

Testing the Starobinsky model of inflation with resonant cavities

Subhendra Mohanty*

*Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur,
Kalyanpur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 208016, India and
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal,
Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh - 462066, India*

Sukanta Panda[†] and Archit Vidyarthi[‡]

*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal,
Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh - 462066, India*

(Dated: March 11, 2025)

We show that in the Starobinsky inflation model stochastic gravitational waves are produced when the scalaron - which is the massive scalar mode of the metric - decays into gravitons during reheating. This decay is accompanied by decay of scalaron into matter as well through a similar coupling, proving an efficient reheating stage. The stochastic gravitational waves thus produced have characteristic strain $h_c \sim 10^{-35} - 10^{-34}$ in the frequency range $10^5 - 10^{12}$ Hz which makes them accessible to resonant cavity searches for graviton to photon conversions. Their detection could conclusively validate the Starobinsky inflation model.

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflationary models of the early universe provide [1, 2] a compelling framework for explaining the observed large-scale homogeneity, isotropy, and the generation of superhorizon primordial perturbations observed in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) anisotropy [3]. Among the inflation models still viable in the light of experimental constraints on temperature and polarization anisotropies [4], is the Starobinsky model of inflation [5]. The Starobinsky model which is based on an one parameter modifications R^2 to general relativity, is economical as the inflaton emerges from the scalar component of the spacetime metric. A crucial aspect of such models is the post-inflationary reheating phase, during which the inflaton decays into standard model (SM) and beyond-standard model particles, potentially sourcing secondary gravitational wave (GW) production [6].

In this work, we investigate the generation of a stochastic gravitational wave background (SGWB) through the decay of the inflaton in the Starobinsky model during reheating. Specifically, we find decay channels in the Jordan frame that provide an efficient reheating stage as well as produce detectable gravitational waves. Unlike the primordial tensor perturbations typically studied in inflationary models, these secondary GWs can emerge at frequencies accessible to laboratory-scale experiments, specifically electromagnetic resonant cavities. We analyze the spectral properties of these GWs assuming no modifications to the standard Starobinsky inflation paradigm. By bridging the gap between inflationary dynamics and laboratory experiments, this study highlights the potential for direct detection of early-universe GW

signals and exploring potential signatures of high-energy physics within accessible experimental frameworks.

The paper is structured as follows: First, in Section II, we transform the Starobinsky action to obtain an equivalent nonminimal scalar-tensor theory in the Jordan frame and then obtain the relevant vertices that allow scalaron decay into gravitons. Next, in Section III, we find decay channels that allow the production of SM particles, ensuring a successful reheating stage. In Section IV, we calculate the characteristic strain that would be caused by GWs produced during the reheating era and compare our results against detectability curves for the proposed electromagnetic resonant cavity experiments. Finally, in Section V, we discuss the results and their implications for future investigations in this area.

II. STAROBINSKY INFLATION IN JORDAN FRAME

The action we consider for our analysis is,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(R + \frac{\alpha}{2M_P^2} R^2 \right) + \mathcal{L}_{SM} \right], \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{SM} represents the Standard Model (SM) Lagrangian. For this section, we shall focus on the Starobinsky action. Using Lagrange multiplier method to introduce an auxiliary scalar, we have,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{M_P^2}{2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha \chi^2}{M_P^2} \right) R - \frac{\alpha \chi^4}{4} \right], \quad (2)$$

Note that though we have successfully separated the longitudinal scalar mode, in the form of field χ , and expressed the action in linear order of R , χ is still non-dynamical. One way to obtain the relevant kinetic term for χ is to perform a Weyl transformation,

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{\alpha \chi^2}{M_P^2} \right) g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

* mohantys@iitk.ac

† sukanta@iiserb.ac.in

‡ archit17@iiserb.ac.in

for the action (2) to obtain a scalar-tensor theory with a canonicalizable kinetic term [7]. Here, we attempt to obtain a kinetic term without sacrificing the non-minimal coupling between the scalar field and gravity. To do so, we first expand gravitational perturbations about a Minkowski background,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

where $\kappa = 2/M_P$. Then we can write, up to quadratic order in $h_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-g} \frac{M_P^2}{2} R = & M_P (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \square h) - \partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu h \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\rho\nu} + \frac{1}{M_P} \mathcal{O}(h^3). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Next, we perform the following linear transformation to obtain a kinetic term for the scalar degree of freedom (DOF),

$$h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} n \kappa \chi^2, \quad (6)$$

where for $n = \frac{\alpha}{4}$ the cross kinetic terms in (5) cancel out. Then from (2), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d^4x \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha \chi^2}{M_P^2} \right) \left(-\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu h + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\rho\nu} \right) - \frac{3\alpha^2}{4M_P^2} \partial_\mu \chi^2 \partial^\mu \chi^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha^2}{M_P^3} \chi^2 (\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \chi^2 - \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu \chi^2) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3\alpha^3}{4M_P^4} \chi^2 \partial_\mu \chi^2 \partial^\mu \chi^2 - \frac{\alpha \chi^4}{4} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

up to quadratic order in $h_{\mu\nu}$ where we have omitted corrections from $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ terms in (5). Canonicalizing the scalar DOF using the transformation,

$$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\alpha}{M_P} \chi^2 = \zeta, \quad (8)$$

we arrive at the action,

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d^4x \left[\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\zeta}{M_P} \right) \left(-\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu h + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\rho\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \zeta \partial^\mu \zeta - \frac{M_P^2}{6\alpha} \zeta^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\zeta}{M_P} (\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \zeta - \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu \zeta) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{\zeta}{M_P} \partial_\mu \zeta \partial^\mu \zeta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

At cubic order in $h_{\mu\nu}$, the aforementioned shift (6) and canonicalization (8) transformations result in additional third-order coupling terms between ζ and $h_{\mu\nu}$. This coupling could not have been obtained in the Einstein frame

and is in agreement with previous analyses [8]. For typographical ease, we'll only list terms relevant to the present calculation as we proceed.

We identify ζ as the scalar DOF associated with the Starobinsky inflation model called the scalaron whose mass $M_\zeta = M_P/\sqrt{3\alpha}$ is constrained to $\approx 3 \times 10^{13}$ GeV from CMB observations [9]. We have, therefore, obtained an equivalent nonminimal scalar-tensor theory in the Jordan that features a scalaron coupled with two gravitons. It is straightforward to see at this stage that we have two distinct processes through which the inflaton could produce gravitons during the reheating stage: inflaton decay (suppressed by M_P), and inflaton annihilation (suppressed by M_P^2 since it requires two 3-vertices and off-shell mediation). Since inflaton decay is the leading order process, our analysis from this point forward shall only consider that.

III. REHEATING THROUGH INFLATON DECAY

It should also be noted that since in the standard picture (1), we assume that \mathcal{L}_{SM} is minimally coupled with gravity,

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{SM}, \quad (10)$$

the translation (6) also provides vertices that would enable the inflaton to decay into SM particles. From (10),

$$\int d^4x \left(1 + \frac{h}{M_P} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{\zeta}{M_P} \right) \mathcal{L}_{SM}. \quad (11)$$

Given that the mass of SM particles $\ll M_\zeta$, the leading order terms contributing to the decay are the kinetic terms that resemble the structure,

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{\zeta}{M_P} \partial_\mu X^i \partial^\mu X^i, \quad (12)$$

where we have included contribution to the vertex from $g^{\mu\nu}$ present in the kinetic term, and X^i represents all species of SM particles expected to be produced during reheating. Though various species of SM particles have varied kinetic terms that the inflaton couples with, this approximation using a set of scalar DOFs can provide a valuable estimate for whether this mechanism for reheating is sufficient. The squared matrix element for each $\zeta \rightarrow X^i X^i$ is,

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{M_\zeta^4}{M_P^2}, \quad (13)$$

and the corresponding decay rate can be given as,

$$\Gamma_i = \frac{M_\zeta^3}{24\pi M_P^2}, \quad (14)$$

which for the entire SM would give,

$$\Gamma_{SM} = \sum_i \Gamma_i = g_{reh} \times \frac{M_\zeta^3}{24\pi M_P^2}, \quad (15)$$

where $g_{reh} \approx 106.75$ is the effective number of relativistic DOFs in the SM plasma at reheating. It is expected that reheating begins when $H_{reh} \sim \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma_{SM} + \Gamma_{hh}$ is the total rate of decay of the scalaron and Γ_{hh} represents the rate of decay of scalaron to gravitons. Then using,

$$\rho_{reh} = 3M_P^2 H_{reh}^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_{reh} T_{reh}^4, \quad (16)$$

we can estimate the reheating temperature as,

$$T_{reh} = \left(\frac{90 M_P^2 \Gamma^2}{\pi^2 g_{reh}} \right)^{1/4}, \quad (17)$$

which can be calculated once we find Γ_{hh} in the next section. Note that thus far we have only considered direct inflaton decay into SM particles. This process may also be supplemented by graviton mediated diagrams and various other scattering process which would inevitably be further suppressed compared to the inflaton decay considered here. Hence, the results produced in this section are expected to be a good estimate.

Observations place a constraint on the number of e-folds during reheating: $N_{reh} \lesssim 20$ [10], where,

$$N_{reh} \approx \frac{1}{3(1 + \omega_{reh})} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_{eoi}}{\rho_{reh}} \right). \quad (18)$$

Here, ω_{reh} is the effective equation of state parameter during the reheating stage, $\rho_{eoi} = 3M_P^2 H_{eoi}^2$ is the energy density at the end of inflation and $\rho_{reh} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_{reh} T_{reh}^4$ is the energy density during reheating.

For (9), we have,

$$w_{reh} \approx \frac{\langle \dot{\zeta}^2 \rangle - \langle M_\zeta^2 \zeta^2 \rangle}{\langle \dot{\zeta}^2 \rangle + \langle M_\zeta^2 \zeta^2 \rangle} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\langle \zeta \rangle}{M_P} \right) \approx 0. \quad (19)$$

which corresponds to an early matter domination stage. This is because during reheating, ζ oscillates rapidly about its minimum, implying that $\langle \dot{\zeta}^2 \rangle = \langle M_\zeta^2 \zeta^2 \rangle$ and kinetic interaction terms, which scale as $\langle \zeta \rangle / M_P \approx 0$ around the minimum of the inflaton potential $M_\zeta^2 \zeta^2 / 2$, vanish. Assuming the Hubble parameter at the end of inflation, $H_{eoi} \approx 10^{13}$ GeV, we find that, $N_{reh} \approx 14.42$ e-folds.

IV. STOCHASTIC GRAVITATIONAL WAVES THROUGH INFLATON DECAY

Considering the transverse-traceless gauge condition, from action (9), only one term contributes to this process:

$$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}M_P} \zeta \partial_\rho h_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h^{\mu\nu}. \quad (20)$$

Now, as stated earlier, the $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ terms (as mentioned in (5)) can also provide ζhh interaction terms once they undergo the shift (6) and canonicalization (8) operations. These terms are listed below,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{M_P} (h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho h_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho \zeta - \frac{1}{2} \zeta \partial_\rho h_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h^{\mu\nu} + \zeta \partial_\rho h^{\mu\sigma} \partial_\sigma h_\mu^\rho). \quad (21)$$

Combining the two, we can obtain the required ζhh 3-vertex factor that contributes to this process. In momentum space representation, we have,

$$V_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}^{\zeta hh} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{i}{M_P} (-p^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} + 2k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta_{\mu\nu} - 2k_{1\alpha} k_{2\mu}) \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (22)$$

where p is used to represent the momentum of incoming particle ζ and $k_{1,2}$ represent the momenta of outgoing gravitons. The corresponding scattering amplitude can be calculated as follows,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} = V_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}^{\zeta hh} \times \epsilon^{(\lambda_1)\mu\nu}(k_1) \epsilon^{(\lambda_2)\alpha\beta}(k_2). \quad (23)$$

Using the standard relations, we find the squared matrix element is,

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{M_\zeta^4}{M_P^2}, \quad (24)$$

where we have used the center of mass frame for which $k_\mu k^\mu = M_\zeta^2 / 2$. The corresponding decay rate for $\zeta \rightarrow hh$ process is,

$$\Gamma_{hh} = \frac{1}{48\pi} \frac{M_\zeta^3}{M_P^2}. \quad (25)$$

Using this, the number of extra relativistic DOFs produced during reheating can be calculated as well [11],

$$\Delta N_{eff} = 2.85 \frac{\Gamma_{hh}}{\Gamma_{SM}} \approx 0.014, \quad (26)$$

which is in agreement with the upper bound $\Delta N_{eff} \lesssim 0.2$ posed by Planck constraints [12]. Also, now that we have an expression for Γ_{hh} , we can calculate the reheating temperature substituting $\Gamma \sim \Gamma_{SM}$ in (17) which gives $T_{reh} \approx 5.79 \times 10^{10}$ GeV.

Corresponding to the transition from inflation to reheating, we can now find the required IR cutoff frequency,

$$f_{min} = \frac{M_\zeta}{4\pi} \frac{a_i}{a_0} = \frac{M_\zeta}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\rho_{reh}}{\rho_{inf}} \right)^{1/3} \frac{T_0}{T_{reh}} \left(\frac{g_0}{g_{reh}} \right)^{1/3} \approx 6.23 \times 10^{-19} \text{ GeV} \approx 1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ Hz}. \quad (27)$$

where $g_0 = 2 + 7/8 \cdot (6 \cdot 4/11)$, and for the calculation of ρ_{inf} , we have assumed $H_{inf} \approx 6 \times 10^{13}$ GeV. The energy density spectrum for GWs produced via inflaton decay during an early matter domination stage [13] is given as,

$$\frac{d\Omega_{hh}}{d \log k} = \frac{16k^4}{M_\zeta^4} \frac{\rho_{reh}}{\rho_0} \frac{\Gamma_{hh}}{H_{reh}} \frac{1}{\gamma(k)} e^{-\gamma(k)}, \quad (28)$$




FIG. 1: Detection is shown to be possible through resonant cavity experiments. Note that while for other experiments the respective shaded regions indicate the region of detectability of GWs, for JURA, ALPS II, ALPS, and OSQAR it is actually the area below the shaded regions, corresponding to their respective range of frequencies, that is detectable.

where $k = 2\pi f$, ρ_0 is the energy density of the universe at present time, and,

$$\gamma(k) = \left(\left(\frac{g_{reh}}{g_0} \right)^{1/3} \frac{T_{reh}}{T_0} \frac{2k}{M_\zeta} \right)^{3/2}. \quad (29)$$

GW spectra are typically expressed in terms of the characteristic strain $h_c(f)$ for frequency f which is closely related to the energy density spectrum as,

$$h_c(f) = \sqrt{\frac{3H_0^2}{f^2} \frac{d\Omega_{hh}}{d\log f}}. \quad (30)$$

Now, using (28) and (29) and substituting values of various quantities, we arrive at the expression,

$$\begin{aligned} h_c(f) &\approx 1.08 \times 10^{-39} f^{1/4} M_\zeta^{5/8} e^{(-1.71 \times 10^7 f^{3/2} M_\zeta^{3/4})} \\ &\approx 2.87 \times 10^{-31} f^{1/4} \exp(-2.2 \times 10^{17} f^{3/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $h_c \propto f^{1/4}$ at low frequencies and exponentially decays at higher frequencies. Though this combination of characteristic strain and frequencies is undetectable by current experiments, future GW detectors are expected to function in this range. In Fig. 1, we compare the detectability curves for existing and proposed high-frequency and ultra-high-frequency GW detectors [14–16] (for more information, refer to [17, 18]) against the characteristic strain behavior obtained for our model.

V. DISCUSSIONS

Production of GWs during the reheating era has garnered great interest since detectable GW signatures could help unveil post-inflationary physics shrouded behind the CMB. Existing literature in this regard relies heavily on graviton-matter couplings of the form $h_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ where observable GWs are produced either via inflaton scattering [19, 20] or via graviton Bremsstrahlung during inflaton decay into matter [21, 22]. In some cases, novel couplings offering a scalar \rightarrow two-graviton decay channel have also been introduced that dominate over the aforementioned GW production [23], which inevitably increase the number of parameters in the theory that need to be tuned using other observable phenomena.

In this letter, we have analyzed the spectrum of GWs produced during reheating in Starobinsky inflation due to a nonminimal coupling between the scalar DOF and gravitons present in the Jordan frame. This nonminimal coupling would have been lost due to the Weyl transformation (3) when going to the Einstein frame [20]. Through this coupling, we obtain a decay channel that allows an efficient reheating stage resulting in the production of SM particles at $T_{reh} \approx 5.79 \times 10^{10}$ GeV. Production of SM particles is accompanied by the production of gravitons through a similar mechanism and the resultant SGWB falls in the high-frequency range of $\sim 10^6 - 10^{12}$ Hz with a characteristic strain of $\sim 10^{-35} - 10^{-34}$. We have plotted characteristic strain $h_c(f)$ for the obtained GW spectrum against present and proposed GW detectors in Fig. 1. It is evident that the GW signatures obtained in this work can be detected using resonant cavities [24]. Detection of such a signal should conclusively validate the Starobinsky model of inflation and its capability to effectively describe both an early universe inflation phase as well as an efficient reheating mechanism.

It should be noted that a similar analysis could be conducted if we include other quadratic curvature terms such as $R_{\mu\nu}^2$ and $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2$ in the Lagrangian. The Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant G (which doesn't contribute to the dynamics of gravitons), and the Weyl tensor $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ are related to the other quadratic curvature terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2, \\ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - (g_{\alpha[\rho}R_{\sigma]\beta} - g_{\beta[\rho}R_{\sigma]\alpha}) + \frac{1}{3}Rg_{\alpha[\rho}g_{\sigma]\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Using these relations, the quadratic gravity action can be cast into a form involving only R , R^2 , and C^2 , where C^2 would then act as an additional source of graviton tensor modes, modifying Γ_{hh} and $h_c(f)$. However, it is well-known that we then run the risk of encountering unavoidable ghost modes. Therefore, at the present stage, we limit our analysis to simply the R^2 term.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AV would like to thank Hardik Kuralkar for his help plotting Fig. 1. This work is partially supported by the DST (Govt. of India) Grant No. SERB/PHY/2021057.

-
- [1] Alan H. Guth. The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems. *Phys. Rev. D*, 23:347–356, 1981. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347.
- [2] Andreas Albrecht and Paul J. Steinhardt. Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 48:1220–1223, 1982. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220.
- [3] John C. Mather et al. A Preliminary measurement of the Cosmic Microwave Background spectrum by the Cosmic Background Explorer (COBE) satellite. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 354:L37–L40, 1990. doi:10.1086/185717.
- [4] Y. Akrami et al. Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 641:A10, 2020. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833887.
- [5] Alexei A. Starobinsky. A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity. *Phys. Lett. B*, 91:99–102, 1980. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X.
- [6] Rouzbeh Allahverdi, Robert Brandenberger, Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine, and Anupam Mazumdar. Reheating in Inflationary Cosmology: Theory and Applications. *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 60:27–51, 2010. doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.012809.104511.
- [7] Sukanta Panda, Abbas Altaf Hussain Tinwala, and Archit Vidyarthi. Ultraviolet unitarity violations in non-minimally coupled scalar-Starobinsky inflation. *JCAP*, 01:029, 2023. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2023/01/029.
- [8] Anamaria Hell, Dieter Lust, and George Zoupanos. On the degrees of freedom of R^2 gravity in flat spacetime. *JHEP*, 02:039, 2024. doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2024)039.
- [9] John Ellis, Marcos A. G. Garcia, Dimitri V. Nanopoulos, and Keith A. Olive. Calculations of Inflaton Decays and Reheating: with Applications to No-Scale Inflation Models. *JCAP*, 07:050, 2015. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/07/050.
- [10] Gabriel Germán, R. Gonzalez Quaglia, and A. M. Moran Colorado. Model independent bounds for the number of e-folds during the evolution of the universe. *JCAP*, 03:004, 2023. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2023/03/004.
- [11] Juan Garcia-Bellido, Javier Rubio, and Mikhail Shaposhnikov. Higgs-Dilaton cosmology: Are there extra relativistic species? *Phys. Lett. B*, 718:507–511, 2012. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.10.075.
- [12] Dmitry Gorbunov and Anna Tokareva. Scale-invariance as the origin of dark radiation? *Phys. Lett. B*, 739:50–55, 2014. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.10.036.
- [13] Alexey S. Koshelev, Alexei A. Starobinsky, and Anna Tokareva. Post-inflationary GW production in generic higher (infinite) derivative gravity. *Phys. Lett. B*, 838:137686, 2023. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137686.
- [14] T. Nitta et al. Search for a Dark-Matter-Induced Cosmic Axion Background with ADMX. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 131(10):101002, 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.101002.
- [15] Maxim Goryachev and Michael E. Tobar. Gravitational Wave Detection with High Frequency Phonon Trapping Acoustic Cavities. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90(10):102005, 2014. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.102005. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 108, 129901 (2023)].
- [16] Asher Berlin, Diego Blas, Raffaele Tito D’Agnolo, Sebastian A. R. Ellis, Roni Harnik, Yonatan Kahn, and Jan Schütte-Engel. Detecting high-frequency gravitational waves with microwave cavities. *Phys. Rev. D*, 105(11):116011, 2022. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.116011.
- [17] Aldo Ejlli, Damian Ejlli, Adrian Mike Cruise, Giampaolo Pisano, and Hartmut Grote. Upper limits on the amplitude of ultra-high-frequency gravitational waves from graviton to photon conversion. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 79(12):1032, 2019. doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7542-5.
- [18] Nancy Aggarwal et al. Challenges and opportunities of gravitational-wave searches at MHz to GHz frequencies. *Living Rev. Rel.*, 24(1):4, 2021. doi:10.1007/s41114-021-00032-5.
- [19] Mathieu Gross, Yann Mambrini, Essodjolo Kpatcha, Maria Olalla Olea-Romacho, and Rishav Roshan. Gravitational wave production during reheating: From the inflaton to primordial black holes. *Phys. Rev. D*, 111(3):035020, 2025. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.111.035020.
- [20] Gongjun Choi, Wenqi Ke, and Keith A. Olive. Minimal production of prompt gravitational waves during reheating. *Phys. Rev. D*, 109(8):083516, 2024. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.083516.
- [21] Basabendu Barman, Nicolás Bernal, Yong Xu, and Óscar Zapata. Gravitational wave from graviton Bremsstrahlung during reheating. *JCAP*, 05:019, 2023. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2023/05/019.
- [22] Shinya Kanemura and Kunio Kaneta. Gravitational waves from particle decays during reheating. *Phys. Lett. B*, 855:138807, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138807.
- [23] Anna Tokareva. Gravitational waves from inflaton decay and bremsstrahlung. *Phys. Lett. B*, 853:138695, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138695.
- [24] Nicolas Herman, Léonard Lehoucq, and André Fúfza. Electromagnetic antennas for the resonant detection of the stochastic gravitational wave background. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108(12):124009, 2023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.124009.