bittide: Control Time, Not Flows

Martijn Bastiaan¹ Christiaan Baaij¹ Martin Izzard² Felix Klein¹ Sanjay Lall^{2,3} Tammo Spalink²

arXiv:2503.05033v1 [cs.DC] 6 Mar 2025

Abstract

This paper presents the first hardware implementation of bittide, a decentralized clock synchronization mechanism for achieving logical synchrony in distributed systems. We detail the design and implementation of an 8-node bittide network using off-the-shelf FPGA boards and adjustable clock sources. Through experiments with various network topologies, including fully connected, hourglass, and cube, we demonstrate the effectiveness of bittide in aligning node frequencies and bounding buffer excursions. We collect and analyze frequency, buffer occupancy, and logical latency data, validating the hardware's performance against theoretical predictions and simulations. Our results show that bittide achieves tight frequency alignment, robustly handles varying physical latencies, and establishes a consistent notion of logical time across the network, enabling predictable distributed computation at scale with zero in-band overhead.

1 Introduction

There are many applications in modern datacenters which are implemented effectively using distributed systems. Some applications benefit from hard guarantees about the state at distinct nodes, such as databases [1, 2, 3] and financial exchanges [4], where the system must ensure that all nodes can reason correctly about the order of transactions. Another important feature in applications is predictable latency and resource requirements, important in robotics [5] and in large-scale numerical computations such as machine-learning training and inference [6].

The recently proposed approach of *logical synchrony* [7] offers a new direction for mitigating the complexities of such distributed computing applications. In a logically synchronous system, data may be transmitted between nodes without requiring backpressure mechanisms or flow control. Retransmissions are handled at the application level, so that at the lower levels data transmission is predictable. For two directlyconnected nodes, the sender can count frames sent and the receiver can count frames received, and since there are no retransmissions these counters are sufficient for the receiver to identify particular frames from the sender. As discussed in [7], these counters allow joint ahead-of-time scheduling of compute and communications.

Without retransmissions or backpressure, clock synchronization becomes the fundamental mechanism which enables the network to function. If, on average, a sender is transmitting data faster than the receiver is processing it, then the receiver's buffer will overflow, and conversely if the sender is transmitting too slowly, then the buffer will underflow and the receiver will be starved. These situations are prevented by ensuring that the clocks at all nodes have, averaged over time, the same frequency. This property, known as syntony, is much weaker than synchronization of absolute time, as provided by PTP [8] for example. The bittide mechanism [9, 10] offers a zero-overhead method for achieving syntony. It is the purpose of this paper to implement this mechanism in hardware, and demonstrate that such networks can be practically built, and data can be sent between nodes without the need for flow control.



Figure 1: Two nodes showing the receive buffers as part of the links.

Logical synchrony is still under development. There are important issues which have not yet been resolved, such as failure handling, and these must be addressed in order to make the system practical. There are also limitations of this approach; ahead-of-time scheduling may only be used in applications where communication, computation and resource usage are predictable. There are also many potential positive consequences of a bit-

¹QBayLogic, The Netherlands

²Google DeepMind.

³Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. lall@stanford.edu

tide system. Simultaneous time-division multiplexing of both compute cycles and communications becomes possible. Multi-hop communications and network routing can be ahead-of-time scheduled. Frame arrival and departure events become logically tied together, allowing precise coordination and reasoning about the ordering of events [11]. These topics have been analyzed in [7, 9, 10]and simulated using the tools called Callisto [12] and Aegir [13]. Both the questions raised by these limitations and the implementation requirements of these higher level mechanisms are important but beyond the scope of this paper and are not addressed here. Instead, we take the important first step of building a prototype, to show that in principle the ideas of bittide and logical synchrony are sound and implementable and that the required level of syntony is achievable in hardware.

1.1 Objectives and contributions

Specifically this paper marks the first hardware realization of a bittide system. The hardware is open-source and is available at [14]. We focus on validating the practical feasibility and performance of the proposed clock control mechanism in a real-world setting. By implementing bittide on an 8-node network of FPGA boards, we demonstrate its effectiveness in achieving networkwide frequency alignment and bounded buffer excursions under different network topologies.

1.2 The bittide network

Each node has a processing unit together with incoming and outgoing serial data links. At a node, each incoming data link is connected via a serdes (serializerdeserializer) to a FIFO, called the *elastic buffer*, with one such FIFO per link. Data on the serial links is divided into fixed length frames. On an incoming interface, as each frame arrives, it is added to the tail of its corresponding elastic buffer. In addition, each node has a local clock, whose frequency is adjustable. This clock is multiplied to drive the outgoing serial links. With every tick of this clock a frame is removed from the head of the elastic buffer, and moved into memory set aside for receiving data at the node. Within the same clock period on each outgoing link a new frame is sent; the data for these frames are taken from a memory buffer. A two node network is illustrated in Figure 1. This structure generalizes to more than two nodes by allowing multiple incoming and outgoing links per node.

1.3 Logical synchrony

The principle of logical synchrony is that the arrival time of a frame can be predicted precisely from the departure time. The meaning of the word time in this statement must be clarified, however. It does not refer to wall-clock time, or any global notion of time. Instead each node *i* has its own clock, which drives the processor, all of the outgoing serdes, and a counter θ_i which therefore counts outgoing frames. For a frame sent from node *i* to node *j*, the departure time is the value of θ_i at the time it is sent, and the arrival time is that value of θ_j at the time it is removed from the FIFO at node *j*. This is called *logical* synchrony, since the times referred to are integers, and they are exactly defined; there is no requirement for error-bounds on their values.

We refer to the counter values as *localticks*. They are the unit of time at a node; each node has its own unit of time. The bittide mechanism, discussed below, ensures that all of the localticks have approximately the same frequency, on average, but these frequencies vary as the clocks are continuously adjusted. There is no global clock in the system. Even though the clocks are ticking at roughly the same frequency, there is no mechanism which sets the counters to any common value, and so the counter value (*i.e.*, the absolute time measured in localticks) is not synchronized across the network and may differ greatly from one node to another.

Suppose at localtick n_i node *i* sends a frame to node *j*. Upon arrival, node *j* inserts this frame into the tail of its elastic buffer. At a later localtick n_j , node *j* pops the frame from the head of its buffer and passes that frame to the core at node *j*, indicating the frame has been *received* by node *j*. The next frame sent from node *i* is sent at localtick $n_i + 1$, and is is received at localtick $n_j + 1$, since frames are received in the same order they are sent. As a result, the difference between the arrival time at node *j* and the transmission time at node *i* is a *constant* $\lambda_{i+j} = n_j - n_i$, called the *logical latency*. Notice that the transmission time is measured in localticks at node *i* and the arrival time is measured in different units, specifically the localticks at node *j*.

1.4 Consequences of logical synchrony

The fact that logical latency is constant means that data transmission and arrival times are *schedulable* ahead of time, before any code is executed. From the perspective of applications running on the system, all they need to know about the network is the logical latencies. Such a network is represented by a graph of nodes with directed edges corresponding to links and with a logical latency associated with each edge. This graph is called a *logical synchrony network* [7]. It holds sufficient information to schedule distributed computation. Moreover, the predictable cycle-accurate timing of all communications means that one can implement distributed computation while avoiding complex mechanisms such as barriers.

Logical synchrony [7] is therefore a computation and communication framework for describing distributed computation networks, which neither requires asynchronous handshakes nor the distribution of wall clock time for offering synchronous network operation. Instead, the constancy of logical latency is leveraged, and frames that are exchanged between the processes in the network are related only via their causal order. It is shown in [7] that the model always leads to an acyclic execution graph as long as the utilized FIFO buffers do not over- or underflow. This allows the use of the distributed event framework of Lamport [11].

A practical consequence of logical synchrony as implemented by bittide is that we can treat multiple, independently clocked nodes as related. That is, the difference between one node's clock counter and a clock counter received from another node is constant. This is similar to crossing two related clock domains on a single chip, where one can ignore FIFO backpressure signals between the two domains and therefore never skip a cycle (In practice, crossings between related domains can use simpler hardware than full FIFOs.) A bittide system lifts this property to a distributed system, opening the door to a wide range of applications. For example, one might use bittide to build very deep computation pipelines, with feedback loops, and these would be logically synchronized even across multiple data centers.

1.5 The bittide mechanism

We give a brief overview of the bittide mechanism here. Further details and background is given in [7, 10]. At any node, the local clock frequency determines the frame transmission rate, while the neighboring node clock frequencies determine the frame arrival rate. Therefore, the elastic buffer occupancy will fluctuate. If the clock at node i is faster than that at node j, then the elastic buffer at node i for the link $j \rightarrow i$ will start to drain. Conversely, it will start to fill if the relative speeds are reversed. Therefore, the occupancy of the elastic buffers provides a signal informing the node about the relative frequency of the node with respect to its neighbors. With only two nodes, there is a simple mechanism for controlling frequency. Each node has an elastic buffer. When its elastic buffer starts to drain, it should reduce the frequency of its clock, and when its elastic buffer starts to fill, it should increase the frequency.

The resulting system behavior is analyzed in [10, 15]. The fundamental property obtained is that the system is *stable*, in that all buffer occupancies and all frequencies converge to a steady state value. Furthermore, all frequencies become *aligned* over time; that is, they converge to the same value. The key property that this mechanism provides therefore is that it prevents the elastic buffers from overflowing or underflowing, and it does so by bringing all frequencies to a common value.

1.6 Overhead

One aspect of the bittide mechanism has *zero* overhead, and that is the basic frequency synchronization scheme. This is because, in physical serial links such as ethernet, bits are being continually transmitted across the link. The physical wire is always full of ones and zeros; sometimes these are application or system data, but the rest of the time this is simply junk bits sent in order to maintain frequency lock when the application has no data to send. The frequency control mechanism continues to operate in the same way, as it is not affected by the contents of the frames, and does not require that specific frames are sent at particular times. It simply observes the frequency of the frame arrival rate.

The frequency is therefore controlled by purely observing the behavior of the lowest layer of the network. It is transparent to applications and protocols at higher levels in the network stack, and it is unaffected by them. The frames serve two purposes; first they (sometimes) contain data, which applications at the nodes send to each other, and second the rate of frame arrival and departure provides timing information. This timing information has no overhead in terms of data, since no explicit communication is used to transmit the timing signal. In this sense, the bittide mechanism incurs no in-band signaling overhead.

The oscillators at each node are adjusted by a feedback control mechanism. This works to track and compensate for small amounts of drift in the frequency of the underlying oscillator. The use of feedback means that the system is regulated against other physical variations in the system, such as temperature variation, or variation in the material or other physical properties of the links.

1.7 Comparison with global approaches

There exist several well-known methods for synchronizing clocks across a network, include Sonet [16], SyncE [17], PTP [8], and White Rabbit [18]. These systems provide accurate bounds on the difference between the value of local clocks and global clocks, and can be used to implement robust higher-level coordination systems for distributed computation. There are advantages and disadvantages found when comparing this approach to bittide. A global clock is easily interpretable by applications, and the ability to synchronize it with wallclock time is important in many applications. In bittide, one does not have a global clock, nor wall-clock time, but the logical time is discrete and absolute, with no need for error-bounds, and so inter-node coordination algorithms can be very simple. Since bittide is a very low-level mechanism with no in-band signaling, coordination can be performed efficiently at the granularity of clock-cycles.

In conventional networks, errors in data transmission may be handled by the network stack, with retransmission happening transparently to the application. In bittide, if computation and computation are scheduled ahead-of-time, then any communication errors must be detected and handled by the application code.

2 Control algorithm

The precise bittide mechanism for general networks is as follows. Let the *unadjusted* frequency of the adjustable clock at node *i* be ω_i^{u} . We choose a multiplier $1 + c_i^{rel}$ such that the clock will have frequency ω_i with

$$\omega_i(t) = \omega_i^{\rm u}(1 + c_i^{\rm rel}(t))$$

where c_i^{rel} is called the *relative correction* at node *i*. Denote by β_{j+i} the buffer occupancy of the elastic buffer at node *i* for the link $j \to i$. Periodically, at each node *i* we observe the occupancy of all of its elastic buffers. Then we choose the relative correction according to

$$c_i^{\text{rel}}(t) = k_p \sum_{j|j \neq i} (\beta_{j \neq i} - \beta^{\text{off}})$$
(1)

In this equation the sum is over all nodes j for which there is a link from j to i. That is, we set the relative frequency of the clock in proportion to the the sum of the elastic buffer occupancies. Here, we normalize the buffer occupancy β_{j+i} by subtracting the offset β^{off} , which is chosen to be the midpoint of the elastic buffer memory. By doing this we ensure that the elastic buffers have room to both shrink and grow as necessary to control the frequencies.

The positive constant k_p is called the *gain*. Intuitively, this does the right thing: frequency gets increased when the buffer occupancies are large. It is shown in [10, 15, 19] that if k_p is sufficiently small then the system is *stable*.

3 Hardware components

Each bittide node consists of three boards; an FPGA development board, a mezzanine card with additional network interfaces, and a variable clock source board. Specifically, these are the Kintex Ultrascale FPGA on a KCU105 evaluation kit, the TEF0008 FPGA mezzanine card (FMC), and the SI5395J-A adaptable clock source on a Skyworks SI5395J-A-EVB evaluation board.

The eight nodes are interconnected via the two dedicated small form-factor pluggable (SFP) connectors of the KCU105 evaluation boards, four additional SFP connections on the TEF0008 FMC card, and a final copper link via the gigabit transceiver (GTH) TX and RX subminiature (SMA) differential pair connectors of the board, creating 28 bidirectional links in total. The setup is shown in Figure 2. The green boards in the background are the KCU105 FPGA evaluation kits with the FMC cards at the top, while the blue boards in the foreground are the Skyworks SI5395J-A-EVB. Each of the adaptable clock sources drives one of the FPGAs.



Figure 2: Physical bittide hardware setup. In front are oscilloscopes, showing (synchronized) clock signals. Behind them are the clock synthesizer boards. In the back are the FPGA development boards.

3.1 Adjustable clock sources

We use the standard term *parts per million* (ppm) for relative differences between frequencies, so that for frequencies ω_a and ω_b we say that ω_a is α ppm higher frequency than ω_b if $\omega_a = (1 + \alpha/10^6)\omega_b$. Similarly for parts per billion (ppb) and parts per trillion (ppt).

The oscillators on the Skyworks clock boards are specified to have an initial accuracy of ± 8 ppm when in standard environmental conditions. Therefore, any two oscillators can differ by roughly 16 ppm. The oscillators are not temperature compensated, and the specification lists a drift of ± 88 ppm over a temperature range from -40 °C to 125 °C. Finally, all other environmental factors are bound within ± 2 ppm, for an overall maximum deviation of ± 98 ppm. It is worth noting that without the frequency control provided by the bittide mechanism, the buffer occupancies will rapidly over- or underflow.

The oscillators are paired with a frequency multiplier/divider circuit that can scale the frequency from 100 Hz to 700 MHz while the clocks are active. The frequencies can be adjusted in steps of 1 ppt, or an arbitrary multiple of it. We configure the boards to have a step size of 0.01 ppm. The frequency can be changed at intervals of 1 µs. A frequency increase (FINC) or decrease (FDEC) is requested over dedicated pins.

A node with m incoming links will have m + 3 clock domains; these are the incoming link clocks, the node clock, the outgoing link clock, and a system clock for programming the boards. Each node local clock is set at nominal frequency 125MHz. This clock is multiplied by a factor of 80 to drive the outgoing serial links at 10GHz. Each frame corresponds to 80 transmitted bits. We use 8b/10b encoding, so every 8 bits of data are encoded into 10 bits on the line. Each frame contains 64 bits of useful data. Each node has 7 incoming links and 7 outgoing links. Some use copper as the transmission medium, others use fiber.

4 Hardware design

We use Clash as the primary hardware description language. Clash is a freely distributed, OSS back-end for the *Glasgow Haskell Compiler* emitting Verilog/VHDL. It allows us to describe hardware using Haskell's strong type system and abstraction capabilities. For this design, three features of Clash were particularly useful:

- *Clock domain crossing safety*: Clash's type system encodes clock domains, preventing implicit (or accidental) crossings. In other hardware design languages, this is a common source of bugs typically only caught after synthesis. This was mostly relevant for the design of the transceiver logic
- Auto-pipelining floating point operations: floating point operations take multiple clock cycles to compute. Inputs and intermediate results therefore need to be appropriately delayed. For example, in the equation $a \cdot b + c$, c needs to be delayed as long as it takes to compute multiplication. Clash can keep track of this on the type level, allowing for functions that insert the appropriate number of registers automatically. See Figure 3 for part of the implementation of clock control.
- General purpose programming: Not only can we describe hardware with Clash, we can also use Haskell's ecosystem to generate experiments, process the captured data, simulate the design, and generate diagrams. Any types or functions used in hardware are naturally in sync with the rest of the tooling due to the shared language front-end.

```
k_p = pure 2e-8
fStep = pure 1e-6
r_k = F.fromS32 (
    (sumTo32 <$> dataCounts)
    - (pure targetCount * nBuffers)
    )
z_kNext = z_k + fmap sign b_k
c_des = delayI k_p 'F.mul' r_k
c_est = delayI (fStep 'F.mul' F.fromS32 z_kNext)
b_kNext =
F.compare c_des c_est <&> \case
F.LT -> SlowDown
F.GT -> SpeedUp
F.EQ -> NoChange
F.NaN -> errorX "NaN: implementation error"
```

Figure 3: Part of the clock control algorithm. Note the use of delayI, which automatically inserts the appropriate number of registers to align the signals.

4.1 System bootup

The different components of our hardware setup need to be turned on in the correct order to bring the whole system into the desired logically synchronous state. The particular boot process can be summarized as follows:

- 1. As the first step, the whole network is powered including all the clock boards and the FPGAs.
- 2. Next, each clock boards is programmed by the corresponding connected FPGA via SPI to output a 200Mhz clock (according to internal reference of the SI5395J-A). This includes the step size of the FINC / FDEC pins is set as required.
- 3. Then, the transceiver links get initiated including the handshakes for frame alignment and clock recovery. Pseudo-random data is used to negotiate the connections at this point. In case a connection cannot be negotiated on the first attempt, the procedure gets repeated until it succeeds. All transceivers must be connected reliably for at least 500ms to continue with the next phase of the boot.
- 4. Finally, a shared trigger of our external monitoring system initializes clock control and the elastic buffers of all nodes. We start all of the nodes at the same point in time to observe each node's clock behavior, similar to [7, 10]. There is no fundamental reason to start all nodes at the same time, but it simplifies the analysis of the system.



Figure 4: Block diagram of clock control circuit of a single node. Thin lines indicate clock signals, while thick lines carry data. Different colors indicate different clock domains. Here CDR denotes *clock and data recovery*, EB denotes *elastic buffer*, and DDC blocks are *domain difference counting*. The ILA is the *integrated logic analyzer*, and C_{ext} the adjustable clock board.

4.2 Domain difference counting

We use \mathbb{N}_n to denote the set of unsigned numbers represented via bit-vectors with n bits and \mathbb{Z}_n to denote the set of signed numbers represented by such vectors, with the MSB being the sign bit. Bit-vectors can be concatenated via the \diamond operator, such that $x \in \mathbb{N}_n$ and $y \in \mathbb{N}_m$ then $x \diamond y \in \mathbb{N}_{n+m}$.

For clock control only the size of the elastic buffer occupancy is relevant, not the actual data. During the initial clock synchronization phase, we thus do not need to store any actual data in the elastic buffers. Instead we can count the number of frames arriving, clk_{rx} , and the number of frames departing, clk_{tx} , and the difference between these two counters is the number of frames that would be in the elastic buffer. These counters are called the *Domain Difference Counters (DDCs)*, and we consider them to act like virtual elastic buffers until all clocks of the bittide network have been synchronized. The advantage of this approach is that, during the initial clock synchronization the elastic buffer occupancies can become large and overflow. Using virtual elastic buffers avoids this. After synchronization, we can use a reframing procedure [15] to recenter the elastic buffers. Data exchange is only of interest when applications are running, which happens after we have synchronized the network. Hence, we can make use of the DDCs at first, and then switch to real elastic buffers afterwards.

We utilize a virtual buffer size of 2^{32} . For readability purposes, we map the occupancy count to a 32-bit signed, where zero indicates *half-full* (or 2^{31} frames). Figure 5 gives an overview of the implementation of the DDCs.

In Figure 5 stateful components have a rectangular shape, while pure combinational transformations have round shapes. The clock and reset signals are implicitly shared along components with state unless separated.



Figure 5: Domain Difference Counting Setup

clk and rst are routed by default. (a) denotes a reset synchronizer and $\[mathbb{l}]$ a falling edge detector. The circuit utilizes msb: $\mathbb{N}_n \to \mathbb{B}$, extracting the most significant bit of a bit-vector, signed: $\mathbb{N}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$, to convert an unsigned number to a signed one via adding the sign bit, $|_n: \mathbb{N}_{n+m} \to \mathbb{N}_n$, for truncating a bit-vector to size n, and $-: \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_n$, for computing the difference between two signed numbers. All displayed stated machines are Mealy machines.

In terms of functionality, the setup utilizes two domain counters (DCs) are updated independently at the rates clk_{rx} of messages being added to the virtual buffer and clk_{tx} of messages being removed. Each individual DC consists of a wrapping counter $Wrap_n$ cycling from 0 up to $2^n - 1$, a gray counter $Gray_n$, synchronizing the wrapping counter to the always-on clock domain via gray code [20]. To prevent circuit logic depth, the gray code synchronization logic is kept fairly small and is later extended to $2^{n+m} - 1$.

In our setup, the extended counters are 64 bits. The subtraction's result is then truncated to 32 bits. This parameter selection is safe, since it takes a 125 MHz clock about 5 millennia to count to 2^{64} . According to the clock generator specifications, clocks can differ a maximum of ± 98 ppm. In a worst case scenario, it would take clocks 24 h of uncorrected use to count to 2^{31} . Both of these durations align well within the time it takes to run our experiments.

4.3 Clock control

Our hardware setup requires clock modifications to be executed stepwise and at discrete points in time via sending FINC / FDEC pulses to the clock boards. The clock control boards operate in the following way; if after reset we apply $n^{\rm inc}$ pulses to the FINC pin, and $n^{\rm dec}$ pulses to the FDEC pin, then the resulting oscillator frequency is

$$\omega^{\mathrm{u}}(1+f_s(n^{\mathrm{inc}}+n^{\mathrm{dec}}))$$

Here f_s is the step size.

We must send the correct number of such pulses to implement the clock correction. Instead of returning a continuous clock correction our controller returns $c_i^{\text{inc}} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ differentiating between three possible *clock* modification directions at a time, *i.e.*

$$c_i^{\text{inc}}(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } c_i^{\text{rel}}(t) < c_i^{\text{est}}(t) \\ 1 & \text{if } c_i^{\text{rel}}(t) > c_i^{\text{est}}(t) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where, c_i^{rel} is the relative correction determined by the clock control algorithm in equation (1). Here c_i^{est} is the *estimated frequency correction*

$$c_i^{\text{est}}(t) = f_s \sum_{t' < t} c_i^{\text{inc}}(t')$$

Note that the sum on the right-hand-side of this equation is a sum over the history of applied increment/decrement signals applied to the clock board at all earlier times. The right-hand side of this equation is therefore equal to the cumulative relative frequency adjustment which is applied by the clock boards.

The output c_i^{inc} of the controller triggers pulses at each sample time t for which $c_i^{\text{inc}}(t) \neq 0$, sending FINC / FDEC pulses to the clock boards for increasing / decreasing the clock frequency according to the sign of c_i^{inc} . When $c_d(t_i) = 0$, the frequency is neither increased nor decreased. The sampling frequency of the controller is set to the maximum speed of 1 MHz allowed by the clock boards.

5 Experiments

5.1 Instrumentation

We periodically store both the clock drift and domain difference counter values (Section 4.2). This data is extracted from the FPGAs at the end of a run, post processed, and exported as a diagram. For the purpose of creating plots, we instrument each of the nodes to measure clock frequency, with an update frequency of 60 ms. Due to limited instrumentation capabilities, this leads to visible noise in these plots. We emphasize that this noise is only in the telemetry, not something that is present internally within the system.

5.2 Clock control settings

We choose the proportional gain $k_p = 0.25$. This value is picked experimentally to make the system converge slowly. Choosing a larger gain will cause faster convergence. The primary limitation here is that a high gain requires a fast sampling rate to ensure stability of the feedback system. Our implementation has sufficiently fast sampling to allow a substantial higher gain, however the telemetry system is limits our ability to collect accurate data from the system in that case, and using a smaller gain allows us to see the system behavior with fewer measuring artifacts. Settings that let the system converge faster are discussed in Section 5.7. After clocks converge, we enable the elastic buffers for logical latency transmission. These buffers are 32 elements deep, and are initialized to half full + 2, *i.e.*, 18 elements.

5.3 Uniform connectivity — the fully connected topology

Our first experiment runs on a fully connected network of eight nodes. Every node is connected to every other node, with 2 m of cable or less. The measured clock frequencies for each node can be found in Figure 6. The buffer occupancy count for each node can be found in Figure 7. The round trip logical latencies for each node can be found in Table 1.

In the clock frequency plot we can see that the frequencies converge and stay within a 1 ppm range to each other. Future implementations of bittide's domain difference counters might operate on clock frequencies native to their transceivers, allowing for synchronization well within a 1 ppb margin. Smaller values will allow bittide to use smaller elastic buffers, but won't affect any other properties. Note that it is impossible for the frequencies to be exactly the same, as their initial offsets are properties of the physical oscillators and are subject to normal manufacturing variability. As discussed above, the clock control mechanism is set so that the frequency is adjustable in steps of 0.1 ppm. We can therefore interpret the these plots as evidence that the clock control continuously adjusts the clock frequencies to be as close as possible to each other, every time under- and overshooting by a small amount.

In the buffer occupancy plot we observe that each buffer converges to a stable value. The plot is almost symmetrical, due to the symmetrical nature of the links and elastic buffers. That is, any node that is slower than its neighbor will both receive fewer frames (filling its own buffer) and send fewer frames (emptying the neighbor's buffer).

Finally, the round trip time (RTT) logical latencies all hover around 69. We discuss this in Section 5.6.



Figure 6: Clock frequencies for the fully connected topology



Figure 7: Buffer occupancy count for the fully connected topology

5.4 Non-uniform connectivity — the hourglass topology

For our second experiment, we use the hourglass topology shown in Figure 8. The clock frequencies for each node can be found in Figure 9. The buffer occupancy count for each node can be found in Figure 10.

The hourglass topology differs from the fully connected topology in that the nodes are not all connected to each other. Instead, two fully connected subgraphs of four nodes each are connected by a single link. Because clock control does not prefer one neighbor to the other, we expect the nodes in the subgraphs to converge to a similar frequency sooner than two nodes in different subgraphs. This is indeed what we observe in the clock frequency plot. The most notable example of this behavior can be seen for node 4 (red), which first gets pulled up to the other nodes in its groups (nodes 5, 6, and 7), after which it gets pulled down again by the nodes in the other group (nodes 0, 1, 2, and 3). Similar behavior can be observed in the buffer occupancy plot.

FPGA	l_1	l_2	l_3	l_4	l_5	l_6	l_7
0	67	69	69	68	69	70	68
1	69	69	68	69	68	68	68
2	69	69	69	69	69	68	67
3	67	69	69	69	69	68	67
4	68	68	69	69	69	68	68
5	68	69	68	68	69	68	68
6	68	68	69	69	69	68	67
7	68	69	69	69	68	70	67

Table 1: Round trip logical latencies for the fully connected experiment, where l_i denotes the *i*th link of each node according to some fixed index mapping



Figure 8: Hourglass and cube topologies used in the non-uniform connectivity experiments. Green links indicate fiber connections, blue links Direct Attach (copper) connections and red links copper connections using an SMA connector.

5.5 Non-uniform connectivity — the cube topology

For our second experiment, we use the cube topology shown in Figure 8. The clock frequencies for each node can be found in Figure 11. The buffer occupancy count for each node can be found in Figure 12.

5.6 The long link experiment

For this experiment we keep all the same variables as in Section 5.3, except for the link between nodes 0 and 2, which is now a 2 km fiber. The clock frequencies for each node can be found in Figure 13. The buffer occupancy count for each node can be found in Figure 14. The round trip logical latencies for each node can be found in Table 2.

The reader would be forgiven for assuming this was the same data from Section 5.3. We observe nearly identical clock frequencies and buffer occupancy counts, suggesting that the system remains insensitive to the link's physical latency, at least at this scale.

When looking a the round trip logical latencies, however, we see an immediate difference: the replaced link jumps out with an RTT logical latency of 1299, an increase of 1230 over its shorter counterpart. This fits our expectations: assuming the speed of light in a fiber is approximately two-thirds of the speed of light in a vac-



Figure 9: Clock frequencies for the non-uniform, hourglass connectivity experiment



Figure 10: Buffer occupancy count for the non-uniform, hourglass topology

uum, a increase of 1998 meter cable should increase the RTT logical latency by 1234 — very close to our actual measurement.

We can also use this as a proxy for estimating how many frames are in transit within the transceivers. First, we estimate that the full length, 2 km, should hold $\frac{2000}{1998}1230 = 1231$ frames. This leaves us with 1299 - 1231 = 68 unaccounted for. Each elastic buffer is responsible for 18 frames (see Section 5.2), leaving us with 68 - 36 = 32 frames, or 16 frames per side of the transceiver.

5.7 Realistic settings experiment

In a realistic setting we would want clock frequencies to converge as quickly as possible, certainly faster than 50 s. To this end we would increase the step size, and pick a more aggressive proportional gain. We set the step size to 0.1 ppm and the proportional gain to $k_p = 25$. The clock frequencies for each node can be found in Figure 15. As expected, the clocks converge much faster: within 300 ms. At the same time we had



Figure 11: Clock frequencies for the non-uniform, cube topology



Figure 12: Buffer occupancy count for the non-uniform, cube topology

to increase the sampling rate to every 20 ms, leading to more jitter in the plots.

5.8 Measured vs calculated clock frequencies

Besides directly measuring the clock frequencies, we also store the accumulated frequency corrections for each measurement. By normalizing both to zero at the last measurement, we can compare the measured and calculated clock frequencies. Figure 16 shows the results for one FPGA in the fully connected topology. While the measured data is noisy, the calculated data is smooth and shows the same trends. This indicates that the clock control itself does not introduce any noise and that the noise in the measured data is due to measurement artifacts.

6 Mathematical modeling and validation

A simple mathematical model for the dynamic behavior of the buffer occupancies in the bittide mechanism



Figure 13: Clock frequencies for the fully connected topology, where the link between nodes 0 and 2 is a 2 km fiber.



Figure 14: Buffer occupancy count for the fully connected topology, where the link between nodes 0 and 2 is a 2 km fiber.

has been developed in [10]. This model is called the *abstract frame model*.

$$\frac{d\theta_i}{dt} = \omega_i(t)$$

$$\beta_{j*i}(t) = \lfloor \theta_j(t - l_{j*i}) \rfloor - \lfloor \theta_i(t) \rfloor + \lambda_{j*i}$$

$$\omega_i(t) = \omega_i^k \quad \text{for } t \in [s_i^k, s_i^{k+1})$$

$$\theta_i(t_i^k) = \theta_i^0 + kp$$

$$\theta_i(s_i^k) = \theta_i^0 + kp + d$$

$$c_i^{\text{rel}}(t_i^k) = k_p \sum_{j|j*i} (\beta_{j*i}(t_i^k) - \beta_i^{\text{off}})$$

$$\omega_i^k = \omega_i^u(1 + c_i^{\text{rel}}(t_i^k))$$

Here $\omega_i(t)$ is the frequency of the clock at node *i* at time *t*, and $\theta_i(t)$ is the corresponding clock phase, measured in units of localticks. Specifically, a localtick is defined to occur whenever θ_i is an integer. The physical latency of the link $j \to i$ is l_{j+i} , and the logical latency is λ_{j+i} . At node *i*, there is an elastic buffer for

FPGA	l_1	l_2	l_3	l_4	l_5	l_6	l_7
0	67	1299	68	69	69	69	68
1	68	68	67	70	69	68	68
2	68	1299	69	69	68	68	68
3	67	68	70	70	69	68	68
4	69	69	68	70	68	68	69
5	68	70	67	69	69	68	69
6	68	69	69	70	69	68	68
7	69	70	70	69	69	69	68

Table 2: Round trip logical latencies for the fully connected topology where the second link l_2 of node 0 is a 2 km fiber. Note that while the link is asymmetric, the round trip logical latencies are symmetric as expected.



Figure 15: Clock frequencies for the fully connected topology, the step size is set to 0.1 ppm and proportional gain to $2 \cdot 10^{-8}$. Due to the speed of convergence we are forced to sample at a higher rate, leading to more jitter in the plots.

each incoming link $j \to i$ with buffer occupancy $\beta_{j \to i}$. The buffer occupancies are measured periodically with period p localticks with respect to the clock at node i. There is a delay of d localticks between the measurement of the buffer occupancy and updating the oscillator frequency. In the above model, t_i^k is the physical time at which measurements occur and s_i^k is the physical time at which oscillator frequency updates occur. The controller sets the oscillator correction $c^{\text{rel}}(t_i^k)$ for node i at time step k. The clock phase at startup is θ_i^0 .

We know almost all of the parameters for this system. There are some parameters which are known only to limited accuracy, in particular the physical latencies l and the oscillator update delays d. However, the behavior of the system is insensitive to both of these parameters. In particular, the lack of latency sensitivity is illustrated by the experiments above where a 2km fiber is used. Not all of the parameters may be chosen independently; for example, the logical latencies λ are determined by the initial clock phases and initial buffer occupancies.

We simulate the system with the hourglass topology,



Figure 16: Measured (in black) and calculated (in red) clock frequencies of one FPGA in the fully connected topology. Clock frequencies are calculated based on accumulated frequency corrections.

and compare with the data measured in the experiment. We used the open-source Callisto [12] simulator. The unknown frequencies ω^{u} for the model are determined by the initial frequencies observed in the hardware, which are at the left-hand edge of Figure 9. We can see that the frequency dynamics of the simulation match closely with the experiment.

There are several purposes of this modeling effort. One of the most important is that, in order to design the frequency control algorithm inside the bittide mechanism, one must select between many possible alternatives. Being able to reproduce reliably the behavior of the system using a simple model allows for fast simulation, as well as affording the possibility of using mathematical tools to predict performance; an example of such prediction is given in [21]. We would also like to understand how the system scales, e.g., how long does it takes for buffer occupancies to converge when there are many thousands of nodes. This can be easily and accurately determined in simulation. An example is shown in Figure 18 of a system with 22^3 nodes arranged in a 3-dimensional torus. This simulation was performed in Callisto, and shows that for such large networks we see the expected convergence of frequencies.

7 Related work

Achieving synchrony in distributed systems is a longstanding challenge. Existing approaches can be broadly categorized by their level of synchronization and reliance on a global time reference.

Clock synchronization and syntonization. Traditional methods, like Network Time Protocol (NTP) [8], aim to align local clocks to a global reference, often a UTC server. More specialized systems, like SONET [16] in telecom and SyncE [17] in networking equipment, strive for clock syntonization, ensuring all reference oscillators maintain the same average frequency. These approaches typically rely on hierarchical clock distribution, deriving timing from upstream communication links and aligning to a global master clock.

Logical synchrony and bittide. In contrast to global clock synchronization, logical synchrony [7] offers a framework for synchronous distributed computation without relying on a global clock. The bittide mechanism, introduced in this paper [10], leverages logical synchrony, enabling precise coordination in a distributed system through decentralized frequency alignment and local elastic buffers. This approach eliminates the need for hierarchical clock distribution and complex hand-shakes, resulting in a scalable and efficient synchronization mechanism.

Theoretical foundations. The theoretical underpinnings of bittide, including its mathematical model, have been explored in previous works [9, 10, 21]. Notably, the model shares similarities with extensively studied linear consensus models found in diverse applications like flocking, averaging models, and congestion control [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35].



Figure 17: Comparison of clock frequencies for the hourglass topology. The black lines are measured from the FPGA, the colored lines are generated by a simulation of the mathematical model.

Synchronous execution and programming models. Lamport's seminal work [11] established the concept of



Figure 18: Clock frequencies for a 3d torus with 22^3 nodes.

consistent event ordering and global logical clocks in distributed systems, leading to the development of vector clocks [36, 37] for capturing this ordering at each node. This paved the way for synchronous execution models [38, 39, 40, 41, 42], particularly relevant for cyber-physical systems, where a global time reference simplifies reasoning, correctness proofs, and algorithm design.

Various synchronous programming languages and models, such as Esterel [43], Lustre [44], Signal [45], Synchronous Dataflow [46], GALS communication models [47], and Timed CSP [48], have emerged, each addressing specific aspects and applications of synchronous computation. These developments demonstrate the diverse landscape of synchronous models and highlight the importance of choosing the right abstraction for a given distributed system [49, 50].

8 Conclusions

This work presents the first hardware implementation of the bittide synchronization mechanism, demonstrating its ability to achieve network-wide frequency alignment and establish a consistent notion of logical time. Through experiments on an 8-node network of FPGA boards, we validated that bittide effectively synchronizes clocks across a range of network topologies, including fully connected, hourglass, and cube configurations, even in the presence of varying physical link latencies. Our hardware implementation successfully converges to a stable state where all nodes operate continuously within sufficiently tight frequency bounds to avoid any buffer over- or under-runs. We also verified that the logical latencies in the system remain stable and predictable, even when a long 2km fiber link is introduced. This robust behavior confirms the effectiveness of the decentralized clock control mechanism and the insensitivity of the system to physical latency variations, aligning with theoretical predictions and simulations.

bittide is a fundamentally deterministic network design which allows scheduling of compute and communication together, which in turn allows a level of efficiency otherwise impossible. Techniques like dynamic flow control and wall clock synchronization impose nondeterminism and overhead costs. Our solution allows these to be eliminated for performance critical applications with predictable resource needs.

This work concretely shows that bittide is implementable, and therefore suggests it should be considered as a practical, scalable, and efficient synchronization solution for distributed systems. The hardware implementation lays the foundation for future research exploring bittide performance at larger scales, its application in real-world distributed computing scenarios, and the development of higher-level programming abstractions built upon its robust logical synchrony guarantees.

9 Acknowledgments

The research in this paper came about through a great deal of collaborative effort. In particular, we would like to thank Lucas Bollen, Lara Herzog, Hidde Moll, and Leon Schoorl for their extensive work on the bittide implementation. We thank Călin Caşcaval for his careful review of the draft manuscript and for a longstanding and fruitful collaboration.

References

- R. Taft, I. Sharif, A. Matei, N. VanBenschoten, J. Lewis, T. Grieger, K. Niemi, A. Woods, A. Birzin, R. Poss, P. Bardea, A. Ranade, B. Darnell, B. Gruneir, J. Jaffray, L. Zhang, and P. Mattis. CockroachDB: The resilient geo-distributed SQL database. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM* SIGMOD international conference on management of data, pages 1493–1509, 2020.
- [2] Y. Li, G. Kumar, H. Hariharan, H. Wassel, P. Hochschild, D. Platt, S. Sabato, M. Yu, N. Dukkipati, P. Chandra, and A. Vahdat. Sundial: Fault-tolerant clock synchronization for datacenters. In 14th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 20), pages 1171–1186, November 2020.
- [3] J. C. Corbett, J. Dean, M. Epstein, Andrew Fikes, C. Frost, J. J. Furman, S. Ghemawat, A. Gubarev, C. Heiser, P. Hochschild, W. Hsieh, S. Kanthak, E. Kogan, H. Li, A. Lloyd, S. Melnik, D. Mwaura, D. Nagle, S. Quinlan, R. Rao, L. Rolig, Y. Saito,

M. Szymaniak, C. Taylor, R. Wang, and D. Woodford. Spanner: Google's globally distributed database. *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, 31(3):1–22, 2013.

- [4] E. Gupta, P. Goyal, I. Marinos, C. Zhao, R. Mittal, and R. Chandra. DBO: Fairness for cloud-hosted financial exchanges. In *Proceedings of ACM SIG-COMM*, pages 550–563, 2023.
- [5] S. Bateni, M. Lohstroh, H. S. Wong, R. Tabish, H. Kim, S. Lin, C. Menard, C. Liu, and E. A. Lee. Xronos: Predictable coordination for safety-critical distributed embedded systems, 2022. https:// arxiv.org/abs/2207.09555.
- [6] M. Cowan, S. Maleki, M. Musuvathi, O. Saarikivi, and Y. Xiong. MSCCLang: Microsoft collective communication language. In ACM International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems, pages 502–514, 2023.
- [7] S. Lall, C. Caşcaval, M. Izzard, and T. Spalink. Logical synchrony and the bittide mechanism. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 35(11):1936–1948, November 2024.
- [8] Precision clock synchronization protocol for networked measurement and control systems. IEEE standard 2021.9456762.
- [9] T. Spalink. Deterministic sharing of distributed resources. Princeton University, 2006.
- [10] S. Lall, C. Caşcaval, M. Izzard, and T. Spalink. Modeling and control of bittide synchronization. In Proceedings of the American Control Conference, pages 5185–5192, 2022. Available at https: //arxiv.org/abs/2109.14111.
- [11] L. Lamport. Time, clocks, and the ordering of events in a distributed system. *Communications* of the ACM, 21(7):558–565, 1978.
- [12] Callisto: Simulator of bittide clock synchronization dynamics. https://github.com/bittide/ Callisto.jl.
- [13] Aegir: Multi-level bittide functional simulator. https://github.com/bittide/aegir.
- [14] The bittide-hardware implementation of the bittide system. https://github.com/bittide/ bittide-hardware.
- [15] S. Lall, C. Caşcaval, M. Izzard, and T. Spalink. On buffer centering for bittide synchronization. In International Conference on Control, Decision, and Information Technologies, 2023. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.11467.

- [16] Telcordia GR-253. Synchronous Optical Network (SONET) Transport Systems: Common Generic Criteria, 2000.
- [17] SyncE. Timing and Synchronization Aspects in Packet Networks. ITU-T G.8261/Y.1361.
- [18] M. Lipinski, T. Wlostowski, J. Serrano, and P. Alvarez. White rabbit: a PTP application for robust sub-nanosecond synchronization. In *IEEE International Symposium on Precision Clock Synchronization for Measurement, Control and Communication*, pages 25–30, 2011.
- [19] S. Lall and T. Spalink. Modeling buffer occupancy in bittide systems. To appear, American Control Conference, 2025.
- [20] F. Gray. Pulse code communication. United States Patent Number 2632058, 1953. https://cir.nii. ac.jp/crid/1572261550584107136.
- [21] S. Lall, C. Caşcaval, M. Izzard, and T. Spalink. Resistance distance and control performance for bittide synchronization. In *Proceedings of the European Control Conference*, pages 1850–1857, 2022. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2111.05296.
- [22] F. Dorfler and F. Bullo. Synchronization in Complex Networks of Phase Oscillators: A Survey. Automatica, 50(6):1539–1564, 2014.
- [23] L. Moreau. Stability of Continuous-time Distributed Consensus Algorithms. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, volume 4, pages 3998–4003, 2004.
- [24] C. W. Reynolds. Flocks, herds and schools: a distributed behavioral model. In *Proceedings 14th* ACM SIGGRAPH, pages 25–34, 1987.
- [25] W. K. Hastings. Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications. Oxford University Press, 1970.
- [26] S. P. Boyd, P. Diaconis, and L. Xiao. Fastest mixing Markov chain on a graph. *SIAM Review*, 46(4): 667–689, 2004.
- [27] F. P. Kelly, A. K. Maulloo, and D. Tan. Rate control for communication networks: shadow prices, proportional fairness and stability. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 49(3):237–252, 1998.
- [28] S. Strogatz. From Kuramoto to Crawford: exploring the onset of synchronization in populations of coupled oscillators. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenom*ena, 143(1-4):1–20, 2000.
- [29] F. Dorfler and F. Bullo. Synchronization and transient stability in power networks and nonuniform kuramoto oscillators. SIAM Journal on Con-

trol and Optimization, 50(3):1616–1642, 2012. doi: 10.1137/110851584.

- [30] D. Swaroop and J. K. Hedrick. String stability of interconnected systems. *IEEE Transactions on Au*tomatic Control, 41(3):349–357, 1996.
- [31] R. Olfati-Saber and R.M. Murray. Consensus problems in networks of agents with switching topology and time-delays. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 49(9):1520–1533, 2004. doi: 10.1109/TAC. 2004.834113.
- [32] W. Wang and J.-J. E. Slotine. On partial contraction analysis for coupled nonlinear oscillators. *Biological Cybernetics*, 92(1):38–53, 2005.
- [33] D. Burbano Lombana and M. di Bernardo. Distributed PID control for consensus of homogeneous and heterogeneous networks. *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems*, 2(2):154–163, 2015.
- [34] R. A. Freeman, P. Yang, and K. M. Lynch. Stability and convergence properties of dynamic average consensus estimators. In *Proceedings of the 45th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 338–343, 2006.
- [35] M. Andreasson, D. V. Dimarogonas, H. Sandberg, and K. H. Johansson. Distributed control of networked dynamical systems: Static feedback, integral action and consensus. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 59(7):1750–1764, 2014.
- [36] F. Mattern. Virtual time and global states of distributed systems. In Proceedings of the workshop on parallel and distributed algorithms, pages 215– 226, 1989.
- [37] C. J. Fidge. Timestamps in message-passing systems that preserve the partial ordering. Australian Computer Science Communications, 10(1):56–66, February 1988.
- [38] Leslie Lamport. The implementation of reliable distributed multiprocess systems. Computer Networks, 2(2):95–114, 1978.
- [39] C. Dwork, N. Lynch, and L. Stockmeyer. Consensus in the presence of partial synchrony. *Journal of* the ACM, 35(2):288–323, 1988.
- [40] B. Liskov. Practical uses of synchronized clocks in distributed systems. In Proceedings of the ACM symposium on principles of distributed computing, pages 1–9, 1991.
- [41] P. Le Guernic, J.-P. Talpin, and J.-C. Le Lann. Polychrony for system design. *Journal of Circuits*, *Systems, and Computers*, 12(03):261–303, 2003.
- [42] E. A. Lee. Computing needs time. Communications of the ACM, 52(5):70–79, 2009.

- [43] G. Berry. The Foundations of Esterel, 1998.
- [44] N. Halbwachs, P. Caspi, P. Raymond, and D. Pilaud. The synchronous data flow programming language LUSTRE. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 79(9): 1305–1320, 1991.
- [45] A. Benveniste, P. Le Guernic, and C. Jacquemot. Synchronous programming with events and relations: the SIGNAL language and its semantics. *Science of Computer Programming*, 16(2):103–149, 1991.
- [46] E. A. Lee and D. G. Messerschmitt. Static scheduling of synchronous data flow programs for digital signal processing. *IEEE Transactions on Comput*ers, C-36(1):24–35, 1987.
- [47] D. Potop-Butucaru, B. Caillaud, and A. Benveniste. Concurrency in synchronous systems. In International conference on application of concurrency to system design, pages 67–76, 2004.
- [48] G. M. Reed and A. W. Roscoe. Metric spaces as models for real-time concurrency. In Workshop on mathematical foundations of programming language semantics, pages 331–343, 1988.
- [49] A. Benveniste, P. Caspi, S.A. Edwards, N. Halbwachs, P. Le Guernic, and R. de Simone. The synchronous languages 12 years later. *Proceedings of* the IEEE, 91(1):64–83, 2003.
- [50] K. Didier, A. Cohen, D. Potop-Butucaru, and A. Gauffriau. Sheep in wolf's clothing: Implementation models for dataflow multi-threaded software. In *International Conference on Application* of *Concurrency to System Design*, pages 43–52, June 2019.