

# LIFTING CATEGORY, SECTIONAL CATEGORY, HOMOTOPIC DISTANCE, ALL AT ONCE

JEAN-PAUL DOERAENE AND MOHAMMED EL HAOUARI

**ABSTRACT.** The ‘sectional category’ of a map has been studied in two ways: on the one hand with open covers, and on the other hand with Ganea and Whitehead *style* constructions. For these approaches to be equivalent, the normality of the codomain is necessary. We show that this is the *only* condition. The ‘Lusternik-Schnirelmann category’ of a space, as well as Farber’s ‘topological complexity’, are particular cases of sectional categories. In addition, Macías-Virgós and Mosquera-Lois introduced the notion of ‘homotopic distance’ between two maps, showing that it is a distance on the homotopy class of maps with same domain and codomain provided the domain is normal. We introduce the notion of ‘lifting category’ which unifies the notions of sectional category, topological complexity, and homotopic distance, all these notions being special cases of lifting category. A special attention is also paid to the difference between the pointed and unpointed cases.

We define and study the *lifting category* with all its particular cases: *LS category*, *sectional category*, *topological complexity* and *homotopic distance*.

This is done from two different perspectives that may constitute two entry points into the paper. The first approach is based on the constructions of Whitehead and Ganea, see Section 2. This allow us to establish a lot of general properties of the lifting category and the sectional category in a somewhat abstract way, without fine topological considerations. There is a second approach based on open covers, maybe more intuitive, where topology plays a bigger role, see Section 4. In this way, we can take advantage of both worlds.

In Section 3, we apply the general results to the homotopic distance and the topological complexity. We look at the pointed case in Section 5. In Section 6, we review the consequences of the triangular inequality of the homotopic distance. Finally, we return to the primitive definition of LS category in Section 7.

We work indifferently in the category  $\mathbf{Top}$  of topological spaces and continuous maps, or in the category  $\mathbf{Top}^w$  of well-pointed topological spaces and continuous maps preserving basepoints (‘well-pointed’ means that the inclusion of the basepoint is a closed cofibration), see [11]. These categories are suitable to the study of the *sectional category* and all stuff around this notion, because they are J-categories as defined in [2] (except that  $\mathbf{Top}$  has no zero object, but it doesn’t matter unless we really want to work in a pointed category). We use  $\mathcal{T}$  to denote either of these two categories.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55M30.

*Key words and phrases.* sectional category, topological complexity, homotopic distance.

## 1. USEFULL LEMMAS

Every constructions here are made up to ‘homotopy equivalences’, in such a way that the numbers we define are invariant with respect to these equivalences. We use the symbol  $\simeq$  to indicate that two maps are homotopic. Spaces are non-empty.

We will use a lot of ‘homotopy pullbacks’. Roughly speaking, a homotopy pullback is a homotopy commutative diagram that satisfies the universal property of pullbacks up to homotopy equivalences. A homotopy commutative square whose two opposite sides are homotopy equivalences is a homotopy pullback. Warning: Not every pullback is a homotopy pullback. However a pullback of two maps, one of which is a fibration, is a homotopy pullback. To build a homotopy pullback of two maps which are not fibrations, we have to replace one of them with a homotopy equivalent fibration. To find out more about this, see [8] in a strict topological context, or [2] in the more general context of J-categories.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume we have any homotopy commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\ \kappa \downarrow & \zeta & \downarrow \iota \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

and any map  $g: W \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . If there is a map  $s: W \rightarrow P$  such that  $\kappa \circ s \simeq g$  then there is a map  $l: W \rightarrow A$  such that  $\iota \circ l \simeq f \circ g$ .

If in addition the square is a homotopy pullback, then the converse is true too.

*Proof.* Assume there exists a map  $s: W \rightarrow P$  such that  $\kappa \circ s \simeq g$ . Let  $l = \zeta \circ s$ . We have  $\iota \circ l = \iota \circ \zeta \circ s \simeq f \circ \kappa \circ s \simeq f \circ g$ .

Conversely, if there exists  $l: W \rightarrow A$  such that  $\iota \circ l \simeq f \circ g$ , then the outside square in the following diagram is homotopy commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & l & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ W & \xrightarrow{\quad \dots \quad} & P & \xrightarrow{\quad \zeta \quad} & A \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \iota \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

If the square is a homotopy pullback, we have a ‘universal map’  $s: W \rightarrow P$  (defined up to homotopy equivalences) such that  $\kappa \circ s \simeq g$  and  $\zeta \circ s \simeq l$ .  $\square$

The map  $s$  above, induced by a homotopy pullback, will be called ‘whisker map’ and denoted by  $(g, l)$ .

**Proposition 2** (Prism lemma). [8, Lemmas 12 and 14] *Assume we have any homotopy commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{T}$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & \bullet \\ \downarrow g & \swarrow w & \downarrow \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ \downarrow h & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \bullet & & \bullet \end{array}$$

If the right square is a homotopy pullback, then the left square is a homotopy pullback if and only if the rear rectangle is a homotopy pullback.

Note that for the diagram to be homotopy commutative, the map  $w$  must be the whisker map induced by the right homotopy pullback:  $w = (h \circ g, f)$ .

**Remark 3.** For any map  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y$  and space  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , the following pullback is a homotopy pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times Z & \xrightarrow{\iota \times \text{id}_Z} & Y \times Z \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & Y \end{array}$$

This is because projections are fibrations.

If  $\iota$  is a closed cofibration, then  $\iota \times \text{id}_Z$  is also a closed cofibration, see [10, Theorem 12] for a more general result.

**Proposition 4.** Assume we have any homotopy pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & A \\ \kappa \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

and any map  $\lambda: C \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then all vertical faces of the following homotopy commutative diagram are homotopy pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P \times C & \overset{\kappa \times \lambda}{\dashrightarrow} & X \times Z \\ & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & \downarrow \zeta \times \text{id}_C & & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ P & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & X & & \downarrow f \times \text{id}_Z \\ \zeta \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & Y & & \\ & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & \downarrow \iota \times \lambda & & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ & & A \times C & \xrightarrow{\iota \times \lambda} & Y \times Z \\ & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ & & A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & Y \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Use Remark 3 for the side faces and the Prism lemma for the back face.  $\square$

## 2. LIFTING AND SECTIONAL CATEGORY

**Definition 5.** For any map  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{T}$ , the *Whitehead construction* or *fat wedges* of  $\iota$  is the following sequence of homotopy commutative diagrams ( $i > 0$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y^i \times A & & \\ & \nearrow t_{i-1} \times \text{id}_A & & \searrow \text{id}_{Y^i} \times \iota & \\ T^{i-1}(\iota) \times A & & & & T^i(\iota) \xrightarrow{t_i} Y^{i+1} \\ & \searrow \text{id}_{T^{i-1}(\iota)} \times \iota & & \nearrow t_{i-1} \times \text{id}_Y & \\ & & T^{i-1}(\iota) \times Y & & \end{array}$$

where the outside square is a homotopy pullback, the inside square is a homotopy pushout, and the map  $t_i: T^i(\iota) \rightarrow Y^{i+1} = Y \times \cdots \times Y$  ( $i + 1$  times) is the whisker map induced by this homotopy pushout. The induction starts with  $t_0 = \iota: A \rightarrow Y$ .

The Whitehead construction is well defined up to homotopy equivalences because homotopy pullbacks and homotopy pushouts are well defined up to homotopy equivalences.

**Remark 6.** Actually, if  $\iota$  is a closed cofibration, the Whitehead construction may be carried out with true pullbacks and true pushouts, and all involved maps are then closed cofibrations. This is mainly due to Remark 3 and [10, Theorem 6]. Then, as cofibrations are embeddings,  $T^i(\iota) \cong \{(y_0, \dots, y_i) \in Y^{i+1} \mid y_j \in A \text{ for at least one } j\}$ . See [6, Corollary 11].

Here is the central notion from which all the others derive:

**Definition 7** (Lifting and sectional category). For any maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , we define the ‘lifting category’ from  $f$  to  $\iota$ , and we denote  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota)$ , the least integer  $n$  such that there is a map  $l: X \rightarrow T^n(\iota)$  with  $t_n \circ l \simeq \Delta_{n+1} \circ f$ , where  $\Delta_{n+1}$  is the diagonal map  $\Delta_{n+1}: Y \rightarrow Y^{n+1}$ . If no such  $n$  exists, we denote  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = \infty$ .

In the particular case where  $f = \text{id}_Y$ , the lifting category from  $\text{id}_Y$  to  $\iota$  is called ‘sectional category’ of  $\iota$ . We denote  $\text{secat } \iota = \text{liftcat}_{\text{id}_Y}(\iota)$ .

**Remark 8.** Note that  $\Delta_1 = \text{id}_Y$ . So, as  $t_0 = \iota$ ,  $\text{secat}(\iota) = 0$  if and only if  $\iota$  has a homotopy section  $s$ . More generally,  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = 0$  if and only if there is a map  $l: X \rightarrow A$  such that  $\iota \circ l \simeq f$ .

Also we have clearly:

**Proposition 9.** For any maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) \leq \text{secat}(\iota)$ .

There is an equivalent alternative approach of the lifting category with the following construction:

**Definition 10.** For any map  $\iota: A \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the *Ganea construction* of  $\iota$  is the following sequence of homotopy commutative diagrams ( $i \geq 0$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A & & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \\
 F_i(\iota) & & & & X \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow & \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} & \\
 & & G_{i+1}(\iota) & & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow & \xrightarrow{g_i} & \\
 & & G_i(\iota) & & 
 \end{array}$$

where the outside square is a homotopy pullback, the inside square is a homotopy pushout and the map  $g_{i+1}: G_{i+1}(\iota) \rightarrow X$  is the whisker map induced by this homotopy pushout. The induction starts with  $g_0 = \iota: A \rightarrow X$ .

The Ganea construction is well defined up to homotopy equivalences.

**Proposition 11.** For any maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota)$  is the least integer  $n$  such that there is a map  $s: X \rightarrow G_n(\iota)$  with  $g_n \circ s \simeq f$ .

*Proof.* For any  $i \geq 0$  there is a homotopy pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_i(\iota) & \longrightarrow & T^i(\iota) \\ g_i \downarrow & & \downarrow t_i \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{i+1}} & Y^{i+1}, \end{array}$$

see [3, Theorem 25]. The result follows from Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Proposition 12.** *Assume we have any homotopy commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{T}$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & A \\ & & \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \iota \\ W & \xrightarrow{g} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow f \circ g & & & \end{array}$$

Then we have:

$$\text{liftcat}_{f \circ g}(\iota) \leq \text{liftcat}_g(\kappa).$$

If the square is a homotopy pullback (in particular if  $f$  and  $\zeta$  are homotopy equivalences), then  $\text{liftcat}_{f \circ g}(\iota) = \text{liftcat}_g(\kappa)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $i \geq 0$ , we have a homotopy commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_i(\kappa) & \longrightarrow & G_i(\iota) \\ g_i(\kappa) \downarrow & & \downarrow g_i(\iota) \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

which is a homotopy pullback if the square in the hypothesis is a homotopy pullback, see [3, Lemma 27]. By Lemma 1, if there is a map  $s: W \rightarrow G_i(\kappa)$  such that  $g_i(\kappa) \circ s \simeq g$ , then there is a map  $l: W \rightarrow G_i(\iota)$  such that  $g_i(\iota) \circ l \simeq f \circ g$ , and the converse is true if the square is a homotopy pullback. The result follows from Proposition 11.  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $g, h: W \rightarrow Y$  and  $\iota, \kappa: A \rightarrow Y$  be maps of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $g \simeq h$  and  $\iota \simeq \kappa$ . Then  $\text{liftcat}_g(\iota) = \text{liftcat}_h(\kappa)$ .*

*Proof.* From the definition, it is clear that  $\text{liftcat}_g(\iota) = \text{liftcat}_h(\iota)$ . And the equality  $\text{liftcat}_h(\iota) = \text{liftcat}_h(\kappa)$  is a special case of Proposition 12 where  $f = \text{id}_Y$  and  $\zeta = \text{id}_A$ .  $\square$

Another special case of Proposition 12 to note:

**Corollary 14.** *Assume we have a homotopy commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & A \\ & \searrow \kappa & \swarrow \iota \\ & X & \end{array}$$

then  $\text{secat}(\iota) \leq \text{secat}(\kappa)$ .

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 12 with  $f = g = \text{id}_X$ .  $\square$

We bring together the essential equalities and inequalities in the following result:

**Theorem 15.** *Assume we have any homotopy commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{T}$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad} & A \\ \kappa \downarrow & \zeta \nearrow & \downarrow \iota \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad} & Y \\ & f \searrow & \end{array}$$

- 1) In any case,  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) \leq \inf\{\text{secat}(\kappa), \text{secat}(\iota)\}$ .
- 2) If the square is a homotopy pullback, then  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = \text{secat}(\kappa) \leq \text{secat}(\iota)$ .
- 3) If  $f$  has a homotopy section, then  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = \text{secat}(\iota) \leq \text{secat}(\kappa)$ .
- 4) If  $f$  and  $\zeta$  are homotopy equivalences, then  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = \text{secat}(\kappa) = \text{secat}(\iota)$ .

*Proof.* 1) and 2) The inequality or equality with  $\text{secat} \kappa$  is just a special case of Proposition 12 where  $g = \text{id}_X$ . The inequality with  $\text{secat} \iota$  is Proposition 9.

3) If we have a homotopy section  $s: Y \rightarrow X$  of  $f$ , then (using the Prism lemma) build the following homotopy pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & A \\ \iota \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\quad s \quad} & X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y \end{array}$$

From 2) we deduce  $\text{secat} \iota \leq \text{secat} p \leq \text{secat} \iota$  and  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = \text{secat} p$ , so  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = \text{secat} \iota$ . The inequality with  $\text{secat} \kappa$  is given by 1).

4) If  $f$  and  $\zeta$  are homotopy equivalences, then both the conditions of 2) and 3) are satisfied, so we have equalities.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.** *For any map  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y$  and space  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have:*

$$\text{secat}(\iota \times \text{id}_Z) = \text{secat}(\iota).$$

*Proof.* The projection  $\text{pr}_1: Y \times Z \rightarrow Y$  has an obvious section. We get the result applying Theorem 15 to the (homotopy) pullback of Remark 3.  $\square$

**Proposition 17.** *For any maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g: W \rightarrow X$ , and  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,*

$$\text{liftcat}_{f \circ g}(\iota) \leq \text{liftcat}_f(\iota) \leq \text{secat}(\iota).$$

*If  $g$  is a homotopy equivalence, then  $\text{liftcat}_{f \circ g}(\iota) = \text{liftcat}_f(\iota)$ .*

*Proof.* Build the following homotopy pullbacks (using the Prism lemma):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & A \\ q \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ W & \xrightarrow{\quad g \quad} & X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y \end{array}$$

By Theorem 15, we have  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = \text{secat}(p)$  and  $\text{liftcat}_{f \circ g}(\iota) = \text{secat}(q)$ , and we also have  $\text{secat}(q) \leq \text{secat}(p) \leq \text{secat}(\iota)$ .

Now if  $g$  is a homotopy equivalence, then so is  $\eta$  and  $\text{secat}(q) = \text{secat}(p)$ , so  $\text{liftcat}_{f \circ g}(\iota) = \text{liftcat}_f(\iota)$ .  $\square$

## 3. HOMOTOPIC DISTANCE

Let's give the definitions of two notions that are special cases of lifting category:

**Definition 18** (Topological complexity). Let  $Y$  be a space in  $\mathcal{T}$ . The ‘topological complexity’ of  $Y$  is  $\text{secat}(\Delta)$  where  $\Delta: Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$  is the diagonal map. It is denoted by  $\text{TC}(Y)$ .

**Definition 19** (Homotopic distance). Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  be two maps in  $\mathcal{T}$ . We define the ‘homotopic distance’ between  $f$  and  $g$  as the lifting category from  $(f, g)$  to  $\Delta$ , where  $(f, g): X \rightarrow Y \times Y$  is the whisker map induced by the product  $Y \times Y$ . We denote  $D(f, g) = \text{liftcat}_{(f, g)}(\Delta)$ .

**Proposition 20.** For any maps  $f, f', g, g': X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $f \simeq f'$  and  $g \simeq g'$ , we have  $D(f, g) = D(f', g')$ .

*Proof.* The whisker maps  $(f, g)$  and  $(f', g')$  are homotopic by the universal property of homotopy pullback. The result follows from Proposition 13.  $\square$

**Proposition 21.** For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have

$$D(f, g) = \text{secat}(q) \leq \text{TC}(Y)$$

where  $q$  is the map in the following homotopy pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & Y \times Y \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 15.  $\square$

**Corollary 22.** For any space  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have  $\text{TC}(Y) = D(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2)$  where  $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$  are the two projections.

*Proof.* The whisker map  $(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2) = \text{id}_{Y \times Y}$ , so the map  $q$  in Proposition 21 is  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

**Remark 23.** Since homotopic distance and topological complexity are lifting categories to  $\Delta: Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ , we may want to build the fat wedges  $T^i(\Delta)$ . It is easier if  $\Delta$  is a cofibration, i.e.  $Y$  is locally equiconnected. In this case,  $Y$  is Hausdorff, see [4, Theorem II.3], so  $\Delta$  is closed, and we can use Remark 6.

**Remark 24.** There is an alternative to the diagonal which is the ‘path fibration’: Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & Y \times Y \\ \swarrow s & & \nearrow \pi \\ & Y^I & \\ \nwarrow e & & \end{array}$$

where  $\Delta = \pi \circ s$ ,  $e \circ s = \text{id}_Y$  and  $s \circ e \simeq \text{id}_{Y^I}$ . (The map  $\pi$  is a ‘fibration replacement’ of  $\Delta$ .) So by Proposition 12,  $D(f, g) = \text{liftcat}_{(f, g)} \Delta = \text{liftcat}_{(f, g)} \pi$  and  $\text{TC}(Y) = \text{secat} \Delta = \text{secat} \pi$ .

**Proposition 25.**  $D(f, g) = 0$  if and only if  $f \simeq g$ .

*Proof.* If  $f \simeq g$ , by Proposition 20,  $D(f, g) = D(f, f)$ , which is 0 since  $\Delta \circ f = (f, f)$ .

Conversely if  $D(f, g) = 0$ , then we have  $l: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\Delta \circ l \simeq (f, g)$ , and composing with the first projection we get  $l = \text{pr}_1 \circ \Delta \circ l \simeq \text{pr}_1 \circ (f, g) = f$  and similarly we get  $l \simeq g$ , so  $f \simeq g$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 26.**  $D(f, g) = D(g, f)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y \\ & & \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f,g)} & Y \times Y & \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_2, \text{pr}_1)} & Y \times Y \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

$(g, f)$

Note that  $(\text{pr}_2, \text{pr}_1)$  switches the copies of  $Y$ . It is a homeomorphism since it is its own inverse, so the result follows from Proposition 12.  $\square$

**Proposition 27.** For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h: W \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have

$$D(f \circ h, g \circ h) \leq D(f, g)$$

and if  $h$  is a homotopy equivalence, we have the equality.

*Proof.* Note that  $(f \circ h, g \circ h) \simeq (f, g) \circ h$  and apply Proposition 17.  $\square$

**Proposition 28.** For any maps  $f, g: W \rightarrow X$  and  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have:

$$D(h \circ f, h \circ g) \leq D(f, g)$$

and if  $h$  is a homotopy equivalence, we have the equality.

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 12 with the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \\ & & \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ W & \xrightarrow{(f,g)} & X \times X & \xrightarrow{h \times h} & Y \times Y \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

$(h \circ f, h \circ g)$

$\square$

**Proposition 29.** For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f', g': X' \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have:

$$D(f \times f', g \times g') = D(f \times g', g \times f').$$

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y \times Y' & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y \times Y' \\ & & \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X \times X' & \xrightarrow{(f \times f', g \times g')} & (Y \times Y') \times (Y \times Y') & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (Y \times Y') \times (Y \times Y') \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

$(f \times g', g \times f')$

where  $\sigma$  is the homeomorphism which leaves the copies of  $Y$  in place and switches those of  $Y'$ . The result is given by Proposition 12.  $\square$



**Proposition 30.** *For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h: X' \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have*

$$D(f \times h, g \times h) = D(f, g).$$

*Proof.* We use the same homotopy pullback as in Proposition 21 with  $D(f, g) = \text{secat}(q)$ . Consider the following homotopy pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P \times X' & \xrightarrow{p \times (h, h)} & Y \times (Y' \times Y') & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y \times (Y' \times Y') \\ q \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \Delta \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ X \times X' & \xrightarrow{(f, g) \times (h, h)} & (Y \times Y) \times (Y' \times Y') & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & (Y \times Y') \times (Y \times Y') \\ & \searrow (f \times h, g \times h) & & & \end{array}$$

where  $\epsilon = (\text{pr}_1 \times \text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2 \times \text{pr}_2)$  switches the copies of  $Y$  and  $Y'$  in the middle and  $\delta = (\text{id} \times \text{pr}_1, \text{id} \times \text{pr}_2)$ . The left square is a homotopy pullback by Proposition 4, and the right square is a homotopy pullback, too, because  $\epsilon$  is a homeomorphism. So the outside rectangle is a homotopy pullback.

Now let's build the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & q \times \text{id} \\ & & & & \curvearrowright \\ P \times X' & & & & X \times X' \\ & \searrow \text{id} & & \nearrow \bar{q} & \\ & \bar{P} & \xrightarrow{v} & P \times X' & \xrightarrow{q \times \text{id}} & X \times X' \\ & \downarrow \bar{p} & & \downarrow p \times (h, h) & & \downarrow (f \times h, g \times h) \\ P \times X' & \xrightarrow{p \times h} & Y \times Y' & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \Delta} & Y \times (Y' \times Y') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (Y \times Y') \times (Y \times Y') \\ & & & & \Delta & & \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{P}$  is the homotopy pullback of  $\text{id} \times \Delta$  and  $p \times (h, h)$ , the right square is the homotopy pullback above, and  $\bar{q} = (q \times \text{id}) \circ v$ . By Corollary 14,  $\text{secat}(q \times \text{id}) \leq \text{secat}(\bar{q})$ . Also we have a whisker map  $w = (p \times h, \text{id}_{P \times X'}): P \times X' \rightarrow \bar{P}$ , and  $\bar{q} \circ w = (q \times \text{id}) \circ v \circ w \simeq (q \times \text{id}) \circ \text{id}_{P \times X'} = q \times \text{id}$ . By Corollary 14 again,  $\text{secat}(\bar{q}) \leq \text{secat}(q \times \text{id})$ . By combining our inequalities, we get  $\text{secat}(\bar{q}) = \text{secat}(q \times \text{id})$ .

But the inside rectangle is a homotopy pullback by the Prism lemma, so by Proposition 21,  $D(f \times h, g \times h) = \text{secat}(\bar{q})$ ; and by Corollary 16,  $\text{secat}(q \times \text{id}) = \text{secat}(q) = D(f, g)$ . So we get the result.  $\square$

#### 4. LIFTING CATEGORY WITH OPEN COVERS

In fact, the preceding definition of the lifting category is motivated by its link with the following definition, which is an extension of the (more or less) original definition of the sectional category:

**Definition 31.** For continuous maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h: A \rightarrow Y$ , let us denote  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}} h$  the least integer  $n$  such that there exists an open cover  $(U_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $X$  with maps  $l_i: U_i \rightarrow A$  such that  $h \circ l_i \simeq f|_{U_i}$  for all  $i$ . If no such cover exists, we denote  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}} h = \infty$ .

If  $f = \text{id}_Y$ , we write  $\text{secat}^{\text{op}} h = \text{liftcat}_{\text{id}_Y}^{\text{op}} h$ .

**Remark 32.** As there is only one cover of  $X$  with one open set (which must be  $X$  itself),  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}}(\iota) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) = 0$ .

It is obvious that:

**Proposition 33.** *Let  $f, f': X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h, h': A \rightarrow Y$  be maps such that  $f \simeq f'$  and  $h \simeq h'$ . Then  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}}(h) = \text{liftcat}_{f'}^{\text{op}}(h')$ .*

It should be noticed that if we work in  $\mathbf{Top}^{\mathbf{w}}$ , all maps preserve basepoints, so each  $U_i$  is supposed to include the basepoint of  $X$ ; also homotopies are defined on the reduced cylinder, and preserve basepoints like all maps. We will focus on the case of  $\mathbf{Top}$  here, but keep in mind that we could do the same job in  $\mathbf{Top}^{\mathbf{w}}$  if we needed pointed spaces and maps. See next section.

By the way, we don't want to work in the category  $\mathbf{Top}^*$  of pointed spaces and maps, because there, the usual pointed homotopy does not fit entirely with the 'model category' framework. See [12, Chapter 10].

We will now examine the link between the lifting category defined before with the Whitehead or Ganea construction, and this lifting category defined with open covers. This is an extension of the classical study of the 'LS category', see [1]. Also compare with [5] for the similar approach of the 'relative category'.

For this we will require that  $X$  be normal, i.e. given any disjoint closed subsets  $F$  and  $G$  of  $X$ , there are disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subset U$  and  $G \subset V$ ; we do not require  $X$  to be Hausdorff. Normality is not a homotopy invariant, it can be annoying. But since the lifting category is a homotopy invariant, we can replace "normal" by "with same (strong) homotopy type as a normal space".

For a continuous map  $h: A \rightarrow Y$  consider the 'mapping cylinder'  $Z_h$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad h \quad} & Y \\ & \searrow \mu & \nearrow r \\ & & Z_h \\ & & \nearrow j \end{array}$$

In this diagram,  $h = r \circ \mu$ , the map  $\mu$  is a closed cofibration,  $r \circ j = \text{id}_Y$  and  $j \circ r \simeq \text{id}_{Z_h} \text{ rel } Y$ . (The map  $\mu$  is a 'cofibration replacement' of  $h$ .)

With these data, it is easy to see:

**Lemma 34.** *We have  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}} h = \text{liftcat}_{j \circ f}^{\text{op}} \mu$ .*

**Remark 35.** This lemma is just an example of a more general fact: Most, if not all, of the properties of  $\text{liftcat}$  established in the previous sections are also valid for  $\text{liftcat}^{\text{op}}$ , as the proofs we have given do not intervene on the open subsets covering the spaces.

Let  $i: A \rightarrow Z$  be a cofibration. Then  $i$  is an embedding, see [9, Theorem 1], so we will regard  $A$  as a subspace of  $Z$ . That being said, there exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $A$  in  $Z$  which is deformable in  $Z$  into  $A \text{ rel } A$ , that is, there exists a homotopy  $G: \mathcal{N} \times I \rightarrow Z$  such that  $G(x, 0) = x$ ,  $G(a, t) = a$  and  $G(x, 1) \in A$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $t \in I$ , see [10, Lemma 4].

We are ready for the main result of this section:

**Theorem 36.** *For any maps  $h: A \rightarrow Y$  and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}}(h) \leq \text{liftcat}_f(h)$  and if  $X$  is normal,  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}}(h) = \text{liftcat}_f(h)$ .*

*In particular, in the case  $f = \text{id}_X$ , for any map  $h: A \rightarrow X$ ,  $\text{secat}^{\text{op}} h \leq \text{secat } h$  and if  $X$  is normal,  $\text{secat}^{\text{op}} h = \text{secat } h$ .*

We point out that there are no conditions on spaces and maps, except normality, making the theorem as broad as possible.

*Proof.* We keep the same data as in Lemma 34, so  $\text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}}(h) = \text{liftcat}_{j \circ f}^{\text{op}}(\mu)$ . On the other hand, Proposition 12 shows that  $\text{liftcat}_{j \circ f}(\mu) = \text{liftcat}_f(h)$ . So we can work with  $\mu$  and  $f' = j \circ f$  rather than with  $h$  and  $f$ .

By definition,  $\text{liftcat}_{f'}(\mu) \leq n$  if and only if there exists a map  $\Phi: X \rightarrow T^n(\mu)$  making the following diagram homotopy commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & T^n(\mu) & \\ & & \nearrow \Phi & \downarrow t_n & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f'} & Z_h & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{n+1}} & Z_h^{n+1}. \end{array}$$

From Remark 6, we regard the cofibrations  $\mu$  and  $t_n$  as inclusions and consider that  $T^n(\mu) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n p_i^{-1}(A) \subseteq Z_h^{n+1}$  where  $p_i$  is the  $i$ -th projection  $p_i: Z_h^{n+1} \rightarrow Z_h$ .

Assume we have the map  $\Phi$  and the homotopy  $H: X \times I \rightarrow Z_h^{n+1}$  between  $\Delta_{n+1} \circ f'$  and  $t_n \circ \Phi$ .

Consider the open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $A$  in  $Z_h$  which is deformable in  $Z_h$  into  $A$  with some homotopy  $G$  rel  $A$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $h_i = p_i \circ t_n \circ \Phi$  and  $U_i = h_i^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ . As  $(t_n \circ \Phi)(X) \subseteq T^n(\mu) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n p_i^{-1}(A)$ , we have  $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i$ .

Now we can define homotopies  $L_i: U_i \times I \rightarrow Z_h$  by:

$$L_i(u, t) = \begin{cases} p_i(H(u, 2t)) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ G(h_i(u), 2t - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Each  $L_i$  is well defined because  $p_i(H(u, 1)) = p_i((t_n \circ \Phi)(u)) = h_i(u) = G(h_i(u), 0)$ . We have  $L_i(u, 0) = f'(u)$  and  $L_i(u, 1) \in A$ , so  $L_i$  is a homotopy between  $f'|_{U_i}$  and  $\mu \circ l_i$  where  $l_i = L_i(-, 1)$ .

Conversely assume we have an open cover  $(U_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $X$  and homotopies  $K_i: U_i \times I \rightarrow Z_h$  with  $K_i(u, 0) = f'(u)$  and  $K_i(u, 1) \in A$ . Choose a closed subset  $F_i \subset U_i$  (possibly the empty set) in each  $U_i$ . If  $X$  is normal, we have two more open covers  $(V_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $(W_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $X$  such that

$$F_i \subset W_i \subset \overline{W_i} \subset V_i \subset \overline{V_i} \subset U_i.$$

Moreover, as  $\overline{W_i}$  and  $\mathbb{C}V_i = X \setminus V_i$  are disjoint closed subspaces of the normal space  $X$ , we have an Urysohn map  $\varphi_i: X \rightarrow I$  with  $\varphi_i(\overline{W_i}) = 1$  and  $\varphi_i(\mathbb{C}V_i) = 0$ .

Now we define  $H_i: X \times I \rightarrow Z_h$  by:

$$H_i(x, t) = \begin{cases} f'(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{C}\overline{V_i} \\ K_i(x, t\varphi_i(x)) & \text{if } x \in U_i. \end{cases}$$

Each  $H_i$  is well defined because if  $x \in \mathbb{C}\overline{V_i} \cap U_i$ , then  $K_i(x, t\varphi_i(x)) = K_i(x, 0) = f'(x)$ .

Let  $H = (H_0, \dots, H_n): X \times I \rightarrow Z_h^{n+1}$  and  $\Phi = H(-, 1)$ . We have  $H(-, 0) = \Delta_{n+1} \circ f'$ . On the other hand, for any  $x \in X$ , there is (at least) one  $i$  such that  $x \in W_i$ , so  $\varphi_i(x) = 1$  and  $H_i(x, 1) = K_i(x, 1) \in A$ ; this means that  $\Phi(X) \subseteq T^n(\mu)$ . Thus  $H$  is a homotopy between  $\Delta_{n+1} \circ f'$  and  $t_n \circ \Phi$ .  $\square$

Let's take a look at the homotopic distance with open covers. It was first defined in [7] as follows:

**Definition 37.** The ‘homotopic distance’  $D^{\text{op}}(f, g)$  between  $f$  and  $g$  is the least integer  $n$  such that there exists an open cover  $(U_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $X$  with the property that  $f|_{U_i} \simeq g|_{U_i}$  for all  $i$ . If no such cover exists, let  $D^{\text{op}}(f, g) = \infty$ .

**Proposition 38.** *For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ , we have  $D^{\text{op}}(f, g) = \text{liftcat}_{(f, g)}^{\text{op}} \pi$  where  $\pi: Y^I \rightarrow Y \times Y$  is the path fibration.*

*Proof.* If we have a homotopy  $H_i: U_i \times I \rightarrow Y$  between  $f|_{U_i}$  and  $g|_{U_i}$ , then we can define  $l_i: U_i \rightarrow Y^I$  by  $l_i(x) = H_i(x, -)$  and we have  $\pi \circ l_i = (f, g)|_{U_i}$ . Conversely if we have a map  $l_i: U_i \rightarrow Y^I$  such that  $\pi \circ l_i \simeq (f, g)|_{U_i}$ , then we also have a map  $l'_i: U_i \rightarrow Y^I$  such that  $\pi \circ l'_i = (f, g)|_{U_i}$  (because  $\pi$  is a fibration), and we can define a homotopy  $H_i$  between  $f|_{U_i}$  and  $g|_{U_i}$  by  $H_i(x, t) = l'_i(x)(t)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 39.** *For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $X$  normal,  $D(f, g) = D^{\text{op}}(f, g)$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from Remark 24 and Theorem 36.  $\square$

From here on, we always use the notations  $\text{liftcat}$  and  $D$  (resp.  $\text{secat}$ ) when the domain (resp. codomain) of the maps is normal, as the notions coincide with  $\text{liftcat}^{\text{op}}$  and  $D^{\text{op}}$  (resp.  $\text{secat}^{\text{op}}$ ) in this case.

## 5. THE POINTED CASE

As noted above, in **Top**, opens are not supposed to include any specific point, while in **Top<sup>w</sup>** they must include the basepoint; also in **Top**, homotopies are free while in **Top<sup>w</sup>**, homotopies are pointed.

Theorem 36 remains valid if moved to **Top<sup>w</sup>**, with no change in the proof: The homotopies  $L_i$  built in the first part and  $H_i$  in the second part will naturally be pointed, and the new covers will be built with opens  $V_i$  and  $W_i$  that contain the basepoint  $x_0$  of  $X$  provided we choose  $F_i = \{x_0\}$  for all  $i$ .

*A priori*, (apart from the trivial case where  $x_0$  is isolated) there is no reason why the lifting category should be equal whether it is calculated in **Top** or in **Top<sup>w</sup>**; the latter could be greater than the former. Fortunately, we have the next result:

**Theorem 40.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $X$  normal, and  $h: A \rightarrow Y$  be maps in **Top<sup>w</sup>**. If  $\text{liftcat}_f(h) = n > 0$  when  $f$  and  $h$  are seen in **Top** (via the forgetful functor) then  $\text{liftcat}_f(h) = n$  in **Top<sup>w</sup>**.*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $X$  is connected; if it were not, it would suffice to stick to the connected component of its basepoint  $x_0$ .

By hypothesis, there exists an open cover  $(U_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $X$ ,  $U_i \subsetneq X$ , with maps  $l_i: U_i \rightarrow A$  and homotopies  $H_i: U_i \times I \rightarrow Y$  between  $f|_{U_i}$  and  $h \circ l_i$  for all  $i$ . But not every  $U_i$  contains the basepoint  $x_0$  of  $X$ , the maps  $l_i$  are not pointed, and the homotopies  $H_i$  are free.

As  $X$  is well-pointed,  $\{x_0\}$  is closed in  $X$  and there is an open neighbourhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $x_0$  deformable in  $X$  into  $\{x_0\}$  with some pointed homotopy  $G \text{ rel } \{x_0\}$ . We may assume that  $x_0 \notin \overline{U_i} \setminus U_i$  for any  $i$  because if  $x_0 \in \overline{U_i} \setminus U_i$  for some  $i$ , the normality of  $X$  allows us to replace  $U_i$  with a bit smaller open subset, keeping the covering of  $X$ . Assume that  $x_0 \in U_i$  for  $i \leq k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) and  $x_0 \notin U_i$  for  $i > k$ . Let:

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{N} \cap U_0 \cap \cdots \cap U_k \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}U_{k+1}} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}U_n} \subsetneq X.$$

The basepoint  $x_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}$ , and by normality of  $X$ , we have two open subsets  $V$  and  $W$  such that:

$$x_0 \in W \subsetneq \overline{W} \subsetneq V \subsetneq \overline{V} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}.$$

(The connectedness of  $X$  ensures that all these inclusions are strict.) Let  $S_0 = W$  and  $S_i = V$  for each  $i > 0$ , and let  $U'_i = (U_i \setminus \overline{S_i}) \cup S_i$  for all  $i$ . Note that  $U_i \setminus \overline{S_i}$  and  $S_i$  are disjoint open sets, the latter containing  $x_0$ . Actually, if  $i > k$ ,  $\overline{S_i} = \overline{V} \subset \overline{U_i}$ , so  $U_i \setminus \overline{S_i} = U_i$ . The opens  $(U'_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  cover  $X$  because  $U_i \subset U'_i$  for  $i > k$ , and for  $i \leq k$ , the difference between  $U'_i$  and  $U_i$  is  $\overline{S_i} \setminus S_i$ , but  $\overline{V} \setminus V$  is contained in  $U'_0$  and  $\overline{W} \setminus W$  is contained in  $U'_1$ , so it's good. We can now define pointed homotopies  $K_i: U'_i \times I \rightarrow X$ :

$$K_i(x, t) = \begin{cases} H_i(x, t) & \text{if } x \in U_i \setminus \overline{S_i} \\ (f \circ G)(x, t) & \text{if } x \in S_i. \end{cases}$$

We have  $K_i(-, 0) = f|_{U'_i}$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in U_i \setminus \overline{S_i}$ ,  $K_i(-, 1) = H_i(-, 1) = h \circ l_i$  and if  $x \in S_i$ ,  $K_i(x, 1) = f(x_0) = y_0 = h(a_0)$ , where  $y_0$  is the basepoint of  $Y$  and  $a_0$  is the basepoint of  $A$ . So  $K_i$  is a pointed homotopy between  $f|_{U'_i}$  and  $h \circ l'_i$  where  $l'_i: U'_i \rightarrow A$  is defined by:

$$l'_i(x) = \begin{cases} l_i(x) & \text{if } x \in U_i \setminus \overline{S_i} \\ a_0 & \text{if } x \in S_i. \end{cases}$$

□

**Example 41.** Curiously enough,  $n > 0$  is a necessary condition for Theorem 40:

Consider the *comb space*  $C$  and the space  $Z \cong C \cup \{(0, y) \mid 1 \leq y \leq 2\}$ . It is well known that  $C$  is path-connected and contractible, so  $Z$  too, and  $\text{secat}$  of any map to  $Z$  is 0 if computed in **Top**. Let's give  $(0, 2)$  as a basepoint to  $Z$ . The inclusion  $\mu: \{(0, 2)\} \hookrightarrow Z$  is a closed cofibration, so that  $Z$  is in **Top<sup>w</sup>**.

We have  $\text{secat}(\mu) = 0$  if computed in **Top**. But  $Z$  is not deformable into  $\{(0, 2)\}$  with a pointed homotopy. So  $\text{secat}(\mu) \neq 0$  if computed in **Top<sup>w</sup>**.

Actually  $\text{secat}(\mu) = 1$  if computed in **Top<sup>w</sup>**. Indeed we can cover  $Z$  with  $U_0 = \{(0, y) \mid \frac{5}{4} < y \leq 2\}$  and  $U_1 = C \cup \{(0, y) \mid 1 \leq y < \frac{3}{2} \text{ or } \frac{7}{4} < y \leq 2\}$ , and these two opens can be deformed into  $\{(0, 2)\}$  in  $Z$  with a pointed homotopy.

## 6. TRIANGULAR INEQUALITY

**Theorem 42** (Triangular inequality). [7, Proposition 3.16] *Let  $X$  be a normal space. For any maps  $f, g, h: X \rightarrow Y$ , we have:*

$$D(f, h) \leq D(f, g) + D(g, h).$$

Proposition 25, Proposition 26 and Theorem 42 show that for spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , with  $X$  normal, the homotopic distance is indeed a distance on the homotopy class of maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

**Proposition 43.** *For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f', g': Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $X$  and  $Y$  are normal, we have:*

$$D(f' \circ f, g' \circ g) \leq D(f, g) + D(f', g').$$

*Proof.* Apply the triangular inequality to  $f' \circ f$ ,  $f' \circ g$  and  $g' \circ g$ . So we have:

$$D(f' \circ f, g' \circ g) \leq D(f' \circ f, f' \circ g) + D(f' \circ g, g' \circ g) \leq D(f, g) + D(f', g').$$

The last inequality is given by Propositions 28 and 27. □

**Proposition 44.** *For any maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f', g': X' \rightarrow Y'$  such that  $X, X'$  and  $X \times X'$  are normal, we have:*

$$D(f \times f', g \times g') \leq D(f, g) + D(f', g').$$

*Proof.* Apply the triangular inequality to  $f \times f', g \times f'$  and  $g \times g'$ . So we have:

$$D(f \times f', g \times g') \leq D(f \times f', g \times f') + D(g \times f', g \times g') = D(f, g) + D(f', g').$$

The last equality is given by Proposition 30.  $\square$

As a particular case we have:

**Corollary 45.** *For any spaces  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $(A \times A), (B \times B)$  and  $(A \times A) \times (B \times B)$  are normal, we have:*

$$\text{TC}(A \times B) \leq \text{TC}(A) + \text{TC}(B).$$

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 44 where  $f: A \times A \rightarrow A$  and  $f': B \times B \rightarrow B$  (resp.  $g: A \times A \rightarrow A$  and  $g': B \times B \rightarrow B$ ) are the projections onto the first (resp. second) factor, and use Corollary 22.  $\square$

## 7. LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMANN CATEGORY

In this section, we work with ‘constant maps’  $c: * \rightarrow Y$ , where  $*$  denotes the space with a single element. A map  $n: X \rightarrow Y$  is ‘constant’ (resp. ‘nullhomotopic’) if there is a commutative (resp. homotopy commutative) diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{n} & Y \\ & \searrow & \nearrow c \\ & * & \end{array}$$

Note that for all constants maps to an unpointed space  $Y$  to be homotopic,  $Y$  must be path-connected.

**Definition 46** (LS category). We define the ‘category of a map’  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , with  $Y$  path-connected, as the lifting category from  $f$  to any constant map  $c: * \rightarrow Y$ . We denote  $\text{cat}(f) = \text{liftcat}_f(c)$ .

The category of  $\text{id}_Y$  is called ‘category of the space’  $Y$ . We denote  $\text{cat}(Y) = \text{cat}(\text{id}_Y) = \text{secat}(c)$ .

In the same way, we denote  $\text{cat}^{\text{op}}(f) = \text{liftcat}_f^{\text{op}}(c)$  and  $\text{cat}^{\text{op}}(Y) = \text{secat}^{\text{op}}(c)$ .

This notion of category is actually the very first of its kind and is known as the ‘Lusternik-Schnirelmann category’.

The path-connectedness is required in **Top** for the notion to be well defined. Indeed if  $Y$  is not path-connected we have constant maps  $c_1: * \rightarrow Y$  and  $c_2: * \rightarrow Y$  with  $c_1 \not\cong c_2$ , so  $\text{liftcat}_{c_1}(c_1) = 0$  while  $\text{liftcat}_{c_1}(c_2) = \infty$ . The path-connectedness is not required in **Top<sup>w</sup>** because there, for any space  $X$ , there is only one constant map  $* \rightarrow X$  which is the inclusion of the basepoint; so “path-connected” may be omitted in **Top<sup>w</sup>**.

Warning: The most common definition of the LS category of a space  $Y$  is the least integer  $n$  such that  $Y$  can be covered by  $n + 1$  open subsets  $U_i$  such that the inclusion  $U_i \hookrightarrow Y$  is nullhomotopic. Of course this definition coincides with ours if  $Y$  is path-connected. If not, it cannot be expressed in terms of  $\text{secat}^{\text{op}}(c)$  for one specific constant map  $c$ .

**Proposition 47.** *For any map  $h: A \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , with  $Y$  path-connected, we have:  $\text{secat}(h) \leq \text{cat}(Y)$ . If  $h$  is nullhomotopic, then  $\text{secat}(h) = \text{cat}(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 14 where  $\iota = h$  and  $\kappa$  is a constant map  $* \rightarrow Y$  to get the inequality. If  $h$  is nullhomotopic, apply the same corollary where  $\iota$  is a constant map and  $\kappa = h$  to get the inequality in the other direction.  $\square$

**Proposition 48.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\iota: A \rightarrow Y$  be maps in  $\mathcal{T}$ , with  $X$  path-connected. We have:*

$$\text{liftcat}_f(\iota) \leq \inf\{\text{cat}(X), \text{secat}(\iota)\}.$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 15 with  $B = *$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 49.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be any map in  $\mathcal{T}$ , with  $X$  and  $Y$  path-connected. We have:*

$$\text{cat}(f) \leq \inf\{\text{cat}(X), \text{cat}(Y)\}.$$

**Corollary 50.** *Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  be maps in  $\mathcal{T}$ , with  $X$  path-connected. We have:*

$$D(f, g) \leq \inf\{\text{cat}(X), \text{TC}(Y)\}.$$

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 48 with  $\iota = \Delta$ .  $\square$

For path-connected spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we denote  $\text{in}_1 = (\text{id}_X, n): X \rightarrow X \times Y$ , where  $n: X \rightarrow Y$  is a constant map, in the following (homotopy) pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{id}_X & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\text{in}_1} & X \times Y & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 & & \downarrow \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \end{array}$$

and in the same way, we denote  $\text{in}_2 = (n', \text{id}_Y): Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ , where  $n': Y \rightarrow X$  is a constant map.

**Proposition 51.** *Assume  $X$  is path-connected and let  $n_X: X \rightarrow X$  be a constant map. We have:*

$$\text{cat}(X) = D(\text{id}_X, n_X) \leq \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{cat}(X \times X).$$

*Proof.* Using the Prism lemma in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ \downarrow c & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X & \xrightarrow{\text{in}_1} & X \times X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \end{array}$$

we see that the upper square is a homotopy pullback. Apply Theorem 15 to it; we get  $\text{cat}(X) = \text{secat}(c) = \text{liftcat}_{\text{in}_1}(\Delta) = D(\text{id}_X, n_X) \leq \text{secat}(\Delta) = \text{TC}(X)$ . Proposition 47 gives the last inequality.  $\square$

**Corollary 52.** *If  $X$  is path-connected, we have  $D(\text{in}_1, \text{in}_2) = \text{cat}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Using the equality of Proposition 51, and Proposition 28, we have:  $\text{cat}(X) = D(\text{id}_X, n_X) = D(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{in}_1, \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{in}_2) \leq D(\text{in}_1, \text{in}_2)$ . But also we have:  $D(\text{in}_1, \text{in}_2) \leq \text{cat}(X)$  by Corollary 50.  $\square$

**Proposition 53.** *For any path-connected normal spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $X \times Y$  is normal, we have:*

$$\text{cat}(X \times Y) \leq \text{cat}(X) + \text{cat}(Y).$$

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 44 with  $f = \text{id}_X$ ,  $f' = \text{id}_Y$ ,  $g = n_X$ , and  $g' = n_Y$  and use the equality of Proposition 51.  $\square$

**Example 54.** Normality is a necessary condition for this inequality, and therefore also the triangular inequality, to be true:

Consider the *pseudocircle*, that is the (path-connected) space  $S = \{a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2\}$  in **Top** whose open sets are  $\emptyset$ ,  $\{b_i\}$  ( $i = 1$  or  $2$ ),  $\{b_1, b_2\}$ ,  $\{b_1, b_2, a_i\}$  ( $i = 1$  or  $2$ ) and  $S$ .

There is a weak homotopy equivalence  $f: \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow S$  from the circle to  $S$ , but  $S$  has not the homotopy type of any normal space  $X$ , even non Hausdorff.

The space  $S$  can not be deformed into a point by any homotopy. On the other hand, the two sets  $U_i = \{b_1, b_2, a_i\}$  ( $i = 1$  or  $2$ ) cover  $S$  and their inclusion in  $S$  are nullhomotopic. So  $\text{cat}^{\text{op}}(S) = 1$ .

The space  $S \times S$  is covered by the four opens  $U_i \times U_j$  ( $i$  and  $j = 1$  or  $2$ ), so  $\text{cat}^{\text{op}}(S \times S) \leq 3$ . But for any open set  $V$  of  $S \times S$  that contains at least two of the four points  $(a_i, a_j)$  ( $i$  and  $j = 1$  or  $2$ ), the inclusion of  $V$  in  $S \times S$  is not nullhomotopic. So four open sets with nullhomotopic inclusion in  $S \times S$  are necessary to cover  $S \times S$ , so  $\text{cat}^{\text{op}}(S \times S) = 3$ . See [13, Example 3.5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Octavian Cornea, Gregory Lupton, John Oprea, and Daniel Tanré. *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, volume 103 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [2] Jean-Paul Doeraene. L.S.-category in a model category. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 84(3):215–261, 1993.
- [3] Jean-Paul Doeraene and Mohammed El Haouari. Up-to-one approximations for sectional category and topological complexity. *Topology and its Appl.*, 160:766–783, 2013.
- [4] Eldon Dyer and Samuel Eilenberg. An adjunction theorem for locally equiconnected spaces. *Pacific Journ. of Math.*, 41(3):669–685, 1972.
- [5] José Manuel García-Calines. A note on covers defining relative and sectional categories. *Topology and its Appl.*, 265:1–14, 2019.
- [6] José Manuel García-Calines and Lucile Vandembroucq. Weak sectional category. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 82(3):621–642, 2010.
- [7] Enrique Macías-Virgós and David Mosquera-Lois. Homotopic distance between maps. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 172:73–93, 2021.
- [8] Michael Mather. Pull-backs in homotopy theory. *Canad. Journ. Math.*, 28(2):225–263, 1976.
- [9] Arne Strøm. Note on cofibrations. *Math. Scand.*, 19:11–14, 1966.
- [10] Arne Strøm. Note on cofibrations. II. *Math. Scand.*, 22:130–142 (1969), 1968.
- [11] Arne Strøm. The homotopy category is a homotopy category. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 23:435–441, 1972.
- [12] Jeffrey Strom. *Modern classical homotopy theory*, volume 127 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.
- [13] Kohei Tanaka. A combinatorial description of topological complexity for finite space. *Algebraic and geometric topology*, 18:779–796, 2018.