Subrack lattice determines the derived length

Selçuk Kayacan

Bahçeşehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey *e-mail:* selcuk.kayacan@bau.edu.tr

Abstract

We prove the following: If G is a finite solvable group and H is another group whose subrack lattice is isomorphic to the subrack lattice of G, then H is a solvable group and the derived length of H coincides with the derived length of G.

1 Introduction

A rack X is a set with a binary operation $\triangleright: X \times X \to X$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(A1) for all $a, b, c \in X$ we have $a \triangleright (b \triangleright c) = (a \triangleright b) \triangleright (a \triangleright c)$, and

(A2) for all $a, c \in X$ there exists a unique $b \in X$ such that $a \triangleright b = c$.

If, further, the following axiom holds we say X is a *quandle*:

(A3) for all $a \in X$ we have $a \triangleright a = a$.

Let G be a finite group and S be a subset of G that is closed under the conjugation operation $a \triangleright b := aba^{-1}$. The set S with the binary operation \triangleright form a quandle. We call those type of racks *conjugation racks*. The following three classes of conjugation racks deserve special attention:

- the group rack (G, \triangleright) ,
- the conjugacy class rack (C, \triangleright) , where C is a conjugacy class of G, and
- the *p*-power rack (G_p, \triangleright) , where *p* is a prime dividing the order of *G* and G_p is the set of all elements of *G* whose order is a power of *p*.

The poset of subracks of a finite rack X ordered by inclusion form a lattice with unique maximum element X and unique minimum element \emptyset (see [1, Lemma 2.1]). We call this lattice the *subrack lattice* of X and denote it by $\mathcal{R}(X)$.

The order complex of the subrack lattice of conjugation racks has interesting geometrical properties. For example, basic group theoretical arguments show that, for a group rack G the maximal elements of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ are the union of all but one conjugacy classes of G and this fact can be used to prove that the order complex of $\mathcal{R}(G) \setminus \{G, \emptyset\}$ is homotopy equivalent to a (c-2)-sphere, where c is the number of conjugacy classes of G (see [1, Proposition 1.3]). Further homotopy properties of the subrack lattices of conjugacy class racks and p-power racks are studied in [2, 3].

As is shown in [1] the subrack lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ of a group rack G contains essential information about the group itself.

Theorem 1 (see [1, Theorem 1.1]). Let G, H be finite groups satisfying $\mathcal{R}(G) \cong \mathcal{R}(H)$.

- 1. If G is abelian, then H is abelian.
- 2. If G is nilpotent, then H is nilpotent.
- 3. If G is supersolvable, then H is supersolvable.
- 4. If G is solvable, then H is solvable.
- 5. If G is simple, then H is simple.

Moreover, the subrack lattice H is nilpotent of class c if G is nilpotent of class c (see [4, Theorem 3.3]) and H is p-nilpotent if G is p-nilpotent and $\mathcal{R}(G)$ satisfies a certain condition (see [4, Theorem 4.5]).

A group is called *solvable* if there is a subnormal series whose factor groups are all abelian. Equivalently, a group is solvable if its derived series terminates in the trivial group as the derived series descends faster than any other series with abelian quotients (see [5, Lemma 5.22]). The *derived length* of a solvable group G is the smallest n such that the nth higher commutator subgroup $G^{(n)}$ is trivial. In this note we show that the results presented in [4] can also be used to prove that the derived length of a solvable group is determined by the subrack lattice of the corresponding group rack.

Theorem 2. If G is a finite solvable group with derived length ℓ , and if H is a group such that $\mathcal{R}(G) \cong \mathcal{R}(H)$, then H is solvable with derived length ℓ .

2 Proof of Theorem 2

Let G be a finite group, and A(G) denote the set of all elements in the subrack lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ satisfying the following property: An element $A \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ belongs to A(G) if and only if the interval $[\emptyset, A]$ is a maximal Boolean algebra in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ (that is, if $[\emptyset, B]$ is a Boolean algebra for some $B \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ containing A then A = B). One can easily observe that an element A of the subrack lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of G if and only if A belongs to A(G). Since the combinatorial structure of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ determines the conjugacy classes of G, we can also characterize the maximal normal subgroups of G via the combinatorial properties of $\mathcal{R}(G)$.

Lemma 3 (see [4, Lemma 3.1]). For a finite group G, an element $N \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ is a maximal normal abelian subgroup of G if and only if N is the union of all conjugacy classes of G that are contained by some fixed $A \in A(G)$.

Given a subset S of G, we denote by $\langle S \rangle_{\mathsf{rk}}$ the subrack of the group rack G generated by the elements in S.

Lemma 4 (see [4, Lemma 3.2]). Let G be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup of G. Then any coset of N is a subrack of G. Moreover, for any subset $\{a_1N, \ldots, a_kN\}$ of G/N, the join of those cosets in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is the union of the cosets of N containing $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_k \rangle_{\mathsf{rk}}$. Let N be a normal subgroup of G. By Lemma 4 the subrack lattice of $\mathcal{R}(G/N)$ is isomorphic to a subposet of $\mathcal{R}(G)$. Let H be a group whose subrack lattice is isomorphic to the subrack lattice of G. The following result will be used to construct an isomorphic copy of the poset $\mathcal{R}(G/N) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ in the subrack lattice $\mathcal{R}(H)$.

Lemma 5. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \ldots, Q_m\}$ be some partitions of a set S of size mn into parts each having the same size n. Then, there is a bijection $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$ such that

$$f(P_i) \cap P_i \neq \emptyset$$
 for each $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Consider the $m \times m$ zero-one matrix A whose (i, j)-th entry defined by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For example, if \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are the same partitions, then A would be a permutation matrix. By reordering the columns of A if necessary, one can obtain the identity matrix. In this case, the function $f: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$ which maps P_i to Q_j where *i*-th column of A is replaced by the *j*-th column after reordering, satisfies the property $f(P_i) \cap P_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the number of parts. Obviously, the assertion holds when the partition consists of one element, i.e. when the size of S is n. Suppose the assertion holds for sets of size (m-1)n. We want to show that the assertion holds when the size of S is mn. There are two cases that we shall consider.

Case I: $P_{i_0} = Q_{j_0}$ for some $1 \leq i_0, j_0 \leq m$. Observe that the partitions $\mathcal{P}_0 := \mathcal{P} \setminus \{P_{i_0}\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_0 := \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{Q_{j_0}\}$ are the partitions of a set of size (m-1)n and by the inductive hypothesis there exists a function $g: \mathcal{P}_0 \to \mathcal{Q}_0$ having the desired property. Hence, the function

$$f(P_i) = \begin{cases} g(P_i) & \text{if } i \neq i_0 \\ Q_{j_0} & \text{if } i = i_0 \end{cases}$$

satisfies the property $f(P_i) \cap P_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Case II: $P_i \neq Q_i$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Fix two index values i_0 and j_0 such that $P_{i_0} \cap Q_{j_0} \neq \emptyset$. We construct a partition $Q' = \{Q'_1, \ldots, Q'_n\}$ in the following way: Initially $Q'_j = Q_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. If $P_{i_0} \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ and $j \neq j_0$, we swap elements of $P_{i_0} \cap Q_j$ with some elements of $Q_{j_0} \setminus P_{i_0}$ in an arbitrary fashion to form Q'_j and Q'_{j_0} . Iterating this process at the final step P_{i_0} and Q'_{j_0} would be the same sets. Then, by Case I, there exists a function $f' \colon \mathcal{P} \to Q'$ satisfying the property $f'(P_i) \cap P_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Observe that the induced function $f \colon \mathcal{P} \to Q$ would also have the same property as $f(P_{i_0}) = Q_{j_0}$ and $P_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ whenever $P_i \cap Q'_j \neq \emptyset$ and $i \neq i_0$.

A partition $C = \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}$ of a finite group H will be called an *hypothetical coset* partition if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- (C1) Each element of \mathcal{C} has the same number of elements.
- (C2) The element $C_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ is a union of some conjugacy classes of H and there is at least one central element in C_1 .
- (C3) For a subset I of [m] the following implication holds: If $C_I = \{c_i \in C_i \mid i \in I\}$ is an arbitrary set of representative elements, then the join of the elements $C_i, i \in I$, in $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is the union of elements of \mathcal{C} containing $\langle C_I \rangle_{\mathsf{rk}}$.

Notice that the combinatorial properties of the subrack lattice $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is enough to determine whether a given partition of H is a hypothetical coset partition. If \mathcal{C} is an hypothetical coset partition of H, we denote by $\mathsf{J}(\mathcal{C})$ the subposet of $\mathcal{R}(H)$ whose elements are the joins of the elements in \mathcal{C} .

Proof of Theorem 2. Take an element $N \in A(G)$. By Lemma 3 the subrack N is a maximal normal abelian subgroup of G. Let $\alpha \colon \mathcal{R}(G) \to \mathcal{R}(H)$ be an isomorphism. By Lemma 4 the images of the atoms of $\mathcal{R}(G/N)$ under α form a partition \mathcal{A} of H satisfying the conditions (C1), (C2), and (C3). Let \mathcal{C} be an hypothetical coset partition of H such that $C_1 = \alpha(N) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the poset $J(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(G/N) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ as the join operation for the elements of \mathcal{C} is independent from the chosen representatives and, by Lemma 5, there exists a set of representative elements for the partition \mathcal{C} such that those elements are also representatives for the images of cosets of G/N under α .

Since $\alpha(N)$ is a maximal normal abelian subgroup of H, similar arguments show that $\mathsf{J}(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(H/\alpha(N)) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Repeating this process we obtain a series of subposets of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ whose length is the length of a corresponding subnormal series with maximal abelian quotients for G. Since the isomorphic copies of those subposets gives a corresponding subnormal series with maximal abelian factor groups for H and since the above arguments are valid for any maximal normal abelian subgroup of the group in question, we see that G is solvable if and only if H is solvable and the derived length of G is same with the derived length of H.

Remark. The above proof can be adapted to prove that the isomorphism type of the subrack lattice of a finite nilpotent group determines its nilpotence class (see [4, Theorem 3.3]). The difference is that rather than the maximal normal abelian subgroups we need to consider the center of the group which can be located within the subrack lattice.

References

- I. Heckenberger, J. Shareshian, and V. Welker, On the lattice of subracks of the rack of a finite group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019) 1407–1427.
- [2] S. Kayacan, *Some remarks on the subrack lattice of finite racks*, Accepted to the publication in Journal of Algebra and its Applications.
- [3] S. Kayacan, Subrack Lattices of Conjugation Racks, ArXiv e-prints (2024).
- [4] S. Kayacan, Recovering information about a finite group from its subrack lattice, J. Algebra 582 (2021), 26–38.
- [5] J. J. Rotman, An introduction to the theory of groups, fourth ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 148, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.