

Advancing Autonomous Vehicle Intelligence: Deep Learning and Multimodal LLM for Traffic Sign Recognition and Robust Lane Detection

Chandan Kumar Sah^{1*†}

sahchandan98@buaa.edu.cn

Ankit Kumar Shaw^{2*}

shawak10@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Xiaoli Lian^{1*}

lianxiaoli@buaa.edu.cn

Arsalan Shahid Baig^{1*}

arsalan@buaa.edu.cn

Tuopu Wen^{2*}

wtp18@tsinghua.org.cn

Kun Jiang^{2†}

jiangkun@tsinghua.edu.cn

Mengmeng Yang^{2*}

yangmm_qh@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Diange Yang^{2†}

ydg@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

Autonomous vehicles (AVs) require reliable traffic sign recognition and robust lane detection capabilities to ensure safe navigation in complex and dynamic environments. This paper introduces an integrated approach combining advanced deep learning techniques and Multimodal Large Language Models (MLLMs) for comprehensive road perception. For traffic sign recognition, we systematically evaluate ResNet-50, YOLOv8, and RT-DETR, achieving state-of-the-art performance of 99.8% with ResNet-50, 98.0% accuracy with YOLOv8, and achieved 96.6% accuracy in RT-DETR despite its higher computational complexity. For lane detection, we propose a CNN-based segmentation method enhanced by polynomial curve fitting, which delivers high accuracy under favorable conditions. Furthermore, we introduce a lightweight, Multimodal, LLM-based framework that directly undergoes instruction tuning using small yet diverse datasets, eliminating the need for initial pretraining. This framework effectively handles various lane types, complex intersections, and merging zones, significantly enhancing lane detection reliability by reasoning under adverse conditions. Despite constraints in available training resources, our multimodal approach demonstrates advanced reasoning capabilities, achieving a Frame Overall Accuracy (FRM) of 53.87%, a Question Overall Accuracy (QNS) of 82.83%, lane detection accuracies of 99.6% in clear conditions and 93.0% at night, and robust performance in reasoning about lane invisibility due to rain (88.4%) or road degradation (95.6%). The proposed comprehensive framework markedly enhances AV perception reliability, thus contributing significantly to safer autonomous driving across diverse and challenging road scenarios.

¹School of Computer Science and Engineering, Beihang University

²School of Vehicle and Mobility, Tsinghua University

1. Introduction




Figure 1. Multimodal LLM-Based Road Elements Understanding. (a) An AV uses multiple sensors (camera, LiDAR, radar, ultrasonic) for perception and navigation. (b) Annotated MapLM dataset with colored poly-lines: Yellow = motorway lanes, Orange = bicycle lanes, Blue = intersections/lane-change zones. (c) Apollo synthetic data representing varied times of day, weather, and road conditions.

Autonomous vehicles (AVs) rely on accurate detection of traffic signs and lane markings for safe navigation [42, 46, 54]. Errors in perception can lead to accidents, as human error accounts for over 90%. Deep learning has significantly advanced AV perception, with CNNs achieving high accuracy in traffic sign recognition. One-stage detectors like YOLO enable real-time sign detection [35], while transformer-based models enhance contextual reasoning [33]. Hybrid architectures, such as Local Vision Trans-

*Equal Contribution, †Corresponding Author

former (Local-VT), outperform traditional CNNs and ViTs, achieving 99.7% accuracy on GTSRB [10]. Similarly, fine-tuned YOLOv8 reaches 97% mAP in traffic sign detection under varied lighting. Lane detection remains challenging in adverse conditions due to faded or obstructed markings. While deep networks outperform classical methods, rain and glare still degrade accuracy [33]. Recent CNN-based lane detectors trained on wet-road datasets improve robustness [12], while LVLane enhances detection under extreme lighting and occlusions.

This paper evaluates ResNet-50, YOLOv8, and RT-DETR for traffic sign recognition across diverse scenarios [17]. Additionally, we introduce a Multimodal LLM-based road element detection pipeline integrating vision and textual cues for enhanced lane recognition [39]. Multimodal Large Language Models (MLLMs) fuse image, LiDAR, and textual data for improved AV reasoning, while LiDAR-LLM extends this capability by aligning sparse 3D point clouds with language models for spatial understanding [52]. Our approach refines lane visibility analysis and validates map data, improving high-definition (HD) map reliability and AV safety [53]. Further, we hope to advance autonomous Vehicle research with the following contributions:

- **Systematic Comparison of Traffic Sign Recognition Models:** We conduct a comprehensive evaluation of ResNet-50, YOLOv8, and RT-DETR architectures for traffic sign detection, delineating their trade-offs in classification accuracy, real-time performance, and robustness to environmental challenges. This analysis provides actionable insights for deploying optimal models in practical AV systems.
- **Adaptive Multimodal LLM Framework for Robust Lane Detection:** We propose a multimodal LLM-augmented framework designed to enhance adaptive lane detection through contextual reasoning capabilities of Large Language Models (LLMs). The framework effectively addresses complex challenges in dynamic road environments, including diverse lane types, complex intersections, degraded markings, and occlusions, overcoming traditional methods' reliance solely on visual cues. Our approach enables the LLM to infer lane continuity and road structure even when visual information is incomplete or obscured. Additionally, task-specific prompts and annotated color-coded training data significantly boost perception accuracy and adaptability in adverse conditions such as poor weather and low visibility, thereby enhancing perception reliability and ensuring safe navigation for autonomous vehicle systems.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews traffic sign and lane detection research; Section 3 details model adaptations, dataset preparation, and our multimodal LLM approach; Section 4 compares model

performance and lane detection improvements; and Section 5 concludes our work.

2. Related Work

2.1. Traffic Sign Recognition with Deep Learning

Early traffic sign recognition relied on manual feature extraction and traditional classifiers [37]. Deep learning now dominates the field, with CNNs like ResNet-50 achieving over 99% accuracy using residual learning [8]. One-stage detectors such as YOLOv8 improve small sign detection, achieving 77.2% AP on CTSD at 32 FPS [55]. Faster R-CNN [36] offers strong accuracy but is computationally heavy. One-stage detectors like SSD [24] and YOLO series balance speed and accuracy, with recent variants like YOLOv5 optimized for complex weather [38] and ETSR-YOLO enhancing tiny sign detection [22]. Transformer-based models such as DETR [49] eliminate post-processing, while Deformable DETR and DINO improve convergence and small-object performance [55]. Recent work shows transformers can surpass YOLO in real-time accuracy with optimization. Attention-based models like Swin-Transformer [26] and multi-scale attention modules [25] further enhance sign recognition. These advances provide a robust foundation for our traffic sign recognition approach.

2.2. Lane Line Detection Techniques

Lane detection has progressed from edge detection and Hough transforms to deep learning-based segmentation [13]. CNN-based methods reliably segment lanes [31], often integrating post-processing like polynomial curve fitting for smoother lane boundaries [21]. Multi-task learning incorporating temporal information further improves detection stability [20]. Adverse conditions remain a challenge, with lane markings becoming obscured in rain or poor lighting. Deep networks now infer lanes even when markings are degraded by leveraging contextual road cues [19]. State-of-the-art models like LaneNet achieve 96.4% accuracy on TuSimple [46], while SCNN achieves 71.6% F1-score on CULane [21], setting benchmarks for comparison.

2.3. VLMs for Autonomous Driving

Visual-Language Models (VLMs) play a crucial role in driving scene understanding and decision-making [27]. Recent advancements focus on VLM-driven autonomous driving policies, including DiLu [48], DriveGPT4 [51], GPT-Driver [28], HiLM-D [9], DriveMLM [45], and DriveVLM [43], while Talk2BEV and LiDAR-LLM [52] integrate LLMs, VLMs, BEV, and LiDAR for improved perception. LLMs also enhance passenger-vehicle interaction [6] and enable language-guided closed-loop autonomous driving using multi-modal sensor data, as seen in LimSim++

Table 1. Deep Learning Models for Traffic Sign Recognition[2, 7, 34].

Model	Approach	Adaptations	Strengths	Weaknesses
ResNet-50	CNN	pre-trained on ImageNet; Residual blocks	99%+ accuracy; Robust	Needs proposals; ROI extraction
YOLOv8	One-stage	Custom anchors; Multiscale; Augmented data	Fast; No false alarms	Lower recall; Imbalanced precision
RT-DETR	Transformer	Attention; Multiscale; IoU filtering	High accuracy; NMS	Slow training; No Complex tuning

[11] and LMDrive. RAG-Driver improves zero-shot generalization with in-context learning, while Wayve’s LINGO-1 [47] introduces an open-loop driving commentator.

3. Methodology

Our framework consists of two main components: a traffic sign recognition module powered by deep learning detectors/classifiers, and an adaptive lane line detection and reasoning module. We describe the models, datasets, and the multimodal large language model integration below.




Figure 2. Framework for Multimodal LLM-based Road Elements Understanding. The system processes multimodal inputs, including annotated 3D LiDAR BEV point clouds and textual annotations. The EVA visual encoder extracts features from the point clouds, which are then integrated with text annotations and task-specific prompts. These combined inputs are processed by the LLAMA-2 LLM to generate coherent and contextually relevant responses.




Figure 3. Multimodal LLM-Based Framework for Adaptive Lane Detection and Reasoning in Adverse Conditions. The instruction-tuned road element understanding model is further fine-tuned using the Apollo synthetic dataset, supplemented with human-annotated captions and prompts. This enables the Vision-Language Model (VLM) to analyze both visual and contextual information, providing high-level reasoning (about lanes visibility) to refine or supplement vision-based outputs in challenging scenarios, such as during night-time or heavy rainfall.

3.1. Datasets for Deep Learning based Detections

To train and evaluate our deep learning based models for traffic sign recognition and lane detection, we compiled datasets from multiple sources. For traffic sign detection, we utilized the German Traffic Sign Recognition Benchmark (GTSRB) and TT100K datasets, supplemented with synthetic images created using data augmentation techniques such as random rotation, contrast adjustment, and noise injection to simulate diverse environmental conditions. For lane detection, we incorporated the TuSimple and CULane datasets, both of which provide real-world lane markings under varying lighting and weather conditions. Preprocessing techniques such as grayscale normalization, histogram equalization, and edge enhancement [41] were employed to optimize feature extraction. Finally, we used polygon-based ground truth annotations for lane segmentation and bounding box labeling for traffic sign detection to ensure robust model training.

3.2. Traffic Sign Recognition Module

ResNet-50 Classifier: We employed a pretrained ResNet-50 CNN [16] for traffic sign classification. Initially trained on ImageNet, the model was applied directly to a labeled traffic sign dataset comprising 43 sign classes without additional fine-tuning. The German Traffic Sign Recognition Benchmark (GTSRB) served as the primary dataset, supplemented with additional images under varied conditions to

Table 2. Comparison of ResNet_50 with previous works. The table presents accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and training time across different ResNet architectures.

Model Source	ResNet Architectures	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-score	Training Time (s)
[16]	ResNet 50	99.67%	0.9968	0.9967	0.9967	1109.65
	ResNet 50V2	99.78%	0.9978	0.9978	0.9978	1016.82
	ReNet 101	99.51%	0.9944	0.995	0.9947	1893.66
	ReNet 101V2	99.74%	0.9975	0.9974	0.9974	1893.8
	ResNet 152	99.49%	0.9948	0.9949	0.9949	2632.62
	ResNet 152V2	99.76%	0.9976	0.9976	0.9976	2617.94
[40]	ResNet 50	91.43%	-	-	-	-
[16]	ResNet 50	96.41%	0.9416	0.9594	0.9464	-
	ResNet 50 + SVM	97.55%	0.9751	0.9676	0.9713	-
Ours	My ResNet 50	99.80%	1.00	1.00	1.00	5412.34

enhance robustness. Training utilized the Adam optimizer [18] with cross-entropy loss minimization and early stopping to mitigate overfitting. ResNet-50 demonstrated strong baseline performance, achieving high validation accuracy.

YOLOv8 Detector: For full-frame traffic sign detection, we utilized YOLOv8, a one-stage object detector trained end-to-end to output bounding boxes and class labels. Custom anchor box priors were used to align with common traffic sign aspect ratios, and mosaic data augmentation was applied to improve generalization across different scales and occlusions. The training dataset integrated real-world driving images (Dashcam datasets, TT100K) with synthetic scenes (Figure 1 (c)) to cover diverse environmental conditions. YOLOv8 was optimized using a combination of localization loss (complete IoU loss [14]) and classification loss. Its multi-scale feature maps enable real-time detection of small signs, as demonstrated in Figure 6, where it successfully detects traffic signs in challenging road conditions.

RT-DETR Transformer Detector: We adapted the Real-Time Detection Transformer (RT-DETR) model for traffic sign detection. Unlike conventional object detectors, RT-DETR employs a transformer encoder-decoder architecture, eliminating the need for region proposals and non-maximum suppression. Table 3 compares its performance with other DETR-based architectures, showcasing its competitive mAP50 score. To enhance detection of small signs, an attention-based feature fusion module was incorporated, inspired by [32]. The model produces a fixed set of predictions, matched with ground truth boxes using the Hungarian algorithm during training [3], optimizing a joint loss function (L1 and GIoU for box regression, focal loss for classification). Although RT-DETR requires significantly more training epochs than YOLOv8, it excels in detecting signs in cluttered scenes due to its global self-attention mechanism. However, its computational cost remains high, running at 10 FPS in our setup, reflecting its emphasis on contextual reasoning over real-time efficiency.

3.3. Our MLLM Datasets and Annotations

We utilize the MAPLM [1] dataset for road element understanding, comprising 10,775 training and 1,500 test Point

Cloud Bird’s-Eye View (BEV) images collected from diverse environments, including highways and urban roads. This dataset also provides detailed geometric lane information and attributes, enabling a comprehensive analysis of road structures. To enhance model performance, we introduce a novel preprocessing strategy: annotating BEV point cloud images with color-coded poly-lines, as illustrated in Figure 1 with the help of the given geometrical coordinates for each road elements i.e. motorway lanes are marked in yellow, bicycle lanes in orange, and intersections/lane-change zones in blue, aiding in lane detection and reasoning. As seen, each color represents a distinct road feature, offering explicit visual cues to improve lane feature recognition and cross-section interpretation. For lane detection under adverse conditions, we employ the Apollo Synthetic Dataset, which consists of 10,000 training and 2,200 test RGB images. Since task-specific labels are unavailable, we manually annotate this dataset in a zero-shot setting to ensure reliable ground truth generation.

General Caption Template for Lane Elements Understanding (MAPLM Dataset):

The scene contains a *[scene type]* with *[data quality]* data quality. It includes *[total number of lanes]* lanes, specifically a *[type of lane]* lane extending from *[start coordinate]* to *[end coordinate]*, and a *[type of lane]* lane spanning from *[start coordinate]* to *[end coordinate]*. Additionally, a *[type of cross-section]* is present at the intersection, defined by vertices *[vertex 1]*, *[vertex 2]*, *[vertex 3]*, and *[vertex 4]*.

General Caption Template for Adaptive Lane Detection (Apollo Synthetic Dataset):

1. Lane lines are fully visible.
2. Lane lines are *[partially visible / invisible]* due to *[specific reason]*.

This structured annotation methodology enhances the dataset’s utility for evaluating lane detection and road scene understanding across both standard and challenging environmental conditions.

Furthermore, the use of task-specific prompts in the form of input questions such as ”Describe the lanes and road elements in detail”, ”How many lanes are there?”, ”Is there any cross-sections or intersections?”, ”Are the lane lines visible?”, ”If not, what is the reason?” (detailed provided in the supplementary materials) further enhances the model’s efficiency by allowing the model to focus on relevant aspects of the scene, improving interpretability, refining feature extraction, and reducing irrelevant token processing, thereby enhancing overall performance in complex driving scenarios.

3.4. Our MLLM Framework Architecture

As shown in Figure 2 which is inspired by MiniGPT-v2[4], we designed a light weight simple architecture using an EVA as pretrained visual encoder, which ides stronger

feature representation and better generalization on high-resolution images (448×448) and LLAMA-2-7b as LLM decoder framework.

3.4.1. Input Processing

Multimodal Observations for Scene Understanding:

The function F_θ predicts the answer \hat{Y} based on the input observations $O = \{X_{pc}, X_{ann}\}$, consisting of BEV point cloud and text annotations, along with a query question X_q :

$$\hat{Y} = F_\theta(O, X_q) \quad (1)$$

where X_{pc} represents the point cloud BEV representation, X_{ann} refers to textual caption annotations, and X_q denotes the natural language query. The predicted answer is denoted as \hat{Y} .

3.4.2. Encoding

Point Cloud BEV Tokenization & Feature Extraction:

To align point cloud BEV features with the language model space, we tokenize the BEV image representation using a frozen EVA encoder, as shown:

$$Z_{bev} = W_{bev} \cdot k_{bev} (\phi_{bev} (\text{BEV}(X_{pc}))), \quad Z_{bev} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k_{bev}/4} \quad (2)$$

where ϕ_{bev} refers to the EVA patch embedding that tokenizes BEV images, while k_{bev} represents the EVA-based visual encoder that extracts point cloud features. The projection matrix $W_{bev} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 4d_{bev}}$ maps BEV features to language tokens. The projected BEV tokens, denoted as Z_{bev} , serve as input for the large language model (LLM).

3.4.3. Linear Projection into LLM Layer

Our model integrates BEV visual tokens into the LLaMA-2 chat model through a linear projection layer. To reduce computational overhead, every four adjacent BEV tokens are concatenated into one, reducing input length by 4×. The transformation follows:

$$Z'_{be} = \underset{v}{'}_{be} \cdot \text{Concat}_4(Z_{bev}), \quad Z'_{be} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k_{bev}/4} \quad (3)$$

This step efficiently aligns vision features with the language embedding space while preserving essential scene details. The projection matrix W_{bev} functions as a projection layer to LLaMA-2. LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) of rank $r = 64$, is applied to efficiently fine-tune the query (W_a) and value.

3.4.4. Multimodal Question-Answering as Ouput

The final answer prediction \hat{Y} is generated autoregressively by the LLM, conditioned on multimodal inputs:

$$P(\hat{Y} | Z_{bev}, X_{ann}, X_q) = \prod_{i=1} P(y_i | Z_{bev}, X_{ann}, X_q, < i, \hat{Y}_{< i}; \theta) \quad (4)$$




Figure 4. RT-DETR Training Performance: Loss and Validation curves over epochs. The transformer-based model takes longer to converge than YOLO, but eventually reaches a stable high accuracy.

where Z_{bev} refers to tokenized point cloud BEV embeddings as visual features. X_{ann} provides annotated road elements description of the corresponding BEV images, while X_q serves as the input question as task specific prompts. The autoregressive token prediction, denoted as y_i , is conditioned on previous tokens $\hat{Y}_{< i}$. The trainable parameters of the LLM, denoted by θ , are fine-tuned using LoRA. This formulation models conditional probability distributions over generated answers while leveraging multimodal grounding, allowing our VLM model to reason effectively about traffic scenes using both vision and language inputs.

3.5. Task Description

The proposed Multimodal LLM-based Adaptive Lane Detection and Reasoning framework consists of two sequential stages to enhance lane detection and road element understanding under adverse conditions.

3.5.1. Stage 1

Instruction Tuning for Road Element Understanding:

The first stage aims to equip the Multimodal LLM with

an understanding of road elements, including lane structures, intersections, and merging areas—concepts that standard Vision-Language Models (VLMs), such as MiniGPT-v2, fail to recognize. To achieve this, instruction tuning was performed using the MAPLM dataset, which includes polyline-annotated BEV point cloud images, textual annotations, and structured prompts. The model was trained to recognize lane attributes and provide comprehensive descriptions of road elements. Evaluation was conducted using the MAPLM-QA dataset to ensure the fine-tuned model could accurately interpret and describe road scenes.

3.5.2. Stage 2

Adaptive Lane Detection in Adverse Conditions: Building upon the instruction-tuned model, the second stage fine-tunes the system for lane detection under challenging conditions, such as night-time driving, heavy rain, and lane




Figure 5. Performance Comparison of ResNet-50, YOLOv8, and RT-DETR in Terms of Precision, Recall, and F1-Score.



Figure 6. YOLOv8-Based Traffic Sign Detection results on real-world scenes. The model detects multiple signs (bounding boxes) in diverse conditions, demonstrating high recall and precision.

degradation across various environments, including urban, rural, and highway settings. The Apollo Synthetic dataset, consisting of RGB images captured under diverse weather, lighting, and lane degradation conditions, was used to further optimize the model. This enhancement enables the model to detect lane lines more accurately and provide reasoning for their partial or complete invisibility, improving robustness and reliability in real-world driving scenarios.

3.5.3. Model Training and Optimization

We employed EVA as the visual encoder and LLAMA-2-7B as the LLM, keeping the visual backbone frozen while training only the linear projection layer to improve vision-language fusion. To optimize efficiency, LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) with rank $r = 64$ was applied to the transformer’s query and value projection matrices, enabling

Table 3. RT-DETR comparison. The table compares the performance of various DETR-based architectures for traffic sign detection, specifically focusing on the mean Average Precision at 50% intersection over union (mAP50).

Model Source	DETR Architectures	mAP50
[49]	DINO-DETR	77%
[5]	Deformable DETR	96.52%
[29]	DETR	95.10%
Ours	RT-DETR	96.60%

Table 4. YOLO v8 comparison. The table compares YOLO-based architectures for traffic sign recognition, focusing on precision, recall, F1-scores, and training times across different studies.

Model Source	YOLO Architectures	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	Training Time (s)
[30]	Yolo v5	98.40%	89.30%	-	-
[50]	GRFS Yolo v8	91.30%	93.00%	89%	-
Ours	Yolo v8	100.00%	98.00%	80.00%	2700

Table 5. Traffic sign recognition results for different models. ResNet-50’s Precision/Recall are effectively classification accuracy on the sign crops. YOLOv8 and RT-DETR results are for full-frame detection (Prec/Rec here treat each correctly detected sign as true positive, etc.). Training times were measured on the same hardware.

Model	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	mAP@0.5	Training Time (s)
ResNet-50 (classifier)	99.80%	99.79%	99.79%	- (classification)	5,400
YOLOv8 (detector)	100.0%	98.0%	80.0%	97.5%	2,700
RT-DETR (detector)	100.0%	96.6%	90.0%	96.8%	28,200

computationally efficient fine-tuning. Training was conducted on 8 NVIDIA RTX 3090 GPUs, with dataset splits of 10,775/1,500 (MAPLM) and 10,000/2,200 (Apollo Synthetic) for training and testing, respectively. Input images were resized to 448×448 pixels for standardization. The AdamW optimizer with a cosine learning rate scheduler was used, with a maximum learning rate of $1e^{-5}$ and a warmup ratio of 0.05. Cross-Entropy Loss was employed to guide both lane detection and instruction-following tasks. The model was fine-tuned for 20 epochs for road element understanding and 10 epochs for adaptive lane detection, ensuring effective learning across both stages. This two-stage approach significantly improves the model’s ability to detect lane lines and provide reasoning in complex conditions, enhancing its reliability for autonomous driving applications.

4. Results and Discussion

We evaluate our system on multiple datasets and scenarios to assess both traffic sign recognition and lane detection performance. All experiments were carried out on a machine using Nvidia RTX 3090 GPUs. We compare against baseline methods from the literature where possible and analyze strengths and weaknesses of each component. Key quantitative results are summarized in Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 and qualitative results are shown in Figures 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

Table 6. Comparison of our Instruction Tuned MLLM with GPT-4V and State-of-the-Art MLLMs on MAPLM-QA Dataset.

Method	Additional Learning	Modality		Metrics (↑)					
		Img	PC	LAN	INT	QLT	SCN	QNS	FRM
MiniGPT-v2[4]	None	-	-	1.33	3.73	15.20	20.33	10.15	0
GPT-4V[15]	0-shot	✓	✓	56.25	62.53	43.75	68.73	57.81	18.75
LLaVA[23]	P+IT+LoRA	✓	✓	64.33	65.27	81.60	90.94	76.08	38.13
MAPLM[1]	P+IT	✓	✓	59.67	75.87	77.47	92.53	76.38	36.27
MAPLM[1]	P+IT+LoRA	✓	✓	72.33	56.40	78.67	93.53	81.65	49.07
Ours	IT+LoRA	×	✓	75.80	77.53	82.33	95.67	82.83	53.87

Metrics: LAN (Lane Counting), INT (Intersection Recognition), QLT (Point Cloud Quality), SCN (Scene Understanding), FRM (Frame-Overall Accuracy: 1 if all LAN, INT, QLT, and SCN are correct; else 0), QNS (Question-Overall Accuracy). Training paradigms: P (Pretraining), IT (Instruction Tuning), LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation), PC (Point Cloud). Note: All methods utilized LLAMA-2-7b as their LLM backbone.




Figure 7. Comparative Evaluation of MLLM Model on QA Tasks before and after Instruction Tuning

4.1. Traffic Sign Recognition Performance

For sign classification, assuming accurate localization, our ResNet-50 model achieved 100% test accuracy, 100% precision, and 100% recall on GTSRB, effectively reaching state-of-the-art performance [17]. This improvement over previous ResNet results (Table 2) is attributed to augmented training data and improved understanding of m-centric road elements. The longer training time was due to a larger dataset and extended epochs for convergence. For full-image sign detection, Table 4 compares ResNet-50 (with ideal region proposals), YOLOv8, and RT-DETR. ResNet-50’s metrics apply only to correctly classified sign crops (hence mAP is not applicable). YOLOv8 achieved 100% precision and 98.0% recall, yielding an F1-score of 0.80 due to occasional over-prediction of bounding boxes on one sign (counted as lowering the harmonic mean despite high raw precision/recall).

RT-DETR attained 100% precision and 96.6% recall, giving a higher F1 of 0.90. YOLOv8 led in mAP@0.5 with 97.5%, slightly outperforming RT-DETR’s 96.8%. Both models surpassed prior YOLO-based results, such as an improved YOLOv5 with 89% F1 [44] and YOLOv4-tiny with 91% precision, 93% recall [38]. Figure 6 qualitatively shows




Figure 8. Multimodal Large Language Model (MLLM)-Based Urban Road Scene Analysis: Multi-View Detection of Lanes and Crosswalks.

that YOLOv8 demonstrated high real-time efficiency, excelling in detecting clear, visible signs but occasionally missing very small or motion-blurred ones. RT-DETR, leveraging transformer-based global reasoning, performed better in complex cases, detecting tiny or occluded signs that YOLOv8 missed (Figure 4). However, its 10 FPS inference speed is a limitation. For practical deployment, YOLOv8 offers the best speed-accuracy tradeoff, while RT-DETR is better suited for high-accuracy applications like HD map updates. Performance comparisons are illustrated in Figure 5.

4.2. MLLM based Lane Detection Performance

Our MLLM based framework achieves state-of-the-art performance in road scene understanding, significantly outperforming GPT-4V, LLaVA, and MAPLM. As shown in Table 6, our model attains the highest Frame-Overall Accuracy (FRM) at 53.87%, surpassing MAPLM (49.07%), LLaVA (38.13%), and GPT-4V (18.75%) for LLAMA-2-7b as backbone for all the compared models, demonstrating superior ability to integrate multimodal cues for comprehensive scene understanding. Additionally, it achieves the

Table 7. Lane Detection Accuracy under Adverse Conditions Compared to SCNN.

Condition	Total Images	Correct Detections	Correct Reasoning	Accuracy (%)	SCNN Baseline (%)
Visible Lane Lines (Daytime)	500	498	-	99.6*	95.0
Visible Lane Lines (Nighttime)	500	465	-	93.0*	85.0
Partially Visible	200	162	-	81.0*	65.0
Invisible Lane Lines (Rain)	500	500	442	88.4#	70.0
Invisible Lane Lines (Degradation)	500	500	478	95.6#	80.0

The symbol (*) indicates vision-only detection accuracy, while (#) represents accuracy achieved with the reasoning module. SCNN (Spatial Convolutional Neural Network) values are sourced from the CULane benchmark.




Figure 9. Qualitative Analysis of Adaptive Lane Line Detection and Reasoning. (a) Clear Visibility in Urban Environment. (b) Adverse Weather Conditions. (c) Lane Line Degradation. (d) Partial Visibility Due to Rainfall.

best Question-Overall Accuracy (QNS) at 82.83%, outperforming MAPLM (81.65%), LLaVA (76.08%), and GPT-4V (57.81%), proving its dominance in context-aware reasoning and structured road element recognition. Qualitative evaluations in Figure 8 further validate these results, with our model precisely identifying lane types, intersections, road markings, and environmental context in both urban and rural settings, a capability that baseline models fail to achieve as illustrated in Figure 7.

The integration of instruction tuning and LoRA optimization significantly enhances the model’s robustness and spatial reasoning, making it the most effective Vision-Language Model (VLM) for HD map updates and autonomous driving applications. For adaptive lane detection under adverse conditions, our model outperforms SCNN and other state-of-the-art approaches, achieving 99.6% accuracy for daytime lane detection and 93.0% at night, surpassing SCNN’s 95.0% and 85.0%, respectively, as seen in Table 7. In partially visible lane scenarios, our model maintains 81.0% accuracy, exceeding SCNN’s 65.0%, proving its resilience against occlusions and degraded visibility. Notably, in challenging conditions where lane markings are invisible, the vision-language reasoning module enables

88.4% accuracy in heavy rain and 95.6% for degraded lanes, outperforming SCNN’s 70.0% and 80.0%, respectively. As shown in Figure 9, our model not only detects lane lines in extreme environments but also infers missing or degraded lanes by leveraging contextual reasoning, a capability beyond traditional segmentation-based methods. By integrating multimodal vision-language reasoning, our model ensures higher reliability, adaptability, and interpretability, making it more robust than conventional CNN-based methods. These results confirm that our LLM-augmented framework achieves state-of-the-art performance in both road scene understanding and adaptive lane detection under adverse conditions, setting a new benchmark for autonomous vehicle perception.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we advanced autonomous vehicle perception by integrating deep learning models with a Multimodal LLM for robust traffic sign recognition and lane detection. Our comparative evaluation of ResNet-50, YOLOv8, and RT-DETR demonstrated that YOLOv8 provides the best balance of speed and accuracy for real-time sign detection. Our novel MLLM-augmented road perception framework significantly improved lane detection, particularly in ad-

verse weather conditions, by leveraging multimodal reasoning. The fine-tuned model successfully interpreted complex road structures, enhancing AV navigation safety and facilitating HD map updates. Furthermore, our approach demonstrated superior generalization across urban and rural environments, effectively identifying key road elements and adapting to diverse sensor inputs. These advancements contribute to the broader goal of developing AV systems that can reliably perceive, interpret, and respond to real-world driving conditions.

Despite these advancements, challenges persist in handling poor visual quality, complex lighting, and overlapping environmental factors, necessitating further training on diverse datasets. Future work will enhance robustness through advanced data augmentation, end-to-end training on large-scale datasets, and multisensor fusion with specialized pretrained encoders. Furthermore, optimizing the LLM's reasoning will further improve Autonomous vehicles' perception and decision-making in real-world conditions.

References

- [1] Xu Cao, Tong Zhou, Yunsheng Ma, Wenqian Ye, Can Cui, Kun Tang, Zhipeng Cao, Kaizhao Liang, Ziran Wang, James M Rehg, et al. Maplm: A real-world large-scale vision-language benchmark for map and traffic scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 21819–21830, 2024. 4, 7
- [2] Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 213–229, 2020. 3
- [3] Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 213–229. Springer, 2020. 4
- [4] Jun Chen, Deyao Zhu, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, Zechun Liu, Pengchuan Zhang, Raghuraman Krishnamoorthi, Vikas Chandra, Yunyang Xiong, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigt-v2: large language model as a unified interface for vision-language multi-task learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09478*, 2023. 4, 7
- [5] Qiang Chen, Yingming Wang, Tong Yang, Xiangyu Zhang, Jian Cheng, and Jian Sun. You only look one-level feature. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 13039–13048, 2021. 6
- [6] Can Cui, Yunsheng Ma, Xu Cao, et al. Drive as you speak: Enabling human-like interaction with large language models in autonomous vehicles. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 902–909, 2024. 2
- [7] Anamika Dhillon and Gyanendra K Verma. Convolutional neural network: A review of models, methodologies and applications to object detection. *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*, 9(2):85–112, 2020. 3
- [8] Anamika Dhillon and Gyanendra K Verma. Convolutional neural network: a review of models, methodologies and applications to object detection. *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*, 9(2):85–112, 2020. 2
- [9] Xinpeng Ding, Jianhua Han, Hang Xu, Wei Zhang, and Xiaomeng Li. Hilm-d: Towards high-resolution understanding in multimodal large language models for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.05186*, 2023. 2
- [10] Ali Farzipour, Omid Nejati Manzari, and Shahriar B. Shokouhi. Traffic sign recognition using local vision transformer. In *Proc. 13th Int. Conf. on Computer and Knowledge Engineering (ICCKE)*, 2023. 2
- [11] Daocheng Fu, Wenjie Lei, Licheng Wen, et al. Limsim++: A closed-loop platform for deploying multimodal llms in autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01246*, 2024. 3
- [12] Hadhrami Ab Ghani and booktitle=Proc. 9th Int. Conf. on Computer and Communication Engineering (IC-CCE) year=2023 Daud, Atiqullah. Lane detection using deep learning for rainy conditions. 2
- [13] Sorin Grigorescu, Bogdan Trasnea, Tiberiu Cocias, and Gigel Macesanu. A survey of deep learning techniques for autonomous driving. *Journal of field robotics*, 37(3):362–386, 2020. 2
- [14] JunYoung Gwak Amir Sadeghian Ian Reid Silvio Savarese Hamid Rezatofighi, Nathan Tsoi. Generalized intersection over union: A metric and a loss for bounding box regression. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 658–666, 2019. 4
- [15] Aaron Hurst, Adam Lerer, Adam P Goucher, Adam Perelman, Aditya Ramesh, Aidan Clark, AJ Ostrow, Akila Welihinda, Alan Hayes, Alec Radford, et al. Gpt-4o system card. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21276*, 2024. 7
- [16] Arunima Jaiswal, Nitin Sachdeva, et al. Empirical analysis of traffic sign recognition using resnet architectures. In *2023 3rd International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE)*, pages 280–285. IEEE, 2023. 3, 4
- [17] Ding Jia, Yuhui Yuan, Haodi He, Xiaopei Wu, Haojun Yu, Weihong Lin, Lei Sun, Chao Zhang, and Han Hu. Detrs with hybrid matching. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 19702–19712, 2023. 2, 7
- [18] Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2015. 4
- [19] Dev S Kochhar, Hong Zhao, Paul Watta, and Yi Murphey. Robust prediction of lane departure based on driver physiological signals. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2016. 2
- [20] Der-Hau Lee and Jinn-Liang Liu. End-to-end deep learning of lane detection and path prediction for real-time autonomous driving. *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, 17(1):199–205, 2023. 2
- [21] Der-Hau Lee, Kuan-Lin Chen, Kuan-Han Liou, Chang-Lun Liu, and Jinn-Liang Liu. Deep learning and control algorithms of direct perception for autonomous driving. *Applied Intelligence*, 51(1):237–247, 2021. 2

- [22] Feng Liu, Yurong Qian, Hua Li, Yongqiang Wang, and Hao Zhang. Cffnet: channel attention and feature fusion network for multi-target traffic sign detection. *International journal of pattern recognition and artificial intelligence*, 35(07):2152008, 2021. 2
- [23] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36:34892–34916, 2023. 7
- [24] Xiongfei Liu and Fan Xiong. A real-time traffic sign detection model based on improved yolov3. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, page 012034. IOP Publishing, 2020. 2
- [25] Qiang Luo and Wenbin Zheng. Pre-locator incorporating swin-transformer refined classifier for traffic sign recognition. *Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing*, 37(2), 2023. 2
- [26] Shi Luo, Chenghang Wu, and Lingen Li. Detection and recognition of obscured traffic signs during vehicle movement. *Ieee Access*, 11:122516–122525, 2023. 2
- [27] Yunsheng Ma, Can Cui, Xu Cao, Wenqian Ye, et al. Lampilot: An open benchmark dataset for autonomous driving with language model programs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024. 2
- [28] Jiageng Mao, Yuxi Qian, Hang Zhao, and Yue Wang. Gpt-driver: Learning to drive with gpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01415*, 2023. 2
- [29] Osama Mustafa, Khizer Ali, Anam Bibi, Imran Siddiqi, and Momina Moetesum. Object detection for vehicle dashcams using transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15809*, 2024. 6
- [30] Omran Nacir, Maraoui Amna, Werda Imen, and Belgacem Hamdi. Yolo v5 for traffic sign recognition and detection using transfer learning. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Electrical Sciences and Technologies in Maghreb (CISTEM)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2022. 6
- [31] Davy Neven, Bert De Brabandere, Stamatios Georgoulis, Marc Proesmans, and Luc Van Gool. Towards end-to-end lane detection: an instance segmentation approach. In *2018 IEEE intelligent vehicles symposium (IV)*, pages 286–291. IEEE, 2018. 2
- [32] Daliang Ouyang, Su He, Guozhong Zhang, Mingzhu Luo, Huaiyong Guo, Jian Zhan, and Zhijie Huang. Efficient multi-scale attention module with cross-spatial learning. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2023. 4
- [33] Zequn Qin, Huanyu Wang, and Xi Li. Ultra fast structure-aware deep lane detection. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXIV 16*, pages 276–291. Springer, 2020. 1, 2
- [34] Joseph Redmon and Ali Farhadi. Yolov3: An incremental improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767*, 2018. 3
- [35] Joseph Redmon and Ali Farhadi. Yolov3: An incremental improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767*, 2018. 1
- [36] Shaoqing Ren, Kaiming He, Ross Girshick, and Jian Sun. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 39(6):1137–1149, 2016. 2
- [37] Yassmina Saadna and Ali Behloul. An overview of traffic sign detection and classification methods. *International journal of multimedia information retrieval*, 6:193–210, 2017. 2
- [38] Jie Shen, Hengsong Liao, and Li Zheng. A lightweight method for small scale traffic sign detection based on yolov4-tiny. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 83(40):88387–88409, 2024. 2, 7
- [39] Amanpreet Singh, Guan Pang, Mandy Toh, Jing Huang, Wojciech Galuba, and Tal Hassner. Textocr: Towards large-scale end-to-end reasoning for arbitrary-shaped scene text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8802–8812, 2021. 2
- [40] Bhavjot Singh, Sanskar Rajak, Piyush Mehra, Saksham Azad, and Deeksha Kumari. Deep neural networks for traffic sign recognition systems. In *2023 6th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I)*, pages 472–477. IEEE, 2023. 4
- [41] Simran Somal. Image enhancement using local and global histogram equalization technique and their comparison. In *First International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Computational Intelligence: Proceedings of ICTSCI 2019*, pages 739–753. Springer, 2020. 3
- [42] Miguel Angel Sotelo, Francisco Javier Rodriguez, Luis Magdalena, Luis Miguel Bergasa, and Luciano Boquete. A color vision-based lane tracking system for autonomous driving on unmarked roads. *Autonomous Robots*, 16(1):95–116, 2004. 1
- [43] Xiaoyu Tian, Junru Gu, Bailin Li, et al. Drivevlm: The convergence of autonomous driving and large vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12289*, 2024. 2
- [44] Junfan Wang, Yi Chen, Zhekang Dong, and Mingyu Gao. Improved yolov5 network for real-time multi-scale traffic sign detection. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 35(10):7853–7865, 2023. 7
- [45] Wenhai Wang, Jiangwei Xie, ChuanYang Hu, et al. Drivevlm: Aligning multi-modal large language models with behavioral planning states for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09245*, 2023. 2
- [46] Ze Wang, Weiqiang Ren, and Qiang Qiu. Lanenet: Real-time lane detection networks for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.01726*, 2018. 1, 2
- [47] Wayve. Lingo-1: Exploring natural language for autonomous driving, 2023. 3
- [48] Licheng Wen, Daocheng Fu, Xin Li, et al. Dilu: A knowledge-driven approach to autonomous driving with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16292*, 2023. 2
- [49] Jiaao Xia, Meijuan Li, Weikang Liu, and Xuebo Chen. Dsradet: An improved detr for multiscale traffic sign detection. *Sustainability*, 15(14):10862, 2023. 2, 6
- [50] Guobo Xie, Zhijun Xu, Zhiyi Lin, Xingming Liao, and Teng Zhou. Grfs-yolov8: an efficient traffic sign detection algorithm based on multiscale features and enhanced path aggregation. *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, 18(6):5519–5534, 2024. 6

- [51] Zhenhua Xu, Yujia Zhang, Enze Xie, et al. Drivegpt4: Interpretable end-to-end autonomous driving via large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01412*, 2023. 2
- [52] Senqiao Yang, Jiaming Liu, Ray Zhang, Mingjie Pan, Zoey Guo, Xiaoqi Li, Zehui Chen, Peng Gao, Yandong Guo, and Shanghang Zhang. LiDAR-LLM: Exploring the potential of large language models for 3d lidar understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14074*, 2023. 2
- [53] Deli Yu, Xuan Li, Chengquan Zhang, Tao Liu, Junyu Han, Jingtuo Liu, and Errui Ding. Towards accurate scene text recognition with semantic reasoning networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 12113–12122, 2020. 2
- [54] Noor Jannah Zakaria, Mohd Ibrahim Shapiai, and Nurbaiti Abd Ghani. Lane detection in autonomous vehicles: A systematic review. *IEEE access*, 11:3729–3765, 2023. 1
- [55] Lili Zhang, Kang Yang, Yucheng Han, Jing Li, and Wei. Tsdetr: A lightweight real-time detection transformer of traffic sign detection for long-range perception of autonomous driving. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, 139(PA), 2025. 2