

# Applying Constraints from Outside of the System

Amin Akhavan

*School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental  
Sciences (IPM)  
P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran  
email : amin\_akhavan@ipm.ir*

## Abstract

We derive the representation of the external constraints imposed on the system within the generating functional. These constraints are applied to both the degrees of freedom and their time derivatives, and the generating functional is expressed as a functional integral over the degrees of freedom. To achieve this, we employ the path integral method for handling second-class constraints, which we have briefly reviewed. We use the obtained result to derive the generating functional for matter vector fields constrained to the spin-one state. However, achieving the desired result for a system with a singular action requires certain sufficient conditions that we will obtain.

Keywords: External Constraints

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k

# 1 Introduction

We previously considered the presence of constraints outside the equations of motion within the functional path integral [1]. We wrote such constraints as functionals of the degrees of freedom. Using Dirac delta functionals of constraints, we can have sources for constrained degrees of freedom and define effective actions and spontaneous symmetry breaking processes [2,3], [4]. We can use the Lagrange multipliers such as sources, which fix the background state so that it is not a vacuum [4,5]. Also, we can use Lagrange multipliers such as degrees of freedom in the action, to fix the background field so that the effective potential is not symmetric.

Delta functionals in the functional integrals fix the degrees of freedom. We have gauge symmetries and constraints in the systems with the gauge fields so that we can fix the gauges [6,7]. However, fixing degrees of freedom of the systems without gauge symmetry indicates constraints incorporated from outside the system [8]. Such a fixing represents a projection onto eigenstates, where the fixed degrees of freedom correspond to their eigenvalues. This projection is a process that occurs in the unity of a macroscopic system and a microscopic one. In other words, when such a fixing occurs, certain quantities become determined and collectively form macroscopic systems.

Let us consider a measurement as a mechanism in which some quantities can be accurately measured, such as spontaneously measured quantities. In this case, we will have two types of quantum and classical degrees of freedom. The classical types are completely out of the functional integral. Although the quantum variables remain within the functional integral, they must be constrained to ensure compatibility with the classical quantities. These constraints typically appear in the form of Dirac delta functionals. For example, the derivatives of the scalar fields have classical backgrounds that allow us to have massive gravity [9]. Thus, we can construct theories of classical backgrounds, with quantum perturbations superimposed upon them.

A specific method exists for quantizing actions with first- or second-class constraints [10,11]. This method was originally designed to solve the problem of gauge fixing. But we can use it for actions with Lagrange multipliers. The key question is: does the use of the Lagrange multipliers and Dirac quantization enable the presence of delta functionals of the constraints in the path integrals? (In other words, if we impose a constraint on the classical behavior of a theory, will the same constraint also apply to its quantum vacuum?)

Section 2 reviews constrained systems described by actions with quadratic kinetic terms. These systems have two samples of constraints: first-class and second-class. We examine the role of these constraints in the functional path integral. In this paper, we use Senjanovic's method [11]. In section 3, we derive the generating functional for a nonsingular action with external constraints imposed on it, considering constraints that involve time derivatives of the fields. The inclusion of time derivatives is crucial for achieving the desired result. In Section 4, we apply our method to

derive the generating functional for a 4-vector matter field theory, incorporating an external constraint that enforces the spin of the particle to be one. We performed similar calculations for singular actions in the fifth and sixth sections, obtaining sufficient conditions to achieve results analogous to those in the third section. In the last section, we talked about the interpretation that can be made of the external constraints applied to the theories.

## 2 Second-class constraints

We aim to analyze quantum fields with quadratic Lagrangians that take a simple form in the path integral method:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}A_{ij}(q)\dot{q}_i\dot{q}_j + B_i(q)\dot{q}_i - V(q), \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.1)$$

which the discrete spatial coordinates are included in the index  $i$ . If  $\det A_{ij} = 0$ , we call them singular, that some canonical momentums are dependent. Consider a  $2N$ -dimensional phase space of degrees of freedom and their canonical momentums for the system. Since in the singular Lagrangians, some of the momentums are not independent, there exist some constraints that limit the system to a subspace of the phase space. We can show the dependency of the momentums with these primary constraints:

$$\psi_\alpha(q_i, p_i) = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, M_1, \quad (2.2)$$

where the subspace has  $2N - M_1$  dimensions. To find all  $\dot{q}_i$  as functions of  $p_i$ , we consider:

$$p_i = A_{ij}(q)\dot{q}_j + B_i(q), \quad (2.3)$$

since the momentums are dependent, we define independent quantities  $x_\alpha$  in which we can write  $\dot{q}_i$  as functions of  $x_\alpha, p_i, q_i$ . Now we can define a Hamiltonian like this:

$$H = \dot{q}_i(x_\alpha, q_i, p_i)p_i - L(q_i, \dot{q}_i(x_\alpha, q_i, p_i)). \quad (2.4)$$

By applying the definition, it is clear that:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_\alpha} = \frac{\partial \dot{q}_i}{\partial x_\alpha} p_i - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_i}{\partial x_\alpha} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

such that the Hamiltonian is only function of  $q, p$  in the subspace. Also, we can have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_i &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \\ \dot{p}_i &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Although there are different Hamiltonians with different functionality of momentums, they are all the same in the subspace.

Apart from the constraints mentioned in equation (2.2), we can obtain new constraints from the equations of motion. In fact, for each primary constraint, one can find a non-dynamic equation of motion that is not function of  $\ddot{q}_i$ . In these non-dynamic equations we can write  $\dot{q}_i$  as functions of  $x_\alpha, p_i, q_i$ . There is no additional constraint if all  $x_\alpha$  can be obtained in these equations. But as many  $x_\alpha$  remain undetermined, the derived equations will only be functions of  $p_i, q_i$ , and they will be referred to as secondary constraints. We show them as follows:

$$\phi_\sigma(q_i, p_i) = 0, \quad \sigma = 1, \dots, M_2, \quad (2.7)$$

thus the phase space will be reduced to a new subspace with  $2N - (M_1 + M_2)$  dimensions.

As the first necessary condition, if  $M_1 + M_2$  is an even number, we can quantize the system in this subspace. First, we have to define new coordinates for the phase space  $(q_i^*, p_i^*)$ , we can write them in two parts:

$$(q_a^*, p_a^*) \quad a = 1, \dots, \frac{M_1 + M_2}{2} \quad (2.8)$$

$$(q_A^*, p_A^*) \quad A = 1, \dots, N - \frac{M_1 + M_2}{2}, \quad (2.9)$$

which  $q_a^* = p_a^* = 0$  shows the points in the subspace, and  $q_A^*, p_A^*$  are the coordinates over the subspace. The important point is that these new coordinates must be canonical like the original coordinates. It means:

$$\{q_i^*, q_j^*\} = 0, \quad \{p_i^*, p_j^*\} = 0, \quad \{q_i^*, p_j^*\} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.10)$$

In this case, if

$$A^*(q^*, p^*) = A(q, p), \quad B^*(q^*, p^*) = B(q, p), \quad (2.11)$$

then, using the chain rule, the following equation can be obtained:

$$\{A, B\} = \frac{\partial A^*}{\partial q_i^*} \frac{\partial B^*}{\partial p_i^*} - \frac{\partial A^*}{\partial p_i^*} \frac{\partial B^*}{\partial q_i^*} = \{A^*, B^*\}^*, \quad (2.12)$$

and therefore we get the equations (2.6) in the new coordinates, if we have:

$$H^*(q^*, p^*) = H(q, p). \quad (2.13)$$

In continuation, we can define a functional path integral in the subspace:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_A^* \mathcal{D}p_A^* e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_A^* p_A^* - H^*(q_a^*=0, p_a^*=0, q_A^*, p_A^*)}, \quad (2.14)$$

that we can write it like:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i^* \mathcal{D}p_i^* \delta(q_a^*) \delta(p_a^*) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i^* p_i^* - H^*(q_i^*, p_i^*)}. \quad (2.15)$$

Before continuing the above equation, we need to explain the canonical transformation. We have to consider an infinitesimal canonical transformation;

$$q'_i = q_i + \delta q_i, \quad p'_i = p_i + \delta p_i, \quad (2.16)$$

such that, from equations like(2.10) we will have:

$$\{q_i, \delta q_j\} + \{\delta q_i, q_j\} = 0, \quad \{p_i, \delta p_j\} + \{\delta p_i, p_j\} = 0, \quad \{q_i, \delta p_j\} + \{\delta q_i, p_j\} = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

which is written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \delta q_j}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial \delta q_i}{\partial p_j} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \delta p_j}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial \delta p_i}{\partial q_j} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \delta p_j}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial \delta q_i}{\partial q_j} = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

The latter equations are the same as the zero curl of a vector. So we can write such a vector as a gradient of a scalar:

$$\delta q_i = \epsilon \frac{\partial F(q, p)}{\partial p_i}, \quad \delta p_i = -\epsilon \frac{\partial F(q, p)}{\partial q_i}. \quad (2.19)$$

Using these equations we can have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i &= \int dt \frac{d}{dt} (\delta q_i p_i) - \delta q_i \dot{p}_i + \delta p_i \dot{q}_i \\ &= \left( \epsilon p_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} - \epsilon F \right)_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

And also,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i) &= tr \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \delta q_i}{\partial q_j} & \frac{\partial \delta q_i}{\partial p_j} \\ \frac{\partial \delta p_i}{\partial q_j} & \frac{\partial \delta p_i}{\partial p_j} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial \delta q_i}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial \delta p_i}{\partial p_i} \right) \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

By putting the results obtained by equations (2.13),(2.20) and (2.21) in equation (2.15), we will have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \delta(q_a^*) \delta(p_a^*) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - H(q_i, p_i)}. \quad (2.22)$$

We define the set of constraints as a vector like  $\Phi_I = (\psi_\alpha, \phi_\sigma)$  and the coordinates vector like  $\rho_I^* = (q_a^*, p_a^*)$ . Now using the Jacobian method, we can write delta functions in equations (2.22) as below,

$$\delta(q_a^*) \delta(p_a^*) = \delta(\rho_I^*) = \det \left( \frac{\partial \Phi_I}{\partial \rho_J^*} \right) \delta(\Phi_I). \quad (2.23)$$

For infinitesimal  $\rho_I^*$ , we can have  $\Phi_I(\rho_J^*) = \Lambda_{IJ}\rho_J^* + \dots$  and therefore we have:

$$\delta(\rho_I^*) = (\det(\Lambda_{IJ}) + \dots)\delta(\Phi_I). \quad (2.24)$$

In addition, using equation (2.12), we have:

$$\{\Phi_I, \Phi_J\} = \{\Lambda_{IK}\rho_K^* + \dots, \Lambda_{JL}\rho_L^* + \dots\}^* = \Lambda_{IK}\{\rho_K^*, \rho_L^*\}^* \tilde{\Lambda}_{LJ} + \dots, \quad (2.25)$$

thus,

$$\det\{\Phi_I, \Phi_J\} = (\det(\Lambda_{IJ}))^2 \det\{\rho_K^*, \rho_L^*\}^* + \dots = (\det(\Lambda_{IJ}))^2 + \dots, \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\delta(\rho_I^*) = ((\det\{\Phi_I, \Phi_J\})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots)\delta(\Phi_I). \quad (2.27)$$

In the presence of delta functions, we can skip the perturbational sentences. By inserting equation (2.27) into equation (2.22), we have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i (\det\{\Phi_I, \Phi_J\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(\Phi_I) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - H(q_i, p_i)}. \quad (2.28)$$

Now, we can express the necessary condition as  $\det\{\Phi_I, \Phi_J\} \neq 0$ . This condition also encompasses the requirement of evenness, since the determinant of an odd antisymmetric matrix is zero. There are simple examples whose primary constraints are  $p_\alpha = 0$ , in which case:

$$\det\{\Phi_I, \Phi_J\} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \{p_\alpha, \phi_\beta\} \\ -\{p_\alpha, \phi_\beta\} & \{\phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta\} \end{vmatrix} = (\det\{p_\alpha, \phi_\beta\})^2, \quad (2.29)$$

and the path integral is given by:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \det\left(\frac{\partial \phi_\beta}{\partial q_\alpha}\right) \delta(p_\alpha) \delta(\phi_\alpha) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - H(q_i, p_i)}. \quad (2.30)$$

So far, we have proposed the necessary condition, which we could show as a non-zero determinant of the Poisson bracket matrix of constraints. However, if the value of the determinant becomes zero, the relationship between the constraints and the subspace in which the quantization process takes place should be analyzed more carefully. If the determinant is zero, the Poisson bracket matrix can be written by a linear transformation of the constraints so that some rows are completely zero. With the same number of zero rows, some constraints commute with all other constraints. We refer to the set of these commutable constraints as a first-class set. We show these first-class constraints as  $\Psi_\mu(q_i, p_i) = 0$ , that we have:

$$\{\Psi_\mu, \Psi_\nu\} = 0, \quad \{\Psi_\mu, \Gamma_m\} = 0, \quad (2.31)$$

that other constraints  $\Gamma_m$ , are second-class. Now we define new canonical coordinates  $(q_i^*, p_i^*)$  in the form of duals like:

$$(q_a^*, p_a^*), \quad (q_A^*, p_A^*), \quad (q_\mu^*, p_\mu^*). \quad (2.32)$$

In these canonical coordinates, the constraints are:

$$p_\mu^* = q_a^* = p_a^* = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Compared to equation (2.26), the determinant of the bracket of these constraints has to be zero, and like equations (2.31) we have:

$$\{p_\mu^*, q_a^*\} = 0, \quad \{p_\mu^*, p_a^*\} = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

And the coordinates over the subspace are:

$$(q_\mu^*, q_A^*, p_A^*). \quad (2.35)$$

Since the constraints must be true for all times, then in the subspace we have:

$$\{H^*, P_\mu^*\} = 0, \quad (2.36)$$

and since  $q_\mu^*$  is canonical couple of  $P_\mu^*$  we can write:

$$\frac{\partial H^*}{\partial q_\mu^*} = \{H^*, P_\mu^*\} = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

The recent equation shows a symmetry for the Hamiltonian called gauge symmetry. Since the Hamiltonian is not a function of  $q_\mu^*$ , and also  $p_\mu^*$  are not coordinates in the subspace, we can define the functional path integral as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_A^* \mathcal{D}p_A^* e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_A^* p_A^* - H^*(p_\mu^*=0, q_a^*=0, p_a^*=0, q_A^*, p_A^*)}, \quad (2.38)$$

and so,

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \delta(q_\mu^*) \delta(p_\mu^*) \delta(q_a^*) \delta(p_a^*) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - H(q_i, p_i)}. \quad (2.39)$$

We have  $q_\mu^* = 0$  as new constraints in the recent equations. These constraints fix the gauge symmetry which we call gauge fixing constraints. In the original coordinates of the phase space, we assign the role of the gauge fixing process to  $X_\mu(q_i, p_i) = 0$ . Compared to equations (2.22) to (2.28), we define  $\rho_I = (q_\mu^*, p_\mu^*, q_a^*, p_a^*)$  and  $\Phi_I = (\Psi_\mu, X_\mu, \Gamma_M)$ . In this definition, we can obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \det\{\Phi_I, \Phi_J\} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \{\Psi_\mu, X_\nu\} & 0 \\ \{X_\mu, \Psi_\nu\} & \{X_\mu, X_\nu\} & \{X_\mu, \Gamma_M\} \\ 0 & \{\Gamma_M, X_\mu\} & \{\Gamma_M, \Gamma_N\} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\det\{\Psi_\mu, X_\nu\})^2 \det\{\Gamma_M, \Gamma_N\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

By substituting the obtained result for the determinant, equation (2.28) becomes as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \det\{\Psi_\mu, X_\nu\} (\det\{\Gamma_M, \Gamma_N\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(\Phi_I) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - H(q_i, p_i)}. \quad (2.41)$$

Now, we can see the necessary condition  $\det\{\Psi_\mu, X_\mu\} \neq 0$ , which we use to determine  $X_\mu$ .

The key point in these calculations is the necessity of canonical duals to quantize a system. In the second-class set, the canonical duals are either outside the subspace or inside. The quantization process happens on the duals inside the subspace. But in the first-class set, there are canonical duals that one side of the canonical duality is inside the subspace and the other is outside the subspace. This problem disrupts the quantization process. We have reduced the subspace to the extent that there is no defective canonical duality. Gauge symmetry enables this reduction, which is one of the characteristics of first-class constraints.

### 3 Applying external constraints

Let us consider these external constraints applied to the fields:

$$f_\alpha(q, \dot{q}) = b_{\alpha i}(q)\dot{q}_i + c_\alpha(q), \quad (3.1)$$

which the discrete spacial coordinates are included in the index  $i$ . For an original Lagrangian of the form:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}A_{ij}(q)\dot{q}_i\dot{q}_j + B_i(q)\dot{q}_i - V(q), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\det A_{ij} \neq 0$ , we will incorporate the constraints as follows:

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{2}A_{ij}(q)\dot{q}_i\dot{q}_j + B_i(q)\dot{q}_i - V(q) - v_\alpha(b_{\alpha i}(q)\dot{q}_i + c_\alpha(q)), \quad (3.3)$$

such that the quantities  $v_\alpha$  are considered as additional degrees of freedom within the deformed Lagrangian.

If we consider:

$$b_{\alpha i} \neq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

we find new canonical momentums:

$$p_i = A_{ij}(q)\dot{q}_j + B_i(q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q), \quad (3.5)$$

and the canonical momentum of  $v_\alpha$  is zero as a primary constraint:

$$w_\alpha = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

And if we rewrite  $f_\alpha$  based on  $q, p$  we will have our secondary constraints:

$$\phi_\alpha(q, p, v) = f_\alpha(q, \dot{q}(q, p)) = b_{\alpha i}(q)A_{ij}^{-1}(q)(p_j - B_j(q) + v_\beta b_{\beta j}(q)) + c_\alpha(q) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Also, we can find a Hamiltonian with these canonical coordinates:

$$\tilde{H} = \dot{v}_\alpha w_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}A_{ij}^{-1}(q)(p_i - B_i(q) + v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q))(p_j - B_j(q) + v_\beta b_{\beta j}(q)) + v_\alpha c_\alpha(q) + V(q). \quad (3.8)$$



Compared to equation (2.30) for the second-class constraints, the functional integral can be defined as:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}v \mathcal{D}p \mathcal{D}w \det[w_\beta, \phi_\alpha] \delta(w_\alpha) \delta(\phi_\alpha(q, p, v)) e^{i \int dt \dot{v}_\alpha w_\alpha + \dot{q}_i p_i - \tilde{H}(q, p, \dot{v}, w)}. \quad (3.9)$$

We can derive  $\det[w_\beta, \phi_\alpha] = \frac{\partial \phi_\alpha}{\partial v_\beta} = b_{\alpha i}(q) A_{ij}^{-1} b_{\beta j}(q)$ , which we call  $E_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ . In continue we change  $p_i$  to  $p_i + B_i(q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q)$  and the functional integral will be written as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}v \mathcal{D}p \det(E_{\alpha\beta}) \delta(b_{\alpha i} A_{ij}^{-1} p_j + c_\alpha) \exp \left[ i \int dt \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i(q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q)) - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q) p_i p_j - v_\alpha c_\alpha(q) - V(q) \right]. \quad (3.10)$$

If we replace the delta functions with the Fourier integrals  $\int \mathcal{D}\lambda e^{i \int dt \lambda_\alpha (b_{\alpha i} A_{ij}^{-1} p_j + c_\alpha)}$  ( $\lambda_\alpha$  is the Lagrange multiplier for the secondary constraint.) and changing  $v_\alpha$  to  $v_\alpha + \lambda_\alpha$ , we will have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}v \det(E_{\alpha\beta}) e^{i \int dt B_i(q) \dot{q}_i - V(q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q) \dot{q}_i - v_\alpha c_\alpha(q)} \mathcal{D}\lambda \mathcal{D}p \exp \left[ i \int dt \lambda_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q) A_{ij}^{-1}(q) p_j - \lambda_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q) \dot{q}_i + \dot{q}_i p_i - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q) p_i p_j \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

By integrating over  $p$  we have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}v \det(E_{\alpha\beta}) \sqrt{\det(A_{ij})} e^{i \int dt B_i(q) \dot{q}_i - V(q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q) \dot{q}_i - v_\alpha c_\alpha(q)} \mathcal{D}\lambda \exp \left[ i \int dt - \lambda_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q) \dot{q}_i + \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1} [\lambda_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q) + \dot{q}_k A_{ik}(q)] [\lambda_\alpha b_{\alpha j}(q) + \dot{q}_l A_{jl}(q)] \right], \quad (3.12)$$

and also integrating over  $\lambda$ :

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}v \sqrt{\det(E_{\alpha\beta})} \sqrt{\det(A_{ij})} e^{i \int dt L(q, \dot{q}) - v_\alpha f_\alpha(q, \dot{q})}. \quad (3.13)$$

Finally by integrating over  $v$ , we will obtain this generating functional:

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}q \sqrt{\det(E_{\alpha\beta})} \sqrt{\det(A_{ij})} \delta(f(q, \dot{q})) e^{i \int dt L(q, \dot{q}) + J_i q_i}. \quad (3.14)$$

If you concentrate on the delta functionals in the above equation, you will observe that the number of degrees of freedom is reduced by the number of applied constraints. However, from a computational perspective, the presence of delta functionals can sometimes complicate problem-solving. To find a functional integral without delta functionals, we can return to the equation (3.9). We can eliminate

$\mathcal{D}v \det\left(\frac{\partial\phi_\alpha}{\partial v_\beta}\right)\delta(\phi_\alpha)$  and also replace  $v_\alpha$  with its value obtained from the equation(3.7). By changing  $p_i$  to  $p_i + B_i$  we will have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q e^{i \int dt -V(q) + \dot{q}_i B_i} \mathcal{D}p \exp\left[i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q) [p_i + v_\beta b_{\beta i}(q)] [p_j + v_\alpha b_{\alpha j}(q)] - v_\alpha c_\alpha(q)\right], \quad (3.15)$$

such that,  $v_\alpha = -E_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} c_\beta - E_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} b_{\beta i} A_{ij}^{-1} p_j$ . And if  $A_{ij}$  and  $b_{\alpha i}$  are constant parameters, we can have an ordinary functional path integral.

Next, consider equation (3.1) under the following condition:

$$b_{\alpha i} = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

This case has been previously reviewed [12, 13]; however, we will analyze it using the proposed method .

The canonical momentums are:

$$p_i = A_{ij}(q)\dot{q}_j + B_i(q), \quad (3.17)$$

and  $w_\alpha = 0$  which are considered primary constraints. The secondary constraints are  $c_\alpha(q) = 0$ . Since the time derivative of each secondary constraint is a constraint  $\dot{c}_\alpha(q) = \dot{q}_i \frac{\delta c_\alpha(q)}{\delta q_i}$ , we also have tertiary constraints:

$$d_\alpha(q, p) = A_{ij}^{-1}(q)(p_i - B_i(q)) \frac{\delta c_\alpha(q)}{\delta q_j} = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

The Poisson brackets between the primary constraints and other constraints indicate that this set of constraints is first-class:

$$\{w_\alpha, c_\beta\} = \{w_\alpha, d_\beta\} = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

However, according to the recent equations, we are only satisfied with the canonical transformation of  $(q, p)$  and keep the pair  $(v, w)$  without transformation. Because of the primary constraints,  $v_\alpha$  is not a quantum coordinate. As a result, with the help of equations(2.22) and (2.28), we can write the new functional integral as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p \det\{d_\beta(q), c_\alpha(q, p)\} \delta(c_\alpha(q)) \delta(d_\beta(q, p)) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - \tilde{H}(q, p)}, \quad (3.20)$$

such that,

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q)(p_i - B_i(q))(p_j - B_j(q)) + v_\alpha c_\alpha(q) + V(q). \quad (3.21)$$

The presence of  $\delta(c_\alpha)$  removes expression  $v_\alpha c_\alpha$  in the Hamiltonian and we will have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p \det\{d_\beta, c_\alpha\} \delta(c_\alpha(q)) \delta(d_\beta(q, p)) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q)(p_i - B_i(q))(p_j - B_j(q)) - V(q)}, \quad (3.22)$$

so that,

$$\{d_\beta, c_\alpha\} = \{A_{ij}^{-1} p_i \frac{\delta c_\beta}{\delta q_j}, c_\alpha\} = A_{ij}^{-1} \frac{\delta c_\alpha}{\delta q_i} \frac{\delta c_\beta}{\delta q_j} = D_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.23)$$

By changing  $p_i$  to  $p_i + B_i$ , equation (3.22) becomes as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p \det(D_{\alpha\beta}) \delta(c_\alpha) \delta(A_{ij}^{-1} p_i \frac{\delta c_\alpha}{\delta q_j}) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i) - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1} p_i p_j - V}. \quad (3.24)$$

We write the Dirac delta functional of the tertiary constraint based on the integral over the Lagrange multiplier. By integrating over the canonical momentums, we get:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \det(D_{\alpha\beta}) \sqrt{\det(A_{ij})} \delta(c_\alpha) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i B_i - V} \int \mathcal{D}\lambda e^{\frac{i}{2} \int dt A_{ij} (\dot{q}_i + \lambda_\alpha A_{ik}^{-1} \frac{\delta c_\alpha}{\delta q_k}) (\dot{q}_j + \lambda_\beta A_{jl}^{-1} \frac{\delta c_\beta}{\delta q_l})}. \quad (3.25)$$

After integrating over  $\lambda$ , we derive the generating functional as follows:

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}q \sqrt{\det(D_{\alpha\beta})} \sqrt{\det(A_{ij})} \delta(c_\alpha) e^{i \int dt L + J_i q_i - i \int dt \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_i G_{ij} \dot{q}_j}, \quad (3.26)$$

so that:

$$G_{ij} = D_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \frac{\delta c_\alpha}{\delta q_i} \frac{\delta c_\beta}{\delta q_j}. \quad (3.27)$$

Comparing equations (3.26) and (3.14) highlights a significant advantage where  $b_{\alpha i} \neq 0$ . In such cases, the kinetic part of the Lagrangian remains unaffected, allowing all degrees of freedom to retain their dynamical character.

## 4 Spin-one state as an external constraint

In this section, we introduce a clear example for the external constraint. Lorentz vectors simultaneously represent the singlet state of spin-zero and the triplet state of spin-one. We can define a state with spin-one only and impose this state through an external constraint. For this purpose, we must impose a constraint requiring the vector field to be orthogonal to the momentum direction, which can be expressed as follows in momentum coordinates:

$$p_\mu \tilde{\chi}^\mu(p) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

In other words, the vector field is decomposed into components perpendicular and parallel to the momentum direction:  $\tilde{\chi}_\perp(p), \tilde{\chi}_\parallel(p)$ . So that  $\tilde{\chi}_\perp(p)$  is treated as a quantized field, while  $\tilde{\chi}_\parallel(p)$  is regarded as a classical field constrained to have a numerical value of zero. And in the time-space coordinate, our constraint will be:

$$\partial_\mu \chi^\mu(x) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

One example of a field that describes particles with spin-one is the Yang-Mills field with broken gauge symmetry, where the specified constraint naturally emerges from its equations of motion. However, it represents mediating particles that facilitate the interaction between matter particles. However, if we want matter particles with spin-one, we must impose this constraint externally on the Lorentzian vector fields.

We can add the constraint introduced earlier to any Lagrangian that involves a Lorentzian vector field. For simplicity, we now consider the following Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu\chi^\mu\partial^\nu\chi_\mu - \frac{1}{2}m^2\chi^\mu\chi_\mu + \mathcal{L}_{int}. \quad (4.3)$$

By comparison with equation (3.2), we express the Lagrangian as follows:

$$L = \int d^3x d^3x' \frac{1}{2}\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{\chi}^\mu(t, \vec{x})\dot{\chi}^\nu(t, \vec{x}') + \dots \quad (4.4)$$

And if we rewrite the equation (4.2) in the form of the equation (3.1), we will have:

$$\int d^3\vec{x}' \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')\eta_\mu^0\dot{\chi}^\mu(t, \vec{x}') + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\chi}(t, \vec{x}) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Thus, we can obtain:

$$E(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \int d^3y d^3z \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\eta_{0\mu}\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')\delta^3(\vec{y} - \vec{z})\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta^3(\vec{z} - \vec{x}')\eta_{0\nu} = \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'). \quad (4.6)$$

As a result, the equation (3.14) becomes:

$$Z_{int}(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\chi^\mu \delta(\partial_\mu\chi^\mu(x)) e^{i \int d^4x \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu\chi^\mu(x)\partial^\nu\chi_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{2}m^2\chi^\mu(x)\chi_\mu(x) + \mathcal{L}_{int} + J_\mu(x)\chi^\mu(x)}. \quad (4.7)$$

In the perturbation method, the interaction term is extracted from the exponential function and converted into coefficients that are functionals of the fields. In this case, we only need to calculate the n-point functions on the interaction-free background, and we will use the generating functional of the free Lagrangian density:

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\chi^\mu \delta(\partial_\mu\chi^\mu(x)) e^{i \int d^4x \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu\chi^\mu(x)\partial^\nu\chi_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{2}m^2\chi^\mu(x)\chi_\mu(x) + J_\mu(x)\chi^\mu(x)}. \quad (4.8)$$

To obtain this generating functional we use the momentum Fourier transformation:

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\chi}^\mu \delta(p_\mu\tilde{\chi}^\mu(p)) e^{i \int d^4p \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}^\mu(p)(p^2 - m^2)\tilde{\chi}_\mu(p) + \tilde{J}_\mu(p)\tilde{\chi}^\mu(p)}. \quad (4.9)$$

To incorporate the Dirac delta function into the path integral, we utilize the following equation:

$$\tilde{\chi}^0 = -\frac{p_i}{p_0}\tilde{\chi}^i, \quad (4.10)$$

which is obtained from the equation (4.1). In this case, the generating functional will be written as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\chi}^i \exp\left(i \int d^4p \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}^i(p)(p^2 - m^2)(\eta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2}) \tilde{\chi}_j(p) + (\tilde{J}_i(p) - \frac{p_i}{p_0} \tilde{J}_0(p)) \tilde{\chi}^i(p)\right). \quad (4.11)$$

If we transform  $\tilde{\chi}$  like this:

$$\tilde{\chi}^i \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^i - \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2} (\eta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2})^{-1} (\tilde{J}_j - \frac{p_j}{p_0} \tilde{J}_0), \quad (4.12)$$

and considering that:

$$(\eta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2})^{-1} = \eta^{ij} - \frac{p^i p^j}{p^2}, \quad (4.13)$$

the generating functional will be:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\chi}^i e^{i \int d^4p \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}^i(p)(p^2 - m^2)(\eta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2}) \tilde{\chi}_j(p)} \exp\left(\frac{-i}{2} \int d^4p (\tilde{J}_i - \frac{p_i}{p_0} \tilde{J}_0) \frac{(\eta^{ij} - \frac{p^i p^j}{p^2})}{p^2 - m^2} (\tilde{J}_j - \frac{p_j}{p_0} \tilde{J}_0)\right). \quad (4.14)$$

Next, the generating functional is written as follows:

$$Z(J) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \int d^4p \tilde{J}_\mu(p) \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} - p^\mu p^\nu / p^2}{p^2 - m^2} \tilde{J}_\nu(p)\right). \quad (4.15)$$

According to the obtained result, the two-point function in momentum coordinates is expressed as follows:

$$G^{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2} (\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2}). \quad (4.16)$$

The key point of this propagator function is that its determinant is zero, making it non-invertible. As a result, this function cannot be considered the Green's function derived from any linear equation of motion, which makes it non-trivial.

## 5 Applying external constraints on the system with a singular action

We can consider a Lagrangian with internal constraints:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}(q, Q) \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j + B_i(q, Q) \dot{q}_i + B_a(q, Q) \dot{Q}_a - V(q, Q). \quad (5.1)$$

Furthermore, if we imply the constraints (3.1) to this Lagrangian, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} = L - v_\alpha f_\alpha = \\ \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}(q, Q) \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j + B_i(q, Q) \dot{q}_i + B_a(q, Q) \dot{Q}_a - V(q, Q) \\ - v_\alpha (b_{\alpha i}(q, Q) \dot{q}_i + b_{\alpha a}(q, Q) \dot{Q}_a + c_\alpha(q, Q)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Therefore the canonical momentums are:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(q, Q, v, \dot{q}) &= A_{ij}(q, Q)\dot{q}_j + B_i(q, Q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q, Q), \\ P_a &= B_a(q, Q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha a}(q, Q), \quad W_\alpha = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

The equations of motion of  $v_\alpha$  are:

$$f_\alpha(q, Q, \dot{q}(q, p, Q, v), \dot{Q}_a) = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

For any  $\dot{Q}_a$  obtained from these equations, one constraint is lost. If we set  $b_{\alpha a} = 0$ , all the equations for  $v_\alpha$  can be treated as secondary constraints.

$$\phi_\alpha(q, p, Q, v) = f_\alpha(q, Q, \dot{q}(q, p, Q, v)), \quad (5.5)$$

such that using (5.3), the time derivatives of the degrees of freedom are:

$$\dot{q}_i(q, p, Q, v) = A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q)[p_j - B_j(q, Q) + v_\alpha b_{\alpha j}(q, Q)]. \quad (5.6)$$

And in this case, the equations of motion of  $Q_a$  are:

$$g_a(q, Q, v, \dot{q}) = \frac{\partial \tilde{L}(q, Q, v, \dot{q})}{\partial Q_a} - \frac{\partial B_a(q, Q)}{\partial Q_b} \dot{Q}_b - \frac{\partial B_a(q, Q)}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

In these equations, the expressions containing  $\dot{Q}_a$  are as follows:

$$\left( \frac{\partial B_a}{\partial Q_b} - \frac{\partial B_b}{\partial Q_a} \right) \dot{Q}_a. \quad (5.8)$$

If  $\det\left(\frac{\partial B_a}{\partial Q_b} - \frac{\partial B_b}{\partial Q_a}\right) = 0$ , the set of  $\dot{Q}_a$  remains undetermined from the solution of the equations (5.7). With a variable transformation on  $Q_a$ , we represent the undetermined members of this set as  $\dot{Q}_A = \frac{\partial Q_A}{\partial Q_a} \dot{Q}_a$ . Therefore, we will have new secondary constraint equations corresponding to the number of these undetermined variables. We represent these new secondary constraint equations as follows:

$$\chi_A(q, p, Q, v) = g_A(q, Q, v, \dot{q}(q, p, Q, v)) = \frac{\partial \tilde{L}(q, Q, v, \dot{q})}{\partial Q_A} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Similar to equation (3.8) the hamiltonian is:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}(q, p, Q, v) &= \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q)(p_i - B_i(q, Q) + v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q, Q))(p_j - B_j(q, Q) + v_\beta b_{\beta j}(q, Q)) \\ &\quad + v_\alpha c_\alpha(q, Q) + V(q, Q). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Using equation (2.41), we will have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \mathcal{D}Q_a \mathcal{D}v \det\{\Psi_\mu, X_\nu\} (\det\{\Gamma_M, \Gamma_N\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(f_\alpha(q, Q, \dot{q}(q, p, Q, v))) \delta(g_A(q, Q, v, \dot{q}(q, p, Q, v))) \\ \exp\left(i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i + \dot{Q}_a B_a - \tilde{H}(q, p, Q, v)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Changing  $p_i$  to  $p_i + B_i(q, Q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q, Q)$  and using equation (5.6), we have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \mathcal{D}Q_a \mathcal{D}v \det\{\Psi_\mu, X_\nu\} (\det\{\Gamma_M, \Gamma_N\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(f_\alpha(q, Q, A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q)p_j)) \delta(g_A(q, Q, v, A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q)p_j)) \exp\left(i \int dt \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i(q, Q) - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}(q, Q)) + \dot{Q}_a B_a - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q) p_i p_j - v_\alpha c_\alpha(q, Q) - V(q, Q)\right) \quad (5.12)$$

With the last variable change made in the set of momenta, we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \phi_\alpha}{\partial v_\beta} = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

However, to prove the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial \chi_A}{\partial v_\beta} = 0, \quad (5.14)$$

we need sufficient conditions. The most general sufficient conditions, independent of the form of the coefficients in the Lagrangian defined in (5.1), are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial b_{\alpha i}}{\partial Q_A} = \frac{\partial c_\alpha}{\partial Q_A} = 0, \quad b_{\alpha\alpha} = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Therefore, the measure of the path integral and the Dirac delta functionals are not dependent on  $v_\alpha$ , allowing us to express the functional integral as follows:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q_i \mathcal{D}p_i \mathcal{D}Q_a \det\{\Psi_\mu, X_\nu\} (\det\{\Gamma_M, \Gamma_N\})^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(f_\alpha(q, Q, A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q)p_j)) \delta(g_A(q, Q, A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q)p_j)) \delta(f_\alpha(q, Q, \dot{q})) \exp\left(i \int dt \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i(q, Q)) + \dot{Q}_a B_a - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q) p_i p_j - V(q, Q)\right), \quad (5.16)$$

where the term  $\delta(f_\alpha(q, Q, \dot{q}))$  can be found similarly to equation(3.14).

In contrast to equation (5.15), a simple example can be introduced by accepting the condition  $b_{\alpha\alpha} \neq 0$ :

A trivial singular Lagrangian density could be expressed in the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}[F] = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) + \mathcal{L}_m, \quad (5.17)$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu}$  serves as the fundamental anti symmetric degrees of freedom, and the equation of motion is trivially  $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$ . Consider the following external constraint:

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu}(x) = J^\nu(x). \quad (5.18)$$

This gives us:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}[F] = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) - v_\mu(x) (\partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu}(x) - J^\mu(x)) + \mathcal{L}_m. \quad (5.19)$$

The primary constraints are:

$$W^\mu(x) = 0, \quad \Pi_{\mu < \nu}(x) - \eta_\mu^0 v_\nu(x) = 0, \quad (5.20)$$

and the secondary constraints are:

$$\partial_i F^{0i}(x) + J^0(x) = 0, \quad F^{i < j}(x) - \partial^i v^j(x) + \partial^j v^i(x) = 0. \quad (5.21)$$

$W^0(x) = 0$  and  $\partial_i F^{0i}(x) + J^0(x) = 0$  are first-class constraints, and we must consider two additional constraints alongside them:

$$v_0(x) = 0, \quad \partial^i \Pi_{0i}(x) = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Substituting these constraints into equation (2.41) and simplifying, the path integral takes the following form:

$$\int \mathcal{D}F^{\mu < \nu} \delta(\partial_\mu F^{\mu 0}(x) - J^0(x)) e^{-\frac{i}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x)} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}v_i e^{-i \int d^4x v_i(x) (\partial_\mu F^{\mu i}(x) - J^i(x))} \delta(F^{ij}(x) - \partial^i v^j(x) + \partial^j v^i(x)). \quad (5.23)$$

Therefore, out of the four constraint equations in (6.11), only one is transformed into a delta functional.

## 6 A choice of Lagrangian coefficients

If the form of the Lagrangian coefficients is more specific, the sufficient conditions for finding the  $\delta(f_\alpha(q, \dot{q}))$  term will offer more possibilities. For example, if all  $B_a$  are zero, the set of constraints, like in (5.9), is:

$$\chi_a = g_a(q, Q, v, \dot{q}(q, Q, v, p)), \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$g_a(q, Q, v, \dot{q}) = \frac{\partial \tilde{L}(q, Q, v, \dot{q})}{\partial Q_a}. \quad (6.2)$$

The primary constraints are:

$$P_a = 0, w_\alpha = 0. \quad (6.3)$$

Thus, using equation (2.30), we have:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}Q \mathcal{D}v \mathcal{D}p \det(E) \delta(\varphi_\alpha(q, p, Q, v)) \delta(\chi_\alpha(q, p, Q, v)) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - \tilde{H}(q, p, Q, v)}, \quad (6.4)$$

where,

$$E_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial \varphi_\alpha}{\partial v_\beta} = \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_i}{\partial v_\beta} = b_{\alpha i} A_{ij}^{-1} b_{\beta j}, \quad (6.5)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\alpha a} &= \frac{\partial \varphi_\alpha}{\partial Q_a} = \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial Q_a} + \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_i}{\partial Q_a} \\
&= \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial Q_a} + b_{\alpha i} \frac{\partial A_{ij}^{-1}}{\partial Q_a} (p_j - B_j + v_\alpha b_{\alpha j}) - b_{\alpha i} A_{ij}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial Q_a} - v_\alpha \frac{\partial b_{\alpha j}}{\partial Q_a} \right), \quad (6.6)
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{a\alpha} &= \frac{\partial \chi_a}{\partial v_\alpha} = \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial v_\alpha} + \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_i}{\partial v_\alpha} \\
&= -\frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial Q_a} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{L}}{\partial Q_a \partial \dot{q}_i} A_{ij}^{-1} b_{\alpha j} \\
&= -\frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial Q_a} - b_{\alpha i} \frac{\partial A_{ij}^{-1}}{\partial Q_a} (p_j - B_j + v_\alpha b_{\alpha j}) + b_{\alpha i} A_{ij}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial Q_a} - v_\alpha \frac{\partial b_{\alpha j}}{\partial Q_a} \right), \quad (6.7)
\end{aligned}$$

which  $E_{a\alpha} = -E_{\alpha a}$ , and likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{ab} &= \frac{\partial \chi_a}{\partial Q_b} = \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial Q_b} + \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_i}{\partial Q_b} \\
&= \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial Q_b} - A_{ij}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial Q_a} - v_\alpha \frac{\partial b_{\alpha i}}{\partial Q_a} + A_{lk}^{-1} \frac{\partial A_{il}}{\partial Q_a} (p_k - B_k + v_\alpha b_{\alpha k}) \right) \\
&\quad \left( \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial Q_a} - v_\alpha \frac{\partial b_{\alpha j}}{\partial Q_a} + A_{lk}^{-1} \frac{\partial A_{jl}}{\partial Q_a} (p_k - B_k + v_\alpha b_{\alpha k}) \right). \quad (6.8)
\end{aligned}$$

In the equations (6.4), (6.7) and (6.8), if we replace  $p_k - B_k + v_\alpha b_{\alpha k}$  with  $p_k$ , we obtain the following result:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}Q \mathcal{D}v \mathcal{D}p \det(E) \delta(\varphi_\alpha) \delta(\chi_a) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i - v_\alpha b_{\alpha i}) - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q) p_i p_j - v_\alpha c_\alpha(q, Q) - V(q, Q)}. \quad (6.9)$$

If we focus on equations (6.7) and (6.8), we can observe that the term  $v_\alpha \frac{\partial b_{\alpha j}}{\partial Q_a}$  is a functional of  $v_\alpha$ . Additionally, the term  $v_\alpha \frac{\partial^2 c_\alpha}{\partial Q_a \partial Q_b}$  appears in  $\frac{\partial g_a}{\partial Q_b}$ . In this context, the matrix  $E$  will not be a functional of  $v_\alpha$  if the following conditions hold:

$$\frac{\partial b_{\alpha j}}{\partial Q_a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 c_\alpha}{\partial Q_a \partial Q_b} = 0. \quad (6.10)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}Q \mathcal{D}v \mathcal{D}p e^{-i \int dt v_\alpha (b_{\alpha i}(q) \dot{q}_i + c_\alpha(q, Q))} \det(E(q, Q, p)) \delta(\varphi_\alpha(q, Q, p)) \\
&\quad \delta(\chi_a(q, Q, v=0) - v_\alpha \frac{\partial c_\alpha}{\partial Q_a}) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i(q, Q)) - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q) p_i p_j - V(q, Q)} \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}\mu \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}Q \mathcal{D}v \mathcal{D}p e^{-i \int dt v_\alpha (b_{\alpha i}(q) \dot{q}_i + c_\alpha(q, Q))} \det(E(q, Q, p)) \delta(\varphi_\alpha(q, Q, p)) \\
&\quad e^{i \int dt \mu_a \chi_a(q, Q, v=0) - \mu v_\alpha \frac{\partial c_\alpha}{\partial Q_a}} e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i(q, Q)) - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q) p_i p_j - V(q, Q)}. \quad (6.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_\alpha$  is a linear function of  $Q_a$ , we can replace  $Q_a$  with  $Q_a - \mu_a$  to eliminate  $v_\alpha$  in the exponential function and therefore integrating over  $v_\alpha$  to have the following functional integral:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}Q \delta(f_\alpha(q, Q, \dot{q})) \int \mathcal{D}\mu \mathcal{D}p \det(E(q, Q - \mu, p)) \delta(\varphi_\alpha(q, Q - \mu, p)) \exp \left[ i \int dt \mu_a \chi_a(q, Q - \mu, p, 0) + \dot{q}_i (p_i + B_i(q, Q - \mu)) - \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}^{-1}(q, Q - \mu) p_i p_j - V(q, Q - \mu) \right] \quad (6.12)$$

in which, we can see  $\delta(f_\alpha(q, Q, \dot{q}))$  similar to equation (3.14).

## 7 Discussion

The imposition of external constraints on a quantum system effectively treats some of the system's variables as classical and measurable. For example, equation (3.20) indicates that  $c_\alpha$  and  $d_\alpha$  are classical variables that are measured to have the value of zero. If the Hamiltonian is non-singular, equation (2.15) can also be interpreted in the manner described.

In equation (3.9), where  $b_{\alpha i} \neq 0$ , the measurement of  $\phi_\alpha(q, p, v) = 0$  is conducted in the presence of a constraint force. It is as if the fields are quantized in a dynamical classical field background. For example, the SO(3,1) quantum gauge theory is based on classical diffeomorphisms [14, 15].

If we want to ensure that there is no constraint force, the variables  $v_\alpha$  and  $w_\alpha$  should be removed from equations (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9). Consequently, the functional integral becomes:

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p (\det[\phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta])^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(\phi_\alpha(q, p)) e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_i p_i - H(q, p)}, \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$\phi_\alpha(q, p) = b_{\alpha i}(q) A_{ij}^{-1}(q) (p_j - B_j(q)) + c_\alpha(q) = 0, \quad (7.2)$$

which are measured at all times. This imposes the necessary condition on the Hamiltonian:

$$\{H(q, p), \phi_\alpha(q, p)\} = 0. \quad (7.3)$$

So far, the classical quantities have been assumed to take the value zero. Now, let us consider the case where there are no constraint equations, and we assume that some canonical duals are classical, with various values being measured. In this case, we write the functional integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U(q_a^*, p_a^*) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty (1+i\epsilon)} \langle q_A^*(-T) | e^{i2TH^*(q^*, p^*)} | q_A^*(T) \rangle \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}q_A^* \mathcal{D}p_A^* e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_A^* p_A^* - H^*(q_a^*, p_a^*, q_A^*, p_A^*)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

where  $\eta^* = (q_a^*, p_a^*)$  are classical fields and  $(q_A^*, p_A^*)$  are quantum fields. If we consider the classical fields to represent the background state of the quantum system, then the following necessary conditions must hold between the measured values at all times and the Hamiltonian:

$$\frac{d\eta^*}{dt} = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}q_A^* \mathcal{D}p_A^* \{\eta^*, H^*\} e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_A^* p_A^* - H^*}}{\int \mathcal{D}q_A^* \mathcal{D}p_A^* e^{i \int dt \dot{q}_A^* p_A^* - H^*}}. \quad (7.5)$$

Otherwise, the introduction of a constraint force and a modified Hamiltonian becomes necessary. Generally, the coexistence of classical and quantum quantities is employed when undetermined fluctuations are superimposed on a determined background.

## References

- [1] A.Akhavan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 32, 04 (2017).
- [2] R. Fukuda and E. Kyriakopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B85, 354-364 (1975).
- [3] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D, 07, 06 (1973).
- [4] K.Symanzik, Commun. Math. Phys. 16, 48-80 (1970).
- [5] A.Akhavan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 38, 15n16 (2023).
- [6] P.A.M.Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 114, 243-265 (1927).
- [7] L. D. Faddeev and V. N. Popov, Phys. Lett. B, 25, 29-30 (1967).
- [8] P.A.M.Dirac, Can. J. Math. 2, 129-148 (1950).
- [9] A.H.Chamseddine and V.Mukhanov, JHEP, 1008, 011 (2010).
- [10] L. D. Faddeev, Theor. Math. Phys, 01, 01, 1-13 (1969).
- [11] P. Senjanoviic, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 100, 227-261 (1976).
- [12] T. Kashiwa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 95, 02 (1996).
- [13] D. J. Toms, Phys. Rev. D, 92, 105026 (2015).
- [14] C. Wiesendanger, Class. Quant. Grav. 36, 065015 (2019).
- [15] C. Wiesendanger, The Quantum Theory of Gravitation, arXiv:1905.07104
- [16] C. Wiesendanger, Class. Quant. Grav. 36, 24, 245020 (2019)

- [17] C. Wiesendanger, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 37, 19, 195029 (2020)
- [18] C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys. B.* 971, 115526 (2021).
- [19] C. Wetterich, *JHEP* 06, 069 (2022).
- [20] S. Pokorski, *Gauge Field Theories*, Cambridge University Press.
- [21] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, Princeton University Press.
- [22] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields*, Cambridge University Press.