# Cohomology of Restricted Twisted Heisenberg Lie Algebras

Yong Yang College of Mathematics and System Science Xinjiang University Urumqi 830046, China yangyong195888221@163.com

#### Abstract

Over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic p > 0, the restricted twisted Heisenberg Lie algebras are studied. We use the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence relative to its Heisenberg ideal to compute the trivial cohomology. The ordinary 1- and 2-cohomology spaces are used to compute the restricted 1- and 2-cohomology spaces and describe the restricted 1-dimensional central extensions, including explicit formulas for the Lie brackets and  $-^{[p]}$ -operators.

Keywords: restricted Lie algebra; twisted Heisenberg algebra; (restricted) cohomology; central extension

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B50; 17B56

## 1 Introduction

The definition of twisted Heisenberg Lie algebras was motivated by the connection with differential geometry. More specifically, the twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra is the tangent algebra of a twisted Heisenberg group (certain semidirect product of a Heisenberg group with the real numbers) [3]. Its importance is due to the relationship between twisted Heisenberg algebras and Lorentzian manifolds, for examples see [1], [11]. Algebraically, the twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra can be viewed as a certain 1-dimensional extension of the corresponding Heisenberg Lie algebra as shown in the following definitions:

**Definition 1.1.** For a non-negative integer m, the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  is the (2m + 1)-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  spanned by the elements

$$\{e_1,\ldots,e_{2m},e_{2m+1}\}$$

with the non-vanishing Lie brackets

$$[e_i, e_{m+i}] = e_{2m+1},$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

**Definition 1.2.** For a non-negative integer m, the twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}$ , parameterized by  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^m$ , is the (2m+2)-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  spanned by the elements

$$\{e_1,\ldots,e_{2m},e_{2m+1},e_{2m+2}\}$$

with the non-vanishing Lie brackets

$$[e_i, e_{m+i}] = e_{2m+1}, \ [e_{2m+2}, e_i] = \lambda_i e_{m+i}, \ [e_{2m+2}, e_{m+i}] = \lambda_i e_i$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

The cohomology with trivial coefficients of Heisenberg Lie algebras was computed by Santharoubane [15] over fields of characteristic zero in 1983. In 2005, Sköldberg [17] used algebraic Morse theory to compute the Poincaré polynomial of Heisenberg Lie algebras over fields of characteristic p = 2. In 2008, Cairns and Jambor [2] gave the *n*-th Betti number of  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  over fields of prime characteristic for  $n \leq m$ .

In 1937, Jacobson [14] introduced the notion of restricted Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic and proved that a Lie algebra is restrictable precisely when all *p*-powers of the inner derivations are still inner. This gives us many examples of restricted Lie algebras, like 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, the derivation algebra Der(A) of any algebra A, the Lie algebra of an algebraic group, the primitive elements of an irreducible co-commutative Hopf algebra, etc. However certain technical tools are available for restricted Lie algebras which are not available for arbitrary modular Lie algebras, see [16] for examples. The restricted structures on  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  were classified and characterized by Jacobson's theorem in [7]. However much less is known about restricted twisted Heisenberg algebras. In this note, we classify the family of non-isomorphic restricted structures on the twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  parameterized by the elements  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^{2m+2}$ . By considering  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  as a restricted one-dimensional central extension of the restricted Heisenberg Lie algebra, we compute the ordinary and restricted cohomology spaces  $H^q(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu})$  and  $H^q_*(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu})$  for q = 1, 2, and give the bracket structures and [p]-operators for the corresponding restricted one-dimensional central extensions of  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$ .

### 2 Restricted Lie algebras and cohomology

In this section, we recall the definition of a restricted Lie algebra, the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex, and the restricted cochain complex [8]. Everywhere in this section,  $\mathbb{F}$  denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and  $\mathfrak{g}$  denotes a finite dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  with an ordered basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ . For  $j \geq 2$  and  $g_1, \ldots, g_j \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we denote the j-fold bracket

 $[g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_j] = [[\dots [[g_1, g_2], g_3], \dots], g_j].$ 

#### 2.1 Restricted Lie Algebras

Suppose that char  $\mathbb{F} = p > 0$ . A restricted Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  is a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  together with a mapping  $\cdot^{[p]} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ , written  $g \mapsto g^{[p]}$ , such that for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , and all  $g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$ 

- (1)  $(ag)^{[p]} = a^p g^{[p]};$
- (2)  $(g+h)^{[p]} = g^{[p]} + h^{[p]} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} s_i(g,h)$ , where  $is_i(g,h)$  is the coefficient of  $t^{i-1}$  in the formal expression  $(\mathrm{ad}(tg+h))^{p-1}(g)$ ; and
- (3) (ad  $g)^p$  = ad  $g^{[p]}$ .

The mapping  $\cdot^{[p]}$  is called a [p]-operator on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a Lie algebra is restrictable if it is possible to define a [p]-operator on it. By Jacobson's theorem [14, 18], a finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is restrictable if and only if it admits a basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  such that  $(\operatorname{ad} e_i)^p$  is an inner derivation for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Choosing  $e_i^{[p]} \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $\operatorname{ad} e_i^{[p]} = (\operatorname{ad} e_i)^p$  for all i completely determines a [p]-operator on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

#### 2.2 Chevalley-Eilenberg Lie algebra cohomology

We only describe the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain spaces  $C^q(\mathfrak{g}) = C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})$ for q = 0, 1, 2, 3 and differentials  $d^q : C^q(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^{q+1}(\mathfrak{g})$  for q = 0, 1, 2. For more details on the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex we refer the reader to [4] and [10]. Set  $C^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{F}$  and  $C^q(\mathfrak{g}) = (\wedge^q \mathfrak{g})^*$  for q = 1, 2, 3. We will use the following bases, ordered lexicographically, throughout the paper.

$$\begin{split} & C^{0}(\mathfrak{g}) : \{1\} \\ & C^{1}(\mathfrak{g}) : \{e^{k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\} \\ & C^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) : \{e^{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \\ & C^{3}(\mathfrak{g}) : \{e^{u,v,w} \mid 1 \leq u < v < w \leq n\} \end{split}$$

Here  $e^k$ ,  $e^{i,j}$  and  $e^{u,v,w}$  denote the dual vectors of the basis vectors  $e_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $e_{i,j} = e_i \wedge e_j \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  and  $e_{u,v,w} = e_u \wedge e_v \wedge e_w \in \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}$ , respectively. The differentials  $d^q : C^q(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^{q+1}(\mathfrak{g})$  are defined for  $\psi \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi \in C^2(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $g, h, f \in \mathfrak{g}$  by  $d^0 : C^0(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^1(\mathfrak{g}), d^0 = 0$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & d^{1}: C^{1}(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^{2}(\mathfrak{g}), \ d^{1}(\psi)(g \wedge h) = \psi([g,h]) \\ & d^{2}: C^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^{3}(\mathfrak{g}), \ d^{2}(\varphi)(g \wedge h \wedge f) = \varphi([g,h] \wedge f) - \varphi([g,f] \wedge h) + \varphi([h,f] \wedge g) \end{aligned}$$

The mappings  $d^q$  satisfy  $d^q d^{q-1} = 0$  and  $H^q(\mathfrak{g}) = H^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F}) = \ker(d^q) / \operatorname{im}(d^{q-1})$ .

#### 2.3 Restricted Lie algebra cohomology

In this subsection, we recall the definitions and results on the restricted cochain complex only for the case of trivial coefficients. For a more general treatment of the cohomology of restricted Lie algebras, we refer the reader to [8] and [9].

Given  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathfrak{g})$ , a mapping  $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$  is  $\varphi$ -compatible if for all  $g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ 

$$\omega(ag) = a^{p}\omega(g) \text{ and }$$
  

$$\omega(g+h) = \omega(g) + \omega(h) + \sum_{\substack{g_{i}=g \text{ or } h \\ g_{1}=g,g_{2}=h}} \frac{1}{\#(g)} \varphi([g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, \dots, g_{p-1}] \wedge g_{p}) \quad (1)$$

where #(g) is the number of factors  $g_i$  equal to g. We define

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{F}) = \{ f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F} \mid f(ax+by) = a^p f(x) + b^p f(y), a, b \in \mathbb{F}; x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \}$ 

to be the space of *Frobenius homomorphisms* from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ . A mapping  $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$  is 0-compatible if and only if  $\omega \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})$ .

Given  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathfrak{g})$ , we can define a mapping  $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$  that is  $\varphi$ -compatible by assigning the values of  $\omega$  arbitrarily on a basis for  $\mathfrak{g}$  and use (1) to extend it to  $\mathfrak{g}$  and this  $\omega$  is unique because its values are completely determined by  $\omega(e_i)$  and  $\varphi$  (c.f. [6]). In particular, given  $\varphi$ , we can define  $\tilde{\varphi}(e_i) = 0$  for all *i* and use (1) to determine a unique  $\varphi$ -compatible mapping  $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$ . Note that, in general,  $\tilde{\varphi} \neq 0$  but  $\tilde{\varphi}(0) = 0$ . Moreover, If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^2(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , then  $(a\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = a\tilde{\varphi}_1 + \tilde{\varphi}_2$ .

Given  $\zeta \in C^3(\mathfrak{g})$ , a mapping  $\eta : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$  is  $\zeta$ -compatible if for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  and all  $g, h, h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{g}, \eta(\cdot, h)$  is linear in the first coordinate,  $\eta(g, ah) = a^p \eta(g, h)$  and

$$\eta(g, h_1 + h_2) = \eta(g, h_1) + \eta(g, h_2) - \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_p = 1 \text{ or } 2\\l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2}} \frac{1}{\#\{l_i = 1\}} \zeta(g \wedge [h_{l_1}, \cdots, h_{l_{p-1}}] \wedge h_{l_p}).$$

The restricted cochain spaces are defined as  $C^0_*(\mathfrak{g}) = C^0(\mathfrak{g}), C^1_*(\mathfrak{g}) = C^1(\mathfrak{g}),$ 

$$C^{2}_{*}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{(\varphi, \omega) \mid \varphi \in C^{2}(\mathfrak{g}), \omega : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F} \text{ is } \varphi \text{-compatible} \}$$
$$C^{3}_{*}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{(\zeta, \eta) \mid \zeta \in C^{3}(\mathfrak{g}), \eta : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F} \text{ is } \zeta \text{-compatible} \}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , define  $\overline{e}^i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$  by  $\overline{e}^i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j \right) = a_i^p$ . The set  $\{\overline{e}^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a basis for  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F})$ . Since

$$\dim C^2_*(\mathfrak{g}) = \binom{\dim \mathfrak{g} + 1}{2} = \binom{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{2} + \dim \mathfrak{g},$$

we have that

$$\{(e^{i,j}, \widetilde{e^{i,j}}) \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\} \cup \{(0, \overline{e}^i) \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$$

is a basis for  $C^2_*(\mathfrak{g})$ . We will use this basis in the following computations.

Define  $d^0_* = d^0$ . For  $\psi \in C^1_*(\mathfrak{g})$ , define the mapping  $\operatorname{ind}^1(\psi) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$ by  $\operatorname{ind}^1(\psi)(g) = \psi(g^{[p]})$ . The mapping  $\operatorname{ind}^1(\psi)$  is  $d^1(\psi)$ -compatible for all  $\psi \in C^1_*(\mathfrak{g})$ , and the differential  $d^1_* : C^1_*(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^2_*(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined by

$$d^{1}_{*}(\psi) = (d^{1}(\psi), \operatorname{ind}^{1}(\psi)).$$
(2)

For  $(\varphi, \omega) \in C^2_*(\mathfrak{g})$ , define the mapping  $\operatorname{ind}^2(\varphi, \omega) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{F}$  by the formula

$$\operatorname{ind}^{2}(\varphi,\omega)(g,h) = \varphi(g \wedge h^{[p]}) - \varphi([g,\underbrace{h,\ldots,h}_{p-1}],h).$$

The mapping  $\operatorname{ind}^2(\varphi, \omega)$  is  $d^2(\varphi)$ -compatible for all  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathfrak{g})$ , and the differential  $d^2_* : C^2_*(\mathfrak{g}) \to C^3_*(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined by

$$d_*^2(\varphi,\omega) = (d^2(\varphi), \operatorname{ind}^2(\varphi,\omega)).$$
(3)

These mappings  $d_*^q$  satisfy  $d_*^q d_*^{q-1} = 0$  and we define

$$H^q_*(\mathfrak{g}) = H^q_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F}) = \ker(d^q_*) / \operatorname{im}(d^{q-1}_*).$$

Note that (with trivial coefficients) if  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are both  $\varphi$ -compatible, then  $\operatorname{ind}^2(\varphi, \omega_1) = \operatorname{ind}^2(\varphi, \omega_2)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [7] If  $(\varphi, \omega) \in C^2_*(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\varphi = d^1(\psi)$  with  $\psi \in C^1(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $(\varphi, \operatorname{ind}^1(\psi)) \in C^2_*(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\operatorname{ind}^2(\varphi, \omega) = \operatorname{ind}^2(\varphi, \operatorname{ind}^1(\psi))$ .

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a restricted Lie algebra, there is a six-term exact sequence [12, 19] that relates the ordinary and restricted 1- and 2-cohomology spaces:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{1}_{*}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow H^{2}_{*}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow H^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{g}, H^{1}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

$$(4)$$

The mapping

$$\Delta: H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{g}, H^1(\mathfrak{g}))$$

in (4) is given explicitly by

$$\Delta_{\varphi}(g) \cdot h = \varphi(g \wedge [h, \underbrace{g, \dots, g}_{p-1}]) - \varphi(g^{[p]} \wedge h)$$
(5)

where  $g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

## **3** Ordinary cohomology $H^q(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda})$ for q = 1, 2

Suppose I is an ideal of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then there is a convergent spectral sequence called the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [13] such that

$$E_2^{r,s} = H^r(\mathfrak{g}/I, H^s(I)) \Longrightarrow H^{r+s}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Here we associate cohomology of a twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra with cohomology of its Heisenberg Lie algebra by means of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences. Regarding  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  as an ideal of  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}$ , we have

$$E_2^{r,s} = H^r(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}/\mathfrak{h}_m, H^s(\mathfrak{h}_m)) = H^r(\mathbb{F}e_{2m+2}, H^s(\mathfrak{h}_m)) \Longrightarrow H^{r+s}(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}).$$

So we have  $E_{\infty}^{r,s} = E_2^{r,s} = 0$  for  $r \ge 2$  and  $E_{\infty}^{0,s} = E_2^{0,s}$ ,  $E_{\infty}^{1,s} = E_2^{1,s}$ . Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1.  $H^k(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}) = H^k(\mathfrak{h}_m)^{\mathbb{F}e_{2m+2}} \oplus H^1(\mathbb{F}e_{2m+2}, H^{k-1}(\mathfrak{h}_m))$  for  $k \ge 0$ .

The cohomology of Heisenberg Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  with trivial coefficients was first studied by Santharoubane in [15] (in characteristic 0) and by Cairns and Jambor in [2] (in characteristic p > 0).

**Lemma 3.2.** [15, 2] For  $q \le m$ , (1) if char  $\mathbb{F} = 0$ ,

$$H^{q}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}) = \bigwedge^{q} (\mathfrak{h}_{m}/\mathbb{F}e_{2m+1})^{*}/(de^{2m+1} \wedge \bigwedge^{q-2} (\mathfrak{h}_{m}/\mathbb{F}e_{2m+1})^{*});$$

(2) if char  $\mathbb{F} = p > 0$ ,

$$H^{q}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}) = \bigwedge^{q} (\mathfrak{h}_{m}/\mathbb{F}e_{2m+1})^{*}/(de^{2m+1} \wedge \bigwedge^{q-2} (\mathfrak{h}_{m}/\mathbb{F}e_{2m+1})^{*})$$
$$\oplus ((de^{2m+1})^{p-1} \wedge e^{2m+1} \wedge \bigwedge^{q-2p+1} (\mathfrak{h}_{m}/\mathbb{F}e_{2m+1})^{*})$$

where  $de^{2m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{i,m+i}$ .

If q = 1 or q = 2, then the second term in part (2) of Lemma 3.2 vanishes so the cohomology spaces do not depend on p. In particular

$$H^1(\mathfrak{h}_m) = (\mathfrak{h}_m/\mathbb{F}e_{2m+1})^* \tag{6}$$

and

$$H^{2}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}) = \bigwedge^{2} (\mathfrak{h}_{m}/\mathbb{F}e_{2m+1})^{*}/(de_{2m+1}^{*}).$$
(7)

For  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , put  $\delta_{\lambda_i = \pm \lambda_j} = 1$  when  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$  or  $\lambda_i = -\lambda_j \pmod{p}$  and  $\delta_{\lambda_i = \pm \lambda_j} = 0$ , otherwise. By Lemma 3.1 and Eqs. (6)-(7), we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** For 
$$m \ge 1$$
 and  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^m$ ,  
(1)  $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}) = \mathbb{F}e^{2m+2}$ ;  
(2) a basis of  $H^2(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda})$  consists of the classes of the cocycles  
 $\{\delta = (e^{i,j} = \lambda)^{-1}e^{m+i,m+j}\} = \delta = (e^{i,m+j} = \lambda)^{-1}e^{m-j}$ 

$$\{\delta_{\lambda_i=\pm\lambda_j} \left( e^{i,j} - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} e^{m+i,m+j} \right), \delta_{\lambda_i=\pm\lambda_j} \left( e^{i,m+j} - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} e^{m+i,j} \right) \\ | 1 \le i < j \le m \} \bigcup \{ e^{i,m+i} \mid 1 \le i \le m-1 \}.$$

In particular,

$$\dim H^2(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}) = 2Card\{(i,j) \mid \lambda_i = \pm \lambda_j, 1 \le i < j \le m\} + m - 1.$$

**Remark 3.4.** Another approach for determining the cohomology  $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda})$  is the definition of the first cohomology [10, (1.4.2)]:

$$H^{1}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda}) = (\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda} / [\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda}, \mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda}])^{*} = (\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda} / \mathfrak{h}_{m})^{*} = \mathbb{F}e^{2m+2}.$$

# 4 Restricted structures on the modular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}$

In this section, we classify all restricted structures on the twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra over a field of prime characteristic.

For  $e_{2m+2}$ , we have

$$(\mathrm{ad}\,e_{2m+2})^p: \begin{cases} e_i \mapsto \lambda_i^2 e_i, e_{m+i} \mapsto \lambda_i^2 e_{m+i}, & p=2;\\ e_i \mapsto \lambda_i^p e_{m+i}, e_{m+i} \mapsto \lambda_i^p e_i, & p>2; \end{cases}$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . So  $(\text{ad } e_{2m+2})^p$  is an inner derivation if and only if p > 2and  $\lambda_1^{p-1} = \cdots = \lambda_m^{p-1}$ . In this case, we denote by  $|\lambda| = \lambda_i^{p-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ and we have  $(\text{ad } e_{2m+2})^p = \text{ad } (|\lambda|e_{2m+2})$ . When p > 2, we have  $(\text{ad } e_i)^p = 0$ for  $1 \leq i \leq 2m + 1$ . By Jacobson's theorem [14], we have the following theorem: **Theorem 4.1.** For  $m \ge 1$  and  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^m$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}$  is restrictable if and only if p > 2 and  $\lambda_1^{p-1} = \cdots = \lambda_m^{p-1}$ .

From now on, we assume p > 2 and  $\lambda_1^{p-1} = \cdots = \lambda_m^{p-1} = |\lambda|$ . We can use Jacobson's theorem [14] and define a restricted Lie algebra [p]-operator on  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}$  by choosing  $e_i^{[p]} \in \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}$  so that ad  $e_{2m+2}^{[p]} = (\text{ad } e_{2m+2})^p = \text{ad } (|\lambda|e_{2m+2})$  and  $\text{ad } e_i^{[p]} = (\text{ad } e_i)^p = 0$  for all *i*. For each *i*, we choose a scalar  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{F}$  and set

$$e_i^{[p]} = \mu_i e_{2m+1}, \quad e_{2m+2}^{[p]} = |\lambda| e_{2m+2} + \mu_{2m+2} e_{2m+1}.$$

We let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{2m+2})$ , and we denote the corresponding restricted Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$ .

Since  $[[[\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu},\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}],\mathfrak{h}_m],\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}] = 0$ , by the definition of restricted Lie algebras, we have  $s_i(g,h) = 0$  for  $i \geq 3$ , and

$$s_1(g,h) = [g, \underbrace{h, \dots, h}_{p-1}], \quad 2s_2(g,h) = [g, \underbrace{h, \dots, h}_{p-2}, g]$$

for all  $g \in \mathfrak{h}_m$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$ . From this we get that if  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i e_i \in \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$ , then

$$g^{[p]} = a_{2m+2}^{p-1} |\lambda| \sum_{i=1}^{2m} a_i e_i + a_{2m+2}^p |\lambda| e_{2m+2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i^p \mu_i + 2^{-1} a_{2m+2}^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{p-2} (a_i^2 - a_{m+i}^2)\right) e_{2m+1}.$$
(8)

Denote by  $E_{ij}$  the  $(2m+1) \times (2m+1)$  matrix with a single nonzero entry equal to 1 in the (i, j)-position.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that there are two p-operators  $\cdot^{[p]}$  and  $-^{[p]'}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda}$ defined by two linear forms  $\mu, \mu' : \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda} \to \mathbb{F}$ , respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu'}$  are isomorphic if and only if there exist an invertible matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{2m+1}(\mathbb{F}), \ (k_1, \ldots, k_{2m}, k_{2m+2}) \in \mathbb{F}^{2m+1}$  and  $k_{2m+1} \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ , such that the equations hold for any  $i = 1, \ldots, m; \ j = 1, \ldots, 2m, 2m + 2$  and  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{2m+2}) \in \mathbb{F}^{2m+2}$ , (1)

$$A\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m & 0\\ -I_m & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^t = k_{2m+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m & 0\\ -I_m & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \lambda_i (E_{2m+1,m+i} - E_{m+i,2m+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^m k_{m+i} \lambda_i (E_{2m+1,i} - E_{i,2m+1});$$

(2)

$$\lambda_i A(E_{2m+1,m+i} - E_{m+i,2m+1}) A^t = \sum_{j=1}^m (E_{2m+1,m+j} - E_{m+j,2m+1}) \lambda_j a_{j,i}$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^m (E_{2m+1,j} - E_{j,2m+1}) \lambda_j a_{m+j,i};$$

(3)

$$\lambda_i A(E_{2m+1,i} - E_{i,2m+1}) A^t = \sum_{j=1}^m (E_{2m+1,m+j} - E_{m+j,2m+1}) \lambda_j a_{j,m+i} + \sum_{j=1}^m (E_{2m+1,j} - E_{j,2m+1}) \lambda_j a_{m+j,m+i};$$

(4)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (E_{2m+1,m+i} - E_{m+i,2m+1})\lambda_i a_{i,2m+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (E_{2m+1,i} - E_{i,2m+1})\lambda_i a_{m+i,2m+2} = 0;$$
(5)  $a_{2m+2}^{p-1} \mathfrak{a}_j = \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2}^{p-1} \mathfrak{a}_j;$ 

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2m+2}^{p-1} |\lambda| \sum_{i=1}^{2m} a_i k_i + a_{2m+2}^p |\lambda| k_{2m+2} \\ + k_{2m+1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i^p \mu_i + 2^{-1} a_{2m+2}^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{p-2} (a_i^2 - a_{m+i}^2) \right) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \mathfrak{a}_i^p \mu_i' + \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2}^p \mu_{2m+2}' + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i k_i \right)^p \mu_{2m+1}' \\ + 2^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2}^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{p-2} (\mathfrak{a}_i^2 - \mathfrak{a}_{m+i}^2); \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} a_j a_{j,i} + a_{2m+2} a_{2m+2,i}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Psi : \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu} \to \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu'}$  is a restricted Lie algebra isomorphism, then we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi(e_1) \\ \vdots \\ \Psi(e_{2m}) \\ \Psi(e_{2m+2}) \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_{2m} \\ e_{2m+2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_{2m} \\ k_{2m+2} \end{pmatrix} e_{2m+1}$$

and  $\Psi(e_{2m+1}) = k_{2m+1}e_{2m+1}$  where  $A \in M_{2m+1}(\mathbb{F})$  is an invertible matrix,  $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_{2m}, k_{2m+2}) \in \mathbb{F}^{2m+1}$  and  $k_{2m+1} \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ . If  $g, h \in \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  and we write  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i e_i, h = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} b_i e_i$ , then

$$\Psi(g) = (a_1, \dots, a_{2m}, a_{2m+2}) A \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_{2m} \\ e_{2m+2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i k_i \end{pmatrix} e_{2m+1}$$

and

$$\Psi(h) = (b_1, \dots, b_{2m}, b_{2m+2}) A \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_{2m} \\ e_{2m+2} \end{pmatrix} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} b_i k_i \right) e_{2m+1}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{split} \left[\Psi(g),\Psi(h)\right] &= (a_1,\dots,a_{2m},a_{2m+2})A\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m & 0\\ -I_m & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^t \begin{pmatrix} b_1\\ \vdots\\ b_{2m}\\ b_{2m+2} \end{pmatrix} e_{2m+1} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m (a_1,\dots,a_{2m},a_{2m+2})\lambda_i A(E_{2m+1,m+i} - E_{m+i,2m+1})A^t \begin{pmatrix} b_1\\ \vdots\\ b_{2m}\\ b_{2m+2} \end{pmatrix} e_i \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m (a_1,\dots,a_{2m},a_{2m+2})\lambda_i A(E_{2m+1,i} - E_{i,2m+1})A^t \begin{pmatrix} b_1\\ \vdots\\ b_{2m}\\ b_{2m+2} \end{pmatrix} e_{m+i}. \end{split}$$

From  $\Psi([g,h]) = [\Psi(g), \Psi(h)]$ , we get Eqs. (1)-(4). On the other hand,  $\Psi$  preserves the restricted [p]-structure so that  $\Psi(g^{[p]}) = \Psi(g)^{[p]'}$  for  $g \in \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}(p)$ . If we set  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i e_i$  and  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{2m}, a_{2m+2})A = (\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_{2m}, \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2})$ , then we have

$$\Psi(g^{[p]}) = a_{2m+2}^{p-1} |\lambda| \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \mathfrak{a}_i e_i + a_{2m+2}^{p-1} |\lambda| \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2} e_{2m+2} + \left( a_{2m+2}^{p-1} |\lambda| \sum_{i=1}^{2m} a_i k_i + a_{2m+2}^p |\lambda| k_{2m+2} + k_{2m+1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i^p \mu_i + 2^{-1} a_{2m+2}^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{p-2} (a_i^2 - a_{m+i}^2) \right) \right) e_{2m+1},$$
(9)

and

$$\Psi(g)^{[p]'} = \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2}^{p-1} |\lambda| \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \mathfrak{a}_i e_i + \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2}^p |\lambda| e_{2m+2} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \mathfrak{a}_i^p \mu_i' + \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2}^p \mu_{2m+2}' + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2} a_i k_i \right)^p \mu_{2m+1}' \right) + 2^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{2m+2}^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{p-2} (\mathfrak{a}_i^2 - \mathfrak{a}_{m+i}^2) e_{2m+1}.$$
(10)

Comparing equations (9) with (10), we get Eqs. (5) and (6). Conversely, if there exists an invertible matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{2m+1}(\mathbb{F}), k = (k_1, \ldots, k_{2m+2}) \in \mathbb{F}^{2m+2}$  and  $k_{2m+1} \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$  which satisfy the above conditions, it is easy to check that the argument above is reversible and we obtain an isomorphism between the restricted Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu'}$ .

**Remark 4.3.** If  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} a_i e_i \in \mathfrak{h}_m$ , (8) gives the restricted structures on the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  [7]:

$$g^{[p]} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} a_i^p \mu_i\right) e_{2m+1}$$

Moreover,  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  is a restricted ideal of  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$ .

# 5 Restricted cohomology $H^q_*(\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda,\mu}_m)$ for q=1,2

By definition,  $[\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}, \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}] = \mathfrak{h}_m$ , and the [p]-operator formula (8) imply

$$[\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu},\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}]+\langle(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu})^{[p]}
angle_{\mathbb{F}}=\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$$

For any restricted Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , [12, Theorem 2.1] states that

$$H^1_*(\mathfrak{g}) = (\mathfrak{g}/([\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] + \langle \mathfrak{g}^{[p]} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}))^*$$

It follows that  $H^1_*(\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda,\mu}_m) = 0$ , and the six-term exact sequence (4) decouples to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}e^{2m+2} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu},\mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow H^{2}_{*}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}) \longrightarrow$$
$$\longrightarrow H^{2}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu},\mathbb{F}e^{2m+2}).$$

If we let  $g = \sum a_i e_i \in \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  and  $\omega : \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu} \to \mathbb{F}$  be any  $\varphi$ -compatible map to a 2-cocycle  $\varphi$ , then Theorem 3.3 (2) and (5) give

$$\Delta_{\varphi}(g) \cdot e_{2m+2} = \varphi(g \wedge [e_{2m+2}, \underbrace{g, \dots, g}_{p-1}]) - \varphi(g^{[p]} \wedge e_{2m+2})$$
$$= -a_{2m+2}^{p-2} |\lambda| \varphi(g, g)$$
$$= 0.$$

Then  $\Delta = 0$ , and we have a splitting exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu},\mathbb{F})/\mathbb{F}\overline{e}^{2m+2} \longrightarrow H^{2}_{*}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}) \longrightarrow H^{2}(\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the mapping  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu},\mathbb{F})/\mathbb{F}\overline{e}^{2m+2} \to H^2_*(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu})$  sends  $\omega$  to the class of  $(0,\omega)$  and the image is a (2m+1)-dimensional subspace of  $H^2_*(\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu})$  spanned by the classes  $(0,\overline{e}^i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2m+1$ . It follows that

$$H^2_*(\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda,\mu}_m) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fr}}(\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda,\mu}_m,\mathbb{F})/\mathbb{F}\overline{e}^{2m+2} \oplus H^2(\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda,\mu}_m).$$

Theorem 3.3(2) implies the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.1.** For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^m$  and any form  $\mu : \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu} \to \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$\dim H^2_*(\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda,\mu}_m) = 2Card\{(i,j) \mid \lambda_i = \pm \lambda_j, 1 \le i < j \le m\} + 3m$$

and a basis consists of the classes of the cocycles

$$\{\delta_{\lambda_i=\pm\lambda_j}(e^{i,j}-\lambda_i\lambda_j^{-1}e^{m+i,m+j},\widetilde{e^{i,j}}-\lambda_i\lambda_j^{-1}e^{\widetilde{m+i,m+j}}),\\\delta_{\lambda_i=\pm\lambda_j}(e^{i,m+j}-\lambda_i\lambda_j^{-1}e^{m+i,j},\widetilde{e^{i,m+j}}-\lambda_i\lambda_j^{-1}\widetilde{e^{m+i,j}}) \mid 1 \le i < j \le m\}\\\bigcup\{(e^{i,m+i},\widetilde{e^{i,m+i}}) \mid 1 \le i \le m-1\}\bigcup\{(0,\overline{e}^i) \mid 1 \le i \le 2m+1\}.$$

## 6 Restricted one-dimensional central extensions of $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$

Similar to the case of ordinary Lie algebras [[10], Chapter 1, Section 4.6], restricted one-dimensional central extensions of a restricted Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are parameterized by the restricted cohomology group  $H^2_*(\mathfrak{g})$  [[12], Theorem 3.3].

If  $(\varphi, \omega) \in C^2_*(\mathfrak{g})$ , then the corresponding restricted one-dimensional central extension  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{F}c$  has Lie bracket and [p]-operator defined by

$$[g,h]_{\mathfrak{G}} = [g,h]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \varphi(g \wedge h)c$$

$$[g,c]_{\mathfrak{G}} = 0$$

$$g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{G}}} = g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{g}}} + \omega(g)c$$

$$c^{[p]_{\mathfrak{G}}} = 0$$
(11)

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\cdot^{[p]_{\mathfrak{g}}}$  denote the Lie bracket and [p]-operator in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , respectively ([8], equations (26) and (27)). We can the equations (11) together with Theorem 5.1 to explicitly describe the restricted one-dimensional central extensions of  $\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}$ . Let  $g = \sum a_{i}e_{i}$  and  $h = \sum b_{i}e_{i}$  denote two arbitrary elements of  $\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}$ . For  $1 \leq s < t \leq 2m$ , the equation (1) gives

$$\widetilde{e^{s,t}}(g) = \widetilde{e^{s,t}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} a_i e_i + a_{2m+2} e_{2m+2} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-1} a_{2m+2}^{p-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} a_i a_j e^{s,t} ([e_i, \underbrace{e_{2m+2}, \dots, e_{2m+2}}_{p-2}], e_j)$$

$$= -2^{-1} a_{2m+2}^{p-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \lambda_i^{p-2} a_i a_j e^{s,t} (e_{m+i}, e_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \lambda_i^{p-2} a_{m+i} a_j e^{s,t} (e_i, e_j) \right).$$
(12)

If  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  such that  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$  or  $\lambda_i = -\lambda_j$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}_{ij} = \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu} \oplus \mathbb{F}c$ denotes the one-dimensional restricted central extension of  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  determined by the cohomology class of the restricted cocycle

$$\left(e^{i,j} - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} e^{m+i,m+j}, \widetilde{e^{i,j}} - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} \widetilde{e^{m+i,m+j}}\right),$$

then (11) and (12) give the bracket and [p]-operator in  $\mathfrak{H}_{ij}$ :

$$[g,h]_{\mathfrak{H}_{ij}} = [g,h]_{\mathfrak{H}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}} + (a_i b_j - a_j b_i - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} a_{m+i} b_{m+j} + \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} a_{m+j} b_{m+i})c;$$
  

$$g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{H}_{ij}}} = g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{H}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}}} - 2^{-1} a_{2m+2}^{p-2} (\lambda_i^{p-2} a_{m+i} a_j - \lambda_j^{p-2} a_{m+j} a_i - |\lambda| \lambda_j^{-1} a_i a_{m+j} + \lambda_i \lambda_j^{p-3} a_j a_{m+i})c.$$

If  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  such that  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$  or  $\lambda_i = -\lambda_j$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+j} = \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu} \oplus \mathbb{F}c$ denotes the one-dimensional restricted central extension of  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  determined by the cohomology class of the restricted cocycle

$$(e^{i,m+j} - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} e^{m+i,j}, \widetilde{e^{i,m+j}} - \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} \widetilde{e^{m+i,j}}),$$

then (11) and (12) give the bracket and [p]-operator in  $\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+j}$ :

$$\begin{split} [g,h]_{\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+j}} &= [g,h]_{\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}} + (a_{i}b_{m+j} - a_{m+j}b_{i} - \lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}^{-1}a_{m+i}b_{j} + \lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}^{-1}a_{j}b_{m+i})c;\\ g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+j}}} &= g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}}} - 2^{-1}a_{2m+2}^{p-2}(\lambda_{i}^{p-2}a_{m+i}a_{m+j} - \lambda_{j}^{p-2}a_{j}a_{i} - |\lambda|\lambda_{j}^{-1}a_{i}a_{j} \\ &+ \lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}^{p-3}a_{m+j}a_{m+i})c. \end{split}$$

If  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+i} = \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu} \oplus \mathbb{F}c$  denotes the one-dimensional restricted central extension of  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  determined by the cohomology class of the restricted cocycle  $(e^{i,m+i}, e^{i,m+i})$ , then (11) and (12) give the bracket and [p]-operator in  $\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+i}$ :

$$[g,h]_{\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+i}} = [g,h]_{\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}} + (a_{i}b_{m+i} - a_{m+i}b_{i})c;$$
$$g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{H}_{i,m+i}}} = g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{h}_{m}^{\lambda,\mu}}} - 2^{-1}a_{2m+2}^{p-2}\lambda_{i}^{p-2}(a_{m+i}^{2} - a_{i}^{2})c.$$

If  $1 \leq i \leq 2m + 1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_i = \mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu} \oplus \mathbb{F}_c$  denotes the one-dimensional restricted central extension of  $\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}$  determined by the cohomology class of the restricted cocycle  $(0, \overline{e}^i)$ , then (11) gives the bracket and [p]-operator in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ :

$$\begin{split} [g,h]_{\mathfrak{H}_i} &= [g,h]_{\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}};\\ g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{H}_i}} &= g^{[p]_{\mathfrak{h}_m^{\lambda,\mu}}} + a_i^p c \end{split}$$

The central extensions  $\mathfrak{H}_i$  form a basis for the (2m + 1)-dimensional space of restricted one-dimensional central extensions that split as ordinary Lie algebra extensions (c.f. [6]).

## References

 S. Adams. Dynamics on Lorentz Manifolds. World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.

- [2] G. Cairns, S. Jambor. The cohomology of the Heisenberg Lie algebras over fields of finite characteristic. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008) 3803–3807.
- [3] A. J. Calderón Martín, C. Draper, C. Martín-González, J. M. Sánchez, Delgado. Gradings and symmetries on Heisenberg type algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 458 (2014) 463–502.
- [4] C. Chevalley, S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), 85-124.
- [5] Q. Ehret, A. Maklouf. Deformations and cohomology of restricted Lie-Rinehart algebras in positive characteristic. Arxive: 2305.16425, 2023
- [6] T. J. Evans, A. Fialowski. Restricted one-dimensional central extensions of the restricted filiform Lie algebras m<sup>λ</sup><sub>0</sub>(p). Linear Algebra Appl. 565 (2019) 244–257.
- [7] T. J. Evans, A. Fialowski, Yong Yang. On the cohomology of restricted Heisenberg Lie algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 699 (2024) 295–309.
- [8] T. J. Evans, D. B. Fuchs. A complex for the cohomology of restricted Lie algebras. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 3 (2008) 159–179.
- [9] J. Feldvoss. On the cohomology of restricted Lie algebras. Comm. Algebra 19 (1991), 2865–2906.
- [10] D.B. Fuchs. Cohomology of infinite dimensional Lie algebras. Contemporary Soviet Mathematics, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986.
- [11] F. Günther. Isometry Groups of Lorentzian Manifolds of Finite Volume and the Local Geometry of Compact Homogeneous Lorentz Spaces. Aus der Reihe: e-fellows.net stipendiaten-wissen, GRIN-Verlag, Munich 250 (2011), viii+120 pp.
- [12] G. Hochschild. Cohomology of restricted Lie algebras. Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954) 555–580.
- [13] G. Hochschild, J-P. Serre. Cohomology of Lie algebras. Ann. Math. 57 (1953) 591–603.
- [14] N. Jacobson. Lie Algebras. John Wiley (1962).

- [15] L. J. Santharoubane. Cohomology of Heisenberg Lie algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983) 23–28.
- [16] G.B. Seligman. Modular Lie Algebras, Ergebnisse der Mathematikund ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 40, Springer 1967.
- [17] E. Sköldberg. The homology of Heisenberg Lie algebras over fields of characteristic two. Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 105A (2005) 47–49.
- [18] H. Strade, R. Farnsteiner. Modular Lie algebras and their representations. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Math. Vol.116, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988
- [19] F. Viviani. Restricted inifinitesimal deformations of restricted simple Lie algebras. J. Algebra Appl. 11 (5) (2012) 19 pages.