Metastable Cosmic Strings and Gravitational Waves from Flavour Symmetry Breaking

Stefan Antusch,^{1, *} Kevin Hinze,^{1, †} and Shaikh Saad^{2, ‡}

¹Department of Physics, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 82, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland ²Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, P. O. Box 3000, SI-1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Jozef Stefan Institute, Jamoba 39, 1. O. Dot 5000, SI-1001 Ljabijana, Stovenia

Metastable cosmic strings (MSCSs) are among the best-fitting explanations of the 2023 pulsar timing array (PTA) signal for gravitational waves at nanohertz frequencies. We propose the novel possibility that a network of MSCSs generating this signal originates from the multi-step spontaneous breaking of a gauged flavour symmetry. As a specific example, we construct a model of SU(2) flavour symmetry in the context of SU(5) grand unification, where the SU(2) acts exclusively on the first two generations of the matter 10-plet, such that it is "right for leptons" and allows for large lepton mixing. The model explains the mass hierarchies of the Standard Model fermions, and predicts the string scale of the MSCSs in a range compatible with the 2023 PTA signal. Cosmic inflation is associated with the latter step of (two-step) family symmetry breaking, and the phase transition ending inflation generates the cosmic string network.

I. INTRODUCTION

In summer 2023, pulsar timing arrays (PTAs) [1–4] found strong evidence of Hellings-Downs angular correlation [5] in pulsar time-of-arrival perturbations, providing a first clear signal of a stochastic gravitational wave background (SGWB) at nanohertz frequencies. Remarkably, metastable cosmic strings (MSCSs) arising from gauge groups are among the best-fitting explanations [6] of this signal, which has sparked interest within the particle physics community, see Refs. [7–25] for works on metastable strings, and Refs. [26–30] for other works on unstable cosmic strings.

In the above mentioned studies the origin of MSCSs has been linked to particle physics extensions where the gauge group of the Standard Model (SM) is embedded into a larger (e.g. unified) group, which is then spontaneously broken to the SM in multiple steps, generating first monopoles [31–33] and then cosmic strings [31, 33]. If the two symmetry breaking scales are close, and if certain conditions are satisfied [34, 35], monopoleantimonopole pairs can form along the strings through quantum tunneling, causing the strings to decay and resulting in the metastability of the cosmic string network. MSCSs can generate a GW spectrum across a wide range of frequencies, with a characteristic drop, as observed by the PTAs, when the decay happens. However, in addition to extensions of the gauge symmetry of the SM towards unification of forces, there exists another class of symmetry extensions of the SM, namely "flavour symmetries". which act "horizontally" between different generations.

Flavour symmetries are postulated to address the socalled "*flavour puzzle*" of the SM, which becomes apparent when considering the SM flavour sector: The SM flavour sector contains in total fourteen unknown parameters, which include the six quark masses, three charged lepton masses, and four quark mixing angles. Experimental determination of these parameters reveals a strong hierarchical pattern in the masses and mixings of the charged fermions. While neutrinos are massless in the SM, observations from various experiments have confirmed neutrino oscillations, implying nine (seven, if neutrinos are Dirac particles) additional parameters, including three neutrino masses, three mixing angles, and three CP-violating phases. Unlike the charged fermion sector, the mixing angles in the neutrino sector are large. The lack of a fundamental understanding of the strong hierarchical structure of the charged fermions – spanning approximately six orders of magnitude – and the apparently anarchical structure of the neutrino sector is one of the major challenges in particle physics, referred to as the flavour puzzle.

In this paper, we propose the novel possibility that a network of MSCSs generating the 2023 PTA signal originates from the spontaneous breaking of a gauged "flavour symmetry". In Sec. II, we outline the specifications for generating metastable cosmic strings and discuss how they can emerge, for example, from an SU(2) flavour symmetry. In Sec. III, we construct a realisation of a flavour model with SU(2) flavour symmetry in the context of SU(5) grand unification. Finally, we conclude in Sec. IV.

II. MSCSs AND FLAVOUR SYMMETRY

When the flavour symmetry group G_F and its breaking dynamics satisfies the following criteria, it can give rise to a MSCS network: To start with, the theory has to give rise to cosmic strings as well as monopoles, both originating from the same parent group (here G_F). We consider here the multi-step breaking of G_F to subgroups, for example $G_F \to G'_F \to G''_F$, but of course the breaking may happen in a larger number of steps. Monopoles [31, 32] are generated when, e.g. for the breaking $G_F \to G'_F$, the

^{*} E-mail:stefan.antusch@unibas.ch

[†] E-mail:kevin.hinze@unibas.ch

[‡] E-mail:shaikh.saad@okstate.edu

second homotopy group of the quotient space G_F/G'_F is non-trivial, i.e. when $\pi_2(G/G') \neq 1$, while cosmic strings [31] appear in case of a non-trivial first homotopy group, e.g. when $\pi_1(G'_F/G''_F) \neq 1$. When for the breaking $G_F \to G'_F \to G''_F$ both above-mentioned conditions are satisfied, but the homotopy groups of G_F/G''_F are all trivial, then none of these topological defects can be stable. The monopoles and cosmic strings form an unstable hybrid topological defect.

Whether the cosmic strings, generated after the monopoles, are long-lived enough to explain the 2023 PTA observations, depends on further criteria: The first one is that the abundance of monopoles produced from the breaking $G_F \to G'_F$ has to be diminished before cosmic string production, to avoid that the cosmic strings that form at the later breaking $G'_F \to G''_F$ attach to the monopoles and antimonopoles, which would cause a too quick decay of the cosmic string network. One way to resolve this is to realise a phase of inflation [36-39] between the two stages of symmetry breaking, diluting the monopoles. Nevertheless, pairs of monopoles and antimonopoles can spontaneously nucleate along the strings by quantum tunneling, making the cosmic strings decay. However, this process is only efficient if these two formation scales are close to each other. If they are well separated, the cosmic string network effectively behaves as a stable one. Therefore, this second condition – that these scales are of the same order – guarantees that the lifetime of the hybrid network coincides with the PTA signal. Last but not least, this hybrid network must originate from a gauge group to be capable of explaining the PTA data.

SU(2) Flavour Symmetry Example

To illustrate the above let us consider a non-Abelian gauged $SU(2)_F$ flavour symmetry, which is a popular route towards addressing why the first two families of charged fermions are lighter than the third (cf. [40–57] for models using U(2) or SU(2) as flavour symmetries). When the first two generations of fermions are doublets of $SU(2)_F$, then their Yukawa couplings (i.e. finally their masses) can only emerge from an effective operators, explaining their smaller values, while the masses of the third generation stem from an unsuppressed renormalisable operator. The hierarchies in the masses of the first two generations of fermions can then further emerge e.g. from a two-step breaking of G_F by the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of two types of so-called flavons, i.e. scalar fields that break the family symmetry.

To generate a network of MSCSs explaining the PTA results, we propose that the flavour symmetry breaking proceeds as follows:

$$SU(2)_F \xrightarrow{\langle \Delta \rangle} \underbrace{U(1)_F}_{\text{inflation}} \xrightarrow{\langle \phi \rangle + \langle \overline{\phi} \rangle} nothing, \qquad (1)$$

where Δ is a $SU(2)_F$ triplet flavon and $\phi + \overline{\phi}$ is a pair of $SU(2)_F$ doublet flavon superfields.¹ The first breaking pattern generates topologically stable monopoles [31– 33], whereas the second breaking produces stable cosmic strings [31, 33]. But since the breaking $SU(2)_F \rightarrow$ nothing does not give rise to any topological defects, after the breaking is completed both must be unstable. Following the discussion in the previous section, a stage of inflation may be realised before the second stage of breaking happens, which gives rise to cosmic strings. This implies that inflation is now embedded in the flavour sector of the theory. Attractive candidates are for instance supersymmetric (SUSY) Hybrid inflation [58–60], where the "waterfall" (2nd order) phase transition is associated with the breaking of $U(1)_F$ part of the family symmetry, or Tribrid inflation [61–64], again ended by the $U(1)_F$ flavour symmetry breaking phase transition, but with the inflaton identified as a matter field (e.g. a right-handed sneutrino). Finally, much later, monopole-antimonopole pairs forming along the strings through quantum tunneling cause the strings to decay and result in a MSCS network [34].

One remarkable property of MSCSs is that in addition to explaining the 2023 PTA observations, which features a pronounced drop of the GW spectrum at low (nanoherz) frequencies, it predicts a rather flat GW spectrum at larger frequencies, that may span over many orders of magnitudes in frequency. This means that the MSCS explanation of the 2023 PTA signal can be confirmed (or refuted) by various planned future GW observatories, such as Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) [65], Einstein Telescope (ET) [66], and Cosmic Explorer (CE) [67]. When confirmed, this would select very specific scenarios in particle physics and cosmology. Furthermore, via the induced deviation from standard cosmology (with SM particle degrees of freedom), signs of extensions of the SM (such as supersymmetry) could be found even when the new particles have masses up to about 10^4 TeV [19].

A confirmation of the MSCS origin of the SGWB found by PTAs would also require a new perspective on flavour model building, which we aim to initiate with this letter. In addition to resolving the *flavour puzzle*, successful models then also have to generate the SGWB from MSCSs and realise cosmic inflation in deep connection to flavour symmetry breaking. In the next section, we will present a first model of this type, in the framework of supersymmetric SU(5) grand unification.

¹ Since the string and monopole scales turn out to be very high, close to the grand unification scale, we consider in our example the case of a supersymmetric theory, to address the hierarchy problem.

III. REALISATION IN A FLAVOUR GUT MODEL

We will now construct a first flavour model capable of explaining the 2023 PTA results from flavour symmetry breaking with embedded inflation, as well the flavour structure of charged fermions as well as neutrinos. In contrast to many previous models using this flavour symmetry group, and which were facing problems accommodating the observed large mixing in the lepton sector, we make use of the proposal of [56] that in order to elegantly explain large lepton mixing one can choose the $SU(2)_F$ to act in a way that is "right for leptons and left for quarks". As discussed there, the idea has an attractive realisation in SU(5) grand unified theory (GUT) [68–73], where the SM fermions are contained in the $\overline{5}_F$ and 10_F superfields (considering a SUSY framework). With only the first two generations of $10_F^{1,2}$ forming a doublet under the SU(2) flavour symmetry, which we now refer to as $SU(2)_{10}$, while the rest of these fields, including the right-handed neutrinos, are $SU(2)_{10}$ singlets, large lepton mixing is generated along with small quark mixing and the observed charged fermion mass hierarchies. The model we construct in this section is also the first one realising this idea.

Fields and Charges

The model consists of the usual SU(5) chiral superfields, $\overline{5}_{F}^{p} + 10_{F}^{p}$, with p = 1, 2, 3 being the generation index. The $\overline{5}_{F}^{p}$ and 10_{F}^{3} fields are singlets under the gauged $SU(2)_{10}$ flavour symmetry, whereas the first two generations 10_{F}^{i} form a doublet (here, i = 1, 2 corresponds to the $SU(2)_{10}$ index). Note that the model we construct below, which is based on $SU(5) \times SU(2)_{10}$ gauge symmetry, is free from gauge anomalies.

At the high scale (compatibility with proton decay rate requires $\gtrsim 10^{16}$ GeV), the GUT symmetry is spontaneously broken down to the SM by the VEV of an adjoint superfield 24_H , $\langle 24_H \rangle = v_{24} \operatorname{diag}(-1, -1, -1, 3/2, 3/2)$. The SM gauge group is subsequently broken by the electroweak doublets living in the $5_H + \overline{5}_H$ superfields under SU(5) group. For convenience we denote these fields as follows:

$$\chi \equiv \overline{5}_F, \quad \psi \equiv 10_F, \tag{2}$$

$$\Phi \equiv 24_H, \quad H \equiv 5_H, \quad \overline{H} \equiv \overline{5}_H. \tag{3}$$

The flavour symmetry breaking pattern, assisted by the VEVs of Δ and $\phi + \overline{\phi}$, is shown in Eq. (1). By using $SU(2)_{10}$ rotations, one can make the triplet VEV to be diagonal. On the other hand, consistency of *D*-term conditions allow the following generic VEV structure for the pair of doublets:

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \overline{\phi} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi}, \quad \langle \Delta \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -v_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4)

Symbol	SU(5)	$SU(2)_{10}$	$U(1)_g$	\mathbb{Z}_4
ψ^i	10^{i}_{F}	2	+1	+2
ψ^3	10_{F}^{3}	1	0	0
χ^p	$\overline{5}_F^p$	1	0	+2
Φ	24_H	1	0	+2
H	5_H	1	0	0
\overline{H}	$\overline{5}_H$	1	0	0
Δ	1	3	-1	+3
ϕ	1	2	+1	+2
$\overline{\phi}$	1	$\overline{2}$	-1	+2
S	1	1	0	0
ν_{p}^{p}	1^p	1	0	+2

Table I. Charge assignments.

In the spirit of explaining the desired fermion mass and mixing hierarchies, we additionally utilise a global $U(1)_g$ symmetry and a discrete \mathbb{Z}_4 symmetry. Furthermore, we impose a matter parity where all matter fields are odd. The quantum numbers of all these multiplets are summarised in Table I. With this charge assignments, the Yukawa part of the superpotential can be written as

$$W_{\rm Y} = W_{\rm u} + W_{\rm d} + W_{\nu},$$
 (5)

with

$$W_{\rm u} = H\epsilon_5 \bigg\{ y_1 \psi^3 \psi^3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_2'' \psi^i \psi^j \epsilon_{ik} (\Delta^2)_j^k \Phi + \frac{1}{\Lambda} y_3 \psi^i \psi^3 \overline{\phi}_i + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_4 \psi^i \psi^j \overline{\phi}_i \overline{\phi}_j \bigg\}, \tag{6}$$

$$W_{\rm d} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \overline{H} \Phi \left\{ y_5^{\prime(\prime\prime),p} \psi^3 \chi^p + \frac{1}{\Lambda} y_6^{\prime(\prime\prime),p} \psi^i \chi^p \overline{\phi}_i \right\} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_7^p \overline{H} \psi^i \chi^p \phi^k \epsilon_{kj} (\Delta^2)_i^j,$$
(7)

and

$$W_{\nu} = y_{\nu}^{pq} \chi^{p} \nu_{R}^{q} H + M_{R}^{pq} \nu_{R}^{p} \nu_{R}^{q}.$$
 (8)

As a theory of flavour, all dimensionless Yukawa couplings are expected to be of order unity. In the above equations, couplings y'_i and y''_i correspond to two distinct SU(5) index contractions [74]. Moreover, ϵ_5 (ϵ_{ij}) denotes the Levi-Civita tensor for SU(5) ($SU(2)_{10}$) group. To avoid clutter, we have suppressed the SU(5) group indices, however, $SU(2)_{10}$ index contractions are shown explicitly. The neutrino mass is generated via the type-I seesaw mechanism [75–80], and it is important to emphasise that the absence of flavon VEVs in the neutrino sector is crucial for achieving large mixing angles.

In Eqs. (6)-(7), we have assumed a common cutoff scale, Λ , and further define $\varepsilon_i \equiv v_i/\Lambda$. The derived mass

matrices of the charged fermions (written in the $f^c M_f f$ basis) take the following forms:

$$M_{u} = 2\langle H \rangle \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2y_{4}\varepsilon_{1}^{2} & 2y_{4}\varepsilon_{1}\varepsilon_{2} & y_{3}\varepsilon_{1} \\ 2y_{4}\varepsilon_{1}\varepsilon_{2} & 2y_{4}\varepsilon_{2}^{2} & y_{3}\varepsilon_{2} \\ y_{3}\varepsilon_{1} & y_{3}\varepsilon_{2} & 2y_{1} \end{pmatrix} + y_{2}\varepsilon_{3}^{2}\varepsilon_{24} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$
(9)

$$M_{d} = \frac{\langle \overline{H} \rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \bigg\{ \varepsilon_{24} \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}\varepsilon_{1} & d_{1}\varepsilon_{2} & d_{1}' \\ d_{2}\varepsilon_{1} & d_{2}\varepsilon_{2} & d_{2}' \\ d_{3}\varepsilon_{1} & d_{3}\varepsilon_{2} & d_{3}' \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon_{3}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} -c_{1}\varepsilon_{2} & c_{1}\varepsilon_{1} & 0 \\ -c_{2}\varepsilon_{2} & c_{2}\varepsilon_{1} & 0 \\ -c_{3}\varepsilon_{2} & c_{3}\varepsilon_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bigg\},$$
(10)

$$M_e^T = \frac{\langle \overline{H} \rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \bigg\{ \varepsilon_{24} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \varepsilon_1 & d_1 \varepsilon_2 & d_1' \\ \hat{d}_2 \varepsilon_1 & \hat{d}_2 \varepsilon_2 & \hat{d}_2' \\ \hat{d}_3 \varepsilon_1 & \hat{d}_3 \varepsilon_2 & \hat{d}_3' \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon_3^2 \begin{pmatrix} -c_1 \varepsilon_2 & c_1 \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ -c_2 \varepsilon_2 & c_2 \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ -c_3 \varepsilon_2 & c_3 \varepsilon_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bigg\},$$
(11)

where we have defined,

$$\langle H \rangle = v \sin \beta, \ \langle \overline{H} \rangle = v \cos \beta, \ v = 174 \text{GeV},$$
 (12)

and the expressions for $d_i^{(\prime)}, \hat{d}_i^{(\prime)}, c_i$ in terms of the original Yukawa couplings can be found in Appendix A.

An excellent fit to the charged fermion masses and mixings with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients can be obtained from Eqs. (9)-(11), and a benchmark parameter set is presented in Appendix A. On the other hand, due to the absence of flavons in the neutrino sector, cf. Eq. (8), the neutrino mass matrix remains anarchic. Therefore, large mixings angles [81] in the neutrino sector are naturally predicted within this setup.

Embedding of Inflation

The breaking of the SU(5) symmetry produces GUT scale monopoles. Moreover, the first stage of symmetry breaking in the flavour sector, $SU(2)_{10} \rightarrow U(1)_F$, also generates monopoles. As will be described below, we implement inflation after these two steps of symmetry breaking to dilute away these monopoles.² After inflation, the complete breaking of the remaining $U(1)_F$ flavour symmetry leads to the formation of metastable cosmic strings, which can have intriguing implications for cosmology.

To realise inflation, we may employ e.g. supersymmetric Hybrid inflation [58–60], ended by a "waterfall" phase transition identified with $U(1)_F$ breaking. In this Hybrid inflation scenario, the scalar component of a gauge singlet superfield, S, plays the role of the inflaton, while the pair of doublets $\phi + \overline{\phi}$ act as the waterfall fields, break the corresponding symmetry, here $U(1)_F \rightarrow nothing$, and desirably end inflation. SUSY Hybrid inflation can be realised through the following term in the superpotential:

$$W_{\text{Inflation}} \supset \lambda S(\overline{\phi}\phi - m_{\phi}^2),$$
 (13)

where λ is a dimensionless coupling, and m_{ϕ} a mass scale. Note that the combination $\overline{\phi}\phi$ of the $SU(2)_F$ doublet flavon superfields is allowed by both the global $U(1)_q$ and \mathbb{Z}_4 symmetries. As long as the VEV of S, which generates masses for the ϕ - and $\overline{\phi}$ -fields, is large enough, the latter are stabilised at zero and the scalar potential $V_{\rm inf}$ features a large vacuum energy $\lambda^2 m_\phi^4$ that drives inflation. While V_{inf} is flat in the S direction at tree level for a canonical Kähler potential, quantum corrections, non-canonical Kähler contributions and corrections from SUSY breaking can provide an appropriate shape of the inflaton potential for successful inflation in the Sdirection, consistent with cosmic microwave background observations (cf. [82–88]). As the inflaton rolls towards smaller field values, the ϕ -fields become tachyonic beyond a critical point, triggering a waterfall transition where they acquire VEVs, breaking $U(1)_F$ spontaneously and ending inflation. In our framework, cosmic strings form only after inflation and therefore are not diluted.

MSCSs and Predicted SGWB

When the two scales of flavour symmetry breaking are close to each other, as explained in the introduction, the cosmic strings become metastable with a lifetime large enough to explain the 2023 PTA results. More specifically, the decay of this network has the rate per string unit length given by [34, 35, 89–91]

$$\Gamma_d \simeq \frac{\mu}{2\pi} e^{-\pi\kappa}, \quad \kappa = \frac{m^2}{\mu} \simeq \frac{8\pi}{g^2} \left(\frac{v_{\rm m}}{v_{\rm cs}}\right)^2, \qquad (14)$$

where, $v_{\rm m} = v_3$ and $v_{\rm cs} = (|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2)^{1/2}$ are the VEVs associated with the string and monopole formation scales, respectively. The monopole mass is $m \simeq 4\pi v_{\rm m}/g$, with g being the relevant gauge coupling constant, and the energy per unit length of the string is $\mu \simeq 2\pi v_{\rm cs}^2$.

For a metastable cosmic string network, the recent PTA results [1–4] hint towards a string tension in the range $G\mu \simeq (10^{-8} - 10^{-5})$ and $\kappa^{1/2} \simeq (7.7 - 8.3)$, displaying a significant correlation between the two variables [6] (where G is Newton's constant and $G\mu \simeq 4.22 \times 10^{-38} v_{\rm cs}^2$). For such a range of the metastability parameter κ , the most stringent bound on the string tension comes from the LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA (LVK) collaboration [92–94], which implies $G\mu \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-7}$ [6, 95] at a frequency $\mathcal{O}(20)$ Hz [96]. However, larger values of $G\mu$ are fully compatible with non-standard cosmology leading to some dilution of the string network, as discussed in Ref. [19] and references therein.

To determine the GW spectrum from the metastable cosmic string network in our model, we need to identify the relevant symmetry-breaking scales in the flavour sector. For our analysis, we set $M_{\rm GUT} = 2.0 \times 10^{16}$ GeV, a value motivated by gauge coupling unification with TeVscale SUSY. This high GUT scale ensures adequate suppression of gauge-mediated proton decay lifetimes. Furthermore, adequate metastability of the string network

 $^{^2}$ Additionally, domain walls and global strings, produced during the first two breakings, are also diluted away by inflation.

implies $\epsilon_3 \approx \sqrt{|\epsilon_1|^2 + |\epsilon_2|^2}$, which we also employ in the numerical fit presented in Appendix A. Now, from the charged fermion masses, Eqs. (9)-(11), we obtain the following approximate relations

$$y_u = \sin\beta \frac{\epsilon_3^4 \epsilon_{24}^2}{\epsilon_2^2} \frac{C_u^2}{C_c}, \quad y_c = 4\sin\beta\epsilon_2^2 C_c,$$
(15)

$$y_{\tau,b} = \frac{\cos\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{24} C_{\tau,b}, \quad y_{\mu,s} = \frac{\cos\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{24} \epsilon_2 C_{\mu,s}, \qquad (16)$$

$$y_{e,d} = \frac{\cos\beta}{\sqrt{2}} (C_{e,d} + C_1)\epsilon_3^2 \epsilon_2.$$
(17)

Here, $C_f \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ are some combinations of the original Yukawa couplings and their exact forms are not relevant in determining the cosmic string scale.

Plugging in the GUT scale values for the Yukawa couplings [97] in Eqs. (15)-(17), and varying the C_f parameters between 0.1 and 2, yields

$$\epsilon_{24} \in [0.027, 0.805], \ \epsilon_3 \in [0.013, 0.062], \ (18)$$

$$\frac{\epsilon_3}{\epsilon_{24}} \in [0.018, 2.207],$$
 (19)

hence, $v_{\rm cs} \in [3.6 \times 10^{14}, 4.4 \times 10^{16}]$ GeV. (20)

Furthermore, viable points were found for $\tan \beta < 24$ (and down to $\tan \beta = 5$ which we used as lower prior). The GW spectrum arising from a metastable cosmic string network for v_{cs} in the range given above is depicted in Fig. 1. The plot illustrates that if the origin of the 2023 PTA result from MSCSs is confirmed, the scenario can be further tested by multiple upcoming GW observatories. The plot also shows that for a standard cosmological history (blue band in Fig. 1), the LVK O3 constraints $v_{\rm cs} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{15}$ GeV already exclude a sizable part of the allowed parameter space. Excitingly, LVK O5 will probe the entire range, Eq. (20), predicted by the fermion mass hierarchies. Future GW experiments, such as LISA [65], Big Bang Observer (BBO) [98], DECi hertz Interferometer Gravitational wave Observatory (DECIGO) [99]), ET [66], and CE [67] will also test this model. Furthermore, in Fig. 1 we have also included the case that a late matter-dominated phase, typical for certain SUSY scenarios (see e.g. [100-105]), leads to some dilution of the GW spectra. The dotted (dashed) lines show the predicted range for a dilution factor D = 10 (D = 100). As discussed in [19], the scenario (including the SUSY scale) is nevertheless testable with a combination of LISA and ET/CE.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this letter, we proposed that the 2023 pulsar timing array (PTA) signal for gravitational waves (GWs) at nanohertz frequencies is generated by a metastable cosmic string (MSCS) network produced from flavour symmetry breaking. We discussed the requirements for



Figure 1. Predicted range for the gravitational wave spectrum of metastable cosmic strings from the propsed GUT flavour model with $SU(2)_{10}$ flavour symmetry. The blue band shows the case of standard cosmology, whereas the dotted (dashed) lines indicate the predicted range for a non-standard cosmology scenario where a late matter-dominated phase leads to a dilution of the GW spectra by a factor D = 10 (D = 100). As an example we have taken $M_{\rm SUSY} = 3$ TeV for the scale of sparticle masses.

flavour models to explain the PTA results, and constructed a first example model. The model is based on a gauged $SU(2)_F$ flavour symmetry in the context of SU(5) grand unification, broken spontaneously in two steps, $SU(2)_F \to U(1)_F \to nothing$, by VEVs of triplet and doublet flavons, respectively. The $SU(2)_F$ acts exclusively on the first two generations of the matter 10plet, such that it is "right for leptons", allowing for large lepton mixing. In addition to explaining the mass hierarchies of the SM fermions, it realises cosmic inflation in association with flavour symmetry breaking and generates a MSCS network with cosmic string scale predicted (for a standard cosmological history) to be in the range $v_{\rm cs} \in [3.6 \times 10^{14}, 4.4 \times 10^{16}] \,\text{GeV}$, compatible with the 2023 PTA signal and fully testable by ongoing and future GW detectors. Finally, our work introduced a novel direction in flavour model building that not only addresses the renowned *flavour puzzle*, but also deeply connects to the dynamics of cosmic inflation and the GW signatures suggested by PTAs, which might be confirmed in the not too far future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SS acknowledges the financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding No. P1-0035 and N1-0321). As explained in the main text, hierarchies in the fermion masses are generated dynamically by the VEVs of the flavons and the order in which they contribute to the respective entries in the Yuakwa matrices. Yukawa couplings, on the other hand, take $\mathcal{O}(1)$ values. The redefined Yukawa coefficients appearing in the mass matrices Eqs. (9)-(11) are given by

$$d_p = \frac{3}{2}y_6^{\prime,p} + y_6^{\prime\prime,p}, \quad d_p' = \frac{3}{2}y_5^{\prime,p} + y_5^{\prime\prime,p}, \tag{A1}$$

$$\hat{d}_p = \frac{3}{2}y_6^{\prime,p} - \frac{3}{2}y_6^{\prime\prime,p}, \quad \hat{d}_p^{\prime} = \frac{3}{2}y_5^{\prime,p} - \frac{3}{2}y_5^{\prime\prime,p}, \qquad (A2)$$

$$c_p = y_7^p, \quad y_2 = \frac{5}{4}y_2''.$$
 (A3)

To show the compatibility of our scenario, here a benchmark fit to the charged fermion sector is presented. The fit is performed at $M_{\rm GUT}$ and we have chosen the SUSY scale to be 3 TeV. To simplify the analysis, SUSY threshold corrections are set to zero. Input values of the observables at the GUT scale are taken from Ref. [97]. For generality, all Yukawa parameters are taken to be complex, however, we set $\varphi = 0$ in Eq. (4). In our numerical analysis, we allow the absolute values of the Yukawa couplings in the range (0.1 - 2). The following parameter set reproduces all charged fermion masses and mixings within their experimentally allowed 1σ values:

$$\tan \beta = 7.70,\tag{A4}$$

- [1] NANOGrav Collaboration, G. Agazie et al., "The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Evidence for a Gravitational-wave Background," Astrophys. J. Lett. 951 no. 1, (2023) L8, arXiv:2306.16213 [astro-ph.HE].
- [2] J. Antoniadis et al., "The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array III. Search for gravitational wave signals," arXiv:2306.16214 [astro-ph.HE].
- [3] D. J. Reardon et al., "Search for an Isotropic Gravitational-wave Background with the Parkes Pulsar Timing Array," Astrophys. J. Lett. 951 no. 1, (2023) L6, arXiv:2306.16215 [astro-ph.HE].
- [4] H. Xu et al., "Searching for the Nano-Hertz Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background with the Chinese Pulsar Timing Array Data Release I," Res. Astron. Astrophys. 23 no. 7, (2023) 075024, arXiv:2306.16216 [astro-ph.HE].
- [5] R. w. Hellings and G. s. Downs, "Upper Limits on the Isotropic Gravitational Radition Background from Pulsar Timing Analysis," *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 265 (1983) L39–L42.

6

$$(\varepsilon_{24}, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = (0.0543, 0.00597e^{0.543i}, 0.0508e^{0.229i}),$$

$$(A5)$$

$$(y_4, y_2) = (0.105e^{-1.551i}, 0.210e^{-0.0329i}),$$
(A6)

$$(y_3, y_1) = \left(0.128e^{-1.632i}, 0.129e^{1.561i}\right), \tag{A7}$$

$$(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (0.225e^{0.870i}, 0.2621e^{-2.582i}, 0.3728e^{1.569i})$$
(A8)

$$(d'_1, d'_2, d'_3) = (0.970e^{0.009i}, 0.508e^{-2.808i}, 0.136e^{1.550i}),$$
(A9)

$$\left(\hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2, \hat{d}_3\right) = \left(1.758e^{-1.518i}, 0.237e^{1.490i}, 0.219e^{-3.008i}\right),$$
(A10)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{d}'_1, \hat{d}'_2, \hat{d}'_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.451e^{-1.810i}, 0.270e^{0.8389i}, 1.371e^{-1.651i} \end{pmatrix}$$
(A11)

$$(c_1, c_2, c_3) = \left(1.679e^{0.217i}, 0.4519e^{-3.044i}, 1.454e^{-0.0134i}\right)$$
(A12)

The fitted values at the GUT scale are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (y_u, y_c, y_t) &= \left(2.485 \times 10^{-6}, 1.4239 \times 10^{-3}, 0.5121\right), \\ (A13) \\ (y_d, y_s, y_b) &= \left(4.976 \times 10^{-6}, 9.813 \times 10^{-5}, 5.467 \times 10^{-3}\right) \\ (A14) \\ (y_e, y_\mu, y_\tau) &= \left(1.9919 \times 10^{-6}, 4.26 \times 10^{-4}, 7.275 \times 10^{-3}\right) \\ (A15) \\ (\theta_{12}^{\text{ckm}}, \theta_{23}^{\text{ckm}}, \theta_{13}^{\text{ckm}}, \delta^{\text{ckm}}\right) &= \left(0.2272, 0.0396, 0.00346, 1.195\right) \\ (A16) \end{aligned}$$

where $y_p = y_p^{\text{MSSM}} \sin \beta$ for p = u, c, t and $y_r = y_r^{\text{MSSM}} \cos \beta$ for $r = d, s, b, e, \mu, \tau$.

- [6] NANOGrav Collaboration, A. Afzal et al., "The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Search for Signals from New Physics," Astrophys. J. Lett. 951 no. 1, (2023) L11, arXiv:2306.16219 [astro-ph.HE].
- [7] S. Antusch, K. Hinze, S. Saad, and J. Steiner, "Singling out SO(10) GUT models using recent PTA results," *Phys. Rev. D* 108 no. 9, (2023) 095053, arXiv:2307.04595 [hep-ph].
- [8] W. Buchmuller, V. Domcke, and K. Schmitz, "Metastable cosmic strings," JCAP 11 (2023) 020, arXiv:2307.04691 [hep-ph].
- [9] B. Fu, S. F. King, L. Marsili, S. Pascoli, J. Turner, and Y.-L. Zhou, "Testing realistic SO(10) SUSY GUTs with proton decay and gravitational waves," *Phys. Rev. D* 109 no. 5, (2024) 055025, arXiv:2308.05799 [hep-ph].
- [10] G. Lazarides, R. Maji, A. Moursy, and Q. Shafi, "Inflation, superheavy metastable strings and gravitational waves in non-supersymmetric flipped SU(5)," JCAP 03 (2024) 006, arXiv:2308.07094 [hep-ph].
- [11] W. Ahmed, M. U. Rehman, and U. Zubair, "Probing stochastic gravitational wave background from

 $SU(5)U(1)_{\chi}$ strings in light of NANOGrav 15-year data," *JCAP* **01** (2024) 049, arXiv:2308.09125 [hep-ph].

- [12] A. Afzal, M. Mehmood, M. U. Rehman, and Q. Shafi, "Supersymmetric hybrid inflation and metastable cosmic strings in $SU(4)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_R$," arXiv:2308.11410 [hep-ph].
- [13] R. Maji and W.-I. Park, "Supersymmetric U(1)B-L flat direction and NANOGrav 15 year data," *JCAP* 01 (2024) 015, arXiv:2308.11439 [hep-ph].
- [14] W. Ahmed, T. A. Chowdhury, S. Nasri, and S. Saad, "Gravitational waves from metastable cosmic strings in the Pati-Salam model in light of new pulsar timing array data," *Phys. Rev. D* **109** no. 1, (2024) 015008, arXiv:2308.13248 [hep-ph].
- [15] A. Afzal, Q. Shafi, and A. Tiwari, "Gravitational wave emission from metastable current-carrying strings in E6," *Phys. Lett. B* 850 (2024) 138516, arXiv:2311.05564 [hep-ph].
- [16] S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris, and Y.-L. Zhou, "Flipped SU(5): unification, proton decay, fermion masses and gravitational waves," *JHEP* 03 (2024) 006, arXiv:2311.11857 [hep-ph].
- [17] R. Roshan and G. White, "Using gravitational waves to see the first second of the Universe," arXiv:2401.04388 [hep-ph].
- [18] W. Ahmed, M. Mehmood, M. U. Rehman, and U. Zubair, "Inflation, Proton Decay and Gravitational Waves from Metastable Strings in $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_R$ Model," arXiv:2404.06008 [hep-ph].
- [19] S. Antusch, K. Hinze, S. Saad, and J. Steiner, "Probing SUSY at gravitational wave observatories," *Phys. Lett.* B 856 (2024) 138924, arXiv:2405.03746 [hep-ph].
- [20] R. Maji, Q. Shafi, and A. Tiwari, "Topological structures, dark matter and gravitational waves in E₆," arXiv:2406.06308 [hep-ph].
- [21] S. Antusch, K. Hinze, and S. Saad, "Explaining PTA results by metastable cosmic strings from SO(10) GUT," JCAP 10 (2024) 007, arXiv:2406.17014 [hep-ph].
- [22] S. Datta and R. Samanta, "Cosmic superstrings, metastable strings and ultralight primordial black holes: from NANOGrav to LIGO and beyond," arXiv:2409.03498 [gr-qc].
- [23] R. Maji, A. Moursy, and Q. Shafi, "Induced gravitational waves, metastable cosmic strings and primordial black holes in GUTs," *JCAP* 01 (2025) 106, arXiv:2409.13584 [hep-ph].
- [24] C. Pallis, "T-Model Higgs Inflation and Metastable Cosmic Strings," arXiv:2409.14338 [hep-ph].
- [25] M. N. Ahmad, M. Mehmood, M. U. Rehman, and Q. Shafi, "μ-Hybrid Inflation and Metastable Cosmic Strings in SU(3)_c × SU(2)_L × SU(2)_R × U(1)_{B-L}," arXiv:2501.06307 [hep-ph].
- [26] G. Lazarides, R. Maji, and Q. Shafi, "Superheavy quasi-stable strings and walls bounded by strings in the light of NANOGrav 15 year data," arXiv:2306.17788 [hep-ph].
- [27] M. Yamada and K. Yonekura, "Dark baryon from pure Yang-Mills theory and its GW signature from cosmic strings," *JHEP* 09 (2023) 197, arXiv:2307.06586 [hep-ph].

- [28] G. Servant and P. Simakachorn, "Constraining Post-Inflationary Axions with Pulsar Timing Arrays," arXiv:2307.03121 [hep-ph].
- [29] G. Lazarides and C. Pallis, "Probing the supersymmetry-mass scale with F-term hybrid inflation," *Phys. Rev. D* 108 no. 9, (2023) 095055, arXiv:2309.04848 [hep-ph].
- [30] C. Pallis, "PeV-Scale SUSY and Cosmic Strings from F-term Hybrid Inflation," Universe 10 (2024) 5, arXiv:2403.09385 [hep-ph].
- [31] T. W. B. Kibble, "Topology of Cosmic Domains and Strings," J. Phys. A 9 (1976) 1387–1398.
- [32] J. Preskill, "Cosmological Production of Superheavy Magnetic Monopoles," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 1365.
- [33] A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*. Cambridge University Press, 7, 2000.
- [34] A. Vilenkin, "Cosmological Evolution og Monopoles Connected by Strings," Nucl. Phys. B 196 (1982) 240–258.
- [35] J. Preskill and A. Vilenkin, "Decay of metastable topological defects," *Phys. Rev. D* 47 (1993) 2324-2342, arXiv:hep-ph/9209210.
- [36] A. H. Guth, "The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems," *Phys. Rev. D* 23 (1981) 347–356.
- [37] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, "Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48 (1982) 1220–1223.
- [38] A. D. Linde, "A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems," *Phys. Lett.* **108B** (1982) 389–393. [Adv. Ser. Astrophys. Cosmol.3,149(1987)].
- [39] A. D. Linde, "Chaotic Inflation," Phys. Lett. B 129 (1983) 177–181.
- [40] R. Barbieri, G. R. Dvali, and L. J. Hall, "Predictions from a U(2) flavor symmetry in supersymmetric theories," *Phys. Lett. B* 377 (1996) 76–82, arXiv:hep-ph/9512388.
- [41] R. Barbieri and L. J. Hall, "A Grand unified supersymmetric theory of flavor," *Nuovo Cim. A* 110 (1997) 1–30, arXiv:hep-ph/9605224.
- [42] R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, S. Raby, and A. Romanino, "Unified theories with U(2) flavor symmetry," *Nucl. Phys. B* 493 (1997) 3–26, arXiv:hep-ph/9610449.
- [43] R. Barbieri, P. Creminelli, and A. Romanino, "Neutrino mixings from a U(2) flavor symmetry," *Nucl. Phys. B* 559 (1999) 17–26, arXiv:hep-ph/9903460.
- [44] A. Masiero, M. Piai, A. Romanino, and L. Silvestrini, "Signals of Supersymmetric Flavor Models in B Physics," Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 075005, arXiv:hep-ph/0104101.
- [45] M. Linster and R. Ziegler, "A Realistic U(2) Model of Flavor," JHEP 08 (2018) 058, arXiv:1805.07341 [hep-ph].
- [46] R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, and A. Romanino,
 "Consequences of a U(2) flavor symmetry," *Phys. Lett.* B 401 (1997) 47-53, arXiv:hep-ph/9702315.
- [47] C. D. Carone and L. J. Hall, "Neutrino physics from a U(2) flavor symmetry," *Phys. Rev. D* 56 (1997) 4198-4206, arXiv:hep-ph/9702430.

- [48] R. G. Roberts, A. Romanino, G. G. Ross, and L. Velasco-Sevilla, "Precision Test of a Fermion Mass Texture," *Nucl. Phys. B* 615 (2001) 358–384, arXiv:hep-ph/0104088.
- [49] S. Raby, "A Natural framework for bilarge neutrino mixing," *Phys. Lett. B* 561 (2003) 119–124, arXiv:hep-ph/0302027.
- [50] E. Dudas, G. von Gersdorff, S. Pokorski, and R. Ziegler, "Linking Natural Supersymmetry to Flavour Physics," *JHEP* 01 (2014) 117, arXiv:1308.1090 [hep-ph].
- [51] A. Falkowski, M. Nardecchia, and R. Ziegler, "Lepton Flavor Non-Universality in B-meson Decays from a U(2) Flavor Model," *JHEP* 11 (2015) 173, arXiv:1509.01249 [hep-ph].
- [52] F. Feruglio and A. Romanino, "Lepton flavor symmetries," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93** no. 1, (2021) 015007, arXiv:1912.06028 [hep-ph].
- [53] R. Barbieri and R. Ziegler, "Quark masses, CKM angles and Lepton Flavour Universality violation," *JHEP* 07 (2019) 023, arXiv:1904.04121 [hep-ph].
- [54] M. Linster, J. Lopez-Pavon, and R. Ziegler, "Neutrino Observables from a U(2) Flavor Symmetry," *Phys. Rev. D* 103 no. 1, (2021) 015020, arXiv:2009.10437 [hep-ph].
- [55] A. Greljo and A. E. Thomsen, "Rising Through the Ranks: Flavor Hierarchies from a Gauged SU(2) Symmetry," arXiv:2309.11547 [hep-ph].
- [56] S. Antusch, A. Greljo, B. A. Stefanek, and A. E. Thomsen, "U(2) Is Right for Leptons and Left for Quarks," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** no. 15, (2024) 151802, arXiv:2311.09288 [hep-ph].
- [57] A. Greljo, A. E. Thomsen, and H. Tiblom, "Flavor hierarchies from SU(2) flavor and quark-lepton unification," *JHEP* 08 (2024) 143, arXiv:2406.02687 [hep-ph].
- [58] A. D. Linde, "Hybrid inflation," Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 748-754, arXiv:astro-ph/9307002.
- [59] A. Linde, "Axions in inflationary cosmology," *Physics Letters B* 259 no. 1-2, (1991) 38–47.
- [60] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi, and R. K. Schaefer, "Large scale structure and supersymmetric inflation without fine tuning," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 1886–1889, arXiv:hep-ph/9406319.
- [61] S. Antusch, M. Bastero-Gil, S. F. King, and Q. Shafi, "Sneutrino hybrid inflation in supergravity," *Phys. Rev. D* 71 (2005) 083519, arXiv:hep-ph/0411298.
- [62] S. Antusch, K. Dutta, and P. M. Kostka, "Tribrid Inflation in Supergravity," AIP Conf. Proc. 1200 no. 1, (2010) 1007–1010, arXiv:0908.1694 [hep-ph].
- [63] S. Antusch, M. Bastero-Gil, J. P. Baumann, K. Dutta, S. F. King, and P. M. Kostka, "Gauge Non-Singlet Inflation in SUSY GUTs," *JHEP* 08 (2010) 100, arXiv:1003.3233 [hep-ph].
- [64] S. Antusch and K. Trailović, "Cosmic strings from Tribrid inflation," JCAP 10 (2024) 095, arXiv:2406.12521 [hep-ph].
- [65] LISA Collaboration, P. Amaro-Seoane et al., "Laser Interferometer Space Antenna," arXiv:1702.00786 [astro-ph.IM].
- [66] B. Sathyaprakash et al., "Scientific Objectives of Einstein Telescope," Class. Quant. Grav. 29 (2012) 124013, arXiv:1206.0331 [gr-qc]. [Erratum: Class.Quant.Grav. 30, 079501 (2013)].

- [67] LIGO Scientific Collaboration, B. P. Abbott et al., "Exploring the Sensitivity of Next Generation Gravitational Wave Detectors," Class. Quant. Grav. 34 no. 4, (2017) 044001, arXiv:1607.08697 [astro-ph.IM].
- [68] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, "Is Baryon Number Conserved?," Phys. Rev. Lett. 31 (1973) 661–664.
- [69] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, "Lepton Number as the Fourth Color," *Phys. Rev. D* 10 (1974) 275–289.
 [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 11, 703–703 (1975)].
- [70] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, "Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32** (1974) 438–441.
- [71] H. Georgi, H. R. Quinn, and S. Weinberg, "Hierarchy of Interactions in Unified Gauge Theories," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 451–454.
- [72] H. Georgi, "The State of the Art—Gauge Theories," AIP Conf. Proc. 23 (1975) 575–582.
- [73] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, "Unified Interactions of Leptons and Hadrons," Annals Phys. 93 (1975) 193–266.
- [74] I. Doršner and S. Saad, "Is doublet-triplet splitting necessary?," *Phys. Rev. D* **110** no. 7, (2024) 075025, arXiv:2404.09021 [hep-ph].
- [75] P. Minkowski, " $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10⁹ Muon Decays?," *Phys. Lett.* **67B** (1977) 421–428.
- [76] T. Yanagida, "Horizontal gauge symmetry and masses of neutrinos," Conf. Proc. C7902131 (1979) 95–99.
- [77] S. Glashow, "The Future of Elementary Particle Physics," NATO Sci. Ser. B 61 (1980) 687.
- [78] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, "Complex Spinors and Unified Theories," Conf. Proc. C 790927 (1979) 315–321, arXiv:1306.4669 [hep-th].
- [79] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, "Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 44 (1980) 912.
- [80] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, "Neutrino Masses in SU(2) x U(1) Theories," *Phys. Rev.* D22 (1980) 2227.
- [81] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, and I. Masina, "Models of neutrino masses: Anarchy versus hierarchy," *JHEP* 01 (2003) 035, arXiv:hep-ph/0210342.
- [82] V. N. Senoguz and Q. Shafi, "Reheat temperature in supersymmetric hybrid inflation models," *Phys. Rev.* D 71 (2005) 043514, arXiv:hep-ph/0412102.
- [83] M. Bastero-Gil, S. F. King, and Q. Shafi, "Supersymmetric Hybrid Inflation with Non-Minimal Kahler potential," *Phys. Lett. B* 651 (2007) 345–351, arXiv:hep-ph/0604198.
- [84] M. U. Rehman, Q. Shafi, and J. R. Wickman, "Supersymmetric Hybrid Inflation Redux," *Phys. Lett.* B 683 (2010) 191–195, arXiv:0908.3896 [hep-ph].
- [85] K. Nakayama, F. Takahashi, and T. T. Yanagida, "Constraint on the gravitino mass in hybrid inflation," *JCAP* 12 (2010) 010, arXiv:1007.5152 [hep-ph].
- [86] S. Antusch and D. Nolde, "Kähler-driven Tribrid Inflation," JCAP 11 (2012) 005, arXiv:1207.6111 [hep-ph].
- [87] W. Buchmüller, V. Domcke, K. Kamada, and K. Schmitz, "Hybrid Inflation in the Complex Plane," *JCAP* 07 (2014) 054, arXiv:1404.1832 [hep-ph].
- [88] K. Schmitz and T. T. Yanagida, "Axion Isocurvature Perturbations in Low-Scale Models of Hybrid Inflation," *Phys. Rev. D* 98 no. 7, (2018) 075003, arXiv:1806.06056 [hep-ph].

- [89] A. Monin and M. B. Voloshin, "The Spontaneous breaking of a metastable string," *Phys. Rev. D* 78 (2008) 065048, arXiv:0808.1693 [hep-th].
- [90] L. Leblond, B. Shlaer, and X. Siemens, "Gravitational Waves from Broken Cosmic Strings: The Bursts and the Beads," *Phys. Rev. D* 79 (2009) 123519, arXiv:0903.4686 [astro-ph.CO].
- [91] A. Chitose, M. Ibe, Y. Nakayama, S. Shirai, and K. Watanabe, "Revisiting metastable cosmic string breaking," *JHEP* 04 (2024) 068, arXiv:2312.15662 [hep-ph].
- [92] LIGO Scientific Collaboration, J. Aasi et al.,
 "Advanced LIGO," Class. Quant. Grav. 32 (2015) 074001, arXiv:1411.4547 [gr-qc].
- [93] VIRGO Collaboration, F. Acernese *et al.*, "Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 32 no. 2, (2015) 024001, arXiv:1408.3978 [gr-qc].
- [94] KAGRA Collaboration, T. Akutsu *et al.*, "KAGRA:
 2.5 Generation Interferometric Gravitational Wave Detector," *Nature Astron.* **3** no. 1, (2019) 35–40, arXiv:1811.08079 [gr-qc].
- [95] LIGO Scientific, Virgo, KAGRA Collaboration, R. Abbott et al., "Constraints on Cosmic Strings Using Data from the Third Advanced LIGO-Virgo Observing Run," Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 no. 24, (2021) 241102, arXiv:2101.12248 [gr-qc].
- [96] KAGRA, Virgo, LIGO Scientific Collaboration, R. Abbott *et al.*, "Upper limits on the isotropic gravitational-wave background from Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo's third observing run," *Phys. Rev.* D 104 no. 2, (2021) 022004, arXiv:2101.12130 [gr-qc].

- [97] S. Antusch and V. Maurer, "Running quark and lepton parameters at various scales," *JHEP* 11 (2013) 115, arXiv:1306.6879 [hep-ph].
- [98] V. Corbin and N. J. Cornish, "Detecting the cosmic gravitational wave background with the big bang observer," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 23 (2006) 2435-2446, arXiv:gr-qc/0512039.
- [99] N. Seto, S. Kawamura, and T. Nakamura, "Possibility of direct measurement of the acceleration of the universe using 0.1-Hz band laser interferometer gravitational wave antenna in space," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 221103, arXiv:astro-ph/0108011.
- [100] J. R. Ellis, J. E. Kim, and D. V. Nanopoulos, "Cosmological Gravitino Regeneration and Decay," *Phys. Lett. B* 145 (1984) 181–186.
- [101] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, and F. Takahashi,
 "Moduli-induced gravitino problem," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 (2006) 211301, arXiv:hep-ph/0602061.
- [102] S. Nakamura and M. Yamaguchi, "Gravitino production from heavy moduli decay and cosmological moduli problem revived," *Phys. Lett. B* 638 (2006) 389–395, arXiv:hep-ph/0602081.
- [103] B. de Carlos, J. A. Casas, F. Quevedo, and E. Roulet, "Model independent properties and cosmological implications of the dilaton and moduli sectors of 4-d strings," *Phys. Lett. B* **318** (1993) 447–456, arXiv:hep-ph/9308325.
- [104] J. Hasenkamp and J. Kersten, "Leptogenesis, Gravitino Dark Matter and Entropy Production," *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010) 115029, arXiv:1008.1740 [hep-ph].
- [105] R. T. Co, F. D'Eramo, and L. J. Hall, "Supersymmetric axion grand unified theories and their predictions," *Phys. Rev. D* 94 no. 7, (2016) 075001, arXiv:1603.04439 [hep-ph].