

$U(1)$ gauged non-topological solitons in the 3+1 dimensional $O(3)$ sigma-model

L. A. Ferreira

*Instituto de Física de São Carlos; IFSC/USP; Universidade de São Paulo, USP
Caixa Postal 369, CEP 13560-970, São Carlos-SP, Brazil*

A. Mikhaliuk

Belarusian State University, Minsk 220004, Belarus

Y. Shnir

*BLTP, JINR, Dubna 141980, Moscow Region, Russia and
Instituto de Física de São Carlos; IFSC/USP; Universidade de São Paulo, USP
Caixa Postal 369, CEP 13560-970, São Carlos-SP, Brazil*

We present and study new non-topological soliton solutions in the $U(1)$ gauged non-linear $O(3)$ sigma model with a symmetry breaking potential in 3+1 dimensional flat space-time. The configurations are endowed with an electric and magnetic field and also carry a nonvanishing angular momentum density. We discuss properties of these solitons and investigate the domains of their existence.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma-model has been proposed long time ago by Gell-Mann and Levy [1] in the context of a theoretical description of the low-energy dynamics of pions. Nowadays, it is considered as a well-known prototype for a large class of field theories supporting topological solitons, see e.g. [2, 3]. The field of the $O(3)$ sigma-model is restricted to a sphere S^2 , in 2+1 dimensions. Topological identification of the spacial boundary to a single point effectively compactifying the spacial domain to S^2 , there are scale invariant soliton solutions of the model classified by the homotopy group $\pi_2(S^2)$ [4]. Further, in 3+1 dimensions, the field configurations of the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma-model define a map $\mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto S^2$, which is characterised by the third homotopy group $\pi_3(S^2)$.

However, in 3+1 dimensions, the Derrick's theorem [5] does not allow for the existence of such static finite energy solitons in the usual $O(3)$ sigma model, which includes only the quadratic in derivative terms. Such topological solitons, referred as Hopfions, appear in the scale-invariant Nicole model [6] and, more importantly, in the Faddeev-Skyrme model [7],

which contains terms with both two and four derivatives¹. Apart from the addition of higher derivative terms, no other mechanism to secure stability of the regular topological solitons of the $O(3)$ sigma model in three spacial dimensions is known. Inclusion of the potential term [8] alone cannot stabilize the configuration, as well as gauging of the $U(1)$ subgroup of the $O(3)$ symmetry and including the Maxwell term in the total Lagrangian [9, 10].

The global symmetry of the $O(3)$ sigma model admits internal rotations of the components of the real scalar field, it may allow to circumvent the restrictions of Derrick's theorem [12]. So-called "Q-lumps" in 2+1 dimensions are isorotating solitons which carry both Noether and topological charges [11, 12]

However, isorotations of the Hopfions are allowed only in the presence of both potential and higher derivative terms [13, 14]. On the other hand, a stable isospinning non-topological solitons, Q-balls, can exist in a general class of scalar field theories with a global $U(1)$ symmetry [15–17], in particular, in the non-linear $O(3)$ sigma model with a non-negative potential [18]. Nonetheless, it was pointed out that the usual weakly attractive "pion mass" potential cannot stabilize isorotating $O(3)$ configurations in 3+1 dimensions [19], unless gravitational attraction is included. However, the virial theorem does not exclude existence of regular isospinning $O(3)$ solitons stabilized by internal rotation in the flat space [19], certain modification of the potential term may allow for construction of such non-topological solitons in 3+1 dimensional space [18, 21].

Further, the local $U(1)$ symmetry of a model supporting Q-balls can be promoted to a local gauge symmetry, with the corresponding gauged Q-balls possessing electric charge [16, 23–26]. The presence of the long-range gauge field affects the properties of the solitons, as the gauge coupling increases, the electromagnetic repulsion may destroy configurations.

The objective of this paper is to analyze properties of the regular solutions of the $U(1)$ gauged non-linear $O(3)$ sigma model with modified potential in 3+1 dimensional flat space, focusing our study on non-topological localized configurations of different types, and determine their domains of existence.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the model, and the field equations with the stress-energy tensor of the system of interacting fields. Here we describe the axially-symmetric parametrization of the matter fields and the boundary conditions imposed on the configuration. We also discuss the physical quantities of interest. In Sec. III we present the results of our study with particular emphasis on the role of the electromagnetic interaction. We conclude with a discussion of the results and final remarks.

¹ There is a vast literature on solitons in 2+1 dimensional nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma-model and its generalizations, which we will not discuss here.

II. THE MODEL

A. The action and the field equations

We consider the $U(1)$ gauged non-linear sigma-model in a (3+1)-dimensional Minkowski space-time. The corresponding matter field Lagrangian of the system is

$$L_m = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu\phi^a)^2 - U(\phi), \quad (1)$$

where the real triplet of the scalar fields ϕ^a , $a = 1, 2, 3$, is restricted to the surface of the unit sphere, $(\phi^a)^2 = 1$, and $U(\phi)$ is a symmetry breaking potential. In particular, we can consider simple "pion mass" potential

$$U(\phi) = \mu^2(1 - \phi^3), \quad (2)$$

where a mass parameter μ is a positive constant.

The $U(1)$ field strength tensor is $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, and the covariant derivative of the field ϕ^a , that minimally couples the scalar field to the gauge potential is

$$D_\mu(\phi^1 + i\phi^2) = \partial_\mu(\phi^1 + i\phi^2) - ieA_\mu(\phi^1 + i\phi^2), \quad D_\mu\phi^3 = \partial_\mu\phi^3, \quad (3)$$

with e being the gauge coupling. The vacuum boundary conditions are $\phi_\infty^a = (0, 0, 1)$, $D_\mu\phi^a = 0$, $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$. In the static gauge, where the fields have no explicit dependence on time, the asymptotic boundary conditions on the gauge potential are

$$A_0(\infty) = V, \quad A_i(\infty) = 0 \quad (4)$$

where V is a real constant. The electromagnetic and scalar components of the energy-momentum tensor are, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu}^{Em} &= F_\mu^\rho F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma}, \\ T_{\mu\nu}^\phi &= D_\mu\phi^a D_\nu\phi^a - \eta_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{\eta^{\rho\sigma}}{2} D_\rho\phi^a D_\sigma\phi^a + U(\phi) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ is the Minkowski metric.

The potential $U(|\phi|)$ breaks $O(3)$ symmetry to the subgroup $SO(2) \sim U(1)$, the model (1) is invariant with respect to the local Abelian gauge transformations

$$(\phi^1 + i\phi^2) \rightarrow e^{ie\zeta}(\phi^1 + i\phi^2), \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu\zeta \quad (6)$$

where ζ is a real function of the coordinates. The corresponding Noether current can be written as follows:

$$j^\mu = \phi^1 D^\mu\phi^2 - \phi^2 D^\mu\phi^1 \quad (7)$$

Variation of the Lagrangian (1) with respect to the fields A_μ and ϕ_a leads to the equations:

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = ej^\nu, \quad D^\mu D_\mu \phi^a + \phi^a (D^\mu \phi^b \cdot D_\mu \phi^b) + \mu^2 [\phi^a (\phi^b \cdot \phi_\infty^b) - \phi_\infty^a] = 0, \quad (8)$$

where we take into account dynamical constraint imposed on the $O(3)$ field and make use of the explicit form of the potential (2).

We remark that asymptotic value of the electric potential $A_0(\infty)$ can be adjusted via the residual $U(1)$ transformations, choosing $\zeta = -Vt$. In the stationary gauge $A_0(\infty) = 0$ and two components (6) of the scalar triplet acquire an explicit harmonic time dependence with frequency $\omega = eV$.

B. Virial identity

The Derrick's theorem [5] indicates that the usual non-linear $O(3)$ sigma-model do not possess stable solitonic solutions in 3 + 1 dimensional flat space. By contrast, in the $U(1)$ gauged model (1), the usual scaling arguments suggest that localized regular solutions may be allowed. The total energy functional of the system can be written as

$$E = E_2 + E_0 + E_4, \quad (9)$$

where

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x D_i \phi^a D_i \phi^a, \quad E_0 = \int d^3x U(\phi), \quad E_4 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x (E_i^2 + B_i^2), \quad (10)$$

and $E_i = F_{0i}$ and $B_i = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} F_{jk}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively.

The critical points of the total energy functional (9) should satisfy the arguments of Derrick's theorem. The scale transformation $x^i \rightarrow x^{i'} = \lambda^{-1} x^i$, do not affect the scalar field, $\phi^a \rightarrow \phi^a$ because of the sigma model constraint, and $A_\mu(x_i) \rightarrow A'_\mu(x'_i) = \lambda A_\mu(x_i)$. Then

$$E(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1} E_2 + \lambda E_4 + \lambda^{-3} E_0 \quad (11)$$

and $\partial_\lambda^2 E(\lambda = 1) = 2(E_2 + 6E_0) \geq 0$. The corresponding virial identity follows from the condition $\partial_\lambda E|_{(\lambda=1)} = 0$, it gives

$$E_2 + 3E_0 = E_4, \quad (12)$$

which suggests a possibility of existence of soliton solutions stabilized by electromagnetic field [27], see also [28–31].

We also note that non-topological solitons of the model (1) in Minkowski space may exist also in the limiting case of vanishing electromagnetic interactions since the internal rotations with a non-zero angular frequency ω stabilize the configuration in the same way as it happens

for the usual scalar Q-balls. It yields an effective (tachionic) mass term to the field f and the corresponding virial relation becomes

$$E_2 + 3E_0 = \frac{3}{2}\omega Q.$$

However, neither isorotations [19] nor electromagnetic interactions cannot support stable non-topological solitons in $O(3)$ sigma model. Eventually, there exists yet another loophole in the no-go arguments based on the Derrick's theorem. The scalar potential may be taken as the difference of positive definite terms, $U(\phi) = U(\phi)^{(1)} - U(\phi)^{(2)}$, then, in the absence of the Maxwell term and isorotations, the corresponding virial identity becomes

$$E_2 + 3E_0^{(1)} = 3E_0^{(2)}, \quad (13)$$

where both $E_0^{(1)}$ and $E_0^{(2)}$ are positive-definite. In order to secure existence of solitonic solutions of the model (1) the underlying potential also must possess a local minimum and another local one [18]. Both electromagnetic interactions and isorotations may further affect such solution.

C. $\mathbb{C}P^1$ formulation

In order to gain some insight into the construction of soliton solutions of the model (1) in the flat space-time, we make use of inhomogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{C}P^1$,

$$u = \frac{\phi^1 + i\phi^2}{1 - \phi^3}; \quad \vec{\phi} = \frac{1}{1 + |u|^2} (u + u^*, -i(u - u^*), |u|^2 - 1). \quad (14)$$

Then we can recast the Lagrangian (1) in terms of the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ fields

$$L = -\frac{1}{8}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{(D_\mu u)(D^\mu u)^*}{(1 + |u|^2)^2} - U(|u|). \quad (15)$$

Here $D_\mu u = \partial_\mu u - ieA_\mu u$, under the $U(1)$ gauge transformation (6) $u \rightarrow e^{ie\zeta(x)}u$, $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \zeta$, the derivative $D_\mu u$ transforms covariantly.

Variation of the Lagrangian (15) with respect to the field u^* yields the equation

$$(1 + |u|^2)D_\mu D^\mu u - 2u^*(D_\mu u)(D^\mu u) + u(1 + |u|^2)^3 \frac{\delta U}{\delta |u|^2} = 0. \quad (16)$$

The corresponding equation for the electromagnetic field is

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = e j^\nu, \quad (17)$$

where the conserved current (7) is rewritten as

$$j^\nu = 2i \frac{[u(D^\nu u)^* - u^*(D^\nu u)]}{(1 + |u|^2)^2}.$$

To construct solutions of the equations (16),(17), we may employ the polar decomposition of the complex field

$$u = F e^{i\Theta}, \quad (18)$$

where both the phase $\Theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and the amplitude $F \in [0, \infty]$ are real functions of the coordinates in 3+1 dimensional space-time. Note that the original triplet of real scalar fields then can be written as

$$\vec{\phi} = \left(\frac{2F}{1 + F^2} \cos \Theta, \frac{2F}{1 + F^2} \sin \Theta, \frac{F^2 - 1}{F^2 + 1} \right)$$

Now, denoting $F = \cot \frac{f}{2}$, $f \in [0, \pi]$, we arrive at the following "trigonometric" parametrization of the $O(3)$ field

$$\vec{\phi} = (\sin f \cos \Theta, \sin f \sin \Theta, \cos f). \quad (19)$$

In terms of the trigonometric parametrization (19), the real and imaginary parts of the equation of motion (16) leads to the following two equations

$$\partial_\mu^2 f - e^2 \sin f \cos f C_\mu^2 + \frac{\delta U}{\delta f}. \quad (20)$$

and

$$\partial^\mu (\sin^2 f C_\mu) = 0. \quad (21)$$

with C_μ being the gauge invariant vector field

$$C_\mu \equiv A_\mu - \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \Theta. \quad (22)$$

The equation for the electromagnetic field (17) becomes

$$\partial_\mu^2 C^\nu - \partial^\nu \partial^\mu C_\mu + e^2 \sin^2 f C^\nu = 0. \quad (23)$$

Note that the equations (20), (21) and (23) are the same equations that one would get from the Lagrangian (1), by taking into account the constraint $\vec{\phi}^2 = 1$.

We point out that a term proportional to $(\partial_\mu f)^2$ in (20), that would come from the non-linear term in (8), or equivalently from the second term in (16), vanishes due to the structure of the trigonometric parametrization (19).

III. RESULTS

A. Choice of the potential

In the unitary gauge the phase function Θ can be gauged away by applying the transformation (6). Further, let us consider, as a special case, a simple spherically symmetric ansatz parameterized in terms of the radial function $f(r)$ and the harmonic phase function which depends on time as $\Theta = -\omega t$. The only non-zero component of the gauge potential is a radially depending function $A_t(r)$, i.e.

$$f \equiv f(r); \quad e C_0 \equiv e A_t(r) + \omega; \quad C_i = 0; \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (24)$$

Note that the time-dependency of the $O(3)$ field disappears at the level of the dynamical equations and the energy-momentum tensor (5) does not depend upon time. Indeed, after inserting this ansatz into the field equations (20), (21) and (23), or equivalently (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f'' + \frac{2f'}{r} + (\omega + eA_t)^2 \sin f \cos f - \frac{\delta U}{\delta f} &= 0, \\ A_t'' + \frac{2A_t'}{r} - e(\omega + eA_t) \sin^2 f &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where a prime denotes the radial derivative and the symmetry breaking potential $U(f)$ depends on the amplitude of the field. Note that our ansatz imply that $\partial_\mu C^\mu = 0$ and $C^\mu \partial_\mu f = 0$. Therefore, the equation (21) is automatically satisfied by the ansatz, and the middle term of (23) vanishes.

The simple spherically-symmetric ansatz (24) is self-consistent, as the same equations can be derived via variation of the reduced Lagrangian

$$L_{eff} = -\frac{1}{2}(A_t')^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\omega + eA_t)^2 \sin^2 f + \frac{1}{2}(f')^2 - U(f)$$

with respect to the functions f and A_t .

Choice of the explicit form of the symmetry breaking potential U has a dramatic effect on the solutions of the model (1). Considering, for example, the usual weakly attractive "pion mass" potential (2), we obtain two linearized asymptotic equations for the perturbative excitations of the fields around the vacuum $f(r) \rightarrow 0 + \rho(r) + \dots$, $A_t(r) \rightarrow 0 + a(r) + \dots$,

$$\rho'' - (\mu^2 - \omega^2) \rho = 0, \quad a'' = 0 \quad (26)$$

Hence, a localized configuration of the $O(3)$ field with an exponentially decaying tail may exist if $\omega \leq \mu$. On the other hand, in the 3+1 dimensional flat space-time, the electric potential remains massless.




FIG. 1: The potential (27) is shown for some set of values of the parameter β and $\mu^2 = 1$.

However, numerical calculations indicate that for the "pion mass" potential (2) the virial identity (12) cannot be satisfied since the electrostatic energy always remains smaller than the energy of the $O(3)$ scalar field, cf. related discussion in [19].

The situation changes dramatically when we consider a modified symmetry breaking potential, see Fig. 1

$$\tilde{U}(\phi) = \mu^2(1 - \phi^3) - \beta(1 - \phi^3)^4, \quad (27)$$

where β is a real positive parameter. The potential (27), apart from the usual "pion mass" term, also contains a term, which yields an additional short-range interaction energy [32, 33]. The corresponding additional term in the field equation decays faster than the contribution of the pion mass term, thus, the asymptotic equation (26) remains the same. Note that similar choice of potential (with $\beta < 0$) has also been used in [31, 33, 34] to construct multisoliton solutions of the Skyrme model with low binding energy.

Clearly, the modified potential $\tilde{U}(f)$ (27) displayed in Fig. 1, may support non-topological flat-space soliton solutions of the $U(1)$ gauged $O(3)$ sigma model. It has a false minimum at $f = 0$ and a global minimum at $f = \pi$. Here we stress an important difference between the non-topological solitons in the theory of a complex scalar field [17, 35] and solutions of the $O(3)$ model with the symmetry breaking potential (27): in the former case the true vacuum corresponds to the zero value of the field amplitude.

The depths of the global minimum at $f = \pi$ depends on the value of the parameter β , and for $\beta = 1/8$ the vacuum becomes infinitely-degenerate and periodic, resembling the usual sine-Gordon potential. The soliton solution then represent an infinitely thin spherically-symmetric wall separating two neighboring vacua $\tilde{U} = 0$ at $f_0^{(1)} = 0$ and $f_0^{(2)} = \pi$.




FIG. 2: Spherically symmetric $U(1)$ gauged $O(3)$ solitons: Mass vs. charge Q (upper left) and the charge Q vs. frequency ω are shown for some set of values of the parameter β and $\mu^2 = 1$. The dots on the upper left plot indicate the limiting solutions with maximal mass and charge at the threshold $\omega = \mu$. The radial profiles of the fields $f(r)$ and $A_t(r)$ (bottom plots) are displayed for some set of values of the parameter β of the potential (27) at frequency $\omega = 0.90$, and gauge coupling $e = 0.1$

B. Ansatz and the boundary conditions

Non-topological solitons of the gauged $O(3)$ model are akin to the usual Q-balls in 3+1 dimensional models of complex scalar field with a sextic potential [17, 35]. Indeed, using the spherically-symmetric ansatz (19) with $\Theta = -\omega t$, we can find regular finite energy solutions of the corresponding system of field equations (25) displayed in Fig. 2. In the time-dependent gauge both profile functions are asymptotically vanishing, $f(\infty) = A_t(\infty) = 0$, the Neumann boundary conditions at the origin are $\partial_r f(0) = \partial_r A_t(0) = 0$.

Axially-symmetric solutions of the model (1) can be constructed using the stationary ansatz with a harmonic time dependence, which is similar to the corresponding parametrization of the $O(4)$ Skyrme model [36–39]

$$\vec{\phi} = (\sin f(r, \theta) \cos(n\varphi - \omega t), \sin f(r, \theta) \sin(n\varphi - \omega t), \cos f(r, \theta)). \quad (28)$$




FIG. 3: Axially symmetric $U(1)$ gauged $O(3)$ solitons: The charge Q isosurface at $1/5$ of the maximum density of an $n = 1$ solution of the model (1) with the potential (27) at $\omega = 0.90$ and $e = 0.1$.

where the profile function f depends on the radial coordinate r and the polar angle θ . In the spherically symmetric case ($n = 0$) this ansatz is reduced to (24). The corresponding ansatz for the $U(1)$ potential contains two real functions of an electric and a magnetic potential:

$$A_\mu dx^\mu = A_t(r, \theta) dt + A_\varphi(r, \theta) \sin \theta d\varphi. \quad (29)$$

Note that the ansatz (28)-(29) satisfies automatically the equation (21).

The boundary conditions are $A_t = A_\varphi = 0$ and $f = 0$ at spacial infinity and $\partial_r A_t = A_\varphi = \partial_r f = 0$ at the origin (in the stationary gauge). The regularity of the solutions at the symmetry axis requires that ($n \neq 0$)

$$\partial_\theta f|_{\theta=0,\pi} = \partial_\theta A_t|_{\theta=0,\pi} = A_\varphi|_{\theta=0,\pi} = 0. \quad (30)$$

The stationary solutions are characterized by a set of physical observables, the mass M , the angular momentum J , the electric charge Q_e and the dipole moment μ_m . Some of these quantities can be evaluated as volume integrals of the corresponding densities of the total stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^\phi + T_{\mu\nu}^{Em}$,

$$M = \int d^3x (T_\mu^\mu - 2T_t^t), \quad J = \int d^3x T_\varphi^t, \quad (31)$$

or they can be extracted from the asymptotic decay of the gauge field functions, as

$$A_t \rightarrow \frac{Q_e}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad A_\varphi \rightarrow \frac{\mu_m \sin^2 \theta}{r^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right) \quad (32)$$




FIG. 4: Axially symmetric $U(1)$ gauged $O(3)$ solitons: profiles of the scalar field amplitude and the components of the electromagnetic potential are shown for an $n = 1$ solution of the model (1) with the potential (27) at $\omega = 0.90$ and $e = 0.1$. The axes for the last three plots are $\rho = r \sin \theta$ and $z = r \cos \theta$.

The associated Noether charge of the solitons of the $O(3)$ sigma model can be evaluated as a volume integral over the temporal component of the conserved 4-current (7),

$$Q = \int d^3x j^0 = 2\pi \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta \sin^2 f (\omega + gA_t), \quad (33)$$

where we make use of the parametrization of the $O(3)$ field (28). One can show that J , Q and the electric charge Q_e are proportional, see e.g. [40–44]:

$$J = nQ = \frac{nQ_e}{e}, \quad (34)$$

where n is the winding number of the scalar field. We made use of this relation to check correctness of our numerical results.

C. Stationary soliton solutions

There are a few important differences between the Q-balls in scalar theory and non-topological solitons of the $O(3)$ sigma model. Firstly, usual Q-balls exist for a finite interval of the frequency $\omega \in [\omega_{min}, \mu]$, where a minimal allowed value of the frequency depends on the form of the potential. In particular, the minimal frequency can be zero, as happens in the Fridberg-Lee-Sirlin model in Minkowski space-time [15]. In the model under consideration, there is no lower bound on the frequency, the solutions exist for all range of values of the angular frequency. The limiting solution at $\omega = 0$ is uncharged, it corresponds to the non-topological soliton stabilized by the balance of repulsive and attractive scalar interactions [18].

The single branch of solutions extends all the way up from $\omega = 0$ to the mass threshold μ , the charge Q , as a function of the angular frequency, increases monotonically from zero to some maximal value Q_{max} as the frequency approaches the upper bound, see Fig. 2 upper right plot. This value depends on the shape of the potential \tilde{U} (27), it diverges as $\beta \rightarrow 1/8$ and potential becomes infinitely degenerated, $\tilde{U}(f) \xrightarrow{\beta \rightarrow 1/8} 2 \sin^2 \frac{f}{2} (1 - \sin^6 \frac{f}{2})$.

Secondly, the Noether charge Q (33) cannot be interpreted as the particle number, the norm of the $O(3)$ field is fixed and only two components of the triplet contribute to the charge (33). In Fig. 3 we displayed an isosurface of the charge density distribution of an illustrative $n = 1$ solution. Unlike the corresponding distribution of the charge density of an axially-symmetric Q-ball in a scalar theory, which represent a torus, the charge distribution of the $O(3)$ soliton has a shell-like structure, as seen in Fig. 3. This is related with the form of the profile functions which are shown in Fig. 4. The amplitude of the scalar field feature a plateau around the center at which a false vacuum is formed. The plateau ends with two steps on the symmetry axis, where the field f drops to the true vacuum. The energy density distribution has two sharp peaks at these points. However, the magnetic field of the gauged soliton is toroidal, as in the case of the usual Q-balls.

Thirdly, in the case of the ungauged Q-balls, the size of the soliton grows indefinitely as the angular frequency approaches the lower minimal value, the value of the field amplitude f at the origin rapidly increases and both the mass and the charge of the configuration diverge in this limit. In the case of solitonic solutions of the $O(3)$ model, the maximum of the field amplitude $f(0)$ is restricted by the constrain. When ω is decreased, it slowly approaches its maximal value which always remains below $f_{cr} = \pi$, even in limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$, while the interior region of the soliton is slowly increasing. Thus, in this limit the mass of the configuration remains finite albeit the Noether charge $Q \sim \omega$ is vanishing.

Fourthly, the usual evolution pattern of the gauged Q-balls in a complex scalar theory is that there are two branches of solutions, first of which arises from the perturbative excitations

as the angular frequency is decreasing below the mass threshold [23–26]. A bifurcation with the second, upper in energy branch, occurs at some minimal non-zero value of the angular frequency. The second branch of gauged Q-balls extends forward up to the maximal value of the frequency, along this branch the characteristic size of the gauged Q-balls rapidly increases, it inflates as ω approaches its upper critical value. The minimal critical value of the frequency increases with the increase of the gauge coupling e , an additional repulsive interaction arises from the gauge sector and the solutions cease to exist at some maximal critical value of the gauge coupling.

On the contrary, there is only one branch of charged Q-balls in the $O(3)$ sigma model, increase of the gauge coupling affects the value of the Noether charge and drives the configurations closer to the limit $f_{cr} = \pi$. However, there is no second branch of solutions for any values of the gauge coupling and the parameter of the potential β .

Fifthly, in the theory of a complex scalar field, Q-balls typically arise smoothly from perturbative excitations about the vacuum, as the angular frequency is decreasing below the mass threshold, and scalar quanta condense into a non-topological soliton. It is not the case for the solitons of the $O(3)$ sigma model, they are disconnected from the vacuum excitations for most of the range of values of the potential parameter β .

Note that the size of the soliton also depends of the parameter β , for a fixed value of the frequency ω it increases as the potential becomes more shallow, see Fig. 2 which exhibits the profiles of the corresponding solutions. The electric potential is a constant in the interior of the Q-ball, in the outer region it corresponds to the Coulomb field of the charge $Q_e = eQ$.

Finally, we note that stable soliton solutions of the model do not exist as β becomes smaller than $1/8$, so there is no flat space solutions in the gauged $O(3)$ sigma model with the pion mass potential.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The main purpose of this paper is to show the existence of new type of non-topological soliton solutions of the $U(1)$ gauged non-linear $O(3)$ sigma model in 3+1 dimensional flat space-time. Unlike usual Q-balls in a complex field theory, they are stabilized by the special choice of the symmetry breaking potential rather than isorotations. Considering dependency of the solutions on the frequency, we observe only one branch of charged Q-balls in the $O(3)$ sigma model, is originates from static ($\omega = 0$) configuration and extends up to the mass threshold.

The solitons of the $U(1)$ gauged non-linear $O(3)$ sigma model exhibit examples of the configurations with a quantized angular momentum, $J = nQ$ and with both the electric charge and toroidal magnetic field, which forms a vortex encircling the soliton.

The work here should be taken further by considering a family of potentials which would support non-zero vacuum expectation value of the scalar field. It may allow to introduce Higgs-like mechanism providing a mass to the gauge field. Another direction can be related with investigation of properties of the self-gravitating solutions in the $U(1)$ gauged non-linear $O(3)$ sigma model minimally coupled to Einstein gravity. We hope to address these problems in our future work.

Acknowledgements

Y.S. gratefully acknowledges the support by FAPESP, project No 2024/01704-6 and thanks the Instituto de Física de São Carlos; IFSC for kind hospitality. L.A.F. is partially support by the CNPq grant 307833/2022-4.

-
- [1] M. Gell-Mann and M. Levy, *Nuovo Cim.* **16** (1960), 705
 - [2] N. S. Manton and P. Sutcliffe, *'Topological solitons'*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
 - [3] Y. M. Shnir, Cambridge University Press, 2018
 - [4] A. M. Polyakov and A. A. Belavin, *JETP Lett.* **22** (1975), 245-248
 - [5] G. H. Derrick, *J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1964), 1252-1254
 - [6] D. A. Nicole, *J. Phys. G* **4** (1978), 1363
 - [7] L. D. Faddeev, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **1** (1976), 289
 - [8] D. Foster, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011), 085026
 - [9] Y. Shnir and G. Zhilin, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) no.10, 105010
 - [10] A. Samoilenka and Y. Shnir, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) no.12, 125014
 - [11] R. A. Leese, *Nucl. Phys. B* **366** (1991), 283
 - [12] R. S. Ward, *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003), 3555
 - [13] D. Harland, J. Jäykkä, Y. Shnir and M. Speight, *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013), 225402
 - [14] R. A. Battye and M. Haberichter, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) no.10, 105003
 - [15] R. Friedberg, T.D. Lee and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **13** (1976) 2739.
 - [16] G. Rosen, *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968), 996
 - [17] S.R. Coleman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **262** (1985) 263; Erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **269** (1986) 744
 - [18] Y. Verbin, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007), 085018
 - [19] C. Herdeiro, I. Perapechka, E. Radu and Y. Shnir, *JHEP* **02** (2019), 111
 - [20] P. A. Cano, L. Machet and C. Myin, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) no.4, 044043
 - [21] C. Adam, J. C. Mourelle, A. García Martín-Caro and A. Wereszczynski, [arXiv:2502.20923 [gr-qc]].

- [22] B. Kleihaus, J. Kunz, M. List and I. Schaffer, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 064025
- [23] K. M. Lee, J. A. Stein-Schabes, R. Watkins and L. M. Widrow, Phys. Rev. D **39** (1989), 1665
- [24] K. N. Anagnostopoulos, M. Axenides, E. G. Floratos and N. Tetradis, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001), 125006
- [25] I. E. Gulamov, E. Y. Nugaev and M. N. Smolyakov, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) no.8, 085006
- [26] I. E. Gulamov, E. Y. Nugaev, A. G. Panin and M. N. Smolyakov, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) no.4, 045011
- [27] B. J. Schroers, Phys. Lett. B **356** (1995), 291-296
- [28] Y. Amari, M. Eto and M. Nitta, JHEP **11** (2024), 127
- [29] A. Y. Loginov, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.6, 065009
- [30] P. K. Ghosh and S. K. Ghosh, Phys. Lett. B **366** (1996), 199-204
- [31] A. Samoilenka and Y. Shnir, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.6, 065018
- [32] R. A. Leese, M. Peyrard and W. J. Zakrzewski, Nonlinearity **3** (1990), 773-808
- [33] P. Salmi and P. Sutcliffe, J. Phys. A **48** (2015) no.3, 035401
- [34] M. Gillard, D. Harland and M. Speight, Nucl. Phys. B **895** (2015), 272-287
- [35] T. D. Lee and Y. Pang, Phys. Rept. **221** (1992), 251-350
- [36] R. A. Battye, M. Haberichter and S. Krusch, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) no.12, 125035
- [37] T. Ioannidou, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Phys. Lett. B **643** (2006), 213-220
- [38] I. Perapechka and Y. Shnir, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.12, 125006
- [39] C. Herdeiro, I. Perapechka, E. Radu and Y. Shnir, JHEP **10** (2018), 119
- [40] F. E. Schunck and E. W. Mielke, Phys. Lett. A **249** (1998), 389-394
- [41] M.S. Volkov and E. Wahnert, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 085003.
- [42] B. Kleihaus, J. Kunz and M. List, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 064002 .
- [43] L.G. Collodel, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) no.10, 104076
- [44] C. Herdeiro, I. Perapechka, E. Radu and Y. Shnir, Phys. Lett. B **824** (2022), 136811