

K-THEORETIC PULLBACKS FOR LAGRANGIANS ON DERIVED CRITICAL LOCI

YALONG CAO, YUKINOBU TODA, AND GUFANG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Given a regular function ϕ on a smooth stack, and a (-1) -shifted Lagrangian M on the derived critical locus of ϕ , under fairly general hypotheses, we construct a pullback map from the Grothendieck group of coherent matrix factorizations of ϕ to that of coherent sheaves on M . This map satisfies a functoriality property with respect to the composition of Lagrangian correspondences, as well as the usual bivarience and base-change properties.

We provide three applications of the construction, one in the definition of quantum K -theory of critical loci (Landau–Ginzburg models), paving the way to generalize works of Okounkov school from Nakajima quiver varieties to quivers with potentials, one in establishing a degeneration formula for K -theoretic Donaldson–Thomas theory of local Calabi–Yau 4-folds, the other in confirming a K -theoretic version of Joyce–Safronov conjecture.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Exact Lagrangians on derived critical loci	6
3.	Factorization categories and their K -theories	8
4.	Specialization to the normal cone in critical K -theory	10
5.	Spinor morphisms	16
6.	Critical pullbacks	20
7.	Category of Lagrangian correspondences and its linearization	24
8.	Examples and applications	34
	Appendix A.	39
	Appendix B.	41
	References	44

1. INTRODUCTION

A *Landau–Ginzburg model* is a pair

$$(X, \phi_X), \quad \phi_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

of a smooth stack X over \mathbb{C} and a regular function ϕ_X on it. They are ubiquitous in enumerative geometry, geometric representation theory, singularity theory and mathematical physics, where one studies the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi_X) \subset X$ of the function ϕ_X . Many different considerations lead to the same notion of the *category of B -branes* in this setup, that of the *category* $\text{MF}(X, \phi_X)$ of *matrix factorizations* [Orl1, Orl2, BFK]. Its objects consist of *matrix factorizations*:

$$\mathcal{E}_{-1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_{-1}} \end{array} \mathcal{E}_0, \quad d_0 \circ d_{-1} = \cdot \phi_X, \quad d_{-1} \circ d_0 = \cdot \phi_X,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{-1}, \mathcal{E}_0$ are coherent sheaves on X .

An example of such is the theory of gauged linear sigma models (GLSM), a curve counting theory for critical loci in GIT quotients, invented by Witten [Witten] and studied from mathematical point of view intensively [FJR2, CFGKS, KL1, TX, FK], which uses matrix factorizations or their Chern characters as *states* of the theory [PV1, PV3]. It provides powerful tools for computing

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14N35; Secondary 14C17, 14F05, 14D23.

Key words and phrases. (-1) -shifted Lagrangians, derived critical loci, K -theoretic pullbacks.

Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds by linking them to Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten invariants [FJR1] of Landau-Ginzburg models with isolated singularities.

Another example of matrix factorizations in Landau-Ginzburg models occurs in Donaldson-Thomas theory of (local) Calabi-Yau 3-folds, where the category of matrix factorizations and its K -theory or periodic cyclic homology provide categorified Donaldson-Thomas theory [KS, Oka, Toda1, Toda2, PT], which links to geometric representation theory via Hall algebras (e.g. [DM, Pa, VV]), making hidden symmetries of invariants manifest.

As explored in [CZ, CZZ], definitions of both GLSM invariants and more recently studied relative Donaldson-Thomas invariants of log Calabi-Yau 4-folds, fit into the setting of shifted symplectic geometry of Pantev-Toën-Vaquié-Vezzosi [PTVV, CPTVV]. Roughly speaking, both invariants can be interpreted as *partition functions* associated to *shifted Lagrangians* on *derived critical loci* or more general (-1) -shifted symplectic targets.

The main player in this paper is the Grothendieck group of the category $\mathrm{MF}(X, \phi_X)$:

$$K_0(X, \phi_X) := K_0(\mathrm{MF}(X, \phi_X)),$$

called *critical K -theory*. Given a flat map $\pi_X : B \rightarrow X$ with $\phi = \phi_X \circ \pi_X : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and a shifted Lagrangian $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ (with fairly general hypotheses), by combining the method of matrix factorizations [Orl1, Orl2, BFK, EP], the theory of compact generators of ind-coherent sheaves [DG2, Gai], and techniques recently developed from DT theory of Calabi-Yau 4-folds [OT, Park1, Park2], we show the existence of a natural map:

$$(1.1) \quad K_0(X, \phi_X) \rightarrow K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

which we call *critical pullback*. This map is applicable to both examples mentioned above. When M is (equivariantly) proper, by composing (1.1) with (equivariant) pushforward to a point, we obtain *K -theoretic partition functions* on state spaces.

The construction of critical pullbacks is important as it yields the following generalizations and applications:

- When X is a point, the map (1.1) reproduces the K -theoretic virtual structure sheaves of (-2) -shifted symplectic derived schemes due to Oh-Thomas [OT].
- The map (1.1) gives a descent of Park's square root virtual pullback [Park2, Thm. 5.2.2]:

$$K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \rightarrow K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$$

from the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves on the zero locus $Z(\phi_X)$ to the critical K -theory (see Proposition 1.2).

- The map (1.1) is a K -theoretic analogue of Joyce conjecture for DT perverse sheaves:

$$H(X, \varphi_{\phi_X} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H(M),$$

see [Joy], [CZZ, Rmk. 1.6].

- By taking the dual of the map (1.1), we confirm a K -theoretic version of Joyce-Safronov conjecture (Theorem 1.9). Here Joyce-Safronov conjecture roughly says that the category $\mathrm{MF}(X, \phi_X)$ may be regarded as a 'Fukaya category' for shifted Lagrangians over $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$.
- Using (1.1), we define a linearization functor on the category of (-1) -shifted Lagrangians on derived critical loci (see Definition 7.6, Theorem 7.8).
- Applied to examples above, we provide foundations for quantum critical K -theory (see Theorem 1.4), and establish a degeneration formula of K -theoretic Donaldson-Thomas invariants on local Calabi-Yau 4-folds (see Theorem 1.6).

In what follows, we give a more detailed summary of results obtained in this paper.

1.1. Definition of critical pullbacks. Let B be a smooth QCA^1 stack over \mathbb{C} with a flat map $\pi_X : B \rightarrow X$ to a smooth global quotient stack X , and $\phi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a flat map with $\phi := \phi_X \circ \pi_X : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We also regard B as a derived stack by the inclusion functor.

Consider a map of derived stacks

$$(1.2) \quad f : M \rightarrow B,$$

¹This means quasi-compact with affine stabilizer.

which has a (-2) -shifted symplectic structure Ω_f such that the image of Ω_f in the periodic cyclic homology is given by the function ϕ . By a tautological argument (see [Park2] or Proposition 2.3), this is equivalent to the structure of an exact Lagrangian

$$M \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$$

on the derived critical locus. As the title suggests, we shall construct a K -theoretic pullback map. Assume that f satisfies hypotheses in Setting 2.5. In particular, denote $\mathbb{L}_f|_M$ to be the restriction of the cotangent complex of f to the classical truncation $M = t_0(M)$, there is a resolution

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{L}_f|_M \cong (V \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{d^\vee} V^\vee),$$

given by a symmetric complex of finite rank vector bundles, where E is a quadratic bundle on M with a non-degenerate quadratic form q_E .

The main construction of this paper (ref. Definition 6.1) is the following pullback map.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a well-defined map (called critical pullback):*

$$(1.4) \quad f_{\pi_X}^! : K_0(X, \phi_X) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi_X \circ f}} K_0(E, q_E) \xrightarrow{\text{Spin}} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \xrightarrow{\otimes \sqrt{\det(V)^\vee}} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

from the Grothendieck group $K_0(X, \phi_X)$ of the category of coherent matrix factorizations of (X, ϕ_X) to the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves on M .

We briefly explain the construction. Let $Z(\phi_X)$ denote the zero locus of ϕ_X . There is a *surjective canonical map*:

$$(1.5) \quad \text{can} : K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \twoheadrightarrow K_0(X, \phi_X),$$

whose kernel is generated by perfect complexes on $Z(\phi_X)$ (Proposition 3.5). One has a standard *specialization map* for the zero locus:

$$(1.6) \quad K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \rightarrow K_0(Z(q_E)),$$

defined via deformation to the normal cone (4.15). We show (1.6) factors through (1.5) and hence descends to a map $\sigma_{\pi_X \circ f}$ in (1.4). Such a descent is remarkable as there is in general no specialization map from open to closed for critical K -theories (see Remark 4.10 for a counterexample). To show the descent, we introduce *relative singularity categories* (4.17) following ideas of Efimov-Positselski [EP], and compare them with the *matrix factorization* category of (E, q_E) (Proposition 4.8). We use the theory of compact generators of ind-coherent sheaves [DG2, Gai] to handle *stackyness* and *singularity* of M (and hence E) in this comparison. The details are in §4.1–§4.3. The map Spin in (1.4) is defined in §5, which, roughly speaking, is given by the tensor product with *Koszul factorization* (5.1). The last map is the twisting by a square root line bundle, making the map (1.4) independent of the choice of the symmetric resolution (1.3).

We remark that for applications considered in this paper, it is necessary to distinguish B and X in the setup of §1.1, where the former is a very general smooth stack and the latter is a smooth global quotient stack, because our interested shifted Lagrangians appear on derived critical loci of functions on B , while the fact that the functions are pulled back from a global quotient stack X makes comparison between matrix factorization category and singularity category more natural.

1.2. Properties of critical pullbacks. Let f_Z be the base change of f to the zero locus of ϕ (6.3). Consider the composition

$$\sqrt{f_Z^!} \circ \pi_X^* : K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \xrightarrow{\pi_X^*} K_0(Z(\phi)) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{f_Z^!}} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where π_X^* is the flat pullback and $\sqrt{f_Z^!}$ is the *square root virtual pullback* (6.5) [Park1]. It is compatible with critical pullback (1.4) in the following sense:

Proposition 1.2 (Proposition 6.3). *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\phi_X)) & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & K_0(X, \phi_X) \\ & \searrow \sqrt{f_Z^!} \circ \pi_X^* & \downarrow f_{\pi_X}^! \\ & & K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal map is the canonical map (1.5). The critical pullback map is uniquely characterized by this property, due to the surjectivity of the canonical map.

When $\phi_X \equiv 0$, the obstruction theory on f is *isotropic* (e.g. [Park2, Rmk. 5.2.5]), the map can is an isomorphism, and critical pullback $f_{\pi_X}^!$ coincides with that of [Park1, OT]. In this sense, $f_{\pi_X}^!$ is a generalization of *loc. cit.* to a map f that does not necessarily satisfy the isotropic condition.

We remark that the *advantage* of considering $K_0(X, \phi_X)$ over $K_0(Z(\phi_X))$ is that the former has a *natural pairing* (Lemma 7.9) which is *non-degenerate* in many cases (Examples 7.12, 7.13), while the latter seldom does (unless $\phi_X \equiv 0$). The existence of a perfect pairing fits into the framework of *cohomological field theories* [KM] (or their K -theoretic variants), making powerful computational tools [Giv, Tel] possible to many examples [P]. It is also pivotal for applications to geometric representation theory.

By using Proposition 1.2, we show that (1.4) is well-defined (Proposition 6.4), has natural bivarience properties (Proposition 6.5) in intersection theory, and the following *functorial* property.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 6.6). *Given a commutative diagram of derived stacks:*

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N' & \xrightarrow{i} & N \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ M & \xrightarrow{g} & B, \end{array}$$

such that

- g and h are as (1.2) for a common $\pi_X : B \rightarrow X$ and $\phi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,
- f is quasi-smooth and the classical truncation of i is an isomorphism,
- the obstruction theories are compatible (see diagram (6.9) and Remark 6.7),

then we have

$$(1.8) \quad h_{\pi_X}^! = f^! \circ g_{\pi_X}^! : K_0(X, \phi_X) \rightarrow K_0(N, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where $g_{\pi_X}^!, h_{\pi_X}^!$ are critical pullbacks (1.4) and $f^! : K_0(M) \rightarrow K_0(N)$ is the virtual pullback [Qu].

The above functorial property is used to show how critical pullbacks behave under the composition of shifted Lagrangian correspondences (see Theorem 7.4). As an application of this, we obtain a linearization functor on the category of (-1) -shifted Lagrangians on derived critical loci (Definition 7.6, Theorem 7.8). It is also used in the proof of gluing formulae in examples mentioned below.

1.3. Applications. The main examples considered in this paper are given by the theory of GLSM and Donaldson-Thomas theory of (local) Calabi-Yau 4-folds (Example 2.6). We sketch the main results and refer §8 for details.

Theorem 1.4 (Quantum critical K -theory, Theorem 8.6). *Consider a smooth GIT quotient $W//G$ and a regular function $\phi_{W//G} : W//G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is invariant under a torus T -action, such that the torus fixed critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi_{W//G})^T$ is proper. Then for any $g, n \geq 0$ (such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$) and a curve class β , there is a map*

$$\Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{Crit},K} : K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \otimes K_0^T \left((W//G)^n, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n} \right) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}},$$

which satisfies the gluing formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{Crit},K}(\iota_* \alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k + \beta_{\infty} = \beta} \Phi_{g_1, n_1 + 1, \beta_0}^{\text{Crit},K} \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_1}^{\text{Crit},K} \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_k}^{\text{Crit},K} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2 + 1, \beta_{\infty}}^{\text{Crit},K}(\alpha \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the moduli stack of genus g , n -pointed stable curves, $\iota : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1 + 1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2 + 1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is a gluing map, and the RHS of the equation is defined by (8.11).

Remark 1.5. This theorem allows us to extend quantum K -theory from smooth varieties [Lee] to the setting of *critical loci*, which are possibly singular. By virtue of this, one can lift the Okounkov package (e.g. quantum connections, difference equations, Bethe ansatz, etc) from Nakajima quiver varieties [Oko] to more general quivers with potentials [CZ, §6-§8]. They have applications to geometric representation theory, e.g. [BR, LY, RSYZ1, RSYZ2, Pa, VV], along the line discussed in [Oko, CZ].

Theorem 1.6 (Degeneration formula of K -theoretic DT_4 invariants, Theorem 8.7). *Let $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be a simple degeneration of local Calabi-Yau 4-folds with torus T -action, such that the central fiber*

$$X_0 = Y_- \cup_D Y_+$$

is the union of two (local) log Calabi-Yau pairs (Y_{\pm}, D) (8.14). Fix numerically equivalent K -theory classes $P_t \in K_{c, \leq 1}^{\text{num}}(X/\mathbb{A}^1)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$. Assume conditions in Lemma B.5 hold for (Y_{\pm}, D) .

Then there is a “family class” and “relative classes”:

$$\Phi_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}^{P_t}; \quad \Phi_{Y_-, D}^{Q_0}, \quad \Phi_{Y_+, D}^{Q_\infty}, \quad \Phi_{\Delta^\sim}^{Q_i},$$

such that the following gluing formula holds

$$i_0^!(\Phi_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}^{P_t}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{\delta \\ k(\delta)=k \\ P(\delta)=P_0}} \Phi_{Y_-, D}^{Q_0} \otimes \Phi_{\Delta^\sim}^{Q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_{\Delta^\sim}^{Q_k} \otimes \Phi_{Y_+, D}^{Q_\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}}).$$

Remark 1.7. We comment on invariants obtained in Theorems 1.4 and 1.6.

- (1) A K -theoretic analogue of [CZ, Def. 5.2] defines a map

$$K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \otimes K_0^T \left(Z(\phi_{W/G}^{\boxplus n}) \right) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

By Proposition 1.2, it descends to the map in Theorem 1.4 through the canonical map. Similarly for the K -theoretic DT_4 case (Theorem 1.6 and [CZZ, Def. 5.9]).

- (2) Explicit calculations of these invariants have been performed in several examples. For instance, *vertex functions* have been explicitly calculated in [CZ, §7-§8], which consequently yields *Bethe equations*, demonstrating the nontriviality of the map (1.4)².

1.4. K -theoretic Joyce-Safonov conjecture. In [JS, Conj. 1.2], Joyce-Safonov proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.8. Let U be a smooth \mathbb{C} -scheme and $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a regular function. Let $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ be a (-1) -shifted Lagrangian such that $(\mathbf{vdim} \mathbf{M} - \dim U)$ is even, with an *orientation data* and *spin structure*. Then there exists an object $\mu_{\mathbf{M}} \in \text{MF}(U, \phi)$ in the matrix factorization category $\text{MF}(U, \phi)$, associated with \mathbf{M} , with prescribed local behavior spelled out in [JS].

The above conjecture is important as it gives an interpretation of the matrix factorization category $\text{MF}(U, \phi)$ as a ‘Fukaya category’ for (-1) -shifted symplectic derived schemes, and is also connected to the programme of Kapustin and Rozansky [KR] for associating 2-categories to holomorphic symplectic manifolds, locally described using matrix factorization categories. Note also that $\text{MF}(U, \phi)$ is regarded as a local model of categorical DT theory for Calabi-Yau 3-folds [Toda1], thus if global DT categories are constructed for more general (-1) -shifted symplectic derived schemes, we expect a similar statement there.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we solve the following K -theoretic version of Joyce-Safonov conjecture.

Theorem 1.9. *Let (U, ϕ) be a smooth quasi-projective scheme and a regular function with a torus T -action such that Setting 7.10 holds, and $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ be a T -equivariant (-1) -shifted oriented exact Lagrangian such that the classical truncation M is T -equivariantly proper, $M \rightarrow \text{Crit}(\phi)$ is quasi-projective. Then there is an associated element*

$$[\mu_{\mathbf{M}}] \in K_0^T(U, \phi)_{\text{loc}}.$$

Here $(-)\text{loc} := (-) \otimes_{K_0^T(\text{pt})} K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$, and $K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$ is the field of fractions of $K_T(\text{pt})$.

Indeed under Setting 7.10, the natural pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ on $K_0^T(U, \phi)_{\text{loc}}$ is perfect. Therefore the element $[\mu_{\mathbf{M}}]$ is defined to be the unique element such that the pairing $\langle [\mu_{\mathbf{M}}], - \rangle$ equals to the composition

$$K_0^T(U, \phi)_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{f_{\text{id}}^!} K_0^T(M)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}},$$

²The calculation in [CZ] is done in the cohomological case, it is straightforward to perform a K -theoretic one. In fact, [CKM, Thm. 0.12] shows that the K -theoretic invariant recovers the cohomological one by taking certain limit.

where the first map is the T -equivariant version of the map $f_{\text{id}}^!$ in Theorem 1.1 and the second map is the equivariant push-forward to a point. In the above setting, all hypotheses in Setting 2.5 are satisfied (where we take $\pi_X = \text{id}$ to be the identity), so $f_{\text{id}}^!$ is well-defined.

Related works and future directions. In the application to GLSM, the construction of Theorem 1.4 is expected to agree with the K -theoretic version of the work of Favero and Kim [FK]. It is not clear, albeit interesting, to what extent the construction of [FK], which depends on the construction of global charts as well as sections and co-section of obstruction bundles, can be carried out in the general case of Setting 2.5. For the same reason, although it is reasonable to expect invariants from [FK] to be compatible with those from [CZ] (see [CZ, §1.5]), a detailed comparison does not appear to be easy. We believe methods from the present paper will shade lights on this, which we hope to explore more in the future.

There is a current project of gluing matrix factorization categories (or their variants) over (-1) -shifted symplectic target [HHR]. It is an interesting question to construct critical pullbacks in this global setting. A further lift of the problem to the categorical level looks also natural to study.

Notations and Conventions. Unless otherwise specified, all stacks are algebraic (Artin) stacks (over \mathbb{C}) as defined in the Stack Project [Sta, Def. Tag 026O], where no separation is imposed on the definition. All derived stacks are homotopically finitely presented derived Artin stacks [Toe].

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Jack Hall, Young-Hoon Kiem, Tasuki Kinjo, Henry Liu, Hiraku Nakajima, Andrei Okounkov, Hyeonjun Park, Feng Qu, Yongbin Ruan, Yang Zhou, Yehao Zhou, Zijun Zhou for helpful discussions and communications during the preparation of this work. We particularly thank Hyeonjun Park for generously sharing the draft of [Park2] in an early stage.

During the finalization stage of the present paper, we learned about the work in progress of Choa, Oh, and Thomas, which addresses a similar question of specialization to the normal cone in the critical K -theory. The authors thank Jeongseok Oh for interesting discussions on it. The construction of *loc. cit.* on a local chart defines the specialization of a locally free factorization as a limit of a Fulton-MacPherson graph in a Grassmannian bundle. On a global (-1) -shifted Lagrangian, the resolution property of the Lagrangian is needed to globalize the construction (compared to the Setting 2.5). We expect a comparison of the construction in *loc. cit.* with (4.21) to be interesting, which on one hand simplifies the deduction of properties of *loc. cit.*, and on the other hand gives a geometric description of the effect of (4.21) in terms of locally free factorizations.

G. Z. is partially supported by the Australian Research Council via DE190101222 and DP210103081. Y. T. is supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI initiative), MEXT, Japan, and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP24H00180. Y. T. is also supported by the Distinguished Visiting Professor Program at the Morningside Center of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

2. EXACT LAGRANGIANS ON DERIVED CRITICAL LOCI

We collect some basic notations regarding Lagrangians on derived critical loci, and spell out the setup under which the present paper is concerned. We refer to Appendix A for the basic notions on shifted symplectic structures.

2.1. Exact Lagrangians and relative shifted symplectic structures.

Definition 2.1. Let B be a derived stack with a function $\phi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ be the *derived critical locus* defined by the homotopy pullback diagram of derived stacks:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Crit}(\phi) & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow d\phi \\ B & \xrightarrow{0} & T^*B, \end{array}$$

where T^*B is the cotangent bundle stack of B .

The derived critical locus is endowed with a (-1) -shifted symplectic structure $\Omega_{\text{Crit}(\phi)}$ [PTVV, Thm. 2.9]. It has a canonical *exact* structure [Park2, Ex. 3.1.2], i.e. a nullhomotopy

$$(2.2) \quad [\Omega_{\text{Crit}(\phi)}] \sim 0$$

of the image $[\Omega_{\text{Crit}(\phi)}]$ of $\Omega_{\text{Crit}(\phi)}$ in the periodic cyclic homology.

Definition 2.2. Let $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ be a Lagrangian with defining nullhomotopy

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)}|_M \sim 0.$$

An *exact* structure on the Lagrangian is a contraction of the loop in the periodic cyclic homology of M , where the loop is formed by the image of the Lagrangian structure $[\Omega_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)}|_M] \sim 0$ and the pullback to M of the exact structure (2.2).

By composing with the inclusion $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \rightarrow B$, we obtain a map

$$(2.3) \quad M \rightarrow B,$$

which has a (relative) (-2) -shifted symplectic structure.

Proposition 2.3. [Park2, Cor. 3.1.3] *Let M be a derived stack over B . Then there exists a canonical equivalence between spaces of the following objects:*

- relative (-2) -shifted symplectic structures $\Omega_{M/B}$ with $[\Omega_{M/B}] = \phi|_M$ with $\phi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,
- morphisms $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ over B with exact Lagrangian structures.

Remark 2.4. The relative (-2) -shifted symplectic form $\Omega_{M/B}$ in Proposition 2.3 is called *ϕ -locked form* in [Park2].

2.2. Setting of the paper. Recall [DG2, Def. 1.1.8] that a derived stack S is *QCA* if it is quasi-compact with affine stabilizer, and the classical inertia stack is of finite presentation over the classical truncation of S .

Setting 2.5. *In the present paper, we consider the following data.*

- (1) (LG) Let B be a smooth QCA classical stack with a flat morphism $\pi_X : B \rightarrow X$ to the quotient stack $X = [S/H]$ of a smooth quasi-projective scheme S by a linear algebraic group H . Let $\phi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a flat regular function³ with pullback function $\phi = \phi_X \circ \pi_X : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- (2) (Oriented Lagrangian) A map of derived stacks

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{f} : M \rightarrow B,$$

which has a (relative) (-2) -shifted symplectic structure $\Omega_{\mathbf{f}}$, and a relative orientation in the sense of Definition A.6.

The above data are assumed to satisfy the following constraints.

- (1) (Compatibility) The class $[\Omega_{\mathbf{f}}]$ in periodic cyclic homology is given by $\phi|_M := \phi \circ \mathbf{f}$.
- (2) (DM) The classical truncation $f : M \rightarrow B$ of \mathbf{f} is a quasi-projective Deligne-Mumford morphism and $M = t_0(M)$ is Noetherian.
- (3) (Resolution) The restriction $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}}|_M$ of the cotangent complex to the classical truncation has a resolution by symmetric complex $(V \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{d^\vee} V^\vee)$ of finite rank bundles, where E is a quadratic bundle with a non-degenerate quadratic form q_E .

In §7, we further assume properness (or equivariant properness) of M to do integration. This is not needed before that section.

Example 2.6. The main examples considered in this paper are as follows:

- (1) (Quasimaps to critical loci): Let $W//G$ be a smooth GIT quotient of a complex vector space W by a linear algebraic group G , $\phi_{W/G} : W//G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a flat regular function, with derived critical locus $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_{W/G})$. Fix $n, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$, let $\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}$ be the stack of principal $(H_R := G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -bundles P on genus g , n -pointed prestable curves together with twists given by isomorphisms $P/G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R_\chi \cong \omega_{\log}$, where R_χ is a character of \mathbb{C}^* . A *curve class* is the degree of the principal G -bundle $P_G := P/\mathbb{C}^*$:

$$\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z}), \quad \beta(\xi) := \deg_C(P_G \times_G \mathbb{C}\xi).$$

For each β , let $\mathbf{QM}_{g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_{W/G}), \beta)$ be the derived moduli stack of twisted stable quasimaps to $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_{W/G})$ with natural morphism

$$\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{QM}_{g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_{W/G}), \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\mathrm{pt}/G]^n} (W//G)^n,$$

given by forgetting the sections in quasimaps data and evaluation at marked points of curves.

We refer to [CZ, §3] for more details about the construction.

³We assume the classical critical locus $\mathrm{Crit}(\phi_X) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi_X)$ embeds into the zero locus $Z(\phi_X)$.

- (2) (Relative Hilbert stacks on local log Calabi-Yau 4-folds): Let (Y, D) be a local log Calabi-Yau 4-fold (8.14), and P be some numerical K -theory class on Y , with restriction $P|_D$ on D . Assume the derived Hilbert scheme $\mathbf{Hilb}^{P|_D}(D)$ is given by the derived critical locus of a function on a smooth GIT quotient $W//G$ as in above. We take

$$f: \mathbf{Hilb}^P(Y, D) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^P \times (W//G)^n,$$

where the target \mathcal{A}^P is the stack of P -decorated expanded pairs, and n is the number of connected components of D . The domain is the derived relative Hilbert stack on (Y, D) . We refer to [CZZ] for more details about the construction.

In Appendix B, we verify hypotheses in Setting 2.5 in examples above.

3. FACTORIZATION CATEGORIES AND THEIR K -THEORIES

Categories of factorizations have been extensively studied from mathematical point of view after the pioneer work of Orlov [Or11, Or12]. We refer to [BFK, BDFIK, EP, PV2] and references therein. In this section, we recall the basic notions and several properties of their K -theories.

3.1. Abelian categories of factorizations.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack, and ϕ a regular function on \mathcal{X} . A *(quasi-)coherent factorization* of ϕ is a quadruple $\mathcal{E}_\bullet = (\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_{-1}, d_0, d_{-1})$ where \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{E}_{-1} are (quasi-)coherent sheaves and $d_{-1}: \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{-1}$ and $d_0: \mathcal{E}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0$ are morphisms of (quasi-)coherent sheaves so that

$$d_0 \circ d_{-1} = d_{-1} \circ d_0 = \phi.$$

We say a factorization \mathcal{E}_\bullet *locally free* if $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_{-1}$ are locally free.

A *morphism* between two factorizations is a map of pairs of (quasi-)coherent sheaves so that the obvious square commutes. Similarly, the notion of a *homotopy* between two morphisms is the obvious one [BDFIK, §2]. We denote the *abelian categories* of *coherent and quasi-coherent factorizations* of (\mathcal{X}, ϕ) by

$$\mathrm{Fact}_\star(\mathcal{X}, \phi), \quad \star = \mathrm{coh}, \mathrm{qcoh}.$$

We say a factorization \mathcal{E}_\bullet is *contractible* if its identity map is homotopic to zero.

There is an *exterior product* on categories of factorizations as [BFK, Def. 3.22, Def. 3.51]:

$$(3.1) \quad \boxtimes: \mathrm{Fact}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \otimes \mathrm{Fact}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{Y}, \phi') \rightarrow \mathrm{Fact}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \phi \boxplus \phi')$$

$$(\mathcal{E}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet := \left((\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet)_0, (\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet)_{-1}, d_0^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet}, d_{-1}^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet} \right),$$

where

$$(\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet)_0 := \mathcal{E}_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{E}_{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{-1},$$

$$(\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet)_{-1} := \mathcal{E}_{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{E}_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{-1},$$

$$d_0^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet} := \begin{pmatrix} d_0^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \boxtimes 1 & 1 \boxtimes d_0^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} \\ -1 \boxtimes d_{-1}^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} & d_{-1}^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \boxtimes 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$d_{-1}^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet} := \begin{pmatrix} d_{-1}^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \boxtimes 1 & -1 \boxtimes d_0^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} \\ 1 \boxtimes d_{-1}^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} & d_0^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \boxtimes 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the sum function is given by

$$\phi \boxplus \phi': \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \phi(x) + \phi'(y).$$

3.2. Derived categories of factorizations. With the obvious notions of suspension auto-equivalence [1], mapping cone of a morphism, and homotopy between two morphisms, $\text{Fact}_\star(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$ ($\star = \text{coh}, \text{qcoh}$) has a *homotopy category* $\mathcal{K}(\text{Fact}_\star(\mathcal{X}, \phi))$, which are triangulated categories.

Starting with a bounded complex of factorizations, its totalization in the obvious sense gives a single factorization. Let Acycl be the smallest thick subcategory of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Fact}_\star(\mathcal{X}, \phi))$ containing totalizations of all bounded exact complexes from $\text{Fact}_\star(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$. Objects of Acycl are called *acyclic*. The Verdier quotient is denoted by

$$\text{MF}_\star(\mathcal{X}, \phi),$$

called the *matrix factorization category* of (\mathcal{X}, ϕ) . As we mainly work in the coherent setting, we often use the shorthand

$$\text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi) := \text{MF}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{X}, \phi).$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a closed substack and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ be the complement with an open immersion $j : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We say that a factorization \mathcal{E}_\bullet is *supported on* \mathcal{Z} if $j^*\mathcal{E}_\bullet$ is acyclic on \mathcal{U} . The full subcategory of factorizations supported on \mathcal{Z} is denoted by $\text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi)_\mathcal{Z}$.

We say that \mathcal{E}_\bullet is *locally contractible* off \mathcal{Z} if there is a smooth atlas $p : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ so that $p^*\mathcal{E}_\bullet$ is *contractible* (i.e. the identity map is homotopic to the zero map).

Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack with two regular functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , \mathcal{E}_\bullet be a factorization of ϕ_1 and \mathcal{F}_\bullet a factorization of ϕ_2 . Then there is a tensor $\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ which is a factorization of $\phi_1 + \phi_2$. Here the d_i 's on the tensor are defined similar to the differentials on a tensor complex with the same sign convention as (3.1). Notice in particular that the tensor of \mathcal{E}_\bullet with a morphism between \mathcal{F}_\bullet and \mathcal{F}'_\bullet is well-defined; and a homotopy between two morphisms induces a homotopy between the two morphisms on the tensor. The following lemma is standard.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack with two regular functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 on it, $\mathcal{E}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ be a factorization of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 respectively.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{F}_\bullet is contractible, then $\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is contractible.*
- (2) *In particular, if \mathcal{F}_\bullet is locally contractible off a closed substack \mathcal{Z} , then so is $\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet$.*
- (3) *If \mathcal{E}_\bullet is locally free and \mathcal{F}_\bullet is acyclic, then $\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is acyclic.*

Proof. For (1), assume $h_{\mathcal{F}_\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} : \mathcal{F}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\bullet[1]$ is a homotopy between $\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_\bullet}$ and the zero endomorphism on \mathcal{F}_\bullet . Then, it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet} &:= \begin{pmatrix} d_{-1}^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes h_{-1}^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} \\ 1 \otimes h_0^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} & -d_0^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ h_{-1}^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet} &:= \begin{pmatrix} -d_0^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \otimes 1 & 1 \otimes h_{-1}^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} \\ -1 \otimes h_0^{\mathcal{F}_\bullet} & d_{-1}^{\mathcal{E}_\bullet} \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

provides a homotopy between $\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet}$ and the zero endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet$. The statement (2) follows directly from (1).

The statement about acyclicity follows from the fact that the tensor product with flat coherent sheaves preserves exactness. \square

3.3. Critical K-theories.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack with a regular function $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Its *critical K-theory* is the Grothendieck group of the coherent matrix factorization category:

$$K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) := K_0(\text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi)).$$

Similarly, for a closed substack \mathcal{Z} , we define

$$K_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}, \phi) := K_0(\text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi)_\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $Z(\phi) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be the zero locus of the function ϕ , with inclusion $i : Z(\phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. There is a natural functor from the derived category of coherent sheaves on $Z(\phi)$:*

$$\Upsilon : \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Z(\phi)) \rightarrow \text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi), \quad \mathcal{E} \mapsto (i_*\mathcal{E}, 0, 0, 0),$$

which induce a surjective map of Grothendieck groups

$$(3.2) \quad K_0(Z(\phi)) \twoheadrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi).$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{X} is a quotient stack of a smooth quasi-projective variety by a reductive group, and ϕ is flat, then the kernel of the composition is generated by $[\mathcal{E}]$ with \mathcal{E} a vector bundle on $Z(\phi)$.

Proof. The surjectivity follows from [BDFIK, Lem. 2.24].

Under the additional assumptions, the functor Υ descends to a functor

$$D_{\text{coh}}^b(Z(\phi))/\text{Perf}(Z(\phi)) \rightarrow \text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$$

where $\text{Perf}(Z(\phi))$ is the subcategory of perfect complexes [Or11], [BFK, Lem. 3.63], and the induced functor is an equivalence of categories [Or11], [BFK, Rmk. 3.65], [PV2, Thm. 3.14]. Therefore, the kernel of the map (3.2) is generated by vector bundles on $Z(\phi)$ [Sch, Exer. 3.1.6]. \square

Remark 3.6. The category $\text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, 0)$ can be described as the derived category of 2-periodic complexes of coherent sheaves \mathcal{E}_\bullet , which have two cohomology sheaves $H^i(\mathcal{E}_\bullet)$ with $i = -1, 0$.

Let \mathcal{Z} be a closed substack of a Noetherian stack \mathcal{X} , we have the following notions on \mathcal{E}_\bullet :

- (1) *cohomologically supported* on \mathcal{Z} : $H^i(\mathcal{E}_\bullet)$ is supported on \mathcal{Z} ,
- (2) *cohomologically acyclic off* \mathcal{Z} : $H^i(\mathcal{E}_\bullet|_{\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{Z}}) = 0$,
- (3) *locally contractible off* \mathcal{Z} : see Definition 3.2.

We have (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (2) (e.g. [OS, Cor. 2.10 (ii)]).

As argued in [OS, Lem. 2.12], if \mathcal{E}_\bullet satisfies (1), there exists a well-defined class

$$[\mathcal{E}_\bullet] := [H^0(\mathcal{E}_\bullet)] - [H^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_\bullet)] \in K_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) := K_0(D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{Z}}).$$

By dévissage, we have $K_0(\mathcal{Z}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z})$.

The exterior product (3.1) induces an *exterior tensor product* map on critical K -theories:

$$(3.3) \quad \boxtimes : K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{Y}, \phi') \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \phi \boxplus \phi'),$$

$$([\mathcal{E}_\bullet], [\mathcal{F}_\bullet]) \mapsto [\mathcal{E}_\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet].$$

It is straightforward to check the map is well-defined by using the fact that projections $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ are flat. (Any \mathbb{C} -stack is flat over \mathbb{C} .)

As a consequence of Lemma 3.3, we have the following.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{X} be a Noetherian algebraic stack with two regular functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 on it. Let \mathcal{E}_\bullet be a locally free factorization of ϕ_1 . Then there is a well-defined functor*

$$\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes (-) : \text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi_2) \rightarrow \text{MF}(\mathcal{X}, \phi_1 + \phi_2).$$

If furthermore $\phi_2 = -\phi_1$, and that \mathcal{E}_\bullet is locally contractible off a closed substack \mathcal{Z} , then the above functor induces a well-defined map

$$\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes (-) : K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi_2) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. Replacing the exterior tensor product in (3.1) by tensor product, we have a functor:

$$\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes (-) : \text{Fact}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{X}, \phi_2) \rightarrow \text{Fact}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{X}, \phi_2 + \phi_1),$$

which preserves short exact sequences by the flatness of \mathcal{E}_i , and descends to the derived category by Lemma 3.3.

If furthermore \mathcal{E}_\bullet is locally contractible off \mathcal{Z} , then Lemma 3.3 yields that $\mathcal{E}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is locally contractible off \mathcal{Z} . The map between Grothendieck groups is guaranteed by [OS, Lem. 2.12] (see Remark 3.6 above). \square

4. SPECIALIZATION TO THE NORMAL CONE IN CRITICAL K -THEORY

We construct a specialization map in critical K -theories. This will be used to construct critical pullbacks in §6.

4.1. Some categorical preparations. For a full subcategory \mathcal{A} of a triangulated category \mathcal{B} , we denote by $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ the smallest triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{B} which contains \mathcal{A} and is closed under taking direct summands.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a finite rank vector bundle on a QCA stack M . Then we have*

$$D_{\text{coh}}^b(E) = \langle \pi^* D_{\text{coh}}^b(M) \rangle.$$

Proof. In this proof, we use the shorthand $\mathrm{Coh}(-)$ to denote the pre-triangulated dg-category of bounded derived category of coherent sheaves, i.e. a dg-enhancement of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(-)$, and $\mathrm{IndCoh}(-)$ the ind-coherent sheaves. We refer to [Gai, DG2] for the foundation and basic properties of ind-coherent sheaves for stacks. Then

$$\pi^* \mathrm{Coh}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(E) = (\mathrm{IndCoh}(E))^{\mathrm{cp}},$$

where the superscript denotes compact generators of ind-coherent sheaves.

Since π is scheme-theoretic and quasi-compact, there is a well-defined functor (e.g. [DG2, §3.2.9]):

$$\pi_* : \mathrm{IndCoh}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{IndCoh}(M).$$

For $A \in \mathrm{IndCoh}(E)$, if $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi^* \mathrm{Coh}(M), A) = 0$, by adjunction, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Coh}(M), \pi_* A) = 0,$$

which implies that $\pi_* A = 0 \in \mathrm{IndCoh}(M)$.

We claim that

$$(4.1) \quad A = 0 \in \mathrm{IndCoh}(E).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\pi^* \mathrm{Coh}(M)) = \mathrm{IndCoh}(E) = \mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Coh}(E))$$

where the last one is the Ind-completion of $\mathrm{Coh}(E)$ and the last equality holds by [DG2, Thm. 0.4.5] as E is a QCA stack by assumption. Then $\mathrm{Coh}(E) = \langle \pi^* \mathrm{Coh}(M) \rangle$ follows.

To prove (4.1), we note that [DG2, pp. 192, (3.14)]:

$$\mathrm{IndCoh}(E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim \mathrm{IndCoh}(E|_{U_i}).$$

Here the limit is after smooth morphisms $U_i \rightarrow M$ from an affine U_i . Then it is enough to show (4.1) holds an affine cover of M . Let U_i be an affine scheme with smooth map $g_i : U_i \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_{U_i} \cong U_i \times \mathbb{A}^n & \xrightarrow{g_i} & E \\ \pi_i \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \pi \\ U_i & \xrightarrow{g_i} & M. \end{array}$$

Base change implies that

$$0 = g_i^! \pi_* A = \pi_{i*} g_i^! A.$$

Then we are left to show $\pi_{i*} : \mathrm{IndCoh}(E|_{U_i}) \rightarrow \mathrm{IndCoh}(U_i)$ is conservative.

We have an equivalence (e.g. [DG2, §3.2.3]):

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{IndCoh}(U_i) \otimes \mathrm{IndCoh}(\mathbb{A}^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{IndCoh}(U_i \times \mathbb{A}^n),$$

and

$$\mathrm{IndCoh}(U_i) = \mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Coh}(U_i)), \quad \mathrm{IndCoh}(\mathbb{A}^n) = \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}^n)$$

which is compactly generated by $\mathrm{Coh}(U_i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ respectively. So $\mathrm{IndCoh}(E|_{U_i})$ is compactly generated by $\pi_i^* \mathrm{Coh}(U_i)$. This implies that π_{i*} is conservative, because $\pi_{i*} A' = 0$ gives that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_i^* \mathrm{Coh}(U_i), A') = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Coh}(U_i), \pi_{i*} A') = 0,$$

and the above compact generation of $\mathrm{IndCoh}(E|_{U_i})$ implies that $A' = 0$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f: M \rightarrow B$ be a quasi-projective morphism between classical stacks and B is smooth and QCA. Then $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(M)$ is compactly generated by $\mathrm{Perf}(M)$.*

Proof. In this proof, we use shorthands $\mathrm{Coh}(-)$ (resp. $\mathrm{QCoh}(-)$) to denote dg-enhancement of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(-)$ (resp. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(-)$).

Since B is QCA, by [DG2, Thm. 0.4.5], we have

$$\mathrm{IndCoh}(B) = \mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Coh}(B)).$$

Since B is smooth, we have

$$\mathrm{IndCoh}(B) = \mathrm{QCoh}(B), \quad \mathrm{Coh}(B) = \mathrm{Perf}(B),$$

where the first equality follows from [AG, Thm. 4.2.6] and the fact that the singular support $\text{Sing}(B)$ of B is the classical truncation of the (-1) -shifted cotangent $T^*[-1]B$, which is isomorphic to B , the second follows from the smoothness of B . Therefore

$$(4.2) \quad \text{QCoh}(B) = \text{Ind}(\text{Perf}(B))$$

is compactly generated by $\text{Perf}(B)$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a relative ample line bundle for $f : M \rightarrow B$ and consider subcategories

$$(4.3) \quad \{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^* \text{Perf}(B)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \text{Perf}(M) = \text{QCoh}(M)^{\text{cp}}.$$

Here $\text{QCoh}(M)^{\text{cp}}$ is the subcategory of compact objects on $\text{QCoh}(M)$, which coincides with perfect complexes $\text{Perf}(M)$ by [DG2, Cor. 1.4.3].

Take $A \in \text{QCoh}(M)$ such that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^* \text{Perf}(B), A) = 0$ for any n . Then by adjunction,

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Perf}(B), f_*(A \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -n})) = 0,$$

which implies that $f_*(A \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -n}) = 0$, since $\text{Perf}(B)$ compactly generates $\text{QCoh}(B)$ as argued in (4.2). This further implies that $A = 0$ as f is quasi-projective. This implies that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes f^* \text{Perf}(B)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ compactly generates $\text{QCoh}(M)$, hence $\text{Perf}(M)$ compactly generates $\text{QCoh}(M)$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow M$ be an affine morphism between derived stacks, Z is QCA, and $D_{\text{qcoh}}(M)$ is compactly generated by $\text{Perf}(M)$. Then*

$$\text{Perf}(Z) = \langle \pi^* \text{Perf}(M) \rangle.$$

Proof. Given $\mathcal{E} \in D_{\text{qcoh}}(Z)$ with

$$0 = \text{Hom}(\text{Perf}(M), \pi_* \mathcal{E}) = \text{Hom}(\pi^* \text{Perf}(M), \mathcal{E}),$$

we have $\pi_* \mathcal{E} = 0$ as $D_{\text{qcoh}}(M)$ is compactly generated by $\text{Perf}(M)$.

Since π is affine, so π_* is conservative on $D_{\text{qcoh}}(-)$, and hence $\mathcal{E} = 0$. Therefore we know $\pi^* \text{Perf}(M)$ compactly generates $D_{\text{qcoh}}(Z)$. By [DG2, Cor. 1.4.3], $\text{Perf}(Z)$ is the subcategory of compact objects of $D_{\text{qcoh}}(Z)$, therefore $\text{Perf}(Z) = \langle \pi^* \text{Perf}(M) \rangle$ by taking the subcategory of compact objects in $D_{\text{qcoh}}(Z)$ [DG2, §0.6.7]. \square

4.2. Potential function on deformation space. Let

$$f : M \rightarrow B$$

be a Deligne-Mumford morphism between algebraic stacks, by [Kre1, §6.1] which generalizes [Ful, §5], one has a *deformation space* M_f° flat over \mathbb{P}^1 such that we have the following Cartesian diagrams

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C}_f & \hookrightarrow & M_f^\circ & \longleftarrow & B \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \text{flat} & \square & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}, \end{array}$$

where \mathfrak{C}_f is the intrinsic normal cone of f [BF].

Define an *open substack* \mathring{M}_f of the deformation space M_f° by the Cartesian diagram:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{M}_f & \hookrightarrow & M_f^\circ \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \text{flat} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & \mathbb{P}^1. \end{array}$$

Restricting diagram (4.4) to the open substack \mathring{M}_f gives

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C}_f & \hookrightarrow & \mathring{M}_f & \longleftarrow & B \times \mathbb{C}^* \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow t \text{ flat} & \square & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^*. \end{array}$$

Assume $f : M \rightarrow B$ is the classical truncation of \mathbf{f} in Setting 2.5, and

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbb{E}_f := \mathbb{L}_f|_M \cong (V \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{d^\vee} V^\vee)$$

is a symmetric resolution by finite rank vector bundles V, E on M . There is a closed immersion

$$(4.8) \quad \mathfrak{C}_f \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_f}$$

of the intrinsic normal cone \mathfrak{C}_f of f into the abelian cone stack [BF, Prop. 2.4]:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_f} = [\mathrm{Spec}_M \mathrm{Sym}(Q)/V]$$

of \mathbb{E}_f , where $Q = \mathrm{Cok}(d)$. It is proved in [Park1, Prop. 1.7] that there is a well-defined function

$$q_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_f}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by the descent of the function

$$\mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{Sym}(Q) \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{q_E} \mathbb{C}.$$

We denote its restriction to \mathfrak{C}_f via (4.8) to be

$$(4.9) \quad q_{\mathfrak{C}_f} : \mathfrak{C}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

We notice that by the construction of \mathring{M}_f , there is a natural map

$$(4.10) \quad \mathring{M}_f \rightarrow B$$

making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{M}_f & \hookrightarrow & B \times \mathbb{C}^* \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & B, \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is the projection and horizontal map is an open immersion. Denote the pullback of function ϕ to \mathring{M}_f by $\phi|_{\mathring{M}_f}$.

Lemma 4.4. *There is a regular function $\bar{\phi} : \mathring{M}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

- $t^2 \bar{\phi} = \phi|_{\mathring{M}_f}$, where t is the coordinate function of \mathbb{A}^1
- $\bar{\phi}|_{\mathfrak{C}_f} = q_{\mathfrak{C}_f}$.

Proof. Let D_f be the derived deformation space of $f: M \rightarrow B$ with canonical map $M \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow D_f$ (e.g. [Park2, §1.2]). By [Park2, Prop. 5.1.1], we can deform the (-2) -shifted symplectic form Ω_f on $f: M \rightarrow B$ to a (-2) -shifted symplectic form Ω on $M \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow D_f$. In particular, when restricting to a general fiber $t \neq 0$, Ω becomes $t^{-2} \cdot \Omega_f$.

We can take the underlying function [Park2, Def. 1.1.1] of Ω_f and Ω (i.e. their image in the periodic cyclic homology), denoted by $[\Omega_f]$ and $[\Omega]$ respectively. By Setting 2.5, we have

$$[\Omega_f] = \phi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

We define $\bar{\phi}$ to be the restriction of $[\Omega]$ to the classical truncation of D_f , which defines a function $\bar{\phi} : \mathring{M}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Its restriction to general fibers then satisfies

$$\bar{\phi}|_{B \times \{t\}} = t^{-2} \cdot \phi.$$

As for the restriction of $\bar{\phi}$ to the special fiber, by tracing the map Sp in the bottom of [Park2, pp. 50], and using [Park2, Prop. 3.2.1 (2)], one can see this is exactly the quadratic function $q_{\mathfrak{C}_f}$ mentioned in [Park1, Rmk. 1.8], i.e. (4.9).

To sum up, we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C}_f & \hookrightarrow & \mathring{M}_f & \hookrightarrow & B \times \mathbb{C}^* \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \bar{\phi} & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{A}^1 & & \end{array}$$

$q_{\mathfrak{C}_f}$ $t^{-2} \phi$

By the flatness of $t: \mathring{M}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_{\infty}$, this implies that $t^2 \bar{\phi} = \phi|_{\mathring{M}_f}$. □

Remark 4.5. The above proof uses symplectic deformation of (-2) -shifted symplectic structure to normal bundle/cone [Park2, §5.1]. One can alternatively give a direct construction of the function by gluing local ones on (-1) -shifted Lagrangian neighbourhood charts of Joyce-Safronov [JS].

4.3. Specialization map for zero loci. Continued with the previous section, denote derived zero loci $Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi})$, $Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f})$ by the following homotopy pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi}) \hookrightarrow \mathring{M}_f & & Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_f \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \bar{\phi} \\ \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 & & \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_f & & \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow q_{\mathcal{C}_f} = \bar{\phi}|_{\mathcal{C}_f} \\ \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 & & \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array}$$

We have inclusions of closed and open substacks

$$(4.11) \quad Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f}) \xrightarrow{i} Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi}) \xleftarrow{j} Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where i is a regular embedding, defined by the zero locus of $t : Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$.

By the localization sequence (e.g. [Kh, Thm. 3.9]), the Grothendieck groups of coherent sheaves on them fit into an exact sequence

$$K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f})) \xrightarrow{i_*} K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi})) \xrightarrow{j^*} K_0(Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since i is a regular closed immersion, there is a Gysin pullback

$$i^* : K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi})) \rightarrow K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f})),$$

which satisfies that $i^*i_* = 0$ since the normal bundle of i is a trivial line bundle⁴. Therefore there is a unique vertical map making the following diagram commutative

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi})) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & K_0(Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^*) \\ & \searrow i^* & \downarrow \exists! \\ & & K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f})). \end{array}$$

We define a *specialization map*:

$$(4.13) \quad sp : K_0(Z(\phi)) \rightarrow K_0(Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f})),$$

as the composition of smooth pullback of the projection

$$Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow Z(\phi)$$

and the vertical map in (4.12).

Given a symmetric resolution as in Setting 2.5:

$$\mathbb{E}_f := \mathbb{L}_f|_M \cong (V \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{d^\vee} V^\vee),$$

we define closed substack C_f of E by the base change:

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_f \hookrightarrow E & & \\ p \downarrow & \square & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{C}_f \hookrightarrow [E/V] & & \end{array}$$

By [Park1, Prop. 1.7], we know

$$q_E|_{C_f} = q_{\mathcal{C}_f} \circ p : C_f \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1,$$

where q_E is the quadratic function of the quadratic bundle E .

Notations as in Setting 2.5, we define

$$(4.15) \quad K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \xrightarrow{\pi_X^*} K_0(Z(\phi)) \xrightarrow{sp} K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(q_{\mathcal{C}_f})) \xrightarrow{p^*} K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f})).$$

Lemma 4.6. *The image of a perfect complex on $Z(\phi_X)$ under the composition (4.15) can be represented by a perfect complex on $Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f})$.*

⁴We refer to [AP] for intersection operations in K -theory.

Proof. The maps π_X^* and p^* clearly send perfect complexes to perfect complexes. It is enough to show the statement for the specialization map sp .

By Lemma 4.4, we have a closed immersion and a projection

$$Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi}) \hookrightarrow Z^{\text{der}}(\phi|_{\tilde{M}_f}) \rightarrow Z^{\text{der}}(\phi) = Z(\phi),$$

where the second arrow is induced by the natural map (4.10) and the last equality is by the flatness of $\phi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By pulling back along these maps, a perfect complex \mathcal{E} on $Z(\phi)$ goes to a perfect complex $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on $Z^{\text{der}}(\bar{\phi})$. It is easy to check that the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ to the open locus $Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ coincides with the pullback of \mathcal{E} via $Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow Z(\phi)$ (up to multiplication by the invertible function t^{-2}). Since $sp(\mathcal{E})$ is represented by $i^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ where i is the closed immersion in (4.11), it follows that $sp(\mathcal{E})$ is represented by a perfect complex. \square

4.4. Relative singularity category and matrix factorization category. Consider homotopy pullback diagrams

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f}) & \hookrightarrow & Z(q_E) & \longrightarrow & \{0\} \\ \downarrow \iota_C & \square & \downarrow \iota_E & \square & \downarrow \\ C_f & \hookrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{q_E} & \mathbb{A}^1, \end{array}$$

where we note that q_E is flat so its derived zero locus coincides with the classical zero locus $Z(q_E)$.

We have functors of taking quotient and proper pushforward:

$$(4.17) \quad \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f})) \rightarrow \frac{\text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f}))}{\langle \iota_C^* \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(C_f) \rangle} \rightarrow \frac{\text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Z(q_E))}{\langle \iota_E^* \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(E) \rangle}.$$

We show perfect complexes goes to zero under the functor (4.17).

Proposition 4.7. *Notations as in diagram (4.16), then any perfect complex on $Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f})$ lies in $\langle \iota_C^* \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(C_f) \rangle$*

Proof. Consider the composition of ι_C in (4.16) with the natural projection $p : C_f \rightarrow M$:

$$(4.18) \quad \pi_{Z \rightarrow M} : Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f}) \rightarrow M.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 to $f : M \rightarrow B$, we know $\text{D}_{\text{qcoh}}(M)$ is compactly generated by $\text{Perf}(M)$. Now applying Lemma 4.3 to (4.18), we conclude that

$$\text{Perf}(Z^{\text{der}}(q_E|_{C_f})) = \langle \pi_{Z \rightarrow M}^* \text{Perf}(M) \rangle = \langle \iota_C^* p^* \text{Perf}(M) \rangle,$$

which is clearly a subcategory of $\langle \iota_C^* \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(C_f) \rangle$. \square

Now we go from the relative singularity category to the matrix factorization category. Note that our space M (hence E) could be stacky and singular, it may not have resolution property.

Proposition 4.8. *Notations as in diagram (4.16). The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{E*} : \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Z(q_E)) &\rightarrow \text{MF}(E, q_E), \\ \mathcal{E} &\mapsto (\iota_{E*} \mathcal{E}, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

descends to a functor

$$(4.19) \quad \iota_{E*} : \frac{\text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Z(q_E))}{\langle \iota_E^* \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(E) \rangle} \rightarrow \text{MF}(E, q_E).$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [EP, §2.7, Theorem]. Let $F^\bullet \in \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(E)$, then

$$\iota_E^* F^\bullet = (F^\bullet \xrightarrow{q_E} F^\bullet).$$

Assume that $F^\bullet = \pi^* G^\bullet$ for some $G^\bullet \in \text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(M)$. Since the function q_E locally depends only on fibers, and $\pi^* G^\bullet$ is pulled back from the base, hence $\pi^* G^i$ is q_E -flat, i.e. $\pi^* G^i \xrightarrow{q_E} \pi^* G^i$ is injective for any i . There is a short exact sequence of matrix factorizations:

$$(\pi^* G^i, \pi^* G^i, q_E, 1) \rightarrow (\pi^* G^i, \pi^* G^i, 1, q_E) \rightarrow \iota_{E*} \iota_E^* F^i = (\pi^* G^i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z(q_E)}, 0, 0, 0),$$

where the first two terms are zero in $\mathrm{MF}(E, q_E)$ (e.g. [BDFIK, Lem. 2.24]), so is the last term. Therefore

$$\iota_{E*} \iota_E^* F^\bullet = \iota_{E*} (F^\bullet \xrightarrow{q_E} F^\bullet) = \iota_{E*} (\pi^* G^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z(q_E)})$$

is zero in the derived matrix factorization category. The vanishing obviously holds also for any direct summand of $\iota_{E*} \iota_E^* F^\bullet$. By using Lemma 4.1, we are done. \square

4.5. Definition of specialization map for critical K -theories. Combining (4.15), (4.17), (4.19), we obtain:

$$(4.20) \quad K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \xrightarrow{(4.15)} K_0(Z^{\mathrm{der}}(q_E|_{C_f})) \xrightarrow{(4.17)} K_0\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(Z(q_E))}{\langle \iota_E^* D_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(E) \rangle}\right) \xrightarrow{(4.19)} K_0(E, q_E).$$

By Proposition 3.5, there is an exact sequence

$$K_0(\mathrm{Perf}(Z(\phi_X))) \rightarrow K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \rightarrow K_0(X, \phi_X) \rightarrow 0$$

By Lemma 4.6, Proposition 4.7, we know that the K -theory class of a perfect complex on $Z(\phi_X)$ goes to zero under the composition of (4.15), (4.17). Therefore (4.20) descends to a map from $K_0(X, \phi_X)$.

Definition 4.9. Notations as above, we define the *specialization map*:

$$(4.21) \quad \sigma_{\pi_X \circ f} : K_0(X, \phi_X) \rightarrow K_0(E, q_E)$$

as the unique map such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\phi_X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(X, \phi_X) \\ & \searrow (4.20) & \downarrow \sigma_{\pi_X \circ f} \\ & & K_0(E, q_E). \end{array}$$

Remark 4.10. In an arbitrary 1-parameter family, the K -theory of coherent sheaves has a *specialization map*. In contrast, when the family has a potential function, *critical K -theory* does not necessarily have a specialization map which is compatible with the canonical map.

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^2$, $Z = \Delta$ be the diagonal of X and $U := X \setminus Z$ be the complement, which fit into Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \hookrightarrow & X & \longleftarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow x-y & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{C} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^*. \end{array}$$

Take a regular function $\phi : X = \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$. A specialization map is a map

$$(4.22) \quad K_0(U, \phi|_U) \rightarrow K_0(Z, \phi|_Z),$$

obtained by taking an extension to $K_0(X, \phi)$, followed by the Gysin pullback $i^!$ to the zero fiber. Note that critical locus of ϕ is supported on Z , hence $K_0(U, \phi|_U) = 0$. If (4.22) exists, Gysin pullback

$$i^! : K_0(X, \phi) \rightarrow K_0(Z, \phi|_Z)$$

must be zero. However, $[(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}, x, y)] \in K_0(X, \phi)$ goes to the generator of $K_0(Z, \phi|_Z) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

5. SPINOR MORPHISMS

In this section, we construct in a greater generality a K -theoretic analogue to the Koszul/fundamental factorizations in the literature [CFGKS, FK, PV3], which we call spinor morphisms (Definition 5.5). With the specialization map defined in the previous section, they will be used to construct critical pullbacks in §6.

5.1. Koszul factorization. Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack with a regular function $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let Λ be a finite rank vector bundle on \mathcal{X} and $E := \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^\vee$ be the direct sum with natural non-degenerate quadratic form Q defined by pairing Λ and Λ^\vee . Let $s_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$ and $s_1 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda^\vee)$ such that

$$\langle s_0, s_1 \rangle = \phi.$$

Written using Q and $s = (s_0, s_1)$, the above is equivalent to $Q(s, s) = 2\phi$.

Definition 5.1. The *Koszul factorization* $\text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)$ of the above data is

$$(5.1) \quad \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k+1} \Lambda^\vee \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s_1 \wedge + \iota_{s_0}} \\ \xleftarrow{s_1 \wedge + \iota_{s_0}} \end{array} \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k} \Lambda^\vee.$$

It is easy to check the composition of two differentials above is the multiplication by ϕ [BFK, Def. 3.19]. Therefore it is an object in the abelian category $\text{Fact}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$ of matrix factorizations.

Proposition 5.2. *We have the following:*

- (1) *In the setup above, for any section s of E so that $Q(s, s) = 2\phi$, the underlying pair of coherent sheaves of the factorization $\text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)$ is a pair of flat coherent sheaves.*
- (2) *The factorization $\text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)$ is locally contractible off $Z(s)$.*

Proof. (1) By definition, this is a pair of locally free coherent sheaves.

(2) There is a smooth atlas on which $\text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)$ is a tensor product of flat factorizations with at least a tensor-factor contractible (e.g. [CFGKS, proof of Prop. 2.3.3]). Hence, the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.3. \square

5.2. Spinor morphism: special case. Let (E, Q) be a non-degenerate quadratic bundle on an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} , with a choice of *orientation*, i.e. a reduction of the structure group of (E, Q) from $O(E, Q)$ to $SO(E, Q)$. Assume there is a maximal isotropic subbundle Λ of E , so that there is a short exact sequence

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow E \rightarrow \Lambda^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

We define the sign $(-1)^{|\Lambda|} = \pm 1$ of Λ according to the choice of orientation on (E, Q) [OT, Def. 2.2].

In this section, we use a Jouanolou-type trick as follows. Consider the total space of vector bundle $\mathcal{H}om(\Lambda^\vee, E)$ on \mathcal{X} . We define stack $\mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E}$ by the following Cartesian diagram of stacks over \mathcal{X} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om(\Lambda^\vee, E) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \{\text{id}_{\Lambda^\vee}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om(\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda^\vee), \end{array}$$

where $\text{id}_{\Lambda^\vee} : \Lambda^\vee \rightarrow \Lambda^\vee$ is the identity map, and the right vertical map is via the projection in (5.2). Clearly, $\mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E}$ is a torsor over the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}om(\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda)$. By construction, after base change to $\mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E}$, the sequence (5.2) splits which gives a canonical isomorphism

$$E|_{\mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E}} \cong \Lambda|_{\mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E}} \oplus \Lambda^\vee|_{\mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E} \subset \mathcal{Y}'_{\Lambda, E}$ be the locus where quadratic forms are preserved under the isomorphism above, where the quadratic form on $\Lambda \oplus \Lambda^\vee$ is given by the pairing $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ between Λ and Λ^\vee . Now we construct a subbundle of $\mathcal{H}om(\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda)$, over which $\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}$ is a torsor. This is a local question. We choose a local basis of Λ and dual basis of Λ^\vee . Consider the subspace of $\mathcal{H}om(\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda)$ where the map $\varphi : \Lambda^\vee \rightarrow \Lambda$ preserves the quadratic form on $\Lambda^\vee \oplus \Lambda$. Under the choice of basis, this condition on φ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & \varphi \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{id} \\ \text{id} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ \varphi^t & \text{id} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{id} \\ \text{id} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Here id is the identity matrix with the same rank as $\text{rk } \Lambda$ and φ^t denotes the transpose of the matrix φ . The condition above reduces to

$$\varphi + \varphi^t = 0,$$

which defines a subvector bundle of $\mathcal{H}om(\Lambda^\vee, \Lambda)$, since on each fiber over \mathcal{X} the subspace consists of skew-symmetric matrices. (More intrinsically, it is the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of $SO(E, Q)$ preserving Λ). It is straightforward to check $\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}$ is a torsor over this subvector bundle.

Denote the projection of the affine bundle $\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}$ to the base \mathcal{X} by

$$(5.3) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

By pulling E back to $\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}$, we have a canonical isomorphism of quadratic bundles:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* E \cong \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \Lambda \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \Lambda^\vee.$$

Let s be a section of E such that $2\phi := -Q(s, s)$. Then construction in §5.1 gives a Koszul factorization $\text{Kos}(\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \Lambda, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* s)$ of $-\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \phi$.

Definition 5.3. Let (E, Q) be an oriented non-degenerate quadratic bundle on an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} such that (5.2) exists. Let s be a section of E and $2\phi := -Q(s, s) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Denote $Z(s) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ to be the zero locus of s , and $\tilde{Z}(s) := \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(Z(s))$ to be the preimage.

The *spinor morphism* $\text{Spin}(E, \Lambda, s)$ is the following composition:

$$(5.4) \quad K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^*} K_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \phi) \xrightarrow{\otimes (-1)^{|\Lambda|} \text{Kos}(\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \Lambda, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* s)} K_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}, \tilde{Z}(s)) \cong K_0(\tilde{Z}(s)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\otimes \sqrt{\det \Lambda}} K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where the first map is the flat pullback by (5.3), the second map is given by Proposition 3.7 while conditions therein are guaranteed by Proposition 5.2, $(-1)^{|\Lambda|} = \pm 1$ is the sign of Λ [OT, Def. 2.2]. The first isomorphism is by dévissage, the second is by the homotopy property of K -theory, e.g. [Kh, Thm. 3.17], the square root $\sqrt{\det \Lambda}$ exists in $K_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ by [OT, Lem. 5.1].

Proposition 5.4. *Notations as above, if there is an isomorphism $E \cong \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^\vee$ of quadratic vector bundles, then the composition*

$$K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \xrightarrow{\otimes (-1)^{|\Lambda|} \text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)} K_0(\mathcal{X}, Z(s)) \cong K_0(Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\otimes \sqrt{\det \Lambda}} K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$$

coincides with the spinor morphism (5.4). Here the isomorphism is by dévissage.

Proof. The isomorphism $E \cong \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^\vee$ defines a section i of $\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, which restricts to a section i of $\tilde{Z}(s) \rightarrow Z(s)$. And we have

$$i^* \text{Kos}(\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \Lambda, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* s) = \text{Kos}(\Lambda, s).$$

Then the claim follows directly from the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) & \xrightarrow{\otimes \text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)} & K_0(\mathcal{X}, Z(s)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0(Z(s)) \\ \uparrow i^* & & \uparrow i^* & & \uparrow i^* \\ K_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \phi) & \xrightarrow{\otimes \text{Kos}(\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \Lambda, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* s)} & K_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}, \tilde{Z}(s)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0(\tilde{Z}(s)), \end{array}$$

and the fact that $i^* \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* = \text{id}$. □

5.3. Spinor morphism: general case. Let (E, Q) be an oriented non-degenerate quadratic bundle of even rank on \mathcal{X} , s be a section of E with zero locus $Z(s) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, and $2\phi := -Q(s, s) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\rho : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the isotropic Grassmannian of (E, Q) , so that $\rho^* E$ has a tautological maximal isotropic subbundle Λ_ρ [EG, §6] (see also [OT, §3.1]).

Definition 5.5. Notations as above, the *spinor morphism* is the following composition:

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Spin}(E, s) : K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \rho^* \phi) \xrightarrow{\text{Spin}(\rho^* E, \Lambda_\rho, \rho^* s)} K_0(Z(\rho^* s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where ρ_* is the Deligne-Mumford proper pushforward.

5.4. Comparison with K -theoretic localized square root Euler class. We follow the notations in §5.3. Let $Z(\phi)$ be the zero locus of $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By abuse of notations, we denote by (E, Q, s) its base-change to $Z(\phi)$. Similarly, we write base-change of the isotropic Grassmannian to $Z(\phi)$ by ρ , and similar for the base-change of Λ_ρ . Note that after base-change to $Z(\phi)$, the section s is *isotropic*. Using the data above, Oh and Thomas constructed a localized K -theoretic half Euler class [OT, Def. 5.7]:

$$(5.6) \quad \sqrt{e}(E, s) : K_0(Z(\phi)) \xrightarrow{\rho^*} K_0(Z(\rho^* \phi)) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{e}(\rho^* E, \rho^* s, \Lambda_\rho)} K_0(Z(\rho^* s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \xrightarrow{\rho^*} K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]).$$

Here the map $\sqrt{e}(\rho^* E, \rho^* s, \Lambda_\rho)$ is defined in [OT, Eqn. (99)]. It is easy to check that this class keeps the same if one multiplies s by a constant.

We compare this map with the spinor morphism (5.5).

Proposition 5.6. *Notations as above, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\phi)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \\ & \searrow \sqrt{e}(E, s) & \downarrow \text{Spin}(E, s) \\ & & K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal map is the proper pushforward along the inclusion $Z(\phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, which is surjective by Proposition 3.5.

Proof. By comparing (5.5) and (5.6), it is enough to show the commutativity of diagram:

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\rho^* \phi)) & \xrightarrow{\sqrt{e}(\rho^* E, \rho^* s, \Lambda_\rho)} & K_0(Z(\rho^* s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \rho^* \phi) & \xrightarrow{\text{Spin}(\rho^* E, \Lambda_\rho, \rho^* s)} & K_0(Z(\rho^* s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]). \end{array}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume E has a maximal isotropic subbundle Λ , and hence replace $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ by \mathcal{X} and drop ρ in diagram above. By an abuse of notation, we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}$ (5.3) its restriction to $Z(\phi)$. Given the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{\sqrt{e}(E, s, \Lambda)} & K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \\ \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \\ K_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\Lambda, E}) & \xrightarrow{\sqrt{e}(\pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* E, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* s, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}^* \Lambda)} & K_0(\tilde{Z}(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \end{array}$$

to show the commutativity of diagram (5.7), we may assume without loss of generality that there is an isomorphism $E \cong \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^\vee$ of quadratic bundles.

Let $n = \text{rk}(\Lambda)$, $(-1)^{|\Lambda|} = \pm 1$ be the sign of Λ [OT, Def. 2.2], and

$$\mathbf{c}_n(\Lambda^\vee, s) : K_0(Z(\phi)) \xrightarrow{sp} K_0(C_{Z(s_1)/Z(\phi)}) \xrightarrow{0_{\Lambda^\vee|Z(s_1), s_0|Z(s_1)}} K_0(Z(s)),$$

where $s = (s_0, s_1) \in \Gamma(Z(\phi), \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^\vee)$, and $0_{\Lambda^\vee|Z(s_1), s_0|Z(s_1)}^1$ is the cosection localization of Kiem and Li [KL2]. We recall that [OT, Eqns. (99)]:

$$\sqrt{e}(E, s, \Lambda) = (-1)^{n+|\Lambda|} \mathbf{c}_n(\Lambda^\vee, s) \cdot \sqrt{\det \Lambda^\vee}.$$

By Proposition 5.4, and [OT, Eqns. (98), (99)], the commutativity of (5.7) is equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{n+|\Lambda|} \mathbf{c}_n(\Lambda^\vee, s)} & K_0(\mathcal{X}, Z(s)) \cong K_0(Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{\det \Lambda^\vee}} K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) & \xrightarrow{\otimes (-1)^{|\Lambda|} \text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)} & K_0(\mathcal{X}, Z(s)) \cong K_0(Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{\det \Lambda}} K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]). \end{array}$$

The restriction of $\text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)$ to $Z(\phi)$ is a 2-periodic complex, which is written as $\{s_1, s_0\}$ following [OS, Def. 3.1]. By definition [OS, Def. 2.13], the composition

$$K_0(Z(\phi)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \xrightarrow{\otimes \text{Kos}(\Lambda, s)} K_0(Z(s))$$

is the K -theoretic localized Chern character of $\{s_1, s_0\}$, denoted by $h(\{s_1, s_0\})$.

Let $p : \Lambda^\vee|_{Z(s_1)} \rightarrow Z(s_1)$ be the projection, $t \in \Gamma(\Lambda^\vee|_{Z(s_1)}, p^*\Lambda^\vee|_{Z(s_1)})$ the tautological section, and $p^*(s_0|_{Z(s_1)}) \in \Gamma(\Lambda^\vee|_{Z(s_1)}, p^*\Lambda|_{Z(s_1)})$ the pullback section of $s_0|_{Z(s_1)}$. Define a function

$$w = \langle p^*(s_0|_{Z(s_1)}), t \rangle : \Lambda^\vee|_{Z(s_1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Then [OS, Thm. 3.5] yields

$$h(\{p^*(s_0|_{Z(s_1)}), t\}) = 0_{\Lambda^\vee|_{Z(s_1)}, s_0|_{Z(s_1)}}^1 : K_0(Z(w)) \rightarrow K_0(Z(s)).$$

By a deformation to the normal cone argument as in [KO, Lem. 2.4 (2)], the composition

$$K_0(Z(\phi)) \xrightarrow{sp} K_0(C_{Z(s_1)/Z(\phi)}) \rightarrow K_0(Z(w)) \xrightarrow{h(\{p^*(s_0|_{Z(s_1)}), t\})} K_0(Z(s))$$

equals to $h(\{s_0, s_1\})$, where $C_{Z(s_1)/Z(\phi)} \subseteq Z(w)$ follows from [KO, Lem. 2.4 (1)].

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\{s_0, s_1\}) &= h(\{s_1, s_0\} \otimes \det \Lambda[-n]) \\ &= (-1)^n \cdot h(\{s_1, s_0\}) \otimes \det \Lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is by [KO, Lem. 2.3] and the second equality uses [OS, Def. 2.13]. Combing the above, diagram (5.8) commutes. \square

Remark 5.7. The spinor morphism (5.5) commutes with DM proper pushforwards, flat pullbacks and Gysin pullbacks and hence is a bivariant class. This can be proven using Proposition 5.6, the surjectivity of the horizontal map in *loc. cit.* and the fact that K -theoretic localized square root Euler classes are bivariant classes.

Remark 5.8. In the setting of §5.3, if E admits a maximal isotropic subbundle Λ , compatible with the orientation of E , then the map

$$K_0(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \xrightarrow{\text{Spin}(E, \Lambda, s)} K_0(Z(s), \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

agrees with the spinor morphism $\text{Spin}(E, s)$ (5.5). This can be proven similarly as above, by reducing to the fact that analogous claim holds for K -theoretic localized square root Euler classes.

5.5. Tautological spinor morphism. Let (E, Q) be an oriented non-degenerate quadratic bundle of even rank on \mathcal{X} .

Let $\rho : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the isotropic Grassmannian of (E, Q) , so that ρ^*E has a tautological maximal isotropic subbundle Λ_ρ [EG, §6] (see also [OT, §3.1]). Let $\pi_\rho : \rho^*E \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ be the projection and s_ρ^t be the tautological section of the bundle $\pi_\rho^*\rho^*E \rightarrow \rho^*E$. It satisfies

$$\pi_\rho^*\rho^*Q(s_\rho^t, s_\rho^t) = \rho^*q_E : \rho^*E \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

where $q_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the function defined by $q_E(e) = Q(e, e)$. By multiplying s_ρ^t by $\sqrt{-2}$, we obtain a section which satisfies $\pi_\rho^*\rho^*Q(\sqrt{-2} \cdot s_\rho^t, \sqrt{-2} \cdot s_\rho^t) = -2\rho^*q_E$.

Definition 5.9. The *tautological spinor morphism* on (\mathcal{X}, E, Q) is the following composition:

$$(5.9) \quad \text{Spin} : K_0(E, q_E) \xrightarrow{\rho^*} K_0(\rho^*E, \rho^*q_E) \xrightarrow{\text{Spin}(\pi_\rho^*\rho^*E, \pi_\rho^*\Lambda_\rho, \sqrt{-2} \cdot s_\rho^t)} K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} K_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where $q_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the quadratic function of E , and ρ_* is the proper pushforward.

When the rank of E is odd, we define the tautological spinor morphism Spin to be zero.

6. CRITICAL PULLBACKS

Using the specialization map in §4 and the spinor morphism in §5, we define critical pullback maps, and prove their basic properties.

6.1. **Definition.**

Definition 6.1. In Setting 2.5, the *critical pullback* is the following composition:

$$(6.1) \quad f_{\pi_X}^! : K_0(X, \phi_X) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi_X \circ f}} K_0(E, q_E) \xrightarrow{\text{Spin}} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \xrightarrow{\otimes \sqrt{\det(V)^\vee}} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where $\sigma_{\pi_X \circ f}$ is the specialization map (4.21), and Spin is given by (5.9).

Remark 6.2. When there is a torus T acting on (2.4) and map $\pi_X : B \rightarrow X$, which preserves the shifted symplectic form Ω_f , and the function $\phi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then critical pullbacks lift to maps between T -equivariant K -groups.

6.2. Compatibility with square root virtual pullback. From this section on, we assume that the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ is a closed substack of the zero locus $Z(\phi)$:

$$(6.2) \quad \text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi).$$

In Example 2.6, this follows from the assumption $\text{Crit}(\phi_X) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi_X)$ in Setting 2.5.

We have the following Cartesian diagram of classical stacks

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f_Z} & Z(\phi) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & B, \end{array}$$

where the base change of M is still isomorphic to M because f factors through the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ (ref. Proposition 2.3) and hence the zero locus by inclusion (6.2). We can endow f_Z with a symmetric obstruction theory by pulling back the one on f .

In Setting 2.5, we also have a Cartesian diagram

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z(\phi) & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & Z(\phi_X) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X. \end{array}$$

The Cartesian diagram (6.3) induces a closed embedding [Man, Prop. 2.26]:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{f_Z} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_f.$$

We define C_{f_Z} and C_f by the following Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_{f_Z} & \hookrightarrow & C_f & \hookrightarrow & E \\ p \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}_{f_Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_f & \hookrightarrow & [E/V]. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.3, the function $q_{\mathfrak{C}_f} : \mathfrak{C}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ restricts to zero on \mathfrak{C}_{f_Z} , so there is a K -theoretic square root virtual pullback [Park1, App. B.2]:

$$(6.5) \quad \sqrt{f_Z^!} : K_0(Z(\phi)) \xrightarrow{sp_{f_Z}} K_0(\mathfrak{C}_{f_Z}) \xrightarrow{p^*} K_0(C_{f_Z}) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{e}(\pi_E^* E|_{C_{f_Z}}, \tau_E|_{C_{f_Z}})} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{\det(V)^\vee}} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where sp_{f_Z} is the specialization map, $\pi_E : E \rightarrow M$ is the projection and τ_E is its tautological section.

Proposition 6.3. *Notations as above, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\phi_X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(X, \phi_X) \\ & \searrow \sqrt{f_Z^! \circ \pi_X^*} & \downarrow f_{\pi_X}^! \\ & & K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \end{array}$$

where $\pi_X^* : K_0(Z(\phi_X)) \rightarrow K_0(Z(\phi))$ is the flat pullback by π_X in diagram (6.4).

Proof. Recall that the specialization map $\sigma_{\pi_X \circ f}$ (4.21) satisfies the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(Z(\phi_X)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_X^*} & K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{sp} & K_0(Z(q_{\mathcal{E}_f})) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & K_0(Z(q_E|_{C_f})) \longrightarrow K_0(Z(q_E)) \\ \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\ K_0(X, \phi_X) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\pi_X \circ f}} & & & & & K_0(E, q_E). \end{array}$$

Here we use the nil-invariance of K -theory $K_0(Z(-)) \cong K_0(Z^{\text{der}}(-))$ for $(-) = q_{\mathcal{E}_f}, q_E|_{C_f}$.

We compare the above with the first two maps in (6.5). By [Man, Thm. 2.31], the Cartesian diagram (6.3) induces Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C}_{f_Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathring{M}_{f_Z} & \longleftarrow & Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^* \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}_f & \hookrightarrow & \mathring{M}_f & \longleftarrow & B \times \mathbb{C}^* \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^* \end{array}$$

As the derived structure we put on f_Z is the pullback one from f , the potential function on \mathring{M}_{f_Z} as constructed from Lemma 4.4 is the pullback of that function on \mathring{M}_f , which is zero. Then we have Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C}_{f_Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathring{M}_{f_Z} & & \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & & \\ Z(q_{\mathcal{E}_f}) & \hookrightarrow & Z(\bar{\phi}) & \longrightarrow & \{0\} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}_f & \hookrightarrow & \mathring{M}_f & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \mathbb{A}^1 \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & & \\ \{0\} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{A}^1 & & \end{array}$$

Base change implies the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\mathring{M}_{f_Z}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & K_0(\mathfrak{C}_{f_Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(Z(\bar{\phi})) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & K_0(Z(q_{\mathcal{E}_f})). \end{array}$$

Combining with fact that $Z(\bar{\phi}) \setminus Z(q_{\mathcal{E}_f}) = \mathring{M}_{f_Z} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{f_Z} = Z(\phi) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, we know the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{sp_{f_Z}} & K_0(\mathfrak{C}_{f_Z}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{sp} & K_0(Z(q_{\mathcal{E}_f})) \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore we obtain commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{sp_{f_Z}} & K_0(\mathfrak{C}_{f_Z}) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & K_0(C_{f_Z}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(Z(q_E)) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{e}(\pi_E^* E, \tau_E)} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{sp} & K_0(Z(q_{\mathcal{E}_f})) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & K_0(Z(q_E|_{C_f})) & \longrightarrow & K_0(Z(q_E)) \xrightarrow{\text{Spin}} K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \end{array}$$

where the commutativity of the right square follows from Proposition 5.6.

It is obvious that the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(C_{f_Z}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(Z(q_E)) & \xrightarrow{\sqrt{e}(\pi_E^* E, \tau_E)} & K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \\ & \searrow & & & \parallel \\ & & & & K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]). \end{array}$$

$\sqrt{e}(\pi_E^* E|_{C_{f_Z}}, \tau_E|_{C_{f_Z}})$

Therefore we are done. □

6.3. Properties of critical pullbacks.

6.3.1. Independence of resolutions.

Proposition 6.4. *The critical pullback is independent of the choice of the symmetric resolution in Setting 2.5*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(Z(\phi_X)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_X^*} & K_0(Z(\phi)) & \xrightarrow{\sqrt{f_Z^!}} & K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \\ \downarrow c & & & & \parallel \\ K_0(X, \phi_X) & \xrightarrow{f_{\pi_X}^!} & & & K_0(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \end{array}$$

i.e. the critical pullback satisfies that

$$f_{\pi_X}^! = \sqrt{f_Z^!} \circ \pi_X^* \circ c^{-1}.$$

Since $\sqrt{f_Z^!}$ is independent of the choice of resolution [Park1, Lem. 1.14], so is $f_{\pi_X}^!$. □

6.3.2. *Bivariance.* Given a pullback diagram of derived stacks (below B_1, B_2 are classical stacks and viewed as derived stacks via the inclusion functor):

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & B_2 \\ h \downarrow & \square & \downarrow k \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & B_1, \end{array}$$

and a Cartesian diagram of classical stacks:

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X_2}} & X_2 \\ k \downarrow & \square & \downarrow l \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X_1}} & X_1, \end{array}$$

where $(M_i, B_i, f_i, X_i, \pi_{X_i})$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy conditions in Setting 2.5, such that

- the (-2) -shifted symplectic structure on f_2 is the pullback $\Omega_{f_2} = h^* \Omega_{f_1}$ from f_1
- the regular functions $\phi_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy that $\phi_i = \pi_{X_i}^* \phi_{X_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\phi_{X_2} = \phi_{X_1} \circ l$.

Then critical pullbacks

$$f_{\pi_{X_i}}^! : K_0(X_i, \phi_{X_i}) \rightarrow K_0(M_i, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]), \quad i = 1, 2$$

satisfy the following bivariance properties.

Proposition 6.5. *We have the following:*

- If l is flat, then

$$h^* \circ f_{\pi_{X_1}}^! = f_{\pi_{X_2}}^! \circ l^*.$$

- If l is proper, then

$$h_* \circ f_{\pi_{X_2}}^! = f_{\pi_{X_1}}^! \circ l_*.$$

- If l is a regular embedding, then

$$l^! \circ f^!_{\pi_{X_1}} = f^!_{\pi_{X_2}} \circ l^*,$$

where $l^! : K_0(M_1, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \rightarrow K_0(M_2, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is the Gysin pullback.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 6.4 above, by using Proposition 6.3, the claim is reduced to the bivariance of square root virtual pullbacks [Park1, Prop. 1.15]. \square

6.3.3. *Functoriality.* Given a commutative diagram of derived stacks

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N' & \xrightarrow{i} & N \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ M & \xrightarrow{g} & B, \end{array}$$

where the classical truncation $i := t_0(i)$ of \mathbf{i} is an *isomorphism*, and $B := t_0(B) \cong \mathbf{B}$, we write the obstruction theories:

$$\phi_f : \mathbb{E}_f \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f, \quad \phi_g : \mathbb{E}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_g, \quad \phi_h : \mathbb{E}_h \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_h, \quad \phi'_h : \mathbb{D} := \mathbb{E}_{h \circ i} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{h \circ i} \cong \mathbf{L}_h,$$

obtained by restricting cotangent complexes of \mathbf{f} , \mathbf{g} , \mathbf{h} , $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{i}$ to their classical truncations $f, g, h, h \circ i \cong h$ (here we use i is an isomorphism), and then taking $[-1, 0]$ -truncations (here $\mathbf{L}_{(-)} := \tau^{\geq -1} \mathbb{L}_{(-)}$ denotes the truncated cotangent complex). The diagram (6.8) implies two maps $\alpha : \mathbb{E}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\beta : f^* \mathbb{E}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $\phi'_h \circ \alpha = \phi_h$.

We say the above obstruction theories are *compatible*, if we have a commutative diagram:

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{D}^\vee[2] & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\vee} & \mathbb{E}_h & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{E}_f \\ \beta^\vee \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \parallel \\ f^* \mathbb{E}_g & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathbb{E}_f \\ f^* \phi_g \downarrow & & \phi'_h \downarrow & & \phi'_f \downarrow \\ \tau^{\geq -1} f^* \mathbf{L}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}_h & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}'_f. \end{array}$$

Here horizontal lines are exact triangles (the bottom one defines \mathbf{L}'_f), and $\phi_f = r \circ \phi'_f$ (where $r : \mathbf{L}'_f \rightarrow \tau^{\geq -1} \mathbf{L}'_f \cong \mathbf{L}_f$ is the canonical map), and the orientation of \mathbb{E}_h is compatible with the orientation of \mathbb{E}_g (ref. [Park1, Def. 2.1]).

Theorem 6.6. *Given a commutative diagram (6.8) of derived stacks such that*

- \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{h} satisfy conditions in Setting 2.5 for a common $\pi_X : B \rightarrow X$ and $\phi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,
- \mathbf{f} is quasi-smooth and the classical truncation of \mathbf{i} is an isomorphism,
- the obstruction theories are compatible,

then we have

$$(6.10) \quad h^!_{\pi_X} = f^! \circ g^!_{\pi_X} : K_0(X, \phi_X) \rightarrow K_0(N, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]),$$

where $g^!_{\pi_X}, h^!_{\pi_X}$ are critical pullbacks (6.1) and $f^! : K_0(M) \rightarrow K_0(N)$ is the virtual pullback [Qu].

Proof. As in above, by using Proposition 6.3, the claim follows from the functoriality of square root virtual pullbacks [Park1, Thm. 2.2]. \square

Remark 6.7. It is straightforward to check that if the induced map $N' \rightarrow N \times_B M$ has a (-2) -shifted Lagrangian structure (relative to \mathbf{B}), where $N \rightarrow B$ is endowed with the inverse shifted symplectic structure, or more generally, if $\mathbb{L}_{N'/B}$ is maximal isotropic (quotient) complex of the symmetric complex $\mathbb{L}_{N \times_B M/B}$, then the compatibility condition in (6.9) holds.

Remark 6.8. As in Remark 6.2, in the presence of a torus action, the above listed properties hold in the T -equivariant setting.

7. CATEGORY OF LAGRANGIAN CORRESPONDENCES AND ITS LINEARIZATION

In this section, as an application of the theory of critical pullbacks, we define a linearization of the category of Lagrangian correspondences.

7.1. Lagrangian correspondence. Consider derived critical loci $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_i)$ for $\phi_i : \mathbf{B}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($i = 1, 2$) (Definition 2.1) with (-1) -shifted symplectic structure Ω_i . The fiber product

$$\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2)$$

has a natural (-1) -shifted symplectic structure $\Omega_1 \boxplus \Omega_2$.

Definition 7.1. An oriented (exact) *Lagrangian correspondence* of $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) is an (exact) Lagrangian

$$\mathbf{f}_{12} : \mathbf{M}_{12} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2),$$

where the target is endowed with (-1) -shifted symplectic structure $(-\Omega_1) \boxplus \Omega_2$, and \mathbf{f}_{12} has an orientation in the sense of Definition A.7 (here the canonical orientation of the target is chosen as in Remark A.8).

Definition 7.2. Given a Lagrangian correspondence of $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$):

$$\mathbf{f}_{12} : \mathbf{M}_{12} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2),$$

and a Lagrangian correspondence of $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_i)$ ($i = 2, 3$):

$$\mathbf{f}_{23} : \mathbf{M}_{23} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_2) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_3),$$

their *composed Lagrangian correspondence* is the following Lagrangian [AB, Cor. 2.13]:

$$(7.1) \quad \mathbf{M}_{13} := \mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23}, \quad \mathbf{f}_{13} := (\mathbf{f}_{12} \times_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{f}_{23})_{13} : \mathbf{M}_{13} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_3),$$

where $(-)_13$ denotes the projection

$$\mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_3) \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_3)$$


to the 1st and 3rd components, and we also use the canonical equivalence

$$(\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2), \Omega_2) \cong (\mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_2), -\Omega_2).$$

Remark 7.3. If \mathbf{f}_{12} and \mathbf{f}_{23} are (oriented) exact Lagrangian correspondences, then \mathbf{f}_{13} is also (oriented) exact.

Proof. Derived critical loci are exact symplectic, the composition of exact Lagrangian correspondences is still exact [Park2, §2.2]. The orientation follows from a direct calculation of cotangent complexes and the pairing on them, e.g. [AB, Lem. 5.6]. \square

The above composition of Lagrangian correspondences is pictured in the following diagram:



7.2. Lagrangian category. We refer to [AB, §4] for the general construction of 2-category of Lagrangians in a shifted symplectic stack. Here we restrict to the following setting:

- Consider the 2-category $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}^{(2)}$ of Lagrangians in derived critical loci: objects are pairs (X, ϕ) of quotient stack X of smooth quasi-projective scheme by linear algebraic group and flat regular function ϕ , 1-morphisms are oriented exact Lagrangian correspondences of $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi), \mathbf{Crit}(\phi')$ (Definition 7.1), 2-morphisms are exact Lagrangeomorphisms [AB, §3] which preserve orientations. The identity functor for any (X, ϕ) is given by the diagonal Lagrangian $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$.
- Let $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}$ denote the 1-truncation of the 2-category $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}^{(2)}$, which has isomorphism classes of oriented exact Lagrangians as morphisms.

Let $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}^{\text{DM}}$ be the non-unital subcategory of $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}$ in which objects X are Deligne-Mumford, morphisms and their compositions are proper oriented exact Lagrangians satisfying “DM” and “Resolution” conditions in Setting 2.5.

In the presence of a torus T -action, there is a category

$$\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}, T}^{\text{DM}}$$

of T -equivariantly proper⁵ oriented exact Lagrangians, which recovers $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}^{\text{DM}}$ when $T = \{\text{id}\}$.

The category $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}$ is a *monoidal* category:

$$\otimes : \mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}} \times \mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}},$$

where at the level of objects, the tensor structure is given by

$$(X_1, \phi_1), (X_2, \phi_2) \mapsto (X_1 \times X_2, \phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2),$$

with sum function

$$\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \phi_1(x_1) + \phi_2(x_2).$$

At the level of morphisms, the tensor structure \otimes is given by the product of Lagrangians [AB, Prop. 2.6], where one uses the fact that there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2) \cong \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2).$$

The category $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}$ has a *dualizing* functor

$$\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}},$$

where at the level of objects, it is given by

$$(X, \phi) \mapsto (X, -\phi),$$

and at the level of morphisms, it is given by the canonical bijection between (oriented, exact) Lagrangians in $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ and $\mathbf{Crit}(-\phi)$ [AB, Prop. 2.6].

The category $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}^{\text{DM}}$ is a monoidal non-unital subcategory of $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}$ with induced dualizing functor. Similarly for the T -equivariant version $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}, T}^{\text{DM}}$.

7.3. Critical pullbacks under compositions of Lagrangian correspondences. Continued with the setting in §7.2. Given morphisms f_{12}, f_{23} in $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}, T}^{\text{DM}}$ and their composition f_{13} in the sense of Definition 7.2. By abuse of notations, we denote their composition with the inclusion

$$\mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_i) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_j) \rightarrow X_i \times X_j$$

also by

$$(7.2) \quad f_{ij} : M_{ij} \rightarrow X_i \times X_j.$$

By Proposition 2.3, f_{ij} (7.2) has a relative (-2) -shifted symplectic structure $\Omega_{f_{ij}}$ with

$$[\Omega_{f_{ij}}] = (-\phi_i \boxplus \phi_j)|_{M_{ij}}.$$

Clearly, the product

$$f_{12} \times f_{23} : M_{12} \times M_{23} \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3$$

has a product relative (-2) -shifted symplectic structure $\Omega_{f_{12}} \boxplus \Omega_{f_{23}}$ with

$$[\Omega_{f_{12}} \boxplus \Omega_{f_{23}}] = (-\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2 \boxplus -\phi_2 \boxplus \phi_3)|_{M_{12} \times M_{23}}.$$

Consider the diagonal morphism

$$\Delta_{1223}^{123} : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3,$$

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3),$$

and a homotopy pullback diagram of derived stacks

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23} & \longrightarrow & M_{12} \times M_{23} \\ f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23} \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f_{12} \times f_{23} \\ X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{1223}^{123}} & X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3 \\ \pi_{13}^{123} \downarrow & & \\ X_1 \times X_3 & & \end{array}$$

where π_{13}^{123} is the natural projection. Under pullback, the symplectic structure on $f_{12} \times f_{23}$ defines a symplectic structure on $f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23}$ with

$$[\Omega_{f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23}}] = (-\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_3)|_{M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23}}.$$

⁵This means the T -fixed locus of the Lagrangian is proper.

Note the Cartesian diagrams of classical stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} M_{23} & \longrightarrow & M_{12} \times M_{23} \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 \text{Crit}(\phi_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Crit}(\phi_2) \times \text{Crit}(\phi_2) \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_2 \times X_2,
 \end{array}$$

which implies an isomorphism of classical stacks:

$$M_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} M_{23} \cong M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23}.$$

Definition 6.1 gives critical pullbacks:

$$(f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23})^! : K_0(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3, -\phi_1 \boxplus 0 \boxplus \phi_3) \rightarrow K_0(M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23}),$$

$$(f_{13})^! : K_0(X_1 \times X_3, -\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_3) \rightarrow K_0(M_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} M_{23}).$$

Here in view of Setting 2.5, we are in the situation where $B = X$ and π_X is the identity map, so we simply denote the critical pullback $f_{\pi_X}^!$ (of Definition 6.1) as $f^!$.

Theorem 7.4. *We have*

$$(f_{13})^! = (f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23})^! \circ (\pi_{13}^{123})^*,$$

where $(\pi_{13}^{123})^*$ is the smooth pullback.

Proof. By abuse of notations, the composition of

$$\mathbf{f}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{f}_{23} : \mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_3)$$

and the inclusion

$$\mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_3) \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$$

is still denoted as $\mathbf{f}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{f}_{23}$. We have the following diagram

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\quad s \quad} & \\
 & \swarrow \text{dotted} & \searrow \text{dotted} \\
 \mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23} \times_{X_1 \times X_3} X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}_{13}^{123}} & \mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23} \\
 \downarrow g & \swarrow \mathbf{f}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{f}_{23} & \downarrow \mathbf{f}_{13} \\
 X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{13}^{123}} & X_1 \times X_3,
 \end{array}$$

where the square is a homotopy pullback diagram (which defines $\bar{\pi}_{13}^{123}$, g), and the lower right triangle commutes by (7.1). This implies the map $\bar{\pi}_{13}^{123}$ has a section s which satisfies

$$(7.5) \quad \bar{\pi}_{13}^{123} \circ s = \text{id}.$$

The maps s , g fit into commutative diagrams

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{X_2} \mathbf{M}_{23} \\
 \downarrow s & \searrow \mathbf{f}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{f}_{23} & \downarrow \mathbf{f}_{12} \times_{X_2} \mathbf{f}_{23} \\
 \mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23} \times_{X_1 \times X_3} X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 & \xrightarrow{g} & X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3.
 \end{array}$$

As X_2 is smooth, hence s is quasi-smooth. As g is the pullback of \mathbf{f}_{13} and $\mathbf{f}_{12} \times_{X_2} \mathbf{f}_{23}$ is the pullback of $\mathbf{f}_{12} \times \mathbf{f}_{23}$ (7.3), the image of their (-2) -symplectic forms in the periodic cyclic homology are given by the pullback of the same function $-\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_3$. In below, we show how to verify remaining conditions in Theorem 6.6 for diagram (7.6), which then gives

$$(7.7) \quad (f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23})^! = s^! \circ g^!.$$

First of all, we claim that there is a commutative diagram

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{M}_{12} \times \mathbf{M}_{23}) \times_{X_2^2} \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23}) \times_{X_1 \times X_3} X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3 \end{array}$$

such that the $\mathbf{M}_{12} \times_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2)} \mathbf{M}_{23}$ is a Lagrangian correspondence between the upper-right and lower-left corners relative to $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3$.

This follows from Lagrangian triple intersection theorem [Ben, Thm. 3.1], by a similar argument as that of [Park2, Lem. 2.4.3]. Indeed, we simplify notations and write $X_{13} = X_1 \times X_3$, similarly for X_{1223} , X_{22} . We write $C_i := \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $C_{ij} = C_i \times C_j$, and similarly C_{1223} , $C_{22}X_{13}$, $M_{12}M_{23}$, etc, stand for the product. The Lagrangian fibration $C_{13} \rightarrow X_{13}$ induces a Lagrangian $C_{13} \rightarrow C_{13}X_{13}$ relative to the base X_{13} . By base change,

$$(7.9) \quad C_{13}X_{22} \rightarrow C_{13}X_{1223}$$

is a Lagrangian relative to the base X_{1223} .

By Definition 7.1 and base change, we know $M_{12}M_{23}X_{1223} \rightarrow C_{1223}X_{1223}$ is a Lagrangian relative to the base X_{1223} . Then we have composition of Lagrangian correspondences relative to X_{1223} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C_{13}X_{22} \times_{C_{13}X_{1223}} M_{12}M_{23}X_{1223} & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ C_{13}X_{22} & & & & M_{12}M_{23}X_{1223} \\ \swarrow & & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow \\ X_{1223} & & C_{13}X_{1223} & & C_{22}X_{1223} \end{array}$$

i.e. $L_1 := C_{13}X_{22} \times_{C_{13}X_{1223}} M_{12}M_{23}X_{1223} \rightarrow M := C_{22}X_{1223}$ is a Lagrangian relative to $X = X_{1223}$.

We have two more Lagrangians on M relative to X , $L_2 := C_2X_{1223} \rightarrow M$ given by diagonal map $C_2 \rightarrow C_{22}$, and $L_3 := C_{22}X_{13} \rightarrow M$ constructed as (7.9). A direct calculation shows that

$$(7.10) \quad L_1 \times_M L_2 \times_M L_3 \cong C_2 \times_{C_{22}} M_{12}M_{23},$$

$$(7.11) \quad (L_1 \times_M L_2) \times_X (L_2 \times_M L_3) \times_X (L_3 \times_M L_1) \cong C_2 \times_{X_{22}} (M_{12} \times_{C_2} M_{23}) \times_{X_{13}} M_{12}M_{23}.$$

Then Lagrangian triple intersection theorem [Ben, Thm. 3.1] yields that the (7.10) is Lagrangian in the (7.11). This implies diagram (7.8) and the claimed Lagrangian property.

We write $M_{13} := C_2 \times_{C_{22}} M_{12}M_{23}$. By base-change of diagram (7.8) along the map $\Delta_{1223}^{123} : X_{123} \rightarrow X_{1223}$, we obtain a Lagrangian correspondence

$$M_{13} \times_{X_{13}} X_{123} \longleftarrow M_{13} \times_{X_{22}} X_2 \longrightarrow M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} C_2$$

relative to X_{123} . That implies $\mathbb{L}_{M_{13}/X_{1223}} \cong \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} \times_{X_{22}} X_2/X_{123}}$ is a maximal isotropic quotient complex of

$$(7.12) \quad \mathbb{L}_{C_2 \times_{X_{22}} (M_{13}) \times_{X_{13}} M_{12}M_{23}/X_{1223}} \cong \mathbb{L}_{C_2 \times_{X_{22}} (M_{13}) \times_{X_{13}} M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23}/X_{123}},$$

where we omit pullbacks of cotangent complexes in the above two identifications, also for the remaining argument.

Notice also that, we have commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{L}_{C_2/X_{22}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{L}_{M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} C_2/M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23}} \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{L}_{X_2/X_{22}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} \times_{X_{22}} X_2/M_{13}}, \end{array}$$

which makes $\mathbb{L}_{M_{13} \times_{X_{22}} X_2/M_{13}}$ maximal isotropic quotient complex of the symmetric complex

$$(7.13) \quad \mathbb{L}_{C_2 \times_{X_{22}} M_{12}M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} X_2/M_{12}M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} X_2} \cong \mathbb{L}_{C_2/X_{22}}.$$

Here the (-2) -shifted symplectic structure on C_2/X_{22} follows from the composition of (-1) -shifted Lagrangian $C_2 \rightarrow C_{22}$ and (-1) -shifted Lagrangian fibration $C_{22} \rightarrow X_{22}$.

We have a direct sum decomposition of symmetric complex:

$$\mathbb{L}_{C_2 \times_{X_{22}} (M_{13}) \times_{X_{13}} M_{12} M_{23} / X_{1223}} \cong \mathbb{L}_{C_2 / X_{22}} \oplus \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} \times_{X_{13}} M_{12} M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} X_2 / X_{123}}.$$

Since $\mathbb{L}_{X_2 / X_{22}}$ is maximal isotropic in $\mathbb{L}_{C_2 / X_{22}}$, it is isotropic in the above direct sum. The isotropic reduction of $\mathbb{L}_{C_2 \times_{X_{22}} (M_{13}) \times_{X_{13}} M_{12} M_{23} / X_{1223}}$ by $\mathbb{L}_{X_2 / X_{22}}$ is $\mathbb{L}_{M_{13} \times_{X_{13}} M_{12} M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} X_2 / X_{123}}$ (e.g. [Park2, App. C]). Moreover, under the above isotropic reduction, the maximal isotropic quotient complex $\mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{1223}}$ defines a maximal isotropic quotient complex $\mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{123}}$ in $\mathbb{L}_{M_{13} \times_{X_{13}} M_{12} M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} X_2 / X_{123}}$.

More precisely, with shorthand $E := \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} \times_{X_{13}} M_{12} M_{23} \times_{X_{22}} X_2 / X_{123}}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{1223}}^\vee[2] & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{L}_{X_2 / X_{22}} \oplus E^\vee[2] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{C_2 / X_{22}}^\vee[2] \oplus E^\vee[2] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X_2 / X_{22}}^\vee[2] \\
 \uparrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \uparrow \\
 \mathbb{L}_{X_2 / X_{22}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{C_2 / X_{22}} \oplus E & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X_2 / X_{22}}^\vee[2] \oplus E \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{1223}} & & E \\
 & & \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & & & \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{123}} &
 \end{array}$$

Here two horizontal lines are dual fiber sequences (up to a degree shift), the middle vertical fiber sequence follows from the Lagrangian in (7.12), the right vertical fiber sequence realizes symmetric complex E as the isotropic reduction. The row $\mathbb{L}_{X_2 / X_{22}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{1223}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{123}}$ is a fiber sequence, and it is straightforward to check $\mathbb{L}_{M_{13} / X_{123}}$ is a maximal isotropic quotient complex of E .

Therefore, by Remark 6.7, diagram (6.9) holds for (7.6). It is straightforward to check the compatibility of orientations. So, Theorem 6.6 applies to (7.6) and yields (7.7).

Applying smooth pullback $(\pi_{13}^{123})^*$ to (7.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23})^\dagger \circ (\pi_{13}^{123})^* &= s^\dagger \circ g^\dagger \circ (\pi_{13}^{123})^* \\
 &= s^\dagger \circ (\bar{\pi}_{13}^{123})^* \circ (f_{13})^\dagger \\
 &= (f_{13})^\dagger,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the first property of Proposition 6.5 applied on diagram (7.4), the last equality is by considering the classical truncation of (7.5). \square

Corollary 7.5. *Notations as in Theorem 7.4. Let $[\Delta_{X_2}] \in K_0^T(X_2 \times X_2, \phi_2 \boxplus (-\phi_2))_{\text{loc}}$ be the diagonal class (7.21), and $i_\Delta : M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23} \rightarrow M_{12} \times M_{23}$ be the inclusion. Then*

$$i_{\Delta*} \circ (f_{13})^\dagger = (f_{12} \times f_{23})^\dagger \circ (-\boxtimes [\Delta_{X_2}]) : K_0^T(X_1 \times X_3, -\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_3)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(M_{12} \times M_{23})_{\text{loc}},$$

where $i_{\Delta*}$ is the proper pushforward.

Proof. We have the following diagram (7.14)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0^T(X_1 \times X_3, (-\phi_1) \boxplus \phi_3)_{\text{loc}} & \xrightarrow{(f_{13})^\dagger} & K_0^T(M_{12} \times_{\text{Crit}(\phi_2)} M_{23})_{\text{loc}} \\
 \pi_{13}^{123*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 K_0^T(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3, (-\phi_1) \boxplus 0 \boxplus \phi_3)_{\text{loc}} & \xrightarrow{(f_{12} \times_{X_2} f_{23})^\dagger} & K_0^T(M_{12} \times_{X_2} M_{23})_{\text{loc}} \\
 \Delta_{1223}^{123*} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{\Delta*} \\
 K_0^T(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3, (-\phi_1) \boxplus \phi_2 \boxplus (-\phi_2) \boxplus \phi_3)_{\text{loc}} & \xrightarrow{(f_{12} \times f_{23})^\dagger} & K_0^T(M_{12} \times M_{23})_{\text{loc}}.
 \end{array}$$

The upper square commutes by Theorem 7.4, and the lower square commutes by base change property of critical pullbacks (Proposition 6.5). The outer square then commutes which is the statement. \square

7.4. Linearization of Lagrangian category. Let T be a torus, and $K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$ be the field of fractions of $K_0^T(\text{pt})$. Denote $\text{Vect}_{K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}}$ to be the category of vector spaces over $K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$.

Definition 7.6. A *linearization functor* is a Lax monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}, T}^{\text{DM}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}}.$$

Here the *Lax structure* requires a map

$$\mathcal{L}(X_1, \phi_1) \otimes_{K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}} \mathcal{L}(X_2, \phi_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_1 \times X_2, \phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2)$$

for objects (X_1, ϕ_1) and (X_2, ϕ_2) . *Functoriality* requires for each morphism

$$\left(M_{12} \xrightarrow{f_{12}} \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2) \right),$$

a linear map $\mathcal{L}(X_2, \phi_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_1, \phi_1)$ compatible with compositions.

Remark 7.7. Recall [AB, Cor. 7.23, Prop. 7.25] that there is a functor from the *oriented cobordism* 2-category to the 2-category of Lagrangians in (-1) -shifted symplectic stacks:

$$\mathcal{Z} : \text{Cob}^{or} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lag}_{-1}^{(2)}.$$

Here objects of Cob^{or} are closed oriented 3-manifolds and 1-morphisms are 4-manifolds with boundaries and compatible orientations, $\mathbf{Lag}_{-1}^{(2)}$ is similar to $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}^{(2)}$ but objects are allowed to be any (-1) -shifted symplectic stacks (instead of derived critical loci).

Similarly, one reformulates [Cal, Thm. 2.9] as a functor of 2-categories

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\text{C}} : \text{Cob}^{orc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lag}_{-1}^{(2)}.$$

Here objects of Cob^{orc} are compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and 1-morphisms are 4-dimensional log Calabi-Yau pairs. There are examples of interesting subcategories of Cob^{or} or Cob^{orc} to which the restrictions of the functors land in $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}}^{\text{DM}}$.

Composing the 1-truncation of above with a linearization functor (Definition 7.6) then induces Lax monoidal functors from the 1-truncation of Cob^{or} or Cob^{orc} to the category of vector spaces, which is a *topological field theory* in the usual sense with target a linear category.

We formulate a linearization of the Lagrangian category in terms of critical K -theory.

Theorem 7.8. *Under Setting 7.10, the assignment*

$$(X, \phi) \mapsto K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}} := K_0^T(X, \phi) \otimes_{K_0^T(\text{pt})} K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$$

defines a Lax monoidal linearization functor

$$K_{\text{crit}} : \mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}, T}^{\text{DM}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}}.$$

The rest of this section is devoted to its proof. Firstly, the *Lax monoidal structure* is given by the *exterior tensor product* (3.3):

$$(7.15) \quad K_0^T(X_1, \phi_1)_{\text{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(X_2, \phi_2)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X_1 \times X_2, \phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2)_{\text{loc}}.$$

For a morphism in the category $\mathbf{Lag}_{\text{crit}, T}^{\text{DM}}$:

$$\left(M_{12} \xrightarrow{f_{12}} \mathbf{Crit}(-\phi_1) \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_2) \right),$$

we want to define a morphism between critical K -groups $K_0^T(X_i, \phi_i)_{\text{loc}}$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Consider the following linear maps:

$$K_0^T(X_1 \times X_2, -\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2)_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{(f_{12})^!} K_0^T(M_{12})_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{p_{M_{12}*}} K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}},$$

where $(f_{12})^!$ is the critical pullback (6.1) where we drop the subindex π_X in the notation as π_X is the identity in this case, $p_{M_{12}*}$ is the (equivariant) pushforward to a point.

Composing with the exterior tensor product (7.15), we obtain a map

$$(7.16) \quad K_0^T(X_1, -\phi_1)_{\text{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(X_2, \phi_2)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

To turn this map into a map between $K_0^T(X_i, \phi_i)_{\text{loc}}$, we need a perfect pairing on K -groups.

7.5. Pairing on critical K -theories.

Lemma 7.9. *Let X be a quotient stack of a smooth quasi-projective scheme by a linear algebraic group and ϕ be a flat regular function⁶ on X . Assume there is a torus T -action on X which preserves ϕ such that the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ is T -equivariantly proper. Then we have a natural pairing*

$$(7.17) \quad K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(X, -\phi)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{Crit}(\phi))_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}},$$

where the first map is induced by (3.3) and the last map is the equivariant pushforward to a point.

Proof. By [PV2, Cor. 3.18], we know any element \mathcal{E} in $\text{MF}(X, \phi)$ is supported on the singular locus $\text{Sing}(Z(\phi)) = Z(\phi) \cap \text{Crit}(\phi) = \text{Crit}(\phi)$ of $Z(\phi)$, where the second equation follows from the assumption on the function ϕ . Therefore we have

$$K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}} = K_0^T(X, \text{Crit}(\phi), \phi)_{\text{loc}}.$$

By composing (3.3) with the pullback along diagonal (and taking care of support), we have

$$K_0^T(X, \text{Crit}(\phi), \phi)_{\text{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(X, \text{Crit}(\phi), -\phi)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X, \text{Crit}(\phi), 0)_{\text{loc}} \cong K_0^T(\text{Crit}(\phi))_{\text{loc}},$$

where the isomorphism is by dévissage. This defines the required map. \square

Setting 7.10. *Let (X, ϕ) be as in Lemma 7.9 and assume further that X is a DM stack.*

Consider the following conditions on $K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}}$:

- $K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}}$ and $K_0^T(X \times X, -\phi \boxplus \phi)_{\text{loc}}$ are finite dimensional over $K := K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$,

$$\dim_K K_0^T(X \times X, -\phi \boxplus \phi)_{\text{loc}} = (\dim_K K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}})^2.$$

- Under the canonical isomorphism

$$(7.18) \quad K_0^T(X, -\phi)_{\text{loc}} \cong K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}},$$

the composition map (7.17) is a perfect pairing, i.e. the induced map

$$(7.19) \quad K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}}^\vee$$

is an isomorphism.

We give an equivalent description of the hypotheses in above. Under the pullback along diagonal

$$X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X,$$

the sum function $\phi \boxplus (-\phi)$ goes to zero, therefore there is a proper pushforward

$$(7.20) \quad \Delta_* : K_0^T(X, 0) \rightarrow K_0^T(X \times X, \phi \boxplus (-\phi)).$$

The *diagonal class* is the following image

$$(7.21) \quad [\Delta] := \Delta_*[\mathcal{O}_X].$$

Proposition 7.11. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- Conditions in Setting 7.10.
- The diagonal class $[\Delta]$ lies in the image of the exterior product (3.3):

$$(7.22) \quad K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(X, -\phi)_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X \times X, \phi \boxplus (-\phi))_{\text{loc}}.$$

Moreover the above conditions imply that for any pair (Y, ϕ_Y) of quotient stack Y and regular function ϕ_Y with T -action, the exterior product

$$(7.23) \quad K_0^T(X, \phi)_{\text{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(Y, \phi_Y)_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0^T(X \times Y, \phi \boxplus \phi_Y)_{\text{loc}}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We follow the proof of [CG, Thm. 5.6.1]. \square

⁶We assume the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi)$ embeds into the zero locus $Z(\phi)$.

Example 7.12 (Dimensional reduction). Let E be a vector bundle on a smooth scheme U and $s \in \Gamma(U, E^\vee)$ be a cosection of E , whose zero locus $Z(s)$ is a smooth scheme. There is an induced regular function $\phi = \langle s, - \rangle : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_{Z(s)} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & E \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z(s) & \longrightarrow & U. \end{array}$$

By dimensional reduction [Toda1, Thm. 2.4 & Cor. 3.13], we have isomorphisms

$$\iota_* \pi^* : K_0(Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(E, \phi), \quad (\iota \times \iota)_* (\pi \times \pi)^* : K_0(Z(s) \times Z(s)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(E \times E, \phi \boxplus -\phi).$$

Claim: The diagonal class (7.21) of $K_0(E \times E, \phi \boxplus -\phi)$ coincides with the diagonal class of $K_0(Z(s) \times Z(s))$ under the above isomorphism.

When there is a torus action, the above also extends to the torus equivariant setting. Note that *Nakajima quiver varieties* can be realized as $Z(s)$ above and have decomposition of diagonals [Nak, §7.3]. Therefore, corresponding quivers with potentials (E, ϕ) also have decomposition of diagonals.

Proof. In fact, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(Z(s)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi^*} & K_0(E|_{Z(s)}) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & K_0(E) \\ \downarrow \Delta_* & & \downarrow \Delta_* & & \downarrow \Delta_* \\ K_0(Z(s) \times Z(s)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\pi \times \pi)^*} & K_0(E|_{Z(s)} \times E|_{Z(s)}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\iota \times \iota)^*} & K_0(E \times E, \phi \boxplus -\phi). \end{array}$$

The difference of two diagonals is

$$\Delta_* \left(\iota_* \mathcal{O}_{E|_{Z(s)}} - \mathcal{O}_E \right),$$

whose support is away from the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi \boxplus -\phi) \cong Z(s) \times Z(s)$. Hence the claim holds. \square

Example 7.13 (Hilbert schemes of points on \mathbb{C}^3). Let $T = \{t_1 t_2 t_3 = 1\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ and consider the non-commutative Hilbert scheme of n -points on \mathbb{C}^3 :

$$\text{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3) = (\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)^{\times 3} \times \mathbb{C}^n) // \text{GL}(n),$$

with potential function

$$\phi(b_1, b_2, b_3, v) = \text{tr}(b_1 [b_2, b_3]),$$

whose critical locus satisfies

$$\text{Crit}(\phi) \cong \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3).$$

Then the equivalent conditions in Proposition 7.11 hold for $(\text{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \phi)$.

Proof. By Remark 7.14, we have an isomorphism

$$(7.24) \quad K_0^T(\text{MF}^{\text{gr}}(\text{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \phi)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0^T(\text{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \phi),$$

where the grading on LHS is with respect to a weight two action on the last factor of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)^{\times 3}$. For each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we have a semiorthogonal decomposition (SOD) by [PT, Thm. 3.2]:

$$(7.25) \quad \text{MF}_T^{\text{gr}}(\text{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \phi) = \langle \boxtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{T}_T(d_i)_{v_i} \rangle,$$

where the RHS runs over all partitions $n = d_1 + \cdots + d_k$ such that

$$(7.26) \quad \mu \leq v_1/d_1 < \cdots < v_k/d_k < \mu + 1.$$

The category $\mathbb{T}_T(d)_v$ is a subcategory in $D_T^b(\mathcal{C}(d))$ called *quasi-BPS category* in [PT], where $\mathcal{C}(d)$ is the derived commuting stack. The category $\boxtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{T}_T(d_i)_{v_i}$ is the subcategory of $D_T^b(\times_{i=1}^k \mathcal{C}(d_i))$ split generated by $\boxtimes_{i=1}^k A_i$ for $A_i \in \mathbb{T}_T(d_i)_{v_i}$. It is shown in the proof of [PT, Cor. 4.14] that we have the isomorphism

$$(7.27) \quad \bigotimes_{i=1}^k K_0^T(\mathbb{T}(d_i)_{v_i})_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0^T(\boxtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{T}(d_i)_{v_i})_{\text{loc}}.$$

It follows that we have the isomorphism

$$K_0^T(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \phi))_{\mathrm{loc}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigotimes K_0^T(\mathbb{T}(d_i)_{v_i})_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

Here the direct sum runs over all $n = d_1 + \cdots + d_k$ and $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ as in (7.25), (7.26).

We also have a semiorthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathrm{MF}_T^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3) \times \mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \phi \boxplus -\phi) = \left\langle \left(\boxtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{T}_T(d_i)_{v_i} \right) \boxtimes \left(\boxtimes_{i=1}^{k'} \mathbb{T}_T(d'_i)_{v'_i} \right) \right\rangle,$$

where the right hand side is after all partitions $n = d_1 + \cdots + d_k = d'_1 + \cdots + d'_{k'}$ such that

$$0 \leq v_1/d_1 < \cdots < v_k/d_k < 1, \quad 1 \leq v'_1/d'_1 < \cdots < v'_{k'}/d'_{k'} < 2.$$

The above SOD can be proved to exist either using an argument similar to [PT, Thm. 3.2], or proving a similar SOD with zero potential case from the fact that $A \boxtimes B$ for $A, B \in \mathrm{D}_T^b(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$ split generate $\mathrm{D}_T^b(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3) \times \mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$, together with [PT, Eqn. (3.62)], and then applying [PT, Prop. 2.5]. Combing with [Toda1, Cor. 3.13], we can also decompose $K_0^T(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\times 2}, \phi \boxplus -\phi)$ into the direct sum of products of $K_0^T(\mathbb{T}(d_i)_{v_i})$ and $K_0^T(\mathbb{T}(d'_i)_{v'_i})$. It follows that we have an isomorphism

$$K_0^T(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), \phi)_{\mathrm{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), -\phi)_{\mathrm{loc}} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0^T(\mathrm{NHilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\times 2}, \phi \boxplus -\phi)_{\mathrm{loc}}. \quad \square$$

Remark 7.14. In (7.24), we need a slightly generalized version of [Toda1, Cor. 3.13] as follows.

Let Y be a smooth \mathbb{C} -scheme with an action of a reductive group G , $V \rightarrow Y$ be a G -equivariant vector bundle with a G -invariant section s . Consider G -invariant function:

$$w: V^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (y, v) \mapsto \langle s(y), v \rangle,$$

where $y \in Y$ and $v \in V^\vee|_y$. Let $Z \subset \mathrm{Crit}(w)$ be a $(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -invariant closed subset of the critical locus, where \mathbb{C}^* acts on the fibers of $V^\vee \rightarrow Y$ by weight two.

Claim: the forgetful functor induces an isomorphism

$$(7.28) \quad \mathrm{forg}: K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}([(V^\vee \setminus Z)/G], w)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0([(V^\vee \setminus Z)/G], w).$$

Note that the isomorphism (7.24) is a special case of (7.28). And the isomorphism (7.28) is proved in [Toda1, Cor.3.13] when $Z = \emptyset$ and G is trivial. The same argument of [Toda1, Cor. 3.13] works verbatim when $Z = \emptyset$ and G is non-trivial, so we explain the case when $Z \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{V}^\vee = [V^\vee/G]$. We have functors

$$\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*, p^*w) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w),$$

where the first one is given by the pull-back via $p: \mathcal{V}^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\vee$ and the second one is the restriction by $1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. By the same argument of [Toda1, Lem. 3.8], we have the equivalence

$$\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*, p^*w)$$

and the composition of the following induced map

$$(7.29) \quad K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)) \xrightarrow{p^*} K_0(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w) \xrightarrow{i^*} K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w))$$

is the identity. The first map is identified with (7.28) for $Z = \emptyset$, which is an isomorphism by [Toda1, Cor. 3.13], hence the second map is also an isomorphism.

The maps in (7.29) fit into a commutative diagram

$$(7.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)_{[Z/G]}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)_{[Z/G]}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)_{[Z/G]}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{p^*} & K_0(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{i^*} & K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}([(V^\vee \setminus Z)/G], w)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathrm{MF}([(V^\vee \setminus Z)/G], w)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}([(V^\vee \setminus Z)/G], w)), \end{array}$$

where vertical maps are exact sequences by [Toda1, Eqn. (2.7)]. Let K'_0 denote the image of the natural map $K_0((-)_{[Z/G]}) \rightarrow K_0(-)$. The above diagram induces injective maps

$$(7.31) \quad K'_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)_{[Z/G]}) \xrightarrow{p^*} K'_0(\mathrm{MF}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)_{[Z/G]}) \xrightarrow{i^*} K'_0(\mathrm{MF}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\mathcal{V}^\vee, w)_{[Z/G]}).$$

Since p^* in (7.29) is an isomorphism and i^* is its inverse, it follows that the maps in (7.31) are also surjective, hence are isomorphisms. Therefore by taking quotients of vertical arrows in (7.30), and using [Toda1, Eqn. (2.8)], we obtain (7.28) for $Z \neq \emptyset$.

7.6. Proof of Theorem 7.8. Using the pairing introduced above, we can return back to the end of §7.4 and finish the definition of linearization functor.

Definition 7.15. Assume the decomposition of diagonal (7.22). Under the perfect pairing (7.23), the map (7.16):

$$K_0^T(X_1 \times X_2, -\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2)_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

induces a map

$$(7.32) \quad f_{1 \rightarrow 2}^! : K_0^T(X_2, \phi_2)_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X_1, \phi_1)_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

Similarly we define $f_{2 \rightarrow 3}^!, f_{1 \rightarrow 3}^!$.

The above map associates morphisms in $\mathbf{Lag}_{\mathrm{crit}, T}^{\mathrm{DM}}$ to morphisms in $\mathrm{Vect}_{K_0^T(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathrm{loc}}}$. We prove the functoriality as follows.

Corollary 7.16. *The map (7.32) is functorial, i.e.*

$$(7.33) \quad f_{1 \rightarrow 3}^! = f_{1 \rightarrow 2}^! \circ f_{2 \rightarrow 3}^! : K_0^T(X_3, \phi_3)_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X_1, \phi_1)_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 7.5. In fact, under isomorphisms (7.19) and (7.23), the upper-right composition in diagram (7.14) composed with equivariant pushforward to a point:

$$K_0^T(X_1 \times X_3, -\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_3)_{\mathrm{loc}} \xrightarrow{(f_{13})^!} K_0^T(M_{12} \times_{\mathrm{Crit}(\phi_2)} M_{23})_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

becomes

$$f_{1 \rightarrow 3}^! : K_0^T(X_3, \phi_3)_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X_1, \phi_1)_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

While the maps

$$K_0^T(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_2 \times X_3, -\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_2 \boxplus (-\phi_2) \boxplus \phi_3)_{\mathrm{loc}} \xrightarrow{(f_{12} \times f_{23})^!} K_0^T(M_{12} \times M_{23})_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathrm{loc}},$$

$$K_0^T(X_1 \times X_3, -\phi_1 \boxplus \phi_3)_{\mathrm{loc}} \xrightarrow{(f_{12} \times f_{23})^! \circ \Delta_{1223}^{123} \circ \pi_{13}^{123*}} K_0^T(M_{12} \times M_{23})_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

become

$$(7.34) \quad K_0^T(X_2, \phi_2)_{\mathrm{loc}}^\vee \otimes K_0^T(X_2, \phi_2)_{\mathrm{loc}} \otimes K_0^T(X_3, \phi_3)_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X_1, \phi_1)_{\mathrm{loc}},$$

$$(7.35) \quad K_0^T(X_3, \phi_3)_{\mathrm{loc}} \rightarrow K_0^T(X_1, \phi_1)_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

respectively. Plugging $[\Delta_{X_2}] \boxtimes (-)$ into (7.34) gives the map (7.35), which by definition is the composition $f_{1 \rightarrow 2}^! \circ f_{2 \rightarrow 3}^!$. \square

This finishes the proof of Theorem 7.8.

8. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

8.1. Application I: Quantum critical K -theory. In this section, we define K -theoretic *Gromov-Witten/quasimap type invariants* for $(W//G, \phi_{W/G})$ and prove a gluing formula for these invariants.

8.1.1. *K-theoretic invariants.* Notations as in Example 2.6 (1), let $W//G$ be a smooth GIT quotient of a complex vector space W by a linear algebraic group G , and

$$\phi_{W//G} : W//G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a flat regular function, descent from a regular function $\phi_W : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let \tilde{T} be a torus acting on $W//G$ such that $\phi_{W//G}$ is equivariant with respect to a character

$$\chi : \tilde{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

and $T := \ker(\chi)$ be the subtorus, such that the torus fixed locus $\text{Crit}(\phi_{W//G})^T$ is proper.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the moduli stack of genus g , n -pointed stable curves (with $2g - 2 + n > 0$) and consider the product

$$X := (W//G)^n \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}.$$

Define the sum function

$$\phi_X^{\boxplus n} := \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n} \boxplus 0 : (W//G)^n \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, C) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{W//G}(x_i),$$

which does not depend on the factor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Proposition B.1 ensures conditions in Definition 6.1. Combining with Remark 6.2, we get a group homomorphism

$$f_{\pi_X}^! : K_0^T(X, \phi_X^{\boxplus n}) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{QM}_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\text{log}}}(\text{Crit}(\phi_{W//G}), \beta)).$$

In below we also write $\text{QM}_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\text{log}}}(\text{Crit}(\phi_{W//G}), \beta)$ as $\text{QM}_{g,n,\beta}$ for short. Applying (6.5) to above setting gives a K -theoretic analogue of [CZ, Thm. 1.1] (see [CZ, Rmk. 4.14]):

$$\sqrt{f_Z^! \circ \pi_X^*} : K_0^T(Z(\phi_X^{\boxplus n})) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{QM}_{g,n,\beta}).$$

By Proposition 6.3, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0^T(Z(\phi_X^{\boxplus n})) & \xrightarrow{\sqrt{f_Z^! \circ \pi_X^*}} & K_0^T(\text{QM}_{g,n,\beta}) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K_0^T(X, \phi_X^{\boxplus n}) & \xrightarrow{f_{\pi_X}^!} & K_0^T(\text{QM}_{g,n,\beta}). \end{array}$$

Taking exterior product in the domain and equivariant pushforward to a point in the target, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \otimes K_0^T(Z(\phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n})) & \longrightarrow & K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}} \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \otimes K_0^T((W//G)^n, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{Crit},K}} & K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}, \end{array}$$

where the upper row is the K -theoretical analogue of the map constructed in [CZ, Def. 5.5].

Definition 8.1. When $2g - 2 + n > 0$, we define the K -theoretic *quasimap invariants* of $(W//G, \phi_{W//G})$ to be the map in the bottom row:

$$(8.2) \quad \Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{Crit},K} : K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \otimes K_0^T((W//G)^n, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n}) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

Remark 8.2. For any decomposition $n = n_1 + n_2$, by using tensor product, we can also evaluate $\Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{Crit},K}$ on classes from $K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \otimes K_0^T((W//G)^{n_1}, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_1}) \otimes K_0^T((W//G)^{n_2}, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_2})$.

When $(g, n) = (0, 2)$, which is not in the stable range, there is no stabilization map. We instead consider the map

$$\text{QM}_{0,2}^{R_X = \omega_{\text{log}}}(\text{Crit}(\phi_{W//G}), \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR,0,2}^{R_X = \omega_{\text{log}}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^2} (W//G)^2 \rightarrow (W//G)^2.$$

This still satisfies Setting 2.5 and we have

$$(8.3) \quad \Phi_{0,2,\beta}^{\text{Crit},K} : K_0^T((W//G)^2, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus 2}) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

In what follows, we show that $\Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{Crit},K}$ satisfies a gluing formula.

8.1.2. *An automorphism.* There is an identification between $K_0^T(W//G, \phi_{W/G})$ and $K_0^T(W//G, -\phi_{W/G})$ (e.g., [FK, §5] and [CZ, Rmk. 4.18]), different from the one in (7.18), which we now recall.

Consider a $(G \times T)$ -equivariant *automorphism*:

$$(8.4) \quad \sigma : W \rightarrow W, \quad \text{such that } \sigma^* \phi_W = -\phi_W.$$

It descends to an automorphism

$$(8.5) \quad \sigma : W//G \rightarrow W//G, \quad \text{such that } \sigma^* \phi_{W/G} = -\phi_{W/G}.$$

Example 8.3. Recall [CZ, Setting 2.1, Def. 2.5], starting from a nontrivial group homomorphism

$$R_\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{R} \tilde{T} \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{C}^*,$$

we can take $\sigma \in R_\chi^{-1}(-1)$ as a preimage of -1 , which acts on W through map R and \tilde{T} -action. Then σ commutes with the action of $G \times T$ and satisfies $\sigma^* \phi_W = -\phi_W$ (ref. [CZ, Rmk. 4.18]).

The automorphism (8.5) induces an automorphism on the moduli stack ([CZ, Def. 4.20]):

$$(8.6) \quad \sigma : \text{QM}_{g,n,\beta} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{QM}_{g,n,\beta},$$

which commutes with (8.5) under the evaluation map. In particular, for any $g_1, g_2, n_1, n_2, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_k, \beta_\infty$, there is a commutative diagram

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{QM}_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \text{QM}_{0,2,\beta_i} \times \text{QM}_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_\infty} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{id} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma^{\frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}} \times \sigma^{\frac{1+(-1)^k}{2}}} & \text{QM}_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \text{QM}_{0,2,\beta_i} \times \text{QM}_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_\infty} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (W//G)^{n_1+1} \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G)^{n_2+1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{id} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma^{\frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}} \times \sigma^{\frac{1+(-1)^k}{2}}} & (W//G)^{n_1+1} \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G)^{n_2+1}, \end{array}$$

where $\sigma^0 = \text{id}$ is the identity map and $\sigma^{\frac{1+(-1)^k}{2}}$ acts on the factor $\text{QM}_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_\infty}$ and $(W//G)^{n_2+1}$.

It is easy to check that the pullback of function (below we denote $\phi = \phi_{W/G}$ for simplicity)

$$\phi^{\boxplus(n_1+1)} \boxplus (\phi \boxplus \phi)^{\boxplus k} \boxplus \phi^{\boxplus(n_2+1)} : (W//G)^{n_1+1} \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G)^{n_2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

via the isomorphism $\left(\text{id} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma^{\frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}} \times \sigma^{\frac{1+(-1)^k}{2}} \right)$ becomes:

$$\phi^{\boxplus(n_1+1)} \boxplus \left(\boxplus_{i=1}^k (-1)^i (\phi \boxplus \phi) \right) \boxplus ((-1)^{k+1} \phi)^{\boxplus(n_2+1)} : (W//G)^{n_1+1} \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G)^{n_2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore, we obtain:

Proposition 8.4. *The above map induces an isomorphism of critical K -groups:*

$$\begin{aligned} & K_0^T \left((W//G)^{n_1+1} \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G)^{n_2+1}, \phi^{\boxplus(n_1+1)} \boxplus (\phi \boxplus \phi)^{\boxplus k} \boxplus \phi^{\boxplus(n_2+1)} \right) \\ & \cong K_0^T \left((W//G)^{n_1+1} \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G)^{n_2+1}, \phi^{\boxplus(n_1+1)} \boxplus \left(\boxplus_{i=1}^k (-1)^i (\phi \boxplus \phi) \right) \boxplus ((-1)^{k+1} \phi)^{\boxplus(n_2+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.5. By repacking the functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_0^T \left((W//G)^{n_1+1} \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G)^{n_2+1}, \phi^{\boxplus(n_1+1)} \boxplus \left(\boxplus_{i=1}^k (-1)^i (\phi \boxplus \phi) \right) \boxplus ((-1)^{k+1} \phi)^{\boxplus(n_2+1)} \right) \\ & \cong K_0^T \left((W//G)^{n_1} \times ((W//G)^2)^{k+1} \times (W//G)^{n_2}, \phi^{\boxplus n_1} \boxplus \left(\boxplus_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i (-\phi \boxplus \phi) \right) \boxplus ((-1)^{k+1} \phi)^{\boxplus n_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

8.1.3. *Gluing formula.* For a decomposition $n = n_1 + n_2$, $g = g_1 + g_2$ (with $2g_i - 2 + n_i + 1 > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$), we have the *gluing morphism*

$$(8.8) \quad \iota : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}.$$

The image of ι is a divisor. The preimage of $\text{Im}(\iota)$ along the map $\text{QM}_{g,n,\beta} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is a reducible divisor. Each decomposition of curve class gives a component on this divisor.

More precisely, let $\mathbb{X}(G)$ denote the character group of G . For any $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z})$, and a decomposition of curve class

$$\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k + \beta_\infty,$$

we consider Cartesian diagram

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{QM}_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0} \times_{\Delta} \cdots \times_{\Delta} \mathrm{QM}_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_{\infty}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{QM}_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0} \times \mathrm{QM}_{0, 2, \beta_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{QM}_{0, 2, \beta_k} \times \mathrm{QM}_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_{\infty}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ (W//G)^{n_1} \times (W//G)^{k+1} \times (W//G)^{n_2} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}^{n_1} \times \Delta^{k+1} \times \mathrm{id}^{n_2}} & (W//G)^{n_1} \times (W//G) \times ((W//G)^2)^k \times (W//G) \times (W//G)^{n_2}, \end{array}$$

where $\Delta : W//G \rightarrow W//G \times W//G$ is the *diagonal map*, the upper-left corner being a substack of $\mathrm{QM}_{g, n, \beta}$, which under the map $\mathrm{QM}_{g, n, \beta} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ lands in the image of ι ,

Proper pushforward along the diagonal map

$$\Delta_* : K_0^T(W//G) \rightarrow K_0^T(W//G \times W//G, -\phi_{W//G} \boxplus \phi_{W//G})$$

gives the diagonal class

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta} := \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{W//G}.$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the product

$$(8.10) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}} := (\mathcal{O}_{\Delta})^{\otimes(k+1)} \in K_0^T(W//G \times W//G, -\phi_{W//G} \boxplus \phi_{W//G})^{\otimes(k+1)}.$$

We define a map

$$(8.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K} \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_1}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_k}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K} : \\ & K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1}) \otimes K_0^T\left((W//G)^n \times (W//G)^{2k+2}, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n} \boxplus \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus(2k+2)}\right) \rightarrow K_0^T(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathrm{loc}}, \end{aligned}$$

as the critical pullback applied to the right column of (8.9) followed by equivariant pushforward to a point. Using Proposition 8.4 and Remark 8.5, we can evaluate (8.11) on

$$\alpha \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}},$$

where

$$\alpha \in K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1}), \quad \gamma \in K_0^T\left((W//G)^{n_1}, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_1}\right) \otimes K_0^T\left((W//G)^{n_2}, (-1)^{k+1} \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_2}\right).$$

By the isomorphism $\sigma^{\frac{1+(-1)^k}{2}}$, γ is also a class in $K_0^T\left((W//G)^{n_1}, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_1}\right) \otimes K_0^T\left((W//G)^{n_2}, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_2}\right)$.

Theorem 8.6 (Gluing formula in quantum critical K -theory). *For any classes*

$$\alpha \in K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1}), \quad \gamma \in K_0^T\left((W//G)^{n_1}, \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_1}\right) \otimes K_0^T\left((W//G)^{n_2}, (-1)^{k+1} \phi_{W//G}^{\boxplus n_2}\right),$$

we have

$$(8.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K}(\iota_* \alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k + \beta_{\infty} = \beta} \Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K} \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_1}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_k}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{Crit}, K}(\alpha \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Taking into account the inclusion-exclusion principle [Lee, Lem. 3] and the functoriality (Theorem 6.6), the proof of [CZ, Thm. 5.7] implies the claim, with the role of square root virtual pullbacks in [CZ, Prop. 4.22] replaced by critical pullbacks. We leave details to interested readers. \square

8.1.4. *Gluing formula under decomposition of diagonal.* Now we assume the potential function

$$\phi_{W//G} : W//G \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

satisfies the decomposition of diagonal (7.22). The diagonal class decomposes according to a basis of the critical K -group:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta} = \sum_{i, j} g_{ij} \eta_i \otimes \eta_j.$$

Taking product, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}} = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{2k+1}} \bigotimes_{m=0}^k g_{i_{2m}, i_{2m+1}} \eta_{i_{2m}} \otimes \eta_{i_{2m+1}}.$$

We write

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_n \in K_0^T(W//G, \phi_{W/G})^{\otimes n} \cong K_0^T\left((W//G)^n, \phi_{W/G}^{\boxplus n}\right)_{\text{loc}}.$$

Let

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \in K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1}) \otimes K_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1}).$$

The right-hand side of Eqn. (8.12) then takes the more familiar form:

(8.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0}^{\text{Crit}, K} \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_1}^{\text{Crit}, K} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_k}^{\text{Crit}, K} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_\infty}^{\text{Crit}, K} (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}}) = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{2k+1}} \prod_{m=0}^k g_{i_{2m}, i_{2m+1}} \\ & \cdot \Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_0}^{\text{Crit}, K} (\alpha_1 \boxtimes \gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{n_1} \otimes \eta_{i_0}) \cdot \prod_{m=1}^k \Phi_{0, 2, \beta_m}^{\text{Crit}, K} (\eta_{i_{2m-1}} \otimes \eta_{i_{2m}}) \cdot \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_\infty}^{\text{Crit}, K} (\alpha_2 \boxtimes \gamma_{n_1+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_n \otimes \eta_{i_{2k+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

written as a sum over tensor products of (8.2) and (8.3).

8.2. Application II: Donaldson-Thomas theory of local Calabi-Yau 4-folds. Let (Y, D) be a 4-dimensional (local) log Calabi-Yau pair [CZZ, Eqn. (1.3)], i.e.

$$(8.14) \quad Y = \text{Tot}(\omega_U(S)), \quad D = \text{Tot}(\omega_S),$$

where U is a smooth 3-fold and S is a smooth divisor of U . Note that D is an anti-canonical divisor of Y . We assume in below that for all numerical K -theory classes R on D considered, there is an equivalence of (-1) -shifted symplectic derived schemes:

$$(8.15) \quad \mathbf{Hilb}^R(D) \cong \mathbf{Crit}(\phi_R),$$

where ϕ_R is a regular function on a smooth scheme. Let T be a torus acting on the pair (Y, D) and domain of ϕ_R (preserving the function ϕ_R), so that the action is compatible under (8.15).

Given a simple degeneration $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ of local Calabi-Yau 4-folds [CZZ, Defs. 2.6, 2.10], such that the central fiber is the union

$$X_0 = Y_- \cup_D Y_+,$$

where (Y_-, D) , (Y_+, D) are two log Calabi-Yau pairs as (8.14) and D is connected (for simplicity), and given numerically equivalent K -theory classes $P_t \in K_{c, \leq 1}^{\text{num}}(X/\mathbb{A}^1)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ [CZZ, Def. 2.16, Eqn. (2.11)], there is a *family virtual class*⁷:

$$\Phi_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}^{P_t} \in K_0^T\left(\mathbf{Hilb}^{P_t}(X/\mathbb{A}^1)\right).$$

Its Gysin pullback under inclusion $0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ [CZZ, diagram (5.21)] gives

$$(8.16) \quad i_0^! \Phi_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}^{P_t} \in K_0^T\left(\mathbf{Hilb}^{P_t}(X/\mathbb{A}^1)_0\right).$$

Here $\mathbf{Hilb}^{P_t}(X/\mathbb{A}^1)_0$ is the zero fiber of $\mathbf{Hilb}^{P_t}(X/\mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, which admits gluing maps:

(8.17)

$$\mathbf{Hilb}^{Q_0}(Y_-, D) \times_{\mathbf{Hilb}^{R_0}(D)} \mathbf{Hilb}^{Q_1}(\Delta)^\sim \times_{\mathbf{Hilb}^{R_1}(D)} \cdots \times_{\mathbf{Hilb}^{R_{k-1}}(D)} \mathbf{Hilb}^{Q_k}(\Delta)^\sim \times_{\mathbf{Hilb}^{R_k}(D)} \mathbf{Hilb}^{Q_\infty}(Y_+, D) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}^{P_t}(X/\mathbb{A}^1)_0,$$

where $\Delta = \mathbb{P}(N_{D/Y_-} \oplus \mathcal{O}_D)$ is the bubble with two anti-canonical divisors D_0, D_∞ , $\mathbf{Hilb}^-(\Delta)^\sim$ denotes the rubber Hilbert stack (e.g. [CZZ, Def. A.1]), and

$$Q_0|_D = Q_1|_{D_0} = R_0, \quad Q_1|_{D_\infty} = Q_2|_{D_0} = R_1, \quad \cdots, \quad Q_k|_{D_\infty} = Q_\infty|_D = R_k,$$

$$P_0 = \sum_{i=0}^k Q_i + Q_\infty - \sum_{i=0}^k R_i.$$

We denote

$$(8.18) \quad \delta = (Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_k, Q_\infty, R_0, R_1, \dots, R_k)$$

to be the collection of those numerical classes, with $k(\delta) := k$ recording the number of bubble components, and

$$P(\delta) := \sum_{i=0}^k Q_i + Q_\infty - \sum_{i=0}^k R_i.$$

⁷The $\Phi_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}^{P_t}$ here is the K -theoretic analogue of $\Phi_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}^{P_t}([C^{P_t}])$ in [CZZ, Def. 5.13].

Under assumptions in Lemma B.5, critical pullbacks define *relative virtual classes* of $\text{Hilb}^{Q_0}(Y_-, D)$, $\text{Hilb}^{Q_\infty}(Y_+, D)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{Y_-, D}^{Q_0} &: K_0^T(\phi_{Q_0|D}) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{Hilb}^{Q_0}(Y_-, D)), \\ \Phi_{Y_+, D}^{Q_\infty} &: K_0^T(\phi_{Q_\infty|D}) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{Hilb}^{Q_\infty}(Y_+, D)),\end{aligned}$$

where $K_0^T(\phi_{Q_{(-)}|D})$ is the shorthand for the critical K -groups of regular functions $\phi_{Q_{(-)}|D}$.

And similarly there are *relative virtual classes* of rubber moduli stacks $\text{Hilb}^{Q_i}(\Delta)^\sim$:

$$\Phi_{\Delta^\sim}^{Q_i} : K_0^T(\phi_{Q_i|D_0}) \otimes K_0^T(\phi_{Q_i|D_\infty}) \rightarrow K_0^T(\text{Hilb}^{Q_i}(\Delta)^\sim).$$

Given δ as (8.18), we have $R_i = Q_i|_{D_\infty} = Q_{i+1}|_{D_0}$ and diagonal class

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_i} = \Delta_{i*} \mathcal{O} \in K_0^T(-\phi_{R_i}) \otimes K_0^T(\phi_{R_i}) \cong K_0^T(\phi_{R_i}) \otimes K_0^T(\phi_{R_i}),$$

where

$$\Delta_i : W_i//G_i \rightarrow W_i//G_i \times W_i//G_i, \quad x \mapsto (x, x)$$

is the diagonal embedding and $W_i//G_i$ is the domain of the function ϕ_{R_i} , and the isomorphism is the canonical one (7.18).

We denote the product diagonal class

$$\begin{aligned}(8.19) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}} &:= \bigotimes_{i=0}^k \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_i} \in \bigotimes_{i=0}^k (K_0^T(\phi_{R_i}) \otimes K_0^T(\phi_{R_i})) \\ &\cong K_0^T(\phi_{Q_0|D}) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k (K_0^T(\phi_{Q_i|D_0}) \otimes K_0^T(\phi_{Q_i|D_\infty})) \otimes K_0^T(\phi_{Q_\infty|D}).\end{aligned}$$

As in the previous section, following the proof of [CZZ, Thm. 5.21] and [Qu, §4.2], we have:

Theorem 8.7 (Degeneration formula of K -theoretic DT_4 invariants).

$$(8.20) \quad i_0^!(\Phi_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}^{P_t}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{\delta \\ k(\delta)=k \\ P(\delta)=P_0}} \Phi_{Y_-, D}^{Q_0} \otimes \Phi_{\Delta^\sim}^{Q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_{\Delta^\sim}^{Q_k} \otimes \Phi_{Y_+, D}^{Q_\infty}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}}),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{k+1}}$ is the class (8.19) and the RHS lies in $K_0^T(\text{Hilb}^{P_t}(X/\mathbb{A}^1)_0)$ by the map (8.17).

APPENDIX A.

We recall basics in shifted symplectic structures (ref. [PTVV, Cal, CPTVV, Park2]).

Definition A.1. A graded mixed complex E (over a base ring R) consists of the following data:

- A \mathbb{Z} -graded complex of R -modules

$$E = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} E(p),$$

where each $E(p)$ has internal differential $d_E|_{E(p)} \equiv d_{E(p)}$.

- A map of chain complexes

$$\epsilon : E(p) \rightarrow E(p+1)[-1]$$

such that $\epsilon^2 = 0$.

Definition A.2. Let $M \rightarrow N$ be a map of derived stacks over R and $DR(M/N)$ be the derived de Rham complex [CPTVV, Def. 2.4.2], which is a graded mixed complex. Consider the *negative cyclic*, *periodic cyclic*, *Hochschild* complexes of weight p

$$NC(DR(M/N))(p), \quad PC(DR(M/N))(p), \quad C(DR(M/N))(p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}NC^k(E)(p) &:= \prod_{i \geq 0} E^{k-2i}(p+i), \quad d_{NC(E)} = d_E + \epsilon, \\ PC^k(E)(p) &:= \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{k-2i}(p+i), \quad d_{PC(E)} = d_E + \epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

$$C^k(E)(p) := E^k(p), \quad d_{C(E)} = d_E,$$

and the corresponding *negative cyclic, periodic cyclic, Hochschild* homology

$$HN^k(DR(M/N))(p), \quad HP^k(DR(M/N))(p), \quad HH^k(DR(M/N))(p).$$

Remark A.3. We refer to elements in $HN^{k-p}(DR(M/N))(p)$ (resp. $HH^{k-p}(DR(M/N))(p)$) as *k-shifted closed p-forms* (resp. *k-shifted p-forms*). It is straightforward to define canonical maps:

$$HN^k(DR(M/N))(p) \rightarrow HP^k(DR(M/N))(p), \quad HN^k(DR(M/N))(p) \rightarrow HH^k(DR(M/N))(p).$$

Definition A.4. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a map of derived stacks over R . An *n-shifted symplectic structure* on f is an element $\Omega \in HN^{n-2}(DR(M/N))(2)$ such that its image in $HH^{n-2}(DR(M/N))(2)$ is non-degenerate, i.e. inducing a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}_{M/N} \cong \mathbb{L}_{M/N}[n].$$

Definition A.5. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a map of derived stacks over a derived stack B and $N \rightarrow B$ be the structure map endowed with an *n-shifted symplectic structure* Ω . A *Lagrangian structure* on f (relative to B) is a nullhomotopy

$$f^*\Omega \sim 0$$

in the space $\mathcal{A}^{2,cl}(M/B)(n)$ of *n-shifted closed 2-forms*, such that the induced morphism

$$\mathbb{T}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M/B}[n-1]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Next we recall the notion of orientations on shifted symplectic stacks, as in [BJ, §2.4], [CL1, CL2].

Definition A.6. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a map of derived stacks which has a (relative) *n-shifted symplectic structure* (with even n). The symplectic structure induces an isomorphism

$$(A.1) \quad \det(\mathbb{T}_f|_{t_0(M)})^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{t_0(M)}$$

of the restriction of the tangent complex of f to the classical truncation $t_0(M)$ of M .

An *orientation* on f is a square root of the above isomorphism (A.1).

Definition A.7. Let N be a *n-shifted symplectic derived stack* with odd n and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a map of derived stacks which has a Lagrangian structure. The Lagrangian structure induces an isomorphism

$$(A.2) \quad (\det(\mathbb{T}_f|_{t_0(M)}))^{\otimes 2} \cong t_0(f)^* \det(\mathbb{T}_N|_{t_0(N)})$$

of the restriction of the tangent complexes of f and N to the classical truncation $t_0(M)$ of M .

An *orientation* of N is a choice of a line bundle $\det(\mathbb{T}_N|_{t_0(N)})^{1/2}$ on $t_0(N)$ such that

$$\det(\mathbb{T}_N|_{t_0(N)})^{1/2} \otimes \det(\mathbb{T}_N|_{t_0(N)})^{1/2} \cong \det(\mathbb{T}_N|_{t_0(N)}).$$

An *orientation* of f is a choice of square root $\det(\mathbb{T}_N|_{t_0(N)})^{1/2}$ as above and an isomorphism

$$\det(\mathbb{T}_f|_{t_0(M)}) \cong t_0(f)^* \det(\mathbb{T}_N|_{t_0(N)})^{1/2},$$

whose square is the isomorphism (A.2).

Remark A.8. Consider the derived critical locus $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ of $\phi : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as in Definition 2.1. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\det(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)}) \cong \det(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{B}}|_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)})^{\otimes 2}.$$

Therefore $\det(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{B}}|_{\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)})$ provides a canonical choice of orientation for $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$.

Remark A.9. By compositing a Lagrangian $f : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ and the Lagrangian fibration $p : \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, we obtain a (-2) -shifted symplectic structure on map $p \circ f : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ [CZZ, Lem. 3.6]. One can easily check that an orientation of f and the canonical orientation in the previous remark induces an orientation of $p \circ f$ (e.g. [CZZ, Prop. 4.11]).

APPENDIX B.

Proposition B.1. *We have*

- *Example 2.6 (1) satisfies all conditions in Setting 2.5.*
- *Example 2.6 (2) satisfies all conditions in Setting 2.5 but the (Resolution) property.*

Proof. We first fix the map π_X in both examples.

In (1), let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the moduli stack of genus g , n -pointed stable curves. It is a smooth proper Deligne-Mumford stack whose coarse moduli space is projective [Kn]. Therefore $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \cong [Y/K]$ for a quasi-projective scheme Y and a linear algebraic group K [Kre2, Thm. 4.4]. Then we can take

$$X = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \times (W//G)^n$$

with the regular function ϕ_X being the pullback of the regular function $\phi_{W/G}^{\boxplus n}$ on $(W//G)^n$. And

$$\pi_X : \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} (W//G)^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times (W//G)^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \times (W//G)^n$$

is the composition, where the last map is the composition of the (smooth) forgetful map to the moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$ of prestable curves with the (flat) stabilization map [Beh]:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

to the moduli of stable curves.

In (2), we take $X = (W//G)^n$, with projection

$$\pi_X : \mathcal{A}^P \times (W//G)^n \rightarrow (W//G)^n.$$

In both cases, the domain of f is a derived stack whose classical truncation is separated, Deligne-Mumford, finite type over \mathbb{C} (e.g. [CZ, Thm. 2.11], [CZZ, Prop. 2.25]), and the map f is quasi-projective (e.g. [CiKM, §4.2], [LW, Proof of Prop. 4.4]). The target is a smooth quasi-separated algebraic stack, locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} . Although it is not quasi-compact, but we can replace it by a quasi-compact open subset where the image of f lies in. The affine stabilizer property is proved in Lemma B.2. This finishes the proof of (LG), (DM) conditions.

The remaining properties are verified in Lemma B.3, Lemma B.4 and Lemma B.5. \square

Lemma B.2. *The targets of f in Example 2.6 have affine stabilizer.*

Proof. For (1), it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}$ has affine stabilizer ($H_R = G \times \mathbb{C}^*$). Recall that we have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A := \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \{\omega_{\log}\} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ B := \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n} & \longrightarrow & C := \mathfrak{Bun}_{\mathbb{C}^*, g, n}. \end{array}$$

Let $x \in A$ be a closed point, then

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A(x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_B(x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_C(x)$$

is exact. Therefore, it is enough to show $\text{Aut}_B(x)$ is affine.

Take a closed point $\{C\}$ of the moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$ of prestable genus g curves with n -marked points, denote $\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R}(C)$ to be the moduli stack of principle H_R -bundle over C . There is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B' := \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R}(C) & \longrightarrow & \{C\} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ B := \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M} := \mathfrak{M}_{g,n}, \end{array}$$

and an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{B'}(x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_B(x) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\text{Aut}_B(x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{M}}(x)) \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R}(C)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$ (when $(g, n) \neq (1, 0)$) have affine stabilizers (e.g. [DG1, Rmk. 2.2.6], [BS, Prop. 3.1]). As an extension of affine algebraic group, we know $\text{Aut}_B(x)$ is also affine [DeGr, pp. 379, VI_B, Prop. 9.2 (viii)].

For (2), this is [CZZ, Prop. 2.2]. \square

Lemma B.3. *(Oriented Lagrangian) and (Compatibility) of Setting 2.5 hold for Example 2.6.*

Proof. For (1), shifted symplectic structures with image in the periodic cycle homology given by ϕ (in the sense of Setting 2.5) are called ϕ -locked symplectic forms in [Park2, Notation 1.1.2]. Note that the AKSZ type mapping stack construction works for ϕ -locked symplectic forms [Park2, §6.2]. By running through the construction in [CZ, §3.3, §3.5], one can show the claim, where orientation is constructed as [CZ, Rmk. 4.2].

For (2), by [CZZ, Thm. 3.2], we have a Lagrangian structure (relative to \mathcal{A}^P) on

$$f: \mathbf{Hilb}^P(Y, D) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^P \times \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)^n,$$

whose composition with $\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)^n \rightarrow (W//G)^n$ has a canonically induced (-2) -shifted symplectic structure Ω_f [CZZ, Prop. 3.7]. Orientation on f is constructed in [CZZ, Prop. 4.11]. Note that [Park2, Rmk. 4.1.7] implies that the image of Ω_f in the periodic cycle homology is given by the pullback function of $\phi + c$ for a locally constant function c . By [CZZ, Prop. 5.6], we know $c = 0$, this proves the compatibility with the function ϕ . \square

Lemma B.4. *(Resolution) of Setting 2.5 holds for Example 2.6 (1).*

Proof. Let $B = \mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ be the universal curve. Recall that the quasimap derived stack $\mathbf{QM} := \mathbf{QM}_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}(\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_{W/G}), \beta)$ is an open substack of the twisted mapping stack $\mathfrak{M}\mathbf{ap}_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}([\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_W)/H_R])$ (where $\phi_{W/G}$ is the descent of $\phi_W: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$). Let

$$\pi: \mathfrak{M}\mathbf{ap}_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}([\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_W)/H_R]) \times_B \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\mathbf{ap}_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}([\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_W)/H_R])$$

be the induced map, \mathcal{P} be the universal H_R -bundle and $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{P} \times_{H_R} W$ be the universal W -bundle. By [CZ, Prop. 3.26, Lem. 4.8], the relative tangent complex of

$$(B.1) \quad \mathbf{f} := ev^n \times_{[\mathbf{pt}/H_R]^n} \mu: \mathfrak{M}\mathbf{ap}_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}([\mathbf{Crit}(\phi_W)/H_R]) \rightarrow [W/H_R]^n \times_{[\mathbf{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{un}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}$$

is given by

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{f}} \cong (\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes (\omega_{\log}^\vee \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}/B})) \rightarrow \pi_* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log}))$$

where the map is induced by $\text{Hess}(\phi_W)$. For simplicity, we write this complex as $\pi_*(\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1)$.

When restricted to the classical truncation \mathbf{QM} of \mathbf{QM} , the complex $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{f}}$ above has a resolution following the construction in [CiKM, §5.2], which we briefly summarize below. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be a π -ample line bundle with a preferred section s . We have for $i = 0, 1$, an injective map $s_n: \mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i(n)$ whose cokernel \mathcal{B}_i^n has support $Z(s_n)$ finite over \mathbf{QM} . We choose m large enough so that both $\mathcal{V}_i(m)$ and the cokernel \mathcal{B}_i^m are π -acyclic. Notice that the map $\text{Hess}(\phi_W)$ induces maps on the resolutions, making the diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_0(m) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_0^m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{V}_1(m) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_1^m. \end{array}$$

Applying π_* to the diagram above, and taking the associated total complex, we obtain a 3-step resolution of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{f}}$.

Notice that the Serre duality map $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{f}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{f}}^\vee[2]$ on the 3-step resolution above is defined on the level of complexes. This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{V}_1 \cong (\mathcal{V}_0)^\vee \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}/B}$ is given by definitions of \mathcal{V}_0 and \mathcal{V}_1 above, $\mathcal{B}_0^m = \mathcal{V}_0(m)|_{Z(s_m)}$, and the residue map (e.g. [Ne, Thm. 3.2.5]):

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{V}_0(m)|_{Z(s_m)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_1(m)[1]) \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{V}_0^\vee(m) \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}/B} \otimes \mathcal{V}_0(m)|_{Z(s_m)}[1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{QM}}.$$

Similarly for

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{V}_1(m)|_{Z(s_m)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_0(m)[1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{QM}}.$$

Finally, a construction following [OT, Proof of Prop. 4.1] symmetrizes the 3-step complex into a self-dual complex. \square

We do not know whether the resolution property (of Setting 2.5) holds for Example 2.6 (2) in general. The following gives a positive answer in interesting examples.

Lemma B.5. *Let D_0 be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold with a \mathbb{C}^* -action such that the holomorphic volume form has weight 1, $\mathring{\omega}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)$ be the \mathbb{C}^* -bundle obtained by removing the zero section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n-2)$.*

Take $Y = \mathring{\omega}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n) \times_{\mathbb{C}^} D_0$, and consequently the anti-canonical divisor is a disjoint union $D = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n D_0$. Assume that $\mathbf{Hilb}^{P|D}(D) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ for a regular function $\phi : W//G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on a linear GIT quotient, and that $\mathbf{Hilb}^P(Y, D)$ is contained in the open substack in (B.3) below. Then (Resolution) of Setting 2.5 holds in this case.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{M} be the moduli stack of n -pointed trees of rational curves C with a distinguished component $C_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ such that every irreducible component of C (except C_0) has exactly two special points (nodes or marked points) [CiK, §7.2]. Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ be the universal curve.

Define $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M})$ by the following homotopy pullback diagram

$$(B.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M}) & \longrightarrow & \{\mathring{\omega}_{\log}\} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{C}, [\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)/\mathbb{C}^*] \times \mathfrak{M}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{C}, [\text{pt}/\mathbb{C}^*] \times \mathfrak{M}). \end{array}$$

Here \mathfrak{M}_{ap} means derived mapping stack and $\mathring{\omega}_{\log}$ is the principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle over \mathcal{C} corresponding to the log-canonical bundle. We claim that there is an open immersion

$$(B.3) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}(\mathring{\omega}_{\log} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} D_0, \mathbf{Perf} \times \mathfrak{M})_0$$

with the target the derived moduli stack of perfect complexes with trivial determinant on $(\mathring{\omega}_{\log} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} D_0)/\mathfrak{M}$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{C}, [\mathbf{Crit}(\phi)/\mathbb{C}^*] \times \mathfrak{M}) &\cong \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\mathbb{C}^* \text{-equi}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{open}} \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\mathbb{C}^* \text{-equi}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*}, \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}}(D_0, \mathbf{Perf})_0 \times \mathfrak{M}) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} D_0, \mathbf{Perf} \times \mathfrak{M})_0. \end{aligned}$$

By base change through diagram (B.2), we obtain (B.3).

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M}) & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}(\mathring{\omega}_{\log} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} D_0, \mathbf{Perf} \times \mathfrak{M})_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{Crit}(\phi))^{\times n} \times \mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{\text{open}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n D_0, \mathbf{Perf})_0 \times \mathfrak{M}, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is evaluation at marked points, and the right vertical map is induced by the inclusion $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n (D_0 \times \mathfrak{M}) \hookrightarrow (\mathring{\omega}_{\log} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} D_0)$ of the anti-canonical divisor relative to \mathfrak{M} .

Let $\pi : \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M}) \times_{\mathfrak{M}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M})$ be the induced projection, $ad_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{P}_G := \mathcal{P}_G \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ be the adjoint bundle, $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{P}_G \times_G W$ the universal W -bundle, $\mathcal{O}(S) = \mathring{\omega}_{\log} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathfrak{M}}^{-1}$ be the line bundle defined by the divisor $S \subset \mathcal{C}$ of marked points. Using the isomorphism below [CZ, Eqn. (3.10) of pp. 17], we know the tangent complex of

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow (W//G)^{\times n} \times \mathfrak{M}$$

can be computed as

$$(B.4) \quad \mathbb{T}_f \cong (\pi_*(ad_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{P}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-S)) \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-S)) \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \mathring{\omega}_{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_*(ad_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{P}_G \boxtimes \mathring{\omega}_{\log})).$$

By assumption, $\mathbf{Hilb}^P(Y, D)$ is a finite type open substack of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M})$. Therefore its tangent complex can be computed using (B.4). Same argument as the proof of Lemma B.4 gives the construction. \square

Remark B.6. We note that Lemma B.5 has straightforward generalizations when D_0 has more symmetries than \mathbb{C}^* -action. For example, when $D_0 = \mathbb{C}^3$, the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ acts coordinate-wise. Fix a principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ -bundle P on the distinguished component $C_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ whose associated rank three bundle is

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c), \quad \text{with } a + b + c = n - 2.$$

Via the contraction $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, we can pullback P to a bundle \mathcal{P} on \mathcal{C} and consider P -twisted maps as in (B.2) whose parametrizing stack is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M})$.

Let $Y = P \times_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^3} \mathbb{C}^3$, whose anti-canonical divisor is a disjoint union $D = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n D_0$. Assume that $\mathbf{Hilb}^{P|D}(D) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Crit}(\phi)$ for a regular function $\phi : W//G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on a linear GIT quotient, and that $\mathbf{Hilb}^P(Y, D)$ is contained in the open substack:

$$(B.5) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}}^{\text{twist}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Crit}(\phi) \times \mathfrak{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\text{ap}}(\mathcal{P} \times_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^3} D_0, \mathbf{Perf} \times \mathfrak{M})_0$$

Then (Resolution) of Setting 2.5 holds in this case by the same argument as in Lemma B.5.

In particular, the moduli stack of relative PT stable pairs on a log Calabi-Yau local curve ($Y = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a, b, c), D = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}^3$) with $n = a + b + c + 2$ satisfies (Resolution) of Setting 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [AB] L. Amorim and O. Ben-Bassat, *Perversely categorified Lagrangian correspondences*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 21 (2017) no. 2, 289–381.
- [AP] D. Anderson and S. Payne, *Operational K-theory*, Doc. Math. 20 (2015), 357–399.
- [AG] D. Arinkin and D. Gaitsgory, *Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric Langlands conjecture*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 21 (2015), no. 1, 1–199.
- [BS] Y. Bae and J. Schmitt, *Chow rings of stacks of prestable curves I, with an appendix joint with Jonathan Skowera*, Forum Math. Sigma 10 (2022), Paper No. e28, 47 pp.
- [BDFIK] M. Ballard, D. Deliu, D. Favero, M. U. Isik and L. Katzarkov, *Resolutions in factorization categories*, Adv. Math. vol. 295 (2016) 195–249.
- [BFK] M. Ballard, D. Favero and L. Katzarkov, *A category of kernels for equivariant factorizations and its implications for Hodge theory*, Publ. Math. l’IHÉS 120 (2014), 1–111.
- [Beh] K. Behrend, *Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math. 127 (1997), 601–617.
- [BF] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 45–88.
- [Ben] O. Ben-Bassat, *Multiple Derived Lagrangian Intersections*, Contemporary Mathematics Volume 643, AMS, 2015.
- [BJ] D. Borisov and D. Joyce, *Virtual fundamental classes for moduli spaces of sheaves on Calabi-Yau four-folds*, Geom. Topol. 21 (2017) 3231–3311.
- [BR] D. Butson and M. Rapcak, *Perverse coherent extensions on Calabi-Yau threefolds and representations of cohomological Hall algebras*, arXiv:2309.16582.
- [Cal] D. Calaque, *Lagrangian structures on mapping stacks and semi-classical TFTs*. Stacks and categories in geometry, topology, and algebra, 1–23, Contemp. Math., 643, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [CPTVV] D. Calaque, T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, *Shifted Poisson Structures and deformation quantization*, J. Topol. 10 (2017), no. 2, 483–584.
- [CKM] Y. Cao, M. Kool, and S. Monavari, *K-theoretic DT/PT correspondence for toric Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Comm. Math. Phys. 396 (2022), no. 1, 225–264.
- [CL1] Y. Cao and N. C. Leung, *Donaldson-Thomas theory for Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, arXiv:1407.7659.
- [CL2] Y. Cao and N. C. Leung, *Orientability for gauge theories on Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Adv. Math. 314 (2017), 48–70.
- [CZ] Y. Cao and G. Zhao, *Quasimaps to quivers with potentials*, arXiv:2306.01302.
- [CZZ] Y. Cao, G. Zhao and Z. Zhou, *A degeneration formula of Donaldson-Thomas theory on Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, arXiv:2402.16103.
- [CG] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics (2010).
- [CFGKS] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, D. Favero, J. Guéré, B. Kim and M. Shoemaker, *Fundamental factorization of GLSM part I: construction*, arXiv:1802.05247. To appear in Memoirs of the AMS.
- [CiK] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Moduli stacks of stable toric quasimaps*, Adv. Math. 225 (2010), no. 6, 3022–3051.
- [CiKM] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim and D. Maulik, *Stable quasimaps to GIT quotients*, J. Geom. Phys. 75 (2014), 17–47.
- [DM] B. Davison and S. Meinhardt, *Cohomological Donaldson-Thomas theory of a quiver with potential and quantum enveloping algebras*, Invent. Math., 221(3): 777–871 (2020).
- [DeGr] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, Schémas en groupes, SGA 3, vol. 1*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (in French). Vol. 151. Berlin; New York: Springer-Verlag. pp. xv+564.
- [DG1] V. Drinfeld and D. Gaitsgory, *Compact generation of the category of D-modules on the stack of G-bundles on a curve*, arXiv:1112.2402v8.
- [DG2] V. Drinfeld and D. Gaitsgory, *On some finiteness questions for algebraic stacks*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 23, (2013), 149–294.
- [EG] D. Edidin and W. Graham, *Characteristic classes and quadratic bundles*, Duke Math. J. 78 (1995), 277–299.
- [EP] A. I. Efimov and L. Positselski, *Coherent analogues of matrix factorizations and relative singularity categories*, Algebra Number Theory 9 (2015), no. 5, 1159–1292.
- [FJR1] H. Fan, T. J. Jarvis, and Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory*, Ann. of Math. 178 (2013), 1–106.
- [FJR2] H. Fan, T. J. Jarvis and Y. Ruan, *A mathematical theory of the gauged linear sigma model*, Geom. Topol. 22 (2018), no. 1, 235–303.

- [FK] D. Favero and B. Kim, *General GLSM Invariants and Their Cohomological Field Theories*, arXiv:2006.12182.
- [Ful] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1998.
- [Gai] D. Gaiitsgory, *Ind-coherent sheaves*, Moscow Mathematical Journal 13 (2013), no. 3, 399–528.
- [Giv] A. Givental, *Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2001), 1265–1286.
- [HHR] B. Hennion, J. Holstein and M. Robalo, *Gluing invariants of Donaldson–Thomas type–Part I: the Darboux stack*, arXiv:2407.08471.
- [Joy] D. Joyce, *Shifted symplectic derived algebraic geometry and generalizations of Donaldson–Thomas theory* Talk at KIAS, 2018. Available at <https://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/joyce/SeoulHandout3.pdf>
- [JS] D. Joyce and P. Safronov, *A Lagrangian Neighbourhood Theorem for shifted symplectic derived schemes*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 28 (2019), pp. 831–908.
- [KR] A. Kapustin and L. Rozansky, *Three-dimensional topological field theory and symplectic algebraic geometry II*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 4 (2010), 463–549.
- [Kh] A. A. Khan, *K-theory and G-theory of derived algebraic stacks*, Jpn. J. Math. 17 (2022), no. 1, 1–61.
- [KL1] Y. H. Kiem and J. Li, *Quantum singularity theory via cosection localization*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 766 (2020), 73–107.
- [KL2] Y.-H. Kiem and J. Li, *Localizing Virtual Structure Sheaves by Cosections*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 22 (2020) 8387–8417.
- [KO] B. Kim and J. Oh, *Localized Chern Characters for 2-periodic complexes*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 28 (2022), no. 2, Paper no. 23, 26 pp.
- [Kn] F. Knudsen, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves III: The line bundles on $M_{g,n}$, and a proof of the projectivity of $M_{g,n}$ in characteristic 0*, Math. Scand. 52 (1983), 200–212.
- [KM] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin, *Gromov–Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. 164 (1994), 525–562.
- [KS] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson–Thomas invariants*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 5 (2011), no. 2, 231–352.
- [Kre1] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 3, 495–536.
- [Kre2] A. Kresch, *On the geometry of Deligne–Mumford stacks*, in Algebraic geometry (Seattle 2005). Part 1, 259–271, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [Lee] Y.-P. Lee, *Quantum K-theory I. Foundations*, Duke Math. J. 121 (2004), no. 3, 389–424.
- [LW] J. Li and B. Wu, *Good degeneration of Quot-schemes and coherent systems*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 23 (2015), no. 4, 841–921.
- [LY] W. Li and M. Yamazaki, *Quiver Yangian from Crystal Melting*, JHEP 11 (2020) 035, arXiv:2003.08909.
- [Man] C. Manolache, *Virtual pull-backs*, J. Algebraic Geom. 21 (2012), no. 2, 201–245.
- [Nak] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 1, 145–238.
- [Ne] A. Neeman, *Grothendieck duality made simple*, K-theory in Algebra, Analysis and Topology, Contemp. Math., vol. 749, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020, pp. 279–325. arXiv:1806.03293v3.
- [OS] J. Oh and B. Sreedhar, *Localization by 2-periodic complexes and virtual structure sheaves*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 21(5) (2022), 1477–1506.
- [OT] J. Oh and R. P. Thomas, *Counting sheaves on Calabi–Yau 4-folds I*, Duke Math. J. 172 (7) (2023), 1333–1409.
- [Oko] A. Okounkov, *Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry*, Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory, 251–380, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [Orl1] D. Orlov, *Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau–Ginzburg models*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2004, no. 3 (246), 227–248. arXiv:math/0302304.
- [Orl2] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 270, Birkhäuser, Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009, pp. 503–531.
- [Pa] T. Pădurariu, *Categorical and K-theoretic Hall algebras for quivers with potential*, arXiv:2107.13642.
- [PT] T. Pădurariu and Y. Toda, *Categorical and K-theoretic Donaldson–Thomas theory of \mathbb{C}^3 (part I)*, Duke Math. J., 173 (10), (2024) 1973–2038.
- [P] R. Pandharipande, *Cohomological field theory calculations*, Proc. of ICM Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. I. Plenary lectures, 869–898, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [PTVV] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, *Shifted symplectic structures*, Publ. Math. l’IHÉS 117 (2013), 271–328.
- [Park1] H. Park, *Virtual pullbacks in Donaldson–Thomas theory of Calabi–Yau 4-folds*, arXiv:2110.03631.
- [Park2] H. Park, *Shifted symplectic pushforwards*, arXiv:2406.19192.
- [PV1] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob, *Algebraic construction of Witten’s top Chern class, in Advances in algebraic geometry motivated by physics (Lowell, MA, 2000)*, 229–249, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [PV2] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob, *Matrix factorizations and singularity categories for stacks*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 61 (2011), no. 7, 2609–2642.
- [PV3] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob, *Matrix factorizations and cohomological field theories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 714 (2016), 1–122.

- [Qu] F. Qu, *Virtual pullbacks in K-theory*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble. 68 (2018), no. 4, 1609–1641.
- [RSYZ1] M. Rapcak, Y. Soibelman, Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall Algebras, Vertex Algebras and Instantons*, Comm. Math. Phys. 376 (2020), 1803–1873.
- [RSYZ2] M. Rapcak, Y. Soibelman, Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall algebras and perverse coherent sheaves on toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 17 (2023) no. 4, 847–939.
- [Sch] M. Schlichting, *Higher algebraic K-theory (After Quillen, Thomason and Others)*, Topics in Algebraic and Topological K-Theory, Lect. Notes in Math. 2008 (2011), Springer, Berlin, 167–241.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project authors, *The Stacks Project*.
- [Tel] C. Teleman, *The structure of 2D semi-simple field theories*, Invent. Math. 188 (2012), 525–588.
- [TX] G. Tian and G. Xu, *Gauged Linear Sigma Model in Geometric Phases*, arXiv:1809.00424.
- [Toda1] Y. Toda, *Categorical Donaldson-Thomas theory for local surfaces: $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -periodic version*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 13 (2023), 11172–11216.
- [Toda2] Y. Toda, *Categorical Donaldson-Thomas theory for local surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2350 (2024), 1–309.
- [Toe] B. Toën, *Derived algebraic geometry*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 1 (2014), no. 2, 153–240.
- [VV] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Quantum loop groups and critical convolution algebras*, arXiv:2302.01418.
- [Witten] E. Witten, *Phases of $N=2$ theories in two dimensions*. Nucl. Phys. B 403: 159–222 (1993).

MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & STATE KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 55 ZHONGGUANCUN EAST ROAD, 100190, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: yalongcao@amss.ac.cn

KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE (WPI), THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO INSTITUTES FOR ADVANCED STUDY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KASHIWA, CHIBA 277-8583, JAPAN

Email address: yukinobu.toda@ipmu.jp

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA

Email address: gufangz@unimelb.edu.au