Spin-Dependent Amyloid Self-Assembly on Magnetic Substrates

Yael Kapon^{#1}, Dror Merhav^{#1}, Gal Finkelstein-Zuta², Omer Blumen³, Naomi Melamed Book⁴, Yael Levi-Kalisman⁵, Ilya Torchinsky⁵, Shira Yochelis¹, Daniel Sharon³, Lech Tomasz Baczewski⁶, Ehud Gazit², and Yossi Paltiel^{*1}

¹Institute of Applied Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 9190401, Israel

² The Shmunis School of Biomedicine and Cancer Research, George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

³Institute of Chemistry, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

⁴Bio-Imaging Unit, The Alexander Silberman Institute of Life Science, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 9190401, Israel

⁵ The Harvey M. Krueger Family Center for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 9190401, Israel

⁶Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw 02668, Poland

March 11, 2025

Abstract

Protein aggregation into insoluble amyloid-like fibrils is implicated in a wide range of diseases and understanding its nucleation process is a key for mechanistic insights and advancing therapeutics. The electronic charge of the amyloidogenic monomers significantly influences their self-assembly process. However, the impact of electron spin interactions between monomers on amyloid nucleation has not been considered yet. Here, we studied amyloid formation on magnetic substrates using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), fluorescence microscopy, and Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier Transform Infrared (ATR-FTIR) Spectroscopy. We observed a preferred magnetization orientation of the ferromagnetic layer for fibril formation, leading to twice as many and significantly longer fibrils (up to 20 times) compared to the opposite magnetization orientation. This preference is related to monomer chirality. Additionally, fibril structure varied with substrate magnetization orientation. Our findings suggest a transient spin polarization in monomers during self-assembly, driven by the Chiral Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) effect. These effects are consistent for various molecule length scales, from $A\beta$ polypeptide to dipeptides and single amino acids, indicating a fundamental spin-based dependence on biomolecular aggregation that could be harnessed for targeted interventions for amyloid-related diseases.

Introduction

Amyloid fibrils are highly ordered protein aggregates implicated in numerous pathological conditions, including Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, and Type II Diabetes, where their formation disrupts

^{*}paltiel@mail.huji.ac.il

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

normal cellular functions.[1] At the same time, their highly ordered nanostructure has been explored as functional biomaterials in nanotechnology due to their unique biophysical properties.[2]

Even very short aromatic peptide fragments, including diphenylalanine peptides (Phe-Phe), representing the core recognition motif within the Amyloid β (A β) polypeptide, form well-ordered nanotubular assemblies with amyloid-like structural signatures. [3] These phenylalanine residues (Phe19 and Phe20) are suggested to mediate intermolecular interactions between polypeptide chains. [3] This hypothesis is supported by their role as key components in the formation of amyloid-like fibrils. [4, 5] Remarkably, even single phenylalanine amino acids can form similar amyloid-like structures [6], underscoring the fundamental role of aromatic interactions in self-assembly processes. [7]

The influence of external fields, such as electric and magnetic fields, on amyloid formation has been a topic of increasing interest. [8, 9] Due to the strong electric dipole and low diamagnetism of the monomers, these fields can modulate molecular interactions and orientation, thereby impacting the nucleation and growth of fibrils. Magnetic fields required for such effects tend to be extremely strong, and the low diamagnetism of peptides necessitates using magnetic fields exceeding 10 Tesla for significant influence. [10] In addition, using electric fields during the peptide self-assembly process can induce uniform and controlled polarization. This effect however requires also a relatively high electric field, of the order of 1 kV/mm. [11, 12]

While these effects are relatively well-documented, the role of electron spin interaction remains largely unexplored in biological systems. Many biological molecules, including DNA [13, 14], various proteins [15–19], and sugars [20–22] demonstrated significant spin selective conductance due to the Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS), a phenomenon relating molecular chirality to the electron spin [23]. While it traditionally requires a current through the chiral molecule, recent advancements demonstrated that spin polarization could also appear due to transient charge displacement during adsorption on magnetic substrates. Achieving enantio-separation due to interactions with perpendicularly magnetized substrates. [24–26] These spin-exchange interactions are influenced by the adsorption kinetics, with prolonged adsorption times leading to a loss of selectivity. Moreover, in a biological context, spin polarization was observed due to transient charge displacement during intermolecular interactions [27–29], opening new possibilities for exploring spin-dependent phenomena in biological systems (Figure 1a).

While spin polarization has been measured in short peptides and single amino acids, generally the longer the molecule and its electrical dipole, the larger its spin polarization.[13, 30] This makes growing systems, such as self-assembly or polymerization, particularly intriguing due to their evolving properties and potential accumulation of electric dipoles. For example, nucleation rates of conglomerate crystallization [22], electro-polymerization processes [31, 32], and self-assembly processes [20, 33] were significantly different on differently magnetized substrates. In most cases, an amplification mechanism is needed to achieve effects over large timescales.

In the context of spin-controlled self-assembly, the aggregation of proteins into amyloid-like fibrils is particularly noteworthy for three reasons. First, intercepting nucleation events is critical for addressing amyloid-related diseases, and while functionalized surfaces have been employed for this purpose,[34] magnetic substrates remain unexplored. Second, amyloids exhibit pronounced stereoselectivity,[35] which may serve as an amplification mechanism for spin-dependent aggregation. Third, the A β polypeptide carries a significant charge, and its fibrils form a strong electrical dipole,[36] further highlighting their potential in spin-selective processes.

In this study, we demonstrate how spin interactions influence protein aggregation into amyloid fibrils. By leveraging magnetic substrates, we establish that the amount of fibril formation is strongly influenced by substrate magnetization direction and monomer chirality, its size, and electrical dipole or polarization. Additional structural analysis reveals structural changes in the resulting fibrils due to the substrate magnetization. These findings highlight the importance of transient spin polarization, mediated by the CISS effect, in self-assembly processes.

Amyloid β (1-42) Aggregation on Magnetic Substrates

The effect of substrate magnetization direction on the amyloid-like aggregation of Amyloid β (1-42) (A β) polypeptide was studied using the experimental setup illustrated in Figure 1b. A solution of A β 1-42 protein in Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was drop-casted onto Al₂O₃(0001)/Pt(5 nm)/Au(20 nm)/Co(1.3 nm)/Au(50 nm) MBE grown epitaxial nanostructure used as a substrate. The above nanostructures possess a perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA), making them well-suited for magnetization reorientation by a short pulse of low magnetic field applied out of the plane, therefore avoiding induced undesired magnetic field effects on the assembly process. The substrates were magnetized in either a North (red) or South (blue) direction perpendicular to the plane using a permanent magnet. The comparison between the two magnetization directions was conducted using a combination of confocal fluorescence microscopy with Thioflavin T (ThT) dye, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) to examine their quantity and morphology. The samples were dried at 37°C on the magnetic substrate to allow amyloid fibril formation. Concurrently, the leftover protein solution was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and dried at a Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) grid for the structural analysis.

The TEM imaging of the negatively stained sample revealed that the $A\beta$ polypeptide selfassembled into fibrillar structures approximately 200 nm in length and 10 nm in diameter (Figure 1c). Further analysis of the structure using Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) revealed that the fibrillar structures are indeed Amyloid-like and composed of β sheets, as discussed further in the structural analysis section. Confocal fluorescence microscopy using ThT dye, excited at 405 nm and collected at 480 nm, was employed to detect and quantify the number of amyloid-like fibrils on substrates magnetized in either the North or South directions, respectively. ThT is a fluorescence intensity. The fluorescence intensity is thus proportional to the total of amyloid-like structures in the studied sample (see Supporting Information for more details).

To ensure robust sampling, two independent samples were prepared for each magnetization direction, and three 635 μ m × 635 μ m regions per sample were analyzed, avoiding edges to minimize the effect of the coffee ring. The fluorescence intensity of each image was then taken and averaged between the different samples and regions. The baseline ThT fluorescence in PBS (48) was reduced from the average intensity. Given the 3 mm × 3 mm size of the drop-cast area, this approach allows imaging of most of the deposited sample. Obviously, the solution concentration is the same for all measured samples. Quantification of average fluorescence intensity (Figure 1f) revealed values of 109±14 counts for South and 62±10 counts for North magnetization orientation. This nearly twofold increase in intensity indicates higher amyloid aggregation for South-magnetized substrates and strongly supports that substrate magnetization influences the protein self-assembly process.

SEM secondary electron images (Figure 1g, h) and corresponding SEM backscattered electron analysis (Figure 1i, j) provide insight into the morphology of amyloid aggregates formed on magnetic substrates. The SEM images reveal that the short fibrils observed in TEM (Figure 1c) assemble into larger clumps on the substrate. However, the SEM technique does not resolve the fine details of individual fibrils visible in TEM, focusing instead on the larger- aggregation scale.

In backscattered electron images, intensity is directly related to the atomic number of the material, while higher atomic number elements appear brighter due to stronger electron scattering, but elements with lower atomic number appear darker. In the backscattered images (Figure 1i, j), the bright regions correspond to the Au cover layer of the substrate, the dark regions to $A\beta$ polypeptide aggregates, and the mid-gray regions to residual salt from the solution. This analysis reveals that much of the material visible in SEM images for the North magnetization direction (Figure 1h) is salt, indicating that the amyloid aggregation itself is less dense than might initially appear. Consistent with the fluorescence microscopy results, the South-magnetized samples exhibit denser amyloid-like aggregation (Figure 1g, i) compared to North-magnetized samples (Figure 1h, j).



Figure 1. a splacement depends on their spin due to the Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) effect, leading to transient spin polarization in the molecule. b Experimental setup: A 40 μ M solution of A β polypeptide in PBS was drop-casted onto an Al₂O₃(0001)/Pt(5 nm)/Au(20 nm)/Co(1.3 nm)/Au(50 nm) substrate, magnetized either North (red) or South (blue) in perpendicular direction to the surface, incubated, and dried at 37°C to allow amyloid-like fibril formation. c TEM image showing negatively stained fibrillar aggregates approximately 200 nm in length and 10 nm in diameter. d-e Fluorescence microscopy images of amyloid-like fibrils stained with ThT, excited at 405 nm and collected at 450-550 nm. South magnetization direction (d) exhibits increased amyloid formation compared to North magnetization direction (e), as indicated by the higher fluorescence intensity. f Quantification of average fluorescence intensity shows significantly higher counts values for South magnetization (157 ± 14) compared to North direction (110 ± 10). Errors represent the standard error of the mean. g-j SEM secondary electron images (g, h) and corresponding backscattered electron images (i, j) reveal denser aggregation for South magnetization direction(g, i)), consistent with fluorescence results. Backscattered images (i, j) differentiate between the surface (Au – bright area), protein (dark), and residual salt from the solution (mid-gray in j).

Breaking Down the Protein - the Role of the Monomer's Dipole Moment

We explored the role of monomer dipole moments in spin-dependent self-assembly. The A β 1-42 polypeptide is fragmented into two smaller monomers, Phe-Phe dipeptide, and single amino acid, Phe, as illustrated in Figure 2a. The structure is taken from Luhrs et al. [37] Breaking down the protein reduces and shifts its overall dipole moment. Phe-Phe, a core A β recognition motif, is symmetric and its dipole moment is aligned along the peptide backbone in the direction of fibril growth, unlike Phe, whose dipole is perpendicular, similar to the A β polypeptide (gray arrows, Figure 2a).

Similarly to the A β polypeptide, Phe-Phe (0.1 mg/mL) was drop-casted onto magnetized substrates (Al₂O₃(0001)/Pt/Au/Co/Au) and left to self-assemble. SEM and ThT fluorescence microscopy quantified fibril morphology and aggregation. When formed on a South-magnetized substrate (Figure 2b), the Phe-Phe monomers formed long fibers extending beyond the area of view of the SEM imaging (greater than 250 μ m). In contrast, when formed on a North-magnetized substrate (Figure 2c), the Phe-Phe monomers reveal a heterogeneous assembly consisting of long fibers (greater than 250 μ m) and significantly shorter fiber structures (approximately 10 μ m). Using a confocal microscope, the fluorescence intensity of three images across the sample was taken and averaged (Figure 2d). The baseline ThT fluorescence in water (82) was subtracted from the average intensity.

Because of the different dipole moment directions in the Phe-Phe peptide compared to the $A\beta$ polypeptide, an even smaller monomer, a single Phe amino acid, was studied. As illustrated in Figure 2a, the dipole moment in the Phe amino acid is pointing toward its aromatic ring, perpendicular to the fibril growth (similar to the protein). The strength of the dipole moment is much smaller than that of the full protein. In addition, to reduce sample-to-sample variations, the effect of different magnetization orientations within a single droplet was studied.

To achieve this, Amino acid solutions (L-Phe and D-Phe) were drop-cast onto a magnetic substrate with a wedge-shaped Au layer (0–10 nm thick). The Au layer limits spin penetration from the underlying ferromagnetic Co (Figure 2e), creating a spin-polarized (M) region on the thin Au side (<5 nm) and a non-spin-polarized (n-M) region on the thick Au side (>5 nm).[38]

ThT fluorescence was used to evaluate the number of amyloid-like fibrils at both areas (magnetic (M) and nonmagnetic (n-M)) for each magnetization direction (Figure 2f). The left-handed amino acid displays higher fluorescence intensity on the South-magnetized substrate (M S = 161 ± 24) compared to the same substrate's nonmagnetic area (n-M S = 107 ± 5). For assemblies on the North-magnetized substrate, comparable fluorescence was observed for the magnetic and nonmagnetic areas (M N = 139 ± 15, n-M N = 127 ± 17). Results for the right-handed amino acid yield the opposite effect: higher fluorescence for assembly on the North-magnetized substrate area (M N = 166 ± 17) compared to the same substrate's nonmagnetic area (n-M N = 110 ± 6). On the South-magnetized substrate, there was no significant difference between the magnetic and nonmagnetic areas (M S = 111 ± 4 , n-M S = 124 ± 12).

Overall, the South-magnetized substrate encourages the assembly of the left-handed amino acid, while the North-magnetized substrate encourages the assembly of the right-handed amino acid, displaying a reversal of the spin effect due to the reversal of the chirality. While the two enantiomers have the same electric dipole, their spin polarization is opposite. The errors in fluorescence values are due to the fact that all the data was taken from the same drop, thus influencing the statistics. Moreover, in comparison to the nonmagnetic substrate area, the magnetic substrate area promotes the self-assembly process rather than hindering it.



Figure 2. a Schematic representation of the $A\beta$ protein and its breakdown into Phe-Phe peptide and single Phe amino acid. The direction of the dipole moment is marked with a dashed arrow. **b** SEM image of Phe-Phe fibrils formed on a South- (**b**) or North (**c**) magnetized substrate. Significantly longer fibrils formed on the South magnetized sample. **d** Corresponding average fluorescence intensity. **e** Assembly of L-Phe or D-Phe amino acids drop-casted onto a magnetic substrate magnetized in North (red) or South (blue) direction. Magnetic (M) and nonmagnetic (n-M) regions are created by varying the Au layer thickness on a Co substrate (Au cover layer was deposited as a wedge), allowing comparison of magnetized sample (M S = 161 ± 24) compared to the North-magnetized sample (M N = 139 ± 15) and nonmagnetic sides (n-M S = 107 ± 5, n-M N = 127 ± 17). For D-Phe, the North-magnetized sample (M N = 166 ± 17) exhibits higher intensity than the South-magnetized sample (M S = 111 ± 4), with comparable values for the nonmagnetic areas (n-M N = 110 ± 6, n-M S = 124 ± 12). Error bars represent standard errors of the mean.

Structural Analysis of the Fibrils

ATR-FTIR experiments confirmed the fibril structures. The analysis was focused on Amide I (1700-1600 cm⁻¹) and Amide II (1600-1500 cm⁻¹) regions. Different spectra were observed due to the difference in the monomers used. The recurring peaks at 1630 cm⁻¹ (A β), 1685 cm⁻¹ (Phe-Phe), and 1640 cm⁻¹ (Phe) (see Figure 3) fit well the known signatures for β -sheets. [39, 40] The Phe and Phe-Phe spectra also show an additional peak at 1605 cm⁻¹, indicative of the C-C ring. [41] The Amide II range shows varying peaks (1580-1530 cm⁻¹), corroborating cross- β structure.

When comparing the spectra of $A\beta$ prepared on North or South magnetization orientation, two main differences are observed: a decrease in the intensity of the Amide I peak compared to the Amide II peak and a significant 30 wavenumber shift in Amide II peaks. The spectral changes slowly disappear in smaller monomers, Phe-Phe and Phe. In the Phe-Phe spectra, only the Amide II shift is observed, with no decrease. The Phe spectra remain unchanged, indicating no structural differences between North and South magnetization directions.



Figure 3. ATR-FTIR spectra of $A\beta$ polypeptide (top), Phe-Phe dipeptide (middle), and L-Phe (solid line) and D-Phe (dashed line) amino acids (bottom) formed on magnetic surfaces magnetized either in North (red) or South (blue) direction. The spectra show characteristic Amide I (1640-1630 cm⁻¹) and Amide II (1600-1500 cm⁻¹) peaks, indicating a cross- β structure, characteristic of amyloid-like fibrils. The Phe and Phe-Phe spectra also show an additional peak at 1605 cm⁻¹, indicative of the C-C ring. In $A\beta$, a decrease in the Amide I peak and a 30 wavenumber shift toward higher frequencies are observed for the South to North magnetization directions. In Phe-Phe, only the shift is observed, with no decrease in transmission. In Phe, the spectra remain unchanged, indicating no structural differences between North and South magnetization directions.

Discussion

The changes in amyloid-like self-assembly observed here can be divided into two types, morphological and structural. Fibril morphology varied with substrate magnetization orientation. South magnetization consistently favored fibril formation (more fibrils and longer fibrils) even for different L-chiral monomers. This can be interpreted as changes to the dynamics of the self-assembly process. As the chiral molecule approaches the substrate surface, it undergoes charge reorganization and therefore spin polarization due to the CISS effect. Thus, the spin-polarized substrate and molecule have either parallel (triplet-like) or antiparallel (singlet-like) spins where the antiparallel case is energetically favored.

Different nucleation rates, as seen in various studies, [22, 24, 26] are common for chiral molecules adsorbed on perpendicularly magnetized substrates and can explain the change in the amount of fibril formation found in this study. However, as spin effects are transient [42], an amplification mechanism is required to sustain the effects over larger timescales. In the case of Tassinari et al., [22] this amplification mechanism was a conglomerate crystallization. Interestingly, in our case, a possible amplification mechanism is the stereoselectivity of the beta-sheet. A possible path to test this is to create amyloid fibrils from a racemic mixture and to look for homochiral fibrils depending on the substrate magnetization direction. Moreover, while alpha-helix oligopeptides are a go-to model for CISS due to the helix's clear chiral structure and its connection to the spin polarization degree [13, 43], the beta-sheet structure and its spin selective properties remain less explored.

ATR-FTIR spectra revealed a decreased Amide I/II peak ratio and a significant shift in the Amide II peak. These spectral shifts indicate structural differences between oligomers and mature fibrils. [40, 44] Both species are likely present in the sample, with their relative proportions varying. Notably, despite the fact that South magnetization orientation exhibiting higher fluorescence—suggesting increased amyloid content—its ATR-FTIR signature indicates a higher proportion of oligomers relative to mature fibrils. These findings suggest that spin interactions may play a significant role in protein structural transitions.

While studying the different monomers, several key findings were observed. First, switching chirality alters the spin polarization while the dipole remains unchanged, highlighting the role of chirality in spin interactions. Second, distinct effects were observed on the same surface at different areas (magnetic or nonmagnetic), depending on the presence or absence of spin injection, suggesting a localized influence of spin-polarized currents on the system. Lastly, the role of the monomer's dipole moment was explored, showing that different dipole directions yielded different morphology on the magnetized substrate. Specifically, the Phe-Phe case is interesting, where the monomer dipole moment is in the growth direction. In this case, a significant shortening of the fibrils was observed for the unfavored magnetization direction.

Spin is inherently challenging to define and measure in biological systems since there is no clear axis, which has historically limited its investigation. However, by conducting the self-assembly process on a magnetic substrate and connecting the spin to the chiral structure of the fibril, it becomes possible to define a specific spin direction. Moreover, spin exchange interactions, while very short-ranged, are extremely strong. Here spin exchange interactions are introduced to the system by a spin polarized thin ferromagnetic layer and the chirality of the monomers resulting in significant effects using low magnetic fields.

Functionalized substrates offer a unique platform for controlling amyloid self-assembly, as aggregation is highly sensitive to surface properties.[34] As delivery to target sites remains a major challenge, an alternative approach is integrating such surfaces into blood dialysis to treat dialysisrelated amyloidosis. Further research is needed regarding the generality of the effect. Its strength will likely depend on the molecule's electric dipole.

It is important to note that electronic spin effects are rather unexpected in biological systems which are large and scattered. The average length of a single fibril is 200 nm, and the aggregation is 1–100 μ m in size. Both are significantly longer than the expected length for spin effects at these conditions, which is usually in the few Årange. [45] This is likely because, in a self-assembly process, dipoles stack on top of each other, enhancing the effectiveness of the CISS effect in the dynamic process.

Conclusion

In spite of its key role in amyloid formation, the role of spin polarization in this process was never explored. Our study reveals that transient spin polarization, driven by the Chiral Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) effect, play a significant role in amyloid-like fibril formation. Using magnetized substrates with perpendicular anisotropy, we observe a preferential magnetization direction for fibril formation, resulting in up to twice as many fibrils formed and significantly longer fibrils in the favored magnetization direction. The monomer chirality and dipole moment are important in determining the favored magnetization orientation. Shifts between oligomers to mature fibrils between samples further suggest that spin interactions influence protein structure. Despite the expected short-range nature of spin interactions, the effects persist at much larger scales. These findings not only provide insights into amyloid formation but also open new possibilities for using magnetic particles in therapeutic strategies for amyloid-related disorders.

References

- 1. Knowles, T. P., Vendruscolo, M. & Dobson, C. M. The amyloid state and its association with protein misfolding diseases. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* **15**, 384–396 (2014).
- 2. Wei, G. *et al.* Self-assembling peptide and protein amyloids: from structure to tailored function in nanotechnology. *Chemical Society Reviews* **46**, 4661–4708 (2017).
- 3. Reches, M. & Gazit, E. Casting metal nanowires within discrete self-assembled peptide nanotubes. *Science* **300**, 625–627 (2003).
- 4. Soto, C. *et al.* β -sheet breaker peptides inhibit fibrillogenesis in a rat brain model of amyloidosis: implications for Alzheimer's therapy. *Nature medicine* **4**, 822–826 (1998).
- 5. Tjernberg, L. O. *et al.* Arrest of-Amyloid Fibril Formation by a Pentapeptide Ligand (*). Journal of Biological Chemistry **271**, 8545–8548 (1996).
- 6. Adler-Abramovich, L. *et al.* Phenylalanine assembly into toxic fibrils suggests amyloid etiology in phenylketonuria. *Nature chemical biology* **8**, 701–706 (2012).
- 7. Zaguri, D. *et al.* Kinetic and thermodynamic driving factors in the assembly of phenylalaninebased modules. *ACS nano* **15**, 18305–18311 (2021).
- 8. Pandey, G. *et al.* Modulation of peptide based nano-assemblies with electric and magnetic fields. *Scientific reports* **7**, 2726 (2017).
- 9. Wang, M., Du, L., Wu, X., Xiong, S. & Chu, P. K. Charged diphenylalanine nanotubes and controlled hierarchical self-assembly. *Acs Nano* 5, 4448–4454 (2011).
- A. Hill, R. et al. Alignment of aromatic peptide tubes in strong magnetic fields. Advanced Materials 19, 4474–4479 (2007).
- 11. Nguyen, V., Zhu, R., Jenkins, K. & Yang, R. Self-assembly of diphenylalanine peptide with controlled polarization for power generation. *Nature communications* **7**, 13566 (2016).
- 12. Su, Y. *et al.* Electric field-assisted self-assembly of diphenylalanine peptides for high-performance energy conversion. *ACS Materials Letters* **5**, 2317–2323 (2023).
- 13. Göhler, B. *et al.* Spin selectivity in electron transmission through self-assembled monolayers of double-stranded DNA. *Science* **331**, 894–897 (2011).
- 14. Xie, Z. *et al.* Spin specific electron conduction through DNA oligomers. *Nano letters* **11**, 4652–4655 (2011).
- 15. Mishra, D. *et al.* Spin-dependent electron transmission through bacteriorhodopsin embedded in purple membrane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 14872–14876 (2013).
- 16. Niman, C. M. *et al.* Bacterial extracellular electron transfer components are spin selective. *The Journal of chemical physics* **159** (2023).
- 17. Varade, V. et al. Bacteriorhodopsin based non-magnetic spin filters for biomolecular spintronics. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **20**, 1091–1097 (2018).
- 18. Gupta, R. *et al.* Spin-dependent electrified protein interfaces for probing the CISS effect. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **159** (2023).
- 19. Sang, Y. et al. Temperature dependence of charge and spin transfer in azurin. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 125, 9875–9883 (2021).
- Al-Bustami, H. *et al.* Spin-induced organization of cellulose nanocrystals. *Biomacromolecules* 23, 2098–2105 (2022).

- 21. Aminadav, G. *et al.* Chiral Nematic Cellulose Nanocrystal Films for Enhanced Charge Separation and Quantum-Confined Stark Effect. *ACS nano* **18**, 28609–28621 (2024).
- 22. Tassinari, F. *et al.* Enantioseparation by crystallization using magnetic substrates. *Chemical science* **10**, 5246–5250 (2019).
- Naaman, R., Paltiel, Y. & Waldeck, D. H. Chiral molecules and the electron spin. Nature Reviews Chemistry 3, 250–260 (2019).
- 24. Banerjee-Ghosh, K. *et al.* Separation of enantiomers by their enantiospecific interaction with achiral magnetic substrates. *Science* **360**, 1331–1334 (2018).
- Lu, Y., Bloom, B., Qian, S. & Waldeck, D. Enantiospecificity of cysteine adsorption on a ferromagnetic surface: Is it kinetically or thermodynamically controlled? *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* 12, 7854–7858 (2021).
- 26. Safari, M. R. *et al.* Enantioselective adsorption on magnetic surfaces. *Advanced Materials* **36**, 2308666 (2024).
- 27. Banerjee-Ghosh, K. *et al.* Long-range charge reorganization as an allosteric control signal in proteins. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **142**, 20456–20462 (2020).
- 28. Kumar, A. et al. Chirality-induced spin polarization places symmetry constraints on biomolecular interactions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **114**, 2474–2478 (2017).
- 29. Wei, J. et al. Examining the effects of homochirality for electron transfer in protein assemblies. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 127, 6462–6469 (2023).
- 30. Kettner, M. et al. Spin filtering in electron transport through chiral oligopeptides. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 119, 14542–14547 (2015).
- Tassinari, F. et al. Spin-dependent enantioselective electropolymerization. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 124, 20974–20980 (2020).
- 32. Bhowmick, D. K. *et al.* Spin-induced asymmetry reaction—The formation of asymmetric carbon by electropolymerization. *Science advances* **8**, eabq2727 (2022).
- 33. Stovbun, S. V., Zanin, A. M., Skoblin, A. A. & Zlenko, D. V. The weak magnetic field inhibits the supramolecular self-ordering of chiral molecules. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 17072 (2020).
- Grigolato, F. & Arosio, P. The role of surfaces on amyloid formation. *Biophysical Chemistry* 270, 106533 (2021).
- Brack, A. & Spach, G. β-structures of polypeptides with L-and D-residues: Part III. Experimental Evidences for Enrichment in Enantiomer. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 15, 231–238 (1980).
- Muscat, S., Stojceski, F. & Danani, A. Elucidating the effect of static electric field on amyloid beta 1–42 supramolecular assembly. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling* 96, 107535 (2020).
- Lührs, T. et al. 3D structure of Alzheimer's amyloid-β (1–42) fibrils. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 102, 17342–17347 (2005).
- 38. Ghosh, S. *et al.* Effect of chiral molecules on the electron's spin wavefunction at interfaces. *The journal of physical chemistry letters* **11**, 1550–1557 (2020).
- Reches, M. & Gazit, E. Self-assembly of peptide nanotubes and amyloid-like structures by charged-termini-capped diphenylalanine peptide analogues. *Israel journal of chemistry* 45, 363–371 (2005).

- Sarroukh, R., Goormaghtigh, E., Ruysschaert, J.-M. & Raussens, V. ATR-FTIR: A "rejuvenated" tool to investigate amyloid proteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes* 1828, 2328–2338 (2013).
- 41. Barth, A. The infrared absorption of amino acid side chains. *Progress in biophysics and molec*ular biology **74**, 141–173 (2000).
- 42. Sukenik, N. *et al.* Correlation between ferromagnetic layer easy axis and the tilt angle of self assembled chiral molecules. *Molecules* **25**, 6036 (2020).
- 43. Eckshtain-Levi, M. *et al.* Cold denaturation induces inversion of dipole and spin transfer in chiral peptide monolayers. *Nature communications* **7**, 10744 (2016).
- 44. Sarroukh, R. *et al.* Transformation of amyloid β (1–40) oligomers into fibrils is characterized by a major change in secondary structure. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* **68**, 1429–1438 (2011).
- 45. Metzger, T. S. *et al.* The electron spin as a chiral reagent. *Angewandte Chemie* **132**, 1670–1675 (2020).

Acknowledgments

Funding: Y.P. acknowledges funding from the Carl Zeiss Stiftung through the HYMMS project (No. P2022-03-044), the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific (grant No. FA8655-24-1-7390) and the Israel Science Foundation (grant No. 360/24). E.G. acknowledges support from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under award No. FA8655-21-1-7004. Y.K. thanks the Israel Council of Higher Education for support through the VTT fellowship for women in STEM.

Author contributions: Conceptualization: EG, YP, YK Methodology: YK, GFZ, DM, SY Investigation: YK, GFZ, DM, OB, NMB, YLK, IT, SY Resources: LTB Supervision: EG, DS, YP Writing – original draft: YK, DM, GFZ Writing – review and editing: YK, DM, GFZ, OB, NMB, YLK, IT, SY, LTB, DS, EG, YP

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials. Full confocal image files are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14925254

Supplementary Materials: Materials and Methods, Supplementary Text and Figs. S1 to S11

Supporting Information

Contents

1	Methods	13
	1.1 Materials	13
	1.2 Sample Preparation	13
	1.3 Magnetic Substrates Growth	13
	1.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	14
	1.5 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	14
	1.6 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	14
	1.7 Thioflavin T Binding Assay	14
2	Sample Images	14
3	Characterization of Magnetic Substrates	15
4	Spin Selectivity	15
5	TFM analysis	16
J	$5.1 A_{-\beta}$ in Solution VS on a Substrate	16
	5.2 TEM of Phe-Phe Peptide	16
6	SEM Analysis	17
7	Confocal Images Analysis	18
	7.1 A- β polypeptide and Phe-Phe Peptide	18
	7.2 Phe Amino Acid	18
	7.3 Image Files	18
	7.4 Baseline ThT Fluorescence	18
	7.5 ThT Fluorescence Spectrum	19
8	FTIR Analysis	19
9	Charge Termini Peptide	20

1 Methods

1.1 Materials

The L-phe, D-phe, and diphenylalanine were purchased from Sigma. The diphenylalanine analog Ac-Phe-Phe-NH₂ and amyloid β -polypeptide (HFIP-treated) were purchased from Bachem.

1.2 Sample Preparation

Allocates of the monomerized A- β (1-42) polypeptide were prepared by dissolving 1mg A- β (1-42) in 1mL of high-grade 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP, Sigma) by 20s sonication on ice then by constant shaking at 150 rpm at 37 C for 90 minutes. The samples were then aliquoted into 200 μ g stocks, and the solvent was left to evaporate before storage at -20 C until use.

Fresh stocks of A- β (1-42) polypeptide solution were prepared by dissolving 200 μ g A- β (1-42) in 42.9 μ L dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma) by 60s sonication. The solution was diluted in 1066 μ L PBS (pH 7.4) to achieve a final concentration of 40 μ M. The solution was dyed with Thioflavin T (ThT, Sigma) at a concentration of 40 μ M. 5 μ L drops of the final solution were drop-casted onto magnetic substrates, magnetized by placement on a permanent magnet oriented to South or North directions. The droplet was then allowed to dry and self-assemble into fibrils at 37 C.

Fresh stock solutions of the diphenylalanine were prepared by dissolving the lyophilized peptide in HFIP at a concentration of 100 mg/mL. The peptide stock solution was diluted to a final concentration of 2 mg/mL. [39] The solution was dyed with ThT at a concentration of 10 μ M.

Fresh stock solutions of all other peptides and amino acids were prepared by dissolving the lyophilized peptides in ddH₂O at 90 C for 3 hours and diluting to a final concentration of 0.1 mg/mL similar to [6]. The solution was dyed with ThT at a concentration of 10 μ M.

To avoid any pre-aggregation, fresh stock solutions were prepared for each experiment. The final solutions were drop-casted (5 μ L drops) onto magnetic substrates, magnetized by placement on a permanent magnet (75 mT) oriented to South or North directions. The droplet was then allowed to dry and self-assemble into fibrils at room temperature.

1.3 Magnetic Substrates Growth

Molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) grown epitaxial thin film magnetic samples with perpendicular anisotropy (Al₂O₃ (0001)/ Pt(50Å) / Au(200Å) / Co(13Å) / Au(50Å)), were used for the experiments. The FM samples were magnetized by an external magnetic field of 75mT at room temperature. The coercive field of the FM samples used was ~25 mT or ~12 mT (for the gradient samples). The FM samples' easy axis was out-of-plane (OOP), thus ensuring that the applied magnetic field would reorient the magnetization OOP, parallel or anti-parallel to surface normal.

Epitaxial Au wedge samples of the same cobalt thickness were also grown by MBE with a configuration: $Al_2O_3(0001) / Pt(50\text{\AA}) / Au(200\text{\AA}) / Co(13\text{\AA}) / Au(0-100\text{\AA})$. The magnetic (M) areas were measured at the 2–5 nm Au thickness area, while the nonmagnetic (n-M) areas were measured at 6–8 nm thickness of the Au layer. The small variations in Au thickness areas studied between different samples were due to slightly different locations of the solution droplet.

For the FTIR on Phe solutions and the experiments with the peptide analogue, Ti (2 nm)/Ni (80 nm)/Au (5nm) film was grown using AJA ATC Polaris Series UHV sputtering system (base Pressure: 3×10^{-9} Torr) onto a Si/SiO wafer.

1.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images for the A- β polypeptide and Phe-Phe peptide samples were acquired using an Extra-High Resolution Scanning Electron Microscope Magellan 400L (ThermoFisher, formerly FEI). The imaging was conducted at a current of 25 pA and an accelerating voltage of 5 kV, with a working distance of 4.1 mm and a magnification of 350X. Both secondary electron and backscattered electron imaging modes were used. For the Phe amino acid samples, imaging was performed using an Analytical High Resolution Scanning Electron Microscope Apreo 2S (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a current of 0.1 nA and an accelerating voltage of 2 kV, with a working distance of 4.6 mm and a magnification of 350X.

1.5 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Samples (10 μ L drop) were placed on a glow discharged carbon-coated 300 mesh copper TEM grids (Ted Pella, Inc.). After blotting, the samples were either dried in air before observation or negatively stained with 2% aqueous solution of uranyl acetate for 2 minutes and air-dried. The samples were examined by a FEI Tecnai 12 G2 TWIN TEM operated at 120kV. Images were recorded using a 4k × 4k FEI Eagle CCD camera.

1.6 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

To assess conformational changes in the fibril structure between the different samples, ATR-FTIR spectra of the amyloid-like structures were recorded using Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS50 FT-IR spectrometer with an ATR accessory with a MCT detector, using a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and averaging 32 scans per spectrum over the range of 4000–600 cm⁻¹.

1.7 Thioflavin T Binding Assay

ThT was imaged using the FV-1200 confocal microscope with a 10X/0.45 objective (Olympus, Japan), excited at 405nm and collected at 450-550nm. A bright field image was collected as well. Z-stack images were obtained at 2μ m distance. Time-lapse was also obtained for monitoring the assembly of fibrils.

2 Sample Images



Figure 4. Optical images of the samples. a $A-\beta$ polypeptide solution dried on an Al_2O_3 (0001)/Pt(50Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(50Å) substrate magnetized in the North or South direction. b Phe-Phe peptide solution dried on an Al_2O_3 (0001)/Pt(50Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(50Å) substrate magnetized in the North or South direction. c Phe amino acid solution dried on an Al_2O_3 (0001)/Pt(50Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(0-100Å) substrate magnetized in the North or South direction. The thick (thin) Au side is marked as non-magnetic (magnetic).

3 Characterization of Magnetic Substrates



Figure 5. Magnetic hysteresis loops of Al_2O_3 (0001)/Pt(50Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(50Å) (P0590) (blue) and Au wedge (orange) substrate at Au thickness of 4 Åmeasured using MOKE microscope

The magnetic properties of the substrates were characterized using a magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) microscope. MOKE measurements were performed using a commercial Evico Magnetics GmbH magneto-optical Kerr microscope, equipped with an electromagnet and a piezo controller for mechanical stabilization. Magnetic hysteresis loops were obtained by sweeping the applied magnetic field from negative to positive and back to negative. The measured hysteresis loops are shown in Figure 5, with the blue curve corresponding to the $Al_2O_3 (0001)/Pt(50Å)/Au(200Å)/Co(13Å)/Au(50Å)$ (P0590) substrate and the orange curve representing the Au wedge substrate measured for Au thickness of 4 Å. The P0590 substrate exhibited a coercive field of 25 mT, while the wedge substrate had a lower coercive field of 12 mT.

4 Spin Selectivity

To quantify spin selectivity (relative difference in fluorescence intensity), we calculate the relative difference in fluorescence intensity between the South and North magnetized substrates, normalized by their sum. The spin selectivity (relative difference in fluorescence intensity) (P) is given by:

$$P = \frac{I_S - I_N}{I_S + I_N} \times 100$$

To calculate the uncertainty in spin selectivity (P), we use the error propagation formula. For a function $P = f(I_S, I_N)$, the propagated uncertainty ΔP is:

$$\Delta P = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial I_S} \Delta I_S\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial I_N} \Delta I_N\right)^2}$$

Substituting these into the uncertainty formula gives:

$$\Delta P = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2I_N}{(I_S + I_N)^2}\Delta I_S \times 100\right)^2 + \left(\frac{-2I_S}{(I_S + I_N)^2}\Delta I_N \times 100\right)^2}$$

We get a spin selectivity (relative difference in fluorescence intensity) of: $P=27\pm10\%$ for the polypeptide, $P=5\pm9\%$ for the Phe-Phe peptide, $P=7\pm9\%$ for L-Phe and $P=19\pm6\%$ for D-Phe.

5 TEM analysis

5.1 A- β in Solution VS. on a Substrate



Figure 6. TEM images of A- β polypeptide showing **a** fibrilar aggregates and **b** fibrilar aggregates formed after 3 days in the solution. Cryo-TEM images of **c** fibrilar aggregates and **d** sheets.

The TEM imaging of negatively stained solution of A- β in PBS revealed that the A- β polypeptide self-assembled into fibrillar structures and small dark aggregates (Figure 6a). The solution was left to age for three days at room temperature, and TEM imaging (Figure 6b) revealed similar structures. Cryo-TEM was employed to observe the difference between the aggregation process in solution and during drying on a substrate. A sample of A- β solution in PBS was imaged and showed both fibril structures similar to the structures on the substrates (Figure 6c) and wide sheets that were not apparent on the substrate (Figure 6d).

5.2 TEM of Phe-Phe Peptide



Figure 7. TEM images of the negatively stained sample of PhePhe peptide at different magnifications showing structures that range in size, from longer than the grid hole and 10 μ m diameter (**a**) to few μ m lond and 5-10 μ m in diameter (**b-c**). **d** In addition, very short, uniform structures (20nm long and 2nm diameter) appeared to cover the sample's surface.

6 SEM Analysis



Figure 8. Secondary electron microscopy (SEM) images of A- β polypeptide aggregates. **a** At 800× magnification, elongated fibrils can be observed bridging two larger aggregates. **b** At 2000× magnification, darker fibrils are visible, though their fine details are not resolved, along with smaller, brighter aggregates indicating variations in surface topography. Scale bar: 20 μ m.



Figure 9. Secondary electron microscopy (SEM) images of Phe-Phe peptide fibrils. **a** At $350 \times$ magnification, a variety of fibrils are observed, including long fibrillar structures ($i500 \ \mu$ m) and shorter ones ($\sim 10 \ \mu$ m), some of which are coated with aggregates. The black rectangle indicates the region enlarged in (b). **b** At $1000 \times$ magnification, a closer view of the shorter fibrillar structures is shown. The black rectangle marks the area further enlarged in (c). **c** At $3500 \times$ magnification, the shorter fibrillar structures appear coated with much smaller fibrillar aggregates.



Figure 10. Secondary electron microscopy (SEM) images of L-Phe amino acid fibrils, acquired at 0.1 nA and 2 kV. a At $350 \times$ magnification, fibrillar structures are observed forming bundled arrangements. b At $6000 \times$ magnification, a closer view reveals fibrillar structures with diameters of approximately 500 nm. The white rectangle marks the region enlarged in (c). c At 20,000 \times magnification, a detailed view of the fibrillar structures is shown.



Figure 11. Secondary electron microscopy (SEM) images of D-Phe amino acid fibrils, acquired at 0.1 nA and 2 kV. a At $350 \times$ magnification, fibrillar structures are observed forming bundled arrangements. b At $6000 \times$ magnification, a closer view reveals fibrillar structures with diameters of approximately 500 nm. The white rectangle marks the region enlarged in (c). c At $20,000 \times$ magnification, a detailed view of the fibrillar structures is shown. The fibrils seem shorter han the L-chirality fibrils.

7 Confocal Images Analysis

7.1 A- β polypeptide and Phe-Phe Peptide

Fluorescence intensity and its error are calculated by acquiring three confocal fluorescence images for each of the two samples. For each image, a Z-projection is performed using the ImageJ program to obtain an average-intensity image, from which the mean fluorescence intensity across the entire image is computed. These mean intensities are then averaged over all three images to determine the final average fluorescence signal (in arbitrary units, au) for each sample. The error is represented by the standard error of the mean (SEM) calculated from the fluorescence intensities of the different images.

7.2 Phe Amino Acid

Fluorescence intensity and its error are calculated by acquiring fluorescence images from the magnetic or non-magnetic area of the sample. For each image, a Z-projection is performed using the ImageJ program to obtain an average intensity image, and a region of interest (ROI) is selected to ensure sufficient distance from the edge of the drop, avoiding the effects of the coffee ring. The ROI is then divided into four parts, and the mean intensity is calculated for each. These mean intensities are averaged to determine the final average fluorescence signal (in arbitrary units, au) for each sample. The error is represented by the standard error of the mean (SEM) calculated from the fluorescence intensities of the four areas.

7.3 Image Files

Full image files are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14925254

7.4 Baseline ThT Fluorescence



Figure 12. Z-projection images of ThT fluorescence intensity obtained using ImageJ. **a** 40 μ M ThT in PBS, representing the baseline fluorescence intensity for A- β polypeptide samples, with an average intensity of 48. **b** 10 μ M ThT in water, representing the baseline fluorescence intensity for Phe-Phe peptide and L-Phe amino acid samples, with an average intensity of 82.

7.5 ThT Fluorescence Spectrum



Figure 13. Fluorescence spectra of ThT for the samples. The spectra remain unchanged between different samples and magnetization orientations. The South-oriented spectra are shown in blue, North-oriented spectra in red, and nonmagnetic sides in light blue/red. **a** Fluorescence spectra of the A- β sample. **b** Fluorescence spectra of the L-Phe sample. **c** Fluorescence spectra of the D-Phe sample.

8 FTIR Analysis

In our ATR-FTIR analysis, we focused on the Amide region $(1700-1500 \text{ cm}^{-1})$, which strongly correlates with amyloid fibrils, as reported by Sarroukh et al. [40]. Here, we describe the distinct peaks observed in different samples and their corresponding conformations.

In the Amide I range (1700–1600 cm⁻¹), several β -sheet signatures were identified, consistent with previous findings [40]. The A- β sample exhibits a strong peak at 1630 cm⁻¹, a characteristic marker of amyloid fibrils. The dipeptide spectrum features a peak at 1685 cm⁻¹, signifying the presence of anti-parallel β -sheets (APB). The presence of APB suggests that oligomers coexist with mature fibril structures in the sample. Similarly, in the A- β spectrum, a small shoulder appears in the same region, indicating the presence of oligomers in this sample as well. The single amino acid samples show a peak at 1640 cm⁻¹, which is indicative of parallel β -sheets (PB).

The distinct peak at 1605 cm⁻¹ is attributed to a C-C ring vibration, which is notably absent in the A- β polypeptide spectrum. This observation is consistent with findings in other polypeptides containing phenylalanine [41], where the side chain vibrations do not prominently appear in FTIR spectra.

A peak in the Amide II region (1600–1500 cm⁻¹) exhibits a shift between the North and South directions of magnetization. This 30 cm⁻¹ shift is observed in both the A- β polypeptide (from 1530 to 1560 cm⁻¹) and the dipeptide Phe-Phe (from 1550 to 1580 cm⁻¹) but is absent in the single amino acid. This suggests a decrease in anti-parallel β -sheets (APBs) as aggregation progresses. [44]. It is likely that there are both oligomers and mature fibrils in each sample.

9 Charge Termini Peptide



Figure 14. a TEM image showing Ac-Phe–Phe-NH₂ formed fibrillar aggregates. b SEM image of Ac-Phe–Phe-NH₂ fibrils formed on a South-magnetized substrate. c SEM image of Phe-Phe fibrils formed on a North-magnetized substrate. d Average fluorescence intensity of ThT dyed fibrils.e FTIR spectra of Ac-Phe–Phe-NH₂ fibrils formed on South- or North-magnetized substrate.

To exclude the potential contribution of electrostatic interactions in the assembly process, we studied a modified, non-charged peptide analogue, Ac-Phe–Phe-NH₂, in which the N-terminal amine was acetylated and the C-terminal carboxyl was amidated. This modification reduces the peptide's dipole moment compared to the native form.[39] TEM imaging presented in Figure 14a confirmed that the analogue self-assembles into fibrillar structures (diameter 25nm and length 300nm). SEM images on North- and South- magnetized substrates are presented in Figure 14b,c. Significantly shorter fibrils were observed on the North side (>200 μ m vs. $\sim 3\mu$ m, similar to the behavior observed for the natural peptide. In addition, the assembly was more uniform on the South magnetized substrate. Fluorescence intensity measurements using a ThT dye (Figure 14d) and FTIR spectroscopy (Figure 14e) showed no significant differences between fibrils formed on North- versus South-magnetized substrates. FTIR spectroscopy revealed an Amide I peak at 1640 cm⁻¹ and an Amide II peak at 1545 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the known β -sheet signatures as described in Reches et al. [39]

Our results show that while the peptide analogue forms similar fibrillar morphologies, its structural behavior differs from the native peptide. This suggests that electrostatic interactions may play a role in the assembly process and that these interactions could be influenced by spin effects.