

SOME CLASSES OF ABSOLUTELY NORM ATTAINING WEIGHTED SHIFTS OPERATORS ON DIRECTED TREES

K KRISHNAN, T. PRASAD, AND E. SHINE LAL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterise absolutely norm attaining quasi- $*$ -paranormal weighted shifts on directed trees and give some examples. Moreover we give some examples which show that the spectrum of a positive absolutely norm attaining operator containing more than one eigenvalue with infinite multiplicity.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ denote the class of all bounded linear operators between Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the space of all operators defined on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The class of norm attaining and absolutely norm attaining operators were introduced by Carvajal and Neves [5]. Pandey and Paulsen characterized the spectrum of absolutely norm attaining positive operators and give a structure theorem to the operators in the class [12]. Later Venku Naidu and Ramesh characterized positive, self adjoint and normal absolutely norm attaining operators [18]. Bala and Ramesh characterised absolutely norm attaining hyponormal operators [4]. Then absolutely norm attaining paranormal and $*$ -paranormal operators were characterised by Ramesh and Bala respectively [4, 15, 3].

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ is said to be norm attaining if there exists a non-zero $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\|Tx\| = \|T\|\|x\|$ and is said to be absolutely norm attaining if $T|_M$ is norm attaining for every closed subspace M of \mathcal{H}_1 . We denote the class of all norm attaining operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ and the class of all absolutely norm attaining operators on \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$. It is clear that $\mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$. Now, we give some examples for the above classes of operators. For more details, see [5].

We denote the range space and null space of the operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $R(T)$ and $N(T)$ respectively. An operator T is said to be an isometry if $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and is said to be a partial isometry if $T|_{N(T)^\perp}$ is an isometry where $N(T)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $N(T)$ [8]. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is normal if $T^*T = TT^*$, hyponormal if $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$, paranormal if $\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$, $*$ -paranormal if $\|T^*x\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$ and quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator if $\|T^*Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^3x\|\|Tx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ where T^* denotes the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A10, 47A15, 47A65, 47A67, 47B20.

Key words and phrases. Norm attaining operators, absolutely norm attaining operators, quasi- $*$ -paranormal operators, weighted shifts on directed trees.

adjoint of T [8, 11]. In general, the following relation holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{quasi-} * \text{-paranormal} & \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 \text{hyponormal} & \implies * \text{-paranormal} & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 & n\text{-} * \text{-paranormal} &
 \end{array}$$

A closed subspace M of \mathcal{H} is said to be invariant under $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if $T(M) \subseteq M$ and is said to be a reducing subspace if both M and M^\perp are invariant under T .

The point spectrum, spectrum and resolvent set of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are denoted by $\sigma_p(T)$, $\sigma(T)$ and $\rho(T)$ respectively. The continuous spectrum of T , denoted by $\sigma_c(T)$ is given by,

$$\sigma_c(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (T - \lambda I)^{-1} \text{ exists and is defined on a dense subset of } \mathcal{H}\}.$$

If T is self adjoint then the essential spectrum of T , $\sigma_{ess}(T)$, is given by

$$\sigma_{ess}(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_d(T)$$

where $\sigma_d(T) = \{\lambda \in \sigma(T) : \lambda \text{ is an isolated eigenvalue with finite multiplicity}\}$ [10]. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the essential minimum modulus, $m_e(T)$ and minimum modulus $m(T)$ are given by,

$$m_e(T) = \inf\{\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma_{ess}(T)\}$$

and,

$$m(T) = \inf\{\|Tx\| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Jabłoński, Jung and Stochel have introduced weighted shifts on directed trees and study hyponormal and subnormal operators on directed trees [9]. Recently backward shifts, Toeplitz operators and the operator ∇ have been studied on directed tree setting (c.f [1, 2, 14, 19]).

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph with vertex set V and $E \subset V \times V$ be such that $(u, v) \in E$ means that u is the parent of v (denoted by $par(v)$) and v is the child of u (denoted by $chi(u)$). Note that $chi^{(n)}(v) = chi(chi^{(n-1)}(v))$ and $par^{(n)}(v) = par(par^{(n-1)}(v))$. It is clear that $chi^{(0)}(v) = v = par^{(0)}(v)$. A vertex $v \in V$ is said to be a root of G if there is no $(u, v) \in E$ for any $u \in V$. The set V^0 denotes $V \setminus root(G)$.

Let $\ell^2(V)$ denote the Hilbert space of all square summable complex functions on V with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{u \in V} f(u) \overline{g(u)}; f, g \in \ell^2(V).$$

The set $\{e_u\}_{u \in V}$ where $e_u(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & u = v \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(V)$. For

$(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$, the weighted shift S_λ on $\ell^2(V)$ is given by,

$$S_\lambda f(v) = \begin{cases} \lambda_v f(par(v)), & \text{if } v \text{ is not a root} \\ 0, & \text{if } v \text{ is a root} \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding adjoint operator is defined as,

$$S_\lambda^* f(v) = \sum_{u \in \text{chi}(v)} \overline{\lambda_u} f(u), \quad f \in \ell^2(V).$$

For more details, refer [9].

Recently Pandey and Paulsen [12] proved that the spectrum of a positive \mathcal{AN} operators contains atmost one eigenvalue with infinite multiplicity. In this paper, we are able to construct examples of \mathcal{AN} positive operators defined on $\ell^2(V)$ having more than one eigenvalue with infinite multiplicity in the spectrum. Also we study $*$ -paranormal and quasi- $*$ -paranormal operators on $\ell^2(V)$ setting and give some examples. We study some properties of absolutely norm attaining quasi- $*$ -paranormal operators. Here we find an invariant subspace for the operators and prove that this invariant subspace became a reducing subspace under some conditions. Also we prove a structure theorem for the above classes of operators.

2. \mathcal{AN} $*$ -PARANORMAL WEIGHTED SHIFT OPERATORS

In this section, we characterise the norm attaining weighted shift operators defined on a directed tree. We further characterise norm attaining $*$ -paranormal operators.

We begin with a characterization of $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on directed graph.

Theorem 2.1. *Let S_λ be the $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights (λ_v) , then*

$$\left(\sum_{u \in V} \left| \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \overline{\lambda_v} f(v) \right|^2 \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda^2 e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{u \in V} |f(u)|^2 \right)$$

for every $f \in \ell^2(V)$.

Proof. Since S_λ be $*$ -paranormal,

$$\|S_\lambda^* f\|^2 \leq \|S_\lambda^2 f\| \|f\| \text{ for all } f \in \ell^2(V).$$

We have,

$$\|S_\lambda^* f\|^2 = \sum_{u \in V} \left| \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \overline{\lambda_v} f(v) \right|^2$$

and

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{u \in V} |f(u)|^2.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda^2 f(u) &= S_\lambda (\lambda_u S_\lambda f(\text{par}(u))) \\ &= \lambda_u \lambda_{\text{par}(u)} f(\text{par}^2(u)) \\ &= \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \lambda_v \sum_{w \in \text{chi}(v)} \lambda_w \lambda_w f(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|S_\lambda^2 f\|^2 = \sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda^2 e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\sum_{u \in V} \left| \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \overline{\lambda}_v f(v) \right|^2 \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda^2 e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{u \in V} |f(u)|^2 \right).$$

□

Theorem 2.2. *If S_λ is a densely defined $*$ -paranormal operator, then there exists $c > 0$ such that $\sum_{u \in \text{chi}(v)} \frac{|\lambda_u|^2}{1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_u\|^2} \leq c$, for every $v \in V$.*

Proof. Since S_λ is a densely defined $*$ -paranormal operator, we have $D(S_\lambda^2) \subseteq D(S_\lambda^*)$. Using closed graph theorem to the identity embedding mapping $(D(S_\lambda^2), \|\cdot\|_{S_\lambda^2}) \rightarrow (D(S_\lambda^*), \|\cdot\|_{S_\lambda^*})$, we get a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{S_\lambda^*}^2 \leq c \|f\|_{S_\lambda^2}^2$. That is,

$$\sum_{u \in V} \left| \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \overline{\lambda}_v f(v) \right|^2 \leq c \sum_{u \in V} (1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_u\|^2) |f(u)|^2, \quad f \in D(S_\lambda^2).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{u \in V} \left| \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \overline{\lambda}_v f(v) \right|^2 \leq c \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} (1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2) |f(v)|^2, \quad f \in D(S_\lambda^2).$$

From the above inequality, we get

$$\left| \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \overline{\lambda}_v f(v) \right|^2 \leq c \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} (1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2) |f(v)|^2, \quad f \in D(S_\lambda^2), \quad u \in V.$$

Let $u \in V$ and $W \subset \{\text{chi}(u)\}$.

Define

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_v}{1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2} & \text{if } v \in W, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\left(\sum_{v \in W} \frac{|\lambda_v|^2}{1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2} \right)^2 \leq c \sum_{v \in W} \frac{|\lambda_v|^2}{1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{v \in W} \frac{|\lambda_v|^2}{1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2} \leq c.$$

Since u is arbitrary and letting W to $\{\text{chi}(u)\}$, we have

$$\sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \frac{|\lambda_v|^2}{1 + \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2} \leq c \quad u \in V.$$

□


Now we characterize norm attaining weighted shifts on directed trees.

Theorem 2.3. Let S_λ be a bounded weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$ where $V^0 = V \setminus \text{root}(G)$. Then S_λ is norm attaining if and only if there exists $v \in V$ such that $\sum_{u \in \text{chi}(v)} |\lambda_u|^2 = \|S_\lambda\|^2$.

Proof. Since $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(V))$, we have $\|S_\lambda\|^2 = \sup_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^2$ [9]. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.4. Let $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(V))$ be norm attaining operator such that the sequence of weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$ has a limit λ . Then either λ is a limit of a decreasing sequence or there exists a $\mu \in (\lambda_v)$ such that $\mu > \lambda$.

Consider the directed graph $G = (V, E)$ with weights $(\lambda_{ij})_{j=1}^\infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.



Let $f \in \ell^2(V)$, then $f = \alpha_0 e_0 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}^\infty \alpha_{ij} e_{ij}$ where $\alpha_0, \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now,

$$S_\lambda f = \alpha_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i1} e_{i1} \right) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}^\infty \alpha_{ij} \lambda_{i,j+1} e_{i,j+1}.$$


$$\|S_\lambda f\|^2 = |\alpha_0|^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_{i1}|^2 \right) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}^\infty |\alpha_{ij}|^2 |\lambda_{i,j+1}|^2.$$

Using Theorem 2.3, there exists some e_v where $v \in V$ such that $\|S_\lambda\|^2 = \|S_\lambda e_v\|^2$. Hence,

$$\|S_\lambda\|^2 = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_{i1}|^2, |\lambda_{ij}|^2; 1 \leq i \leq n, j > 1 \right\}.$$

Next consider the directed graph $G = (V, E)$ with weights $(\lambda_{ij})_{j=1}^\infty$, and $n = 3$.

Let $f \in \ell^2(V)$. Then $f = \alpha_0 e_0 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_{1j} e_{1j} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_{2j} e_{2j} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_{3j} e_{3j}$ where α_0, α_{ij} 's $\in \mathbb{C}$, $e_0 = e_{u_0}$ and $e_{ij} = e_{u_{ij}}$. Hence $\|f\|^2 = |\alpha_0|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\alpha_{2j}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\alpha_{3j}|^2$.



Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\lambda f &= \alpha_0 S_\lambda(e_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} S_\lambda(e_{1j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} S_\lambda(e_{2j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{3j} S_\lambda(e_{3j}) \\
&= \alpha_0(\lambda_{11}e_{11} + \lambda_{21}e_{21} + \lambda_{31}e_{31}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} \lambda_{1,j+1} e_{1,j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} \lambda_{2,j+1} e_{2,j+1} + \\
&\quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{3j} \lambda_{3,j+1} e_{3,j+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|S_\lambda f\|^2 = |\alpha_0|^2 (|\lambda_{11}|^2 + |\lambda_{21}|^2 + |\lambda_{31}|^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 |\lambda_{1,j+1}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 |\lambda_{2,j+1}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{3j}|^2 |\lambda_{3,j+1}|^2.$$

Now, S_λ is norm attaining if and only if there exists an $f \in \ell^2(V)$ with $\|f\|^2 = |\alpha_0|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{3j}|^2 = 1$ such that

$$\|S_\lambda f\|^2 = \|S_\lambda\|^2 = \sup_{g \in \ell^2(V)} \frac{\|S_\lambda g\|^2}{\|g\|^2}.$$

By Theorem 2.3, there exists some e_v where $v \in V$ such that $\|S_\lambda\|^2 = \|S_\lambda e_v\|^2$. Thus,

$$\|S_\lambda\|^2 = \max\{|\lambda_{11}|^2 + |\lambda_{21}|^2 + |\lambda_{31}|^2, |\lambda_{ij}|, i = 1, 2, 3; j > 1\}$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let S_λ be a weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$ where $V^0 = V \setminus \text{root}(G)$. Then S_λ is $*$ -paranormal if and only if*

$$\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2 - 2k \|S_\lambda^* e_v\|^2 + k^2 \geq 0$$

for all $k > 0, v \in V$.

Proof. Since S_λ is $*$ -paranormal,

$$\|S_\lambda^* f\|^2 \leq \|S_\lambda^2 f\| \|f\| \text{ for all } f \in \ell^2(V).$$

Let $f = e_v$, then

$$\|S_\lambda^* e_v\|^2 \leq \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\| \|e_v\|$$

That is,

$$(2\|S_\lambda^* e_v\|)^2 \leq 4\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\| \|e_v\|.$$

Hence,

$$\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2 - 2k\|S_\lambda^* e_v\|^2 + k^2 \geq 0$$

for all $k > 0, v \in V$. □

Corollary 2.6. *Let S_λ be a $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$ such that S_λ^* is norm attaining. Then there exists a $v \in V$ such that $\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\| = \|S_\lambda\|^2$.*

Proof. Since S_λ is a $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ we have,

$$\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2 - 2k\|S_\lambda^* e_v\|^2 + k^2 \geq 0$$

for all $k > 0, v \in V$. Since S_λ^* is norm attaining, there exists $e_v \in \ell^2(V)$ such that $\|S_\lambda^* e_v\| = \|S_\lambda\|$. Let $k = \|S_\lambda\|^2$. Then we have,

$$\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\|^2 \geq \|S_\lambda\|^4.$$


That is, $\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\| \geq \|S_\lambda\|^2$. Hence $\|S_\lambda^2 e_v\| = \|S_\lambda\|^2$. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let S_λ be a $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ such that S_λ^* is norm attaining, then S_λ^2 is norm attaining.*

Proof. From Corollary 2.6, we have $\|S_\lambda\|^2 = \|S_\lambda^2 e_v\| \leq \|S_\lambda^2\| \leq \|S_\lambda\|^2$. □

Remark 2.8. Let S_λ be absolutely norm attaining $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$, then S_λ^2 is also absolutely norm attaining.

Example 2.9. Consider the directed graph $G = (V, E)$ with weights $\lambda_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{9}, & i = j = 1 \\ \frac{1}{3}, & i = 2, j = 1 \\ \frac{1}{4}, & i = j = 2 \\ 2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.



$$\begin{aligned}
S_\lambda^* f &= \left(\frac{1}{9}\alpha_{11} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_{21} \right) e_0 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} e_{1,j-1} + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{22} e_{21} + 2 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} e_{2,j-1} \\
S_\lambda f &= \alpha_0(\lambda_{11}e_{11} + \lambda_{21}e_{21}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} \lambda_{1,j+1} e_{1,j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} \lambda_{2,j+1} e_{2,j+1} \\
&= \alpha_0 \left(\frac{1}{9}e_{11} + \frac{1}{3}e_{21} \right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} e_{1,j+1} + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{21} e_{22} + 2\alpha_{22} e_{23} + 2 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} e_{2,j+1} \\
S_\lambda^2 f &= \alpha_0 \left(\frac{2}{9}e_{12} + \frac{1}{12}e_{22} \right) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} e_{1,j+2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{21} e_{23} + 4\alpha_{22} e_{24} + 4 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} e_{2,j+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_\lambda^* f\|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{9}\alpha_{11} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_{21} \right|^2 + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + \frac{1}{16}|\alpha_{22}|^2 + 4 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 \\
\|S_\lambda^2 f\|^2 &= \frac{73}{1296}|\alpha_0|^2 + 16|\alpha_{11}|^2 + 16 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\alpha_{21}|^2 + 16|\alpha_{22}|^2 + 16 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $|\alpha_0|^2 = x$, $|\alpha_{11}|^2 = y$, $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 = z$, $|\alpha_{21}|^2 = p$, $|\alpha_{22}|^2 = q$ and $\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 = r$, we get $\|f\|^2 = x+y+z+p+q+r$ and $\|S_\lambda^* f\|^4 \leq \|S_\lambda^2 f\|^2 \|f\|^2$ for every $f \in \ell^2(V)$ and $x, y, z, p, q, r \geq 0$. That is, S_λ is $*$ -paranormal. Also we observe that $\frac{1}{3} = \|S_\lambda^* e_{21}\| > \|S_\lambda e_{21}\| = \frac{1}{4}$, hence S_λ is not hyponormal.

Now we show that S_λ is norm attaining on $\ell^2(V)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_\lambda f\|^2 &= |\alpha_0|^2 \left(\frac{1}{81} + \frac{1}{9} \right) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + \frac{1}{16}|\alpha_{21}|^2 + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 \\
\|S_\lambda\|^2 &= \sup_{\substack{f \in \ell^2(V) \\ \|f\|=1}} \left(|\alpha_0|^2 \left(\frac{1}{81} + \frac{1}{9} \right) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + \frac{1}{16}|\alpha_{21}|^2 + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 \right) \\
&= \sup_{\substack{f \in \ell^2(V) \\ \|f\|=1}} \left(4 \left(|\alpha_0|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 \right) - \frac{314}{81}|\alpha_0|^2 - \frac{63}{81}|\alpha_{21}|^2 \right) \\
&= \sup_{\substack{f \in \ell^2(V) \\ \|f\|=1}} \left(4\|f\|^2 - \frac{314}{81}|\alpha_0|^2 - \frac{63}{81}|\alpha_{21}|^2 \right) \\
&= 4.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\|S_\lambda\| = 2$. Also we have $\|S_\lambda e_{11}\| = \|2e_{12}\| = 2 = \|S_\lambda\|$. Therefore S_λ is a norm attaining $*$ -paranormal operator on $\ell^2(V)$.

From the above example we have $\|S_\lambda^2 e_{11}\| = \|2S_\lambda e_{11}\| = \|S_\lambda\| \|S_\lambda e_{11}\| = \|S_\lambda\| \|S_\lambda e_{11}\|$. Hence, $\overline{\text{span}\{Des(e_{11})\}}$ is an invariant subspace for S_λ in $\ell^2(V)$.

3. QUASI- $*$ -PARANORMAL WEIGHTED SHIFTS ON DIRECTED TREES

In this section we study quasi- $*$ -paranormal operators and give some examples.

\mathcal{AN} quasi- $*$ -paranormal operators. Here we characterize norm attaining quasi- $*$ -paranormal operators on a general Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $M = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \|Tx\| = \|T\|\|x\|\}$ and $M_* = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \|T^*x\| = \|T\|\|x\|\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a norm attaining quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator. Then M is an invariant subspace for T . Moreover, if M is finite dimensional then M is a reducing subspace for T .*

Proof. Let $x \in M$. Then $\|Tx\|^2 = \|T\|^2\|x\|^2$ and so $\langle T^*Tx, x \rangle = \|T\|^2\langle x, x \rangle$. That is, $\langle (T^*T - \|T\|^2)x, x \rangle = 0$. Hence $T^*Tx = \|T\|^2x$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T\|^4\|x\|^2 &= \|T^*Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^3x\|\|Tx\| \\
 &\leq \|T\|\|T^2x\|\|Tx\| \\
 &\leq \|T\|^2\|Tx\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|T\|^4\|x\|^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

Hence, $\|T^2x\| = \|T\|\|Tx\|$. Therefore, $Tx \in M$. Hence M is an invariant subspace for T . Furthermore, $\frac{1}{\|T\|}T|_M$ is an isometry.

If M is finite dimensional, then $\frac{1}{\|T\|}T|_M$ is unitary. Since M is an invariant under T , we have,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $T_1 = T|_M$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(M^\perp, M)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(M^\perp)$. Since T is quasi- $*$ -paranormal, we have $T^{*3}T^3 - 2k(T^*T)^2 + k^2T^*T \geq 0$ for any $k > 0$ [11]. Hence,

$$T_1^{*3}T_1^3 - 2k((T_1^*T_1)^2 + T_1^*AA^*T_1) + k^2T_1^*T_1 \geq 0 \text{ for } k > 0.
 \tag{3.2}$$

Since $T^*Tx = \|T\|^2x$ for all $x \in M$, we get $T_1^*T_1x = \|T\|^2x$, for every $x \in M$. Also M is invariant under T . Hence, (3.2) can be modified as

$$(\|T\|^6I - 2k(\|T\|^4I + T_1^*AA^*T_1) + k^2\|T\|^2I)x \geq 0 \text{ for all } k > 0, x \in M.$$

In particular, if we take $k = \|T\|^2$, we get $-2kT_1^*AA^*T_1 \geq 0$. Hence $A^*T_1 = 0$. Since M is finite dimensional and T_1 is injective, we have T_1^* is injective. As $A^*T_1 = 0$ we get, $T_1^*A = 0$. Hence $A = 0$. That is, M is a reducing subspace for T . \square

Corollary 3.2. *If $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ is quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator, then $T^n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists $x \in M$ such that $\|Tx\| = \|T\|\|x\|$. From Theorem (3.1), we get $T^n x \in M$ for all $x \in M$ and $n \geq 1$. Hence for $x \in M$,

$$\|T^{n+1}x\| = \|T\|\|T^n x\| = \|T\|^{n+1}\|x\|.$$

Now for $x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|^{2n}\|x\|^2 &= \|T\|^4\|T^{n-2}x\|^2 = \|T^*T(T^{n-2})x\|^2 \leq \|T^{n+1}x\|\|T^{n-1}x\| \\ &= \|T\|\|T^n x\|\|T^{n-1}x\| \\ &\leq \|T\|\|T^n\|\|T^{n-1}x\|\|x\| \\ &\leq \|T\|^{2n}\|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|T^n x\| = \|T^n\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in M$. That is, $T^n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $n \geq 1$. \square

The condition that T is quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator cannot be dropped in the above corollary. The following example illustrates that if $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ is not quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator then T^n need not be a norm attaining operator.

Example 3.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ be defined by $T(e_1) = 0$ and $T(e_n) = \alpha_{n-1}e_{n-1}$, for $n > 1$ where α_n 's are given by

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 1 - 2^{1-n}, & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then $T^*(e_n) = \alpha_n e_{n+1}$. Since

$$1 = \|T^*T e_2\|^2 \not\leq \|T^3 e_2\|\|T e_2\| = 0,$$

we have T is not quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator. Also $\|T e_2\| = 1 = \|T\|$. Hence T is norm attaining. But from the definition of T it is clear that T^2 is not norm attaining.

Remark 3.4. If $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ is quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator, then $\|T^n\| = \|T\|^n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Next, we obtain some invariant subspaces other than M for T .

Theorem 3.5. Let $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ be a quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator. Then $M_* \subseteq M$.

Proof. Let $x \in M_*$. That is, $\|T^*x\| = \|T\|\|x\|$ or equivalently $TT^*x = \|T\|^2x$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*TT^*x\|^2 &\leq \|T^3T^*x\|\|TT^*x\| = \|T^2(TT^*x)\|\|T\|^2\|x\| \\ &\leq \|T\|^4\|T^2x\|\|x\| \\ &\leq \|T\|^5\|Tx\|\|x\| \\ &\leq \|T\|^6\|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|T^*TT^*x\|^2 = \|T\|^4\|T^*x\|^2 = \|T\|^6\|x\|^2$, we get $\|T^2x\| = \|T\|\|Tx\|$. Hence $x \in M$. \square

Corollary 3.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ be quasi- $*$ -paranormal, then M_* is invariant under T .

Proof. Let $x \in M_*$. Since $M_* \subseteq M$, $\|T^*Tx\| = \|T\|^2\|x\| = \|T\|\|Tx\|$. Hence $T(x) \in M_*$. \square

Corollary 3.7. Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ be a quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator. If M_* is finite dimensional, then M_* is a reducing subspace for T and $M_* = M$.

Proof. Assume that M_* is finite dimensional. Now by using similar techniques as in Theorem 3.1 we get M_* is a reducing subspace for T . Since $\mathcal{H} = M_* \oplus M_*^\perp$, we have $T = \begin{bmatrix} T|_{M_*} & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$

where $B \in \mathcal{B}(M_*^\perp)$. Now since $M_* \subseteq M$, $x \in M$ can be written as $x = y + z$ where $y \in M_*$ and $z \in M_*^\perp \cap M$. Now, $\|T\|^2(y + z) = \|T\|^2x = T^*Tx = T^*T(y + z) = \|T\|^2y + B^*Bz$. Hence, $\|T\|^2z = B^*Bz$. Since $\|B\| < \|T\|$, we get $z = 0$. \square

Remark 3.8. Let $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ be quasi- $*$ -paranormal. Then $T|_{M^*}$ is normal.

Lemma 3.9. Let $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that both T and T^* are quasi- $*$ -paranormal. Then M is a reducing subspace for T .

Proof. From Theorem 3.1, M is invariant under T . Also from Corolary 3.6, we get M is invariant under T^* . Hence, M is a reducing subspace for T . \square

Proposition 3.10. Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ be quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator with $\sigma_{ess}(T) = \{\|T\|\}$. Then

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \|T\|U & A \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $A \in \mathcal{B}(M^\perp, M)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(M^\perp)$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is an isometry such that $U^*A = 0$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T|_M & A \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{B}(M^\perp, M)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(M^\perp)$ such that $(T|_M)^*A = 0$ and $\frac{1}{\|T\|}T|_M$ is an isometry on

M . Let $U = \frac{1}{\|T\|}T|_M$. Then $T|_M = \|T\|U$. Also,

$$0 = (T|_M)^*A = \|T\|U^*A.$$

Hence $U^*A = 0$. \square

We have $M = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \|Tx\| = \|T\|\|x\|\}$. For $x \in M$, we have $T^*Tx = \|T\|^2x$. Hence $\langle |T|^2x, x \rangle = \langle \|T\|^2x, x \rangle$. Therefore, $\langle |T|x, |T|x \rangle = \langle \|T\|x, \|T\|x \rangle$. Hence $M = N(|T| - \|T\|I)$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose that T and T^* are quasi- $*$ -paranormal operators. Then

- (1) $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in \Lambda} H_i$
- (2) $T = \bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i U_i$

where $\Lambda = \sigma(|T|)$, H_i 's are reducing subspaces for T and $U_i \in \mathcal{B}(H_i)$ are isometries.

Proof. Let $\alpha_1 = \|T\|$. Since $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$, $H_1 = N(|T| - \alpha_1 I)$ is a reducing subspace for T . Then T can be represented as $T_1 \oplus T|_{H_1^\perp}$ such that $T_1 = \alpha_1 U_1$ where $U_1 \in \mathcal{B}(H_1)$ is an isometry. Now, let $\alpha_2 = \|T|_{H_1^\perp}\|$. Since $T|_{H_1^\perp} \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ and $H_2 = N(|T|_{H_1^\perp} - \alpha_2 I)$ is a reducing subspace for $T|_{H_1^\perp}$, $T|_{H_1^\perp} = T_2 \oplus T|_{(H_1 \cup H_2)^\perp}$ with $T_2 = \alpha_2 U_2$ such that U_2 is an isometry. Hence $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus T|_{(H_1 \cup H_2)^\perp}$. Proceeding like this, we arrive at two possibilities.

Suppose that the process ends after a finite number of steps, say n . Then $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H_i$ and

$$T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i U_i.$$

Suppose the process does not terminates after a finite number of steps. Then we get two sequences, $\{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Lambda$ and isometric operators $\{U_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\infty} H_i \oplus H_k \text{ and } T = \bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\infty} \alpha_i U_i \oplus \alpha_k U_k$$

where $H_i = N(|T| - \alpha_i I)$, $i \neq k$ and $H_k = \begin{cases} N(|T| - m_e(|T|)I), & \text{if } m_e(T) \text{ is an eigenvalue} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

Note that all U_i 's are unitary and U_k is unitary if and only if $m_e(T)$ is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that T and T^* are quasi-*-paranormal operators, then $\sigma(T) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma(\lambda U_\lambda)$ where $\Lambda = \sigma(|T|)$.*

Now we give a structure theorem for \mathcal{AN} quasi-*-paranormal operators.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ be a quasi-*-paranormal operator. Then T has the following representation,*

$$(3.3) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} \bigoplus \alpha_i U_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_e(T)U & A \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$ where

- (1) $\alpha_i \in (m_e(T), \|T\|] \cap \sigma(|T|)$ s are positive real numbers for every $1 \leq i < \infty$.
- (2) $U_i \in \mathcal{B}(N(|T| - \alpha_i I))$ are unitary operators for $1 \leq i < \infty$.
- (3) $U \in \mathcal{B}(N(|T| - m_e(T)I))$ is an isometry.
- (4) $U^*A = 0$.
- (5) $\mathcal{H}_1 = \bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in (m_e(T), \|T\|] \cap \sigma(|T|)} N(|T| - \lambda_i I)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = N(|T| - m_e(T)I)$.
- (6) \mathcal{H}_3 is finite dimension subspace of \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$, then $|T| \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\sigma_{ess}(|T|) = \{m_e(|T|)\} = \sigma_{ess}(T)$. It is clear that $\sigma(|T|)$ is countable and $m_e(T)$ is the only eigenvalue possibly with infinite multiplicity [12].

Let $\alpha_1 = \|T\|$. Then $K_1 = N(|T| - \alpha_1 I)$ is a reducing subspace and $T|_{K_1} = \alpha_1 U_1$ such that U_1 is unitary. Since $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$, $T|_{K_1^\perp}$ is norm attaining. Hence as above, we get $K_2 = N(|T| - \alpha_2 I)$ and $T_2 = T|_{K_2} = \alpha_2 U_2$ where $\alpha_2 = \|T_2\|$ such that U_2 is unitary. Proceeding like this, there are 2 possibilities.

If none of the eigenvalues has infinite multiplicity, we get two sequences $\{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\} = \sigma(|T|)$ and $\{U_i : i \in \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ where U_i 's are unitary such that $T = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{K}} \alpha_i U_i$.

Suppose that $m_e(T)$ has infinite multiplicity. If $m_e(T) = \|T\|$, then Proposition 3.10, we are done. Suppose not, that is, $m(T) \leq m_e(T) < \|T\|$. Similarly as above, we get two sequences $\{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\} = \sigma(|T|) \cap (m_e(T), \|T\|]$ and $\{U_i : i \in \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ where U_i 's are unitary. Let $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{K}} N(|T| - \alpha_i I) = \mathcal{H}_1$. Then $T|_{\mathcal{H}_1^\perp}$ is \mathcal{AN} with $\|T|_{\mathcal{H}_1^\perp}\| = m_e(T)$ and by

Proposition 3.10, we have

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{K}} \alpha_i U_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_e(T)U & A \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_2 = N(|T| - m_e(T)I)$ and $\mathcal{H}_3 = (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)^\perp$.

Note that if $m(T) = m_e(T)$, then $\mathcal{H}_3 = 0$ and if $\sigma(|T|)$ is finite, then \mathbb{K} is finite. \square

Remark 3.14. An absolutely norm attaining quasi-*-paranormal operator with finite spectrum and none of its eigenvalues having infinite multiplicity is normal.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(\mathcal{H})$ be a quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator. If T is invertible, then T is normal.*

The theorem can be proved in the similar way for $*$ -paranormal \mathcal{AN} operators found in [3].

Remark 3.16. We can extend \mathcal{AN} $*$ -paranormal operators to \mathcal{AN} n - $*$ paranormal operators and \mathcal{AN} paranormal operators to \mathcal{AN} n -paranormal operators in a similar way.

Now we give an example of a norm attaining quasi- $*$ -paranormal operator which is not $*$ -paranormal.

Example 3.17. Let $X = \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$. Define an operator T on X by,

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, y_1, y_2) = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_1, y_2).$$

The corresponding adjoint operator is given by,

$$T^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, y_1, y_2) = (y_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, 0, y_2).$$

Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis for X where $\tilde{e}_1 = 0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus (1, 0)$ and $\tilde{e}_2 = 0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus (0, 1)$. Let $\tilde{X} = \{e_1, e_2\}^\perp$. Then for $z = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0)$, we have, $T^*(z) = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$ and $T^2(z) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$. Since $1 = \|T^*z\|^2 > \|T^2z\| = 0$, T is not $*$ -paranormal on \tilde{X} . But for $x \in \tilde{X}$, $\|T^*Tx\|^2 = \|T^3x\|^2 = \|Tx\|^2$, hence quasi- $*$ -paranormal.

\mathcal{AN} quasi- $*$ -paranormal weighted shifts. Now we study quasi- $*$ -paranormal weighted shift operators on $\ell^2(V)$.

Theorem 3.18. *Let S_λ be a quasi- $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights (λ_v) , then*

$$\left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^4 |f(u)|^2 \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda^3 e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2 \right).$$

Proof. Since S_λ be a quasi- $*$ -paranormal,

$$\|S_\lambda^* S_\lambda f\|^2 \leq \|S_\lambda^3 f\| \|S_\lambda f\| \text{ for all } f \in \ell^2(V).$$

We have,

$$\|S_\lambda f\|^2 = \sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2$$

and

$$\|S_\lambda^* S_\lambda f\|^2 = \sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^4 |f(u)|^2.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda^3 f(u) &= S_\lambda (\lambda_u S_\lambda^2 f(\text{par}(u))) \\ &= S_\lambda (\lambda_u \lambda_{\text{par}(u)} S_\lambda f(\text{par}^2(u))) \\ &= \lambda_u \lambda_{\text{par}(u)} \lambda_{\text{par}^2(u)} f(\text{par}^3(u)) \\ &= \sum_{v \in \text{chi}(u)} \lambda_v \sum_{w \in \text{chi}(v)} \lambda_w \sum_{t \in \text{chi}(w)} \lambda_t f(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|S_\lambda^3 f\|^2 = \sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda^3 e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^4 |f(u)|^2 \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda^3 e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^2 |f(u)|^2 \right).$$

□

Theorem 3.19. *Let S_λ be a weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$ where $V^0 = V \setminus \text{root}(G)$. Then S_λ is quasi- $*$ -paranormal if and only if*

$$\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 - 2k\|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 + k^2\|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 \geq 0$$

for all $k > 0, v \in V$.

Proof. Let S_λ be quasi- $*$ -paranormal, then

$$S_\lambda^{*3} S_\lambda^3 - 2k(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^2 + k^2 S_\lambda^* S_\lambda \geq 0 \text{ for all } k > 0.$$

Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda^{*3} S_\lambda^3 e_v &= \|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 e_v \\ S_\lambda^* S_\lambda e_v &= \|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 e_v \\ (S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^2 e_v &= \|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 e_v. \end{aligned}$$

So we get,

$$\langle \|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 e_v - 2k\|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 e_v + k^2\|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 e_v, e_v \rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 - 2k\|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 + k^2\|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 \geq 0 \text{ for all } k > 0, v \in V.$$

For the converse part, let $\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 - 2k\|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 + k^2\|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 \geq 0$ for all $k > 0, v \in V$. We have if $f \in \ell^2(V)$, then $f = \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v e_v$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda^{*3} S_\lambda^3 f - 2k(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^2 f + k^2 S_\lambda^* S_\lambda f &= S_\lambda^{*3} S_\lambda^3 \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v e_v - 2k(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^2 \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v e_v + k^2 S_\lambda^* S_\lambda \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v e_v \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v (S_\lambda^{*3} S_\lambda^3 - 2k(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^2 + k^2 S_\lambda^* S_\lambda) e_v \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v (\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 e_v - 2k\|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 e_v + k^2\|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 e_v) \\ \langle S_\lambda^{*3} S_\lambda^3 f - 2k(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^2 f + k^2 S_\lambda^* S_\lambda f, f \rangle &= \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v \langle \|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 e_v - 2k\|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 e_v + k^2\|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 e_v, e_v \rangle \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence S_λ is quasi- $*$ -paranormal. □

Corollary 3.20. *Let S_λ be a norm attaining quasi- $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$. Then there exists a $v \in V$ such that $\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\| = \|S_\lambda\|^3$.*

Proof. Since S_λ is a quasi- $*$ -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ we have,

$$\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 - 2k\|S_\lambda e_v\|^4 + k^2\|S_\lambda e_v\|^2 \geq 0$$

for all $k > 0, v \in V$. Since S_λ is norm attaining, there exists $e_v \in \ell^2(V)$ such that $\|S_\lambda e_v\| = \|S_\lambda\|$. Fix $k = \|S_\lambda\|^2$ and simplifying, we get

$$\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\|^2 \geq \|S_\lambda\|^6.$$

That is, $\|S_\lambda^3 e_v\| = \|S_\lambda\|^3$. □

Corollary 3.21. *Let S_λ be a norm attaining quasi-* -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$. Then S_λ^3 is norm attaining.*

Proof. Since S_λ be a norm attaining quasi-* -paranormal, there exists an $e_v \in \ell^2(V)$ such that $\|S_\lambda\|^3 = \|S_\lambda^3 e_v\| \leq \|S_\lambda^3\| \leq \|S_\lambda\|^3$. □

Remark 3.22. Let S_λ be absolutely norm attaining quasi-* -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$, then S_λ^3 is also absolutely norm attaining.

Theorem 3.23. *Let S_λ be a quasi-* -paranormal weighted shift on $\ell^2(V)$ with weights $(\lambda_v)_{v \in V^0}$ where $V^0 = V \setminus \text{root}(G)$ then for $f \in \ell^2(V)$, there exists $c > 0$ such that*

- (1) $\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^4 |f(u)|^2 \leq c \sum_{u \in V} (1 + \|S_\lambda e_u\|^2) |f(u)|^2$
- (2) $\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^4 |f(u)|^2 \leq c \sum_{u \in V} (1 + \|S_\lambda^3 e_u\|^2) |f(u)|^2$.

Proof. Since S_λ is quasi-* -paranormal, we have $D(S_\lambda^3) \subseteq D(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)$ and $D(S_\lambda) \subseteq D(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)$. Hence from closed graph theorem, there exists a $c > 0$ such that


$$\|f\|_{S_\lambda^* S_\lambda}^2 \leq c \|f\|_{S_\lambda^3}^2.$$

Since $\|f\|_T^2 = \|f\|^2 + \|Tf\|^2$, we get

$$\sum_{u \in V} \|S_\lambda e_u\|^4 |f(u)|^2 \leq c \sum_{u \in V} (1 + \|S_\lambda e_u\|^2) |f(u)|^2.$$

In a similar way we can prove (2). □

Example 3.24. Consider the directed tree:




Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\lambda f &= \alpha_0(e_{11} + e_{21}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} e_{1,j+1} + 2\alpha_{21} e_{22} + \alpha_{22} e_{23} + 4 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} e_{2,j+1} \\
S_\lambda^* S_\lambda f &= 2\alpha_0 e_0 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} e_{1,j} + 4\alpha_{21} e_{21} + \alpha_{22} e_{22} + 16 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} e_{2,j} \\
S_\lambda^3 f &= \alpha_0(4e_{13} + 2e_{23}) + 8 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{1j} e_{1,j+3} + 8\alpha_{21} e_{24} + 16\alpha_{22} e_{25} + 64 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j} e_{2,j+3} \\
\|S_\lambda f\|^2 &= 2|\alpha_0|^2 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + 4|\alpha_{21}|^2 + |\alpha_{22}|^2 + 16 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 \\
\|S_\lambda^* S_\lambda f\|^2 &= 4|\alpha_0|^2 + 16 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + 16|\alpha_{21}|^2 + |\alpha_{22}|^2 + 256 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2 \\
\|S_\lambda^3 f\|^2 &= 20|\alpha_0|^2 + 64 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{1j}|^2 + 64|\alpha_{21}|^2 + 256|\alpha_{22}|^2 + 64^2 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |\alpha_{2j}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\|S_\lambda^* S_\lambda f\|^2 \leq \|S_\lambda^3 f\| \|S_\lambda f\|$ for any choice of f . Hence S_λ is quasi- $*$ -paranormal.

It is known that for an absolutely norm attaining positive operator, the essential spectrum is a singleton set. Here we give an example of a positive operator on a directed tree whose essential spectrum is not a singleton set.

Example 3.25. Consider the graph $G = (V, E)$ given by,



Clearly the operator N_λ defined by $N_\lambda e_v = \lambda_v e_v$ with given weights is absolutely norm attaining on $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(V))$ with $\|N_\lambda\| = 2$. Also the essential spectrum, $\sigma_{ess}(N_\lambda) = \{1, 2\}$.

Example 3.26. Consider the graph $G = (V, E)$ with a root vertex having 2 branches with weights $\lambda_{ij} = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } j = 1, 2 \\ i - \frac{1}{j-1} & \text{if } j > 2 \end{cases}$. Clearly the operator N_λ defined as above is absolutely norm attaining on $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(V))$ with $\|S_\lambda\| = 2$. Also the essential spectrum, $\sigma_{ess}(N_\lambda) = \{1, 2\}$. Here 1 and 2 are limits of a decreasing sequence in the spectrum.

The above examples shows that the spectrum of a positive operator has atmost one limit point or an eigenvalue with infinite multiplicity is not true in every space. In graph setting, we observe that there can be more than one (possibly infinite) limit points/ eigenvalues with infinite multiplicity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author acknowledges the University of Kerala, Kerala, India for the financial support through a University JRF for the period of 2024-2025, under the reference number 3995/2024/UOK dated 13.05.2024.

REFERENCES

1. E. Abakumov and A. Abbar. "On several dynamical properties of shifts acting on directed trees." Canadian Journal of Mathematics (2024): 1-30.
2. A. Ángeles-Romero and R. A. Martínez-Avendaño. "The forward and backward shift on the Hardy space of a tree." Analysis and Mathematical Physics 14.3 (2024).
3. N. Bala, Representation and normality of $*$ -paranormal absolutely norm attaining operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **89** (2023), no. 1-2, 167–18.
4. N. Bala and G. Ramesh, A representation of hyponormal absolutely norm attaining operators, Bull. Sci. Math. **171** (2021) 15 pp.
5. X. Carvajal and W. Neves, Operators that achieve the norm, Integral Equations Operator Theory **72** (2012), no. 2, 179–195.
6. M. Cho and K. Tanahashi. "On $*$ - n -paranormal operators on Banach spaces." J. Math. Comput. Sci. 4.1 (2014): 1-9.
7. M. Cho and S. Ôta. "On n -paranormal operators." Journal of Mathematics Research 5.2 (2013): 107.
8. T. Furuta, *Invitation to linear operators*, Taylor & Francis, London, 2001.
9. Z. J. Jabłoński, I. B. Jung and J. Stochel, Weighted shifts on directed trees, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (2012).
10. B. V. Limaye, *Functional analysis*, second edition, New Age, New Delhi, 1996.
11. S. Mecheri, "On quasi $*$ -paranormal operators." Annals of Functional Analysis 3.1 (2012): 86-91.
12. S.K. Pandey and V.I. Paulsen, A spectral characterization of \mathcal{AN} operators, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **102** (2017), no. 3, 369–391.
13. S. M. Patel, Conditions implying normality of spectraloid operators, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **1** (1975), 39–43.
14. P. Pikul, "Backward extensions of weighted shifts on directed trees." Integral Equations and Operator Theory 94.3 (2022).
15. G. Ramesh, Absolutely norm attaining paranormal operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **465** (2018), no. 1, 547–556.
16. M. H. M. Rashid, On n - $*$ -paranormal operators, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **31** (2016), no. 3, 549–565.
17. M. C. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. III*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1979.
18. D. Venku Naidu, and G. Ramesh. "On absolutely norm attaining operators." Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences 129 (2019): 1-17.
19. Z. Xiaoyan, and X. Zhao. "Toeplitz operator and the operator ∇ on the L_p space of a tree." Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 516.2 (2022).

K KRISHNAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE (AFFILIATED TO UNIVERSITY OF KERALA),
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA, INDIA- 695034.

Email address: krishnank@universitycollege.ac.in

T. PRASAD

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, KERALA-673635, INDIA.

Email address: prasadvalapil@gmail.com

E. SHINE LAL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE (AFFILIATED TO UNIVERSITY OF KERALA),
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA, INDIA- 695034.

Email address: shinelal@universitycollege.ac.in