

The bound and resonant states of $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ with the complex scaling method

Jia-Liang Lu¹, Mao Song^{1,*}, Peng Wang¹, Jian-You Guo¹, Gang Li¹, and Xuan Luo¹

¹*School of Physics and Optoelectronic engineering, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China*

We perform a systematic study of the possible molecular states composed of a pair of heavy mesons such as $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$, $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ in the framework of the one-boson-exchange model. The exchanged bosons include the pseudoscalar, scalar and vector mesons (π , σ , ρ , ω). We use the Bonn approximation to get the interaction potential of one-boson-exchange model, then apply the complex scaling method to calculate the bound and resonant states. The results indicate that the $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system can not only form several bound states, but also a P-wave resonant state. The hadron molecular state model can explain the structure of T_{cc}^+ as a bound state DD^* with quantum number $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$. In addition, we also discovered other bound and resonant states, which have the potential to be observed experimentally.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Pn, 14.40.Lb, 25.70.Ef, 25.80.-e

I. INTRODUCTION

Most hadrons can be divided into baryons composed of three quarks qqq and mesons composed of a quark and an antiquark $q\bar{q}$. Nevertheless, the fundamental theory of the strong interaction, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), allows for the existence of more complicated structure as called exotic states [1]. In general, exotic states can be categorized into compact multi-quark states, such as tetraquark states ($qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$) and pentaquark states ($qqq\bar{q}\bar{q}$) and weakly-bound hadronic molecules that are composed of two or more conventional hadrons. Since the discovery of $X(3872)$ in experiments [2–5], more and more exotic hadrons such as X , Y , Z , and P_c have been observed. Exploring the structure of these hadron states and their interactions is currently one of the important topics in hadron physics.

So far, three exotic hadron states $X(3872)$, $Z_c(3900)$, and T_{cc}^+ have been discovered in experiments and their masses are close to the threshold of DD^* . In order to describe these exotic states, various phenomenological models have been proposed, such as the chiral effective field theory [6–9], Bethe-Salpeter approach [10–13], constituent quark models [14–17], QCD sum rules [18–20], and relativized quark models [21–23], *etc.* In these models, the explanations of the hadron molecule and four quark state model provide clear physical pictures for revealing the structure of these exotic states. Especially, since the masses of these exotic states are close to the threshold of DD^* , the hadron molecular state is a very natural explanation. If hadron molecular state model is physically reasonable, two hadrons can not only form hadron bound states, but may also form resonant states with higher angular momentum. The exploration of the resonant states can be used to reveal the structure of hadron molecular states and the interactions between hadrons.

Resonance behavior is ubiquitous in a wide range of physical phenomena, which appear widely in atoms, molecules, nuclei and chemical reactions. Based on traditional scattering theory, R-matrix [24, 25], K-matrix [26], J-matrix [27], scat-

tering phase shift method and continuous spectrum theory, are widely used. At the same time, several bound-state-like methods, such as real stabilization method (RSM) [28], analytic continuation method of coupling constant (ACCC) [29] and complex scaling method (CSM) [30, 31], *etc.* are also developed. The CSM can describe the bound state, resonant state and continuum in a consistent way, which is widely used to exploring the resonance in atomic, molecular and nuclear physics. Based on the advantages of the CSM, we extended to the hadron state and found that the $DD(\bar{D})$, $\Lambda_c D(\bar{D})$ and $\Lambda_c \Lambda_c(\bar{\Lambda}_c)$ systems can not only form bound states, but also form resonant states with high angular momentum [32]. When applied to $Y(4630)$, which is explained successfully as a resonant state of the $\Lambda_c \bar{\Lambda}_c$ system. Recently, CSM have been widely used in hadron physics [33–35].

In this paper, we will adopt the CSM to consistently explore the bound and resonant states near the heavy mesons $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ threshold. As we know, the $X(3872)$ is usually interpreted as a loose bound state of $D\bar{D}^*$ with quantum number $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ [36–38]. The ratio $\mathcal{B}[X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0]/\mathcal{B}[X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-]$ indicates that there exists large isospin breaking in the hidden-charm decay of $X(3872)$ [4, 39, 40]. $T_{cc}^+(cc\bar{u}\bar{d})$ is considered as molecular structure of DD^* with quantum number $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ [7, 9, 34, 41]. Although, the interaction of $I = 1$ is weaker than that of $I = 0$ in $D\bar{D}^*$ systems, the $Z_c(3900)$ was also suggested to be an isovector $D\bar{D}^*$ molecule with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ [42, 43]. Whether the molecular state explanations of these exotic hadron states are reasonable and whether they can form other bound and resonant states still need to be further studied both in theory and experiments.

This paper is organized as follows. After the introduction, we present the theoretical framework and calculation method in Section II. The numerical results and discussion are given in Section III. A short summary is given in Section IV.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The dynamics of the hadronic molecule of $P^{(*)}P^{(*)}$ respects two important symmetries: the heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry. The chiral perturbation theory (CPT) is the low energy effective field theory of QCD. In this theoretical

*Electronic address: songmao@ahu.edu.cn

framework, the interaction between heavy mesons can be described by the interaction term between chiral fields and heavy meson fields. This interaction Lagrangian is invariant under the heavy quark spin transformation and chiral transformation [44–50]. By appropriately selecting the coupling constants and interaction terms in the Lagrangian, the interaction of heavy mesons under chiral symmetry can be described. Chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking play an important role both in the heavy hadron systems.

To derive the $P^{(*)}P^{(*)}$ potential, the effective Lagrangians are introduced to describe the interaction between heavy mesons, which are given by the exchange of pseudoscalar meson π , vector mesons ($v = \rho, \omega$) and scalar meson σ [7, 41, 51]. The interaction Lagrangians are given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi PP^*} = -\frac{g}{f_\pi} (P_a^\dagger P_{b\mu}^* + P_{a\mu}^\dagger P_b^*) \partial^\mu \hat{\pi}_{ba}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi P^* P^*} = i \frac{g}{f_\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} v_\mu P_{a\nu}^\dagger P_{b\alpha}^* \partial_\beta \hat{\pi}_{ba}, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{v PP} = \sqrt{2} \beta g_V P_b P_a^\dagger v \cdot \hat{\rho}_{ba}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{v PP^*} = -2 \sqrt{2} \lambda g_V \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} v_\mu (P_{a\nu}^\dagger P_b + P_a^\dagger P_{b\nu}^*) \partial_\alpha (\hat{\rho}_\beta)_{ba}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{v P^* P^*} = \sqrt{2} \beta g_V P_b^* P_a^\dagger v \cdot \hat{\rho}_{ba} + i 2 \sqrt{2} \lambda g_V P_{b\mu}^* P_{a\nu}^\dagger (\partial^\mu (\hat{\rho}^\nu)_{ba} - \partial^\nu (\hat{\rho}^\mu)_{ba}), \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma PP} = -2 g_s P^\dagger P \sigma, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma P^* P^*} = 2 g_s P_\mu^\dagger P^{*\mu} \sigma. \quad (7)$$

The interaction term $\mathcal{L}_{\pi PP} = 0$ due to the parity conservation, where $P = (D^0, D^+)$ and $P^* = (D^{*0}, D^{*+})$. The subscripts a and b are for light flavor indices, up and down, and v_μ is a four-velocity which will be fixed as $v_\mu = (1, \vec{0})$ below. The pseudoscalar meson π and vector meson ρ fields are defined by

$$\hat{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} & \pi^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ \\ \rho^- & -\frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_\mu = \frac{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho}_\mu}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (9)$$

Here, g, λ, β and g_V are the coupling constants in the interaction Lagrangians, f_π is the pion decay constant and m_ρ is the ρ meson mass. The one pion exchange potentials (OPEPs) are derived by the interaction Lagrangians (1) and (2) as follows:

$$V_{P_1 P_2^* \rightarrow P_1^* P_2}^\pi = \left(\frac{g}{2f_\pi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{3} \left[\vec{\epsilon}_1^* \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_2 C(r; m_\pi) + S_{\epsilon_1^*, \epsilon_2} T(r; m_\pi) \right] \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2, \\ V_{P_1^* P_2^* \rightarrow P_1^* P_2^*}^\pi = \left(\frac{g}{2f_\pi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{3} \left[\vec{T}_1 \cdot \vec{T}_2 C(r; m_\pi) + S_{T_1, T_2} T(r; m_\pi) \right] \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2, \quad (10)$$

where m_π is the π meson mass. Here polarization vectors for P^* are defined as $\vec{\epsilon}^{(\pm)} = (\mp 1/\sqrt{2}, -i/\sqrt{2}, 0)$ and $\vec{\epsilon}^{(0)} = (0, 0, 1)$, and the spin-1 operator \vec{T} is defined as $T_{\lambda\lambda}^i = i \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon_j^{(\lambda)\dagger} \epsilon_k^{(\lambda)}$.

By convention, $\vec{\epsilon}^{(\lambda)}$ represents an incoming vector particle and $\vec{\epsilon}^{(\lambda)*}$ represents an outgoing vector particle.

Here $\vec{\tau}_1$ and $\vec{\tau}_2$ are isospin operators for $P_1^{(*)}$ and $P_2^{(*)}$; $\vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2 = -3$ and 1 for the total isospin $I = 0$ and $I = 1$, respectively. The tensor operators are defined as,

$$S_{\epsilon_1^*, \epsilon_2} = 3(\vec{\epsilon}^{(\lambda_1)*} \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{\epsilon}^{(\lambda_2)} \cdot \hat{r}) - \vec{\epsilon}^{(\lambda_1)*} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}^{(\lambda_2)}, \\ S_{T_1, T_2} = 3(\vec{T}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{T}_2 \cdot \hat{r}) - \vec{T}_1 \cdot \vec{T}_2, \quad (11)$$

where $\hat{r} = \vec{r}/r$ is a unit vector between the two mesons.

The ρ and σ meson exchange potentials are similarly obtained from the interaction Lagrangians (3)-(7),

$$V_{P_1 P_2 \rightarrow P_1 P_2}^\rho = \left(\frac{\beta g_V}{2m_\rho} \right)^2 C(r; m_\rho) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2, \\ V_{P_1 P_2^* \rightarrow P_1 P_2^*}^\rho = \left(\frac{\beta g_V}{2m_\rho} \right)^2 C(r; m_\rho) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2, \\ V_{P_1 P_2^* \rightarrow P_1^* P_2}^\rho = (\lambda g_V)^2 \frac{1}{3} \left[2 \vec{\epsilon}_1^* \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_2 C(r; m_\rho) - S_{\epsilon_1^*, \epsilon_2} T(r; m_\rho) \right] \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2, \\ V_{P_1^* P_2^* \rightarrow P_1^* P_2^*}^\rho = (\lambda g_V)^2 \frac{1}{3} \left[2 \vec{T}_1 \cdot \vec{T}_2 C(r; m_\rho) - S_{T_1, T_2} T(r; m_\rho) \right] \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2 \\ + \left(\frac{\beta g_V}{2m_\rho} \right)^2 C(r; m_\rho) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2, \\ V_{P_1 P_2 \rightarrow P_1 P_2}^\sigma = - \left(\frac{g_s}{m_\sigma} \right)^2 C(r; m_\sigma), \\ V_{P_1 P_2^* \rightarrow P_1 P_2^*}^\sigma = - \left(\frac{g_s}{m_\sigma} \right)^2 \vec{\epsilon}_2^* \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_4 C(r; m_\sigma), \\ V_{P_1^* P_2^* \rightarrow P_1^* P_2^*}^\sigma = - \left(\frac{g_s}{m_\sigma} \right)^2 \vec{\epsilon}_1^* \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_3 \vec{\epsilon}_2^* \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_4 C(r; m_\sigma). \quad (12)$$

The ω meson exchange potentials are obtained by replacing the mass of ρ meson with ω meson and removing the isospin factor $\vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2$. The OPEP's of $P^{(*)}\bar{P}^{(*)}$ differ from the ones of $P^{(*)}P^{(*)}$ in that the overall signs are changed due to G -parity, the situation is the same for ω meson exchange potentials. While ρ and σ meson exchange potentials of $P^{(*)}\bar{P}^{(*)}$ are not changed because the G -parity is even [51, 52].

In the above equations, $C(r; m_h)$ and $T(r; m_h)$ are defined as

$$C(r; m) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m^2}{\vec{q}^2 + m^2} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \mathcal{F}(\vec{q}; m), \quad (13)$$

$$T(r; m) S_{12}(\hat{r}) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{-\vec{q}^2}{\vec{q}^2 + m^2} S_{12}(\hat{q}) e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \mathcal{F}(\vec{q}; m), \quad (14)$$

with $S_{12}(\hat{r}) = 3(\vec{O}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{O}_2 \cdot \hat{r}) - \vec{O}_1 \cdot \vec{O}_2$. In order to regularize the off shell effect of the exchanged meson, a monopole form factor $\mathcal{F}(\vec{q}; m)$ is introduced at every vertex, which is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\vec{q}; m) = \left(\frac{\Lambda^2 - m^2}{\Lambda^2 + \vec{q}^2} \right)^2, \quad (15)$$

here, Λ is the cutoff parameter, m and \vec{q} correspond to the mass and momentum of the exchanged meson ($= \pi, \rho, \omega, \sigma$),

respectively. In Refs [52–54], the cutoff parameter Λ is related to the root-mean-square (RMS) radius of the source hadron. After performing the Fourier transform, the central and tensor functions are obtained:

$$C(r; m) = \frac{m^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{e^{-mr}}{r} - \frac{e^{-\Lambda r}}{r} - \frac{\Lambda^2 - m^2}{2\Lambda} e^{-\Lambda r} \right], \quad (16)$$

$$T(r; m) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (3 + 3mr + m^2 r^2) \frac{e^{-mr}}{r^3} - \frac{1}{4\pi} (3 + 3\Lambda r + \Lambda^2 r^2) \frac{e^{-\Lambda r}}{r^3} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{m^2 - \Lambda^2}{2} (1 + \Lambda r) \frac{e^{-\Lambda r}}{r}. \quad (17)$$

By using the meson-exchange potentials $\mathcal{V}(r)$ in coordinate space, we can get the eigenvalue and eigenfunction of the bound state by solving the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation. For the resonant state, the CSM is adopted by introducing an unbounded and nonunitary operator $U(\theta)$ with a rotation angle θ . The basic idea of the complex scaling method is to perform a coordinate transformation by replacing the real coordinate r with complex coordinate $re^{i\theta}$. By applying the coordinate transformation to the Schrödinger equation, the Hamiltonian of the system is modified. The modified Hamiltonian, denoted as H_θ , is obtained by replacing $H_\theta(r) = U(\theta)H(r)U(\theta)^{-1}$. According to the Aguilar-Balslev-Combes theorem [55], the resonant solutions of the complex scaled Schrödinger equation are square integrable when the rotation angle $\theta > \theta_c$, where θ_c is the critical angle at which the resonant state has just separated from the continuous spectrum. We solve the complex scaled Schrödinger equation by basis expansion method, where the radial function use spherical harmonic oscillator basis. The detailed calculation scheme can refer to our previous work [32].

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we will provide numerical results and discussion. The relevant parameters and the meson masses are listed in Table I. Following the Ref.[41], the coupling σ meson constant g_s is estimated by one-third of the coupling strength between nucleon and σ meson. By diagonalizing the modified Hamiltonian, we can get the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the bound and resonant states.

TABLE I: The related parameters are used in this work [41].

Hadron	$I(J^P)$	Mass (MeV)	Parameters	
π	$1(0^-)$	138	g	0.59
ρ	$1(1^-)$	770	g_V	$\frac{m_\rho}{\sqrt{2}f_\pi}$
ω	$0(1^-)$	782	β	0.9
σ	$0(0^+)$	500	λ	0.56 GeV^{-1}
D	$\frac{1}{2}(0^-)$	1868	g_s	3.4
D*	$\frac{1}{2}(1^-)$	2009	f_π	93 MeV

The pseudoscalar meson D and vector meson D^* can be combined into three types: DD , DD^* , and D^*D^* . These states can be classified by quantum number isospin I , total angular momentum J , and parity P . The states with quantum numbers $I(J^P)$ and the channels in the wave functions are listed in

Table II. It should be noted that the wave functions must be symmetric under the exchange of the two $D^{(*)}$ mesons. For the $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system, C -parity needs to be taken into consideration. The states can be classified by the possible quantum numbers $I^G(J^{PC})$ with isospin I , G parity, total angular momentum J , parity P , and charge conjugation C , which are in Table III. The charge conjugation C is defined for $I = 0$ or $I_z = 0$ components for $I = 1$, and is related to the G parity by $G = (-1)^I C$. For $I = 0$, there are many $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ states whose quantum number J^{PC} are same as those of quarkonia, which have been marked in Table III. Here, these states have not been considered, since we don't included mixing terms between the quarkonia and the $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ states. As is known, the tensor forces play an important role in the formation of bound states, thus we considered the mixing of angular momentum here. Due to the distinguishability of D and D^* , the mixing of D and D^* is not taken into account here.

The mass of T_{cc}^+ is close to the threshold of DD^* , with quantum number of $0(1^+)$. We first adjust the cutoff parameter Λ , and when $\Lambda = 1.1426 \text{ GeV}$, the state $0(1^+)$ of DD^* appears a bound state with energy -0.273 MeV , which is consistent with the binding energy of T_{cc}^+ . When the cutoff parameter $\Lambda = 1.1426 \text{ GeV}$, we calculate the Schrödinger equations for each quantum state of the $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems with CSM. The Hamiltonian matrices for all states are given in the appendix A. From Table IV, we can see that DD system cannot form any bound or resonant state. For DD^* system, the state $0(1^+)$ is a bound state, which consistent with T_{cc}^+ . The state $0(0^-)$ is a resonant state with energy 3.86 MeV and width 27.68 MeV . Compared with the S-wave interaction potential, the P-wave interaction potential involved a centrifugal potential barrier, in the form of $L(L+1)/2\mu r^2$. Intuitively, the S-wave is shallow bound state, indicating weak interaction between the two mesons, the P-wave resonant state should be more unstable, and the decay width should be larger. However, the S-wave is a deep bound system, and the width of the P-wave resonant state is actually larger for the $DD\bar{D}_1$, $D^*\bar{D}_1$ and $D^*\bar{D}_2^*$ systems, as shown in Ref. [32]. In order to clarify the reasons, we present the potentials of S and P-waves for DD^* and $DD\bar{D}_1$ systems in the coordinate space, where the P-wave potential is the sum of the one boson exchange potential and the centrifugal potential barrier in Fig.1. We can see that the peak of potential for the P-wave in the DD^* system is lower and the potential barrier is wider, while the peak of the potential for the P-wave in the $DD\bar{D}_1$ system is higher and the width of the potential barrier is narrower. This potential barrier allow particles to generate resonant state above the threshold, and the decay width is related to the shape of the potential barrier. The higher the potential barrier, the higher the energy of the resonant state, and the wider the potential barrier, the smaller the width of the resonant state. In addition, the decay width may also be related to the relative velocity of the two mesons. In general, this is an open question, and it deserves further exploration. In other cases, no bound or resonant states have been found. For D^*D^* system, there are two bound states with quantum number of $0(1^+)$ and $1(0^+)$.


For $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system, no bound state is formed when the cutoff parameter $\Lambda = 1.1426 \text{ GeV}$. However, since the quantum

TABLE II: Possible channels of $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}(2S+1L_J)$ for a set of quantum numbers I and J^P for $J \leq 2$.

I	J^P	DD	DD^*	D^*D^*
0	0^-		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*D)(^3P_0)$	
	1^+		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* - D^*D)(^3S_1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* - D^*D)(^3D_1)$	$D^*D^*(^3S_1), D^*D^*(^3D_1)$
	1^-	$DD(^1P_1)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*D)(^3P_1)$	$D^*D^*(^1P_1), D^*D^*(^3P_1), D^*D^*(^3F_1)$
	2^+		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* - D^*D)(^3D_2)$	$D^*D^*(^3D_2)$
	2^-		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*D)(^3P_2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*D)(^3F_2)$	$D^*D^*(^3P_2), D^*D^*(^3F_2)$
1	0^+	$DD(^1S_0)$		$D^*D^*(^1S_0), D^*D^*(^3D_0)$
	0^-		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* - D^*D)(^3P_0)$	$D^*D^*(^3P_0)$
	1^+		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*D)(^3S_1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*D)(^3D_1)$	$D^*D^*(^3D_1)$
	1^-		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* - D^*D)(^3P_1)$	$D^*D^*(^3P_1)$
	2^+	$DD(^1D_2)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*D)(^3D_2)$	$D^*D^*(^1D_2), D^*D^*(^3S_2), D^*D^*(^3D_2), D^*D^*(^3G_2)$
	2^-		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* - D^*D)(^3P_2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* - D^*D)(^3F_2)$	$D^*D^*(^3P_2), D^*D^*(^3F_2)$

TABLE III: Possible channels of $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}(2S+1L_J)$ for a set of quantum numbers I and J^{PC} for $J \leq 2$. The exotic quantum numbers which cannot be assigned to charmonia $c\bar{c}$ are indicated by \surd .

J^{PC}	DD	$DD^*/D\bar{D}^*$	$D^*\bar{D}^*$	$I=0$	$I=1$
0^{++}	$DD(^1S_0)$		$D^*\bar{D}^*(^1S_0), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3D_0)$	χ_{c0}	\surd
0^{--}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(DD^* + D^*\bar{D})(^3P_0)$		\surd	\surd
0^{+-}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})(^3P_0)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^3P_0)$	η_c	\surd
1^{+-}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})(^3S_1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})(^3D_1)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^3S_1), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3D_1)$	h_c	\surd
1^{++}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D})(^3S_1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D})(^3D_1)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^3D_1)$	χ_{c1}	\surd
1^{--}	$D\bar{D}(^1P_1)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D})(^3P_1)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^1P_1), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3P_1), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3F_1)$	J/ψ	\surd
1^{-+}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})(^3P_1)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^3P_1)$	\surd	\surd
2^{+-}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})(^3D_2)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^3D_2)$	\surd	\surd
2^{++}	$D\bar{D}(^1D_2)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D})(^3D_2)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^1D_2), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3S_2), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3D_2), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3G_2)$	χ_{c2}	\surd
2^{-+}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})(^3P_2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})(^3F_2)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^3P_2), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3F_2)$	η_{c2}	\surd
2^{--}		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D})(^3P_2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D})(^3F_2)$	$D^*\bar{D}^*(^3P_2), D^*\bar{D}^*(^3F_2)$	ψ_2	\surd

FIG. 1: (Color online) The potential functions of the states $0(1^+)$ and $0(0^-)$ for $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ system.

number of the $1^-(1^{++})$ state is the same as $X(3872)$, it should be able to form a bound state. Although in order to explain its structure, it is necessary to consider the mixture of heavy quarkonia χ_{c1} and $D\bar{D}^*/D^*\bar{D}$. Therefore, we take the cutoff

parameter Λ as 1.4 GeV for $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system. In Table V, we present the energies for $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems with $I^G(J^{PC})$ in $I = 1$. In $D\bar{D}$ case, a loose bound state with a quantum number of $1^-(0^{++})$ appears, the binding energy is -0.793 MeV. For $D\bar{D}^*/D^*\bar{D}$ system, two bound states with quantum number $1^+(1^{+-})$ and $1^-(1^{++})$ appear. In these states, the $1^-(1^{++})$ state is a bound state with binding energy -1.242 MeV, which quantum number J^{PC} matches $X(3872)$. The $1^+(1^{+-})$ state is a bound state, with a binding energy of -1.911 MeV, which quantum number matches $Z(3900)$. However, the mass of the bound state $1^+(1^{+-})$ is 3875 MeV, which is far below the mass of $Z(3900)$. Therefore, $Z(3900)$ should not be interpreted as a bound state of $D\bar{D}^*/D^*\bar{D}$ in one-boson-exchange model. For $D^*\bar{D}^*$ system, there are two bound states, which are $1^-(0^{++})$ and $1^+(1^{+-})$ states. For $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system with isospin $I = 0$, we did not find any bound or resonant states with the exotic quantum number.

The value of cutoff parameter Λ is related to the radius of meson, which has a significant impact on the energy and width of the bound and resonant state. For nucleon-nucleon interaction, the cutoff parameter Λ is usually ranges from 0.8 to 1.5 GeV. In Fig.2(a) and (b), we present the energies of the bound states $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems as a function of the cutoff parameter Λ , respectively. From Fig.2(a), we can see that the




FIG. 2: (Color online) The energies of the bound state as a function of the cutoff parameter Λ for $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems.




FIG. 3: (Color online) The energy and width of the resonant state as a function of the cutoff parameter Λ for $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ system.

TABLE IV: The energies of $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ states with $I(J^P)$ with $J \leq 2$. The energies E can be either pure real for bound states or complex for resonances. The imaginary parts are half of the decay widths of the resonances, $\Gamma/2$. The value in the parentheses after energy is RMS radius with the units of fm. The notation ... stands for no bound or resonant state solutions.

I	J^P	DD	DD^*	D^*D^*
		E [MeV]	E [MeV]	E [MeV]
0	0^-		$3.86 - i\frac{27.68}{2}$	
	1^+		$-0.273(6.1)$	$-0.563(4.4)$
	1^-
	2^+	
	2^-	
1	0^+	...		$-0.495(4.8)$
	0^-	
	1^+	
	1^-	
	2^+
	2^-	

isoscalar $DD^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$ and $D^*D^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$ are loose bound states. When Λ is greater than about 1.1 GeV, bound states begin to appear, and as Λ increases, the binding energies gradually increase. An isovector $J^P = 0^+$ bound state appears at $\Lambda \approx 1.07$ GeV for D^*D^* , the binding energy decreases slower than two isoscalar states with the increase of the cutoff parameter Λ . From Fig.2(b), we can see that the $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system can form more bound states. Among these bound states, the changing trends of the $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ ($I^G = 1^+, J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$) and $D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*$ ($I^G = 1^-, J^{PC} = 1^{++}$), $D\bar{D}$ ($I^G = 1^-, J^{PC} = 0^{++}$) and $D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*$ ($I^G = 1^+, J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$) states curves are consistent with the change of cutoff parameter Λ , because their meson-exchange potentials are similar.

$D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems can not only form several bound states, but also form a P -wave resonant state. In Fig.3, we present the energy and width for the resonant state as a function of cutoff parameter Λ . We can see that the energy of $DD^*(I = 0, J^P = 0^-)$ state increases to its maximum value, then slowly decreases with the increasing of cutoff parameter Λ , the corresponding width slowly decreases with the increasing of cutoff parameter Λ . The energy of the the resonant state is approximately a few MeV, and the width vary from a few MeV to several tens of MeV.

In Fig.4, we present the radial wave functions of the bound states in the coordinate space for $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ system. The black, red, and green lines represent the bound states $DD^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$, $D^*D^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$, and $D^*D^*(I = 1, J^P = 0^+)$ respectively, where the solid line represents the S -wave component and the dashed line represents the D -wave component. It can be seen that the contribution of all the bound states is mainly contributed by the S -wave component, while the con-

TABLE V: The energies of $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ states with $I^G(J^{PC})$ in $I = 1$ with $J \leq 2$. The energies E can be either pure real for bound states or complex for resonant states. The imaginary parts are half of the decay widths of the resonances, $\Gamma/2$. The value in the parentheses after energy is RMS radius with the units of fm. The notation ... stands for no bound or resonant state solutions.

$I^G(J^{PC})$	$D\bar{D}$	$D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*$	$D^*\bar{D}^*$
	E [MeV]	E [MeV]	E [MeV]
$1^-(0^{++})$	-0.793(3.9)		-1.567(2.8)
$1^+(0^{--})$...	
$1^-(0^{+-})$	
$1^+(1^{+-})$		-1.242(3.2)	-1.799(2.7)
$1^-(1^{++})$		-1.911(2.7)	...
$1^+(1^{--})$
$1^-(1^{+-})$	
$1^+(2^{+-})$	
$1^-(2^{++})$
$1^+(2^{--})$	
$1^-(2^{+-})$	




FIG. 4: (Color online) The radial wave functions of the bound states in the coordinate space for $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ system with $\Lambda = 1.1426$ GeV.

tribution of the D -wave component can be ignored. The numerical results demonstrate that, the probabilities of the S -wave components are 99.53%, 99.35% and 99.94% for the bound states $DD^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$, $D^*D^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$ and $D^*D^*(I = 1, J^P = 0^+)$ respectively, while the corresponding D -wave component is 0.47%, 0.65% and 0.06%. The RMS of the isospin vector bound state $D^*D^*(I = 1, J^P = 0^+)$ is 4.8 fm, and the RMS of the isospin scalar bound states $DD^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$ and $D^*D^*(I = 0, J^P = 1^+)$ are 6.1 fm and 4.4 fm, as shown in Table IV.

For $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system, the radial wave functions of the bound states in the coordinate space are presented in Fig.5. The lines




FIG. 5: (Color online) The radial wave functions of the bound states in the coordinate space for $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system with $\Lambda = 1.4$ GeV.

of wave functions for the states $D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*(I^G = 1^-, J^{PC} = 1^{+-})$ and $D^*\bar{D}^*(I^G = 1^-, J^{PC} = 0^{++})$ almost overlap. Their probabilities of the S -wave components are all 99.8%, the contributions of the D -wave components can be ignored. The distributions of radial wave functions for the states $D\bar{D}(I^G = 1^-, J^{PC} = 0^{++})$, $D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*(I^G = 1^+, J^{PC} = 1^{+-})$ and $D^*\bar{D}^*(I^G = 1^+, J^{PC} = 1^{+-})$ are similar, but their peak positions move forward in sequence. Their probabilities of the S -wave components for the states $D\bar{D}^*/\bar{D}D^*(I^G = 1^+, J^{PC} = 1^{+-})$ and $D^*\bar{D}^*(I^G = 1^+, J^{PC} = 1^{+-})$ are 99.84% and 99.8%, the D -wave components are also very small. The wave function of the $D\bar{D}(I^G = 1^-, J^{PC} = 0^{++})$ state is the most dispersed in these states, and its RMS reaches 3.9 fm as shown in Table V.

IV. SUMMARY

In recent years, many new exotic hadron states have been discovered experimentally, such as X , Y , Z and P_c particles. The exploration of these new hadron states structure and decay is a hot field in particle physics theory and experiments. In various theoretical models, hadron molecular state is a natural explanation for the exotic hadron states near two-hadron threshold. In this paper, we have performed a systematic study of the possible molecular states composed of a pair of heavy mesons such as $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$, $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ in the framework of the one-boson-exchange model. The exchanged bosons include the pseudoscalar, scalar and vector mesons (π , σ , ρ , ω). When the cutoff parameter $\Lambda = 1.1426$ GeV, we obtain a bound state $0(1^+)$ of DD^* , which is consistent with the binding energy of T_{cc} . Then, we solve the Schrödinger equations for each quantum state of the $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems with CSM in one-boson-exchange potentials. The results indicated that the $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ system can not only form several bound states, but also a P-wave resonant state, which have the potential to be observed experimentally. We calculated the RMS of these bound states, and found that the radii of these states are between 2.7 fm and 6.1 fm, which are within the range of

hadron molecular states. Furthermore, we calculated the proportion of different coupling channels and provided the wave functions of the bound states. The results indicate that the S -wave component contributed the main contribution, the contribution of the D -wave component can be ignored.

1. kinetic energy matrix

For two pseudoscalar meson DD system, the kinetic energy matrices for states $I(J^P)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0(1^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD}} \Delta_1 \right), \\ K_{1(0^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD}} \Delta_0 \right), \\ K_{1(2^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD}} \Delta_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the pseudoscalar and meson vector DD^* system, the kinetic energy matrices for states $I(J^P)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0(0^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_1 \right), \\ K_{0(1^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_0, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_2 \right), \\ K_{0(1^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_1 \right), \\ K_{0(2^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_2 \right), \\ K_{0(2^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_1, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_3 \right), \\ K_{1(0^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_0, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_2 \right), \\ K_{1(0^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_1 \right), \\ K_{1(1^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_0, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_2 \right), \\ K_{1(1^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_1 \right), \\ K_{1(2^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_2 \right), \\ K_{1(2^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_1, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{DD^*}} \Delta_3 \right), \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Key R&D Program of China (No.2022YFF0604801), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11935001), the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (No.2108085MA20, No.2208085MA10), and the Key Research Foundation of Education Ministry of Anhui Province of China (No.KJ2021A0061).

Appendix A: Hamiltonian Matrix

In this section, the kinetic terms and potential matrices for each $I(J^P)$ are given,

For two vector meson D^*D^* system, the kinetic energy matrices for states $I(J^P)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0(1^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_0, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_2 \right), \\ K_{0(1^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_1, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_1, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_3 \right), \\ K_{0(2^+)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_2 \right), \\ K_{0(2^-)} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_1, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_3 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$K_{1(1^+)} = \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_2 \right),$$

$$K_{1(1^-)} = \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_1 \right),$$

$$K_{1(2^+)} = \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{P^*P^*}} \Delta_2, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{P^*P^*}} \Delta_0, \right. \\ \left. -\frac{1}{2\mu_{P^*P^*}} \Delta_2, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{P^*P^*}} \Delta_4 \right),$$

$$K_{1(2^-)} = \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_1, -\frac{1}{2\mu_{D^*D^*}} \Delta_3 \right),$$

where

$$\mu_{D^{(*)}D^{(*)}} = \frac{m_{D^{(*)}} m_{D^{(*)}}}{m_{D^{(*)}} + m_{D^{(*)}}}, \\ \Delta_l = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2},$$

2. Potential matrix in the hadronic-molecule basis

For DD system, the potential matrices for states $I(J^P)$ are

- $0(1^-)$

$$V_v^{0(1^-)} = C'_v$$

- $1(0^+)$

$$V_v^{1(0^+)} = C'_v$$

- $1(2^+)$

$$V_v^{1(2^+)} = C'_v$$

For DD^* system, the potential matrices for states $I(J^P)$ are

- $0(0^-)$

$$V_\pi^{0(0^-)} = C_\pi + 2T_\pi \\ V_v^{0(0^-)} = 2C_v - 2T_v + C'_v$$

- $0(1^+)$

$$V_\pi^{0(1^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -C_\pi & \sqrt{2}T_\pi \\ \sqrt{2}T_\pi & -C_\pi - T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{0(1^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2C_v + C'_v & -\sqrt{2}T_v \\ -\sqrt{2}T_v & -2C_v + T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $0(1^-)$

$$V_\pi^{0(1^-)} = C_\pi - T_\pi \\ V_v^{0(1^-)} = 2C_v + T_v + C'_v$$

- $0(2^+)$

$$V_\pi^{0(2^+)} = -C_\pi + T_\pi \\ V_v^{0(2^+)} = -2C_v - T_v + C'_v$$

- $0(2^-)$

$$V_\pi^{0(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\pi + \frac{1}{5}T_\pi & -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi \\ -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi & C_\pi + \frac{4}{5}T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{0(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2C_v - \frac{1}{5}T_v + C'_v & \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v \\ \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v & 2C_v - \frac{4}{5}T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $1(0^-)$

$$V_\pi^{1(0^-)} = -C_\pi - 2T_\pi \\ V_v^{1(0^-)} = -2C_v + 2T_v + C'_v$$

- $1(1^+)$

$$V_\pi^{1(1^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\pi & -\sqrt{2}T_\pi \\ -\sqrt{2}T_\pi & C_\pi + T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{1(1^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2C_v + C'_v & \sqrt{2}T_v \\ \sqrt{2}T_v & 2C_v - T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $1(1^-)$

$$V_\pi^{1(1^-)} = -C_\pi + T_\pi \\ V_v^{1(1^-)} = -2C_v - T_v + C'_v$$

- $1(2^+)$

$$V_\pi^{1(2^+)} = C_\pi - T_\pi \\ V_v^{1(2^+)} = 2C_v + T_v + C'_v$$

$$V_\pi^{1(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} -C_\pi - \frac{1}{5}T_\pi & \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi \\ \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi & -C_\pi - \frac{4}{5}T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{1(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2C_v + \frac{1}{5}T_v + C'_v & -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v \\ -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v & -2C_v + \frac{4}{5}T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

For D^*D^* system, the potential matrices for states $I(J^P)$ are

- $0(1^+)$

$$V_\pi^{0(1^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -C_\pi & \sqrt{2}T_\pi \\ \sqrt{2}T_\pi & -C_\pi - T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{0(1^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2C_v + C'_v & -\sqrt{2}T_v \\ -\sqrt{2}T_v & -2C_v + T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $0(1^-)$

$$V_\pi^{0(1^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2C_\pi & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}T_\pi & -\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}T_\pi \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}T_\pi & C_\pi - \frac{7}{5}T_\pi & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi \\ -\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}T_\pi & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi & C_\pi - \frac{8}{5}T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{0(1^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} -4C_v + C'_v & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}T_v & \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}T_v \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}T_v & 2C_v + \frac{7}{5}T_v + C'_v & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v \\ \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}T_v & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v & 2C_v + \frac{8}{5}T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $0(2^+)$

$$V_\pi^{0(2^+)} = -C_\pi + T_\pi$$

$$V_v^{0(2^+)} = -2C_v - T_v + C'_v$$

- $0(2^-)$

$$V_\pi^{0(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\pi + \frac{7}{5}T_\pi & -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi \\ -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi & C_\pi - \frac{2}{5}T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{0(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2C_v - \frac{7}{5}T_v + C'_v & -\frac{6}{5}T_v \\ -\frac{6}{5}T_v & 2C_v + \frac{2}{5}T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $1(0^+)$

$$V_\pi^{1(0^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2C_\pi & -\sqrt{2}T_\pi \\ -\sqrt{2}T_\pi & C_\pi - 2T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{1(0^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -4C_v + C'_v & \sqrt{2}T_v \\ \sqrt{2}T_v & 2C_v + 2T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $1(0^-)$

$$V_\pi^{1(0^-)} = -C_\pi - 2T_\pi$$

$$V_v^{1(0^-)} = -2C_v + 2T_v + C'_v$$

- $1(1^+)$

$$V_\pi^{1(1^+)} = C_\pi - T_\pi$$

$$V_v^{1(1^+)} = 2C_v + T_v + C'_v$$

- $1(1^-)$

$$V_\pi^{1(1^-)} = -C_\pi + T_\pi$$

$$V_v^{1(1^-)} = -2C_v - T_v + C'_v$$

- $1(2^+)$

$$V_\pi^{1(2^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2C_\pi & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}T_\pi & \frac{2}{\sqrt{7}}T_\pi & -\frac{6}{\sqrt{35}}T_\pi \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}T_\pi & C_\pi & \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}T_\pi & 0 \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{7}}T_\pi & \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}T_\pi & C_\pi + \frac{3}{7}T_\pi & \frac{12}{7\sqrt{5}}T_\pi \\ -\frac{6}{\sqrt{35}}T_\pi & 0 & \frac{12}{7\sqrt{5}}T_\pi & C_\pi - \frac{10}{7}T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{1(2^+)} = \begin{pmatrix} -4C_v + C'_v & \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}T_v & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{7}}T_v & \frac{6}{\sqrt{35}}T_v \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}T_v & C_v + C'_v & -\sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}T_v & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{7}}T_v & -\sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}T_v & 2C_v - \frac{3}{7}T_v + C'_v & -\frac{12}{7\sqrt{5}}T_v \\ \frac{6}{\sqrt{35}}T_v & 0 & -\frac{12}{7\sqrt{5}}T_v & 2C_v + \frac{10}{7}T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

- $1(2^-)$

$$V_\pi^{1(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} -C_\pi - \frac{1}{5}T_\pi & \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi \\ \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_\pi & -C_\pi - \frac{4}{5}T_\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_v^{(2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2C_v + \frac{1}{5}T_v + C'_v & -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v \\ -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{5}T_v & -2C_v + \frac{4}{5}T_v + C'_v \end{pmatrix},$$

The σ exchange has been taken into account, which leads to diagonal interaction for all possible states. The form of matrix elements is as follows,

$$V_\sigma = C_\sigma,$$

$$V_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} C_\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & C_\sigma \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} C_\sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_\sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

In matrix elements, C_π , T_π , C_v , T_v , C'_v and C_σ are defined as

$$C_\pi = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{g}{2f_\pi} \right)^2 C(r; m_\pi) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2,$$

$$T_\pi = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{g}{2f_\pi} \right)^2 T(r; m_\pi) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2,$$

$$C_v = \frac{1}{3} (\lambda g_V)^2 C(r; m_v) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2,$$

$$T_v = \frac{1}{3} (\lambda g_V)^2 T(r; m_v) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2,$$

$$C'_v = \left(\frac{\beta g_V}{2m_v} \right)^2 C(r; m_v) \vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2,$$

$$C_\sigma = - \left(\frac{g_s}{m_\sigma} \right)^2 C(r; m_\sigma).$$

-
- [1] M. Gell-Mann, A schematic model of baryons and mesons, *Physics Letters*, **8**, 3, 214-215 (1964).
[2] S. K. Choi *et al.* [Belle], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 262001 (2003).
[3] D. Acosta *et al.* [CDF], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 072001 (2004).
[4] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 162002 (2004).
[5] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar], *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 071103 (2005).
[6] H. Xu, B. Wang, Z. W. Liu and X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 014027 (2019) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 119903 (2021)].
[7] S. Ohkoda, Y. Yamaguchi, S. Yasui, K. Sudoh and A. Hosaka, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 034019 (2012).
[8] N. Li and S. L. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 074022 (2012).
[9] H. Ren, F. Wu and R. Zhu, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2022**, 9103031 (2022).
[10] S. Sakai, L. Roca and E. Oset, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 054023 (2017).
[11] J. He, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 076008 (2014).
[12] J. He, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 034004 (2015).
[13] P. C. Wallbott, G. Eichmann and C. S. Fischer, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 014033 (2019).
[14] R. Zhu, X. Liu, H. Huang and C. F. Qiao, *Phys. Lett. B* **797**, 134869 (2019).
[15] P. G. Ortega, D. R. Entem and F. Fernández, *Phys. Lett. B* **829**, 137083 (2022).
[16] Y. Tan, W. Lu and J. Ping, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, 716 (2020).
[17] S. Q. Luo, K. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 709 (2017).
[18] F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen and S. H. Lee, *Phys. Lett. B* **649**, 166-172 (2007).
[19] Q. Xin and Z. G. Wang, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **58**, 110 (2022).
[20] L. Tang, B. D. Wan, K. Maltman and C. F. Qiao, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 094032 (2020).
[21] Q. F. Lü, D. Y. Chen and Y. B. Dong, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 034012 (2020).
[22] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin and W. Lucha, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 114015 (2007).
[23] G. J. Wang, X. H. Liu, L. Ma, X. Liu, X. L. Chen, W. Z. Deng and S. L. Zhu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 567 (2019).
[24] E. P. Wigner and L. Eisenbud, *Phys. Rev.* **72** (1947), 29-41.
[25] G. M. Hale, R. E. Brown and N. Jarmie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** (1987), 763-766.
[26] J. Humblet, B. W. Filippone and S. E. Koonin, *Phys. Rev. C* **44** (1991), 2530-2535
[27] J. R. Taylor, *Scattering Theory: The Quantum Theory on Non-relativistic Collisions* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972).
[28] A. U. Hazi and H. S. Taylor, *Phys. Rev. A* **1**, 1109 (1970).
[29] V. I. Kukulin, V. M. Krasnoplisky, and J. Horacek, *Theory of Resonances: Principles and Applications* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1989).
[30] Y. K. Ho, *Phys. Rep.* **99**, 1 (1983).
[31] N. Moiseyev, *Phys. Rep.* **302**, 212 (1998).
[32] Z. Yu, M. Song, J. Y. Guo, Y. Zhang and G. Li, *Phys. Rev. C* **104**, 035201 (2021).
[33] G. J. Wang, Q. Meng and M. Oka, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 096005 (2022).
[34] J. B. Cheng, Z. Y. Lin and S. L. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 016012 (2022).
[35] Z. P. Wang, F. L. Wang, G. J. Wang and X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) no.5, L051501.
[36] P. Wang and X. G. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 042002 (2013).
[37] C. E. Thomas and F. E. Close, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 034007 (2008).
[38] E. Braaten, H. W. Hammer and T. Mehen, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 034018 (2010).
[39] K. Abe *et al.* [Belle], [arXiv:hep-ex/0505037 [hep-ex]].
[40] P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* [BaBar], *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010), 011101.
[41] M. Sakai and Y. Yamaguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 054016 (2024).
[42] F. K. Guo, C. Hidalgo-Duque, J. Nieves and M. PavonValderrama, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013), 054007.
[43] Q. Wang, C. Hanhart and Q. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 132003 (2013).
[44] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, *Phys. Rev.* **122**, 345 (1961); *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 246 (1961).
[45] G. Burdman and J. F. Donoghue, *Phys. Lett. B* **280**, 287 (1992).

- [46] M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **45**, R2188 (1992).
- [47] T. M. Yan, H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin and H. L. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1148 (1992) [Erratum-ibid. D **55**, 5851 (1997)].
- [48] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Rept. **281**, 145 (1997).
- [49] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **10**, 1 (2000).
- [50] C. Isola, M. Ladisa, G. Nardulli and P. Santorelli, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 114001 (2003).
- [51] G. J. Ding, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009), 014001.
- [52] S. Ohkoda, Y. Yamaguchi, S. Yasui, K. Sudoh and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 014004 (2012).
- [53] S. Yasui and K. Sudoh, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 034008 (2009).
- [54] Y. Yamaguchi, S. Ohkoda, S. Yasui and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014032 (2011).
- [55] J. Aguilar and J. M. Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. **22**, 269 (1971); E. Balslev and J. M. Combes, ibid. **22**, 280 (1971).