Search for spatial coincidence between magnetars and IceCube detected neutrinos

Fathima Shifa M^{*} and Shantanu Desai[†]

Department of Physics, IIT Hyderabad, Kandi, Telangana-502284, India

We carry out a search for spatial coincidence between high energy neutrinos detected by the IceCube neutrino detector (using the publicly available 10-year muon track data) and 33 magnetars, including two extragalactic ones. We use the unbinned maximum likelihood method for our analysis. We do not find any such spatial association between any of the galactic magnetars and IceCube-detected neutrinos. Therefore, we conclude that none of the known galactic or extragalactic magnetars contribute to the diffuse neutrino flux observed in IceCube.

I. INTRODUCTION

arXiv:2503.05100v1 [astro-ph.HE] 7 Mar 2025

The origin of the dominant component of the IceCube diffuse neutrino flux observed in the TeV-PeV energy range [1] is still unknown [2]. Although, evidence for neutrino emission from a few selected point sources such as NGC 1068, TXS 0506+056, NGC 4151, and PKS 1424+240 has been found, most of the IceCube neutrinos cannot be attributed to any particular astrophysical sources [3]. Therefore, searches for spatial coincidence with a large number of extragalactic sources have been done. Some examples of such extra-galactic sources include Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs) and Gamma-Ray bursts [4–12], Fast Radio bursts (FRBs) [13–15], Fermi-LAT point sources [8], galaxy mergers [16], galaxies from extra-galactic surveys such as 2MASS [17] and WISE-2MASS [18].

In June 2023, the IceCube collaboration found 4.5σ evidence for neutrino emission from the Galactic plane using cascade events [19]. This signal is consistent with diffuse emission from the galactic plane, although contribution from a population of unresolved point sources such as supernova remnants, pulsar wind nebulae or unidentified TeV Galactic sources cannot be ruled out [19]. Similarly, a galactic contribution to theceCube diffuse neutrino flux cannot be ruled out, and has been estimated to be upto 20% [20, 21]. Therefore, searches for coincidences with a plethora of galactic sources such as pulsars, supernova remnants, X-ray binaries, TeV gamma-ray sources, open clusters, red dwarfs, etc. have also been carried out [8, 22–32].

In this work, we search for high-energy neutrinos from magnetars. Magnetars are a particular type of neutron stars with extremely strong magnetic fields, with values up to 10^{15} G and X-ray luminosities between $10^{31}-10^{34}$ ergs/sec [33–36]. The magnetar X-ray emission stems from the decay and instability of their ultra-strong magnetic fields [37–39]. Observationally, they are manifested as soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs) and anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXP) [34]. Several theoretical models for neutrino emission from magnetars have been proposed during their quiesence phase [40–42] as well as during their outbursts [43, 44] (see [45] for a recent review). Although, historically most magnetars were known to be galactic [33], we have now detected extragalactic magnetar flares from a large number of objects. We provide an abridged discussion of the neutrino emission mechanism proposed in [40]. In this model, magnetars with opposite orientations of spin moments and magnetic fields accelerate cosmic rays. Neutrino emission then occurs from the decay of pions produced through photo-meson interactions. The two main sources of energy that power a magnetar are its rotational energy loss (which accelerates the protons) and magnetic field decay (which provides the target column density of photons for photo-meson production). In many cases, the luminosity during quiesence post a magnetar outburst could be larger than before. Therefore, it is important to look for neutrinos during both their flaring and quiescent states. Therefore we carry out a time-integrated search for neutrino emission from magnetars using the entire IceCube data, which is publicly available.

The first ever search for neutrinos from magnetars with 1000 m^2 detector was done using Super-Kamiokande [46, 47]. In that analysis, a search for neutrinos in temporal coincidence with four SGR bursts using the upward going muon sample observed in Super-K was carried out. Although two neutrinos were seen in spatial coincidence within $\pm 5^{\circ}$ and a day after the SGR outburst, the observed *p*-value is consistent with background, once you take into account the look-elsewhere effect [47]. Subsequently, a search during both the magnetar flaring and quiescent phases was done using the highest-energy neutrino subset in Super-Kamiokande, but no signal was detected [48]. A proof of principle study of the sensitivity of IceCube to detect neutrinos from galactic magnetars using 14 years of IceCube data has also been carried out [49, 50].

 $^{^{*}}E\text{-mail:ph23mscst11012@iith.ac.in}$

[†]E-mail: shntn05@gmail.com

In this work, we search for neutrino emission from both galactic and extragalactic magnetars. We follow the same prescription as in our previous work, looking for galactic sources [29, 32]. This manuscript is structured as follows. The neutrino dataset used for the analysis is discussed in Section II. The analysis and results are discussed in Section III. We conclude in Section IV.

II. DATASET

For this analysis, we have used neutrinos from the IceCube public 10-year muon track data [51]. This data set consists of 1,134,431 neutrinos, which were collected between April 2008 (IC-40) and July 2018 (IC86-VII) from different phases of the experiment, each having a different lifetime. For each neutrino, the data set consists of right ascension (RA), declination, uncertainty in the track direction, and reconstructed muon energy [52]. Note that for our analysis we have used the augmented data set analyzed in [53], which removes some duplicates ¹. The list of galactic magnetars used for this analysis has been obtained from the McGill Online Magnetar Catalog [54],² and includes 31 magnetars, of which 16 are SGRs (12 confirmed candidates), and 14 are AXPs (12 confirmed candidates). One of the magnetar candidates includes PSR J1846-0258, which has been classified as a young, rotation-powered pulsar, but has also undergone a magnetar-like outburst in 2006 [55] In addition to these galactic magnetars, we also considered six extragalactic magnetars, for which flares were detected in high-energy gamma-rays. These include GRB 200415A [56], GRB 2311115A [57], GRB 051103, GRB 070201, GRB 070222, and GRB 180128A.

III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

For our analysis, we use the unbinned maximum likelihood ratio method [58]. We consider neutrinos within a declination of $\pm 5^{\circ}$ of the magnetars. For a dataset containing N events, if n_s is the total number of signal events attributed to the magnetars, then the likelihood is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}(n_s) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{n_s}{N} S_i + (1 - \frac{n_s}{N}) B_i \right],\tag{1}$$

where S_i is the signal probability density function (PDF) and B_i is the background PDF. Here the signal PDF is given by:

$$S_{i} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_{i}^{2}}e^{-(|\theta_{i}-\theta_{s}|)^{2}/2\sigma_{i}^{2}},$$
(2)

where $|\theta_i - \theta_s|$ is the angular distance between the neutrino and the magnetars; σ_i is the angular uncertainty in the neutrino position expressed in radians. The background PDF can be obtained from the solid angle within the declination band (δ) of $\pm 5^{\circ}$ around each magnetar, and is given as follows [58]:

$$B_i = \frac{1}{\Omega_{\delta \pm 5^\circ}} \tag{3}$$

Note that the standard IceCube analysis also uses an additional PDF which takes into account the neutrino energy [59]. However the public IceCube muon dataset only contains the neutrino energy and it is not trivial to reconstruct the energy based PDF using the smearing matrix provided along with the public data and theorefore this is usually ignored in all other point source searches with the public IceCube data [4–6, 8, 13, 16, 29]. Now to ascertain the significance of the signal, we define the test statistics (TS) as follows:

$$TS(n_s) = 2\log\frac{\mathcal{L}(n_s)}{\mathcal{L}(0)} \tag{4}$$

For the null hypothesis, $TS(n_s)$ behaves like a χ^2 distribution for one degree of freedom [60]. The best-fit value of the number of signal events (n_s) is obtained by maximizing TS. The detection significance can be obtained from \sqrt{TS} .

¹ This dataset is available at https://github.com/beizhouphys/IceCube_data_2008--2018_double_counting_corrected

² This dataset is available at http://www.physics.mcgill.ca/~pulsar/magnetar/main.html

The same statistics is also widely used in gamma-ray astronomy (eg. [61]). For a statistically significant detection corresponding to > 5σ detection, TS must be > 25. For each of our magnetars, we calculate the best fit n_s that maximizes TS according to Eq. 4. These TS values, along with the best-fit value of n_s , can be found in Table I. As we can see, none of the magnetars show a TS value > 25. The largest TS value is seen for 3XMM J185246.6+003317, with TS of 5.34, which corresponds to a significance of around 2.3σ . If one considers the look-elsewhere effect incorporating the total number of signal events looked at, the significance will be reduced even further. Therefore, the observed signal events are consistent with background and there is no evidence for any spatial association between our catalog of magnetars and IceCube neutrinos, which are observed as muon tracks. Therefore, none of the known magnetars contribute towards the diffuse IceCube neutrino signal. Consequently, we calculate the 95% c.l. upper flux limits by calculating the value of n_s for which $TS - TS_{max} = -3.84$ [62].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we searched for spatial coincidence between IceCube neutrinos and 33 magnetars including two extragalactic magnetars from which giant flares were seen. For our analysis, we used the IceCube 10 year muon track data observed between 2008-2018. Here, we analyzed our data using the unbinned maximum likelihood method. A tabular summary of our results can be found in Table I. We do not find a detection significance greater than 5σ for any of our magnetars and the maximum significance is around 2.3σ for 3XMM J185246.6+003317. We also calculate the 95% c.l. upper limit on the number of observed signal events for each of the magnetars. Hence, we conclude that none of the known galactic magnetars contribute to the IceCube diffuse neutrino flux.

- [1] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), Science 342, 1242856 (2013), 1311.5238.
- [2] F. Halzen, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2305.07086 (2023), 2305.07086.
- [3] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube) (2021), 2101.09836.
- [4] B. Zhou, M. Kamionkowski, and Y.-f. Liang, Phys. Rev. D 103, 123018 (2021), 2103.12813.
- [5] D. Hooper, T. Linden, and A. Vieregg, JCAP **2019**, 012 (2019), 1810.02823.
- [6] J.-W. Luo and B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 101, 103015 (2020), 2004.09686.
- [7] D. Smith, D. Hooper, and A. Vieregg, JCAP 2021, 031 (2021), 2007.12706.
- [8] R.-L. Li, B.-Y. Zhu, and Y.-F. Liang, Phys. Rev. D 106, 083024 (2022), 2205.15963.
- [9] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube), Astrophys. J. 732, 18 (2011), 1012.2137.
- [10] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube, Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor), Astrophys. J. 939, 116 (2022), 2205.11410.
- [11] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), Astrophys. J. 835, 45 (2017), 1611.03874.
- [12] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube), Astrophys. J. 938, 38 (2022), 2207.04946.
- [13] J.-W. Luo and B. Zhang, MNRAS 534, 70 (2024), 2112.11375.
- [14] S. Desai, Journal of Physics G Nuclear Physics 50, 015201 (2023), 2112.13820.
- [15] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), Astrophys. J. 890, 111 (2020), 1908.09997.
- [16] S. Bouri, P. Parashari, M. Das, and R. Laha, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2404.06539 (2024), 2404.06539.
- [17] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), JCAP **07**, 042 (2020), 1911.11809.
- [18] Z. Zhou, J. Cisewski-Kehe, K. Fang, and A. Banerjee, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2406.00796 (2024), 2406.00796.
- [19] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube), Science **380**, adc9818 (2023), 2307.04427.
- [20] A. Palladino and F. Vissani, Astrophys. J. 826, 185 (2016), 1601.06678.
- [21] S. Troitsky, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **191**, 1333 (2021), 2112.09611.
- [22] G. S. Vance, K. L. Emig, C. Lunardini, and R. A. Windhorst (2021), 2108.01805.
- [23] P. B. Denton, D. Marfatia, and T. J. Weiler, JCAP 08, 033 (2017), 1703.09721.
- [24] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube), Astrophys. J. Lett. **930**, L24 (2022), 2202.11722.
- [25] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), Astrophys. J. 898, 117 (2020), 2003.12071.
- [26] Y. Y. Kovalev, A. V. Plavin, and S. V. Troitsky, Astrophys. J. Lett. 940, L41 (2022), 2208.08423.
- [27] T.-Q. Huang and Z. Li, Astrophys. J. **925**, 85 (2022), 2105.09851.
- [28] J. Kumar, C. Rott, P. Sandick, and N. Tapia-Arellano, Phys. Rev. D 110, 023009 (2024), 2312.15125.
- [29] V. Pasumarti and S. Desai, JCAP **2022**, 002 (2022), 2210.12804.
- [30] V. Pasumarti and S. Desai, JCAP **2024**, 010 (2024), 2306.03427.
- [31] J. Kumar, C. Rott, P. Sandick, and N. Tapia-Arellano, Phys. Rev. D 110, 023009 (2024), 2312.15125.
- [32] F. Shifa M and S. Desai, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2410.16394 (2024), 2410.16394.
- [33] P. M. Woods and C. Thompson, in *Compact stellar X-ray sources*, edited by W. H. G. Lewin and M. van der Klis (2006), vol. 39, pp. 547–586.

| Magnetars | $\mathbf{RA} (^{\circ})$ | Decl. $(^{\circ})$ | ns | TS_{max} | Upper limit |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| CXOU J010043.1-721134 | 15.17 | 72.17 | 8.94 | 0.94 | 34.8 |
| 4U 0142+61 | 26.59 | 61.75 | 0.33 | 0.0008 | 26 |
| SGR 0418+5729 | 64.64 | 57.53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21 |
| SGR 0501+4516 | 75.27 | 45.27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 |
| SGR 0526-66 | 81.50 | 66.05 | 2.44 | 0.09 | 23.5 |
| 1E 1048.1-5937 | 162.52 | 59.87 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.1 |
| 1E 1547.0-5408 | 237.72 | 54.29 | 1.098 | 0.01 | 25.4 |
| PSR J1622-4950 | 245.68 | 49.81 | 2.81 | 0.063 | 28.3 |
| SGR 1627-41 | 248.96 | 47.57 | 0 | 0 | 14.6 |
| CXOU J164710.2-455216 | 251.79 | 45.86 | 3.06 | 0.07 | 28.6 |
| 1RXS J170849.0-400910 | 257.19 | 40.11 | 4.14 | 0.13 | 30 |
| CXOU J171405.7-381031 | 258.52 | 38.15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 |
| SGR J1745-2900 | 266.41 | 28.99 | 2.29 | 0.08 | 23.8 |
| SGR 1806-20 | 272.16 | 20.38 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 |
| XTE J1810-197 | 272.46 | 19.70 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 |
| Swift J1818.0-1607 | 274.51 | 16.10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 |
| Swift J1822.3-1606 | 275.57 | 16.05 | 24.19 | 3.92 | 0.2 |
| SGR 1833-0832 | 278.43 | 8.51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 |
| Swift J1834.9-0846 | 278.71 | 8.73 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 |
| 1E 1841-045 | 280.33 | 4.93 | 6.06 | 0.34 | 32.2 |
| 3XMM J185246.6+003317 | 283.19 | 0.55 | 30.20 | 5.34 | 4.1 |
| SGR 1900+14 | 286.80 | 9.32 | 14.94 | 1.51 | 44.3 |
| SGR 1935+2154 | 293.73 | 21.89 | 16.35 | 2.18 | 43.5 |
| $1E\ 2259+586$ | 345.28 | 58.87 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.8 |
| SGR 0755-2933 | 118.92 | 29.53 | 16.38 | 2.44 | 43 |
| SGR 1801-23 | 270.24 | 22.92 | 7.46 | 0.66 | 31.3 |
| SGR 1808-20 | 272.04 | 20.61 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 |
| AX J1818.8-1559 | 274.71 | 15.97 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19 |
| AX J1845.0-0258 | 281.22 | 2.91 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.6 |
| SGR 2013+34 | 303.48 | 34.33 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 |
| PSR J1846-0258 | 281.60 | 2.95 | 2.20 | 0.02 | 34 |
| GRB 231115A | 130.75 | 73.5 | 0 | 0 | 14.4 |
| GRB 200415A | 11.87 | -25.02 | 0 | 0 | 22.2 |
| GRB 051103 | 148.14 | 68.84 | 0 | 0 | 16.1 |
| GRB 070201 | 11.07 | 42.3 | 0 | 0 | 16.2 |
| GRB 070222 | 205.53 | - 26.87 | 0 | 0 | 13.4 |
| GRB 180128A | 12.3 | -26.1 | 2.52 | 0.04 | 30 |

TABLE I: Results from spatial coincidence analysis between IceCube neutrinos and magnetars. The last three columns denote the number of observed signal events (n_s) , the maximum observed significance (TS_{max}) as described in Eq. 4, and 95% c.l. upper limit on the number of signal events from magnetars, respectively.

- [34] S. Mereghetti, Astronomy and Astrophysics Review 15, 225 (2008), 0804.0250.
- [35] V. M. Kaspi and A. M. Beloborodov, Annual Review of Astron. and Astrophys. 55, 261 (2017), 1703.00068.
- [36] P. Esposito, N. Rea, and G. L. Israel, in *Timing Neutron Stars: Pulsations, Oscillations and Explosions*, edited by T. M. Belloni, M. Méndez, and C. Zhang (2021), vol. 461 of Astrophysics and Space Science Library, pp. 97–142, 1803.05716.
- [37] R. C. Duncan and C. Thompson, Astrophys. J. Lett. 392, L9 (1992).
- [38] B. Paczynski, Acta Astronomica **42**, 145 (1992).
- [39] C. Thompson and R. C. Duncan, MNRAS 275, 255 (1995).
- [40] B. Zhang, Z. G. Dai, P. Mészáros, E. Waxman, and A. K. Harding, Astrophys. J. 595, 346 (2003), astro-ph/0210382.
- [41] K. Murase, P. Mészáros, and B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103001 (2009), 0904.2509.
- [42] R. K. Dey, S. Ray, and S. Dam, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 115, 69002 (2016), 1603.07833.
- [43] F. Halzen, H. Landsman, and T. Montaruli, arXiv e-prints astro-ph/0503348 (2005), astro-ph/0503348.
- [44] K. Ioka, S. Razzaque, S. Kobayashi, and P. Mészáros, Astrophys. J. 633, 1013 (2005), astro-ph/0503279.
- [45] M. Negro, G. Younes, Z. Wadiasingh, E. Burns, A. Trigg, and M. Baring, Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences 11, 1388953 (2024), 2406.04967.
- [46] S. Desai, Ph.D. thesis, Boston University, Massachusetts (2004).
- [47] K. Abe, J. Hosaka, T. Iida, K. Ishihara, J. Kameda, Y. Koshio, A. Minamino, C. Mitsuda, M. Miura, S. Moriyama, et al., Astrophys. J. 652, 198 (2006), astro-ph/0606413.
- [48] S. Desai, K. Abe, Y. Hayato, K. Iida, K. Ishihara, J. Kameda, Y. Koshio, A. Minamino, C. Mitsuda, M. Miura, et al., Astroparticle Physics 29, 42 (2008), 0711.0053.
- [49] A. Ghadimi and M. Santander, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2307.15375 (2023), 2307.15375.
- [50] A. Ghadimi and M. Santander, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2107.08322 (2021), 2107.08322.
- [51] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube), Phys. Rev. D 104, 022002 (2021), 2011.03545.

- [52] B. Zhou, K. C. Y. Ng, J. F. Beacom, and A. H. G. Peter, Phys. Rev. D 96, 023015 (2017), 1612.02420.
- [53] B. Zhou and J. F. Beacom, Phys. Rev. D 105, 093005 (2022), 2110.02974.
- [54] S. A. Olausen and V. M. Kaspi, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 212, 6 (2014), 1309.4167.
- [55] F. P. Gavriil, M. E. Gonzalez, E. V. Gotthelf, V. M. Kaspi, M. A. Livingstone, and P. M. Woods, Science **319**, 1802 (2008), 0802.1704.
- [56] Fermi-LAT Collaboration, M. Ajello, W. B. Atwood, M. Axelsson, L. Baldini, G. Barbiellini, M. G. Baring, D. Bastieri, R. Bellazzini, A. Berretta, et al., Nature Astronomy 5, 385 (2021).
- [57] A. C. Trigg, R. Stewart, A. van Kooten, E. Burns, O. J. Roberts, D. D. Frederiks, M. G. Baring, G. Younes, D. S. Svinkin, Z. Wadiasingh, et al., arXiv e-prints arXiv:2409.06056 (2024), 2409.06056.
- [58] J. Braun, J. Dumm, F. De Palma, C. Finley, A. Karle, and T. Montaruli, Astroparticle Physics 29, 299 (2008), 0801.1604.
- [59] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), Astrophys. J. 835, 151 (2017), 1609.04981.
- [60] S. S. Wilks, The annals of mathematical statistics 9, 60 (1938).
- [61] S. Manna and S. Desai, JCAP **2024**, 017 (2024), 2310.07519.
- [62] P. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), PTEP **2020**, 083C01 (2020).