

POLYNOMIAL INVARIANTS FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAS

MARÍA ALEJANDRA ALVAREZ AND ARTEM LOPATIN

ABSTRACT. We classify all two-dimensional simple algebras over an algebraically closed field. For each two-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} with an infinite group of automorphisms we describe a minimal (with respect to inclusion) generating set for the algebra of invariants of the m -tuples of \mathcal{A} in characteristic zero case. As a consequence, we show that in characteristic zero case Artin–Procesi–Ilyakov Equality holds for all two-dimensional simple algebras with an infinite group of automorphisms. We also consider nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms over two-dimensional algebras.

Keywords: polynomial invariants, non-associative algebras, generating set, traces.

2020 MSC: 13A50, 15A72, 17A36, 20F29.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Algebra of invariants	1
1.2. Results	3
2. Preliminaries on invariants	3
2.1. Generic elements	3
2.2. Operator traces	4
2.3. Notations	5
3. Traces for algebras	5
4. Examples	6
4.1. Matrix invariants	7
4.2. Invariants of octonions	7
5. Methods for calculation of generators for invariants	8
5.1. Reduction to multilinear case	9
5.2. Polarization	9
6. Two-dimensional simple algebras	10
7. Invariants	12
7.1. Partial cases	12
7.2. General case	15
7.3. Trace invariants	16
8. Corollaries	16
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Algebra of invariants. Assume that \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field, unless otherwise stated, of an arbitrary characteristic $\text{char } \mathbb{F}$. All vector spaces and algebras are over \mathbb{F} .

This research was supported by MINEDUC-UA project, code ANT22991 and by FAEPEX 2817/23. The first author was also supported by project PFR22-002 from VRIIP-UA.

Assume that \mathcal{A} is an \mathbb{F} -algebra of dimension n , i.e., \mathcal{A} is a vector space endowed with a bilinear multiplication, and consider a subgroup G of the group of all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \text{GL}_n$ of the algebra \mathcal{A} . The group G acts on $\mathcal{A}^m = \mathcal{A} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}$ (m times) diagonally, i.e., $g \cdot \underline{a} = (g \cdot a_1, \dots, g \cdot a_m)$ for all $g \in G$ and $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ from \mathcal{A}^m . The coordinate ring of the affine variety \mathcal{A}^m is a polynomial (i.e. commutative and associative) algebra

$$\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m] = \mathbb{F}[x_{ri} \mid 1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n].$$

Fix some basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for \mathcal{A} and for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ denote by $(a)_i$ the i^{th} coordinate of a with respect to the given basis. Note that we can consider x_{ri} as a function $\mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ given by $x_{ri}(\underline{a}) = (a_r)_i$. Hence, we can consider elements of $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ as polynomial functions $\mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. The action of G on \mathcal{A}^m induces the action on the coordinate ring $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ as follows: $(g \cdot f)(\underline{a}) = f(g^{-1} \cdot \underline{a})$ for all $g \in G$, $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ and $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{A}^m$. The algebra of G -invariants of the m -tuple of the algebra \mathcal{A} is

$$\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^G = \{f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m] \mid g \cdot f = f \text{ for all } g \in G\},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^G = \{f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m] \mid f(g \cdot \underline{a}) = f(\underline{a}) \text{ for all } g \in G, \underline{a} \in \mathcal{A}^m\}.$$

For short, the algebra of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ -invariants of the m -tuple of \mathcal{A} is called the algebra of *invariants of the m -tuple of \mathcal{A}* , and we denote it by

$$I_m(\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})}.$$

It is well-known that so-called operator traces (see Section 2.2 for the details) are G -invariants. Denote by $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ the subalgebra of $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ generated by all operator traces together with 1. We say that *Artin–Procesi–Ilyakov Equality* holds for \mathcal{A}^m if

$$I_m(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m.$$

It is known that for every $m \geq 1$ Artin–Procesi–Ilyakov Equality holds for \mathcal{A}^m for the following algebras:

- $\mathcal{A} = M_n$ is the algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F} , in case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ or $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > n$ (for example, see Proposition 4.1);
- $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{O}$ is the octonion algebra, in case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ (for example, see Proposition 4.2).

The two above-mentioned algebras of invariants have been intensively studied. M. Artin [2] conjectured that the algebra of invariants $I_m(M_n)$ is generated by traces of products of generic matrices. This conjecture was proved by Procesi [24] in case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$. Later, Donkin [7] described the generators for the algebra of invariants $I_m(M_n)$ in case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > 0$. A minimal generating sets in case $n = 2, 3$ were obtained in [25, 6, 17, 16, 18] and in case $n = 4, 5$ were obtained in [27, 9, 4, 5], when $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$. The algebra of invariants $I_m(M_n)$ admits generalizations to invariants of representations of quivers and its multiple extensions (see [19] for more details and the references).

A generating set for the algebra of invariants of the m -tuple of \mathbf{O} was constructed by Schwarz [26] over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . This result has been generalized to an arbitrary infinite field of odd characteristic by Zubkov and Shestakov in [29]. Moreover, in case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ a minimal generating set was constructed by Lopatin and Zubkov in [20], using the classification of pairs of octonions from [21].

Assume that $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ until the end of this paragraph. Ilyakov [12] formulated the analogue of Artin’s conjecture for an arbitrary finite-dimensional simple algebra \mathcal{A} , namely, $I_m(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$. Then for every $n > 1$ Popov [23] constructed an n -dimensional simple algebra \mathcal{A} with the trivial group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ such that Artin–Procesi–Ilyakov Equality does not hold for \mathcal{A}^m for all $m \geq 1$. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, there is not known any simple finite dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} with non-trivial $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ such that Artin–Procesi–Ilyakov Equality does not hold for \mathcal{A}^m . Ilyakov [11] proved that $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is integral over $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ in case \mathcal{A} is the split Albert algebra, i.e., the algebra of 3×3 Hermitian matrices over \mathbf{O} with the symmetric multiplication $a \circ b = (ab + ba)/2$. In case a finite-dimensional simple algebra \mathcal{A} is generated by m elements, Ilyakov [12] established that the field of rational invariants $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{A}^m)^{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})}$

is equal to the field of fractions of $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$, where $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{A}^m)$ stands for the field of rational functions in variables $\{x_{ri} \mid 1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. For a finite-dimensional simple algebra \mathcal{A} over the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, Ilyakov [13] described generators for $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ in terms of Laplace operators in case there exists a nondegenerate associative symmetric $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ -invariant bilinear form $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and \mathcal{A} has a compact real form on which φ is positive definite (see Section 8 for the definitions). Elduque and Ilyakov [14] proved that the algebra $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ is finitely generated for every finite-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} .

1.2. Results. Working over a field of arbitrary characteristic, we classify all two-dimensional simple algebras in Theorem 6.1. In case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$, for each two-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} with an infinite group of automorphisms, we describe a minimal (with respect to inclusion) generating set for the algebra of invariants of the m -tuples of \mathcal{A} (see Theorem 7.3 for the case of an algebraically closed field and Remark 7.6 for the general case). As a consequence, in case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ we show that Artin-Procesi–Ilyakov Equality holds for all two-dimensional simple algebras with an infinite group of automorphisms (see Corollary 7.5). Corollary 7.5 also implies the following remark:

Remark 1.1. Over a field of zero characteristic, there are infinitely many non-isomorphic two-dimensional algebras \mathcal{A} with infinite groups of automorphisms such that Artin-Procesi–Ilyakov Equality holds for \mathcal{A} , but Artin-Procesi–Ilyakov Equality does not hold for \mathcal{A}^m for all $m > 1$.

In Section 2, we provide key definitions of generic elements and operator traces, along with some notations. In Section 3, we prove Proposition 3.2, which gives an explicit formula for calculating operator traces. As an example, in Section 4, we consider matrix invariants and invariants of octonions. In Section 5, we present two classical methods for calculating generators for an algebra of invariants in the characteristic zero case: reduction to the multilinear case and polarization. The classification of two-dimensional algebras, obtained by Kaygorodov and Volkov [15], is presented in Section 6. Using this classification, we describe all two-dimensional simple algebras in Theorem 6.1. Finally, in Section 7, we prove our main results: Theorem 7.3 and Corollary 7.5. As a consequence of the main results, in Section 8 working over a field of zero characteristic for a two-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} with an infinite group of automorphisms we answer the following two questions in Propositions 8.1 and 8.2, respectively:

- When does the algebra \mathcal{A} have a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form?
- When does the algebra of invariants $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is integral over $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$?

In particular, the algebra \mathcal{A} does not have a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form. Therefore, the method for the description of generators for $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ in terms of Laplace operators (see [13]) can not be applied to a two-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} with an infinite group of automorphisms.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON INVARIANTS

2.1. Generic elements. To explicitly define the action of G on $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ consider the algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_m = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$, which is a G -module, where the multiplication and the G -action are defined as follows: $(a \otimes f)(b \otimes h) = ab \otimes fh$ and $g \bullet (a \otimes f) = ga \otimes f$ for all $g \in G$, $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f, h \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$. Define by

$$X_r = \begin{pmatrix} x_{r1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{rn} \end{pmatrix} := e_1 \otimes x_{r1} + \cdots + e_n \otimes x_{rn}$$

the *generic elements* of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_m$, where $1 \leq r \leq m$. In particular, we have

$$g \bullet X_r = \begin{pmatrix} g \cdot x_{r1} \\ \vdots \\ g \cdot x_{rn} \end{pmatrix} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_m.$$

For $g \in G \leq \text{GL}_n$ we write $[g]$ for the corresponding $n \times n$ matrix and $(g)_{ij}$ for the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ entry of $[g]$. By straightforward calculations we can see that

$$g \bullet X_r = [g]^{-1} X_r. \quad (2.1)$$

Denote by $\text{alg}_{\mathbb{F}}\{X\}_m$ the subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_m$ generated by the generic elements X_1, \dots, X_m and the unity 1. Any product of the generic elements is called a word of $\text{alg}_{\mathbb{F}}\{X\}_m$. Since $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, we have that

$$g \bullet (FH) = (g \bullet F)(g \bullet H) \quad (2.2)$$

for all F, H from $\text{alg}_{\mathbb{F}}\{X\}_m$.

2.2. Operator traces. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ denote by $L_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $R_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ the operators of the left and right multiplication by a , respectively. Then define the *left operator trace* $\text{tr}_L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by $\text{tr}_L(a) = \text{tr}(L_a)$ and the *right operator trace* $\text{tr}_R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by $\text{tr}_R(a) = \text{tr}(R_a)$.

To expand these constructions, we denote by $\mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$ the absolutely free algebra in letters $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_m$ and for $f \in \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$, $\underline{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_m) \in \mathcal{A}^{m+1}$ define $f(\underline{a}) \in \mathcal{A}$ as the result of substitutions $\chi_0 \rightarrow a_0, \chi_1 \rightarrow a_1, \dots, \chi_m \rightarrow a_m$ in f . For $h \in \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$ denote by $L_h, R_h : \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$ the operators of the left and right multiplication by h , respectively. As usually, the composition of maps $P_1, P_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $P_1 \circ P_2(a) = P_1(P_2(a))$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Similar notation we use for composition of maps $P_1, P_2 : \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$. For a symbol $P \in \{L, R\}$, we write

- $P_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for the operator of left or right multiplication by $a \in \mathcal{A}$;
- $P_h : \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$ for the operator of left or right multiplication by $h \in \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$.

The following definition of the operator trace generalizes definitions of the left and right operator traces.

Definition 2.1. Assume that $m \geq 1$ and $h \in \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$ is homogeneous of degree 1 in χ_0 , i.e., each monomial of h contains χ_0 exactly once. Then

- for every $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{A}^m$ define the linear operator $h(\cdot, \underline{a}) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by the following equality: $h(\cdot, \underline{a})(b) = h(b, a_1, \dots, a_m)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$;
- define *operator trace* as follows: $\text{tr}(h) : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, where $\text{tr}(h)(\underline{a}) = \text{tr}(h(\cdot, \underline{a}))$ for all $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{A}^m$.

As an example, $\text{tr}_L = \text{tr}(\chi_1 \chi_0)$ and $\text{tr}_R = \text{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_1)$.

Remark 2.2. Assume that $h \in \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$ is homogeneous of degree 1 in χ_0 .

- (a) It is easy to see that $\text{tr}(h) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$.
- (b) It is well-known that $\text{tr}(h)$ is an invariant from $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ (for example, see Lemma 3.1).
- (c) If h has multidegree $(1, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$, then $\text{tr}(h)$ is \mathbb{N}^m -homogeneous of multidegree $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$.

Definition 2.3. Denote by $\text{tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ the subalgebra of $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ generated by 1 and operator traces $\text{tr}(h)$ for all that $h \in \mathbb{F}\langle\chi_0, \dots, \chi_m\rangle$ homogeneous of degree 1 in χ_0 .

Some operator traces can be defined in the following way:

Notation 2.4. (a) Assume that $P^1, \dots, P^k \in \{L, R\}$ are symbols, $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$ and $k > 0$. Define a *polynomial map* $\text{tr}(P_{\chi_{r_1}}^1 \circ \dots \circ P_{\chi_{r_k}}^k) : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\underline{a} \rightarrow \text{tr}(P_{a_{r_1}}^1 \circ \dots \circ P_{a_{r_k}}^k) \text{ for all } \underline{a} \in \mathcal{A}^m.$$

- (b) We write $\text{tr}(P)$ for a linear combination P of compositions $\{P_{\chi_{r_1}}^1 \circ \dots \circ P_{\chi_{r_k}}^k\}$ as in item (a).

Remark 2.5. Given $h = P_{\chi_{r_1}}^1 \circ \dots \circ P_{\chi_{r_k}}^k (\chi_0) \in \mathbb{F}\langle \chi_0, \dots, \chi_m \rangle$ for some symbols $P^1, \dots, P^k \in \{L, R\}$, $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$ and $k > 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(h) = \mathrm{tr}(P_{\chi_{r_1}}^1 \circ \dots \circ P_{\chi_{r_k}}^k).$$

The third way to define some operator traces is the following one:

Notation 2.6. For a symbol $P \in \{L, R\}$ the linear map $\mathrm{tr}_P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ can be extended to the map $\mathrm{tr}_P : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ by the linearity.

Lemma 2.7. For any monomial $w \in \mathbb{F}\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_m \rangle$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}_L(w(X_1, \dots, X_m)) = \mathrm{tr}(w(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)\chi_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}_R(w(X_1, \dots, X_m)) = \mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 w(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)).$$

Proof. Consider some $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathcal{A}^m$. By the definition of the product in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_m$, we have $\mathrm{tr}_L(w(X_1, \dots, X_m))(\underline{a}) = \mathrm{tr}_L(w(\underline{a}))$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{tr}(w(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)\chi_0)(\underline{a}) = \mathrm{tr}(L_w(\underline{a}))$. The first claim of the lemma is proven. The second equality can be proven similarly. \square

2.3. Notations. A monomial $w = x_{r_1, i_1} \cdots x_{r_k, i_k}$ from $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ has *multidegree* $\mathrm{mdeg}(w) = (d_1, \dots, d_m)$, where d_r is the number of letters of w lying in the set $\{x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_n}\}$. As an example, $\mathrm{mdeg}(x_{21}x_{32}x_{22}) = (0, 2, 1)$ for $m = 3$. If $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ is a linear combination of monomials of the same multidegree \underline{d} , then we say that f is \mathbb{N}^m -homogeneous of multidegree \underline{d} , where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. In other words, we defined the \mathbb{N}^m -grading of $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ by multidegrees. Since \mathbb{F} is infinite, the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^G$ also has the \mathbb{N}^m -grading by multidegrees. An \mathbb{N} -homogeneous element $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ of multidegree (d_1, \dots, d_m) with $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \{0, 1\}$ is called *multilinear*. For short, we denote by $x_{r_1, i_1} \cdots \widehat{x_{r_l, i_l}} \cdots x_{r_k, i_k}$ the monomial $x_{r_1, i_1} \cdots x_{r_{l-1}, i_{l-1}} x_{r_{l+1}, i_{l+1}} \cdots x_{r_k, i_k}$ from $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$, where $1 \leq l \leq k$. Given a subset $S \subset \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\mathrm{alg}\{S\}$ the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} (without unity in general) generated by S .

Given a vector $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$, we write $\#\underline{r} = k$ and $|\underline{r}| = r_1 + \dots + r_k$. Denote $\mathbb{F}^\times = \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

3. TRACES FOR ALGEBRAS

Lemma 3.1. Assume $h \in \mathbb{F}\langle \chi_0, \dots, \chi_m \rangle$ is homogeneous of degree 1 in χ_0 . Then the operator trace $\mathrm{tr}(h)$ lies in $I_m(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. The statement of the lemma is equivalent to the following claim:

$$\mathrm{tr}(h)(g \cdot \underline{a}) = \mathrm{tr}(h)(\underline{a}) \tag{3.1}$$

for every $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{A}^m$ and $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$. We have

$$\mathrm{tr}(h)(\underline{a}) = \mathrm{tr}(h(\cdot, \underline{a})) = \sum_{i=1}^n (h(e_i, \underline{a}))_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}(h)(g \cdot \underline{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (h(e_i, g \cdot \underline{a}))_i.$$

Since g is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} , we have $h(e_i, g \cdot \underline{a}) = g \cdot h(g^{-1} \cdot e_i, \underline{a}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (g)_{ij} (h(g^{-1} \cdot e_i, g\underline{a}))_j$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{tr}(h)(g \cdot \underline{a}) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (g^{-1})_{ki} (g)_{ij} \right) (h(e_k, g \cdot \underline{a}))_j = \sum_{j=1}^n (h(e_j, g \cdot \underline{a}))_j.$$

Claim (3.1) is proven. \square

Assume that the tableau of multiplication of the algebra \mathcal{A} is $M = (M_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, i.e., $e_i e_j = M_{ij}$ in \mathcal{A} . Denote

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^n M_{ijl} e_l$$

for some $M_{ijl} \in \mathbb{F}$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ consider the following $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F} :

$$M_L^{(i)} = (M_{ijl})_{1 \leq j, l \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad M_R^{(i)} = (M_{jil})_{1 \leq j, l \leq n}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $m \geq 1$ and a homogeneous monomial $h \in \mathbb{F}\langle \chi_0, \dots, \chi_m \rangle$ of degree 1 in χ_0 satisfies the equality $h = P_{\chi_{r_1}}^1 \circ \dots \circ P_{\chi_{r_k}}^k(\chi_0)$ for some symbols $P^1, \dots, P^k \in \{L, R\}$, $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$ and $k > 0$. Then*

$$\mathrm{tr}(h) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \mathrm{tr}\left(M_{P^1}^{(i_1)} \dots M_{P^k}^{(i_k)}\right) x_{r_1, i_1} \dots x_{r_k, i_k}.$$

Proof. Assume $a = \alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_n e_n$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}$. Denote

$$L_a = ((L_a)_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad R_a = ((R_a)_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where $(L_a)_{ij}, (R_a)_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$ae_j = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{ijl} e_l \quad \text{and} \quad e_j a = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{jil} e_l.$$

Hence,

$$(L_a)_{lj} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{ijl} \quad \text{and} \quad (R_a)_{lj} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{jil}. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, for every symbol $P \in \{L, R\}$ we have

$$(P_a)_{lj} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (M_P^{(i)})_{ijl}. \quad (3.3)$$

Consider $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $a_s = \alpha_{s1} e_1 + \dots + \alpha_{sn} e_n$ for some $\alpha_{s1}, \dots, \alpha_{sn} \in \mathbb{F}$, where $1 \leq s \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}(h)(\underline{a}) &= \mathrm{tr}(P_{a_{r_1}} \circ \dots \circ P_{a_{r_k}}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq n} \left(P_{a_{r_1}}^1\right)_{j_1 j_2} \left(P_{a_{r_1}}^2\right)_{j_2 j_3} \dots \left(P_{a_{r_k}}^k\right)_{j_k j_1} \end{aligned}$$

Applying formula (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}(h)(\underline{a}) &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \alpha_{r_1, i_1} \dots \alpha_{r_k, i_k} \left(M_{P^1}^{(i_1)}\right)_{j_1 j_2} \left(M_{P^2}^{(i_2)}\right)_{j_2 j_3} \dots \left(M_{P^k}^{(i_k)}\right)_{j_k j_1} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \mathrm{tr}\left(M_{P^1}^{(i_1)} \dots M_{P^k}^{(i_k)}\right) \alpha_{r_1, i_1} \dots \alpha_{r_k, i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim of the proposition is proven. \square

Proposition 3.2 (or, equivalently, see equalities (3.2)) implies that for all $1 \leq r \leq m$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = \sum_{i, j=1}^n x_{ri} M_{ijj} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = \sum_{i, j=1}^n x_{ri} M_{jij}. \quad (3.4)$$

4. EXAMPLES

The results from this section are well-known. We present complete proofs for the sake of completeness.

4.1. Matrix invariants. The general linear group GL_n acts on the algebra $M_n = M_n(\mathbb{F})$ of $n \times n$ matrices by conjugations: $g \cdot A = gAg^{-1}$ for all $A \in M_n$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$. It is well-known that the group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(M_n)$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_n = \mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{F}^\times$, where the action of PGL_n on M_n is given by $(\alpha g) \cdot A = gAg^{-1}$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^\times$. Obviously, $\mathbb{F}[M_n^m]^{\mathrm{PGL}_n} = \mathbb{F}[M_n^m]^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$.

For short, in this section we denote $\langle i, i' \rangle = (n-1)i + i'$. Consider the basis $\{e_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n^2\}$ of M_n defined by $E_{ii'} = e_{\langle i, i' \rangle}$ for all $1 \leq i, i' \leq n$. Since $(\widehat{M_n})_m \simeq M_n(\mathbb{F}[M_n^m])$, we can identify the generic element X_r with the $n \times n$ matrix $(x_{r, \langle i, i' \rangle})_{1 \leq i, i' \leq n}$, i.e., the product of the generic elements in $(\widehat{M_n})_m$ is the product of the corresponding matrices.

Proposition 4.1. *Artin–Procesi–Itzyakov Equality holds for M_n^m for all $m > 0$, in case $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} = 0$ or $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} > n$.*

Proof. The tableau of multiplication $M = (M_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ for M_n is given by the following equalities: $e_{\langle i, i' \rangle} e_{\langle j, j' \rangle} = E_{ii'} E_{jj'} = \delta_{i'j} e_{\langle i, j' \rangle}$. Thus,

$$M_{\langle i, i' \rangle \langle j, j' \rangle \langle j, j' \rangle} = \begin{cases} 1, & i' = j \text{ and } i = j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 2.7 together with formulas (3.4) and (4.1) imply that

$$\mathrm{tr}_L(X_r) = \mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = \sum_{i, i', j, j'=1}^n x_{r, ii'} M_{\langle i, i' \rangle \langle j, j' \rangle \langle j, j' \rangle} = \sum_{i, j'=1}^n x_{r, ii} = n(x_{r, 11} + \cdots + x_{r, nn}) = n \mathrm{tr}(X_r), \quad (4.2)$$

where tr stands for the usual trace of an $n \times n$ matrix over a commutative associative ring. Consider $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$. It follows from formula (4.2) that

$$\mathrm{tr}_L(X_{r_1} \cdots X_{r_k}) = n \mathrm{tr}(X_{r_1} \cdots X_{r_k}). \quad (4.3)$$

Since Lemma 2.7 implies

$$\mathrm{tr}(w(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) \chi_0) = \mathrm{tr}_L(X_{r_1} \cdots X_{r_k})$$

for $w = (\cdots (\chi_{r_1} \chi_{r_2}) \cdots) \chi_{r_m}$ from $\mathbb{F}\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_m \rangle$, it follows from formula (4.3) that $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ contains all elements $\mathrm{tr}(X_{r_1} \cdots X_{r_k})$ for $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$.

Since the algebra $\mathbb{F}[M_n^m]^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ is known to be generated by $\mathrm{tr}(X_{r_1} \cdots X_{r_k})$ for $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$ (see [24] in case of $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} = 0$ and [7] in case of $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} > n$), the required claim is proven. \square

4.2. Invariants of octonions. The *octonion algebra* $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{O}(\mathbb{F})$, also known as the *split Cayley algebra*, is the vector space of all matrices

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} & \beta \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^3,$$

endowed with the following multiplication:

$$aa' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\alpha' + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}' & \alpha\mathbf{u}' + \beta'\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v}' \\ \alpha'\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{v}' + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}' & \beta\beta' + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}' \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } a' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & \mathbf{u}' \\ \mathbf{v}' & \beta' \end{pmatrix},$$

$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + u_3v_3$ and $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = (u_2v_3 - u_3v_2, u_3v_1 - u_1v_3, u_1v_2 - u_2v_1)$. For short, denote $\mathbf{c}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{c}_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, $\mathbf{c}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, 0)$ from \mathbb{F}^3 . Consider the following basis of \mathbf{O} :

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, e_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{c}_i \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_{i+4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{c}_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Define the linear function *trace* by $\mathrm{tr}(a) = \alpha + \beta$.

The group of all automorphisms of the algebra \mathbf{O} is the simple exceptional algebraic group $G_2 = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{O})$.

Recall that the coordinate ring of \mathbf{O}^m is the polynomial \mathbb{F} -algebra $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{O}^m] = \mathbb{F}[x_{ki} \mid 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq 8]$, where $x_{ri} : \mathbf{O}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is defined by $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \rightarrow \alpha_{ri}$ for

$$a_r = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{r1} & (\alpha_{r2}, \alpha_{r3}, \alpha_{r4}) \\ (\alpha_{r5}, \alpha_{r6}, \alpha_{r7}) & \alpha_{r8} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{O}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\mathbf{O}(\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{O}^m]) = \widehat{\mathbf{O}}_m$, we can consider the generic element X_r as

$$X_r = \begin{pmatrix} x_{r1} & (x_{r2}, x_{r3}, x_{r4}) \\ (x_{r5}, x_{r6}, x_{r7}) & x_{r8} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{O}^m]).$$

The trace $\text{tr} : \mathbf{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ can be naturally extended to the linear function $\text{tr} : \mathbf{O}(\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{O}^m]) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Artin–Procesi–Iltjakov Equality holds for \mathbf{O}^m for all $m > 0$, in case $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$.*

Proof. Consider the tableau of multiplication $M = (M_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 8}$ for \mathbf{O} :

M_{ij}	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_8
e_1	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	0	0	0	0
e_2	0	0	e_7	$-e_6$	e_1	0	0	e_2
e_3	0	$-e_7$	0	e_5	0	e_1	0	e_3
e_4	0	e_6	$-e_5$	0	0	0	e_1	e_4
e_5	e_5	e_2	0	0	0	$-e_4$	e_3	0
e_6	e_6	0	e_2	0	e_4	0	$-e_2$	0
e_7	e_7	0	0	e_2	$-e_3$	e_2	0	0
e_8	0	0	0	0	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_2

Thus, for $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$ we have

$$M_{ijj} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq 4 \\ 1, & i = 8 \text{ and } 5 \leq j \leq 8 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 2.7 together with formulas (3.4) and (4.5) imply that

$$\text{tr}_L(X_r) = \text{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ri} M_{ijj} = 4x_{r1} + 4x_{r8} = 4 \text{tr}(X_r). \quad (4.6)$$

Consider $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$. It follows from formula (4.6) that

$$\text{tr}_L((\cdots((X_{r_1} X_{r_2}) X_{r_3}) \cdots) X_{r_k}) = 4 \text{tr}((\cdots((X_{r_1} X_{r_2}) X_{r_3}) \cdots) X_{r_k}). \quad (4.7)$$

Since Lemma 2.7 implies

$$\text{tr}(w(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m) \chi_0) = \text{tr}_L((\cdots((X_{r_1} X_{r_2}) X_{r_3}) \cdots) X_{r_k})$$

for $w = (\cdots((\chi_{r_1} \chi_{r_2}) \chi_{r_3}) \cdots) \chi_{r_m}$ from $\mathbb{F}\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_m \rangle$, it follows from formula (4.7) that $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ contains all elements $\text{tr}(X_{r_1} \cdots X_{r_k})$ for $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$.

Since the algebra $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{O}^m]^{G_2}$ is known to be generated by $\text{tr}((\cdots((X_{r_1} X_{r_2}) X_{r_3}) \cdots) X_{r_k})$ for $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_k \leq m$ (see [26] in case of $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and [29] in case of $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$), the required claim is proven. \square

5. METHODS FOR CALCULATION OF GENERATORS FOR INVARIANTS

In this section we assume that $G \leq \text{GL}_n$.

5.1. Reduction to multilinear case. A vector $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ satisfying $r_1 + \dots + r_m = t$ is called a *partition* of $t \in \mathbb{N}$ in m parts. Denote by \mathcal{P}_m^t the set of all such partitions. Given a partition $\underline{r} \in \mathcal{P}_m^t$, we define a function $\underline{r}|\cdot| : \{1, \dots, t\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ by

$$\underline{r}|l| = j \text{ if and only if } r_1 + \dots + r_{j-1} + 1 \leq l \leq r_1 + \dots + r_j,$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq t$. For a partition $\underline{r} \in \mathcal{P}_m^t$ we also define a homomorphism $\pi_{\underline{r}} : \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^t] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ of \mathbb{F} -algebras by $x_{l,i} \rightarrow x_{\underline{r}|l|,i}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq t$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The following proposition is well-known and can easily be proven.

Proposition 5.1. *In case the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is zero, the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^G$ is generated by*

$$\{\pi_{\underline{r}}(f) \mid f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^t]^G \text{ is multilinear, } \underline{r} \in \mathcal{P}_m^t, t \geq m\}.$$

5.2. Polarization. We consider the classical notion of polarization of an invariant as it was given in [8].

Definition 5.2. Let $l, m \geq 1$ and $a_{r,s}$ be commutative indeterminates for all $1 \leq r \leq l$, $1 \leq s \leq m$. Define a homomorphism $\Phi = \Phi_{l,m} : \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^l] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m][a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{l,m}]$ of \mathbb{F} -algebras by

$$\Phi(x_{r,i}) = \sum_{s=1}^m a_{r,s} x_{s,i} \quad (1 \leq r \leq l, 1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Then for an $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^l]$ there exists the set $P = \text{Pol}_l^m(f) \subset \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ of non-zero elements such that

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{h \in P} \underline{a}^{\Delta(h)} h,$$

where $\{\underline{a}^{\Delta(h)}\}$ are pairwise different monomials in $\{a_{r,s}\}$. In other words, $\text{Pol}_l^m(f)$ is the set of all non-zero coefficients of $\Phi(f)$, considered as a polynomial in indeterminates $\{a_{r,s}\}$. For a set $S \subset \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ we define $\text{Pol}_l^m(S)$ to be the union of all $\text{Pol}_l^m(f)$ with $f \in S$.

The next remark was proven in Section 1 of [8].

Remark 5.3. If $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^l]^G$, then $\text{Pol}_l^m(f) \subset \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^G$.

The following result was proven by Weyl [28].

Theorem 5.4 (Weyl's polarization theorem). *Assume that the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is zero and the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^n]^G$ is generated by a set S . Then for every $m > n$ the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^G$ is generated by $\text{Pol}_n^m(S) \subset \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]^G$.*

Given an \mathbb{N} -graded algebra \mathcal{B} , denote by $\beta(\mathcal{B})$ the least integer β such that the algebra \mathcal{B} is generated by its \mathbb{N} -homogeneous elements of degree $\leq \beta$.

Remark 5.5. Assume that \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, \mathcal{V} is a finite dimensional vector space with $\dim \mathcal{V} = n$, and $G \leq \text{GL}(\mathcal{V})$. Note that in general, there is no upper bound on $\beta(\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{V}]^G)$, which only depends on n . As an example, assume $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $n = 2$, $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{V}] = \mathbb{F}[x, y]$ and for any integer $q > 1$ consider $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\xi^q = 1$ and $\xi^i \neq 1$ for every $1 \leq i < q$. Then for the group G consisting of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi^i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q,$$

we have that $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{V}]^G = \mathbb{F}[x^q, y]$ and $\beta(\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{V}]^G) = q$.

6. TWO-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

In this section we present the description of all two-dimensional simple algebras over an arbitrary algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} .

The classification of all two-dimensional algebras modulo the action of the group of automorphisms was considered in [1, 22, 10, 15]. See the introduction of [15] for the comparison of these results. Below in this section we present the results from Theorem 3.3 of [15] for the classification of algebras and Corollaries 3.8 and 4.2 of [15] for the description of its automorphisms. Note that the same results are also formulated in Table 1 of [3]. We apply the following notations.

- $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
- Consider the action of the cyclic group $C_2 = \{1, \rho\}$ on \mathbb{F} defined by the equality $\alpha^\rho = -\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$ some set of representatives of orbits under this action. As an example, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then we can take $\mathbb{C}_{\geq 0} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0\} \cup \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) = 0, \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \geq 0\}$.
- Similarly, consider the action of C_2 on $\mathbb{F}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ defined by the equality $\alpha^\rho = \alpha^{-1}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^\times \setminus \{1\}$. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_{> 1}^\times$ some set of representatives of orbits under this action. As an example, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then we can take $\mathbb{C}_{> 1}^\times = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid |\alpha| > 1\} \cup \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) = 1, 0 < \arg(\alpha) \leq \pi\}$.
- Consider the action of C_2 on \mathbb{F}^2 defined by the equality $(\alpha, \beta)^\rho = (1 - \alpha + \beta, \beta)$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}^2$. We denote by \mathcal{U} some set of representatives of orbits under this action.
- Denote $\mathcal{T} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}^2 \mid \alpha + \beta = 1\}$ and see Section 3 of [15] for the definition of $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{F}^4$.

At first, we write the notation for the two-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} . Then we write a tableau of multiplication $M = (M_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$, i.e., $e_i e_j = M_{ij}$ in \mathcal{A} . Finally, we write down each non-trivial elements $g = (g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \operatorname{GL}_2$. If g is not written, then $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\operatorname{Id}\}$.

Table 1

$\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 + e_2 & \alpha e_2 \\ (1 - \alpha)e_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
\mathbf{A}_2	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 & e_2 \\ -e_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
\mathbf{A}_3	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ a & b^2 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha),$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha e_1 + e_2 & e_1 + \alpha e_2 \\ -e_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, if $\alpha = 0$
$\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1 - \alpha)e_1 + e_2 \\ \alpha e_1 - e_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1 - \alpha)e_1 \\ \alpha e_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
\mathbf{B}_3	$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_2 \\ -e_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta),$ $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 & (1 - \alpha)e_1 + \beta e_2 \\ \alpha e_1 - \beta e_2 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, if $\beta = 0$
$\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{U}$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & (1 - \alpha)e_1 + \beta e_2 \\ \alpha e_1 - \beta e_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, if $\beta = 2\alpha - 1$
$\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \alpha e_2 \\ \beta e_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_1 + \alpha e_2 \\ -e_1 + \beta e_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\mathbf{E}_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta),$ $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 \\ \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$	a finite group
$\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma),$ $(\beta, \gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & (1 - \alpha)e_1 + \beta e_2 \\ \alpha e_1 + \gamma e_2 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma),$ $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{> 1}^\times$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & (1 - \alpha)\gamma e_1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} e_2 \\ \alpha\gamma e_1 + \frac{1 - \beta}{\gamma} e_2 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = J$, if $\gamma = -1$ and $\alpha = \beta$
\mathbf{E}_4	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_1 + e_2 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$	$M = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & (1 - \alpha)e_1 + \alpha e_2 \\ \alpha e_1 + (1 - \alpha)e_2 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$	$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 1 - a & 1 - c \end{pmatrix}$

Here we assume that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$ and $a, c \in \mathbb{F}, b \in \mathbb{F}^\times, a \neq c$.

Theorem 6.1. *A two-dimensional algebra is simple if and only if it is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:*

- (1) $\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$,
- (2) $\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$,
- (3) $\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$,
- (4) $\mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\beta \neq 0$,
- (5) $\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$,
- (6) $\mathbf{E}_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}$ with $(\alpha, \gamma) \neq (0, 0)$, $(\beta, \delta) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(\beta, \delta) \neq (1 - \alpha, 1 - \gamma)$,
- (7) $\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $(\beta, \gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$ with $(\beta, \gamma) \neq (0, 0)$,
- (8) $\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{> 1}^\times$,
- (9) \mathbf{E}_4 ,

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra from Table 1. Assume that \mathcal{A} is not simple, i.e., there exists a one-dimensional ideal I in \mathcal{A} generated by a non-zero element $x = ae_1 + be_2$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$.

1. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$. The set $\{x, e_2x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $ab = 0$. We also have that the set $\{x, e_1x\}$ is linearly dependent set if and only if $a^2 - b^2 = 0$. Thus, $a = b = 0$; a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathbf{A}_4(\alpha)$ is simple.
2. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. The set $\{xe_1, e_1x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $b = 0$. Also, $\{xe_2, e_2x\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $a = 0$. Therefore, we have a contradiction, i.e., $\mathbf{B}_1(\alpha)$ is simple.
3. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$. The set $\{xe_1, e_2x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $\alpha(b^2 - a^2) = 0$. Also, $\{xe_2, e_1x\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $(1 - \alpha)(b^2 - a^2) = 0$. Thus, $a^2 = b^2$. Since $\{x, xe_2\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $a(\alpha b + \beta a) = 0$ and $\{x, e_2x\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $a((\alpha b + \beta a) - b) = 0$, we obtain $a = b = 0$; a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ is simple.
4. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, \beta)$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{U}$. The set $\{xe_2, e_2x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $\beta a^2 = 0$. Also, $\{xe_1, e_1x\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $\beta b(2a + b) = 0$. If $\beta \neq 0$, then we obtain $a = b = 0$; a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathbf{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ is simple for $\beta \neq 0$.
5. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$. Assume $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. The set $\{xe_2, e_2x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $(\alpha + \beta)a^2 = 0$.
If $a = 0$, then $\{x, xe_1\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $b = 0$.
Assume $a \neq 0$. Then $\alpha + \beta = 0$, and $\{xe_1, xe_2\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $\alpha a^2 = 0$. Thus, $\alpha = \beta = 0$; a contradiction in case $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. Therefore, $\mathbf{D}_3(\alpha, \beta)$ is simple for $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$.
6. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, where $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}$ and $(\alpha, \gamma) \neq (0, 0)$, $(\beta, \delta) \neq (0, 0)$, $(\beta, \delta) \neq (1 - \alpha, 1 - \gamma)$.
In case $a = 0$, the sets $\{x, xe_1\}$ and $\{x, e_1x\}$ are linearly dependent if and only if $b = 0$.
In case $b = 0$, the sets $\{x, xe_2\}$ and $\{x, e_2x\}$ are linearly dependent if and only if $a = 0$.
Assume $ab \neq 0$. Since $\{x, xe_2\}$ and $\{x, e_1x\}$ are linearly dependent sets, then $a + b = 0$. The set $\{x, e_1x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and the set $\{x, e_2x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $\gamma + \delta = 1$; a contradiction. Therefore, in all cases we have a contradiction, i.e., $\mathbf{E}_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is simple.
7. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, $(\beta, \gamma) \notin \mathcal{T}$ and $(\beta, \gamma) \neq (0, 0)$. The set $\{x, xe_2\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $a(\alpha b + \beta a) = 0$.
If $a = 0$, then the sets $\{x, xe_1\}$ and $\{x, e_1x\}$ are linearly dependent if and only if $b = 0$.
Assume $a \neq 0$. Then $\alpha b + \beta a = 0$. Hence, the set $\{x, xe_1\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $ab(1 - \beta - \gamma) = 0$, which implies $b = 0$ and $\beta = 0$. Hence, $\{x, e_2x\}$ is a linearly dependent

set if and only if $\gamma a^2 = 0$; a contradiction. Therefore, in all cases we have a contradiction, i.e., $\mathbf{E}_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is simple.

8. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{>1}^\times$. Recall that $\gamma \notin \{0, 1\}$. The set $\{x, x^2\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $(1 - \gamma)ab \left(\frac{a}{\gamma} + b\right) = 0$.

If $a = 0$, then the sets $\{x, xe_1\}$ and $\{x, e_1x\}$ are linearly dependent if and only if $b = 0$.

If $b = 0$, the sets $\{x, xe_2\}$ and $\{x, e_2x\}$ are linearly dependent if and only if $a = 0$.

Assume $ab \neq 0$. Then $b = -\frac{a}{\gamma}$. The set $\{x, e_2x\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $\beta = \alpha\gamma$. Hence, the set $\{x, xe_1\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $\gamma = 1$; a contradiction. Therefore, in all cases we have a contradiction, i.e., $\mathbf{E}_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is simple.

9. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_4$. The set $\{x, e_1x\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $b = 0$. We also have that the set $\{x, xe_2\}$ is a linearly dependent set if and only if $a = 0$. Therefore, we have a contradiction, i.e., \mathbf{E}_4 is simple.

For the remaining cases, we consider some one-dimensional ideal I of \mathcal{A} , generated by $x \in \mathcal{A}$:

- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ we take $x = e_2$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}_2$, we take $x = e_2$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}_3$, we take $x = e_2$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$, we take $x = e_1$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{B}_3$, we take $x = e_2$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_1(\alpha, 0)$ with $(\alpha, 0) \in \mathcal{U}$, we take $x = e_1$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$, we take $x = e_2$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_3(0, 0)$, we take $x = e_1$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}$, we take
 - (a) $x = e_2$ in case $(\alpha, \gamma) = (0, 0)$,
 - (b) $x = e_1$ in case $(\beta, \delta) = (0, 0)$,
 - (c) $x = e_1 - e_2$ in case $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, $\delta = 1 - \gamma$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_2(\alpha, 0, 0)$, we take $x = e_1$;
- for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$, we take $x = e_1 - e_2$.

□

7. INVARIANTS

In this section we describe the algebra of polynomial invariants $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ for any two-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} with non-zero multiplication. For short, we denote $x_r := x_{r1}$ and $y_r := y_{r2}$ for all $1 \leq r \leq m$. Given $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_s) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^s$, we write $x_{\underline{i}} = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s}$ and $y_{\underline{i}} = y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_s}$. Denote Ω_m the set of all pairs $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ such that $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_s)$, $\underline{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$ with $s, r \geq 0$, $s + r = m$, $i_1 < \dots < i_s$, $j_1 < \dots < j_r$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_r\} = \{1, \dots, m\}$. In case $s = 0$ ($r = 0$, respectively), we denote $\underline{i} = \emptyset$ ($\underline{j} = \emptyset$, respectively).

7.1. Partial cases. Note that by formula 2.1 an automorphism $g = (g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \text{GL}_2$ acts on $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$ as follows:

$$g^{-1}x_r = g_{11}x_r + g_{12}y_r \text{ and } g^{-1}y_r = g_{21}x_r + g_{22}y_r \quad (7.1)$$

for all $1 \leq r \leq m$.

Proposition 7.1. *Assume that the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is zero and $K \subset \mathbb{F}$ is an infinite subset.*

1. *If there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ lies in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $a^t c^{m-t} \neq 1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq m-1$, then $I_m(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.*
2. *If there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ lies in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $c \in K$, then $I_m(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.*

3. If there exists $c \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ lies in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $a \in K$, then $I_m(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbb{F}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$.

Proof. **1.** Assume that $f \in I_m(\mathcal{A})$, $a, c \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a^t c^{m-t} \neq 1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq m-1$ and for every $b \in K$ we have that $g^{-1}f = f$, where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

To complete the proof it is enough to show that $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$. Moreover, it is easy to see that by Proposition 5.1 without loss of generality we can assume that f is multilinear. Then

$$f = \sum_{(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m} \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} x_{\underline{i}} y_{\underline{j}}$$

for some $\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} \in \mathbb{F}$. By formulas (7.1) we have

$$g^{-1}f = \sum_{(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m} \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} a^{\#\underline{i}} x_{\underline{i}} (b x_{j_1} + c y_{j_1}) \cdots (b x_{j_r} + c y_{j_r}).$$

To complete the proof of part 1, we claim that

$$\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = 0 \text{ for all } (\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m \text{ with } \#\underline{i} < m. \quad (7.2)$$

We prove claim (7.2) by the increasing induction on $0 \leq \#\underline{i} < m$. Note that since $f = g^{-1}f$ for all $b \in K$, for every monomial $w = x_{\underline{i}} y_{\underline{j}}$, where $(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m$, we have that the coefficient of w in f is equal to the coefficient of w in $g^{-1}f$.

Assume $\#\underline{i} = 0$. Consider $w = y_1 \cdots y_m$. Then

$$\alpha_{\emptyset, (1 \dots m)} = \alpha_{\emptyset, (1 \dots m)} c^m. \quad (7.3)$$

Since $c^m \neq 1$, we obtain that $\alpha_{\emptyset, (1 \dots m)} = 0$, i.e., claim (7.2) holds for $\#\underline{i} = 0$.

Assume $\#\underline{i} = 1$ for $m > 1$. Consider $w = x_i y_1 \cdots \widehat{y}_r \cdots y_m$, where $1 \leq r \leq m$. Since $\alpha_{\emptyset, (1 \dots m)} = 0$, then

$$\alpha_{r, (1 \dots \widehat{r} \dots m)} = a c^{m-1} \alpha_{r, (1 \dots \widehat{r} \dots m)}. \quad (7.4)$$

Since $a c^{m-1} \neq 1$, then $\alpha_{r, (1 \dots \widehat{r} \dots m)} = 0$, i.e., claim (7.2) holds for $\#\underline{i} = 1$.

Given $0 < t < m$, assume claim (7.2) holds for all $(\underline{i}', \underline{j}') \in \Omega_m$ with $\#\underline{i}' < t$. Consider $w = x_{\underline{i}} y_{\underline{j}}$ for some $(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m$ with $\#\underline{i} = t$. Since $\alpha_{\underline{i}', \underline{j}'} = 0$ in case $\underline{i}' < t$, we have

$$\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = a^t c^{m-t} \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}}. \quad (7.5)$$

Since $a^t c^{m-t} \neq 1$, then $\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = 0$, i.e., claim (7.2) holds for $\#\underline{i} = t$. Therefore, claim (7.2) is proven.

2. Assume that $f \in I_m(\mathcal{A})$ and for every $c \in K$ we have that $g^{-1}f = f$, where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

To complete the proof it is enough to show that $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$. As in part 1, without loss of generality we can assume that f is multilinear and

$$f = \sum_{(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m} \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} x_{\underline{i}} y_{\underline{j}}$$

for some $\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} \in \mathbb{F}$. By formulas (7.1) we have

$$g^{-1}f = \sum_{(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m} \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} a^{\#\underline{i}} c^{\#\underline{j}} x_{\underline{i}} y_{\underline{j}}.$$

Since $f = g^{-1}f$ for all $c \in K$, for every monomial $w = x_{\underline{i}}y_{\underline{j}}$, where $(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m$, the coefficient of w in f is equal to the coefficient of w in $g^{-1}f$, i.e.,

$$\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = a^{\#\underline{i}} c^{\#\underline{j}} \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}}$$

for every $c \in K$. Therefore, $\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = 0$ in case $\#\underline{j} > 0$. Hence, $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

3. The proof is similar to the proof of part 2. □

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is zero. If \mathcal{A} is $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ or \mathbf{A}_2 , then the algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by*

$$1, x_1, \dots, x_m \text{ and } x_i y_j - x_j y_i \ (1 \leq i < j \leq m);$$

Proof. Denote the set from the formulation of the lemma by S_m . It is easy to see that $S_m \subset I_m(\mathcal{A})$. For short, denote $h_{ij} = x_i y_j - x_j y_i$. The statement of lemma is a consequence of Theorem 5.4 and the following two claims.

Claim 1. We have $\text{Pol}_2^m(S_2) \subset \mathbb{F}\text{-span}(S_m)$ for every $m > 2$.

To prove Claim 1, consider $\text{Pol}_2^m(x_r) = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, since we have

$$\Phi_{2,m}(x_r) = a_{r1}x_1 + \dots + a_{rm}x_m$$

for $r = 1, 2$ (see Definition 5.2 for the details). Similarly, $\text{Pol}_2^m(h_{12}) = \{h_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2,m}(h_{12}) &= (a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1m}x_m)(a_{21}y_1 + \dots + a_{2m}y_m) \\ &\quad - (a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2m}x_m)(a_{11}y_1 + \dots + a_{1m}y_m) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{1i}a_{2j}h_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1 is proven.

Claim 2. The set S_2 generates $I_2(\mathcal{A})$.

We have $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Consider an \mathbb{N}^2 -homogeneous invariant $f \in I_2(\mathcal{A})$ of multidegree $\Delta = (r, s)$, where we assume that f does not contain a monomial $x_1^r x_2^s \in I_2(\mathcal{A})$. We prove by induction on $|\Delta| > 0$ that $f \in \text{alg}\{S_2\}$.

Assume $\Delta = (r, 0)$ for $r > 0$. Then $f = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i x_1^{r-i} y_1^i$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}$ and

$$g^{-1}f = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i x_1^{r-i} (ax_1 + y_1)^i = f.$$

Since the coefficients of x_1^r are $\alpha_1 a + \alpha_2 a^2 + \dots + \alpha_r a^r = 0$, we obtain that $f = 0$. Similarly, we obtain that if $\Delta = (0, s)$ for $s > 0$, then $f = 0$.

Assume $\Delta = (r, s)$ for $r, s > 0$. Then $f = \sum \alpha_{ij} x_1^{r-i} y_1^i x_2^{s-j} y_2^j$ for some $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, where the sum ranges over all $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s$ with $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$. Applying equality $x_2 y_1 = x_1 y_2 - h_{12}$ to monomials of f , we can rewrite f as follows

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i x_1^{r-i} y_1^i y_2^s + \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j x_1^r x_2^{s-j} y_2^j + h_{12} \tilde{f}$$

for some $\beta_j, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^2]$ of multidegree $(r-1, s-1)$. Note that in the first sum we do not have the case of $i = 0$, since otherwise the first and the second sums would contain one and the same monomial $x_1^r y_2^s$. Consider

$$g^{-1}f = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i x_1^{r-i} (ax_1 + y_1)^i (ax_2 + y_2)^s + \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j x_1^r x_2^{s-j} (ax_2 + y_2)^j + h_{12}(g^{-1}\tilde{f}) = f.$$

The coefficients of $x_1^r x_2^s$ are $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i a^{i+s} + \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j a^j = 0$. Therefore, $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_r = 0$ and $\gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_s = 0$. Hence, $f = h_{12} \tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{f} \in I_2(\mathcal{A}^2)$. The induction hypothesis concludes the proof of claim 2. \square

7.2. General case.

Theorem 7.3. *Assume that the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is zero and a two-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} has an infinite group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$. Then, modulo isomorphism, \mathcal{A} is one of the algebras from the following list, which contains the description of algebras of invariants:*

(a) *if \mathcal{A} is $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ or \mathbf{A}_2 , then the algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is minimally generated by*

$$1, x_1, \dots, x_m \text{ and } x_i y_j - x_j y_i \ (1 \leq i < j \leq m);$$

(b) $I_m(\mathbf{A}_3) = \mathbb{F}$;

(c) $I_m(\mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)) = \mathbb{F}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$;

(d) $I_m(\mathbf{B}_3) = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$;

(e) $I_m(\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)) = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$;

(f) $I_m(\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha))$ is minimally generated by 1 and $x_i + y_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$).

Here $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and $m > 0$.

Proof. We apply Table 1 to see that \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to an algebra from one of the items of the theorem. Therefore, we can assume that \mathcal{A} is an algebra from one of the items of the theorem. Denote by S the subset of $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$, which is claimed to be a generating set for $I_m(\mathcal{A})$. Considering an arbitrary automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, given in Table 1 (see Section 6), and using formulas (7.1), it is easy to see that $S \in I_m(\mathcal{A})$. Note that $\pi_{\underline{r}}(S) \subset \mathbb{F}\text{-span}(S)$ for every $\underline{r} \in \mathcal{P}_m^t$, where $t \geq m$. Therefore, to complete the proof, it is enough to show that each multilinear non-constant invariant

$$f = \sum_{(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \in \Omega_m} \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} x_{\underline{i}} y_{\underline{j}} \text{ from } I_m(\mathcal{A})$$

lies in the subalgebra $\text{alg}\{S\}$ generated by S (see Proposition 5.1), where $\alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $g = g(a, b, c)$ be an arbitrary non-identity element of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ given in Table 1, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ are arbitrary elements with $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq c$.

(a) See Lemma 7.2. The minimality of S follows from the fact that the algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ has \mathbb{N}^m -grading by multidegrees.

(b) We have $g = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ a & b^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Since \mathbb{F} is infinite, we can assume that $b^t \neq 1$ for all $1 \leq t \leq 2m$. Then we can apply part 1 of Proposition 7.1 and obtain that $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, i.e., $f = \alpha x_1 \cdots x_m$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $g^{-1}f = \alpha b^m x_1 \cdots x_m = f$, we have that $\alpha = 0$ and the required statement is proven.

(c) By part 3 of Proposition 7.1 we have that $f \in \mathbb{F}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$.

(d) By part 1 of Proposition 7.1 we have that $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

(e) By part 2 of Proposition 7.1 we have that $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

(f) We have $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 1-a & 1-c \end{pmatrix}$ for any $a, c \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a \neq c$. For $z_i := x_i + y_i$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m] = \mathbb{F}[z_1, x_1, \dots, z_m, x_m]$. Since $g^{-1}z_i = z_i$ and $g^{-1}x_i = (a-c)x_i + cz_i$, then $I_m(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{F}[z_1, x_1, \dots, z_m, x_m]^H$, where the group H consists of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$, $b \in \mathbb{F}^\times$. By part 1 of Proposition 7.1 we have that $f \in \mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$.

The minimality of S follows from the fact that the algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ has \mathbb{N}^m -grading by multidegrees. \square

7.3. Trace invariants. Writing down M_{ij} as $M_{ij} = (M_{ij1}, M_{ij2})$, we can see that formulas (3.4) imply that for all $1 \leq r \leq m$ we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)x_r + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)y_r \quad \text{for } M = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_1, *) & (*, \alpha_2) \\ (\beta_1, *) & (*, \beta_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.6)$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)x_r + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)y_r \quad \text{for } M = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_1, *) & (\beta_1, *) \\ (*, \alpha_2) & (*, \beta_2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.7)$$

Proposition 7.4. *For every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, $m > 0$ and $1 \leq r, s \leq m$ we have the following trace formulas:*

$\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$:	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = (1 + \alpha)x_r,$	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = (2 - \alpha)x_r,$
		$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_s(\chi_r \chi_0)) = (1 + \alpha^2)x_r x_s$	$\mathrm{tr}((\chi_r \chi_0)\chi_s) = (1 + \alpha - \alpha^2)x_r x_s$
		$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_s(\chi_0 \chi_r)) = (1 + \alpha - \alpha^2)x_r x_s$	$\mathrm{tr}((\chi_0 \chi_r)\chi_s) = (2 - 2\alpha + \alpha^2)x_r x_s$
\mathbf{A}_2	:	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = x_r,$	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = -x_r,$
		$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_s(\chi_r \chi_0)) = x_r x_s$	$\mathrm{tr}((\chi_r \chi_0)\chi_s) = -x_r x_s$
		$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_s(\chi_0 \chi_r)) = -x_r x_s$	$\mathrm{tr}((\chi_0 \chi_r)\chi_s) = x_r x_s$
\mathbf{A}_3	:	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = 0,$	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = 0,$
$\mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$:	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = \alpha y_r,$	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = (1 - \alpha)y_r,$
\mathbf{B}_3	:	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = x_r,$	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = -x_r,$
$\mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$:	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = (1 + \alpha)x_r,$	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = (1 + \beta)x_r,$
		$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_s(\chi_r \chi_0)) = (1 + \alpha^2)x_r x_s$	$\mathrm{tr}((\chi_r \chi_0)\chi_s) = (1 + \alpha\beta)x_r x_s$
		$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_s(\chi_0 \chi_r)) = (1 + \alpha\beta)x_r x_s$	$\mathrm{tr}((\chi_0 \chi_r)\chi_s) = (1 + \beta^2)x_r x_s$
$\mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$:	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_r \chi_0) = (1 + \alpha)(x_r + y_r),$	$\mathrm{tr}(\chi_0 \chi_r) = (2 - \alpha)(x_r + y_r).$

Proof. We take the tableaux of multiplication for algebras from Table 1. To calculate the traces we apply equalities (7.6), (7.7) and formulas (3.4). \square

Corollary 7.5. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is a two-dimensional algebra with an infinite group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $m > 0$.*

1. *Artin–Procesi–Itzyakov Equality holds for \mathcal{A}^m if and only if \mathcal{A} is not isomorphic to any of the following algebras:*
 - $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ with $m > 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$;
 - \mathbf{A}_2 with $m > 1$;
 - $\mathbf{D}_2(-1, -1)$.
2. *In particular, Artin–Procesi–Itzyakov Equality holds for \mathcal{A}^m in case \mathcal{A} is simple.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 7.3, Proposition 7.4, part (c) of Remark 2.2 and the fact that the algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ has \mathbb{N}^m -grading by multidegrees. \square

Remark 7.6. Assume that the field \mathbb{F} is not algebraically closed, \mathcal{A} is a two-dimensional algebra over \mathbb{F} with an infinite group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, and $m > 0$. Then the group of automorphisms for the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}} = \overline{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{A}$ is also infinite, where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F} . Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}$ is isomorphic to one of the algebras from Table 1, considered over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$, and the algebra of invariants of m -tuples of $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}$ is minimally generated by some set $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}[\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^m]$, described in Theorem 7.3. Note that actually we have that $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^m]$. Therefore, it is easy to see that the algebra of invariants $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is generated by f_1, \dots, f_k .

8. COROLLARIES

Assume that \mathcal{A} is an n -dimensional algebra and $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a bilinear form over \mathcal{A} . Obviously, we can consider φ as an element from $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{A}^2]$ of multidegree $(1, 1)$. The form φ is *invariant* if $\varphi(g \cdot a, g \cdot b) = \varphi(a, b)$

for all $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $\varphi \in I_m(\mathcal{A})$. The form φ is called *symmetric* if $\varphi(a, b) = \varphi(b, a)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. As an example, the bilinear form

$$\varphi : M_n^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \varphi(A, B) = \text{tr}(AB)$$

for all $A, B \in M_n$ is a nondegenerate symmetric invariant form over M_n .

Proposition 8.1. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is a two-dimensional algebra with an infinite group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$.*

1. *The algebra \mathcal{A} has a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form if and only if \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ or \mathbf{A}_2 , where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.*
2. *The algebra \mathcal{A} does not have a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form.*

Proof. We can assume that \mathcal{A} is an algebra from one of the items of Theorem 7.3. Suppose that \mathcal{A} has a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form φ , i.e., $\varphi \in I_2(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{mdeg}(\varphi) = (1, 1)$. An \mathbb{N}^2 -homogeneous generating set for the algebra $I_2(\mathcal{A})$ is described by Theorem 7.3.

In case \mathcal{A} is $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ or \mathbf{A}_2 we have that

$$\varphi = \xi x_1 x_2 + \eta(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{F}$. Since the matrix of the bilinear form φ is $\begin{pmatrix} \xi & \eta \\ -\eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then the bilinear form φ is nondegenerate if and only if $\eta \neq 0$. On the other hand, the bilinear form φ is symmetric if and only if $\eta = 0$.

In case $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}_3$ we have $\varphi = 0$.

In case $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{B}_2(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ we have that $\varphi = \xi y_1 y_2$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, the bilinear form φ is degenerate. The cases of $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{B}_3$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_2(\alpha, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathcal{T}$ are similar.

In case $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_5(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ we have that $\varphi = \xi(x_1 + y_1)(x_2 + y_2)$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, the bilinear form φ is degenerate. \square

Proposition 8.2. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is a two-dimensional algebra with an infinite group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $m > 0$.*

1. *The algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is integral over $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ if and only if \mathcal{A} is not isomorphic to any of the following algebras:*
 - $\mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ with $m > 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$;
 - \mathbf{A}_2 with $m > 1$.
2. *For $m > 1$ the algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is integral over $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$ if and only if the algebra \mathcal{A} does not have a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form.*

Proof. **1.** We can assume that \mathcal{A} is an algebra from one of the items of Theorem 7.3. By part 1 of Corollary 7.5 we have a proper inclusion $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m \subset I_m(\mathcal{A})$ only in the following cases.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}_1(\alpha)$ or $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{A}_2$, where $m > 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Assume that the algebra $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is integral over $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$. Since $h = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$ is integral over $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$, then

$$h^k + f_{k-1} h^{k-1} + \cdots + f_1 h + f_0 = 0$$

for some $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1} \in \text{Tr}_m(\mathcal{A})$, where $k > 0$. By Proposition 7.4 we have $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$. Note that the polynomial h^k contains the monomial $y_1^k x_2^k$ with a non-zero coefficient, but none of polynomials $f_0, f_1 h, \dots, f_{k-1} h^{k-1}$ contain a monomial $y_1^k x_2^k$; a contradiction.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_2(-1, -1)$. By Proposition 7.4 we have $\text{Tr}_m(\mathcal{A}) = \text{alg}\{x_r x_s \mid 1 \leq r, s \leq m\}$. Therefore, $I_m(\mathcal{A})$ is integral over $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})_m$.

2. See part 1 and Proposition 8.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ananin and A. Mironov. The moduli space of two-dimensional algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 28(9):4481–4488, 2000.
- [2] M. Artin. On Azumaya algebras and finite dimensional representations of rings. *Journal of Algebra*, 11:532–563, 1969. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(69\)90091-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(69)90091-X).
- [3] A. J. Calerón, A. F. Ouaridi, and I. Kaygorodov. On the classification of bilinear maps with radical of a fixed codimension. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 70(18):3553–3576, 2022.
- [4] D. Đoković. Poincaré series of some pure and mixed trace algebras of two generic matrices. *J. Algebra*, 309:654–671, 2007.
- [5] D. Đoković. On orthogonal and special orthogonal invariants of a single matrix of small order. *Lin. Multilin. Algebra*, 57(4):345–354, 2009.
- [6] M. Domokos, S. Kuzmin, and A. Zubkov. Rings of matrix invariants in positive characteristic. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 176:61–80, 2002.
- [7] S. Donkin. Invariants of several matrices. *Invent. Math.*, 110:389–401, 1992.
- [8] J. Draisma, K. G., and D. Wehlau. Polarization of separating invariants. *Canad. J. Math.*, 60(3):556–571, 2008.
- [9] V. Drensky and L. Sadikova. Generators of invariants of two 4×4 matrices. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, 59(5):477–484, 2006.
- [10] M. Goze and E. Remm. 2-dimensional algebras. *Afr. J. Math. Phys.*, 10(1):81–91, 2011.
- [11] A. Ilyakov. On invariants of the group of automorphisms of albert algebras. *Commun. Algebra*, 23(11):4047–4060, 1995. doi:10.1080/00927879508825448.
- [12] A. Ilyakov. Trace polynomials and invariant theory. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 58(3):327–333, 1995. doi:10.1007/BF01263460.
- [13] A. Ilyakov. Laplace operator and polynomial invariants. *Journal of Algebra*, 207(1):256–271, 1998. doi:10.1006/jabr.1998.7434.
- [14] A. Ilyakov and A. Elduque. On polynomial invariants of exceptional simple algebraic groups. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 51(3):506–522, 1999. doi:10.4153/CJM-1999-023-2.
- [15] I. Kaygorodov and Y. Volkov. The variety of two-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field. *Canad. J. Math.*, 71(4):819–842, 2019.
- [16] A. Lopatin. The algebra of invariants of 3×3 matrices over a field of arbitrary characteristic. *Commun. Algebra*, 32(7):2863–2883, 2004.
- [17] A. Lopatin. The invariant ring of triples of 3×3 matrices over a field of arbitrary characteristic. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 45(3):513–521, 2004.
- [18] A. Lopatin. Relatively free algebras with the identity $x^3 = 0$. *Commun. Algebra*, 33(10):3583–3605, 2005.
- [19] A. Lopatin. Invariants of quivers under the action of classical groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 321:1079–1106, 2009. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.11.018>.
- [20] A. Lopatin and A. N. Zubkov. Separating G_2 -invariants of several octonions. *Algebra Number Theory*, 18(12):2157–2177, 2024. doi:10.2140/ant.2024.18.2157.
- [21] A. Lopatin and A. N. Zubkov. Classification of G_2 -orbits for pairs of octonions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 229:107875, 2025. doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2025.107875.
- [22] H. Petersson. The classification of two-dimensional nonassociative algebras. *Results Math.*, 37(1-2):120–154, 2000.
- [23] V. Popov. Analogue of M. Artin’s conjecture on invariants for non-associative algebras. *Lie groups and Lie algebras: E.B. Dynkin Seminar (Eds. S.G. Gindikin, E.B. Vinberg)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., pages 121–143, 1995.
- [24] C. Procesi. The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices. *Adv. Math.*, 19:306–381, 1976.
- [25] C. Procesi. Computing with 2×2 matrices. *J. Algebra*, 87:342–359, 1984.
- [26] G. Schwarz. Invariant theory of G_2 and Spin_7 . *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 63:624–663, 1988.
- [27] Y. Teranishi. The ring of invariants of matrices. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 104:149–161, 1986.
- [28] H. Weyl. *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1939.
- [29] A. N. Zubkov and I. Shestakov. Invariants of G_2 and $\text{Spin}(7)$ in positive characteristic. *Transformation Groups*, 23(2):555–588, 2018.

MARÍA ALEJANDRA ALVAREZ, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS BÁSICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ANTOFAGASTA, ANTOFAGASTA, CHILE

Email address: maria.alvarez@uantof.cl (María Alejandra Alvarez)

ARTEM LOPATIN, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS (UNICAMP), CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

Email address: dr.artem.lopatin@gmail.com (Artem Lopatin)