

Gauged supergravities: solutions with Killing tensor

Dmitri Gal'tsov^a and Rostom Karsanov^a

^a*Faculty of Physics, Moscow State University, 119899, Moscow, Russia*

Abstract

We perform full integration of the stationary axisymmetric Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton-axion (EMDA) theory with and without potential using a recently proposed generalization of Carter's approach to spacetimes beyond type D, allowing the Killing tensor. Crucial to our construction is a new parametrization of the dilaton and axion fields based on the analyticity argument. The general solution in the ungauged case is asymptotically locally flat and contains two more parameters compared to EMDA black holes previously obtained using Harrison transformations. In the gauged case, the general solution is asymptotically AdS and includes flat and hyperbolic topological solutions, as well as generalization of the Kerr-Sen-AdS metric with three additional parameters. Our approach can be applied to more general four-dimensional ungauged and gauged supergravities.

arXiv:2503.06589v1 [gr-qc] 9 Mar 2025

I. INTRODUCTION

There is a widespread interest to constructing rotating black hole solutions in extended four-dimensional supergravities with vector and scalar fields. While supersymmetric solutions can be constructed using Bogomolnyi equations, for more general solutions special techniques are required. An efficient method consists in using dimensional reduction of the supergravity actions on stationary spacetimes to three-dimensional sigma-models. Originating in vacuum [1] and electrovacuum [2, 3] gravity, in the Kaluza-Klein theory [4–7], it was extended to the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton-axion theory (EMDA, the main subject here) in [8, 9]. A general treatment of supergravity sigma models was given in [10], applications to specific four-dimensional supergravities were considered in [8, 11–15] (most notably in [14]) and many other papers. The symmetries of the sigma models included Harrison transformations that allowed charged supergravity black holes to be generated from the Kerr metric.

However, this approach fails in gauged supergravities, where a scalar potential is present that destroys the sigma model structure of the three-dimensional theory. While spherically symmetric solutions can be easily obtained, rotating ones have been constructed only by guesswork inspired by known electrovacuum analogues, followed by computer verification [16–25]. A notable exception is the pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory without vector multiplets, which is in fact an Einstein-Maxwell theory with a cosmological constant. Such solutions were obtained analytically by Carter in 1968 [26] and later independently by Plebanski [27] using a different technique that can be applied to spacetimes admitting a second-rank Killing tensor in addition to stationarity and axial symmetry. It was shown that such solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations with a cosmological constant can be found analytically, without resorting to the technique of sigma models at all. These solution belonged to Petrov type D. Later, Carter’s approach was widely applied to four-dimensional and multi-dimensional spacetimes admitting generalized Killing-Yano structures [28].

Recently [29], the Carter parametrization of the metric was rederived from the Benenti-Francaviglia (BF) ansatz [30] for metrics admitting the Killing tensor by imposing certain restrictions on BF functions (see also [31]). These restrictions guarantee the existence of two null geodesic shearfree congruences and the separability of the Klein-Gordon equation without the assumption that the metrics are algebraically special. The resulting metrics are in general of type I , or more precisely, of a type I_B sector whose algebraically special subspace consists of metrics of type D only. It was shown that all known black holes of ungauged four-dimensional

supergravities belong to this class. Moreover, it turns out that known solutions to gauged supergravities also possess a Killing tensor, though they do not belong to Petrov type D.

The aim of this paper is to perform a full integration of a truncated version of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity (EMDA theory) and to extend the approach to the theory with the potential arising in gauged $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity. The presence of dilaton and axion makes the theory more complicated than the Einstein-Maxwell theory, and its successful integration is made possible by a suitable parameterization of the complex axidilaton field consistent with the metric ansatz. Such a parameterization was not known so far, and we derive it here from an analyticity argument.

The basic strategy, borrowed from Carter, is to extract from the entire system some linear equations that reveal the polynomial structure of the BF coefficient functions, and then solve the remaining nonlinear coupled equation by fixing the relations between the polynomial coefficients. We apply this to both ungauged and gauged EMDA-s. In the ungauged theory we derive a general solution that extends the seven-parameter family of EMDA black holes [8] found earlier using the sigma model technique. In the gauged case, we obtain a solution that confirms and generalizes the Kerr-Sen-AdS black hole [32–35], endowing it with new parameters and presenting for the first time a complete analytical derivation. Our solutions include topological ones that should appear in the AdS asymptotic case [20, 22, 36–41].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we present the geometric structure for stationary axisymmetric spacetimes admitting a second-rank Killing tensor and compute the corresponding Ricci tensor in tetrad form. In Section 3 the action and equations of motion of the gauged EMDA are discussed in real and complex terms. Section 4 is devoted to extracting linear equations for the BF coefficient functions, from which their polynomial structure becomes apparent. In Sections 5 and 6 the final integration of the ungauged and gauged EMDA is performed and the properties of the solutions obtained are briefly discussed. Our results are summarized in the Conclusion.

II. INTEGRABLE SPACETIME

A. Benenti-Francavigila ansatz

The class of metrics introduced in [29] and called I_B (Benenti) is defined as follows. Starting with stationary axisymmetric metric is written in the coordinates $x^\mu = (x^a, x^i)$, where $x^a = t, \varphi$

correspond to the subspace spanned by the Killing vectors $K^{(t)} = \partial_t$ and $K^{(\varphi)} = \partial_\varphi$ and $x^i = r, y$, belong to orthogonal two-dimensional space whose metric without loss of generality can be assumed diagonal. The contravariant metric is parameterized as $g^{\mu\nu} = (g^{ab}, g^{ij})$:

$$g^{ab} = \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A_3 - B_3 & A_4 - B_4 \\ A_4 - B_4 & A_5 - B_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{ij} = -\Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where two sets of arbitrary functions are introduced $A_k(r), B_k(y)$, $k = 1..5$ depending each on one variable, r and y respectively. In order to ensure existence of an exact Killing tensor, the conformal factor $\Sigma = \Sigma(r, y)$ must be separable $\Sigma = A_1(r) + B_1(y)$. Then the Killing tensor, satisfying the equation $\nabla_{(\alpha} K_{\mu\nu)} = 0$, can be written in ‘‘slice-reducible’’ form [42, 43]:

$$K^{\mu\nu} = -A_1 g^{\mu\nu} - A_2 \delta_r^\mu \delta_r^\nu + \tilde{K}_r^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_r^{\mu\nu} = A_3 \delta_t^\mu \delta_t^\nu + 2A_4 \delta_t^{(\mu} \delta_\varphi^{\nu)} + A_5 \delta_\varphi^\mu \delta_\varphi^\nu. \quad (3)$$

The first term in (2) is trivial Killing tensor on the hypersurfaces \mathcal{S}_r corresponding to $r = \text{const}$. The second term is orthogonal to \mathcal{S}_r and thus irrelevant, while the third term $\tilde{K}_r^{\mu\nu}$ is a reducible Killing tensor on this hypersurface, representable as a linear combination of the tensor products of the Killing vectors projected onto it. Similarly, this Killing tensor can be presented as slice-reducible with respect to foliation by the hypersurfaces of constant y . For an arbitrary conformal factor Σ only a conformal Killing tensor exists.

It is assumed that in a significant region of space-time (e.g. beyond the horizon or the ergosphere) all the BF coefficient functions are positive, and analytic continuation into the negative region can be done in the usual way. Other sign conditions follow from the metric signature: with the same reservations $A_3 - B_3 > 0$, $A_5 - B_5 < 0$, $\Sigma > 0$.

The static limit of the Benenti-Francaviglia ansatz corresponds to

$$A_4 \equiv 0, \quad B_4 \equiv 0. \quad (4)$$

B. Constraints

The gauge freedom inside the BF ansatz consists of two coordinate transformations $r \rightarrow \tilde{r}(r)$, $y \rightarrow \tilde{y}(y)$, containing two arbitrary functions of independent variables. These can be used to impose additional conditions on A_2, B_2 :

$$A_2 A_5 = a^2 = \text{const}, \quad B_2 B_5 = b^2 = \text{const}. \quad (5)$$

In what follows we will assume the validity of this gauge.

Next, we impose two constraints on the Benenti coefficients [29]:

$$A_4 = \sqrt{A_3 A_5}, \quad B_4 = \sqrt{B_3 B_5}, \quad (6)$$

which guarantee the existence of two null shearfree geodesic congruences. For vacuum and electrovacuum, this property together with existence of the Killing tensor would mean (Goldberg-Sachs theorem [44]) that the metric will be of Petrov type D. With more general matter sources, one has to deal with metrics of type I, more precisely, with the subclass I_B , whose algebraically special subspace consists only of type D. As was shown in [29], BF ansatz with constraints (6) is suitable for this.

In the static limit the relations (6) degenerate. A closer look shows that a correct choice of static limit will be

$$A_5 \equiv 0, \quad B_3 \equiv 0, \quad A_3 \neq 0, \quad B_5 \neq 0, \quad (7)$$

implying $a = 0$, $b \neq 0$.

One more constraint on BF functions is intended to ensure separability of the Klein-Gordon equation. It reads $\sqrt{-g} = \Sigma$ [29]. This can be rewritten in the gauge (5) as

$$\Sigma = A_1 + B_1 = bA_{23} - aB_{23}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$A_{23} = \sqrt{A_2 A_3}, \quad B_{23} = \sqrt{B_2 B_3}. \quad (9)$$

Since all A depend only on r , and all B depend only on y , it is easy to see that the derivatives with respect to the corresponding arguments are related as follows:

$$A'_1 = bA'_{23}, \quad B'_1 = -aB'_{23}. \quad (10)$$

With all the above constraints imposed, one obtains the following metric parametrization:

$$ds^2 = \frac{A_2}{\Sigma}(bdt - B_{23}d\varphi)^2 - \frac{B_2}{\Sigma}(adt - A_{23}d\varphi)^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{A_2}dr^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{B_2}dy^2, \quad (11)$$

This coincides with Carter's ansatz [26] which allowed to solve vacuum and electrovacuum Einstein equations, obtaining general solutions admitting a Killing tensor. Here we apply this ansatz together with appropriate parameterizations of the vector field, dilaton and axion to both ungauged and gauged EMDA theory with a potential.

C. Tetrad formalism

For our purposes, it will be more convenient to proceed with calculations using tetrads $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}e_\mu^a e_\nu^b$, where $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ for $a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and the natural one-form basis is

$$\begin{aligned} e^1 &= \alpha(bdt - B_{23}d\varphi), \\ e^2 &= \beta(adt - A_{23}d\varphi), \\ e^3 &= \alpha^{-1}dr, \\ e^4 &= \beta^{-1}dy, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with

$$\alpha = \sqrt{A_2/\Sigma}, \quad \beta = \sqrt{B_2/\Sigma}. \tag{13}$$

Note that one of the constants a, b can be fixed by time rescaling. Since $a = 0$ corresponds to the static case, this parameter is worth to be kept arbitrary. The constant b can be set equal to one without loss of generality, but we will leave it in order to keep the $A - B$ symmetry explicit until the end of the calculations.

Tetrad components of the Ricci tensor read [45]:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} = &-\frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_{ab}{}^c{}_{,c} + \lambda_{ba}{}^c{}_{,c} + \lambda^c{}_{ca,b} + \lambda^c{}_{cb,a} + \lambda^{cd}{}_b \lambda_{cda} + \lambda^{cd}{}_b \lambda_{dca} - \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_b{}^{cd} \lambda_{acd} + \lambda^c{}_{cd} \lambda_{ab}{}^d + \lambda^c{}_{cd} \lambda_{ba}{}^d \right), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the quantities $\lambda_{abc} = -\lambda_{acb}$ are defined by commutators of the basic tetrad vectors:

$$\lambda_{abc} = (e_{a\mu,\nu} - e_{a\nu,\mu})e_b^\mu e_c^\nu. \tag{15}$$

The only non-vanishing components of λ_{abc} for the tetrad (12) read (up to antisymmetry):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{113} &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{A'_2}{A_2} - \frac{bA'_{23}}{\Sigma} \right), \quad \lambda_{114} = -\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{aB'_{23}}{\Sigma}, \quad \lambda_{124} = \alpha \frac{bB'_{23}}{\Sigma}, \\ \lambda_{224} &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\frac{B'_2}{B_2} + \frac{aB'_{23}}{\Sigma} \right), \quad \lambda_{223} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{bA'_{23}}{\Sigma}, \quad \lambda_{213} = \beta \frac{aA'_{23}}{\Sigma}, \\ \lambda_{334} &= \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{aB'_{23}}{\Sigma}, \quad \lambda_{434} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{bA'_{23}}{\Sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

One can now find the following components of Ricci tensor using (14):

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{11} &= \frac{((A'_{23})^2 + (B'_{23})^2)(\alpha^2 b^2 - a^2 \beta^2)}{2\Sigma^2} - \frac{a\beta^2 B''_{23}}{2\Sigma} - \frac{aB'_2 B'_{23}}{2\Sigma^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 b A''_{23}}{2\Sigma} - \frac{bA'_2 A'_{23}}{2\Sigma^2} + \frac{A''_2}{2\Sigma}, \\
R_{12} &= \frac{\sqrt{A_2} \sqrt{B_2}}{2\Sigma^2} (aA''_{23} + bB''_{23}), \\
R_{22} &= R_{11} - \frac{1}{2\Sigma} (A''_2 + B''_2), \\
R_{33} &= \frac{a^2 \beta^2 ((A'_{23})^2 + (B'_{23})^2)}{2\Sigma^2} + \frac{a\beta^2 B''_{23}}{2\Sigma} + \frac{aB'_2 B'_{23}}{2\Sigma^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 b A''_{23}}{2\Sigma} + \frac{bA'_2 A'_{23}}{2\Sigma^2} - \frac{A''_2}{2\Sigma}, \\
R_{44} &= \frac{b^2 \alpha^2 ((A'_{23})^2 + (B'_{23})^2)}{2\Sigma^2} + \frac{a\beta^2 B''_{23}}{2\Sigma} - \frac{aB'_2 B'_{23}}{2\Sigma^2} - \frac{\alpha^2 b A''_{23}}{2\Sigma} - \frac{bA'_2 A'_{23}}{2\Sigma^2} - \frac{B''_2}{2\Sigma}, \\
R_{13} &= R_{14} = R_{23} = R_{24} = R_{34} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

III. THE ACTION AND EQUATIONS OF MOTION

A. Real form

We consider the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton-axion theory with a potential which is a consistent truncation of the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity with one Abelian vector field A_μ . It is described by the action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \left(-R + 2\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} e^{4\phi} \partial_\mu \kappa \partial^\mu \kappa + \frac{1}{l^2} V - e^{-2\phi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \kappa F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \tag{18}$$

where $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} E^{\mu\nu\lambda\tau} F_{\lambda\tau}$, $E^{\mu\nu\lambda\tau} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\tau} / \sqrt{-g}$, the quantity l has dimension of length, and the dimensionless potential is

$$V = 4 + e^{-2\phi} + e^{2\phi} (\kappa^2 + 1). \tag{19}$$

Our convention for the Levi-Civita symbol is $\epsilon_{1234} = -\epsilon^{1234} = 1$, where 1234 stands for the sequence t, φ, r, y .

The corresponding equations of motion consist of the modified Maxwell equations and Bianchi identities

$$\nabla_\nu (e^{-2\phi} F^{\mu\nu} + \kappa \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \tag{20}$$

$$\nabla_\nu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{21}$$

the dilaton equation with other fields acting as a source

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \kappa)^2 - \frac{1}{2l^2} [e^{-2\phi} - e^{2\phi} (1 + \kappa^2)], \tag{22}$$

where $(\nabla \kappa)^2 = g^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_\mu \kappa) (\nabla_\nu \kappa)$, and the axion equation:

$$\nabla^\mu (e^{4\phi} \nabla_\mu \kappa) = -F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{l^2} \kappa e^{2\phi}. \tag{23}$$

While the linear d'Alembert operator is separable with our metric parametrization, its nonlinear versions are not. The same is true for Einstein's equations, which in our case are:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi + \frac{1}{2}e^{4\phi}\nabla_\mu\kappa\nabla_\nu\kappa + \frac{1}{2l^2}(4 + e^{-2\phi} + e^{2\phi}(\kappa^2 + 1))g_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2\phi}(2F_{\mu\lambda}F^\lambda{}_\nu + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}F_{\lambda\tau}F^{\lambda\tau}). \quad (24)$$

To obtain a solution to the entire nonlinear system of equations, we use the same strategy as Carter [26]: first, we extract from the system of equations some *linear* differential equations for the BF coefficients that reveal their polynomial structure. Then, the task of solving essentially nonlinear equations is reduced to finding relationships between the coefficients of all the polynomials involved, leaving some parameters free as physically significant.

B. Complex form

To implement this program, it is advantageous to rewrite the system in terms of a complex axidilaton field $z = \kappa + ie^{-2\phi}$. The action will take the form:

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int \left(R + \frac{2\nabla z \nabla \bar{z}}{(z - \bar{z})^2} - \frac{1}{l^2} V - (iz\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} + c.c.) \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (25)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(F^{\mu\nu} + i\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})$ and the potential will read

$$V = 4 \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + z\bar{z}}{z - \bar{z}} \right). \quad (26)$$

For $V = 0$, the theory is invariant under S -duality transformations:

$$z \rightarrow \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow (c\bar{z} + d)\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad ad - bc = 1. \quad (27)$$

This leaves invariant the kinetic term of the axidilaton in (25) and the full set of equations of motion, though not the action. The presence of the potential V violates this invariance.

The tetrad components of the Einstein equations, following from the action (25) read:

$$R_{ab} = T_{ab}^{sc} + \frac{(z - \bar{z})}{2i} T_{ab}^{em} + \frac{2}{l^2} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + z\bar{z}}{z - \bar{z}} \right) \eta_{ab}, \quad (28)$$

where the reduced scalar term without trace part and potential is equal to

$$T_{ab}^{sc} = -\frac{1}{(z - \bar{z})^2} (z_{,a}\bar{z}_{,b} + z_{,b}\bar{z}_{,a}) \quad (29)$$

(the derivatives with respect to tetrad vectors are denoted by Latin indices after commas $\partial_a = e_a^\mu \partial_\mu$), while the Maxwell term is standard:

$$T_{ab}^{em} = 2 \left(F_{ac} F_b^c + \frac{\eta_{ab}}{4} F_{cd} F^{cd} \right). \quad (30)$$

The reduced scalar stress tensor has nonzero components only in the 3, 4 sector (or r, y in the coordinate basis). Note that on the left we use the Ricci tensor, not the Einstein tensor.

The first term on the right-hand side is invariant under S -duality transformations (27) by itself, which is easy to prove by a simple calculation. The invariance of the second term on the right-hand side is more difficult to verify. First, note that the axidilaton factor transforms as

$$(z - \bar{z}) \rightarrow \frac{(z - \bar{z})}{|cz + d|^2}. \quad (31)$$

Then, for Einstein's equation to remain invariant, the Maxwell stress-energy tensor (30) must transform as

$$T_{ab}^{em} \rightarrow |cz + d|^2 T_{ab}^{em}, \quad (32)$$

which is in fact the case and can be proved using the Schouten identity

$$\delta_{\mu}^{[\nu} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\tau\lambda]} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Thus, in absence of the potential V , Einstein equations are in fact invariant under the S -duality.

The second order equation for the complex axidilaton field following from the action (25) reads

$$\square z - \frac{2\partial z \partial \bar{z}}{(z - \bar{z})} + \frac{i}{l^2}(z^2 + 1) - \frac{(z - \bar{z})^2}{4}(iF_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}) = 0. \quad (34)$$

C. Maxwell field

An ansatz for the vector one-form compatible with the metric parameterization (11) was found by Carter [26] and is given by two functions $R(r)$ and $Y(y)$ as follows

$$A = \frac{R}{\alpha\Sigma}e^1 + \frac{Y}{\beta\Sigma}e^2. \quad (35)$$

This one form has zero Lie derivatives with respect of two commuting Killing vectors. It leads to separation of the Hamilton-Jacoby and Klein-Gordon equation for the charged particles.

Since the one-form depends only on two variables, the field strength two-form $F = dA$ has the components

$$\begin{aligned} F_{13} &= \frac{1}{\Sigma} \left(-R' + R \left[\frac{A'_2}{2A_2} + \frac{bA'_{23}}{2\Sigma} - \frac{\lambda_{113}}{\alpha} \right] + \lambda_{213} \frac{Y}{\beta} \right), \\ F_{24} &= \frac{1}{\Sigma} \left(-Y' + Y \left[\frac{B'_2}{2B_2} - \frac{aB'_{23}}{2\Sigma} + \frac{\lambda_{224}}{\beta} \right] - \lambda_{124} \frac{R}{\alpha} \right), \\ F_{14} &= \frac{-R}{\alpha\Sigma} \left(\frac{a\beta B'_{23}}{2\Sigma} + \lambda_{114} \right), \\ F_{23} &= \frac{Y}{\beta\Sigma} \left(\frac{\alpha b A'_{23}}{2\Sigma} + \lambda_{223} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Using explicit expressions for the Ricci coefficients (16) one finds that F_{14} and F_{23} vanish, so there are only two nonzero components of the field strength, are non-zero: F_{13} and F_{24} , which also form the corresponding tetrad components of the Hodge-dual tensor:

$$F_{13} = -\tilde{F}_{24} = \frac{A'_{23}(bR + aY) - \Sigma R'}{\Sigma^2}, \quad F_{24} = \tilde{F}_{13} = -\frac{B'_{23}(bR + aY) + \Sigma Y'}{\Sigma^2} \quad (37)$$

Then the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor can be presented as follows:

$$T_{11}^{em} = T_{22}^{em} = -T_{33}^{em} = T_{44}^{em} = \frac{(A'_{23}(aY + bR) - \Sigma R')^2 + (B'_{23}(aY + bR) + \Sigma Y')^2}{\Sigma^4}. \quad (38)$$

Finally, the tetrad components of the modified Maxwell equations will take the form

$$F^{ab}\partial_a(z - \bar{z}) + (\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu})e_\nu^b(z - \bar{z}) + i\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_a(z + \bar{z}) = 0, \quad (39)$$

where the divergence terms with account of antisymmetry of F^{cb} and $\lambda_{abc} = -\lambda_{acb}$ read:

$$(\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu})e_\nu^b = F^{cb}{}_{,c} + \frac{1}{2}F^{ca}(\lambda_a{}^b{}_c + \lambda^b{}_{ca} - \lambda_{ca}{}^b) + F^{cb}\lambda^d{}_{dc}. \quad (40)$$

IV. DISENTANGLING THE EQUATIONS

A. Extracting linear equations for BF coefficients

Both the scalar and Maxwell energy-momentum tensors have zero mixed components T_{12} . So the corresponding component of Einstein equations is vacuum, and using the Ricci tensor component R_{12} from the list (17) we obtain the following second order linear differential equation:

$$aA''_{23} + bB''_{23} = 0. \quad (41)$$

Since the first term depends only on r , and the second only on y , it follows that the functions A_{23}, B_{23} must be polynomials of no greater than the second degree of the corresponding variables. Thus, the most general expression for these quantities is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{23}(r) &= \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 r + cr^2, \\ B_{23}(y) &= -\beta_0 - 2\beta_1 y - \frac{a}{b}cy^2, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \alpha_1, \beta_1, c$ are arbitrary constants. The choice of gauge (5) still leaves room for constant shifts $r \rightarrow r + r_0, y \rightarrow y + y_0$, which can be used to set $\alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1 = 0$ to simplify the calculations. Also, using the fact that the highest degrees of the polynomials A_{23} and B_{23}

contain the same factor c , we can reset $c = 1$ by rescaling φ . By also setting $b = 1$ (by rescaling t), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{23}(r) &= \alpha_0 + r^2, \\ B_{23}(y) &= -\beta_0 - ay^2, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and therefore

$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2y^2 + \alpha_0 + a\beta_0. \tag{44}$$

The next quasilinear equation (becoming linear in absence of the scalar potential) can be obtained from the difference of $R_{11} - R_{22}$ components in the Einstein's equations (28). The reduced scalar stress tensor (29) in this sector is zero, while contribution of the Maxwell stress-tensor in view of relations (38) also vanishes, so we are left with potential terms only. Then using the list (17) one obtains

$$A_2'' + B_2'' = \frac{8\Sigma}{l^2} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + z\bar{z}}{z - \bar{z}} \right). \tag{45}$$

The sum of the Einstein equations $R_{11} + R_{22}$ on the contrary does not contain the potential term and the reduced scalar stress tensor either, while $T_{11}^{em} + T_{22}^{em} \neq 0$. In order to write the quantity $R_{11} + R_{22}$ in a convenient form let us introduce the function

$$P = \frac{A_2 - a^2B_2}{\Sigma}, \tag{46}$$

which will play the role of a "gravitational potential". Differentiating this definition twice on r and y one can express the second derivatives of the Benenti coefficients A_2, B_2 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A_2'' &= \Sigma P_{,rr} + \frac{2a^2B_2(A'_{23})^2}{\Sigma^2} - \frac{a^2B_2A''_{23}}{\Sigma} - \frac{2A_2(A'_{23})^2}{\Sigma^2} + \frac{2A'_2A'_{23}}{\Sigma} + \frac{A_2A''_{23}}{\Sigma}, \\ B_2'' &= -\frac{1}{a^2}\Sigma P_{,yy} - \frac{2a^2B_2(B'_{23})^2}{\Sigma^2} + \frac{A_2B''_{23}}{a\Sigma} - \frac{2aB'_2B'_{23}}{\Sigma} - \frac{aB_2B''_{23}}{\Sigma} + \frac{2A_2(B'_{23})^2}{\Sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Substituting these relations back to the tetrad components R_{11} and R_{22} in (17), one can see that all terms containing A_i, B_i and their derivatives cancel out from $R_{11} + R_{22}$. As a result we obtain a quasilinear equation for P with the source term

$$P_{,rr} + \frac{1}{a^2}P_{,yy} = -2i(z - \bar{z})(F_{13}^2 + F_{24}^2). \tag{48}$$

B. Ansatz for axidilaton

For the following, we need a consistent ansatz for axidilaton, which has not been presented before. To extract a separate equation for z , we consider the combinations of the Einstein

equations components $R_{11} + R_{33}$ and $R_{22} - R_{44}$. In the first case contribution from the Maxwell tensor and the scalar potential in (28) vanish and using the tetrad components R_{11} from (17) and the equation (41) one arrives at

$$\frac{1}{2\Sigma^2}(4\Sigma - ((A'_{23})^2 + (B'_{23})^2)) = \frac{2}{(z - \bar{z})^2} z_{,r} \bar{z}_{,r}. \quad (49)$$

In the second case one obtains similarly

$$\frac{a^2}{2\Sigma^2}(4\Sigma - ((A'_{23})^2 + (B'_{23})^2)) = \frac{2}{(z - \bar{z})^2} z_{,y} \bar{z}_{,y}. \quad (50)$$

From these equations a separate axidilaton equation follows:

$$a^2 z_{,r} \bar{z}_{,r} - z_{,y} \bar{z}_{,y} = 0. \quad (51)$$

Another equation for axidilaton follows from the Einstein equation $R_{34} = 0$ implying

$$z_{,r} \bar{z}_{,y} + z_{,y} \bar{z}_{,r} = 0. \quad (52)$$

From these equations it follows that z is a holomorphic or antiholomorphic function of $w = r + iay$, except at the poles. Without loss of generality we choose the first option,

$$z = f(w), \quad w = r + iay. \quad (53)$$

Then

$$z_{,y} = ia z_{,r}, \quad (54)$$

and the Laplace equation holds

$$z_{,yy} = -a^2 z_{,rr}, \quad (55)$$

so we deal with a harmonic function.

A holomorphic function is needed, one that is single-valued in the entire complex plane and non-singular except at a simple pole. Such a function must be a fractional-linear transformation

$$z = z_\infty \cdot \frac{r + iay + c_1}{r + iay + c_2}, \quad (56)$$

where z_∞, c_1, c_2 are arbitrary complex constants. It is clear that the constant z_∞ fix the asymptotic values of the dilaton and the axion. For simplicity, we will assume here that $\phi_\infty = \kappa_\infty = 0$, that is, $z_\infty = i$, and the axidilaton can ultimately be represented by the function:

$$z = i \frac{r + iay + c_1}{r + iay + c_2}. \quad (57)$$

The remaining non-trivial axidilaton equation (34) will read:

$$(A_2 z_{,r})_{,r} + (B_2 z_{,y})_{,y} - \frac{2}{(z - \bar{z})} (A_2 (z_{,r})^2 + B_2 (z_{,y})^2) - \frac{i}{l^2} (z^2 + 1) \Sigma = \frac{i(z - \bar{z})^2 \Sigma}{2} (F_{13} - iF_{24})^2. \quad (58)$$

C. Maxwell equations

In the system of modified Maxwell equations (39), by default only two equations are not satisfied, which have the form:

$$\alpha F_{13}(z - \bar{z})_{,r} - (z - \bar{z}) [F_{13}(\lambda_{223} - \lambda_{434}) - F_{24}\lambda_{124} - \alpha F_{13,r}] + i\alpha F_{24}(z + \bar{z})_{,r} = 0, \quad (59)$$

$$\beta F_{24}(z - \bar{z})_{,y} + (z - \bar{z}) [F_{24}(\lambda_{114} - \lambda_{334}) - F_{13}\lambda_{213} + \beta F_{24,y}] - i\beta F_{13}(z + \bar{z})_{,y} = 0. \quad (60)$$

The components of the Maxwell tensor included here can be represented as

$$F_{13} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{R + aY}{\Sigma} \right), \quad F_{24} = -\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{R + aY}{\Sigma} \right), \quad (61)$$

so the quantity in brackets plays the role of a "scalar potential".

It turns out that one can obtain simple linear equation on functions R , Y from these modified Maxwell equations as follows. First, one has to multiply the equation (59) by a/α and then subtract it from the second equation (60) multiplied by $1/\beta$. Then taking into account the expressions for Ricci coefficients (16) (where we have already set $b = 1$) one will obtain:

$$(F_{24} - iF_{13})[(z + \bar{z})_{,y} - ia(z - \bar{z})_{,r}] - (z - \bar{z}) \left[aF_{13,r} - F_{24,y} + \frac{2a}{\Sigma} (A'_{23}F_{13} + B'_{23}F_{24}) \right] = 0. \quad (62)$$

The first term in this equation vanishes due to the condition (54) so the equation reduces to

$$aF_{13,r} - F_{24,y} + \frac{2a}{\Sigma} (A'_{23}F_{13} + B'_{23}F_{24}) = 0. \quad (63)$$

Taking into account the expressions for tetrad components of the field strength (37), one can obtain the following expression for the first two terms of this equation by a direct calculation

$$aF_{13,r} - F_{24,y} = \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} \left[(aA''_{23} + B''_{23})(R + aY) - \Sigma(aR'' - Y'') \right] - \frac{2a}{\Sigma} (A'_{23}F_{13} + B'_{23}F_{24}) \quad (64)$$

Taking this relation and equation (41) into account now, it becomes obvious that (63) and (64) give us a simple linear equation for the Maxwell functions of one variable:

$$aR'' - Y'' = 0. \quad (65)$$

It follows from here that R , Y are quadratic polynomials of respective variables of which the highest coefficients are a -proportional. Then one can find a further simplification from the fact that all our equations are invariant under the constant shift of the potential:

$$\frac{R + aY}{\Sigma} \rightarrow \frac{R + aY}{\Sigma} + C, \quad (66)$$

where $C = \text{const.}$ Since Σ given by the Eq. (44) is also a quadratic polynomial with the ratio of leading coefficients equal to a^2 , it is clear that by suitable choice of C one can eliminate quadratic terms in R and Y simultaneously, so they can ultimately be chosen as the *linear* functions of the respective variables:

$$R = R_0 + qr, \quad Y = Y_0 - py, \quad (67)$$

where R_0, Y_0, q, p are real constants.

V. UNGAUGED EMDA THEORY

A. Completing the integration

In this section we find the general solution of the ungauged EMDA theory corresponding to the limit $l \rightarrow \infty$. The five-parameter family (plus two asymptotic values of the dilaton and axion, which we set to zero here) of rotating nutty dyons was obtained in [8] using Harrison transformations of the Kerr solution. Here, integrating the entire set of equations, we present a solution with two additional parameters.

In this limit the equations (45) and (58), simplify to

$$A_2'' + B_2'' = 0, \quad (68)$$

$$(A_2 z_{,r})_{,r} + (B_2 z_{,y})_{,y} - \frac{2}{(z - \bar{z})} (A_2(z_{,r})^2 + B_2(z_{,y})^2) = \frac{i(z - \bar{z})^2 \Sigma}{2} (F_{13} - iF_{24})^2. \quad (69)$$

The first one implies that in addition to the previous polynomial parameterizations (43,44,67) one has

$$A_2 = a_0 - 2a_1 r + \lambda r^2, \quad B_2 = b_0 + 2b_1 y - \lambda y^2, \quad (70)$$

where a_i, b_i, λ are real constants. It is seen that $g_{tt} = \lambda - 2a/r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, so, assuming that spacetime is locally Minkowskian at spatial infinity, we must set $\lambda = 1$, and $a_1 = m$ - the Schwarzschild mass.

For the axidilaton, we take the fractional-linear function (57). In total, at this stage, we have the fulfillment of part of the Einstein equations (41),(52),(68) and one combination of two Maxwell equations (59)-(60). We will solve the remaining equations by fixing the relationships between the unknown coefficients. From the equations (49),(50) we obtain the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -c_2 \equiv d, \\ \alpha_0 + a\beta_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(c_1 c_2^* + c_1^* c_2) = -dd^* \equiv \gamma_0, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

so the axidilaton function simplifies to

$$z = i \frac{r + iay + d}{r + iay - d}. \quad (72)$$

There are three more equations to solve, namely one of the modified Maxwell equations (59)-(60), the Einstein equation (48), and the axidilaton field equation (58). It is convenient to start with Maxwell's equations, since they do not depend on the functions A_2, B_2 . Taking into account (71), we find that the only new constraint needed to satisfy the remaining Maxwell equation is

$$d(q + ip) + d^*(q - ip) + 2(R_0 + aY_0) = 0. \quad (73)$$

Now using this condition together with (71) and taking into account Einstein's equation (48), we get two more constraints on our coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 - a^2 b_0 - (q^2 + p^2) + dd^* &= 0, \\ d &= \frac{(q - ip)^2}{2(a_1 + iab_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Finally, it can be verified that the remaining axidilaton equation (69) is satisfied by substituting the (70) together with the constraints (71),(73) and (74). Thus, the entire system of equations of the ungauged EMDA theory is solved. Defining now $b_0 = \delta_1$, $\beta_0 = \delta_0$, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{23} &= r^2 - |d|^2 - a\delta_0, \\ B_{23} &= -ay^2 - \delta_0, \\ A_2 &= r^2 - 2a_1 r + (q^2 + p^2) - |d|^2 + a^2 \delta_1, \\ B_2 &= -y^2 + 2b_1 y + \delta_1, \\ \Sigma &= r^2 + a^2 y^2 - |d|^2, \quad d = \frac{(q - ip)^2}{2(a_1 + iab_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where the domain of y must be compact, so that B_2 is positive. Note that the general solution was “almost” Minkowskian, up to fixing the constant $\lambda = 1$. We have thus proved that the general solution of EMDA theory admitting the Killing tensor is asymptotically flat (more precisely, locally flat, as we will see shortly). This correlates with recent uniqueness claims for static spacetimes admitting generalized photon surfaces [46], since the existence of a slice-reducible Killing tensor (to which class our Killing tensor belongs) guarantees the existence of generalized photon surfaces [42, 43]. So our current calculations hint that the above uniqueness theorem can be generalized to stationary solutions as well. Previously, uniqueness statements for solutions of type D admitting the Killing-Yano tensor were presented in [28, 47–49]. It

is worth noting again that our general solution is of Petrov type I and has no Killing-Yano structures.

B. Physical nature of extra parameters

Now that we have integrated the equations of motion, we need to establish the physical meaning of the constants of integration, but first we need to get rid of one unpleasant feature of the obtained solution. It turns out that if we consider, for example, the Kerr-Newman-NUT metric in coordinates where the linear terms in the functions A_{23} , B_{23} are absent, then it will have a singular static limit $a \rightarrow 0$, and this is what will happen to our solution too. With these considerations in mind, we make the coordinate shift $y \rightarrow y + b_1$ and the following redefinitions of the parameters $\delta_1 \rightarrow \delta_1 + b_1^2$, $\delta_0 \rightarrow \delta_0 + ab_1^2$. Later on we use these new quantities.

To reveal physical meaning of the positive constant δ_1 , consider the equatorial plane $y = 0$ at spatial infinity ($r \rightarrow \infty$). Two-dimensional line element than will take the form

$$dl_{(r,\varphi)}^2 = \frac{\Sigma}{A_2} \left(dr^2 + \frac{A_2}{\Sigma^2} [B_2 A_{23}^2 - A_2 B_{23}^2] d\varphi^2 \right) \rightarrow (dr^2 + \delta_1 r^2 d\varphi^2). \quad (76)$$

Clearly the parameter δ_1 introduces a conical singularity into the solution (the cosmic string) [50–53]. The cosmic string is removed by choosing $\delta_1 = 1$.

To establish the physical meaning of the constants b_1, δ_0 , we consider the asymptotic behavior as $r \rightarrow \infty$ of the rotation function ω

$$\omega = -\frac{g_{t\varphi}}{g_{tt}} = \frac{A_2 B_{23} - a B_2 A_{23}}{A_2 - a^2 B_2}. \quad (77)$$

We find that the NUT parameter n corresponds to the setting $b_1 = n/a$:

$$\omega = B_{23} - a B_2 = -2ny - \delta_0 - a\delta_1, \quad (78)$$

and $\delta_0 + a\delta_1$ is the parameter determining the position of the Misner strings. The north and south strings are symmetric when $\delta_0 = -a\delta_1$. In this case, excluding also the cosmic string, we must set $\delta_1 = 1, \delta_0 = -a$.

Now, if we define the complex dilaton charge using the asymptotics

$$z = i \left(1 - \frac{2\mathcal{D}}{r} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right), \quad (79)$$

and introduce the complex mass and electromagnetic charge as in [8]: $\mathcal{M} = m + in$, $\mathcal{Q} = q + ip$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D} = -d = -\frac{(q - ip)^2}{2(m + in)} \equiv -\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{*2}}{2\mathcal{M}}. \quad (80)$$

Defining also the real charges $e^2 = |\mathcal{Q}|^2$, $D = |\mathcal{D}| = e^2/2\mu$, $\mu^2 = m^2 + n^2$, we can rewrite the solution as

$$ds^2 = \frac{A_2}{\Sigma} (dt + (a \cos^2 \theta + 2n \cos \theta - a) d\varphi)^2 - \frac{B_2}{\Sigma} (adt - (r^2 - D^2 + a^2 + n^2) d\varphi)^2 - \Sigma \left(\frac{dr^2}{A_2} + d\theta^2 \right), \quad (81)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= r^2 - 2mr + e^2 - D^2 + a^2 - n^2, \\ B_2 &= \sin^2 \theta, \\ \Sigma &= r^2 + (a \cos \theta + n)^2 - D^2, \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

where we set $y = \cos \theta$ to ensure $B_2 > 0$. Now after transforming the coordinates $r \rightarrow r + r_-/2$ with $r_- = me^2/\mu^2$ in the solution of Ref. [8], we find an exact match to our solution (81-82).

Returning to our general solution, we conclude that two more parameters relative to the solution obtained using the Harrison transformations [8] are simply the possible conical defect and the Misner string asymmetry parameter.

For completeness, we also present the form of the vector potential and the axidilaton field in these coordinates. The potential one-form is given by the formula (35), where the functions R , Y read

$$\begin{aligned} R &= qr + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D}(q + ip) + \mathcal{D}^*(q - ip)) - np + a\delta_2, \\ Y &= -py - \delta_2, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where δ_2 is insignificant real constant, disappearing in the combination $R + aY$ defining the Maxwell field tensor (37). For axidilaton we have

$$z = i \frac{r + iay + in - \mathcal{D}}{r + iay + in + \mathcal{D}}, \quad (84)$$

where the complex dilaton charge \mathcal{D} is given by (80).

C. Ergosphere and horizon

The Killing vector ∂_t becomes null at the surfaces where $A_2 - a^2 B_2 = 0$, which corresponds to boundaries of the ergoregion

$$r_e^\pm = m \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 - e^2 + D^2 - a^2 y^2}. \quad (85)$$

The radii of the horizons r_H^\pm , satisfying the equation $A_2 = 0$, are

$$r_H^\pm = m \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 - e^2 + D^2 - a^2}. \quad (86)$$

Consider now the Killing vector $\xi = \partial_t + \Omega \partial_\varphi$ with some constant Ω that can still be timelike in the region $r_H^+ < r < r_e^+$. The value of Ω on the surface $r = r_H^+$, where ξ becomes null, will be equal to

$$\Omega_H = \frac{a}{2\mu^2 - e^2 + 2m\sqrt{\mu^2 - e^2 + D^2 - a^2}}. \quad (87)$$

D. Static limit

Consider the case of a vanishing rotation parameter. The positions of the horizons are then simply given by

$$r_H^\pm = m \pm \frac{|2\mu^2 - e^2|}{2\mu}, \quad (88)$$

and the position of a singularity is given by

$$r_s^2 = D^2 - n^2. \quad (89)$$

To establish the relative position of these surfaces, we consider the value $(r_H^\pm)^2 - r_s^2$, which after some algebraic transformations takes the form:

$$(r_H^\pm)^2 - r_s^2 = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\mu(2\mu^2 - e^2) \pm m|2\mu^2 - e^2| \right). \quad (90)$$

First, we note that in the case where $2\mu^2 = e^2$, which actually corresponds to the extremal limit, we obtain that the position of the singularity coincides with the position of the horizon. Next, we should distinguish the following two cases:

- $2\mu^2 < e^2$. Then we find that the ratio (90) reduces to

$$(r_H^\pm)^2 - r_s^2 = -\frac{1}{\mu} (\mu \mp m)(e^2 - 2\mu^2), \quad (91)$$

so the solution is a naked singularity, since r_s is always larger than r_H^+ .

- $2\mu^2 > e^2$. Then from (90) we have the relation

$$(r_H^\pm)^2 - r_s^2 = \frac{1}{\mu} (\mu \pm m)(2\mu^2 - e^2), \quad (92)$$

which tells us that for $n \neq 0$ the singularity is located under both horizons and coincides with the position of the inner horizon in the case of the vanishing NUT parameter $n = 0$.

E. Absence of a wormhole

The nutty solutions of Einstein-Maxwell theory have a wormhole branch [54, 55] corresponding to everywhere regular metrics without horizons, so it is natural to look for a similar branch in EMDA theory. From (86) we see that if we want our solution to be horizonless, we must impose the following constraint on the parameters:

$$\mu^2 - e^2 + D^2 - a^2 < 0, \quad (93)$$

or, substituting $D = e^2/2\mu$, we can rewrite this as

$$2\mu(\mu - a) < e^2 < 2\mu(\mu + a). \quad (94)$$

It is obvious that, unlike the Kerr-Newman-NUT solution, we cannot satisfy the no-horizon condition in EMDA theory in the static limit. For $a \neq 0$ the charge of the horizonless solution can be presented as

$$e^2 = 2\mu(\mu + \gamma a), \quad \gamma \in (-1, 1). \quad (95)$$

For a solution to be a wormhole, we must also impose the condition that Σ is nonzero and positive definite everywhere. It is seen that in the case where $|n| < a$, there is always a ring singularity $r = D$ and $y = -n/a$, so we must consider $|n| > a$. In this case, a sufficient condition for Σ to remain nonzero is

$$|a \cos \theta - n| > D, \quad (96)$$

for all values of $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. Let us consider separately the following two cases:

- $n > 0$. Then, given the connection between D and e^2 , (96) will be rewritten as

$$2\mu(n - a) > e^2. \quad (97)$$

Using the no-horizon condition (95), we obtain

$$(n - a) > (\mu + \gamma a), \quad (98)$$

which cannot be satisfied for any γ in the range $(-1, 1)$.

- $n < 0$. In this case, the (96) condition yields

$$2\mu(n + a) < -e^2, \quad (99)$$




FIG. 1: Position of a singularity.

while the no horizon condition now reduces to

$$(|n| - a) > (\mu + \gamma a), \quad (100)$$

which also cannot be satisfied.

So we cannot satisfy the no-singularity condition together with the no-horizon condition. Thus, there is no parameter region where the solution can be a wormhole.

F. Singularity

As we have already mentioned, the position of the singularity is determined by the relation

$$r^2 + (ay + n)^2 = D^2, \quad (101)$$

which is actually an equation defining a circle of radius D in the r - y plane. The interior of this circle corresponds to $\Sigma < 0$, so it is of no interest to us, while the exterior corresponds to the points where $\Sigma > 0$ and the solution is regular. For convenience, we define a new coordinate $x = ay + n$, whose domain is $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}] = [n - a, n + a]$.

First, note that in the case $|n| > a$, one can obtain a condition on the electromagnetic charges e that will guarantee the absence of a singularity at all, requiring that the interval $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$ not intersect $[-D, D]$, which is impossible in the case $|n| < a$. One can obtain that,

regardless of the sign of n , this condition is written as

$$e^2 < 2\mu(|n| - a). \quad (102)$$

Let us now consider the second case $|n| < a$. For this case, the singularity is represented by a part of the circle (101) such that the point with $r_s = D$ must be included, since the interval $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$ will contain the point $x = 0$ (Fig. 1). Thus, the largest value of the radial coordinate corresponding to the singularity is $r_s = D$, and in order for the solution not to be a naked singularity, we must require the condition $r_H^+ > r_s$, which can be written using the relation between the dilaton and the electromagnetic charge as

$$\sqrt{(e^2 - 2\mu^2)^2 - 4a^2\mu^2} > e^2 - 2m\mu. \quad (103)$$

If we consider the case $e^2 > 2m\mu$ than the square of this inequality leads to

$$(\mu - m)e^2 + (a^2 - n^2)\mu < 0, \quad (104)$$

which cannot be satisfied due to $|n| < a$, so we have to consider $e^2 < 2m\mu$, which satisfies the inequality (103) by default. One more constraint following from the existence of the horizon is

$$e^2 < 2\mu(\mu - a). \quad (105)$$

One obtains, that in the case $|n| < a$ the relation $\mu - a < m$ must be fulfilled, so the condition for the existence of the horizon is enough for (103) to be satisfied. Than in order for electromagnetic charge e to remain real one also has to impose the condition $\mu - a > 0$, which leads to

$$m > \sqrt{a^2 - n^2}. \quad (106)$$

So in the case $|n| < a$ the conditions (105) and (106) guarantee the absence of a naked singularity.

VI. GAUGED EMDA

Now we come back to the full theory with a potential as described in Section 3.

A. Forth order polynomials for A_2 , B_2

In the gauged case, the functions A_{23} , B_{23} , R , Y can be considered exactly the same as in the previous section (70), and axidilaton will also take the general form (57). But we need to

clarify the nature of the BF functions A_2 , B_2 , since the right-hand side of Eq. (45) is now a nonlinear function of the variables r and y . Therefore, we will leave these functions arbitrary for now and consider equations that are not affected by these functions.

From equations (49) and (50) we again obtain the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -c_2 \equiv d, \\ \alpha_0 + a\beta_0 &= -dd^*. \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

We can rewrite this in another form by introducing a new real constant δ_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= -dd^* - \delta_0, \\ \beta_0 &= \delta_0, \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

Also, Maxwell's equations will again give us the same constraint as before, namely

$$d(q + ip) + d^*(q - ip) + 2(R_0 + aY_0) = 0. \tag{109}$$

Now, using the above constraints, we can see that the right-hand side of (45) is a second-order polynomial in r and y with separated variables, so A_2 and B_2 must now be fourth-order polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= a_0 - 2a_1r + a_2r^2 + a_3r^3 + a_4r^4, \\ B_2 &= b_0 + 2b_1y + b_2y^2 + b_3y^3 + b_4y^4. \end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

Then, using these relations, from equation (45) we get the following constraints

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 &= \frac{1}{l^2}, \quad b_4 = \frac{a^2}{l^2}, \\ a_3 &= 0, \quad b_3 = 0, \\ a_2 + b_2 &= -\frac{2}{l^2}dd^*, \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

therefore, we can set

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= -\frac{2}{l^2}|d|^2 + \lambda, \\ b_2 &= -\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

where λ is an arbitrary real constant.

The remaining Einstein equation gives us

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 - a^2b_0 - (q^2 + p^2) - \frac{|d|^4}{l^2} + \lambda|d|^2 &= 0, \\ d &= \frac{(q - ip)^2}{2(a_1 + iab_1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= q^2 + p^2 - \lambda|d|^2 + \frac{|d|^4}{l^2} + a^2\delta_1, \\ b_0 &= \delta_1. \end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

The only equation which remains to be satisfied is the modified axidilaton equation (58), but it turns out that imposition of the constraints (109), (111) and (113) solves it, so the whole system of equations is satisfied.

Finally, we get the solution in the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_{23} &= r^2 - |d|^2 - a\delta_0, \\ B_{23} &= -ay^2 - \delta_0, \\ A_2 &= \frac{1}{l^2}r^4 + \left(\lambda - \frac{2}{l^2}|d|^2\right)r^2 - 2a_1r + (q^2 + p^2) - \lambda|d|^2 + a^2\delta_1 + \frac{|d|^4}{l^2}, \\ B_2 &= \frac{a^2}{l^2}y^4 - \lambda y^2 + 2b_1y + \delta_1, \\ \Sigma &= r^2 + a^2y^2 - |d|^2, \quad d = \frac{(q - ip)^2}{2(a_1 + iab_1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

B. Physical nature of extra parameters

In order to clarify the physical meaning of the free parameters, and to establish that previously conjectured rotating solutions of the gauged EMDA theory are indeed special cases of our general solution (115), it is necessary to perform a coordinate transformation and a redefinition of the free parameters, as in the ungauged case. First, make the shift $y \rightarrow y + y_0$ with some yet unfixed constant y_0 , then make successive redefinitions

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &\rightarrow b_1 - \frac{2a^2y_0^3}{l^2} + \lambda y_0, \\ \lambda &\rightarrow \lambda + \frac{a^2}{l^2} + \frac{6a^2y_0^2}{l^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

and then choose $y_0 = n/a$, and $b_1 = -2an/l^2$, where n is the NUT parameter. Let us again introduce the complex dilaton charge $\mathcal{D} = -d$. Clearly the constant a_1 must be identified again with the mass m . After all the final solution will take the form:

$$ds^2 = \frac{A_2}{\Sigma} (dt + (ay^2 + 2ny + \delta_0)d\varphi)^2 - \frac{B_2}{\Sigma} (adt - (r^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2 - a\delta_0 + n^2)d\varphi)^2 - \Sigma \left(\frac{dr^2}{A_2} + \frac{dy^2}{B_2} \right), \tag{117}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= (r^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2) \left(\lambda + \frac{r^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2 + a^2 + 6n^2}{l^2} \right) - 2mr + (q^2 + p^2) + a^2\delta_1 - \lambda n^2 + \frac{3n^2}{l^2}(a^2 - n^2), \\
B_2 &= (1 - y^2) \left(\lambda - \frac{4an}{l^2}y - \frac{a^2}{l^2}y^2 \right) + \delta_1 - \lambda, \\
\Sigma &= r^2 + (ay + n)^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2, \quad \mathcal{D} = -\frac{(q - ip)^2}{2(m + in[\lambda - \frac{a^2}{l^2} + \frac{4n^2}{l^2}])}.
\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

In the limit $l \rightarrow \pm\infty$, the solution (115) reduces to the solution of the ungauged theory (81). Therefore the parameters δ_0 , δ_1 will play the same role as in the ungauged EMDA.

Although the axidilaton charge \mathcal{D} is related to the electromagnetic charges, we can see that formally considering the limit $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0$ with q and p unchanged and choosing $\lambda = 1$, $\delta_1 = 1$, $\delta_0 = -a$ in the solution (117) we arrive at Kerr-Newman-NUT-AdS solution [56]. This could be considered more of a coincidence, since the theories are essentially different.

One important subcase is the Kerr-Sen-AdS solution, which appeared in some papers [32–35] without derivation inspired by analogy the Kerr-Newman-AdS solution. Our rigorous derivation confirms the result in the special case of parameters $n = 0$, $p = 0$, $\mathcal{D} = -b = -q^2/2m$, $\lambda = 1$, $\delta_1 = 1$, $\delta_0 = -a$ and after coordinate transformation $r \rightarrow r + b$.

The axidilaton function and the functions R , Y , describing a potential one-form (35) are again given by Eqs. (83)-(84), where now the complex axidilaton charge is given by (118).

C. Topological solutions

For asymptotically AdS solutions one can expect occurrence of different topologies in the spirit of [36–40]. These can be identified as follows. First, note that λ is no longer positive definite: one can safely consider $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda < 0$. Correspondingly, the domain of y (following from positivity of B_2) is not necessarily limited to a finite region. The last two cases just correspond to solutions with flat and hyperbolic topologies. To see this, we rewrite the solution (117) as

$$ds^2 = \frac{A_2 - a^2 B_2}{\Sigma} (dt - \omega d\varphi)^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{A_2} dr^2 - \Sigma d\sigma^2, \tag{119}$$

where ω is defined as in (77), and where we have introduced a linear element $d\sigma^2$ of the 2-surface, parameterized by the coordinates y , φ as follows

$$d\sigma^2 = \frac{dy^2}{B_2} + \frac{A_2 B_2}{A_2 - a^2 B_2} d\varphi^2. \tag{120}$$

Let us now consider this expression at spatial infinity $r \rightarrow \infty$ and in the case of the static limit $a \rightarrow 0$. We obtain

$$d\sigma^2 = \frac{dy^2}{B_2} + B_2 d\varphi^2, \quad (121)$$

with $B_2 = -\lambda y^2 + \delta_1$. Having calculated the Gaussian curvature of this surface, we obtain

$$R_\sigma = 2\lambda, \quad (122)$$

therefore we will be interested in three special cases of the constant λ , namely $\lambda = 1, 0, -1$ and the constant $\delta_1 = 1$. Then we will get the following three cases

$$d\sigma^2 = \begin{cases} d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2, & \lambda = 1, \quad y = \cos \theta, \\ d\theta^2 + d\varphi^2, & \lambda = 0, \quad y = \theta, \\ d\theta^2 + \sinh^2 \theta d\varphi^2, & \lambda = -1, \quad y = \cosh \theta. \end{cases} \quad (123)$$

In presence of rotation $a \neq 0$, the Gaussian curvature R_σ is no longer constant, as in the case of the static limit, but it turns out that these topological solutions can still be described in analogy with [41].

D. Horizons

Next we consider the (117) metric with spherical topology $\lambda = 1$, remove the conical singularity $\delta_1 = 1$, establish symmetric Misner strings $\delta_0 = -a$ and make a coordinate transformation $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi/\Xi$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= (r^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2) \left(1 + \frac{r^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2 + a^2 + 6n^2}{l^2} \right) - 2mr + (q^2 + p^2) + \left(1 + \frac{3n^2}{l^2} \right) (a^2 - n^2), \\ B_2 &= \sin^2 \theta \left(1 - \frac{4an}{l^2} \cos \theta - \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta \right), \\ A_{23} &= \frac{r^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2 + a^2 + n^2}{\Xi}, \\ B_{23} &= \frac{a - 2n \cos \theta - a \cos^2 \theta}{\Xi}, \\ \Sigma &= r^2 + (a \cos \theta + n)^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2, \quad \mathcal{D} = -\frac{(q - ip)^2}{2(m + in[1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} + \frac{4n^2}{l^2}])}, \quad \Xi = 1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

The angular velocity of locally non-rotating observers

$$\Omega = -\frac{g_{t\varphi}}{g_{\varphi\varphi}} = \frac{A_2 B_{23} - a B_2 A_{23}}{A_2 (B_{23})^2 - a \sin^2 \theta (A_{23})^2} \quad (125)$$

at spatial infinity $r \rightarrow \infty$ reduces to

$$\Omega_\infty = -\frac{a}{l^2}, \quad (126)$$

which means that the asymptotic is rotating. The corresponding value at the horizon $r = r_+$, $A_2(r_+) = 0$, equal to

$$\Omega_H = \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2 + n^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2}, \quad (127)$$

can be interpreted as the angular velocity of the horizon relative to a rotating frame. The angular velocity of the horizon relative to a frame reference static at infinity can be defined as

$$\Omega_H - \Omega_\infty = \frac{a}{r_+^2 + a^2 + n^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2} \left(1 + \frac{r_+^2 + n^2 - |\mathcal{D}|^2}{l^2} \right). \quad (128)$$

Let us now consider the fourth-degree equation $A_2 = 0$, the roots of which determine the position of the horizons. This equation can be written as

$$r^4 + a_2 r^2 + a_1 r + a_0 = 0, \quad (129)$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= l^2 + a^2 - 2|\mathcal{D}|^2 + 6n^2, \\ a_1 &= -2ml^2, \\ a_0 &= l^2|\mathcal{Q}|^2 + |\mathcal{D}|^4 + (3n^2 + l^2)(a^2 - n^2) - (l^2 + a^2 + 6n^2)|\mathcal{D}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

It turns out that we can find the extremal value of the mass parameter m_{ext} and the location of the extremal event horizon r_H^{ext} without solving the quartic equation (129). For $m = m_{\text{ext}}$, the outer event horizon r_+ and the inner Cauchy horizon r_- coincide, so the solution is an extremal AdS black hole. According to the Ferrari formula, the positive roots of our quartic equation can be written as

$$r_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{y_1 - a_2} \pm \sqrt{2\sqrt{y_1^2 - 4a_0} - (y_1 + a_2)} \right), \quad (131)$$

where y_1 is a real root of the resolvent cubic equation

$$y^3 - a_2 y^2 - 4a_0 y - (a_1^2 - 4a_0 a_2) = 0. \quad (132)$$

From (131) we can obtain the extremality condition on y_1 , namely

$$y_1 = \frac{1}{3}(a_2 + 2\sqrt{(a_2)^2 + 12a_0}), \quad (133)$$

now substituting this relation into the equation of the resolvent (132) and considering it as an equation for the mass, we obtain

$$m_{\text{ext}} = \frac{l}{3\sqrt{6}} \left(\eta + 2 \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) - \frac{4|\mathcal{D}|^2}{l^2} + \frac{12n^2}{l^2} \right) \cdot \left(\eta - \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right) + \frac{2|\mathcal{D}|^2}{l^2} - \frac{6n^2}{l^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (134)$$

where

$$\eta = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \right)^2 + \frac{12}{l^2} \left(a^2 + |\mathcal{Q}|^2 - \frac{4}{3} |\mathcal{D}|^2 \right) - \frac{16}{l^4} \left[a^2 (|\mathcal{D}|^2 - 3n^2) - |\mathcal{D}|^2 (|\mathcal{D}|^2 - 6n^2) \right]}. \quad (135)$$

And the extremal value of the horizon radius than reads

$$r_H^{\text{ext}} = \frac{l}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\eta - 1 - \frac{a^2}{l^2} + 2|\mathcal{D}|^2 - 6n^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (136)$$

We can now again formally consider the limit $|\mathcal{D}| \rightarrow 0$ with nonvanishing electromagnetic charges. One finds, that in this case also putting $n = 0$ the quantities m_{ext} and r_H^{ext} reduce to those of the Kerr-Newman-AdS solution [57, 58].

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We performed a full integration of the equations of motion of the ungauged and gauged EMDA theory, which is a truncated version of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity with one vector field on the class of stationary axisymmetric spacetimes admitting a second-rank Killing tensor. Previously, similar calculations were restricted to the Einstein-Maxwell theory and type D metrics. We used Carter's approach, recently extended to algebraically non-special spacetimes and the presence of scalar fields. Special attention was paid to the dilaton and axion fields, which were not previously considered in this approach. We were able to extract from the full coupled nonlinear system of equations a pair of separate equations for the complex axidilaton, whose analytical structure implies the choice of the corresponding ansatz in the form of a fractional linear function. Then, separate equations were derived for the four most important BF coefficient functions, implying their polynomial structure. This was possible both in the ungauged EMDA theory and in the gauged theory with potential. Then the problem of integrating nonlinear systems of equations was reduced to establishing relationships between the coefficients of the polynomials.

In the ungauged case, it was shown that the general solution is necessarily asymptotically flat or locally flat. It contains two more parameters than the nutty EMDA dyon found earlier by

the Harrison transformations: one is a conical parameter, and the other determines the Misner string configuration. For the general set of parameters, the solution is either a black hole or a naked singularity, and does not contain a wormhole branch like the Kerr-Newman-NUT solution of Einstein-Maxwell theory.

In the gauged case, the general solution has AdS asymptotics and is endowed with a mass, a NUT parameter, electric and magnetic charges, a cone parameter, a Misner string parameter, and a discrete topological parameter defining the spherical, flat and hyperbolic topologies of the two-dimensional section. Such a solution has never been derived analytically before, although a special case without derivation, known as the Kerr-Sen-AdS metric, has been proposed. This metric has been rigorously verified and extended with new parameters.

This approach can be applied to other four-dimensional ungauged and gauged supergravities.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Gérard Clément, Kirill Kobialko, Alexander Kulitskii and Alexander Alexeev for valuable suggestions and discussions. The work of D.G. was supported by the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics 'BASIS'.

-
- [1] F. J. Ernst, “New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem,” *Phys. Rev.* **167**, 1175-1179 (1968).
 - [2] F. J. Ernst, “New Formulation of the Axially Symmetric Gravitational Field Problem. II,” *Phys. Rev.* **168**, 1415-1417 (1968).
 - [3] G. Neugebauer and D. Kramer, “A method for the construction of stationary einstein-maxwell fields. (in german),” *Annalen Phys.* **24**, 62-71 (1969).
 - [4] G. Neugebauer, ”Untersuchungen zu Einstein-Maxwell-Feldern mit eindimensionaler Bewegungsgruppe”, Habilitationsschrift, FSU Jena (1969).
 - [5] D. Maison, “Ehlers-Harrison type transformations for Jordan’s extended theory of gravitation ” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **10**, 717-723 (1979).
 - [6] G. Clement, “Stationary Solutions in Five-dimensional General Relativity,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **18**, 137-160 (1986).

- [7] P. Breitenlohner, D. Maison and G. W. Gibbons, “Four-Dimensional Black Holes from Kaluza-Klein Theories,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **120** (1988), 295.
- [8] D. V. Galtsov and O. V. Kechkin, “Ehlers-Harrison type transformations in dilaton - axion gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994), 7394-7399 [arXiv:hep-th/9407155 [hep-th]].
- [9] D. V. Gal’tsov, “Integrable systems in stringy gravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 2863-2866 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9410217 [hep-th]].
- [10] P. Breitenlohner and D. Maison, “On nonlinear sigma models arising in (super-)gravity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **209** (2000), 785-810 [arXiv:gr-qc/9806002 [gr-qc]].
- [11] D. Youm, “Black holes and solitons in string theory,” *Phys. Rept.* **316** (1999), 1-232 [arXiv:hep-th/9710046 [hep-th]].
- [12] A. Boucharab, G. Clement, C. M. Chen, D. V. Gal’tsov, N. G. Scherbluk and T. Wolf, “G(2) generating technique for minimal D=5 supergravity and black rings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007), 104032 [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008), 029901] [arXiv:0708.2361 [hep-th]].
- [13] D. D. K. Chow and G. Compère, “Seed for general rotating non-extremal black holes of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31**, 022001 (2014) [arXiv:1310.1925 [hep-th]].
- [14] D. D. K. Chow and G. Compère, “Black holes in N=8 supergravity from SO(4,4) hidden symmetries,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.2, 025029 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2602 [hep-th]].
- [15] I. Bogush, G. Clément, D. Gal’tsov and D. Torbunov, “Nutty Kaluza-Klein dyons revisited,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) no.6, 064045 [arXiv:2009.07922 [gr-qc]].
- [16] Z. W. Chong, M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, “Charged rotating black holes in four-dimensional gauged and ungauged supergravities,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **717**, 246-271 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0411045 [hep-th]].
- [17] D. D. K. Chow, “Single-charge rotating black holes in four-dimensional gauged supergravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 032001 (2011) [arXiv:1011.2202 [hep-th]].
- [18] D. D. K. Chow, “Two-charge rotating black holes in four-dimensional gauged supergravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 175004 (2011) [arXiv:1012.1851 [hep-th]].
- [19] D. Klemm and O. Vaughan, “Nonextremal black holes in gauged supergravity and the real formulation of special geometry II,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 065003 (2013) [arXiv:1211.1618 [hep-th]].
- [20] A. Gneccchi, K. Hristov, D. Klemm, C. Toldo and O. Vaughan, “Rotating black holes in 4d gauged supergravity,” *JHEP* **01**, 127 (2014) [arXiv:1311.1795 [hep-th]].
- [21] D. D. K. Chow and G. Compère, “Dyonic AdS black holes in maximal gauged supergravity,”

- Phys. Rev. D **89**, no.6, 065003 (2014) [arXiv:1311.1204 [hep-th]].
- [22] X. D. Zhu, D. Wu and D. Wen, “Topological classes of thermodynamics of the rotating charged AdS black holes in gauged supergravities,” Phys. Lett. B **856**, 138919 (2024) [arXiv:2402.15531 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. Anabalón, S. Maurelli, M. Oyarzo and M. Trigiante, “The Instability of Low-Temperature Black Holes in Gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Supergravity,” [arXiv:2411.09454 [hep-th]].
- [24] D. Wu, P. Wu, H. Yu and S. Q. Wu, “Are ultraspinning Kerr-Sen- AdS₄ black holes always superentropic?,” Phys. Rev. D **102**, no.4, 044007 (2020) [arXiv:2007.02224 [gr-qc]].
- [25] A. Gallerati, “New Black Hole Solutions in $N = 2$ and $N = 8$ Gauged Supergravity,” Universe **7**, no.6, 187 (2021).
- [26] B. Carter, “Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrodinger separable solutions of Einstein’s equations,” Commun. Math. Phys. **10** (1968) no.4, 280-310.
- [27] J. F. Plebański, “A class of solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations,” Annals Phys. **90**, no.1, 196-255 (1975).
- [28] V. P. Frolov, P. Krtous and D. Kubiznak, “Black holes, hidden symmetries, and complete integrability,” Living Rev. Rel. **20**, no.1, 6 (2017) [arXiv:1705.05482 [gr-qc]].
- [29] D. Gal’tsov and A. Kulitskii, “Petrov types, separability, and generalized photon surfaces of supergravity black holes,” Phys. Rev. D **110** (2024) no.12, 124008 [arXiv:2409.13324 [gr-qc]].
- [30] S. Benenti and M. Francaviglia, “Remarks on certain separability structures and their applications to general relativity,” Gen. Rel. Grav. **10** (1979) no.1, 79-92.
- [31] A. Anabalón and C. Batista, “A Class of Integrable Metrics,” Phys. Rev. D **93**, no.6, 064079 (2016) [arXiv:1602.02037 [gr-qc]].
- [32] D. Wu, S. Q. Wu, P. Wu and H. Yu, “Aspects of the dyonic Kerr-Sen- AdS₄ black hole and its ultraspinning version,” Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.4, 044014 (2021) [arXiv:2010.13518 [gr-qc]].
- [33] M. F. A. R. Sakti and P. Burikham, “Dual CFT on a dyonic Kerr-Sen black hole and its gauged and ultraspinning counterparts,” Phys. Rev. D **106**, no.10, 106006 (2022) [arXiv:2206.10868 [hep-th]].
- [34] M. F. A. R. Sakti, “Hidden conformal symmetry for dyonic Kerr-Sen black hole and its gauged family,” Eur. Phys. J. C **83**, no.3, 255 (2023) [arXiv:2208.02722 [hep-th]].
- [35] M. S. Ali, S. G. Ghosh and A. Wang, “Thermodynamics of Kerr-Sen-AdS black holes in the restricted phase space,” Phys. Rev. D **108**, no.4, 044045 (2023) [arXiv:2308.00489 [gr-qc]].

- [36] J. P. S. Lemos, “Cylindrical black hole in general relativity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **353**, 46-51 (1995) [arXiv:gr-qc/9404041 [gr-qc]].
- [37] R. G. Cai and Y. Z. Zhang, “Black plane solutions in four-dimensional space-times,” *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 4891-4898 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/9609065 [gr-qc]].
- [38] D. Birmingham, “Topological black holes in Anti-de Sitter space,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16**, 1197-1205 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9808032 [hep-th]].
- [39] L. Vanzo, “Black holes with unusual topology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 6475-6483 (1997) [arXiv:gr-qc/9705004 [gr-qc]].
- [40] D. R. Brill, J. Louko and P. Peldan, “Thermodynamics of (3+1)-dimensional black holes with toroidal or higher genus horizons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 3600-3610 (1997) [arXiv:gr-qc/9705012 [gr-qc]].
- [41] D. Klemm, V. Moretti and L. Vanzo, “Rotating topological black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 6127-6137 (1998) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 109902 (1999)] [arXiv:gr-qc/9710123 [gr-qc]].
- [42] K. Kobialko, I. Bogush and D. Gal'tsov, “Slice-reducible conformal Killing tensors, photon surfaces, and shadows,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.2, 024006 (2022) [arXiv:2202.09126 [gr-qc]].
- [43] K. Kobialko, I. Bogush and D. Gal'tsov, “Killing tensors and photon surfaces in foliated space-times,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) no.4, 044009.
- [44] J. Goldberg, R. Sachs, et al., “A theorem on Petrov types,” *Acta Phys. Pol. B, Proc. Suppl.* **22**, 13 (1962).
- [45] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, “Textbook on Theoretical Physica. Vol. 2: Classical Field Theory.”
- [46] K. Kobialko, I. Bogush and D. Gal'tsov, “Uniqueness of the static vacuum asymptotically flat spacetimes with massive particle spheres,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, no.4, 044059 (2024) [arXiv:2406.15127 [gr-qc]].
- [47] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, “Closed conformal Killing-Yano tensor and Kerr-NUT-de Sitter spacetime uniqueness,” *Phys. Lett. B* **656**, 214-216 (2007) [arXiv:0708.1368 [hep-th]].
- [48] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, “Closed conformal Killing-Yano tensor and uniqueness of generalized Kerr-NUT-de Sitter spacetime,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 045015 (2009) [arXiv:0805.3877 [hep-th]].
- [49] P. Krtous, V. P. Frolov and D. Kubiznak, “Hidden Symmetries of Higher Dimensional Black Holes and Uniqueness of the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 064022 (2008) [arXiv:0804.4705 [hep-th]].

- [50] M. Aryal, L. H. Ford and A. Vilenkin, “Cosmic Strings and Black Holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 2263 (1986).
- [51] D. V. Galtsov and E. Masar, “Geodesics in Space-times Containing Cosmic Strings,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6**, 1313-1341 (1989).
- [52] E. Hackmann, B. Hartmann, C. Lammerzahl and P. Sirimachan, “Test particle motion in the space-time of a Kerr black hole pierced by a cosmic string,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 044024 (2010) [arXiv:1006.1761 [gr-qc]].
- [53] M. Appels, R. Gregory and D. Kubiznak, “Black Hole Thermodynamics with Conical Defects,” *JHEP* **05**, 116 (2017) [arXiv:1702.00490 [hep-th]].
- [54] G. Clément, D. Gal’tsov and M. Guenouche, “NUT wormholes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no.2, 024048 (2016) [arXiv:1509.07854 [hep-th]].
- [55] G. Clément and D. Gal’tsov, “Rotating traversable wormholes in Einstein-Maxwell theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **838**, 137677 (2023) [arXiv:2210.08913 [gr-qc]].
- [56] C. Corral and R. Olea, “Electric-magnetic duality of dyonic Kerr-Newman-NUT-AdS space-times,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, no.10, 104021 (2024) [arXiv:2408.03901 [hep-th]].
- [57] M. M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola and D. Klemm, “Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17**, 399-420 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9908022 [hep-th]].
- [58] A. N. Aliev, “Electromagnetic Properties of Kerr-Anti-de Sitter Black Holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 084041 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702129 [hep-th]].