

Integrable deformed H_4 WZW models and their non-Abelian duals as solutions of generalized supergravity equations

Ali Eghbali¹, Simin Ghasemi-Sorkhabi², Adel Rezaei-Aghdam³

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Basic Sciences,
Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, 53714-161, Tabriz, Iran*

We show that the Yang-Baxter (YB) deformed backgrounds of the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) model based on the H_4 Lie group can be considered as solutions of the generalized supergravity equations (GSEs). Then, using the Poisson-Lie T-duality approach in the presence of spectators, we obtain the non-Abelian duals of those models. It is shown that all dual models (except for one model) are integrable and satisfy the GSEs.

Keywords: Generalized supergravity, σ -model, String duality, Wess-Zumino-Witten model, Yang-Baxter deformation

¹eghbali978@gmail.com

²s.ghassemi.s@gmail.com

³Corresponding author:rezaei-a@azaruniv.ac.ir

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The YB deformed H_4 WZW models as solutions of the GSEs	3
2.1	A short review of the GSEs	4
2.2	An example: the case of $H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}$.II background as solution of the GSEs	6
3	Non-Abelian T-duals of the YB deformed H_4 WZW backgrounds	8
3.1	The non-Abelian T-dualization of the background $H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}$.II	10
3.1.1	The original model as the deformed one	10
3.1.2	The dual model	11
4	Integrability of the T-dual σ-models	14
4.1	A short review of the integrability of σ -model	14
4.2	Integrability of non-Abelian T-dual models	15
4.2.1	An example: dual background $H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}$.II	16
5	Non-Abelian T-duals of YB deformed H_4 WZW model as solutions of the GSEs	18
6	Conclusions	18

1 Introduction

Over the last forty five years, significant interest has developed around integrable two-dimensional σ -models and their deformations [1–3]. The initial presentation of the integrable deformation of the principal chiral model on $SU(2)$ can be found in refs. [4–7]. Klimcik introduced the YB deformation of the chiral model [8–10]. The YB σ -model is based on R -operators, which satisfy the (modified) classical YB equations (mCYBE) [11–15]. The application of these integrable deformations to string theory specially the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string model has been discussed in [12, 16, 17] (see, also, [18–20]). It has been shown that for homogeneous YB deformed models [21] there is no Weyl anomaly if the R -operators are unimodular (see, also, [22] up to two-loop, and [23]). In [24], the relationship between unimodularity condition on R -matrices with the divergence-free of the noncommutative parameter Θ of the dual noncommutative gauge theory has been mentioned; moreover, it has been shown that [25] the GSEs [26, 27] reproduce the CYBE in such a way that Θ is the most general r -matrix solution built from anti-symmetric products of Killing vectors. The r -matrices may be sorted into Abelian and non-Abelian types, and it has been proved that Abelian r -matrices are associated with T-duality shift T-duality transformations [28], thus ensuring that the corresponding YB deformation is a supergravity solution. Regarding non-Abelian r -matrices, the

unimodularity condition on the r -matrix [21] distinguishes valid supergravity backgrounds [29] from the solutions of GSEs [26, 27]. The Weyl invariance of bosonic string theories in generalized supergravity backgrounds was demonstrated at one-loop order by construction of a local counterterm [30, 31]. The main difference between standard supergravity and GSEs is the nonexistence of a scalar dilaton. Artyunov and his colleagues introduced the GSEs to examine integrable deformations of the type II superstring σ -model $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ which is closely linked to non-Abelian T-duality transformations [26]. GSEs in string theory include additional vector field I, compared to standard type IIB supergravity, while the corresponding classical action is unknown, the equations of motion are established. The solutions of standard supergravity can be mapped to the solutions of GSEs via T-duality, highlighting the equal importance of both in string theory [27]. Tseytlin and Wulff demonstrated that the GSEs can be derived by solving the κ -symmetry constraints of the Green-Schwarz action [27].

In our previous work [32] we showed that the BTZ metric [33, 34] is a solution of GSEs. Also in [35] we showed that the backgrounds of WZW models on Lie groups $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, H_4 , $\text{A}_{4,10}$ and $\text{A}_{5,3}$ [36] are solutions of GSEs. Here we will show that the YB deformed backgrounds of H_4 [14] can be solutions of GSEs. Then, using Poisson-Lie T-duality with spectator fields, we will obtain the non-Abelian duality of these model and show that all of the dual models (except for one model) are integrable and satisfy GSEs.

The plan of paper is as follows: In section 2, we present a brief summary of the GSEs, where important formulas are outlined. Then, it is shown that the backgrounds of YB deformations of the H_4 WZW model constructed in [14] (Table 1) are the solutions of the GSEs, the results are summarized in Table 2. As in [15] in section 3 we will show that the YB deformed models are fundamentally equivalent to non-Abelian T-dual σ -models; moreover, in this section we present the spectator-dependent background matrices for all the deformed models in Table 3. At the end of section 3, we obtain the non-Abelian T-dual spaces of the deformed models. In section 4, by using the method given in [37], we discuss the integrability of the non-Abelian T-dual σ -models corresponding to the deformed backgrounds of H_4 WZW model. In this way, the corresponding lax pairs are presented in Table 5. In section 5, we obtain the non-Abelian T-dual backgrounds of the deformed H_4 WZW models, in such a way that the corresponding vector field and dilaton field are presented in Table 6. The last section is devoted to the final discussion of the results.

2 The YB deformed H_4 WZW models as solutions of the GSEs

In this section, we first give a brief review of the GSEs. Then, by using the YB deformed backgrounds of the H_4 WZW model, which have been classified into ten distinct classes in ref. [14] (summarized in Table 1), we demonstrate that all of these models are solutions of the GSEs. The results include YB deformed H_4 WZW models as solutions of GSEs and their corresponding vector fields and one-forms are presented in Table 2. We will discuss this process in detail by solving $\text{H}_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}$.II case.

2.1 A short review of the GSEs

The bosonic GSEs in D dimensions in the absence of the Ramond-Ramond fields take the following form [19]:

$$\mathcal{R}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4}H_{MPQ}H^{PQ}{}_N + \nabla_M X_N + \nabla_N X_M = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla^R H_{RMN} - X^R H_{RMN} - \nabla_M X_N + \nabla_N X_M = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{12}H^2 + 4\nabla_M X^M - 4X_M X^M - 4\Lambda = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathcal{R}_{MN} and \mathcal{R} are the respective Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of the metric G_{MN} , and Λ is the cosmological constant. Here, the D -dimensional indices M, N, \dots of coordinates x^M of manifold \mathcal{M} are raised or lowered with the metric G_{MN} . The covariant derivative ∇_M is the conventional Levi-Civita connection associated to G_{MN} . The X_M are related to the one-form Z via $X_M = I_M + Z_M$, such that the vector field $I = I^M \partial_M$ and a one-form $Z = Z_M dx^M$ are defined so as to satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_I G_{MN} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_I B_{MN} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\nabla_M Z_N - \nabla_N Z_M + I^R H_{RMN} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

$$I^M Z_M = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where \mathcal{L} stands for the Lie derivative. The field strength H_{MNP} corresponding to anti-symmetric tensor field B_{MN} (B-field) is defined as

$$H_{MNP} = \partial_M B_{NP} + \partial_N B_{PM} + \partial_P B_{MN}. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that the conventional dilaton is included in Z_M as follows:⁴

$$Z_M = \partial_M \Phi + B_{NM} I^N, \quad (2.9)$$

where Φ is a scalar dilaton field. Of course, one may use (2.9) to rewrite (2.7) in the form of $I^M \partial_M \Phi = 0$. A remarkable point is that for $I^M = 0$, one gets that $X_M = \partial_M \Phi$, and thus, in this case we have to the usual supergravity equations (corresponding to the one-loop beta function equations in σ -model language [29]). In the next subsection, we show that YB deformed backgrounds of the H_4 WZW models can be considered as solutions of the GSEs ((2.1)-(2.7)). Backgrounds include metric and B-field are presented in Table 1.

⁴It seems to be of interest to define the line element and B -field corresponding to G_{MN} and B_{MN} in the coordinate basis. They are, respectively, read

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= G_{MN} d\phi^M d\phi^N, \\ B &= \frac{1}{2} B_{MN} d\phi^M \wedge d\phi^N. \end{aligned}$$

Table 1. YB deformed backgrounds of the H_4 WZW model [14] and their Killing vectors

Background symbol	Backgrounds including metric and B-field	Killing vectors
$H_4^{(\kappa)}.I$	$ds^2 = \rho dx^2 - 2dx dv + 2e^x dy du,$ $B = \kappa y e^x du \wedge dx$	$K_1 = -\partial_x + u\partial_u - \rho\partial_v,$ $K_2 = e^{-x}\partial_y - u\partial_v,$ $K_3 = \partial_y,$ $K_4 = y\partial_y - u\partial_u,$ $K_5 = e^{-x}\partial_u - y\partial_v,$ $K_6 = \partial_u,$ $K_7 = -\partial_v.$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}.II$	$ds^2 = (\rho - 2\eta^2)dx^2 - 2dx dv + 2e^x dy du,$ $B = \kappa y e^x du \wedge dx$	$K_1 = -\partial_x + u\partial_u + (2\eta^2 - \rho)\partial_v,$ $K_2 = e^{-x}\partial_y - u\partial_v,$ $K_3 = \partial_y,$ $K_4 = y\partial_y - u\partial_u,$ $K_5 = e^{-x}\partial_u - y\partial_v,$ $K_6 = \partial_u,$ $K_7 = -\partial_v.$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.III$	$ds^2 = \rho dx^2 - 2dx dv + 2e^x dy du - \rho\eta^2 e^{2x} du^2,$ $B = \kappa y e^x du \wedge dx + \tilde{A} e^x dv \wedge du$	$K_1 = -\partial_x + u\partial_u - \rho\partial_v,$ $K_2 = e^{-x}\partial_y - u\partial_v,$ $K_3 = -\frac{1}{\rho\eta^2}\partial_u,$ $K_4 = \partial_y,$ $K_5 = (x-1)\partial_y - \frac{e^{-x}}{\rho\eta^2}\partial_u + \frac{y}{\rho\eta^2}\partial_v,$ $K_6 = -\partial_v,$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.IV$	$ds^2 = \frac{1}{1-\eta^2}[\rho dx^2 - 2dx dv - 2\eta^2 y e^x dx du] + 2e^x dy du,$ $B = (\kappa - \frac{\tilde{A}}{1-\eta^2})y e^x du \wedge dx$	$K_1 = (\eta^2 - 1)\partial_x - y\eta^2\partial_y + u\partial_u + \rho(\eta^2 - 1)\partial_v,$ $K_2 = e^{-x}\partial_y - u\partial_v,$ $K_3 = e^{-\frac{x\eta^2}{(\eta^2-1)}}\partial_y,$ $K_4 = y\partial_y - u\partial_u,$ $K_5 = \partial_u,$ $K_6 = e^{\frac{x}{(\eta^2-1)}}\partial_u - e^{\frac{x\eta^2}{(\eta^2-1)}}\partial_v,$ $K_7 = (\eta^2 - 1)\partial_v.$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.V$	$ds^2 = \rho dx^2 - 2dx dv + 2e^x dy du - \frac{4\eta^2}{1-\eta^2}e^x dx du,$ $B = (\kappa + \tilde{A})y e^x du \wedge dx$	$K_1 = -\partial_x + \frac{2\eta^2}{\eta^2-1}\partial_y + u\partial_u - \rho\partial_v,$ $K_2 = \partial_y,$ $K_3 = e^{-x}\partial_y - u\partial_v,$ $K_4 = \frac{\eta^2(y-2+2x)-y}{\eta^2-1}\partial_y - u\partial_u,$ $K_5 = e^{-x}\partial_u - \frac{\eta^2(y-2+2x)-y}{\eta^2-1}\partial_v,$ $K_6 = \partial_u,$ $K_7 = -\partial_v.$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.VI$	$ds^2 = \rho dx^2 - 2dx dv + 2e^x dy du - \frac{2\rho\eta^2}{1-\eta^2}e^x dx du - \frac{\rho\eta^2}{1-\eta^2}e^{2x} du^2,$ $B = (\kappa + \tilde{A})y e^x du \wedge dx + \tilde{A} e^x dv \wedge du$	$K_1 = -\partial_x + \frac{\rho\eta^2}{\eta^2-1}\partial_y + u\partial_u - \rho\partial_v,$ $K_2 = e^{-x}\partial_y - u\partial_v,$ $K_3 = \frac{(\eta^2-1)}{\rho\eta^2}\partial_u,$ $K_4 = \partial_y,$ $K_5 = (x-1)\partial_y + \frac{e^{-x}(\eta^2-1)}{\rho\eta^2}\partial_u - \frac{-\rho\eta^2-y+y\eta^2+x\rho\eta^2}{\rho\eta^2}\partial_v,$ $K_6 = -\partial_v.$

Table 1. Continued.

Background symbol	Backgrounds including metric and B-field	Killing vectors
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.VII$	$ds^2 = \frac{\rho}{1+\eta^2} dx^2 - 2dx dv$ $+ 2e^x dy du - \frac{\rho \eta^2}{1+\eta^2} e^{2x} du^2,$ $B = \kappa y e^x du \wedge dx + \tilde{A} e^x dv \wedge du$	$K_1 = -\partial_x + u \partial_u - \frac{\rho}{\eta^2+1} \partial_v,$ $K_2 = e^{-x} \partial_y - u \partial_v,$ $K_3 = -\frac{\eta^2+1}{\rho \eta^2} \partial_u,$ $K_4 = \partial_y,$ $K_5 = (x-1) \partial_y - \frac{e^{-x}(\eta^2+1)}{\rho \eta^2} \partial_u$ $+ \frac{y(\eta^2+1)}{\rho \eta^2} \partial_v,$ $K_6 = -\partial_v.$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}.VIII$	$ds^2 = (1-\eta^2)(\rho dx^2 - 2dx dv)$ $+ 2e^x dy du + 2\eta^2 y e^x dx du,$ $B = \kappa y e^x du \wedge dx$	$K_1 = \frac{1}{\eta^2-1} (\partial_x - y \eta^2 \partial_y + \rho \partial_v)$ $+ u \partial_u,$ $K_2 = e^{-x} \partial_y - u \partial_v,$ $K_3 = e^{-x \eta^2} \partial_y,$ $K_4 = y \partial_y - u \partial_u,$ $K_5 = \partial_u,$ $K_6 = e^{x(\eta^2-1)} \partial_u - y e^{x \eta^2} \partial_v,$ $K_7 = \frac{1}{\eta^2-1} \partial_v.$
$H_{4,q}^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.IX$	$ds^2 = \frac{1-\eta^2}{1-\eta^2 q^4} (\rho dx^2 - 2dx dv)$ $+ 2e^x dy du + \frac{2\eta^2(1-q^4)}{1-\eta^2 q^4} y e^x dx du,$ $B = \left[\kappa - \frac{\tilde{A} q^2(1-\eta^2)}{1-\eta^2 q^4} \right] y e^x du \wedge dx$	$K_1 = -\frac{(\eta^2 q^4 - 1)}{\eta^2 - 1} (\partial_x + \rho \partial_v)$ $+ \frac{y \eta^2 (q^4 - 1)}{\eta^2 - 1} \partial_y + u \partial_u$ $K_2 = e^{-x} \partial_y - u \partial_v,$ $K_3 = e^{-\frac{x(q^4-1)\eta^2}{(\eta^2 q^4 - 1)}} \partial_y,$ $K_4 = y \partial_y - u \partial_u,$ $K_5 = \partial_u,$ $K_6 = e^{-\frac{x(\eta^2-1)}{(\eta^2 q^4 - 1)}} \partial_u - y e^{\frac{x(q^4-1)y}{(\eta^2 q^4 - 1)}} \partial_v,$ $K_7 = -\frac{(\eta^2 q^4 - 1)}{\eta^2 - 1} \partial_v.$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \tilde{A})}.X$	$ds^2 = \rho dx^2 - 2dx dv + 2e^x dy du,$ $B = (\kappa - \tilde{A}) y e^x du \wedge dx$	$K_1 = -\partial_x + u \partial_u - \rho \partial_v,$ $K_2 = e^{-x} \partial_y - u \partial_v,$ $K_3 = \partial_y,$ $K_4 = y \partial_y - u \partial_u,$ $K_5 = e^{-x} \partial_u - y \partial_v,$ $K_6 = \partial_u,$ $K_7 = -\partial_v.$

2.2 An example: the case of $H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}.II$ background as solution of the GSEs

Here, we shall investigate that the YB deformed $H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}.II$ background is a solution of GSEs. According to Table 1, the background of model corresponding metric and B-field is given by

$$ds^2 = (\rho - 2\eta^2) dx^2 - 2dx dv + 2e^x dy du, \quad (2.10)$$

$$B = \kappa y e^x du \wedge dx, \quad (2.11)$$

where (x, y, u, v) are coordinates on the Lie group H_4 , ρ is the parameter of the metric of the Lie algebra h_4 , while η is deformation parameter. In the next step and to complete the

calculations, the Killing vectors of the metric (2.10) are required. They can be obtained by solving Killing equations, $\mathcal{L}_{K_a} G_{MN} = 0$. The Killing vectors of metric (2.10) are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= -\partial_x + u \partial_u + (2\eta^2 - \rho) \partial_v, \\
K_2 &= e^{-x} \partial_y - u \partial_v, \\
K_3 &= \partial_y, \\
K_4 &= y \partial_y - u \partial_u, \\
K_5 &= e^{-x} \partial_u - y \partial_v, \\
K_6 &= \partial_u, \\
K_7 &= -\partial_v.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Now we put the Killing vectors (2.12) to construct an appropriate vector field I by using

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i K_i, \tag{2.13}$$

where n stands for the number of Killing vectors of the metric, and α_i 's are some constant parameters. Applying this linear combination in the GSE equations (2.1)-(2.7), together with metric (2.10) and B-field (2.11) we find two class of the solutions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= (e^{-x} \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) \partial_u - (\alpha_5 y + \alpha_7) \partial_v, \\
\Phi &= y(\alpha_5 - \alpha_6 e^x) + c_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

for some constant c_0 . By using (2.14) and corresponding B-field (2.11) of model and inserting in (2.9), Z can be written as

$$Z = \alpha_5 (y dx + dy),$$

The above solution satisfies equations (2.1)-(2.7) provided that $\Lambda = 0$, $\kappa = 1$. Other solution is

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= -\alpha_7 \partial_v, \\
Z &= \left[\frac{x}{4} (1 - \kappa^2) + c_2 \right] dx, \\
\Phi &= \frac{x^2}{8} (1 - \kappa^2) + c_1 + c_2 x, \quad (\Lambda = 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are real constants. In this manner, one can investigate that other nine deformed WZW models, as solutions of GSEs (2.1)-(2.9). The backgrounds and their Killing vectors are given in Table 1; moreover, the vector field I and one-form Z as solutions of GSE are given in Table 2.

Table 2. The YB deformed H_4 WZW models as solutions of the GSEs

H_4	Vector field I	One-form Z	Comments
$H_4^{(\kappa)}.I$	$-\alpha_1 \partial_x + \alpha_4 y \partial_y$	$[\alpha_7 - \frac{2\alpha_4 \alpha_7}{\alpha_1} + \alpha_1 \rho$	$\Lambda = -\frac{8\alpha_4}{\alpha_1} [2\alpha_1 \alpha_7$
	$+[(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4)u$ $+ \alpha_5 e^{-x} + \alpha_6] \partial_u$	$-2\alpha_4 \rho + \alpha_5 y] dx$ $+ [e^x (-\alpha_1 u + \alpha_4 u - \alpha_6) - \alpha_5] dy$	$-2\alpha_4 \alpha_7 + \alpha_1^2 \rho$ $-\alpha_1 \alpha_4 \rho],$
$H_4^{(\kappa)}.II$	$-(\alpha_1 \rho + \alpha_5 y + \alpha_7) \partial_v$	$+ y e^x \alpha_4 du + (-\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_4) dv$	$\kappa = 1$
	$-\alpha_7 \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - \kappa^2) - \kappa e^x y \alpha_6 + c_1] dx$ $+ [\frac{\alpha_5}{\kappa} - \kappa e^x \alpha_6] dy$	$\Lambda = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}.III$	$(e^{-x} \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) \partial_u$	$\alpha_5 y dx + \alpha_5 dy$	$\Lambda = 0,$
	$-(\alpha_5 y + \alpha_7) \partial_v$		$\kappa = 1$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.IV$	$-\alpha_7 \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - \kappa^2) + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = 0$
	$-\alpha_6 \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - \kappa^2) + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = \tilde{A} = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.V$	$\alpha_7 (\eta^2 - 1) \partial_v$	$\{-\frac{x}{4}[-1 + \kappa^2(\eta^2 - 1)^2]\} dx$	$\Lambda = \tilde{A} = 0$
	$\alpha_7 (\eta^2 - 1) \partial_v$	$\{-\frac{x}{4(\eta^2 - 1)^2}[-1$ $+ \tilde{A}^2 + \kappa^2(\eta^2 - 1)^2$ $+ 2\tilde{A}\kappa(\eta^2 - 1)] + c_2\} dx$	$\Lambda = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.VI$	$-\alpha_7 \partial_v$	$\{\frac{x}{4}[1 - (\kappa + \tilde{A})^2] + c_2\} dx$	$\Lambda = 0$
	$(\alpha_5 e^{-x} + \alpha_6) \partial_u$	$[\frac{2(\alpha_5 x - \alpha_6 e^x) \eta^2}{\eta^2 - 1} + \alpha_5 y + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = \tilde{A} = 0,$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.VII$	$+ [\frac{-\alpha_5 (y \eta^2 - 2\eta^2 + 2x \eta^2 - y)}{\eta^2 - 1}] \partial_v$	$+ (\alpha_5 - \alpha_6 e^x) dy$	$\kappa = 1$
	$-\alpha_7 \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - \kappa^2) + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = \tilde{A} = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.VIII$	$-\alpha_6 \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - \kappa^2) + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = \tilde{A} = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}.IX$	$-\alpha_6 \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - \kappa^2) + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = \tilde{A} = 0$
	$\frac{\alpha_7}{\eta^2 - 1} \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - \kappa^2 - 2\eta^2 + \eta^4) + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = 0$
$H_{4,q}^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.IX$	$-\frac{\alpha_7 (-1 + \eta^2 q^4)}{\eta^2 - 1} \partial_v$	$\{\frac{-x}{4(-1 + q^4 \eta^2)^2} [\tilde{A}^2 q^4 (\eta^2 - 1)^2$ $- 2\tilde{A} \kappa q^2 (\eta^2 - 1)(-1 + q^4 \eta^2)$ $+ \kappa^2 (-1 + q^4 \eta^2)^2$ $- (1 + (-2 + q^4) \eta^2)^2] + c_2\} dx$	$\Lambda = 0$
	$-\alpha_7 \partial_v$	$[\frac{x}{4}(1 - (\tilde{A} - \kappa)^2) + c_2] dx$	$\Lambda = 0$

3 Non-Abelian T-duals of the YB deformed H_4 WZW backgrounds

In ref. [15], we showed that all YB deformed WZW models on $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Lie group (Table 2 of ref. [15]) can be obtained as original models of a Poisson-Lie T-dual σ -models constructed on a 2+2-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} with the two-dimensional non-Abelian Lie group acting freely on \mathcal{M} , such that the dual Lie group is considered to be Abelian. Here we will obtain the non-Abelian T-dual spaces for the YB deformed WZW models on H_4 Lie group (Table 1). Before proceeding to this, let us give a brief review of the construction of Poisson-Lie T-dual σ -models in the presence of spectator fields [38, 39]. In the context of the Poisson-Lie duality,

the concept of the Drinfeld double is important. A Drinfeld double [40] is simply a Lie group D whose Lie algebra \mathcal{D} admits a decomposition $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ into a pair of sub-algebras such that with respect to a symmetric ad-invariant non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are maximally isotropic. The sub-algebras have the same dimension. We consider G and \tilde{G} as a pair of maximally isotropic subgroups corresponding to the subalgebras \mathcal{G} and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, and choose a basis in each of the sub-algebras as $T_a \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{T}^a \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}, a = 1, \dots, \dim G$, such that

$$\langle T_a, T_b \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b \rangle = 0, \quad \langle T_a, \tilde{T}^b \rangle = \delta_a^b. \quad (3.1)$$

The basis of the two sub-algebras \mathcal{G} and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfy the following commutation relations

$$[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c, \quad [\tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}^{ab}_c \tilde{T}^c, \quad [T_a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}^{bc}_a T_c + f^b_{ca} \tilde{T}^c, \quad (3.2)$$

where f^c_{ab} and \tilde{f}^{ab}_c are structure constants of \mathcal{G} and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, respectively. Noted that the Lie algebra structure defined by relation (3.2) is called Drinfeld double \mathcal{D} .

Consider now a non-linear σ -model with d field variables $x^M = (x^\mu, y^\alpha)$, where x^μ 's, $\mu = 1, \dots, \dim G$ are the coordinates of Lie group G acting freely on the manifold $\mathcal{M} \approx O \times G$, and y^α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, d - \dim G$ are the coordinates of the orbit O of G in \mathcal{M} . Note that the coordinates y^α do not participate in the Poisson-Lie T-duality transformations and are therefore called spectator fields [41]. The corresponding σ -model action has the form

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- \left[E_{ab}(g, y^\alpha) R_+^a R_-^b + \phi_{a\beta}^{(1)}(g, y^\alpha) R_+^a \partial_- y^\beta + \phi_{\alpha b}^{(2)}(g, y^\alpha) \partial_+ y^\alpha R_-^b + \phi_{\alpha\beta}(g, y^\alpha) \partial_+ y^\alpha \partial_- y^\beta \right]. \quad (3.3)$$

where R_\pm^a are the components of the right-invariant one-forms which are constructed by means of an element g of the Lie group G as

$$R_\pm = (\partial_\pm g g^{-1})^a T_a = R_\pm^a T_a = \partial_\pm x^\mu R_\mu^a T_a. \quad (3.4)$$

As shown, the couplings $E_{ab}, \phi_{a\alpha}^{(1)}, \phi_{\alpha b}^{(2)}$ and $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ may depend on all variables x^μ and y^α .

Similarly, we introduce dual σ -model for the d field variables $\tilde{x}^M = (\tilde{x}^\mu, y^\alpha)$, where \tilde{x}^μ 's parameterize an element $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$, whose dimension is equal to that of G , and the rest of the variables are the same y^α 's used in (3.3). We consider the components of the right-invariant forms on \tilde{G} as $(\partial_\pm \tilde{g} \tilde{g}^{-1})_a = \tilde{R}_{\pm a} = \partial_\pm \tilde{x}^\mu \tilde{R}_{\mu a}$. In this case, the corresponding action takes the following form

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- \left[\tilde{E}^{ab}(\tilde{g}, y^\alpha) \tilde{R}_{+a} \tilde{R}_{-b} + \tilde{\phi}^{(1)a}_\beta(\tilde{g}, y^\alpha) \tilde{R}_{+a} \partial_- y^\beta + \tilde{\phi}^{(2)b}_\alpha(\tilde{g}, y^\alpha) \partial_+ y^\alpha \tilde{R}_{-b} + \tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{g}, y^\alpha) \partial_+ y^\alpha \partial_- y^\beta \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

In the sense of Poisson-Lie T-duality, the σ -models (3.3) and (3.5) will be dual to each other [15, 38, 39] if the associated Lie algebras \mathcal{G} and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ form a Lie algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The

relation of the couplings $E_{ab}, \phi_{a\beta}^{(1)}, \phi_{\alpha b}^{(2)}$ and $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.3) has been given as following [38, 39, 41]

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (E_0^{-1} + \Pi)^{-1}, & \phi^{(1)} &= E E_0^{-1} F^{(1)}, \\ \phi^{(2)} &= F^{(2)} E_0^{-1} E, & \phi &= F - F^{(2)} \Pi E E_0^{-1} F^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

such that the couplings $E_0, F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}$ and F may be at most functions of the variables y^α only. Furthermore, $\Pi(g)$ defined by $\Pi^{ab}(g) = b^{ac}(g) (a^{-1})_c^b(g)$ is the Poisson structure on G so that matrices $a(g)$ and $b(g)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1} T_a g &= a_a^b(g) T_b, \\ g^{-1} \tilde{T}^a g &= b^{ab}(g) T_b + (a^{-1})_b^a(g) \tilde{T}^b. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The relationship between the couplings of the dual action $\tilde{E}^{ab}, \tilde{\phi}_{\beta}^{(1)a}, \tilde{\phi}_{\alpha}^{(2)b}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.5) and the original one is given by [38, 39, 41]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} &= (E_0 + \tilde{\Pi})^{-1}, & \tilde{\phi}^{(1)} &= \tilde{E} F^{(1)}, \\ \tilde{\phi}^{(2)} &= -F^{(2)} \tilde{E}, & \tilde{\phi} &= F - F^{(2)} \tilde{E} F^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Analogously, one can define matrices $\tilde{a}(\tilde{g}), \tilde{b}(\tilde{g})$ and $\tilde{\Pi}(\tilde{g})$ by just replacing the untilded symbols by tilded ones. In the following, by using the approach mentioned above, we will construct the non-Abelian T-dual space of the YB deformed backgrounds of the H_4 WZW model of Table 1. As an example, we discuss in details the non-Abelian T-dualization of the YB deformed background $H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}$.II.

3.1 The non-Abelian T-dualization of the background $H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}$.II

3.1.1 The original model as the deformed one

The original model is constructed on 2+2-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M} \approx O \times G$ in which G is considered to be the Lie group A_2 with Lie algebra \mathcal{A}_2 , while O is the orbit of G in \mathcal{M} . We use the coordinates $\{x_1, x_2\}$ for the A_2 , and employ $y^\alpha = \{y_1, y_2\}$ for the orbit O. We shall show the background of original model is equivalent to the YB deformed background $H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}$.II. The Lie algebra of the semi-Abelian double $(\mathcal{A}_2, 2\mathcal{A}_1)$ is defined by the following non-zero Lie brackets

$$[T_1, T_2] = T_2, \quad [T_1, \tilde{T}^2] = -\tilde{T}^2, \quad [T_2, \tilde{T}^2] = \tilde{T}^1. \quad (3.9)$$

where $\{T_1, T_2\}$ and $\{\tilde{T}^1, \tilde{T}^2\}$ are the basis of \mathcal{A}_2 and $2\mathcal{A}_1$, respectively. By parametrization of the group element A_2 as

$$g = e^{x_1 T_1} e^{x_2 T_2}. \quad (3.10)$$

the right invariant one-forms R_\pm^a are derived as follows

$$R_\pm^1 = \partial_\pm x_1, \quad R_\pm^2 = e^{x_1} \partial_\pm x_2. \quad (3.11)$$

To achieve a σ -model with the background $H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}$.II we choose the spectator-dependent matrices in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} E_{0ab} &= \begin{pmatrix} \rho - 2\eta^2 & -\kappa y_1 \\ \kappa y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & F_{a\beta}^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ F_{\alpha b}^{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & F_{\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Since the dual Lie group, $2A_1$, is assumed to be Abelian, it follows from (3.7) that $\Pi^{ab}(g) = 0$. Using these and (3.6) one can construct the action (3.3) on the manifold $\mathcal{M} \approx O \times G$. The background including the metric and B-field is given as follows:

$$ds^2 = (\rho - 2\eta^2)dx_1^2 - 2dx_1dy_2 + 2e^{x_1}dx_2dy_1, \quad (3.13)$$

$$B = \kappa y_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_1. \quad (3.14)$$

One can use the transformation (x, u, y, v) instead of (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) to conclude that the above background is nothing but the YB deformed background $H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}$.II as was represented in Table 1. Thus, we showed that the background $H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}$.II can be considered as the original model of a dual pair of σ -models related by Poisson-Lie symmetry. In this manner, one can obtain the spectator-dependent matrices for all backgrounds of Table 1. The results are summarized in Table 3. Note that for all models, the matrix $F_{\alpha\beta}$ vanish.

3.1.2 The dual model

The dual model is constructed on a 2+2-dimensional manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \approx O \times \tilde{G}$ with two-dimensional Abelian Lie group $\tilde{G} = 2A_1$ acting freely on it. In the same way we parameterize the corresponding Lie group \tilde{G} (Abelian Lie group $2A_1$) with coordinates $\tilde{x}^\mu = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\}$ and element of the group as

$$\tilde{g} = e^{\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{T}^1} e^{\tilde{x}_2 \tilde{T}^2}, \quad (3.15)$$

then we have

$$\tilde{R}_{\pm 1} = \partial_{\pm} \tilde{x}_1, \quad \tilde{R}_{\pm 2} = \partial_{\pm} \tilde{x}_2. \quad (3.16)$$

Utilizing relation (3.7) for untilded quantities, we get

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\tilde{x}_2 \\ \tilde{x}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.17)$$

Now inserting (3.12) and (3.17) into equations (3.8) one can obtain dual couplings, giving us

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}^{ab} &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & \frac{(\rho-2\eta^2)}{\Delta} \end{pmatrix}, & \tilde{\phi}^{(1)\alpha}_{\beta} &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{(\rho-2\eta^2)}{\Delta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{\phi}^{(2)\alpha}_{\beta} &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{-(\rho-2\eta^2)}{\Delta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-(\rho-2\eta^2)}{\Delta} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where $\Delta = \kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2$. Finally, inserting the above results into action (3.5), the corresponding metric and \tilde{B} -field are given by

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} \left[2d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \frac{\rho - 2\eta^2}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} d\tilde{x}_2^2 + 2d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 - \frac{\rho - 2\eta^2}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} dy_1^2 \right], \quad (3.19)$$

$$\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} \left[d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 + \frac{\rho - 2\eta^2}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + dy_2 \wedge dy_1 \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

Then by using the Poisson Lie T-duality with spectator fields we obtain the backgrounds of dual models for all YB deformed models Table 1; the results are summarized in Table 4.

Table 3. The spectator-dependent background matrices of the original models

Symbol	E_0	$F^{(1)}$	$F^{(2)}$
$H_4^{(\kappa)}.I$	$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & -\kappa y_1 \\ \kappa y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}.II$	$\begin{pmatrix} \rho - 2\eta^2 & -\kappa y_1 \\ \kappa y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.III$	$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho\eta^2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 - \kappa & -\tilde{A} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \kappa \\ -1 & \tilde{A} \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.IV$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho}{1-\eta^2} & -\kappa y_1 + \frac{y_1(\tilde{A}-\eta^2)}{1-\eta^2} \\ \kappa y_1 - \frac{y_1(\tilde{A}+\eta^2)}{1-\eta^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{1-\eta^2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{1-\eta^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.V$	$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & -(\kappa + \tilde{A})y_1 - \frac{2\eta^2}{1-\eta^2} \\ (\kappa + \tilde{A})y_1 - \frac{2\eta^2}{1-\eta^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.VI$	$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & -\frac{\rho\eta^2}{1-\eta^2} \\ -\frac{\rho\eta^2}{1-\eta^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 - (\kappa + \tilde{A}) & -\tilde{A} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + (\kappa + \tilde{A}) \\ -1 & \tilde{A} \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.VII$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho}{1+\eta^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\rho\eta^2}{1+\eta^2} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 - \kappa & -\tilde{A} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \kappa \\ -1 & \tilde{A} \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}.VIII$	$\begin{pmatrix} \rho(1-\eta^2) & (-\kappa + \eta^2)y_1 \\ (\kappa + \eta^2)y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(1-\eta^2) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(1-\eta^2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$H_{4,q}^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}.IX$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho(1-\eta^2)}{1-\eta^2 q^4} & -\Omega \\ \Omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{(1-\eta^2)}{1-\eta^2 q^4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{(1-\eta^2)}{1-\eta^2 q^4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$H_4^{(\kappa,\tilde{A})}.X$	$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & -(\kappa - \tilde{A})y_1 \\ (\kappa - \tilde{A})y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Omega = \left[\kappa - \frac{\tilde{A}q^2(1-\eta^2) + \eta^2(1-q^4)}{1-\eta^2 q^4} \right] y_1$$

Table 4. Non-Abelian T-dual backgrounds of the YB deformed H_4 WZW models

Dual symbol	Background	Comments
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa)}}.I$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} \left[2d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \frac{\rho}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} d\tilde{x}_2^2 + 2d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 - \frac{\rho}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} dy_1^2 \right],$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} \left[d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 + \frac{\rho}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + dy_2 \wedge dy_1 \right]$	
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}}.II$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} \left[2d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \frac{\rho - 2\eta^2}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} d\tilde{x}_2^2 + 2d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 - \frac{\rho - 2\eta^2}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} dy_1^2 \right],$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} \left[d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 + \frac{\rho - 2\eta^2}{\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2} d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + dy_2 \wedge dy_1 \right]$	
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.III$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_2^2 - \rho^2 \eta^2} \left\{ -\rho \eta^2 d\tilde{x}_1^2 + 2\tilde{x}_2 d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \rho d\tilde{x}_2^2 - 2\rho \kappa d\tilde{x}_2 dy_1 \right.$ $+ 2(\tilde{x}_2 - \rho \tilde{A}) d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 - \rho(1 - \kappa^2) dy_1^2 + 2\kappa(\tilde{A}\rho - \tilde{x}_2) dy_1 dy_2$ $\left. + [\rho(\eta^2 + \tilde{A}^2) - 2\tilde{A}\tilde{x}_2] dy_2^2 \right\},$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_2^2 - \rho^2 \eta^2} \left[\kappa \tilde{x}_2 dy_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 + (\tilde{A}\tilde{x}_2 - \rho \eta^2) dy_2 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 \right.$ $\left. + (\tilde{A}\rho - \tilde{x}_2) dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \right]$	
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.IV$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[-2(1 - \eta^2) d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \frac{\rho(1 - \eta^2)}{\Delta} d\tilde{x}_2^2 \right.$ $\left. - \frac{\rho(1 - \eta^2)}{\Delta} dy_1^2 - 2 d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 \right],$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[(1 - \eta^2) d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 + \frac{\rho(1 - \eta^2)}{\Delta} d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \right]$	$\Delta = \left\{ [(\kappa - 1)\eta^2 \right.$ $+ \tilde{A} - \kappa] y_1$ $\left. - \tilde{x}_2(1 - \eta^2) \right\}$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.V$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[-2(1 - \eta^2) d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 - \frac{\rho(1 - \eta^2)^2}{\Delta} d\tilde{x}_2^2 \right.$ $\left. - 2(1 - \eta^2) d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 + \frac{\rho(1 - \eta^2)^2}{\Delta} dy_1^2 \right],$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[(1 - \eta^2) d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 - \frac{\rho(1 - \eta^2)^2}{\Delta} d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + (1 - \eta^2) dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \right]$	$\Delta = \left\{ (\eta^2 - 1)[(\kappa + \tilde{A})y_1 \right.$ $\left. + \tilde{x}_2] y_1 - 2\eta^2 \right\}$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.VI$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta_+ \Delta_-} \left\{ [-2\rho \eta^2 (\eta^2 - 1)] d\tilde{x}_1 d\tilde{x}_2 + [\rho(\eta^2 - 1)] d\tilde{x}_2^2 \right.$ $+ [2(\eta^2 - 1)(\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 - 1) + (\kappa + \tilde{A})\rho \eta^2)] d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1$ $+ [2\tilde{A}(\eta^2 - 1)\rho \eta^2] d\tilde{x}_1 dy_2 - [2\rho(\eta^2 - 1)^2(\kappa + \tilde{A})] d\tilde{x}_2 dy_1$ $\left. - [2(\eta^2 - 1)((-\tilde{x}_2 + \tilde{A}\rho)\eta^2 - \rho \tilde{A} + \tilde{x}_2)] d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 \right.$ $- [\rho(\eta^2 - 1)^2((\kappa + \tilde{A})^2 - 1)] dy_1^2$ $\left. - \left\{ 2(\eta^2 - 1) \left[-\rho \eta^2 + ((-\tilde{x}_2 + \tilde{A}\rho)\eta^2 - \rho \tilde{A} + \tilde{x}_2)(\kappa + \tilde{A}) \right] \right\} dy_1 dy_2 \right.$ $\left. - [(\eta - 1)^2(\eta + 1)^2 \tilde{A}(\rho \tilde{A} - 2\tilde{x}_2)] dy_2^2 \right\},$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\Delta_+ \Delta_-} \left\{ \tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 - 1)^2 d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 \right.$ $+ [(\eta^2 - 1)(\rho \eta^2 + (\kappa + \tilde{A})(\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 - 1)))] dy_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1$ $+ [\tilde{A}\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 - 1)^2] dy_2 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 + \rho(\eta^2 - 1)^2 d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + \rho \eta^2(\eta^2 - 1) d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_2$ $\left. + [(\eta^2 - 1)[- \rho \eta^2(\kappa + \tilde{A}) + ((-\tilde{x}_2 + \tilde{A}\rho)\eta^2 - \rho \tilde{A} + \tilde{x}_2)] \right\} dy_1 \wedge dy_2$	$\Delta_{\pm} = \tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 - 1) \pm \rho \eta^2$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.VII$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left\{ -\rho \eta^2(\eta^2 + 1) d\tilde{x}_1^2 + 2\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 + 1)^2 d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \rho(\eta^2 + 1) d\tilde{x}_2^2 \right.$ $- 2\rho \kappa(\eta^2 + 1) d\tilde{x}_2 dy_1 - 2[(\eta^2 + 1)((-\eta^2 - 1)\tilde{x}_2 + \rho \tilde{A})] d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2$ $+ \rho(\eta^2 + 1)(\kappa^2 - 1) dy_1^2 + 2\kappa(\eta^2 + 1) [(-\eta^2 - 1)\tilde{x}_2 + \rho \tilde{A}] dy_1 dy_2$ $\left. + [(\eta^2 + 1)(-2\tilde{A}\tilde{x}_2 \eta^2 + \tilde{A}^2 \rho + \eta^2 \rho - 2\tilde{x}_2 \tilde{A})] dy_2^2 \right\},$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 + 1)^2 d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 + \kappa \tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 + 1)^2 dy_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 \right.$ $+ [(\eta^2 + 1)(\tilde{A}\eta^2 \tilde{x}_2 - \eta^2 \rho + \tilde{A}\tilde{x}_2)] dy_2 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1$ $\left. + \rho(\eta^2 + 1) d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + (\eta^2 + 1)((-\eta^2 - 1)\tilde{x}_2 + \rho \tilde{A}) dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \right]$	$\Delta = \left\{ -\rho^2 \eta^2 \right.$ $\left. + \tilde{x}_2^2(\eta^2 + 1)^2 \right\}$

Table 4. Continued.

Dual symbol	Background	Comments
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)} .VIII$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta_+ \Delta_-} \left[-2\eta^2 y_1 d\tilde{x}_1 d\tilde{x}_2 + 2(\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2) d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \rho(1 - \eta^2) d\tilde{x}_2^2 \right.$ $\left. + \rho(\eta^2 - 1) dy_1^2 + 2[(1 - \eta^2)(\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2)] d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 + 2\eta^2 y_1 (\eta^2 - 1) dy_1 dy_2 \right],$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\Delta_+ \Delta_-} \left[(\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2) d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 + \eta^2 y_1 dy_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 + \rho(\eta^2 - 1) dy_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 \right.$ $\left. + [(1 - \eta^2)\eta^2 y_1] d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_2 + [(\eta^2 - 1)(\kappa y_1 + \tilde{x}_2)] dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \right]$	$\Delta_{\pm} = (\kappa \pm \eta^2) y_1 + \tilde{x}_2$
$H_{4,q}^{\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A}} .IX$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta_+ \Delta_-} \left\{ 2(1 - \eta^2 q^4)(-1 + q^4)\eta^2 y_1 [d\tilde{x}_1 d\tilde{x}_2 + d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1] \right.$ $\left. + \rho(\eta^2 - 1)(\eta^2 q^4 - 1) [d\tilde{x}_2^2 + 2d\tilde{x}_2 dy_1] \right.$ $\left. + 2(1 - \eta^2) \{ [(-\kappa q^4 + \tilde{A} q^2)\eta^2 - \tilde{A} q^2 + \kappa] y_1 + \tilde{x}_2(1 - \eta^2 q^4) \} d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 \right.$ $\left. - [2(1 - \eta^2)(1 - q^4)\eta^2 y_1] dy_1 dy_2 \right\},$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\Delta_+ \Delta_-} \left\{ (1 - \eta^2 q^4) [(-\kappa q^4 + \tilde{A})\eta^2 - \tilde{A} q^2 + \kappa] y_1 \right.$ $\left. - \eta^2 q^4 \tilde{x}_2 + \tilde{x}_2 \right\} [d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge d\tilde{x}_2 + d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge dy_1 + dy_2 \wedge dy_1]$ $+ (1 - \eta^2) [(1 - q^4)\eta^2] y_1 d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_2 \left. \right\}$	$\Delta_{\pm} = \left\{ [\pm 1 + (-\kappa \mp 1)q^4 + \tilde{A} q^2] \eta^2 - \tilde{A} q^2 + \kappa \right\} y_1 - \eta^2 q^4 \tilde{x}_2 + \tilde{x}_2$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \tilde{A})} .X$	$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[-2d\tilde{x}_1 dy_1 + \frac{\rho}{\Delta} d\tilde{x}_2^2 - 2d\tilde{x}_2 dy_2 - \frac{\rho}{\Delta} dy_1^2 \right],$ $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\Delta} [d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge d\tilde{x}_1 + \frac{\rho}{\Delta} d\tilde{x}_2 \wedge dy_1 + dy_1 \wedge dy_2]$	$\Delta = (\tilde{A} - \kappa) y_1 - \tilde{x}_2$

4 Integrability of the T-dual σ -models

In order to investigate of the integrability of the T-dual backgrounds, let us have a short review on the method of integrability of the σ -models presented by Mohammadi in [37].

4.1 A short review of the integrability of σ -model

Consider the following sigma model action⁵

$$S = \int_{\Sigma} dz d\bar{z} (G_{MN}(x) + B_{MN}(x)) \partial x^M \bar{\partial} x^N, \quad (4.1)$$

where $x^M(z, \bar{z})$ ($M = 1, 2, \dots, d$) are coordinates of the manifold \mathcal{M} , such that G_{MN} and B_{MN} are metric and anti-symmetric tensor fields on \mathcal{M} ; furthermore, the (z, \bar{z}) are coordinates of the world-sheet Σ . The equations of motion for this model are as follows [37]

$$\bar{\partial} \partial x^R + \Omega_{MN}^R \partial x^M \bar{\partial} x^N = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\Omega_{MN}^R = \Gamma_{MN}^R - H_{MN}^R, \quad (4.3)$$

such that Γ_{MN}^R is the Christoffel coefficients and components of the torsion are given by

$$H_{MN}^R = \frac{1}{2} G^{RS} (\partial_S B_{MN} + \partial_N B_{SM} + \partial_M B_{NS}). \quad (4.4)$$

⁵As in [42] and [37] instead of using the world sheet coordinates τ and σ , we will use the complex coordinates $(z = \sigma + i\tau, \bar{z} = \sigma - i\tau)$ with $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$. We also adopt the convention that the Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon^{z\bar{z}} = 1$; so we will not have i in front of B -field in (4.1).

One can construct a linear system whose consistency conditions are equivalence to the equations of motion (4.2) as follows [37]:

$$[\partial + \partial x^M \alpha_M(x)]\psi = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

$$[\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} x^M \beta_M(x)]\psi = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where the matrices α_M and β_M are functions of the coordinates x^M . The compatibility condition of this linear system yields the equations of motion, provided that the matrices $\alpha_M(x)$ and $\beta_M(x)$ must satisfy the following relations [37]

$$\partial_M \beta_N - \partial_N \alpha_M + [\alpha_M, \beta_N] = \Omega_{MN}^R \mu_R, \quad (4.7)$$

with

$$\beta_M - \alpha_M = \mu_M, \quad (4.8)$$

such that the equation (4.7) can then be rewritten as

$$F_{MN} = -(\nabla_M \mu_N - \Omega_{MN}^R \mu_R), \quad (4.9)$$

where the field strength F_{MN} and covariant derivative corresponding to the matrices α_M are given as follows:

$$F_{MN} = \partial_M \alpha_N - \partial_N \alpha_M + [\alpha_M, \alpha_N], \quad \nabla_M X = \partial_M X + [\alpha_M, X], \quad (4.10)$$

such that for symmetric and anti-symmetric parts of (4.9), we have [37]

$$0 = \nabla_M \mu_N + \nabla_N \mu_M - 2 \Gamma_{MN}^R \mu_R, \quad (4.11)$$

$$F_{MN} = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_M \mu_N - \nabla_N \mu_M) - H_{MN}^R \mu_R. \quad (4.12)$$

In this manner, the integrability condition of the σ -model (4.1) is equivalent to finding the matrices α_M and μ_M such that they satisfy in (4.9) (or (4.11) and (4.12)).

4.2 Integrability of non-Abelian T-dual models

Now we will show that the dual backgrounds given in Table 4 are also integrable. Since we were dealing with the non-Abelian T-duality, the Lie group of the dual target manifold is considered to be Abelian Lie group $4A_1$. Accordingly, the right-invariant one-forms on the $4A_1$ are $\tilde{R}_{\pm a} = \partial_{\pm} \tilde{X}^M \tilde{R}_{M a} = \partial_{\pm} \tilde{x}_a$, in which \tilde{x}_a , $a = 1, \dots, 4$, stand for the coordinates of the $4A_1$. In order to investigate the integrability of the dual σ -model, the corresponding linear system is taken to have the form (4.5)-(4.6) by replacing the untilded symbols by tilded ones. One may consider the following expansions for matrices $\tilde{\alpha}_M$ and $\tilde{\mu}_M$ [43],

$$\tilde{\alpha}_M = \tilde{R}_{M a} \tilde{A}_b^a(\tilde{x}) \tilde{T}^b, \quad \tilde{\mu}_M = \tilde{R}_{M a} \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x}) \tilde{T}^b. \quad (4.13)$$

Here we have assumed that the $\tilde{A}_b^a(\tilde{x})$ and $\tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x})$ are not constant and depend on the coordinates of the group manifold. Inserting the above expansion into equations (4.11) and (4.12) and using the fact that $\tilde{f}_c^{ab} = 0$, one gets

$$\tilde{R}_{Ma} \tilde{\partial}_N \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{R}_{Na} \partial_M \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x}) - 2\tilde{\Gamma}_{MN}^P \tilde{R}_{Pa} \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x}) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_M \tilde{A}_b^a(\tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x})] \tilde{R}_{Na} - \tilde{R}_{Ma} [\partial_N \tilde{A}_b^a(\tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_N \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x})] \\ + \tilde{H}_{MN}^P \tilde{R}_{Pa} \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Now one must try to obtain the solutions of the above equations for H_4 dual backgrounds given in Table 4. Let us explain the one example.

4.2.1 An example: dual background $\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}}.II$

First we must calculate the Christoffel symbols $\tilde{\Gamma}_{MN}^P$ and strength field \tilde{H}_{MN}^P correspond to the metric \tilde{G}_{MN} and anti-symmetric tensor \tilde{B}_{MN} of the background $\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa,\eta)}}.II$ given by the equations (3.19) and (3.20). The results are given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{x}_2}^{\tilde{x}_1} &= -\kappa \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{\tilde{x}_1} = \kappa \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{\tilde{x}_2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{y_1} = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_1 y_1}^{y_2} = \kappa \tilde{\Gamma}_{y_1 y_2}^{y_2} = -\frac{\Delta}{2}, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_2 \tilde{x}_2}^{\tilde{x}_2} &= \kappa \tilde{\Gamma}_{y_1 y_1}^{y_1} = -\Delta, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_2 \tilde{x}_2}^{\tilde{x}_1} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{y_2} = \kappa(\rho - 2\eta^2)\Delta^2, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{\tilde{x}_1} &= -2\tilde{\Gamma}_{y_1 y_1}^{y_2} = \frac{(\rho - 2\eta^2)\Delta^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where $\Delta = 1/\tilde{x}_2 + \kappa y_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \tilde{H}_{\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{x}_2}^{\tilde{x}_1} &= \tilde{H}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_2}^{\tilde{x}_1} = -\tilde{H}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{\tilde{x}_2} = \kappa \tilde{H}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{y_1} = -\kappa \tilde{H}_{\tilde{x}_1 y_1}^{y_2} = -\tilde{H}_{y_1 y_2}^{y_2} = -\frac{1}{\tilde{x}_2 + \kappa y_1}, \\ \tilde{H}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{\tilde{x}_1} &= \frac{\kappa(2\eta^2 - 1)}{(\tilde{x}_2 + \kappa y_1)^2}, \quad \tilde{H}_{\tilde{x}_2 y_1}^{y_2} = \frac{2(\eta^2 - 2)}{(\tilde{x}_2 + \kappa y_1)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Finally, inserting (4.16) and (4.17) into equations (4.14) and (4.15) and using the fact that $\tilde{R}_{Ma} = \delta_{Ma}$, one can find the matrices $\tilde{A}_b^a(\tilde{x})$ and $\tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x})$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_b^a(\tilde{x}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{12}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{13}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{14}(\tilde{x}_1) \\ \xi & \xi & \xi & \xi \\ a_{31}(y_1) & a_{32}(y_1) & a_{33}(y_1) & a_{34}(y_1) \\ a_{41}(y_2) & a_{42}(y_2) & a_{43}(y_2) & a_{44}(y_2) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{B}_b^a(\tilde{x}) &= \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_2 + \kappa y_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 & c_1 & c_1 & c_1 \\ -c_1 + a_0 & -c_1 + a_0 & -c_1 + a_0 & -c_1 + a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $\xi = \frac{-3c_1(1+\kappa) - a_0(1+2\kappa)}{2\kappa(\tilde{x}_2 + \kappa y_1)}$. In this manner, we have obtained the solutions of the equations (4.14) and (4.15) to all dual backgrounds of Table 4; and this manner we show that all models (except $\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa,\eta,\tilde{A})}}.IX$ model) are integrable; the results are given in Table 5.

Table 5. Integrability of non-Abelian T-dual models

Dual symbol	$\tilde{A}_a^b(\tilde{X})$	$\tilde{B}_a^b(\tilde{X})$	Comments
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}}.I$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{12}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{13}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{14}(\tilde{x}_1) \\ \xi_1 & \xi_1 & \xi_1 & \xi_1 \\ a_{31}(\tilde{x}_2) & a_{32}(\tilde{x}_2) & a_{33}(\tilde{x}_2) & a_{34}(\tilde{x}_2) \\ a_{41}(y_2) & a_{42}(y_2) & a_{43}(y_2) & a_{44}(y_2) \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\xi_1 = \frac{-3c_1(1+\kappa)-a_0(2+\kappa)}{2(\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1)},$ $\gamma_1 = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1},$ $\lambda_1 = \frac{-c_1+a_0}{\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1}$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}}.II$	\tilde{A}_2	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_2 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\xi_2 = \frac{-3c_1(1+\kappa)-a_0(1+2\kappa)}{2\kappa(\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1)},$ $\gamma_2 = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1},$ $\lambda_2 = \frac{-c_1+a_0}{\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1}$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.III$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{12}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{13}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{14}(\tilde{x}_1) \\ \xi_3 & \xi_3 & \xi_3 & \xi_3 \\ a_{31}(y_1) & a_{32}(y_1) & a_{33}(y_1) & a_{34}(y_1) \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda_3 C$	$\xi_3 = -\frac{y_1 c_1}{\tilde{x}_2}(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa) + c_3(\tilde{x}_2),$ $\gamma_3 = -\frac{\tilde{A} c_1}{\tilde{x}_2} + c_2(y_2),$ $\lambda_3 = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{x}_2}$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.IV$	\tilde{A}_4	$\gamma_4 C$	$\xi_4 = -\frac{c_1(1-2\tilde{A}+\eta^2-2\kappa(\eta^2-1))}{2\sigma(\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2-1)+\sigma y_1)},$ $\gamma_4 = c_1[\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2-1) + \sigma y_1]^{-1},$ $\sigma = \tilde{A} + \kappa(\eta^2-1) - \eta^2$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.V$	\tilde{A}_5	$\gamma_5 C$	$\xi_5 = \frac{c_1[1+2(\tilde{A}+\kappa)]}{2\sigma(\tilde{A}+\kappa)} + c_2(\tilde{x}_2),$ $\gamma_5 = c_1(\sigma)^{-1},$ $\sigma = (\eta^2-1)[(\tilde{A}+\kappa)y_1] - 2\eta^2$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.VI$	\tilde{A}_6	$\gamma_6 C$	$\tilde{A} = \eta = 0,$ $\xi_6 = -\frac{y_1 c_1}{\tilde{x}_2}(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa) + c_2(\tilde{x}_2),$ $\gamma_6 = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{x}_2}$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}}.VII$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{12}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{13}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{14}(\tilde{x}_1) \\ \xi_7 & \xi_7 & \xi_7 & \xi_7 \\ \gamma_7 & \gamma_7 & \gamma_7 & \gamma_7 \\ a_{41}(y_2) & a_{42}(y_2) & a_{43}(y_2) & a_{44}(y_2) \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda_7 C$	$\xi_7 = -\frac{\tilde{A} y_2 c_1}{\tilde{x}_2^2} + c_2(\tilde{x}_2),$ $\gamma_7 = -\frac{(1+2\kappa)c_1}{2\tilde{x}_2} + c_3(y_1),$ $\lambda_7 = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{x}_2}, \eta = 0,$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}}.VIII$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{12}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{13}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{14}(\tilde{x}_1) \\ a_{21}(\tilde{x}_2) & a_{22}(\tilde{x}_2) & a_{23}(\tilde{x}_2) & a_{24}(\tilde{x}_2) \\ \xi_8 & \xi_8 & \xi_8 & \xi_8 \\ a_{41}(y_2) & a_{42}(y_2) & a_{43}(y_2) & a_{44}(y_2) \end{pmatrix}$	$\gamma_8 C$	$\eta = 0,$ $\xi_8 = \frac{c_1(2\kappa-1)}{2(\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1)} + c_2(y_1),$ $\gamma_8 = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1}$
$\widetilde{H_4^{(\kappa, \tilde{A})}}.X$	\tilde{A}_{10}	$\gamma_{10} C$	$\xi_{10} = \frac{-c_1(-1+2(\tilde{A}-\kappa))}{2(\kappa-\tilde{A})(\tilde{x}_2+(\kappa-\tilde{A})y_1)},$ $\gamma_{10} = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{x}_2+\kappa y_1 - \tilde{A}y_1}$

$$\tilde{A}_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{12}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{13}(\tilde{x}_1) & a_{14}(\tilde{x}_1) \\ \xi_i & \xi_i & \xi_i & \xi_i \\ a_{31}(y_1) & a_{32}(y_1) & a_{33}(y_1) & a_{34}(y_1) \\ a_{41}(y_2) & a_{42}(y_2) & a_{43}(y_2) & a_{44}(y_2) \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5 Non-Abelian T-duals of YB deformed H_4 WZW model as solutions of the GSEs

In this subsection, we investigate that the dual models of Table 4 are also solutions of GSE. We apply the method explained in subsection (2.1) for the dual backgrounds of Table 4. In this manner we show that all the dual models (except for $H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.IX$) are solutions of the GSEs. The results including vector fields \tilde{I} and dilaton fields $\tilde{\Phi}$ are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Non-Abelian T-dual backgrounds as solutions of the GSE

Symbol	Vector Field \tilde{I}	Dilaton Field $\tilde{\Phi}$	Comments
$H_4^{(\kappa)}.I$	$\alpha_6 \partial \tilde{x}_1 - \alpha_3 \kappa \partial \tilde{x}_2 + \alpha_3 \partial y_1 + \alpha_5 \partial y_2$	$(c_0 + 1) Ln(\tilde{x}_2 + y_1)$	$k = 1$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}.II$	$\alpha_6 \partial \tilde{x}_1 + \alpha_5 \partial y_2$	$c_0 Ln(\tilde{x}_2 + y_1)$	$k = 1$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.III$	$\alpha_1 \partial \tilde{x}_1 + \alpha_4 \partial y_2$	$\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - 1) Ln(\tilde{x}_2^2 - \rho^2 \eta^2) + c_0$	$k = 1, \tilde{A} = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.IV$	$\frac{\alpha_6}{\eta^2 - 1} \partial \tilde{x}_1 - \alpha_7 \partial y_2$	$Ln 4 - 2 Ln(-\tilde{x}_2 - y_1) + c_0$	$k = \tilde{A} = 0, \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.V$	$\frac{1}{\eta^2 - 1} (\alpha_5 \partial \tilde{x}_1 + \alpha_6 \partial y_2)$	$\frac{Ln((\tilde{x}_2 + y_1)(\eta^2 - 1) - 2\eta^2)}{\eta^2 - 1} + c_0$	$k = 1, \tilde{A} = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.VI$	$\alpha_1 \partial \tilde{x}_1 + \alpha_3 \partial y_1 + \alpha_4 \partial y_2$	$c_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -Ln(\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 - 1) - \rho\eta^2) + \frac{(\eta^2 - 1 + 2c_1) Ln(\tilde{x}_2(\eta^2 - 1) + \rho\eta^2)}{\eta^2 - 1} \right\}$	$k = 1, \tilde{A} = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.VII$	$\alpha_1 \partial \tilde{x}_1 + \alpha_2 \partial y_2$	$c_0 Ln(\tilde{x}_2) + c_1$	$k = 1, \tilde{A} = \eta = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \eta)}.VIII$	$\alpha_1 \partial \tilde{x}_1 + \alpha_2 \partial y_2$	$Ln(\tilde{x}_2 + y_1)(1 + c_0)$	$k = 1, \eta = 0$
$H_4^{(\kappa, \tilde{A})}.X$	$-\alpha_2 \partial \tilde{x}_1 - \alpha_{10} \partial y_2$	$c_0 Ln(\tilde{x}_2 + y_1)$	$k = 1, \tilde{A} = 0$

For all the cases cosmological constant is vanished.

6 Conclusions

By using the constructed backgrounds of YB deformations of H_4 WZW models in [14] and following the general method outlined in the previous work [32], it is shown that all of the constructed backgrounds are solutions of GSE. Additionally, the non-Abelian dual models of those models are constructed with the Poisson-Lie T-duality with spectator fields and it is shown that those dual models except for $H_4^{(\kappa, \eta, \tilde{A})}.IX$ model are integrable and satisfy the GSE.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the research vice chancellor of Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University under research fund No. 1402/231.

References

- [1] K. Pohlmeyer, Integrable Hamiltonian systems and interactions through quadratic constraints, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **46** (1976) 207.

- [2] V. G. Zakharov and A. V. Mikhailov, Relativistically invariant two-dimensional models of field theory which are integrable by means of the inverse scattering problem method, *Sov. Phys. JETP*. **47** (1978) 1017.
- [3] H. Eichenherr and M. Forger, On the dual symmetry of the non-linear sigma models *Nucl. Phys. B* **155** (1979) 381; More about non-linear sigma models on symmetric spaces *Nucl. Phys. B* **164** (1980) 3528.
- [4] I. V. Cherednik, Relativistically invariant quasiclassical limits of integrable two-dimensional quantum models, *Theo. Math. Phys.* **47** (1981) 422.
- [5] J. Balog, P. Forgacs, Z. Horvath and L. Palla, A new family of $SU(2)$ symmetric integrable sigma models, *Phys. Lett. B* **324** (1994) 403, arXiv:9307030 [hep-th].
- [6] V. A. Fateev, The sigma model (dual) representation for a two-parameter family of integrable quantum field theories, *Nucl. Phys. B* **473** (1995) 509.
- [7] N. Sochen, Integrable generalized principal chiral models, *Phys. Lett. B* **391** (1997) 374, arXiv:9607009 [hep-th].
- [8] C. Klimcik, Yang-Baxter σ -models and dS/AdS T-duality, *J. High Energy Phys.* **0212** (2003) 051, arXiv:0210095 [hep-th].
- [9] C. Klimcik, On integrability of the Yang-Baxter σ -model, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 043508, arXiv:0802.3518v1 [hep-th].
- [10] C. Klimcik, Integrability of the bi-Yang-Baxter sigma-model, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **104** (2014) 1059, arXiv:1402.2105 [hep-th].
- [11] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, Yang-Baxter sigma models based on the CYBE, *Nucl. Phys. B* **893** (2015) 287-304, arXiv:1501.03665 [hep-th].
- [12] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2014) 153, arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th].
- [13] H. Kyono and K. Yoshida, Yang-Baxter invariance of the Nappi-Witten model, *Nucl. Phys. B* **905** (2016) 242, arXiv:1511.00404 [hep-th].
- [14] A. Eghbali, T. Parvizi and A. Rezaei-Aghdam, Yang-Baxter deformations of WZW model on the Heisenberg Lie group, *Nucl. Phys. B* **967** (2021) 115423, arXiv:2103.01646 [hep-th].
- [15] A. Eghbali, T. Parvizi and A. Rezaei-Aghdam, Yang-Baxter deformations of the $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ WZW model and non-Abelian T-duality, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 917, arXiv:2305.12187 [hep-th].

- [16] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, An integrable deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 051601, [arXiv:1309.5850](#) [hep-th].
- [17] R. Borsato and L. Wulff, On non-abelian T-duality and deformations of supercoset string σ -models, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2017) 024, [arXiv:1706.10169](#) [hep-th].
- [18] H. Kyono and K. Yoshida, Supercoset construction of Yang-Baxter-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ backgrounds, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 083B03 (2016), [arXiv:1605.02519](#) [hep-th].
- [19] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato and S. Frolov, Puzzles of η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2015) 049, [arXiv:1507.04239](#) [hep-th].
- [20] B. Hoare and S. J. van Tongeren, On jordanian deformations of AdS_5 and supergravity, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** (2016) 434006 [arXiv:1605.03554](#) [hep-th].
- [21] R. Borsato and L. Wulff, Target space supergeometry of η and λ -deformed strings, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2016) 045, [arXiv:1608.03570](#) [hep-th].
- [22] R. Borsato and L. Wulff, Two-loop conformal invariance for Yang-Baxter deformed strings, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2020) 126, [arXiv:1910.02011](#) [hep-th].
- [23] S. Hronek and L. Wulff, Relaxing unimodularity for Yang-Baxter deformed strings, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2020) 065, [arXiv:2007.15663](#) [hep-th].
- [24] T. Araujo, I. Bakhmatov, E. Ó Colgáin, J. Sakamoto, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and K. Yoshida, Yang-Baxter σ -models, conformal twists, and noncommutative Yang-Mills, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 105006, [arXiv:1702.02861](#) [hep-th]; Conformal Twists, Yang-Baxter σ -models & Holographic Noncommutativity, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **51** (2018) 235401, [arXiv:1705.02063](#) [hep-th].
- [25] I. Bakhmatov, Ö. Kelekci, E. Ó Colgáin and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Classical Yang-Baxter equation from supergravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 021901, [arXiv:1710.06784](#) [hep-th]; I. Bakhmatov, E. Ó Colgáin, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and H. Yavartanoo, Yang-Baxter deformations beyond coset spaces (a slick way to do TsT), *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2018) 161, [arXiv:1803.07498](#) [hep-th].
- [26] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, B. Hoare, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, Scale invariance of the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring, T-duality and modified type-II equations, *Nucl. Phys. B* **903** (2016) 262, [arXiv:1511.05795](#) [hep-th].
- [27] L. Wulff and A. A. Tseytlin, k -symmetry of superstring σ -model and generalized 10d supergravity equations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2016) 174, [arXiv:1605.04884](#) [hep-th].
- [28] D. Osten and S. J. van Tongeren, Abelian Yang-Baxter deformations and TsT transformations, *Nucl. Phys. B* **915** (2017) 184, [arXiv:1608.08504](#) [hep-th].

- [29] C. G. Callan, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and M. Perry, Strings in background fields, *Nucl. Phys.* **262** (1985) 593.
- [30] J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, Weyl invariance for generalized supergravity backgrounds from the doubled formalism, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 053B07 (2017), [arXiv:1703.09213](#) [hep-th].
- [31] José J. Fernández-Melgarejo, J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, Weyl invariance of string theories in generalized supergravity backgrounds, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 111602, [arXiv:1811.10600](#) [hep-th].
- [32] A. Eghbali, S. Ghasemi-Sorkhabi and A. Rezaei-Aghdam, Solutions of generalized supergravity equations with the BTZ black hole metric, *Transactions in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics (TTMP)* **1** (2024) 2, [arXiv:2402.07287](#) [hep-th].
- [33] M. Banadoc, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 1849, [arXiv:9204099](#) [hep-th].
- [34] G. Horowitz and D. Welch, Exact three dimensional black holes in string theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 328-331.
- [35] A. Eghbali, S. Ghasemi-Sorkhabi and A. Rezaei-Aghdam, Generalized supergravity equations for the WZW model, *Phys. Lett. B* **860** (2025) 139159, [arXiv:2409.17399](#) [hep-th].
- [36] J. Patera and P. Winternitz, Subalgebras of real three-and four-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1997) 1449.
- [37] N. Mohammadi, On the geometry of classically integrable two-dimensional non-linear sigma models, *Nucl. Phys. B* **839** (2010) 420, [arXiv:0806.0550](#) [hep-th].
- [38] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, Dual non-Abelian duality and the Drinfeld double, *Phys. Lett. B* **351** (1995) 455, [arXiv:9502122](#) [hep-th].
- [39] C. Klimcik, Poisson-Lie T-duality, *Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B* **46** (1996) 116, [arXiv:9509095](#) [hep-th].
- [40] V. G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, in *Proc. Intern. Cong. Math., Berkeley* (1986) vol. **1**, Amer. Math. Soc. (1987), pp. 798-820.
- [41] K. Sfetsos, Poisson-Lie T-duality and supersymmetry, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl)* **56** (1997) 302-309, [arXiv:9611199](#) [hep-th].
- [42] N. Mohammadi, On some integrable deformations of the Wess-Zumino-Witten model, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 126028, [arXiv:2012.09753](#) [hep-th].
- [43] A. Rezaei-Aghdam and A. Taghavi, Integrable sigma models with complex and generalized complex structures, [arXiv:2309.13413](#) [hep-th].