

# The effect of spacetime torsion on neutrino mixing

Capolupo Antonio<sup>1</sup>, Monda Simone<sup>1</sup>, Pisacane Gabriele<sup>1</sup>, Quaranta Aniello<sup>2</sup> and Serao Raoul<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica “E.R. Caianiello” Università di Salerno, and INFN – Gruppo Collegato di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy

<sup>2</sup>School of Science and Technology, University of Camerino, Via Madonna delle Carceri, Camerino, 62032, Italy

E-mail: capolupo@sa.infn.it, smonda@unisa.it, gpisacane@unisa.it, aniello.quaranta@unicam.it, rserao@unisa.it

**Abstract.** In the framework of quantum field theory, we analyze the neutrino oscillations in the presence of a torsion background. We consider the Einstein–Cartan theory and we study the cases of constant torsion and of linearly time-dependent torsion. We derive new neutrino oscillation formulae which depend on the spin orientation and the CP-asymmetry formula. Experiment such as PTOLEMY which analyzes the cosmological background of neutrino, can provide insights into the effect shown here [1].

## 1 Introduction

Theories of gravity that extend beyond General Relativity (GR) have a long and complex history [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. These theories have been driven by the need to address the limitations of GR, provide explanations for the dark components of the universe [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27], and potentially establish a viable framework for the quantisation of gravity. As a result, a large number of such theories have emerged. Some, like the early attempt by Brans and Dicke to incorporate Mach’s principle [28], involve additional fields beyond the metric [29, 30]. Other theories generalise the Einstein–Hilbert action, often incorporating higher-order curvature invariants [31, 32, 33]. A natural extension of GR arises when a non-symmetric connection is considered, allowing for the inclusion of torsion [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45]. Gravitational theories that include torsion may help explain dark matter and dark energy [46]. Torsion couples naturally to the spin density of matter, resulting in spin-dependent splittings of energy levels [47] and spin oscillations [48]. Neutrinos, on the other hand, play an important role in both cosmology and astrophysics [49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64]. Due to their relatively weak interactions and the large quantities in which they are produced, neutrinos serve as a valuable source of information about the universe. They may be related to the original baryon asymmetry [65], dark matter [66, 67], and dark energy [68]. Furthermore, neutrinos present numerous challenges to the Standard Model of particle physics, and many aspects of neutrino physics—including the fundamental mechanism behind flavour oscillations [69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89], the origin of their mass, and their fundamental nature [90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97]—remain unclear. We analyze the propagation of neutrinos on a torsion background and study its impact on flavor oscillations. Neutrino oscillations in the presence of torsion have been studied in the quantum mechanical framework. We focus on the simplest generalization of GR including torsion, the Einstein–Cartan theory. We consider the cases of constant torsion and of torsion linearly depending on time, and we assume that spacetime curvature is absent. Our result is: the energy splitting induced by the torsion term leads to spin-dependent neutrino oscillation formulae. We also discuss the modifications to the CP asymmetry induced by torsion, which arise as a

consequence of the Dirac CP-violating phase in the mixing matrix. Additionally, we show that the CP asymmetry depends on the spin orientations in the presence of the torsion background. It should be noted that in this work, we do not consider the Fermi-like four-fermion interactions among neutrinos that would be induced by integrating out the (non-dynamical) torsion in the Einstein–Cartan framework. Instead, we assume the presence of a background torsion term without specifying its origin. However, this background field can be regarded as the mean torsion field generated by the spin density of a generic fermion field. The Fermi-like four-fermion interactions among neutrinos could affect the neutrino cross-section; however, this is outside the scope of the present study. Moreover, as with general four-fermion interactions [101], the interaction induced by torsion may potentially lead to neutrino condensation phenomena [102].

## 2 Dirac field quantization with torsion

We consider the effect of back-ground torsion described by the axial vector field  $T^\mu(x)$  on Dirac fields in flat space-time. We replace the covariant derivative by the ordinary derivative since we are specifically interested in the effects of torsion on Dirac fields. Under these assumptions, the Dirac equation becomes:

$$i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi = m\Psi - \frac{3}{2}T_\rho\gamma^\rho\gamma^5\Psi.$$

Setting  $u_{\vec{k}}^r(t) = e^{-iE^r t}u_{\vec{k}}^r$  and  $v_{\vec{k}}^r(t) = e^{iE^r t}v_{\vec{k}}^r$ , the Dirac field is expanded as usual. Considering a constant axial torsion directed along the third spatial axis, the solutions of Dirac equation  $u_{\vec{k}}^r$  and  $v_{\vec{k}}^r$  are formally the same as in flat space, except for a spin-dependent mass term  $\tilde{m}^\pm = m \pm \frac{3}{2}T^3$ . The torsion has indeed the effect of lifting the degeneracy in energy between the two spin orientations  $E_{\vec{k}}^\pm = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + \tilde{m}^{\pm 2}}$ .

In the case of time-dependent torsion the Dirac equation is formally similar to previous. We use the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\vec{k},\lambda}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \begin{pmatrix} f_{\vec{k}}(t)\xi_\lambda(\hat{k}) \\ g_{\vec{k}}(t)\lambda\xi_\lambda(\hat{k}) \end{pmatrix} \\ v_{\vec{k},\lambda}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \begin{pmatrix} g_{\vec{k}}^*(t)\xi_\lambda(\hat{k}) \\ -f_{\vec{k}}^*(t)\lambda\xi_\lambda(\hat{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

for positive energy and for negative energy. In the specific case of  $\check{T}^i = \alpha^i t$ , where  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\check{T}^0$  is independent on time, one has

$$\begin{cases} f_{\vec{k},\lambda}(t) = \exp\left\{-i\frac{t^2}{2}\eta\lambda\alpha^i\hat{k}^i\right\}\exp\{-i\omega_{\vec{k},\lambda}t\}C_{\vec{k},\lambda} \\ g_{\vec{k},\lambda}(t) = \frac{k+\eta\lambda\check{T}^0}{(\omega_{\vec{k},\lambda}+m)}\exp\left\{-i\frac{t^2}{2}\eta\lambda\alpha^i\hat{k}^i\right\}\exp\{-i\omega_{\vec{k},\lambda}t\}C_{\vec{k},\lambda}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\omega_{\vec{k},\lambda} = \sqrt{m^2 + (k + \eta\lambda\check{T}^0)^2}$ ,  $\eta$  is the coupling parameter,  $\lambda$  is the helicity and  $\vec{k}$  is the momentum and  $C_{\vec{k},\lambda} = \frac{\omega_{\vec{k},\lambda}+m}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{(\omega_{\vec{k},\lambda}+m)^2 + (k+\eta\lambda\check{T}^0)^2}}$ .

## 3 Flavor mixing with torsion

We denote with  $\Psi_m^T \equiv (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$  the free Dirac fields in the presence of torsion. The flavor fields are obtained by  $\nu_\sigma^\alpha = I_\theta^{-1}(t)\nu_i^\alpha(x)I_\theta(t)$ , where  $(\sigma, i) = (e, 1), (\mu, 2), (\tau, 3)$ . Indeed the rotation to flavor fields can be recast in terms of the mixing generator  $I_\theta$  where  $I_\theta(t) = I_{23}(t)I_{13}(t)I_{12}(t)$ . The action of the mixing generator defines the plane wave expansion of the flavor fields

$$\nu_\sigma(x) = \sum_r \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[ u_{\vec{k},i}^r \alpha_{\vec{k},\nu_\sigma}^r(t) + v_{-\vec{k},i}^r \beta_{-\vec{k},\nu_\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \right] \exp\{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}\},$$

where the flavor annihilators are given by  $\alpha_{\vec{k},\nu_\sigma}^r(t) \equiv I_\theta^{-1}(t)\alpha_{\vec{k},i}^r I_\theta(t)$ ,  $\beta_{-\vec{k},\nu_\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \equiv I_\theta^{-1}(t)\beta_{-\vec{k},i}^{r\dagger}(t)I_\theta(t)$ . They annihilate the flavor vacuum  $\alpha_{\vec{k},\nu_\sigma}^r|0\rangle_f = 0 = \beta_{-\vec{k},\nu_\sigma}^r|0\rangle_f$ . The explicit relations of the the flavor annihilators, for  $\vec{k} = (0, 0, |\vec{k}|)$ , are

$$\alpha_{\vec{k},\nu_e}^r(t) = c_{12}c_{13}\alpha_{\vec{k},1}^r + s_{12}c_{13} \left( \left( \Gamma_{12;\vec{k}}^{rr}(t) \right)^* \alpha_{\vec{k},2}^r + \varepsilon^r \left( \Sigma_{12;\vec{k}}^{rr}(t) \right) \beta_{-\vec{k},2}^{r\dagger} \right),$$

and similar relations for other annihilators. Bogoliubov coefficients  $\Gamma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr}$  and  $\Sigma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr}$ , appearing in the expressions of the flavor annihilators, are given by the inner product of the solutions of Dirac equations with different masses. In order to distinguish the case of constant torsion from that of time-dependent torsion, we use notation  $\Gamma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr} = \Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr}$  and  $\Sigma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr} = \chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr}$  for constant torsion and  $\Gamma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr} = \Pi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr}$  and  $\Sigma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr} = \Upsilon_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rr}$  for time-dependent torsion.

### 3.1 Bogoliubov Coefficients with Constant Torsion

For constant torsion, the modules of the Bogoliubov coefficients are given by

$$\left| \Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{r,s} \right| \equiv u_{\vec{k},i}^{r\dagger} u_{\vec{k},j}^s = v_{-\vec{k},i}^{s\dagger} v_{-\vec{k},j}^r, \quad \left| \chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{r,s} \right| \equiv \varepsilon^r u_{\vec{k},1}^{r\dagger} v_{-\vec{k},2}^s = -\varepsilon^r u_{\vec{k},2}^{r\dagger} v_{-\vec{k},1}^s.$$

Notice that, in reference frame  $\vec{k} = (0, 0, |\vec{k}|)$ ,  $\Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{r,s}$  and  $\chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{r,s}$  vanish for  $r \neq s$ . Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} &= N_i^\pm N_j^\pm \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{(E_{\vec{k},i}^\pm + \tilde{m}_i^\pm)(E_{\vec{k},j}^\pm + \tilde{m}_j^\pm)} \right] = \cos(\xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm}), \\ \chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} &= N_i^\pm N_j^{\mp} \left[ \frac{k_3}{E_{\vec{k},j}^\pm + \tilde{m}_j^\pm} - \frac{k_3}{E_{\vec{k},i}^\pm + \tilde{m}_i^\pm} \right] = \sin(\xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm}), \end{aligned}$$

with the spin-dependent masses and the normalization coefficients given explicitly by  $\tilde{m}_i^\pm \equiv m_i \pm \frac{3}{2}T^3$  and  $N_i^\pm = \frac{\sqrt{E_{\vec{k},i}^\pm + \tilde{m}_i^\pm}}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{k},i}^\pm}}$ , respectively. The sign factor is defined as  $\varepsilon^\pm = \mp 1$ . Additionally,  $(E_{\vec{k},i}^\pm)^2 = \vec{k}^2 + (\tilde{m}_i^\pm)^2$

and  $\xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} = \arctan\left(\frac{|V_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm}|}{|U_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm}|}\right)$ . The canonicity of the Bogoliubov transformations is ensured by relations  $\sum_r \left( \left| \Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm r} \right|^2 + \left| \chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm r} \right|^2 \right) = 1$  where  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $j > i$ . Moreover, the time dependence of  $\Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm r}$  and  $\chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm r}$  is expressed by

$$\Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rs}(t) = \left| \Xi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rs} \right| e^{i(E_{\vec{k},j}^s - E_{\vec{k},i}^r)t}, \quad \chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rs}(t) = \left| \chi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rs} \right| e^{i(E_{\vec{k},j}^s + E_{\vec{k},i}^r)t}.$$

### 3.2 Bogoliubov Coefficients with Time-Dependent Torsion

In this case, the Bogoliubov coefficients are denoted with  $\Pi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rs}(t) = \left( u_{\vec{k},i}^r, u_{\vec{k},j}^s \right)_t$  and  $\Upsilon_{ij;\vec{k}}^{rs}(t) = \left( u_{\vec{k},i}^r, v_{\vec{k},j}^s \right)_t$ . The mixed coefficients are zero, and explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{ij;\vec{p}}^{ss}(t) &= (2\pi)^3 \exp\{-i(\omega_{p,s}^j - \omega_{p,s}^i)t\} (C_{\vec{p},i}^s)^* (C_{\vec{p},j}^s) \left[ 1 + \frac{|p + s\eta\check{T}^0|^2}{(\omega_{p,s}^i + m_i)(\omega_{p,s}^j + m_j)} \right], \\ \Upsilon_{ij;\vec{p}}^{ss}(t) &= (2\pi)^3 \exp\{+it^2\eta\alpha^i\hat{p}^i\} \exp\{+i(\omega_{p,s}^j + \omega_{p,s}^i)t\} (C_{\vec{p},i}^s)^* (C_{\vec{p},j}^s)^* \left( p + s\eta\check{T}^0 \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_{p,+}^j + m_j} - \frac{1}{\omega_{p,+}^i + m_i} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $s = \pm$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $j > i$ . In the ultrarelativistic case ( $p \gg m_j$ ), one has:

$$\Pi_{\vec{p}}^{rr}(t) \longrightarrow 1, \quad \Upsilon_{\vec{p}}^{rr}(t) \longrightarrow 0$$

for any  $t$ . Moreover, in the absence of torsion (i.e.,  $\check{T}^\mu = 0$ ) these coefficients coincide with those presented in the Minkowski metric. The canonicity of the Bogoliubov transformations is satisfied by the following relations:  $\sum_r \left( \left| \Pi_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm r} \right|^2 + \left| \Upsilon_{ij;\vec{k}}^{\pm r} \right|^2 \right) = 1$ .

## 4 Neutrino oscillations with background torsion

By analyzing flavor currents and charges we define the flavor charge in presence of torsion as

$:: Q_{\nu\sigma} ::= \sum_r \int d^3\mathbf{k} \left( \alpha_{\vec{k},\nu\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \alpha_{\vec{k},\nu\sigma}^r(t) - \beta_{\vec{k},\nu\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \beta_{\vec{k},\nu\sigma}^r(t) \right)$ , with  $\sigma = e, \mu, \tau$  and,  $:: \dots ::$ , denoting the normal ordering with respect to the flavor vacuum state  $|0\rangle_f$ . The oscillation formulas are spin depending because of the spin dependence of Bogoliubov coefficients (ruling the amplitudes) and the frequencies.

On the other hand in the QM formulae the spin orientation affects only the frequencies. We report the transition formulas for sample values of constant torsion and time dependent torsion. We report the transition formulae for sample values of torsion and momentum. We consider values of neutrino masses  $m_1 \approx 10^{-3}$  eV,  $m_2 \approx 9 \times 10^{-3}$  eV, and  $m_3 \approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$  eV, in order that  $\Delta m_{12}^2 \approx 7.56 \times 10^{-5}$  eV<sup>2</sup> and  $\Delta m_{23}^2 \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  eV<sup>2</sup>, and of mixing angles such that  $\sin^2(2\theta_{13}) = 0.10$ ,  $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 0.97$ , and  $\sin^2(2\theta_{12}) = 0.861$ , which are compatible with the experimental data. We also consider  $\delta = \pi/4$ , and a fixed value of momentum  $k \simeq 2 \times 10^{-2}$  eV and of torsion  $|T^3| \simeq 2 \times 10^{-4}$  eV. In the following figure are plotted  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}^{\uparrow \vec{k}}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}^{\downarrow \vec{k}}(t)$  as function of time in the specific case of constant torsion in Fig 1 and time dependent torsion in Fig 2; they are compared with the corresponding QM formulae (right panel).




Figure 1: In the left-hand panel, plot of  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}^{\uparrow \vec{k}}(t)$  (blue line) and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}^{\downarrow \vec{k}}(t)$  (red line) as a function of time. In the right panel, detail of the same formulae and comparison with the corresponding QM formulae (dashed line).




Figure 2: In the left-hand panel, plot of  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}^{\uparrow \vec{k}}(t)$  (blue line) and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}^{\downarrow \vec{k}}(t)$  (red line) as a function of time. In the right panel, the detail of the same formulae and comparison with the corresponding QM formulae (dashed line).

As in the general case, quantum field theoretical effects on neutrino oscillations are relevant at low momenta and this remains true also in the presence of torsion. The quantum field theoretical effects may affect the capture rate of experiments dealing with low-energy neutrinos, such as Ptolemy. Other experiments, such as DUNE [107,108], which feature much more energetic neutrinos, are essentially unaffected by quantum field theoretical effects.

## 5 CP Violation and Flavor Vacuum

We now study the impact of torsion on the  $CP$  violation in neutrino oscillation due to the presence of Dirac phase in the mixing matrix. For fixed spin orientation, say  $\uparrow$ , the  $CP$  asymmetry  $\Delta_{\uparrow;CP}^{\rho\sigma}$  can be defined in QFT, in a similar way to what was achieved in

ref. [74]; and then,  $\Delta_{\uparrow;CP}^{\rho\sigma}(t) \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{\nu_\rho \rightarrow \nu_\sigma}^{\uparrow\vec{k}}(t) + \mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\nu}_\rho \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\sigma}^{\uparrow\vec{k}}(t)$ , where  $\rho, \sigma = e, \mu, \tau$ . Notice that a + sign appears in front of the probabilities for the antineutrinos in place of -, because the antineutrino states already carry a negative flavor charge  $Q_\sigma$ . For  $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$  transition, with  $r = \uparrow, \downarrow$ , the  $CP$  asymmetry is explicitly

$$\Delta_{r;CP}^{e\mu}(t) = 4J_{CP} \left[ \left| \Gamma_{12;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 \sin(2\Delta_{12;\vec{k}}^\pm t) - \left| \Sigma_{12;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 \sin(2\Omega_{12;\vec{k}}^\pm t) + \left( \left| \Gamma_{12;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 - \left| \Sigma_{13;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 \right) \sin(2\Delta_{23;\vec{k}}^\pm t) + \left( \left| \Sigma_{12;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 - \left| \Sigma_{13;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 \right) \sin(2\Omega_{23;\vec{k}}^\pm t) - \left| \Gamma_{13;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 \sin(2\Delta_{13;\vec{k}}^\pm t) + \left| \Sigma_{13;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 \sin(2\Omega_{13;\vec{k}}^\pm t) \right], \quad (1)$$

where one has to consider  $\Gamma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{++}$  and  $\Sigma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{++}$  for spin up and  $\Gamma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{--}$  and  $\Sigma_{ij;\vec{k}}^{--}$  for spin down. One also has  $\Delta_{r;CP}^{e\tau}(t) = -\Delta_{r;CP}^{e\mu}(t)$  with  $r = \uparrow, \downarrow$ . Remarkably, the presence of torsion induces a  $CP$  asymmetry depending on spin orientation.

Furthermore, we make some observations on the condensate structure of the flavor vacuum in the presence of torsion. In this case,  $|0_f(t)\rangle$  breaks the spin symmetry, resulting in a different condensation density for particles of spin up and down. Such densities are evaluated by computing the expectation values of the number operators for free fields  $N_{\alpha_j, \vec{k}}^r = \alpha_{\vec{k}, j}^{r\dagger} \alpha_{\vec{k}, j}^r$  and  $N_{\beta_j, \vec{k}}^r = \beta_{\vec{k}, j}^{r\dagger} \beta_{\vec{k}, j}^r$ , on  $|0_f(t)\rangle$ . One has

$$\mathcal{N}_{1;\vec{k}}^r = {}_f \langle 0(t) | N_{\alpha_1, \vec{k}}^r | 0(t) \rangle_f = {}_f \langle 0(t) | N_{\beta_1, \vec{k}}^r | 0(t) \rangle_f = s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 \left| \Sigma_{12;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 + s_{13}^2 \left| \Sigma_{13;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{2;\vec{k}}^r = {}_f \langle 0(t) | N_{\alpha_2, \vec{k}}^r | 0(t) \rangle_f = {}_f \langle 0(t) | N_{\beta_2, \vec{k}}^r | 0(t) \rangle_f = |-s_{12}c_{23} + e^{i\delta}c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}|^2 \left| \Sigma_{12;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 + s_{23}^2 c_{13}^2 \left| \Sigma_{23;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{3;\vec{k}}^r &= {}_f \langle 0(t) | N_{\alpha_3, \vec{k}}^r | 0(t) \rangle_f = {}_f \langle 0(t) | N_{\beta_3, \vec{k}}^r | 0(t) \rangle_f \\ &= |-c_{12}s_{23} + e^{i\delta}s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}|^2 \left| \Sigma_{23;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2 + |s_{12}s_{23} + e^{i\delta}c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}|^2 \left| \Sigma_{13;\vec{k}}^{\pm\pm} \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where,  $r = \uparrow, \downarrow$ .

It is important to note that flavor vacuum condensation is a general consequence of the quantum field theory treatment of neutrino mixing [73, 74]. In the presence of torsion, the novelty is represented by the spin orientation dependent on such condensation yielding, as shown in the above equation, distinct condensation density for different spin orientations. The physical implications of the flavor condensation are represented by correction to the amplitude of the neutrino oscillation formulae and by possible contributions to the dark components of the Universe [66, 67, 68].

## 6 Conclusions

In this work, we have examined the Einstein–Cartan theory and studied neutrino propagation in the presence of torsion within the framework of quantum field theory. Through this analysis, we derived new oscillation formulas that are dependent on the spin orientations of the neutrino fields. Specifically, we demonstrated that the energy splitting induced by the torsion term influences both the oscillation frequencies and the Bogoliubov coefficients, which represent the amplitudes of the oscillation formulas. We considered flat space-time and explored two distinct types of torsion terms: constant torsion and linearly time-dependent torsion.

Both cases exhibit the following general behaviour: the spin dependence of oscillations is most pronounced for values of torsion comparable to the neutrino momentum and mass. For significantly larger torsion values, the flavour oscillations become almost independent of spin. Furthermore, sufficiently large torsion can effectively suppress flavour oscillations. These behaviours are also observed in the  $CP$  asymmetry.

The effects of torsion on neutrino oscillations are particularly significant in non-relativistic regimes. Therefore, future experiments involving neutrinos with very low momenta, such as PTOLEMY, could provide an opportunity to verify these results.

## References

- [1] A. Capolupo, G. De Maria, S. Monda, A. Quaranta, R. Serao, Quantum Field Theory of Neutrino Mixing in Spacetimes with Torsion, *Universe* (2024), 10 (4), 170.

- [2] Capozziello, S.; Laurentis, M.D. Extended theory of gravity. *Phys. Rep.* **2011**, *509*, 167–321.
- [3] Heisenberg, L. Review on  $f(Q)$  gravity. *Phys. Rep.* **2024**, *1066*, 1–78.
- [4] Anagnostopoulos, F.K.; Basilakos, S.; Saridakis, E.N. First evidence that non-metricity  $f(Q)$  gravity could challenge  $\Lambda$ CDM. *Phys. Lett. B* **2021**, *822*, 136634.
- [5] Capozziello, S.; D’Agostino, R. Model-independent reconstruction of  $f(Q)$ , non-metric gravity. *Phys. Lett. B* **2022**, *832*, 137229.
- [6] Lin, R.H.; Zhai, X.H. Spherically symmetric configuration in  $f(Q)$  gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **2021**, *103*, 124001.
- [7] Xu, Y.; Li, G.; Liang, S.D.  $f(Q,T)$  gravity. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2019**, *79*, 708.
- [8] Shankaranarayanan, S.; Johnson, J.P. Modified theories of gravity: Why, how and what? *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **2022**, *44*, 54.
- [9] Bamba, K.; Capozziello, S.; Nojiri, S.I. and Odintsov, S.D. Dark energy cosmology: The equivalent description via different theoretical models and cosmography tests. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **2012**, *342*, 155–228.
- [10] Khylllep, W.; Dutta, J.; Saridakis, E.N.; Yesmakhanova, K. Cosmology in  $f(Q)$  gravity: A unified dynamical systems analysis of the background and perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D* **2023**, *107*, 044022.
- [11] Berti, E.; Barausse, E.; Cardoso, V.; Gualtieri, L.; Pani, P.; Sperhake, U.; Stein, L.C.; Wex, N.; Yagi, K.; Baker, T.; et al. Testing general relativity with present and future astrophysical observations. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2015**, *32* 243001.
- [12] Sebastiani, L.; Vagnozzi, S.; Myrzakulov, R. Mimetic Gravity: A review of recent developments and applications to cosmology and astrophysics. *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2017**, *2017*, 3156915.
- [13] Rubin, V.C.; Ford, W.K.; Thonnard, N. Rotational properties of 21 SC galaxies with a large range of luminosities from NGC 4605( $r = 4$ kpc) to UGC 2885( $R = 122$  kpc) . *Astrophys. J.* **1980**, *238*, 471–487 .
- [14] Salucci, P. The distribution of dark matter in galaxies. *Astron. Astro. Phys. Rev.* **2019**, *27*, 2.
- [15] Freese, K. Status of dark matter in the universe. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2017**, *26*, 1730012.
- [16] Valentino, E.D.; Melchiorri, A.; Mena, O.; Vignozzi, S. Nonminimal dark sector physics and cosmological tension. *Phys. Rev D* **2020**, *101*, 6.
- [17] Rubin, V. Dark matter in spiral galaxies. *Sci. Am.* **1983**, *248*, 6.
- [18] Rubin, V., W.K. Ford, Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic survey of emission regions . *Astrophys. J.* **1970**, *159* p 379
- [19] Garret, K.; Duda, G. Dark matter: A primer. *Advances Astron.* **2010**, *2011*, 968283.
- [20] Smith, P.F.; Lewin, J.D. Dark matter detection. *Phys. Rep.* **1990**, *187*, 5.
- [21] Hutten, M.; Kerszberg, D. TeV Dark Matter Searches in the Extragalactic Gamma-ray. *Galaxies* **2022**, *10*, 5.
- [22] Perivolaropoulos, L.; Skara, F. Challenges for  $\Lambda$ CDM: An update. *New Astron. Rev.* **2022**, *95*, 101659.
- [23] Fruscianti, N.; Perenon, L. Effective field theory of dark energy: A review. *Phys. Rep.* **2020**, *857*, 1–63.
- [24] Oks, E. Brief review of recent advances in understanding dark matter and dark energy. *New Astron. Rev.* **2021**, *93*, 101632.
- [25] Lonappan, A.I.; Kumar, S.; Dinda, B.R.; Sen, A.A. Bayesian evidences for dark energy models in light of current observational data. *Phys. Rev.* **2018**, *97*, 4.

- [26] Steinhardt, P.J.; Turok, N. Why the cosmological constant is small and positive. *Science* **2006**, *312*, 1180–1183.
- [27] Mehrabi, A.; Basilakos, S. Dark energy reconstruction based on the Padé approximation: An expansion around the  $\Lambda$ CDM. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2018**, *78*, 889.
- [28] Brans, C.; Dicke, R.H. Mach's principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation. *Phys. Rev.* **1961**, *124*, n925.
- [29] Quiros, I. Selected topics in scalar-tensor theories and beyond. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2019**, *28*, 1930012.
- [30] Kobayashi, T. Horndeski theory and beyond: A review. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2019**, *82*, 086901.
- [31] Capozziello, S.; Cardone, V.F.; Carloni, S.; Troisi, A. Can higher order curvature theories explain rotation curves of galaxies? *Phys. Lett. A* **2004**, *326*, 292–296.
- [32] Capozziello, S.; Cardone, V.F.; Carloni, S.; Troisi, A. Higher order curvature theories of gravity matchet with observations: A bridge between dark energy and dark matter problems. *Aip Conf. Proc.* **2005**, *751*, 54–63.
- [33] Cherubini, C.; Bini, D.; Capozziello, S.; Ruffini, R. Second order scalar invariants of the Riemann tensor: Applications to black hole spacetimes. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2002**, *11*, 827–841.
- [34] Hehl, F.W.; Von der Heyde, P.; Kerlick, G.D. General relativity with spin and torsion: Foundations and prospects. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1976**, *48*, 393.
- [35] Shapiro, I.L. Physical aspects of the space-time torsion. *Phys. Rep.* **2002**, *357*, 113–213.
- [36] Mavromatos, N.E.; Pais, P.; Iorio, A. Torsion at different scale: From materials to the universe. *Universe* **2023**, *9*, 516.
- [37] Capozziello, S.; Cianci, R.; Stornaiolo, C.; Vignolo, S. f(R) gravity with torsion: The metric-affine approach. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **2007**, *24*, 6417.
- [38] Capozziello, S.; Cianci, R.; Stornaiolo, C.; Vignolo, S. f(R) cosmology with torsion. *Phys. Scr.* **2008**, *78*, 065010
- [39] Vignolo, S.; Fabbri, L.; Cianci, R. Dirac spinors in Bianchi-I f(R)- cosmology with torsion. *J. Math. Phys.* **2011**, *52*, 112502.
- [40] Capozziello, S.; Vignolo, S. Metric-affine f(R)- gravity with torsion: An overview. *Ann. Der Phys.* **2010**, *522*, 238–248.
- [41] Fabbri, L.; Vignolo, S. A modified theory of gravity with torsion and its applications to cosmology and particle physics. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2010**, *51*, 3186.
- [42] Fabbri, L.; Vignolo, S. Dirac fields in f(R) gravity with torsion. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **2011**, *28*, 125002.
- [43] Fabbri, L.; Vignolo, S. ELKO and Dirac Spinors seen from Torsion. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2014**, *23*, 1444001.
- [44] Vignolo, S.; Fabbri, L.; Stornaiolo, C. A square-torsion modification of Einstein-Cartan theory. *Ann. Der Phys.* **2012**, *524*, 826–839.
- [45] Fabbri, L.; Vignolo, S.; Carloni, S. Renormalizability of the Dirac equation in torsion gravity with nonminimal coupling. *Phys. Rev. D* **2014**, *90*, 024012.
- [46] van de Venn, A.; Vasak, D.; Kirsch, J.; Struckmeier, J. Torsional dark energy in quadratic gauge gravity. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2023**, *83*, 288.
- [47] Cabral, F.; Lobo, F.; Rubiera-Garcia, D. Imprints from a Riemann-Cartan space-time on the energy levels of Dirac spinors. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2021**, *38*, 195008.

- [48] Cirilo-Lombardo, D.J. Fermion helicity flip and fermion oscillation induced by dynamical torsion field. *EPL* **2019**, *127*, 10002.
- [49] Aartsen, M. et al. [IceCube Collaboration], "Neutrino emission from the direction of the Blazar TXS 0506+ 056 prior to the IceCube-170922A alert." *Science* **2018**, *361*, 6398.
- [50] Aartsen, M. et al.[The IceCube et al.] "Multimessenger observations of a flaring blazar coincident with high-energy neutrino IceCube-170922A. *Science* **2018**, *361*, eaat1378.
- [51] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. "Search for Continuous and Transient Neutrino Emission Associated with IceCube's Highest-Energy Tracks: An 11-Year Analysis." *Astrophys. J.* **2024**, *964*, 40.
- [52] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. Search for 10-1000 GeV Neutrinos from Gamma Ray Bursts with IceCube. *The Astrophysical J.* **964** 126 (2024)
- [53] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. Search for Neutrino Lines from Dark Matter Annihilation and Decay with IceCube. *Phys. Rev. D* **2023**, *108*, 102004.
- [54] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. Search for Extended Sources of Neutrino Emission in the Galactic Plane with IceCube. *Astrophys. J.* **2023**, *956*, 20.
- [55] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. Searches for Connections between Dark Matter and High-Energy Neutrinos with IceCube. *J. Cosmol. Astrophys. Phys.* **2023**, *10*, 3.
- [56] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. Search for Correlations of High-Energy Neutrinos Detected in IceCube with Radio-Bright AGN and Gamma-Ray Emission from Blazars. *Astrophys. J.* **2023**, *954*, 75.
- [57] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. Observation of Seasonal Variations of the Flux of High-Energy Atmospheric Neutrinos with IceCube. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2023**, *83*, 777.
- [58] Abbasi, R. et al.[ICECUBE Collaboration]. Search for sub-TeV Neutrino Emission from Novae with IceCube-DeepCore. *Astrophys. J.* **2023**, *953*, 160.
- [59] Abud, A. A. et al.[DUNE Collaboration]. Impact of cross-section uncertainties on supernova neutrino spectral parameter fitting in the deep underground neutrino experiment. *Phys. Rev. D* **2023**, *107*, 112012.
- [60] Abud, A. A. et al.[DUNE Collaboration]. Low exposure long-baseline neutrino oscillation sensitivity of the DUNE experiment. *Phys. Rev. D* **2022**, *105*, 072006.
- [61] Abi, B. et al.[DUNE Collaboration]. Prospects for beyond the standard model physics searches at the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2021**, *81*, 322.
- [62] Sabelnikov, A.; Santin, G.; Skorokhvatov, M.; Sobel, H.; Steele, J.; Steinberg, R.; Sukhotin, S.; Tomshaw, S.; Veron, D.; Vyrodov, V.; et al. Search for neutrino oscillations on a long base-line at the CHOOZ nuclear power station. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2003**, *27*, 331–374.
- [63] Abdurashitov, J.N.; Gavrin, V.N.; Girin, S.V.; Gorbachev, V.V.; Ibragimova, T.V.; Kalikhov, A.V.; Khairnasov, N.G.; Knodel, T.V.; Mirmov, I.N.; Shikhin, A.A.; et al. Measurement of the solar neutrino capture rate with gallium metal. *Phys. Rev. C* **1999**, *60*, 055801.
- [64] Adamson, P.; Aliaga, L.; Ambrose, D.; Anfimov, N.; Antoshkin, A.; Arrieta-Diaz, E.; Augsten, K.; Aurisano, A.; Backhouse, C.; Baird, M.; et al. Search for active-sterile neutrino mixing using neutral-current interactions in NOvA. *Phys. Rev. D.* **2017**, *96*, 072006.
- [65] Buchmüller, W. Neutrino, Grand Unification and Leptogenesis. *arXiv* **2004**, arXiv:hep-ph/0204288.
- [66] Capolupo, A.; Carloni, S.; Quaranta, A. Quantum flavor vacuum in the expanding universe: A possible candidate for cosmological dark matter? *Phys. Rev. D* **2022**, *105*, 105013.

- [67] Capolupo, A.; Giampaolo, S.M.; Lambiase, G.; Quaranta, A. Probing quantum field theory particle mixing and dark-matter-like effects with Rydberg atoms. *EPJ C* **2020**, *80*, 423.
- [68] Kaplan, D.B.; Nelson, A.E.; Weiner, N. Neutrino Oscillation as a probe of Dark Energy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *93*, 091801.
- [69] Bilenky, S.M.; Pontecorvo, B. Lepton mixing and neutrino oscillations. *Phys. Rep.* **1978**, *41*, 225.
- [70] Bilenky, S.M.; Petcov, S.T. Massive neutrinos and neutrino oscillations. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1987**, *59*, 671.
- [71] Aad, G. et al. [ATLAS Collaboration]. Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. *Phys. Lett. B* **2012**, *716*, 1–29 .
- [72] Fukuda, Y.; Hayakawa, T.; Ichihara, E.; Inoue, K.; Ishihara, K.; Ishino, H.; Itow, Y.; Kajita, T.; Kameda, J.; Kasuga, S.; et al. Evidence for Oscillation of Atmospheric Neutrinos. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *81*, 1562–1567.
- [73] Blasone, M.; Vitiello, G. Quantum Field Theory of Fermion Mixing. *Ann. Phys.* **1995**, *244*, 283–311.
- [74] Blasone, M.; Capolupo, A.; Vitiello, G. Quantum field theory of three flavor neutrino mixing and oscillations with CP violation. *Phys. Rev. D* **2002**, *66*, 025033.
- [75] Capolupo, A., Capozziello, S, Vitiello, G. ” Neutrino mixing as a source of dark energy” *Phys. Lett. A* **363** , 53 (2007)
- [76] Capolupo, A. ”dark matter and dark energy induced by condensates” *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016** 8089142 (2016)
- [77] Capolupo, A. ”Quantum vacuum, dark matter, dark energy and spontaneous supersymmetry breaking” *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2018** 9840351 (2018)
- [78] Fujii, K.; Habe, C.; Yabuki, T. Note on the field theory of neutrino mixing. *Phys. Rev. D* **1999**, *59*, 113003.
- [79] Hannabuss, K.C.; Latimer, D.C. The quantum field theory of fermion mixing. *J. Phys. A* **2000**, *33*, 1369.
- [80] Blasone, M.; Capolupo, A.; Romei, O.; Vitiello, G. Quantum field theory of boson mixing. *Phys. Rev. D* **2001**, *63*, 125015.
- [81] Alfinito, E.; Blasone, M.; Iorio, A.; Vitiello, G. Squeezed neutrino Oscillations in Quantum Field Theory. *Phys. Lett. B* **1995**, *362*, 91.
- [82] Grossman, Y.; Lipkin, H.J. Flavor oscillations from a spatially localized source: A simple general treatment. *Phys. Rev. D* **1997**, *55*, 2760.
- [83] Piriz, D.; Roy, M.; Wudka, J. Neutrino oscillations in strong gravitational fields. *Phys. Rev. D* **1996**, *54*, 1587.
- [84] Cardall, C.Y.; Fuller, G.M. Neutrino oscillations in curved spacetime: A heuristic treatment. *Phys. Rev. D* **1997**, *55*, 7960.
- [85] Buoninfante, L.; Luciano, G.G.; Petruzzello, L.; Smaldone, L. Neutrino oscillations in extended theories of gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *101*, 024016.
- [86] Capolupo, A.; Giampaolo, S.M.; Quaranta, A. Geometric phase of neutrinos: Differences between Dirac and Majorana neutrinos. *Phys. Lett. B* **2021**, *820*, 136489.
- [87] Luciano, G.G. On the flavor/mass dichotomy for mixed neutrinos: A phenomenologically motivated analysis based on lepton charge conservation in neutron decay. *EPJ Plus* **2023**, *138*, 83.
- [88] Capolupo, A.; Quaranta, A. Neutrinos, mixed bosons, quantum reference frames and entanglement. *J. Phys. G* **2023**, *50*, 055003.

- [89] Capolupo, A.; Quaranta, A. neutrino capture on tritium as a probe of flavor vacuum condensate and dark matter. *Phys. Lett. B* **2023**, *839*, 137776.
- [90] Bilenky, S.M.; Hošek, J.; Petcov, S.T. On the oscillations of neutrinos with Dirac and Majorana masses. *Phys. Lett. B* **1980**, *94*, 495–498 .
- [91] Capolupo, A.; Giampaolo, S.M.; Hiesmayr, B.C.; Lambiase, G.; Quaranta, A. On the geometric phase for Majorana and Dirac neutrinos. *J. Phys. G* **2023**, *50*, 025001.
- [92] Fogli, G.L.; Lisi, E.; Marrone, A.; Montanino, D.; Palazzo, A. Global analysis of three-flavor neutrino masses and mixing. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **2006**, *57*, 742–795.
- [93] Capozzi, F.; Valentino, E.D.; Lisi, E.; Marrone, A.; Melchiorri, A.; Palazzo, A. Global constraints on absolute neutrino masses and their ordering. *Phys. Rev. D* **2017**, *95* 096014.
- [94] Capozzi, F.; Lisi, E.; Marrone, A.; Montanino, D.; Palazzo, A. Neutrino masses and mixings: Status of known and unknown  $3\nu$  parameters. *Nucl. Phys. B* **2016**, *908* 218–234.
- [95] Fogli, G.L.; Lisi, E.; Montanino, D.; Palazzo, A. Observables sensitive to absolute neutrino masses. II. *Phys. Rev. D* **2008**, *79* 033010.
- [96] Fogli, G.L.; Lisi, E.; Marrone, A.; Melchiorri, A.; Palazzo, A.; Serra, P.; Silk, J. Observables sensitive to absolute neutrino masses: Constraints and correlations from world neutrino data. *Phys. Rev. D* **2004**, *70*, 113003.
- [97] Fogli, G.L.; Lisi, E.; Marrone, A.; Melchiorri, A.; Palazzo, A.; Serra, P.; Silk, J.; Slosar, A. Observables sensitive to absolute neutrino masses: A reappraisal after WMAP 3-year and first MINOS results. *Phys. Rev. D* **2007**, *75* 053001.
- [98] Adak, M.; Dereli, T.; Ryder, H. Neutrino Oscillations Induced by Space-Time Torsion. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2001**, *18*, 1503–1512.
- [99] Fabbri, L.; Vignolo, S. A torsional completion of gravity for Dirac matter fields and its applications to neutrino oscillations. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **2016**, *31*, 1650014.
- [100] Betti, M.G. et al. [PTOLEMY Collaboration]. Neutrino physics with the PTOLEMY project: Active neutrino properties and the light sterile case. *JCAP* **2019**, *2019*, 47.
- [101] Kapusta, J.I. Neutrino superfluidity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *93*, 251801.
- [102] A. Capolupo, A. Quaranta, Fermion condensates induced by axial interactions and cosmological implications, *J. Phys. G* **51**, 105202 (2024).
- [103] Capolupo, A.; Lambiase, G.; Quaranta, A. Neutrinos in curved spacetime: Particle mixing and flavor oscillations. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *101*, 095022.
- [104] Capolupo, A.; Lambiase, G.; Quaranta, A. Fermion mixing in curved spacetime. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2023**, *2533*, 012050.
- [105] Capolupo, A.; Quaranta, A.; Serao, R. Field Mixing in Curved Spacetime and Dark Matter. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 807.
- [106] Capolupo, A.; Quaranta, A.; Setaro, P.A. Boson mixing and flavor oscillations in curved spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D* **2022**, *106*, 043013.
- [107] Capolupo, A.; Quaranta, A. Boson mixing and flavor vacuum in the expanding Universe: A possible candidate for the dark energy. *Phys. Lett. B* **2023**, *840*, 137889.
- [108] Blasone, M.; Lambiase, G.; Luciano, G.G.; PetruzzIELLO, L.; Smaldone, L. Time-energy uncertainty relation for neutrino oscillation curved spacetime. *Quantum Grav.* **2020**, *37*, 155004
- [109] Abi, B.; Acciarri, R.; Acero, M.A.; Adamov, G.; Adams, D.; Adinolfi, M.; Ahmad, Z.; Ahmed, J.; Alion, T.; Alonso Monsalve, S.; et al. Supernova neutrino burst detection with the Deep underground neutrino Experiment. *EPJ* **2021**, *81*, 423.
- [110] Abi, B.; Acciarri, R.; Acero, M.A.; Adamov, G.; Adams, D.; Adinolfi, M.; Ahmad, Z.; Ahmed, J.; Alion, T.; Monsalve, S.A.; et al. Long-baseline neutrino oscillation physics potential of the DUNE experiment. *EPJ* **2020**, *80*, 978.