264-9381/30/14/145002, arXiv:1106.5710.
- [7] S. Zakrzewski, “*Poisson Structures on the Poincaré Group*,” Commun. Math. Phys. **185** (1997) 285–311.
- [8] S. Zakrzewski, Lett. Math. Phys. **32** (1994) 11.
- [9] J. Lukierski and M. Woronowicz, ” *New Lie-algebraic and quadratic deformations of Minkowski space from twisted Poincare symmetries*”, Phys. Lett. B **633** (2006) 116.
- [10] F. Mercati, ” *T-Minkowski Noncommutative Spacetimes I: Poincaré Groups, Differential Calculi, and Braiding*”, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Volume 2024, Issue 7, July 2024, 073B06. F. Mercati, ” *T-Minkowski noncommutative spacetimes II: classical field theory*”, arXiv:2404.08729(2024).
- [11] M. Dimitrijević Ćirić, N. Konjik and A. Samsarov, “*Noncommutative scalar quasinormal modes of the Reissner-Nordström black hole*”, Class. Quant. Grav. **35** (2018) 175005, DOI:10.1088/1361-6382/aad201, arXiv:1708.04066.
- [12] M. Dimitrijević Ćirić, N. Konjik and A. Samsarov, “*Noncommutative scalar field in the nonextremal Reissner-Nordström background: Quasinormal mode spectrum*”, Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020) 116009, DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.116009, arXiv:1904.04053.
- [13] F. Lizzi, L. Scala and P. Vitale, “*Localization and observers in ρ -Minkowski space-time*”, Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022), DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.025023, arXiv:2205.10862.
- [14] F. Lizzi, P. Vitale, “*Time discretization from noncommutativity*”, Phys. Lett. B **818** (2021) 136372, DOI:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136372, arXiv:2101.06633.
- [15] M. Dimitrijević Ćirić, N. Konjik, M. A. Kurkov, F. Lizzi and P. Vitale, “*Noncommutative field theory from angular twist*”, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018), DOI:10.1103/physrevd.98.085011, arXiv:1806.06678.
- [16] K. Hersent, J.-C. Wallet, ” *Field theories on ρ -deformed Minkowski space-time* ”, JHEP **07** (2023) 031. DOI:10.1007/JHEP07(2023)031.
- [17] V. Maris, J.-C. Wallet, ” *Gauge theory on ρ -Minkowski space-time* ”, JHEP **07** (2024) 119. DOI:10.1007/JHEP07(2024)119.
- [18] J. von Neumann, “*Die Eindeutigkeit der Schrödingerschen Operatoren*” (in German), Math. Ann. **104** (1931) 570, DOI:10.1007/BF01457956.
- [19] H. Weyl, “*Quantenmechanik und Gruppentheorie*” (in German), Zeitschrift für Physik **46** (1927) 1, DOI:10.1007/BF02055756.

- [20] B. Durhuus, A. Sitarz, "Star product realizations of kappa-Minkowski space", J. Noncommut. Geom. **7** (2013) 605. J.-C. Wallet, "Exact Partition Functions for Gauge Theories on \mathbb{R}_λ^3 ", Nucl. Phys. B912 (2016) 354.
- [21] T. Poulain, J.-C. Wallet, " κ -Poincaré invariant quantum field theories with Kubo-Martin-Schwinger weight", Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) 025002.
- [22] T. Poulain and J.-C. Wallet, " κ -Poincaré invariant orientable field theories at one-loop", Journal of High Energy Physics **2019** (2019), DOI:10.1007/JHEP01(2019)064, arXiv:1808.00350.
- [23] K. Hersent, P. Mathieu, J.-C. Wallet, "Gauge theories on quantum spaces", Physics Reports **1014** (2023) 1-83, DOI:10.1016/j.physrep.2023.03.002 arxiv:2210.11890.
- [24] A. Connes, H. Moscovici, "Type III and spectral triples", in Traces in number theory, geometry and quantum fields, Aspects of Math. E38, Vieweg, Wiesbaden 2008, pp 57.
- [25] J. Kustermans, "KMS-weights on C^* -algebras", [funct-an/9704008] (1997). See also F. Combes, "Poids sur une C^* -algèbre" (in French), J. Math. pures et appl. **47** (1968) 57. See also F. Combes, "Poids sur une C^* -algèbre", Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. **47** (1968) 57.
- [26] Rory Biggs and Claudiu Rensing, "On the classification of real four-dimensional lie groups", Journal of Lie Theory, **26**:1001–1035, 05 2016.
- [27] F. Lizzi, L. Scala, and P. Vitale, "Localization and observers in ρ -Minkowski space-time", Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022) 025023.
- [28] G. Fabiano, G. Gubitosi, F. Lizzi, L. Scala, and P. Vitale, "Bicrossproduct vs. twist quantum symmetries in noncommutative geometries: the case of ρ -Minkowski," JHEP **08** (2023) 220.
- [29] P. Mathieu and J.-C. Wallet, "Gauge theories on κ -Minkowski spaces: Twist and modular operators", Journal of High Energy Physics **05** (2020) 112 DOI:10.1007/JHEP05(2020)112, arXiv:2002.02309.
- [30] P. Mathieu and J.-C. Wallet, "Single Extra Dimension from κ -Poincaré and Gauge Invariance", JHEP **03** (2021) 209 DOI:10.1007/JHEP03(2021)209, arXiv:2007.14187.
- [31] P. Mathieu, J.-C. Wallet, "Twisted BRST symmetry in gauge theories on κ -Minkowski", Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 086018. DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.086018
- [32] K. Hersent, P. Mathieu, J.-C. Wallet, "Algebraic structures in κ -Poincaré invariant gauge theories", Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **19** (2022) 2250078 DOI:10.1142/S0219887822500785
- [33] K. Hersent, P. Mathieu, J.-C. Wallet, "Quantum instability of gauge theories on κ -Minkowski space", Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) 106013 DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.106013.
- [34] M. Takesaki, "Theory of Operator Algebras I-III", EMS Vols. 124, 125, 127, Springer 2002. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-6188-9.

- [35] C. Rovelli, " *Statistical mechanics of gravity and the thermodynamical origin of time*", Class. Quant. Grav. **10** (1993) 1549. See also C. Rovelli, " *The statistical state of the universe*", Class. Quant. Grav. **10** (1993) 1567.
- [36] A. Connes, C. Rovelli, " *Von Neumann algebra automorphisms and time-thermodynamics relation in generally covariant quantum theories*", Class. Quant. Grav. **11** (1994) 12.
- [37] K. HERSENT, " *Thermal time of noncommutative Minkowski spacetime* ", arXiv:2502.12750 (2025).
- [38] Williams, Dana P " *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*", American Mathematical Soc. (2007)
- [39] Folland, Gerald B " *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*", CRC press. (2016)