

Viscous approximation of triangular system in 1-d with nonlinear viscosity

Boris Haspot^{1,a} and Animesh Jana^{2,b}

^aUniversité Paris Dauphine, PSL Research University, CEREMADE (UMR CNRS 7534), Place du Maréchal De Lattre De Tassigny 75775 Paris cedex 16, France.

^bIstituto di Matematica Applicata e Tecnologie Informatiche “Enrico Magenes” - Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Via Ferrata, 5/a - 27100 Pavia, Italy.

¹*haspot@ceremade.dauphine.fr*

²*animesh.jana@imati.cnr.it*

Abstract

We study the vanishing viscosity limit for 2×2 triangular system of hyperbolic conservation laws when the viscosity coefficients are non linear. In this article, we assume that the viscosity matrix $B(u)$ is commuting with the convective part $A(u)$. We show the existence of global smooth solution to the parabolic equation satisfying uniform total variation bound in ε provided that the initial data is small in BV . This extends the previous result of Bianchini and Bressan [Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. (2002)] which was considering the case $B(u) = I$.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 | Presentation of the results | 5 |
| 2 | Parabolic estimates | 6 |
| 3 | Gradient decomposition | 21 |
| 4 | Form of the remainder terms | 28 |
| 5 | Shortening curves | 32 |
| 5.1 | Scalar conservation laws | 32 |
| 5.2 | Length Functional | 37 |
| 6 | Transversal interaction and energy estimates | 37 |
| 7 | Stability | 40 |
| 7.1 | Parabolic estimates | 41 |
| 7.2 | Gradient decomposition | 41 |

1 Introduction

It is well known that hyperbolic systems generally generate infinitely many weak solutions, in order to overcome this lack of uniqueness it is relevant to consider processes of vanishing viscous limits in order to select the relevant physical solutions for systems of nonlinear hyperbolic systems. In this article, we are interested in studying the vanishing viscosity limit for the following hyperbolic triangular system in one dimension which reads as follows

$$\begin{cases} u_{1,t} + (f(u_1))_x = 0, \\ u_{2,t} + (g(u_1, u_2))_x = 0, \end{cases} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where f and g are smooth functions. This system appears in various physics and engineering models, including multi-component chromatography, two-phase flow in porous media and sedimentation processes (see [5, 15, 16] and references therein for more detailed discussion on applications of the system). Here, we consider the flux functions f and g such that the system of equations (1.1) becomes strictly hyperbolic (see (1.6) below for more precise condition). We study now the following viscosity approximation,

$$\begin{cases} u_{1,t} + (f(u_1))_x = \varepsilon(\alpha_1(u_1)u_{1,x})_x, \\ u_{2,t} + (g(u_1, u_2))_x = \varepsilon[(\beta(u_1, u_2)u_{1,x})_x + (\alpha_2(u_1, u_2)u_{2,x})_x], \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$. The system (1.2) is supplemented with the following initial condition,

$$u(0, \cdot) = \bar{u}, \quad (1.3)$$

where \bar{u} will be assumed small in TV , the Total Variation. In this article, we prove the global existence of smooth solution to (1.2) and study the vanishing viscosity limit, that is, to show that the limit of $(u_1^\varepsilon, u_2^\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is solution of the system (1.1). We can write (1.1) in the following form

$$u_t + A(u)u_x = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $A(u)$ is determined as follows

$$A(u) = \begin{pmatrix} f'(u_1) & 0 \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.5)$$

We can note that the eigenvalues of $A(u)$ are $\lambda_1(u) = f'(u_1)$ and $\lambda_2(u) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2}(u)$. We assume now the following strict hyperbolicity condition:

$$\lambda_2(u) - \lambda_1(u) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2}(u) - f'(u_1) \geq c > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

for u in a neighborhood of a compact K with $\{\bar{u}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ included in K . It is well known that under the condition (1.6) the system (1.1) admits a unique global solution provided that the initial data $u(0) = \bar{u}$ is sufficiently small in $BV(\mathbb{R})$ (see [4, 6, 12]), the uniqueness is defined in a suitable class of solutions which satisfied in particular the *Liu condition* (we

refer also to [7]). To study the vanishing viscosity limit for (1.1) we recall that we assume that \bar{u} is small in $BV(\mathbb{R})$ so that we enter in the framework of the theory of existence and uniqueness previously mentioned. Next, we would like to write the parabolic system of equations (1.2) in the following form

$$u_t + A(u)u_x = \varepsilon(B(u)u_x)_x, \quad (1.7)$$

where B is defined as follows

$$B(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(u_1) & 0 \\ \beta(u) & \alpha_2(u) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.8)$$

In addition, we assume that the coefficients α_i are smooth functions such that there exists $c_1, M > 0$ such that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$0 < c_1 \leq \alpha_i(u) \leq M < +\infty. \quad (1.9)$$

In the sequel we deal with a viscosity matrix $B(u)$ which commutate with the convective matrix $A(u)$. Note that to satisfy the condition $AB = BA$, the function $\beta(u)$ must satisfy the following condition

$$\beta(u) = (\alpha_1(u_1) - \alpha_2(u))\gamma(u) \text{ where } \gamma(u) = \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}(u)}{f'(u_1) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2}(u)}. \quad (1.10)$$

This yields that the matrices $A(u), B(u)$ have same eigenvectors for any $u \in K$.

We can observe that by using the following rescaling of coordinates $s = \frac{t}{\varepsilon}$ and $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$ we are reduced to study the following system :

$$\begin{cases} u_s + A(u)u_y = (B(u)u_y)_y, \\ u(0, \cdot) = \bar{u}(\varepsilon \cdot). \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

We point out here that the total variation of \bar{u}^ε is independent of ε , in other term the BV space is invariant by the scaling $x \rightarrow \varepsilon x$. We are finally reduced to study the system (1.2) with $\varepsilon = 1$.

The study of vanishing viscosity limit for system of conservation laws can be found in [2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 13, 17] including in particular the so called case of compressible Euler equations when we consider the viscous approximation issue of the compressible Navier-Stokes system (see in particular [8, 9], it is important to point out that the study is particularly delicate in this case since the the viscosity is only partial, in other words $B(u)$ is not invertible). We refer to [1, 18] for the study of vanishing viscosity limit for system of conservation laws with boundaries. Here, we briefly discuss a few of them which are relevant to the setting and techniques of the current article. When the convective matrix A belongs to the Temple class, that is when each characteristic is a straight line, the existence, the uniform TV estimates in ε and the L^1 Lipschitz estimate for the system (1.7) are established in [2] for $B = I$. We have studied the vanishing viscosity limit for the Temple class hyperbolic system in [14] when the matrices A and B share same eigenvectors. Furthermore, Bianchini and Bressan [3, 4] developed a powerful method for studying the vanishing viscosity limit by decomposing the gradient of solution as a sum of the gradient of viscous travelling wave and by introducing suitable functionals for carefully analyzing all interaction terms. In other words Bianchini and Bressan decompose the solution $u_x = \sum_i v_i \tilde{r}_i(u, v_i, \sigma_i)$ into scalar

components in a basis $(\tilde{r}_i(u, v_i, \sigma_i))_{\{1 \leq i \leq n\}}$ selected by a center manifold technique which is suitable inasmuch as it enables to estimate in particular the TV bound of the viscous travelling wave. It is important to point out that generally in the purely hyperbolic case without viscosity, we decompose u_x along a basis $\{r_1(u), \dots, r_n(u)\}$ of eigenvectors of the matrix $A(u)$, however this choice does not work here due to the fact that gradient of the i -viscous travelling waves U' is not necessarily collinear to $r_i(U)$. In particular this aspect is crucial for obtaining BV estimates when A is not in Temple class (in fact when A is Temple we can *a priori* decompose the gradient u_x in the basis $\{r_1(u), \dots, r_n(u)\}$ provided that A and B are commuting because in this case gradient of the i -viscous travelling wave are collinear to $r_i(U)$). In these articles [3, 4], the viscosity matrix B is taken to be I . Here we study the vanishing viscosity limit for triangular system (1.1) when A and B have same eigenvectors. Now, we would like to highlight the following key points of the current article.

- It deals with a class of nonlinear viscosity matrix $B(u)$ when the hyperbolic part $A(u)$ is not Temple class. This extends the result of [3] from $B(u) = I$ to the class of matrices which are diagonalizable with strictly positive eigenvalues and satisfying the relation $AB = BA$. Since $A(u)$ is not necessarily Temple class the gradient of the viscous travelling waves are not in the direction of eigenvectors. Therefore, as in [3, 4] we need to decompose u_x in the basis of gradient of travelling waves as follows

$$u_x = \sum_{i=1}^2 v_i \tilde{r}_i(u, v_i, \sigma_i). \text{ In particular each } v_i \text{ satisfies the following equation:}$$

$$\partial_t v_i + \partial_x(\tilde{\lambda}_i v_i) - \partial_x(\alpha_i \partial_x v_i) = \phi_i,$$

with $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ well chosen and ϕ_i a remainder term. Due to the fact that we deal with a variable viscosity matrix $B(u)$, we get new terms in ϕ_i the forcing part of the parabolic equations which govern v_i . As in [3, 4], the proof is decomposed in two part, the first one consists in collecting parabolic estimates on the solution u which allows in particular to prove that $\|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}$ remains small until a time \hat{t} . This time \hat{t} can be seen as a threshold time which delimits the parabolic behavior of the system and the hyperbolic behavior of the equations. In our case since we deal with variable viscosity coefficients, compared with [3], we need to develop new parabolic estimates. To do this by a suitable change of variable on u_x we manage to diagonalize the system in a such way that we can extend the analysis of parabolic estimates done in [3, 4].

- There exist new terms of the form $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(w_{1,xx}v_1 - w_1v_{1,xx})$ in φ_2 which does not appear in the analysis of [3] (here $v_1 = u_{1,x}$ and $w_1 = u_{1,t}$). It is needless to say that for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ these terms do not appear. In particular for $B = \alpha(u)I$ we do not need to deal with such terms. To resolve this issue, we introduce a new variable z . We discuss below where we mention how this new variable enable us to estimate this new term in $L_t^1 L_x^1$ norm.

To prove the global existence of smooth solution and uniform total variation estimate we adapt the method developed by Bianchini and Bressan [2, 3, 4]. As we have mentioned earlier, we need to decompose u_x in the basis of travelling waves which enables us to diagonalize the system, and to deal only with scalar equations on v_1 and v_2 . In our case $\phi_1 = 0$ and ϕ_2 is

composed of terms of the following form which exists in the analysis of [2, 3, 4]

$$v_1 v_2, v_1 v_{2,x}, v_{1,x} v_2, (w_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} w_1), v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 \chi_{\left\{ \left| \frac{w_1}{v_1} \right| \leq \delta_1 \right\}} \text{ and } w_1^2 \chi_{\left\{ \left| \frac{w_1}{v_1} \right| \geq \delta_1 \right\}}.$$

Additionally, the force ϕ_2 contains a term of the form

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(w_{1,xx} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,xx}),$$

which requires to be estimated in $L_{t,x}^1$ norm. In order to handle this new difficulty we introduce a new variable $z_1 = \alpha_1 w_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 w_1$ which can be seen as *an effective flux* for the parabolic equation of w_1 . Thanks to the structure of the system the new variable z_1 satisfies a parabolic equation

$$z_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 z_1)_x - (\alpha_1 z_{1,x})_x = \phi,$$

where remainder term ϕ can be bound by $z_{1,x} v_1 - z_1 v_{1,x}$ and $w_{1,x} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,x}$. See (5.7) for detailed definition of ϕ . By adapting the area functional formula from Bianchini and Bressan [3, 4] we can prove the required $L_t^1 L_x^1$ estimate for the following terms

$$z_{1,x} v_1 - z_1 v_{1,x}.$$

Then we can estimate $v_{1,xx} w_1 - w_{1,xx} v_1$ by $z_{1,x} v_1 - z_1 v_{1,x}$ and $w_{1,x} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,x}$ by applying a bootstrap arguments. In section 7, we study the stability of solution. We consider an initial data $\bar{u}^\theta := \theta \bar{u} + (1-\theta) \bar{v}$ and u^θ is the corresponding to initial data \bar{u}^θ . As in [2, 3, 4], $h := \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}$ satisfies a linear equation (see (7.4)). We decompose h in the basis of travelling waves. Then the unknowns h_1, h_2 satisfies parabolic equations with force. In the equation for h_2 , there appears new term like $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx})$ and $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(h_{1,xx} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,xx})$. We resolve the issue by defining a new quantity $\hat{h}_1 = \alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1$. Again, due to the structure of the system, we obtain that \hat{h}_1 satisfies the following parabolic equation,

$$\hat{h}_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \hat{h}_1)_x - (\alpha_1 \hat{h}_{1,x})_x = \hat{\phi}_1,$$

where remainder term $\hat{\phi}_1$ can be bound by $\hat{h}_{1,x} v_1 - \hat{h}_1 v_{1,x}$, $h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}$ and $w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}$. Again by using the area functional formula from Bianchini and Bressan [3, 4] we can prove the required $L_t^1 L_x^1$ estimate.

Rest of the article is organized as follows. In the next subsection 1.1, we state the main result on existence and stability of global smooth solution for (1.11). In section 2 and 3, we study the parabolic estimates of the solution and the decomposition of u_x respectively. The remainder terms are calculated in section 4. We study the global existence of smooth solution by proving uniform total variation estimate which is followed from an interaction estimates which can be found in section 5 and 6. We prove the stability in section 7. Finally, we establish the vanishing viscosity limit in section 8.

1.1 Presentation of the results

Now, we state the main result of the article.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the following hyperbolic system with viscosity,*

$$u_t + A(u)u_x = (B(u)u_x)_x, \quad u(0, x) = \bar{u}(x), \quad (1.12)$$

where A and B are defined as in (1.5) and (1.8). We assume that f and g are smooth

functions verifying the strict hyperbolicity condition (1.6) in a neighborhood of a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and that A and B are commuting such that the condition (1.10) is satisfied. There exists $L_1, L_2, L_3 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following holds. If \bar{u} satisfies

$$TV(\bar{u}) \leq \delta_0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{u}(x) = u^* \in K, \quad (1.13)$$

then there exists unique solution u to the Cauchy problem (1.12) and it satisfies the following properties for any $t, s \geq 0$

$$TV(u(t)) \leq L_1 TV(\bar{u}), \quad (1.14)$$

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^1} \leq L_2 \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|_{L^1}, \quad (1.15)$$

$$\|u(t) - u(s)\|_{L^1} \leq L_3 \left(|t - s| + \left| \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s} \right| \right), \quad (1.16)$$

where v is the unique solution of (1.12) with initial data \bar{v} satisfying (1.13).

Remark 1.2. We would like to point out that in the previous Theorem our analysis does not require to consider a conservative system. Indeed we could deal with a triangular matrix $A(u)$ which does not satisfy necessary $A(u) = Df(u)$ with $f = (f_1, g)$.

We deduce the following results on the vanishing viscosity limit process.

Corollary 1.3. Consider the Cauchy problem hyperbolic system with vanishing viscosity,

$$u_t^\varepsilon + A(u^\varepsilon)u_x^\varepsilon = \varepsilon(B(u^\varepsilon)u_x^\varepsilon)_x, \quad u^\varepsilon(0, x) = \bar{u}(x). \quad (1.17)$$

We assume that the drift A and viscosity matrix B satisfy the same conditions as in the Theorem 1.1. There exists $L_1, L_2, L_3 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following holds. If \bar{u} satisfies

$$TV(\bar{u}) \leq \delta_0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{u}(x) \in K, \quad (1.18)$$

for some compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ then there exists unique solution u^ε to the Cauchy problem (1.17) and it satisfies the following properties

$$TV(u^\varepsilon(t)) \leq L_1 TV(\bar{u}), \quad (1.19)$$

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t) - v^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^1} \leq L_2 \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|_{L^1}, \quad (1.20)$$

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^1} \leq L_3 \left(|t - s| + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left| \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s} \right| \right), \quad (1.21)$$

where v^ε is the unique solution corresponding to \bar{v} satisfying (1.18).

Furthermore, for any $t \geq 0$ the sequence $(u^\varepsilon(t))_{\varepsilon > 0}$ converges to $u(t)$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ topology which is the unique solution to hyperbolic system (1.1).

2 Parabolic estimates

We wish now to prove different regularity estimates for solutions of (1.11). In the sequel we consider a global BV solution $u = u(t, x)$ of (1.11) satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u(t, x) = u^*$, we observe easily that u^* does not depend on the time t . We denote by

$$P(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\gamma(u) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P^{-1}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma(u) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy

$$P(u)A(u)P^{-1}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} f'_1(u_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2}(u) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P(u)B(u)P^{-1}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(u_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2(u) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, we indicate the eigenvectors of $A(u)$ as follows

$$r_1(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma(u) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } r_2(u) = r_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \gamma(u) = \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}(u)}{f'(u_1) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2}(u)}. \quad (2.2)$$

We can note that the previous formula is well defined due to the strict hyperbolicity assumption (1.6). We consider in the sequel the vectors l_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ with $(l_i)_j = 1$ if $j = i$ and 0 otherwise.

Note that $A(u) = DF(u)$ with $F = (f, g)^T$, it implies in particular that the system is conservative. In the sequel we consider A_1, B_1 defined as follows

$$A_1(u) = \begin{pmatrix} f'_1(u_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2}(u) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B_1(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(u_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2(u) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking now the derivative of (1.11), we get:

$$u_{x,t} + A(u)u_{xx} = (B(u)u_{xx})_x + \mathcal{R}, \quad (2.3)$$

with:

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha'_1(u_1)(u_{1,x})^2)_x - f''(u_1)(u_{1,x})^2 \\ (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\beta(u))u_{1,x})_x + (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\alpha_2(u))u_{2,x})_x \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

We wish now as in [14] to diagonalize the previous system, then we consider in this section the unknown $w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = P(u)u_x = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,x} \\ -\gamma(u)u_{1,x} + u_{2,x} \end{pmatrix}$. We want to use the equation (2.3) and the matrix $P(u)$. The direct computations give

$$P(u)u_{x,t} = w_t - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\gamma(u))w_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P(u)A(u)u_{xx} = A_1(u)w_x + A_1(u) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\gamma(u))w_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

$$P(u)(B(u)u_{xx})_x = P(u)B(u)u_{xxx} + P(u) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_1(u_1)u_{1,x}u_{1,xx} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\beta(u))u_{1,xx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\alpha_2(u))u_{2,xx} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the fact that $P(u)u_{xxx} = w_{xx} + \mathcal{R}_1$ with $\mathcal{R}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xx} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} \end{pmatrix}$ it

follows that

$$P(u)B(u)u_{xxx} = B_1(u)w_{xx} + B_1(u)\mathcal{R}_1 \quad (2.6)$$

Setting

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_1(u_1)u_{1,x}u_{1,xx} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\beta(u))u_{1,xx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\alpha_2(u))u_{2,xx} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{R}_4 = A_1(u) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} \end{pmatrix},$$

and combining (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6) it yields

$$w_t + A_1(u)w_x - B_1(u)w_{xx} = B_1(u)\mathcal{R}_1 + P(u)\mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_4 + P(u)\mathcal{R}. \quad (2.7)$$

We can simplify \mathcal{R}_3 and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{R}_3)_2 &= u_{1,x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \gamma(u) (-f'(u_1)u_{1,x} + \alpha'_1(u_1)(u_{1,x})^2 + \alpha_1(u_1)u_{1,xx}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} \gamma(u) \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} g(u)u_{1,x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} g(u)u_{2,x} + (\beta(u)u_{1,x} + \alpha_2(u)u_{2,x,x}) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We have in particular obtained the following system:

$$\begin{cases} w_{1,t} + f'_1(u_1)w_{1,x} - \alpha_1(u_1)w_{1,xx} = (B_1(u)\mathcal{R}_1 + P(u)\mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_4 + P(u)\mathcal{R})_1, \\ w_{2,t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} g(u)w_{2,x} - \alpha_2(u)w_{2,xx} = (B_1(u)\mathcal{R}_1 + P(u)\mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_4 + P(u)\mathcal{R})_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

As in [14], we set $w_1(t, x) = \tilde{w}_1(t, X_1(t, x))$, $w_2(t, x) = \tilde{w}_1(t, X_2(t, x))$ with $(X_1)_x(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_1(u_1(t, x))}}$ and $(X_2)_x(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2(u(t, x))}}$. It implies that for $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} w_{i,t}(t, x) = \tilde{w}_{i,t}(t, X_i(t, x)) + \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, X_i(t, x))X_{i,t}(t, x), \\ w_{i,x}(t, x) = \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, X_i(t, x)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_i(u(t, x))}}, \\ w_{i,xx}(t, x) = \tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, X_i(t, x)) \frac{1}{\alpha_i(u(t, x))} \\ \quad - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i(u(t, x)))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(t, x))u_{1,x}(t, x) \right. \\ \quad \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(t, x))u_{2,x}(t, x) \right) \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, X_i(t, x)). \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &w_{i,xxx}(t, x) \\ &= \tilde{w}_{i,xxx}(t, X_i(t, x)) \frac{1}{\alpha_i^{3/2}(u(t, x))} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2\alpha_i^2(u(t, x))} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(t, x))u_{1,x}(t, x) + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(t, x))u_{2,x}(t, x) \right) \tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, X_i(t, x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4\alpha_i^{5/2}(u(t, x))} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(t, x))u_{1,x}(t, x) + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(t, x))u_{2,x}(t, x) \right)^2 \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, X_i(t, x)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\alpha_i^{3/2}(u(t, x))} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_i}{\partial u_1^2}(u(t, x))u_{1,x}(t, x) + \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_i}{\partial u_2 \partial u_1}(u(t, x))u_{2,x}(t, x) \right) u_{1,x}(t, x) \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, X_i(t, x)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\alpha_i^{3/2}(u(t, x))} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \alpha_i}{\partial u_1 \partial u_2}(u(t, x))u_{1,x}(t, x) + \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_i}{\partial u_2^2}(u(t, x))u_{2,x}(t, x) \right) u_{2,x}(t, x) \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, X_i(t, x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2\alpha_i^{3/2}(u(t, x))} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(t, x))u_{1,xx}(t, x) + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(t, x))u_{2,xx}(t, x) \right) \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, X_i(t, x)). \quad (2.11)$$

Since X_i is invertible from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} we obtain the following system on \tilde{w}_i ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{w}_{1,t} + \frac{f'_1(u_1)}{\sqrt{\alpha_1(u_1)}}(\cdot, X_1^{-1})\tilde{w}_{1,x} - \tilde{w}_{1,xx} \\ \quad = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1(u_1(\cdot, X_1^{-1})))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial u_1}(u(\cdot, X_1^{-1}))u_{1,x}(\cdot, X_1^{-1})\right. \\ \quad \quad \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial u_2}(u(\cdot, X_1^{-1}))u_{2,x}(\cdot, X_1^{-1})\right)\tilde{w}_{1,x} + \mathcal{G}_1(\cdot, X_1^{-1}) - \tilde{w}_{1,x}X_{1,t}(\cdot, X_1^{-1}), \\ \tilde{w}_{2,t} + \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}g(u)}{\sqrt{\alpha_2(u)}}(\cdot, X_2^{-1})\tilde{w}_{2,x} - \tilde{w}_{2,xx} \\ \quad = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2(u(\cdot, X_2^{-1})))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_1}(u(\cdot, X_2^{-1}))u_{1,x}(\cdot, X_2^{-1})\right. \\ \quad \quad \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial u_2}(u(\cdot, X_2^{-1}))u_{2,x}(\cdot, X_2^{-1})\right)\tilde{w}_{2,x} + \mathcal{G}_2(\cdot, X_2^{-1}) - \tilde{w}_{2,x}X_{2,t}(\cdot, X_2^{-1}), \end{array} \right. \quad (2.12)$$

where the term \mathcal{G} is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{G} = B_1(u)\mathcal{R}_1 + P(u)\mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3 - \mathcal{R}_4 + P(u)\mathcal{R}. \quad (2.13)$$

We denote now respectively by G_1 and G_2 the fundamental solution of the two following equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w_t + \frac{f'_1(u_1^*)}{\sqrt{\alpha_1(u_1^*)}}w_x - w_{xx} = 0, \\ w_t + \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}g(u^*)}{\sqrt{\alpha_2(u^*)}}w_x - w_{xx} = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.14)$$

The functions G_i satisfy the following estimates (see [11]) for $\kappa > 0$ large enough

$$\|G_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \kappa, \quad \|G_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad \|G_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\kappa}{t}. \quad (2.15)$$

To have the parabolic estimates for (1.11), we define the following constants

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_A &= \sup_{|u-u^*| \leq \bar{\delta}} \max_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 5, \\ |\theta| \leq 5}} \left\{ \left| f_1^{(k)}(u_1) \right|, \left| \partial^\theta g(u) \right|, 1 \right\}, \\ \kappa_B &= \sup_{|u-u^*| \leq \bar{\delta}} \max_{\substack{0 \leq |\theta| \leq 5, \\ 0 \leq k \leq 5, \\ 1 \leq i \leq 2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_i(u)}}, \sqrt{\alpha_i(u)}, |\alpha_1^{(k)}(u_1)|, |\partial^\theta \alpha_2(u)|, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{\alpha_i(u)}, |\partial^\theta(\gamma(u))|, |\partial^\theta(\beta(u))| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\bar{\delta} > 0$ such that the solution $u(t, x)$ lives all along the time in the ball $B(u^*, \bar{\delta}/2)$ which will be satisfied provided that $\text{Tot.Var.}(u(0, \cdot))$ is chosen sufficiently small.

Now, we have the following result on the parabolic regularizing of u_1 and u_2 . This helps us to establish the smoothness of solution up to a small time \hat{t} .

Proposition 2.1. *There exist $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 > 0$ depending on $\kappa_A, \kappa_B, \kappa$ such that if u is a*

solution to the equation (1.11) satisfying

$$\|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, \hat{t}] \text{ where } \hat{t} \leq \frac{1}{(C_4\delta_0)^2}, \quad (2.16)$$

for δ_0 sufficiently small in terms of κ_A , κ_B and κ , then we have for $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C_1\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad \|u_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C_2\delta_0}{t} \text{ and } \|u_{i,xxxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C_3\delta_0}{t^{3/2}}. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. We first prove (2.17) for smooth initial data. We argue by contradiction. To this end, first we assume that the conclusion (2.17) does not hold. Due to the assumption of smoothness of initial data, solution is smooth up to a small time and due to the continuity we can assume that there exists a time t^* such that (2.17) holds for $t \in [0, t^*]$ and equality attains at $t = t^*$.

From (2.12), the solution \tilde{w}_i with $i \in \{1, 2\}$ can be represented as follows for $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, \cdot) &= G_{i,x}(t/2, \cdot) * \tilde{w}_i(t/2, \cdot) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t G_{i,x}(t-s) * \left[\alpha_i(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1})) u_{1,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1})) u_{2,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) \right) \tilde{w}_{i,x} + \mathcal{G}_i(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) + 2\mathcal{A}_i - \tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,t}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= \left(\frac{f'_1(u_1^*)}{\sqrt{\alpha_1(u_1^*)}} - \frac{f'_1(u_1)}{\sqrt{\alpha_1(u_1)}}(\cdot, X_1^{-1}) \right) \tilde{w}_{1,x}, \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &= \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} g(u^*)}{\sqrt{\alpha_2(u^*)}} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} g(u)}{\sqrt{\alpha_2(u)}}(\cdot, X_2^{-1}) \right) \tilde{w}_{2,x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.15), we deduce that for $t > 0$, $C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \frac{\kappa\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}} \|\tilde{w}_i(t/2, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(1) \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{t-s}} \left(\left\| \left[\alpha_i(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1})) u_{1,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1})) u_{2,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) \right) \right] \tilde{w}_{i,x} \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathcal{G}_i(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{A}_i\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}(s, \cdot) X_{i,t}(s, X_i^{-1}(s, \cdot))\|_{L^1} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

We observe using (2.13), (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{G}_i(s, X_i^{-1}(s, \cdot))\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|\mathcal{G}_i(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{2,x} u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x} u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x}^3(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x} u_{2,x}^2(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{2,x} u_{1,x}^2(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx} u_{1,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx} u_{2,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x}^2(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{1,x} u_{2,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1}^2 + \|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1}^2 \delta_0 + \delta_0 \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_0 \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1}^2 + \delta_0 \|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \delta_0 \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Similarly, we have since $w_1 = u_{1,x}$ and $w_2 = -\gamma(u)u_{1,x} + u_{2,x}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{A}_i(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1)\|u_x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}\|\tilde{w}_{i,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)\|u_x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}\left\|w_{i,x}(s, X_i^{-1}(s, \cdot))\sqrt{\alpha_i(u(s, X_i^{-1}(s, \cdot)))}\right\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)\|u_x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}\|w_{i,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)\|u_x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}(\|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
&\quad + \|u_{1,x}^2(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x}u_{2,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)\delta_0(\|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}). \tag{2.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\alpha_i(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1}))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1}))u_{1,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) + \frac{\partial\alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1}))u_{2,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\right)\tilde{w}_{i,x}\right\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)(\|u_{1,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{2,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^\infty})\|\tilde{w}_{i,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)(\|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1})(\|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
&\quad + \|u_{1,x}^2(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x}u_{2,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)(\|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1})^2. \tag{2.22}
\end{aligned}$$

We want to estimate now $\tilde{w}_{i,x}X_{i,t}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})$, let us compute $X_{i,t}$, we have then:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{i,t}(x) &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x \alpha_i(u(t, z))^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\alpha_i(u(t, z))u_{1,t}(t, z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}\alpha_i(u(t, z))u_{2,t}(t, z)\right)dz \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x \alpha_i(u(t, z))^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\alpha_i(u(t, z))(-f'(u_1(t, z))u_{1,x}(t, z) + (\alpha_1(u_1)u_{1,x})_x(t, z))\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}\alpha_i(u(t, z))(-(g(u))_x(t, z) + (\beta(u)u_{1,x} + \alpha_2(u)u_{2,x})_x(t, z))\right] dz. \tag{2.23}
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that for $C_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X_{i,t}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= \mathcal{O}(1)\left(\|u_{1,x}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x}^2\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}u_{1,x}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}^2\|_{L^1}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1}\right)(s, \cdot) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)(2\delta_0 + 2\|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + 2\|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1})(s, \cdot).
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{w}_{i,x}X_{i,t}(s, X_i^{-1}(s, \cdot))\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)\left(2\delta_0 + 2\delta_0\|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \delta_0\|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1}\right)(s, \cdot)\|\tilde{w}_{i,x}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)(\delta_0 + \|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1})(\|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}). \tag{2.24}
\end{aligned}$$

We wish now to estimate $\|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}$, we recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \kappa_B^2(\|w_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_1^2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_1w_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) \\
&\leq \kappa_B^4(\|\tilde{w}_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) + \kappa_B^2\|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}\|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
&\quad + 2\kappa_B^3\|u_{1,x}\|_{L^1}(\|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) \\
&\leq \kappa_B^4(\|\tilde{w}_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) + 3\kappa_B^3\delta_0(\|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}\|u_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}). \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

It yields

$$(1 - 6\kappa_B^3\delta_0) \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq 2\kappa_B^4 (\|\tilde{w}_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}). \quad (2.26)$$

Since $1 - 6\kappa_B^3\delta_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq 4\kappa_B^4 (\|\tilde{w}_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}). \quad (2.27)$$

Combining (2.19), (2.20), (2.21), (2.22), (2.24), (2.27) and (2.17) it gives for $C_3 > 0$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \frac{8\kappa\kappa_B^6\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\|u_{1,x}(t/2, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(t/2, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &\quad + C_3 \sum_{i=1,2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \left[\delta_0 (\|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) \right. \\ &\quad \quad \quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 + \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \quad \quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|u_{2,xx}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \frac{\kappa\kappa_B^4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}}\delta_0 + 4C_3\kappa_B^4 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{t-s}} \kappa_B^7 \kappa_A^2 \left(2\delta_0^2 \frac{2\kappa\kappa_B^4}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{12\kappa^2\kappa_B^8\delta_0^2}{s} \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa\kappa_B^6 8\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}}\delta_0 + 32C_3\kappa_B^{15}\kappa^2\kappa_A^2\delta_0^2 + \frac{96\sqrt{2}C_3\kappa^3\kappa_B^{19}\kappa_A^2\delta_0^2}{\sqrt{t}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

which implies since $\delta_0 < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2\sqrt{2}(2-\sqrt{2})}{96C_3\kappa_B^1 3\kappa^2\kappa_A^2} \right\}$ and $t^* \leq \hat{t}$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{xx}(t^*, \cdot)\|_{L^1} < \frac{16\kappa\kappa_B^6\delta_0}{\sqrt{t^*}}.$$

This contradicts with the assumption that equality holds in (2.17) at $t = t^*$. Hence, by density argument we conclude that (2.17) holds for BV initial data as well.

We apply similar arguments to prove the second and third estimate of (2.17), we have by using (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|w_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_1^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_1^2 w_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|w_1 w_2^2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{1,x} w_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{2,x} w_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|w_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 \|w_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|w_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|w_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|w_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|w_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|u_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|u_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right)^2 + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|u_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|u_{2,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Again by contradiction we assume that the second inequality in (2.17) is not satisfied, there exists again $t^* < \hat{t}$ such that this estimate is a equality. From the first inequality in (2.17), we deduce in particular that for $t \in]0, t^*]$ we have for C_4 large enough

$$\|u_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq C_4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \frac{\kappa^2 \kappa_B^{12} \delta_0^2}{t} \right). \quad (2.29)$$

It remains now to estimate $\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}$, again we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot) &= G_{i,x}(t/2, \cdot) * \tilde{w}_{i,x}(t/2, \cdot) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t G_{i,x}(t-s) * \left[\mathcal{H}_i \tilde{w}_{i,xx} + \mathcal{H}_{i,x} \tilde{w}_{i,x} + \mathcal{G}_{i,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x + \mathcal{A}_{i,x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \tilde{w}_{i,xx} X_{i,t}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) - \tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x \right] ds, \quad (2.30)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \alpha_i(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_1}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1})) u_{1,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial u_2}(u(\cdot, X_i^{-1})) u_{2,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) \right].$$

It implies in particular using (2.17) that for $C_5 > 0$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \frac{C_5 \delta_0}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|G_{i,x}(t-s) * [\mathcal{H}_i \tilde{w}_{i,xx} + \mathcal{H}_{i,x} \tilde{w}_{i,x} + \mathcal{A}_{i,x} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{G}_{i,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x - \tilde{w}_{i,xx} X_{i,t}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) \\
&\quad - \tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x]\|_{L^1} ds. \quad (2.31)
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right), \quad (2.32)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_x\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right) \quad (2.33)$$

$$+ \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1}, \quad (2.34)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_1\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right), \quad (2.35)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{1,x}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right. \quad (2.36)$$

$$\left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right), \quad (2.37)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_2\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}, \quad (2.38)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{2,x}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right), \quad (2.39)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_3\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right), \quad (2.40)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{3,x}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right. \quad (2.41)$$

$$\left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right), \quad (2.42)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_4\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L^1}, \quad (2.43)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{4,x}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right). \quad (2.44)$$

We note now that using (2.17)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{G}_{i,x}(t, X_i^{-1}(t, \cdot))(X_i^{-1})_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \|\mathcal{G}_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\ & = \mathcal{O}(1) \left[\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_1\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{1,x}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_2\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{2,x}\|_{L^1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\mathcal{R}_{3,x}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{4,x}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_x\|_{L^1} \right] \\ & = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right) \\ & = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

Similarly we have using (2.10) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot) X_{i,t}(t, X_i^{-1}(t, \cdot))\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|X_{i,t}(t, X_i^{-1}(t, \cdot))\|_{L^\infty} \\ & = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\delta_0 + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \left(\|w_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|w_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\ & = \mathcal{O}(1) (\delta_0 + \|u_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) \left[\|u_{xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}^2 + \|u_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right] \\ & = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\delta_0 + \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

Taking derivative of (2.23) with respect to x we get

$$X_{i,t,x}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_i(u(t, x))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \alpha_i(u(t, x)) \left(-f'(u_1(t, x)) u_{1,x}(t, x) + (\alpha_1(u_1) u_{1,x})_x(t, x) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} \alpha_i(u(t, x)) \left(- (g(u))_x(t, x) + (\beta(u)u_{1,x} + \alpha_2(u)u_{2,x})_x(t, x) \right) \Big].$$

For sufficiently large constant $C_{10}, C_{11} > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_{i,tx}\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left[\|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{1,x}\|_{L^1} \|u_{1,x}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{1,x}\| \|u_{2,x}\|_{L^\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{2,x}\|_{L^1} \|u_{2,x}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) [\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^1}]. \end{aligned}$$

It yields for large enough constants $C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{14} > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x\|_{L^1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|w_{i,x}\|_{L^\infty} \|X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^1} \|(X_i^{-1})_x\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|w_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \|X_{i,tx}\|_{L^1} \|X_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) (\|u_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,xx}\|_{L^1}) \|u_x\|_{L^1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \frac{\delta_0^2}{\sqrt{t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

From the definition of \mathcal{H}_i we get

$$\|\mathcal{H}_i\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{H}_{i,x}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1},$$

for some large constant $C_{15} > 0$. Hence, it follows for $C_{16}, C_{17} > 0$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{H}_i \tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{H}_{i,x} \tilde{w}_{i,x}\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{H}_i\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{H}_{i,x}\|_{L^1} \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|w_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

Similarly, from (2.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_{i,x}\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \|u_{l,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

From (2.29), (2.31), (2.45), (2.46), (2.47), (2.48) and (2.49) we get for $C_6 > 0$ large enough

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \left(\frac{C_2 \delta_0}{2t} + C_6 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{s} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) ds \right)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{C_2 \delta_0}{2t} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}C_6 \delta_0^2}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{4C_6 \delta_0^2}{t} \right) \leq \frac{C_2}{t}, \quad (2.50)$$

if we take $C_2 \geq 16C_6$ sufficiently large and such that $\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ which gives the result.

We apply similar arguments to prove the fourth order estimate of u_{xxxx} as in (2.17). From (2.6) and (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{i,xxxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|w_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|w_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|\tilde{w}_{1,xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} (\|\tilde{w}_{1,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,xx}\|_{L^1}) \right. \\ &\quad + (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2) (\|\tilde{w}_{1,x}\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,x}\|_{L^1}) \\ &\quad + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|w_{i,x}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L^1}), \\ \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) (\|w_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|w_{i,x}\|_{L^1}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{i,xxxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|\tilde{w}_{1,xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad + (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|\tilde{w}_{1,xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|\tilde{w}_{2,xxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right). \quad (2.51) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\tilde{w}_{xxx}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right).$$

To estimate $\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}$, again we have

$$\tilde{w}_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot) = G_{i,x}(t/2, \cdot) * \tilde{w}_{i,xxx}(t/2, \cdot)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t G_{i,x}(t-s) * \left[\mathcal{H}_i \tilde{w}_{i,xxx} + 2\mathcal{H}_{i,x} \tilde{w}_{i,xx} + \mathcal{H}_{i,xx} \tilde{w}_{i,x} + 2\mathcal{A}_{i,xx} \right. \\
& \quad + \mathcal{G}_{i,xx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x^2 + \mathcal{G}_{i,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_{xx} \\
& \quad - \tilde{w}_{i,xxx} X_{i,t}(\cdot, X_i^{-1}) - 2\tilde{w}_{i,xx} X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x \\
& \quad \left. - \tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,txx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x^2 - \tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_{xx} \right] ds. \quad (2.52)
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{xx} &= u_{xx} \cdot DB_1(u) \mathcal{R}_1 + (u_x \otimes u_x) : D^2 B_1(u) \mathcal{R}_1 + 2u_x \cdot DB_1(u) \mathcal{R}_{1,x} + B_1(u) \mathcal{R}_{1,xx} \\
&+ u_{xx} \cdot DP(u) \mathcal{R}_2 + (u_x \otimes u_x) : D^2 P(u) \mathcal{R}_2 + 2u_x \cdot DP(u) \mathcal{R}_{2,x} + P(u) \mathcal{R}_{2,xx} \\
&+ \mathcal{R}_{3,xx} - \mathcal{R}_{4,xx} + u_{xx} \cdot DP(u) \mathcal{R} + (u_x \otimes u_x) : D^2 P(u) \mathcal{R} \\
&+ 2u_x \cdot DP(u) \mathcal{R}_x + P(u) \mathcal{R}_{xx}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{1,xx} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xxxx} + 4\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xxx} + 2\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} + 2\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xx} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xxx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_x| |u_{1,xxxx}| + |u_{xx}| |u_{1,xxx}| + |u_x|^2 |u_{1,xx}| + |u_{xxx}| |u_{1,x}|) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_{xx}| |u_x| |u_{1,xx}| + |u_x|^3 |u_{1,x}| + |u_{xxx}| |u_{1,x}| + |u_{xxx}| |u_x| |u_{1,x}|) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_{xx}| |u_x|^2 |u_{1,x}| + |u_{xx}|^2 |u_{1,x}| + |u_x|^4 |u_{1,x}|), \\
\mathcal{R}_{2,xx} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1'''(u_1)(u_{1,x}^2 + u_{1,xx})u_{1,x}u_{1,xx} + 2\alpha_1''(u_1)u_{1,x}[u_{1,x}u_{1,xxx} + u_{1,xx}^2] \\ \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}(\beta(u))u_{1,xx} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}(\alpha_2(u))u_{2,xx} + 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\beta(u))u_{1,xxx} + 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\alpha_2(u))u_{2,xxx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1'(u_1)[3u_{1,xxx}u_{1,xx} + u_{1,x}u_{1,xxxx}] + \alpha_1'(u_1)[3u_{1,xxx}u_{1,xx} + u_{1,x}u_{1,xxxx}] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\beta(u))u_{1,xxxx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\alpha_2(u))u_{2,xxxx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_x| |u_{xxxx}| + |u_{xx}| |u_{xxx}| + |u_x|^2 |u_{xxx}| + |u_{xx}|^2 |u_x| + |u_x|^3 |u_{xx}|), \\
\mathcal{R}_{3,xx} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial^3}{\partial t \partial x^2}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} + 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xxx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_{txx}| |u_x| + |u_{tx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_t| |u_{xx}| |u_x| + |u_t| |u_x|^3 + |u_{tx}| |u_{xx}| + |u_t| |u_{xxx}|) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_{xxxx}| |u_x| + |u_{xxx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_{xx}|^2 |u_x| + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^3 + |u_x|^4 + |u_{xxx}| |u_x|) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_{xx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_x|^3 + |u_{xxx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^3 + |u_x|^5 + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_x|^4) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_{xx}|^2 |u_x| + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^3 + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^3 + |u_x|^5 + |u_x|^4) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(|u_{xxx}| |u_{xx}| + |u_{xx}|^2 |u_x| + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^3 + |u_{xx}|^2 + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_x| |u_{xxx}|) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_{xx}| |u_{xxx}| + |u_x|^2 |u_{xxx}|),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{4,xx} &= [u_x \otimes u_x : D^2 A_1(u) + u_{xx} \cdot DA_1(u)] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + u_x \cdot DA_1(u) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + A_1(u) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}(\gamma(u))u_{1,x} + 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\gamma(u))u_{1,xxx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_x|^4 + |u_x|^2 |u_{xx}| + |u_{xxx}| |u_x| + |u_{xx}|^2), \\
\mathcal{R}_{xx} &= \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha'_1(u_1)(u_{1,x})^2)_{xxx} - (f''(u_1)(u_{1,x})^2)_{xx} \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\beta(u))u_{1,x}\right)_{xxx} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\alpha_2(u))u_{2,x}\right)_{xxx} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (|u_x|^5 + |u_{xx}| |u_x|^3 + |u_{xxx}| |u_x|^2 + |u_{xxxx}| |u_x| + |u_{xxx}| |u_{xx}| + |u_x| |u_{xx}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Subsequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{G}_{i,xx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x^2\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_1\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\mathcal{R}_1\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_{1,x}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{1,xx}\|_{L^1}) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_2\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\mathcal{R}_2\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_{2,x}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{2,xx}\|_{L^1}) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_x\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{3,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{R}_{4,xx}\|_{L^1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{G}_{i,xx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x^2\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1}) [\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2] \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|u_x\|_{L^1}) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} [\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2] \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1}) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1}) [\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2] \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1) (\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{i,xx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^2} \right). \quad (2.53)$$

From the definition of \mathcal{H}_i we have

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right) = \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0}{t}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{H}_i \tilde{w}_{i,xxx}\|_{L^1} + 2 \|\mathcal{H}_{i,x} \tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{H}_{i,xx} \tilde{w}_{i,x}\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \|\mathcal{H}_i\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{w}_{i,xxx}\|_{L^1} + 2 \|\mathcal{H}_{i,x}\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{H}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \leq \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right) + 3 \|\mathcal{H}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \|\tilde{w}_{xx}\|_{L^1} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0}{t} \left(\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^1} \right) \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right). \quad (2.54)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{G}_{i,x}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_{xx}\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|\mathcal{G}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \|u_x\|_{L^1} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\frac{\delta_0^3}{t^{3/2}} + \frac{\delta_0^3}{t^2} \right), \quad (2.55)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{w}_{i,xxx} X_{i,t}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^1} &= \|\tilde{w}_{i,xxx}\|_{L^1} \|X_{i,t}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^\infty} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xxxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right) \left(\delta_0 + \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}} \right), \quad (2.56)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{w}_{i,xx} X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \|X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^\infty} \|(X_i^{-1})_x\|_{L^\infty} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1) \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \|X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t} \right) \frac{\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad (2.57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,txx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_x^2\|_{L^1} &\leq \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}\|_{L^\infty} \|X_{i,txx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^1} \|(X_i^{-1})_x^2\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} \|X_{i,txx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0}{t} (\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0}{t^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{w}_{i,x} X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})(X_i^{-1})_{xx}\|_{L^1} &\leq \|\tilde{w}_{i,x}\|_{L^\infty} \|X_{i,tx}(\cdot, X_i^{-1})\|_{L^1} \|(X_i^{-1})_{xx}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \|\tilde{w}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} (\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^1}) \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_{i,xx}\|_{L^1} &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1} \|\tilde{w}_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\tilde{w}_x\|_{L^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{w}_{xx}\|_{L^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u - u^*\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{w}_{xxx}\|_{L^1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\delta_0 \|u_{xxx}\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

From (2.52), (2.53), (2.54), (2.55), (2.56), (2.57), (2.58), (2.59), and (2.60) we get for $C_7 > 0$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \left(\frac{C_3 \delta_0}{2t^{3/2}} + C_7 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \left(\frac{\delta_0^2}{s^2} + \frac{\delta_0^2}{s^{3/2}} \right) ds \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C_3 \delta_0}{2t^{3/2}} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}C_7 \delta_0^2}{t^{3/2}} + \frac{4C_7 \delta_0^2}{t} \right) \leq \frac{C_3}{t^{3/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

if $C_3 \geq 32C_7$ is chosen sufficiently large and such that $\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{t}}}$ which gives the result. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $T > \hat{t}$. Assume that the solution u of (1.11) satisfies on $[0, T]$*

$$\|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0,$$

then for all $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1) \delta_0^2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1) \delta_0^3 \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xxxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|u_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1) \delta_0^4 \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

Proof. It suffices to apply Proposition (2.1) on $[t - \hat{t}, t]$. \square

Proposition 2.3. *There exists $C > 0$ large enough depending on $\kappa_A, \kappa_B, \kappa$ such that if the solution u of (1.11) verifies:*

$$\text{Tot. Var.}(u(0, \cdot)) \leq \frac{\delta_0}{C}, \quad (2.63)$$

then u satisfies on the whole interval $[0, \hat{t}]$:

$$\|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2}. \quad (2.64)$$

Proof of the proposition follows from estimates (2.17) with the argument as in [4, Proposition 2.3], [14, Proposition 3.6]. We omit the proof here.

3 Gradient decomposition

We would like to decompose u_x in terms of travelling waves of (1.11). Let $u(t, x) = U(x - \sigma_1 t)$ be a travelling wave corresponding to 1-family. Then it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_x = v \\ v_x = B^{-1}(A(u) - \sigma_1 \mathbb{I}) - B^{-1}(u)[v \cdot DB(u)]v, \\ \sigma_{1,x} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

As it is done in Appendix A, we note that the gradient of 1-family travelling waves can be written under the following form

$$u_x = v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \text{ where } \tilde{r}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ s(u, v_1, \sigma_1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

for some C^2 function s . Furthermore, we can calculate that $\tilde{r}_1 = r_1(u) + \tilde{\psi}_2(u, v_1, \sigma_1)r_2$ where $\tilde{\psi}_2$ is as in Appendix A. We note from (2.2) that:

$$s(u, v_1, \sigma_1) = \tilde{\psi}_2(u, v_1, \sigma_1) + \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}(u)}{f'(u_1) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2}(u)}.$$

Therefore, from (A.17) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} s(u, v_1, \sigma_1) &= \mathcal{O}(1)v_1, \quad s_\sigma(u, v_1, \sigma_1) = \mathcal{O}(1)v_1, \quad s_{\sigma\sigma}(u, v_1, \sigma_1) = \mathcal{O}(1)v_1, \\ s_{u\sigma}(u, v_1, \sigma_1) &= \mathcal{O}(1)v_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Hence, we get

$$\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}(u, v_1, \sigma_1) = \mathcal{O}(1)v_1, \quad \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma}(u, v_1, \sigma_1) = \mathcal{O}(1)v_1, \quad \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}(1)v_1. \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, we can deduce some identities. Assume that $u(t, x) = U(x - \sigma_1 t)$ is a 1 travelling wave with speed $\sigma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ since $U'(x) = v_1(x)\tilde{r}_1(U(x), v_1(x), \sigma_1)$ and u satisfies the equation (1.11), we get

$$(v_1 B(u)\tilde{r}_1)_x = v_1(A(u) - \sigma_1)\tilde{r}_1. \quad (3.5)$$

We observe that $B(u)\tilde{r}_1(u, v_1, \sigma_1) = \alpha_1 \tilde{r}_1 + s_1 r_2$ where $s_1 = (\alpha_2(u) - \alpha_1(u_1))\tilde{\psi}_2(u, v_1, \sigma_1)$. Therefore, we have

$$(v_1 B(u)\tilde{r}_1)_x = (\alpha_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_1 + v_1 s_1 r_2)_x \quad (3.6)$$

$$= \alpha_1 v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_1 + v_1^2 \alpha_1'(u_1) \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_1^2 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_1 v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} \quad (3.7)$$

$$+ v_{1,x} s_1 r_2 + v_1^2 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 r_2 + v_1 v_{1,x} s_{1,v} r_2. \quad (3.8)$$

Comparing the first coordinate of (3.5) we get $\alpha_1 v_{1,x} + v_1^2 \alpha_1' = (\lambda_1 - \sigma_1) v_1$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & v_1 A(u) \tilde{r}_1 - v_1^2 \alpha_1' \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 v_1^2 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - v_1^2 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 r_2 \\ &= v_1 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1') \tilde{r}_1 + v_1^2 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1' - \sigma_1) \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} v_1 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1' - \sigma_1) s_1 r_2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} v_1^2 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1' - \sigma_1) s_{1,v} r_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We want to have a decomposition of $u_x = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,x} \\ u_{2,x} \end{pmatrix}$ in the basis $(\tilde{r}_1(u, v_1, \sigma_1), r_2)$ as

$$u_x(t, x) = v_1(t, x) \tilde{r}_1(u(t, x), v_1(t, x), \sigma_1(t, x)) + v_2(t, x) r_2. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 3.1. We point out on the fact that we will use the decomposition (3.10) only for $t \geq \hat{t}$, indeed for large time t we expect that $|v_1(t, x) = u_{1,x}(t, x)|$ is sufficiently small in L^∞ norm such that $|v_1(t, x)| \leq \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ defined in the Appendix. In particular we can apply the Central Manifold Theorem since $(u(t, x), v_1(t, x), \sigma_1(t, x))$ is in a neighbourhood of $(u^*, 0, \lambda_1^*)$.

Remark 3.2. We claim that the decomposition of u_x corresponds to a sum of gradient of viscous travelling wave. Indeed we recall that the 2 Lax curve associated to (1.1) is of Temple type since it is a straight line. In this case (see [14]), we can observe that the gradient of the 2 viscous travelling waves take the direction of r_2 .

Remark 3.3. Under the previous decomposition, due to the form of the vector $\tilde{r}_1(u, v_1, \sigma_1)$ (see (3.2)), we deduce that

$$v_1(t, x) = u_{1,x}(t, x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_2(t, x) = u_{2,x}(t, x) - s(u(t, x), u_{1,x}(t, x), \sigma_1(t, x)) u_{1,x}(t, x). \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, we can have $Bu_x = \alpha_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_1 + v_1 s_1 r_2 + \alpha_2 v_2 r_2$. Differentiating w.r.t. x we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (B(u)u_x)_x &= \alpha_1 v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_1^2 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\ &+ \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + (v_1 s_1)_x r_2 + \alpha_2 v_{2,x} r_2 + v_1^2 \alpha_1' \tilde{r}_1 + v_2^2 (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\ &+ v_1 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (B(u)u_x)_x - A(u)u_x \\ &= \alpha_1 v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_1^2 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1^2 \alpha_1' \tilde{r}_1 - v_1 A(u) \tilde{r}_1 - v_2 A(u) r_2 + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\ &+ \alpha_1 v_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_{1,x} s_1 r_2 + v_1^2 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 r_2 \\ &+ v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 + v_1 v_{1,x} s_{1,v} r_2 + v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + \alpha_2 v_{2,x} r_2 \\ &+ v_2^2 (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\ &= \alpha_1 v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_1 - \lambda_2 v_2 r_2 + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\ &+ v_{1,x} s_1 r_2 + v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 + v_1 v_{1,x} s_{1,v} r_2 + v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + \alpha_2 v_{2,x} r_2 \\ &- v_1 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1') \tilde{r}_1 - v_1^2 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1' - \sigma_1) \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\ &- \frac{1}{\alpha_1} v_1 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1' - \sigma_1) s_1 r_2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} v_1^2 (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1' - \sigma_1) s_{1,v} r_2 \\ &+ v_2^2 (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2. \end{aligned}$$

We simplify

$$\begin{aligned}
& (B(u)u_x)_x - A(u)u_x \\
&= (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) [\tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v}] + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) r_2 \\
&+ (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - v_2 (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2)) v_2) r_2 + v_1^2 \sigma_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} v_1 \sigma_1 s_1 r_2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} v_1^2 \sigma_1 s_{1,v} r_2 + v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&+ v_1 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Following [3, 4] we set $w_1 := \alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (B(u)u_x)_x - A(u)u_x \\
&= w_1 [\tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v}] \\
&+ (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - v_2 (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2)) v_2) r_2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) r_2 + v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + v_1^2 \sigma_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
&+ \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Now, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((B(u)u_x)_x - A(u)u_x)_x \\
&= (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1)_x [\tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v}] + (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - v_2 (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2)) v_2)_x r_2 \\
&+ (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + 2v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}] \\
&+ (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) v_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,vv} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x r_2 - \frac{v_1 \alpha'_1}{\alpha_1^2} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})) r_2 \\
&+ (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x r_2 + 2v_1 v_{1,x} \sigma_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
&+ v_1^2 \sigma_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,vv} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}] + \alpha_1 (\sigma_{1,x} v_1)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + (v_1 \alpha'_1) \sigma_{1,x} v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&+ \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma}] + (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \\
&+ v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma}] r_2 \\
&+ \alpha_1 (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + (v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}] \\
&+ [v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}] (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \otimes \tilde{r}_1) : D^2 \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\
&+ v_1 v_2 v_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 \sigma_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly using (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{tx} &= v_{1,t} [\tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v}] + v_{2,t} r_2 + v_1 u_t \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \sigma_{1,t} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&= v_{1,t} [\tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v}] + v_{2,t} r_2 + v_1 \sigma_{1,t} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&+ (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) v_1 [\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1] \\
&+ (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2) v_2) v_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) v_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + v_1^3 \sigma_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& + v_1^2 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_1^2 v_2 (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& + v_1^2 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2$ as follows,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - \alpha'_1 v_1, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_2 - (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2) v_2.$$

Since $u_{tx} = ((B(u)u_x)_x - A(u)u_x)_x$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (v_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1)_x - (\alpha_1 v_{1,x})_x) [\tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v}] + (v_{2,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_2 v_2)_x - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x})_x) r_2 \\
& = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x r_2 + (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x r_2 - \frac{v_1 \alpha'_1}{\alpha_1^2} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})) r_2 \\
& + [w_1 \sigma_{1,x} + \alpha_1 (\sigma_{1,x} v_1)_x - \sigma_{1,t} v_1 + v_1^2 \alpha'_1 \sigma_{1,x}] \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + \mathcal{E},
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{E} is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} & = (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + 2v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v}] \\
& + (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) v_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,vv} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}] \\
& + 2v_1 v_{1,x} \sigma_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
& + v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_1^2 \sigma_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,vv} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}] \\
& + \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma}] + (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \\
& + v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma}] r_2 \\
& + \alpha_1 (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + (v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}] \\
& + [v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}] (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \otimes \tilde{r}_1) : D^2 \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\
& + v_1 v_2 v_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 \sigma_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\
& - (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) v_1 [\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1] \\
& - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2) v_2) v_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) v_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - v_1^3 \sigma_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - v_1^2 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 v_1^2 v_2 (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - v_1^2 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

We have in fact obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} & = w_1 [v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + 2v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v}] \\
& + w_1 v_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,vv} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}] \\
& + 2v_1 v_{1,x} \sigma_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
& + v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_1^2 \sigma_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,vv} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}] \\
& + \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} + \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma}] + (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \\
& + v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma}] r_2 \\
& + \alpha_1 (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + (v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}] \\
& + [v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}] (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \otimes \tilde{r}_1) : D^2 \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\
& + v_1 v_2 v_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 \sigma_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\
& - w_1 v_1^2 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2) v_2) v_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) v_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - v_1^3 \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - v_1^2 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 v_1^2 v_2 (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - v_1^2 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

It gives then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} & = (2v_{1,x} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) + \sigma_{1,x} v_1^2) \tilde{r}_{1,v} + v_1^2 (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} - \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \\
& + v_1 v_{1,x} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) \tilde{r}_{1,vv} + v_1 \sigma_{1,x} (w_1 + \alpha_1 v_{1,x} + v_1 \sigma_1) \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} \\
& + \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1^2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + \alpha_1 v_1 (\sigma_{1,x})^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma} \\
& + \left[w_1 v_2 + \alpha_1 (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) + (v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1 v_2 - v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma} - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2) v_2) v_1 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) v_1 - v_1^2 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) \right] r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& + (w_1 v_1 v_2 + v_1^2 \sigma_1 v_2) r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + 2\alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + (v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}) r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \\
& + v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet s_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} + \sigma_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma}] r_2 \\
& + \alpha_1 v_1 v_2 [v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_2 r_2 \bullet (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) + v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v}] \\
& + [v_{1,x} v_2 + v_1 v_{2,x}] (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \otimes \tilde{r}_1) : D^2 \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 ((u_x \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\
& + v_1 v_2 v_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 + v_1 v_2 \sigma_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2 \\
& - v_1^2 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 v_1^2 v_2 (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \tilde{r}_1. \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\langle l_1, \xi \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \rangle = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ since $(\xi \bullet \tilde{r}_1)_1 = 0$. Then we have $\langle l_1, \mathcal{E} \rangle = 0$. Hence, taking scalar product with l_1 we obtain

$$v_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1)_x - (\alpha_1 v_{1,x})_x = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly, multiplying by l_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_{2,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_2 v_2)_x - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x})_x \\
& = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x + (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x - \frac{v_1 \alpha'_1}{\alpha_1^2} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})) \tag{3.16} \\
& + [w_1 \sigma_{1,x} + \alpha_1 (\sigma_{1,x} v_1)_x - \sigma_{1,t} v_1 + v_1^2 \alpha'_1 \sigma_{1,x}] (l_2 \cdot \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}) + l_2 \cdot \mathcal{E}.
\end{aligned}$$

The previous computation are satisfied for any parameter σ_1 , it is then important at this level to fix our choice of σ_1 . As in [3] since we consider a solution such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u(t, x) = u^*$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_1 & = w_1 + \lambda_1 (u^*) v_1, \\
\sigma_1 & = \lambda_1 (u^*) + \theta \left(-\frac{\tilde{w}_1}{v_1} \right), \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-2\delta_1, 2\delta_1]$ an odd function such that

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } |x| \leq \delta_1, \\ \text{smooth connection} & \delta_1 \leq |x| \leq 3\delta_1, \\ 0 & |x| \geq 3\delta_1. \end{cases}$$

We take here a cubic interpolation satisfying:

$$|\theta(x)| \leq 2\delta_1, \quad |\theta'(x)| \leq 1, \quad |\theta''(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_1}.$$

Remark 3.4. We can note that δ_1 is chosen sufficiently small such that we can define $\tilde{r}_1(u, v_1, \sigma_1)$, indeed from the Central Manifold Theorem we have seen that σ_1 lives in a neighbourhood of 0.

Remark 3.5. The previous choice on σ_1 can be explained as follows. Assume that $u(t, x) = U(x - \sigma t)$ is a 1 travelling wave solution of (1.11) with σ close from λ_1 , then from the Appendix we know that we have $U'(x) = v_1(x)\tilde{r}_1(U(x), v_1(x), \sigma)$, in addition we have: $u_t(t, x) = -\sigma u_x(t, x) = -\sigma v_1(x - \sigma t)\tilde{r}_1(U(x - \sigma t), v_1(x - \sigma t), \sigma)$ but from (3.13) we deduce also that:

$$u_t = w_1\tilde{r}_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_1}(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})r_2 + (w_1 + v_1 \sigma_1)v_1\tilde{r}_{1,v}.$$

It is then natural to expect that $\sigma = -\frac{w_1}{v_1}$ when σ is close from $\lambda_1(u^*)$ which is exactly what expressed the truncated expression (3.17) when $|\frac{\tilde{w}_1}{v_1}| \leq \delta_1$. In the sequel in order to simplify the notation, we will assume that $\lambda_1(u^*) = 0$ such that $\sigma_1 = \theta(-\frac{w_1}{v_1})$.

In the sequel since we will prove that the solution $u(t, x)$ satisfies $\|v_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq 2\delta_0$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $\|\partial_x v_1(t, x)\|_{L^1} \leq 2\delta_0^2$, we choose δ_1 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|1/\alpha_1\|_{L^\infty[-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0]} \max(|\lambda_1(u(t, x))| + |\alpha_1'(u_1(t, x))||v_1(t, x)|)_{(t,x)} \\ & \leq \|1/\alpha_1\|_{L^\infty[-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0]} (2\|\lambda_1'\|_{L^\infty}\delta_0 + 2\|\alpha_1'\|_{L^\infty}\delta_0^2) \leq \frac{1}{5}\delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce then that if $w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1 \neq 0$ then we must have $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1$ which implies in particular that

$$|\frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1}| \geq \frac{4}{5}\delta_1. \quad (3.18)$$

It yields that when the ratio $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}|$ is large, we can bound $|v_1|$ by $|v_{1,x}|$. Similarly we can choose δ_1 such that when $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq \delta_1$ then:

$$|\frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1}| \leq \frac{6}{5}\delta_1. \quad (3.19)$$

As previously it implies that when the ratio $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}|$ is small then we can control $|v_{1,x}|$ by $|v_1|$.

We wish now to define the equation satisfied by w_1 . Since $w_1 = \alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1')v_1$ we calculate

$$w_{1,x} = (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1')v_1)_x = v_{1,t}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{1,t} &= \alpha_1 v_{1,tx} + u_{1,t} \alpha'_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_{1,t} - (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) u_{1,t} v_1 + v_{1,t} \alpha'_1 v_1 \\
&= (\alpha_1 w_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) w_1)_x - \alpha'_1 u_{1,x} w_{1,x} + u_{1,t} \alpha'_1 v_{1,x} \\
&\quad + (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) u_{1,x} w_1 - (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) u_{1,t} v_1 + v_{1,t} \alpha'_1 v_1 - v_{1,x} \alpha'_1 w_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $u_{1,t} = w_1$ and $u_{1,x} = v_1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{1,t} &= (\alpha_1 w_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) w_1)_x - \alpha'_1 v_1 w_{1,x} + w_1 \alpha'_1 v_{1,x} \\
&\quad + (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) v_1 w_1 - (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) w_1 v_1 + w_{1,x} \alpha'_1 v_1 - v_{1,x} \alpha'_1 w_1 \\
&= (\alpha_1 w_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) w_1)_x.
\end{aligned}$$

We have obtained in particular that

$$w_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 w_1)_x - (\alpha_1 w_{1,x})_x = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Let us simplify now the right hand side of (3.16). We denote in the sequel by $\theta_1^{(k)}$ the value $\theta^{(k)}\left(-\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_1 (\sigma_{1,x} v_1)_x - \sigma_{1,t} v_1 \\
&= -\alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(w_{1,x} - \frac{w_1}{v_1} v_{1,x} \right)_x + \alpha_1 \theta''_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + \theta'_1 \left(w_{1,t} - \frac{w_1}{v_1} v_{1,t} \right) \\
&= \theta'_1 \left(w_{1,t} - \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} - \frac{w_1}{v_1} (v_{1,t} - \alpha_1 v_{1,xx}) \right) + \alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} + \alpha_1 \theta''_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{1,t} - \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} &= -\tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} w_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 w_{1,x} + \alpha'_1 v_1 w_{1,x}, \\
v_{1,t} - \alpha_1 v_{1,xx} &= -\tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_{1,x} + \alpha'_1 v_1 v_{1,x}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(w_{1,t} - \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} - \frac{w_1}{v_1} (v_{1,t} - \alpha_1 v_{1,xx}) \right) \\
&= -\tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x + \alpha'_1 (v_1 w_{1,x} - w_1 v_{1,x}).
\end{aligned}$$

It gives in particular

$$\alpha_1 (\sigma_{1,x} v_1)_x - \sigma_{1,t} v_1 = -\theta'_1 \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x + \alpha'_1 \theta'_1 v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x + \alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} + \alpha_1 \theta''_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2.$$

It yields using the fact that $w_1 = \alpha_1 v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_1 \sigma_{1,x} + \alpha_1 (\sigma_{1,x} v_1)_x - \sigma_{1,t} v_1 + \alpha'_1 v_1^2 \sigma_{1,x} \\
&= -\theta'_1 \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x + \alpha'_1 \theta'_1 v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x + \alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} + \alpha_1 \theta''_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_1 v_{1,x} \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x + \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x - \alpha'_1 v_1^2 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \\
&= \alpha_1 \theta''_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have from (3.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_{2,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_2 v_2)_x - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x})_x \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x + (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x \\
&+ \alpha_1 \theta_1'' v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 l_2 \cdot \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \frac{v_1 \alpha_1'}{\alpha_1^2} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})) + l_2 \cdot \mathcal{E}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

In the sequel we will note by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_2 &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x + (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x + \alpha_1 \theta_1'' v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 l_2 \cdot \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&- \frac{v_1 \alpha_1'}{\alpha_1^2} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})) + l_2 \cdot \mathcal{E}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

4 Form of the remainder terms

In this section, we wish to prove that a solution $u(t, x)$ of (1.11) satisfies for any $t > 0$

$$\|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0, \tag{4.1}$$

for $\delta_0 > 0$ fixed and provided that $\text{TV}(u(0, \cdot))$ is sufficiently small in terms of δ_0 . Let us denote by κ_1 the following constant

$$\kappa_1 = \max \left(1, \sup_{|u-u^*| \leq \varepsilon, |v_1| \leq \varepsilon, |\sigma_1| \leq 2\delta_1} s(u, v_1, \sigma_1) \right), \tag{4.2}$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$ defined as in the Appendix. In addition we can take again $\text{Tot.Var.}(u(0, \cdot))$ sufficiently small such that the solution $(u(t, x), v_1(t, x), \sigma_1(t, x))$ satisfies all along the time $u(t, x) \in B(u^*, \varepsilon)$ and $v_1(t, x) = u_{1,x}(t, x) \in B(0, \varepsilon)$ for $t \geq \hat{t}$. Using the Proposition 2.3, we know that (4.1) is satisfied for any $t \in [0, \hat{t}]$ provided that $\text{Tot.Var.}(u(0, \cdot)) \leq \frac{\delta_0}{C}$ with $C > 0$ sufficiently large depending on κ, κ_A and κ_B .

Our main goal now is to prove similar result when $t \geq \hat{t}$, to do this as in [4] it will require hyperbolic type estimates relying to the interactions of wave based on the previous decomposition of u_x as a sum of travelling wave. To do this we start by giving regularity estimates on v_1, v_2 and w_1 .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $T > \hat{t}$. Assume that the solution u of (1.11) satisfies on $[0, T]$*

$$\|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0,$$

then for all $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^2 \|v_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} = O(1)\delta_0 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^2 \|v_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|v_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + \|w_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = O(1)\delta_0^2 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^2 \|v_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|w_{1,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|v_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + \|w_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = O(1)\delta_0^3, \\
& \sum_{i=1}^2 \|v_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|v_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = O(1)\delta_0^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. It suffices to apply the Corollary 2.2 and the formula (3.11). \square

We have seen that the solution u satisfies (4.1) for $t \in [0, \hat{t}]$ and we know that the solution can be extended as long as its total variation remains small. Let us denote the time T satisfying,

$$T = \sup \left\{ t, \int_{\hat{t}}^t |\phi_2(s, x)| ds dx \leq \frac{\delta_0}{5} \right\}. \tag{4.4}$$

We want to prove that $T = +\infty$. We proceed then by contradiction and assume now that $T < +\infty$. To do this we observe by applying maximum principle that for any $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ and the formula (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &= \|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \|u_{1,x}(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
\|v_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \|v_2(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \int_{\hat{t}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_2(s, x)| ds dx, \\
&\leq (\kappa_1 \|u_{1,x}(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) + \frac{\delta_0}{5}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

It implies now that for $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$, we get using (3.11) and (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq (1 + \kappa_1) \|u_{1,x}(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + (\kappa_1 \|u_{1,x}(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) + \frac{\delta_0}{5} \\
& \leq \delta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

It means that we can apply Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 4.1 for any $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ and in particular we control the L^∞ norm of $v_{i,x}$, $v_{i,xx}$ and $w_1, w_{1,x}$.

We wish now to estimate $\int_{\hat{t}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_2(s, x)| ds dx$ and to prove that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_2(s, x)| ds dx = O(1)\delta_0^2 \tag{4.6}$$

which would contradict the fact that T is a supremum. From (3.14), we observe that each of the terms of \mathcal{E} can be estimated by the following expression

1. wrong speed

$$O(1)v_{1,x}(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1), \quad (4.7)$$

2. change in strength

$$O(1)w_1 v_{1,x} - w_{1,x} v_1, \quad (4.8)$$

3. change in speed

$$O(1)v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 \chi_{|w_1/v_1| \leq 3\delta_1}, \quad (4.9)$$

4. transversal interaction

$$O(1)v_1 v_2, \quad v_{1,x} v_2, \quad v_{2,x} v_1. \quad (4.10)$$

For completeness we are going to estimate some of the terms of $\langle \mathcal{E}, l_2 \rangle$, essentially the more complicated. We will use in a crucial way the estimate (3.3) and (3.4). We note that some terms are completely similar to those appearing in [3] and some others one are new due to the fact that α_1, α_2 are not constant and also because s_1 is not necessary zero since $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$.

- We have then using the fact that $w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1 \neq 0$ only if $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1$ which implies that $|v_1| = O(1)|v_{1,x}|$ then it gives

$$v_1^2 (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) \langle (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} - \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1), l_2 \rangle = O(1)v_1 v_{1,x} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1).$$

- Using now the fact that $\theta'_1 \neq 0$ if $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq 3\delta_1$ which implies that $v_{1,x} = O(1)v_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & v_1 \sigma_{1,x} (w_1 + \alpha_1 v_{1,x} + v_1 \sigma_1) \langle \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}, l_2 \rangle \\ &= -\theta'_1 (w_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} w_1) \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} + \alpha_1 \frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1} + \sigma_1 \right) \langle \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma}, l_2 \rangle \\ &= O(1)(w_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} w_1). \end{aligned}$$

- The term in $\langle \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma}, l_2 \rangle$ satisfies since $\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma} = O(1)v_1$,

$$\alpha_1 v_1 (\sigma_{1,x})^2 \langle \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma}, l_2 \rangle = O(1)v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 \chi_{|w_1/v_1| \leq 3\delta_1}.$$

- The term in $\langle r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}, l_2 \rangle$ verifies since $r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} = O(1)v_1$,

$$2\alpha_1 \sigma_{1,x} v_1 v_2 \langle r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}, l_2 \rangle = -2\alpha_1 \theta'_1 v_2 v_1 \left(w_{1,x} - \frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1} \right) \langle \frac{r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}}{v_1}, l_2 \rangle = O(1)v_1 v_2.$$

- The term $\langle v_1 v_2 \sigma_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2) r_2, l_2 \rangle$ can be dealt as previously.

Let us estimate now the main new terms compared with [3] on the right hand side of (3.22)

which are $(v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x$, $-\frac{v_1 \alpha'_1}{\alpha_1^2} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))$ and $-\frac{v_1 \alpha'_1}{\alpha_1^2} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))$.

Let us start with the term $\frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x r_2$. We note now that since $w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1$

is different from 0 if $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1$ then we have

$$((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (w_{1,x} + \sigma_1 v_{1,x})(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})\chi_{\left\{\left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right| \leq \delta_1\right\}} + (w_{1,x} + \sigma_1 v_{1,x})(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} \\
&- \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x v_1 (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)v_1(\tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_{1,v})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} \\
&+ (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)v_2(r_2 \bullet s_1 + v_1 r_2 \bullet s_{1,v})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)v_{1,x}(2s_{1,v} + v_1 s_{1,vv})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} \\
&- (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)\theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x (s_{1,\sigma} + v_1 s_{1,v\sigma})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} \\
&= \left(\frac{s_1}{v_1} + s_{1,v}\right)[(w_{1,x}v_1 - v_{1,x}w_1) + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)v_{1,x}] \\
&- \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x v_1^2 \left(\frac{s_1}{v_1} + s_{1,v}\right) + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)v_1(\tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_{1,v})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} \\
&+ (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)v_2(r_2 \bullet s_1 + v_1 r_2 \bullet s_{1,v})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)v_{1,x}(2s_{1,v} + v_1 s_{1,vv})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}} \\
&- (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)\theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x (s_{1,\sigma} + v_1 s_{1,v\sigma})\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have seen that $s_1 = \mathcal{O}(1)|v_1|$, $s_{1,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}(1)|v_1|$, $\text{supp}\theta'$ is included in $\left\{\left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right| \leq 3\delta_1\right\}$ and $|v_1| = \mathcal{O}(1)|v_{1,x}|$ when $\left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right| \geq \delta_1$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))_x &= \mathcal{O}(1)|w_{1,x}v_1 - w_1v_{1,x}| + \mathcal{O}(1)|v_{1,x}(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)| \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1)(|v_1v_2| + |v_2v_{1,x}|) \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(1)v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)^2 \chi_{|w_1/v_1| \leq 3\delta_1} + \mathcal{O}(1)(w_1^2 + v_1^2)\chi_{\left\{\delta_1 < \left|\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right|\right\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us study now the term $-\frac{v_1\alpha'_1}{\alpha_1^2}((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)(s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}))$, which is of the form $\mathcal{O}(1)|v_{1,x}(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)|$ since $s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v} = \mathcal{O}(1)|v_1|$ and $|v_1| = \mathcal{O}(1)|v_{1,x}|$ when $w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1 \neq 0$. We would like now to rewrite (3.16) as follows

$$v_{2,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_2 v_2)_x - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x})_x = \varphi_2 + (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x, \quad (4.11)$$

where φ_2 is defined as follows

$$\varphi_2 = \phi_2 - (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x.$$

By the analysis so far we conclude that φ_2 can be estimated by (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10). Now, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
(v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x &= -v_{1,x} \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x s_{1,\sigma} + v_1 \theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 s_{1,\sigma} \\
&- \theta'_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_{xx} s_{1,\sigma} - \theta'_1 v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} - \theta'_1 v_1 v_2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} \\
&- \theta'_1 v_1 v_{1,x} \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x s_{1,v\sigma} + (\theta'_1)^2 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 s_{1,\sigma\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_{xx} = \frac{w_{1,xx}v_1 - v_{1,xx}w_1}{v_1^2} - \frac{2v_{1,x}}{v_1} \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x. \quad (4.12)$$

In particular we deduce since $s_{1,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}(1)|v_1|$ and because $\text{supp}\theta'$ is included in $\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq 3\delta_1\}$ that

$$\theta'_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_{xx} s_{1,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}(1) |w_{1,xx}v_1 - v_{1,xx}w_1| + \mathcal{O}(1) |w_{1,x}v_1 - v_{1,x}w_1|. \quad (4.13)$$

Since $s_{1,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}(1)|v_1|$ and $v_{1,x} = \mathcal{O}(1)v_1$ when $\theta'_1 \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1 \sigma_{1,x} s_{1,\sigma})_x &= \mathcal{O}(1) |w_{1,xx}v_1 - v_{1,xx}w_1| + \mathcal{O}(1) v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \chi_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq 3\delta_1\}} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(1) |w_{1,x}v_1 - v_{1,x}w_1|. \end{aligned}$$

To summarize we have seen that all the terms on the right hand side of (4.11) are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &O(1)v_{1,x}(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1), \quad O(1)(w_1 v_{1,x} - w_{1,x} v_1), \quad O(1)v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \chi_{|w_1/v_1| \leq 3\delta_1}, \\ &O(1)v_1 v_2, \quad v_{1,x} v_2, \quad v_{2,x} v_1 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{1,xx} v_1 - v_{1,xx} w_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

We note that the term $w_{1,xx}v_1 - v_{1,xx}w_1$ does not appear in [3]. We wish now to estimate L^1 norm of each of these terms on $[\hat{t}, T] \times \mathbb{R}$.

5 Shortening curves

Your goal now is to deal with the new term $\mathcal{O}(1) |w_{1,xx}v_1 - v_{1,xx}w_1|$ which does not appear in the analysis of [3, 4] when we consider constant viscosity coefficients.

5.1 Scalar conservation laws

In this section we mainly discuss the application of shortening curves estimates for scalar conservation laws which reads as follows

$$u_t + f'(u)u_x = (\alpha(u)u_x)_x. \quad (5.1)$$

Though it has been already used in [3, 4] to show that $u_{xx}u_t - u_{tx}u_x$ is $L^1_{t,x}$ when $\alpha(u) = 1$, here we wish to prove that $u_{xxx}u_t - u_{txx}u_x$ is $L^1_{t,x}$. We consider $v := u_x$ satisfying the following equation

$$v_t + (\tilde{\lambda}(u)v)_x = (\alpha(u)v_x)_x \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\lambda}(u) = f'(u) - \alpha'(u)v \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(u) = f'(u). \quad (5.2)$$

We define $w = \alpha v_x - \tilde{\lambda}v$. Observe that $w = u_t$ and $v_t = w_x$. Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} w_t &= (\alpha v_x)_t - (\tilde{\lambda}v)_t = \alpha'(u)u_t v_x + \alpha w_{xx} - \lambda' u_t v + (\alpha'(u)v)_t v - \lambda v_t + \alpha'(u)vv_t \\ &= \alpha'(u)wv_x + \alpha w_{xx} - \lambda' wv + \alpha''(u)u_t v^2 + \alpha'(u)v_t v - \lambda v_t + \alpha'(u)vv_t \\ &= \alpha'(u)wv_x + \alpha w_{xx} - \lambda' wv + \alpha''(u)wv^2 + \alpha'(u)w_x v - \lambda w_x + \alpha'(u)vw_x \\ &= (\alpha w_x)_x - (\tilde{\lambda}w)_x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, w solves

$$w_t + (\tilde{\lambda}w)_x - (\alpha(u)w_x)_x = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

We introduce a new variable $z := \alpha(u)w_x - \tilde{\lambda}w$. We observe that $z_x = w_t$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} z_t &= \alpha(u)w_{tx} + (\alpha(u))_t w_x - (\tilde{\lambda})_t w - \tilde{\lambda}w_t \\ &= \alpha(u)z_{xx} + (\alpha(u))_t w_x - (\tilde{\lambda})_t w - \tilde{\lambda}z_x. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Let us compute now $-(\tilde{\lambda})_t w$, it gives:

$$\begin{aligned} -(\tilde{\lambda})_t w &= (-\lambda'(u)u_t + \alpha''(u)u_x v + \alpha'(u)v_t)w \\ &= -\lambda'(u)w^2 + \alpha''(u)w^2 v + \alpha'(u)w_x w \\ &= -\lambda'(u)w\alpha v_x + \lambda'(u)w\tilde{\lambda}v + \alpha''(u)w\alpha v_x v - \alpha''(u)w\tilde{\lambda}v^2 + \alpha'(u)w_x w \\ &= -\lambda'(u)w\alpha v_x + (\lambda(u))_x(\alpha w_x - z) + \alpha''(u)w\alpha v_x v - (\alpha'(u))_x(\alpha w_x - z)v + \alpha'(u)w_x w \\ &= -(\lambda(u))_x z + \lambda'(u)\alpha(w_x v - v_x w) - (\alpha'(u))_x v\alpha w_x + (\alpha'(u))_x v z + \alpha''(u)w\alpha v_x v \\ &\quad + \alpha'(u)w_x(\alpha v_x - \tilde{\lambda}v) \\ &= -(\lambda(u))_x z + \lambda'(u)\alpha(w_x v - v_x w) - (\alpha'(u))_x v\alpha w_x + (\alpha'(u))_x v z + \alpha''(u)w\alpha v_x v \\ &\quad - \alpha'(u)w_x \tilde{\lambda}v + \alpha'(u)v_x(z + \tilde{\lambda}w) \\ &= -(\lambda(u))_x z + \lambda'(u)\alpha(w_x v - v_x w) + (\alpha'(u))_x v z + \alpha'(u)v_x z - (\alpha'(u))_x v\alpha w_x + \alpha''(u)w\alpha v_x v \\ &\quad + \alpha'(u)v_x \tilde{\lambda}w - \alpha'(u)w_x \tilde{\lambda}v. \end{aligned}$$

We have finally obtained:

$$-(\tilde{\lambda})_t w = -\tilde{\lambda}_x z + \lambda'(u)\alpha(u)(w_x v - v_x w) + \alpha'(u)\tilde{\lambda}(v_x w - w_x v) + \alpha''(u)v\alpha(u)(wv_x - vw_x). \quad (5.5)$$

Let us estimate now $(\alpha(u))_t w_x$, we have then:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(u))_t w_x &= \alpha'(u)w w_x = \alpha'(u)w_x(\alpha v_x - \tilde{\lambda}v) \\ &= \alpha'(u)v_x(z + \tilde{\lambda}w) - \alpha'(u)w_x \tilde{\lambda}v \\ &= \alpha'(u)v_x z + \alpha'(u)\tilde{\lambda}(v_x w - w_x v) \\ &= (\alpha(u))_x z_x + \alpha'(u)(v_x z - v z_x) + \alpha'(u)\tilde{\lambda}(v_x w - w_x v). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Combining (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) we obtain that z solves the following equation

$$z_t + (\tilde{\lambda}z)_x - (\alpha(u)z_x) = \phi,$$

with

$$\phi = (\lambda'(u)\alpha(u) - 2\alpha'(u)\tilde{\lambda} - \alpha''(u)v\alpha(u))(w_x v - v_x w) + \alpha'(u)(v_x z - v z_x). \quad (5.7)$$

Now, let us recall the following crucial result from [4].

Lemma 5.1. *Let ζ_1, ζ_2 be solutions of the following equations for some φ_1 and φ_2 .*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{1,t} + (\lambda\zeta_1)_x - (\alpha_1\zeta_{1,x})_x &= \varphi_1, \\ \zeta_{2,t} + (\lambda\zeta_2)_x - (\alpha_1\zeta_{2,x})_x &= \varphi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

For each t , we assume that $x \mapsto \zeta_1(t, x)$, $x \mapsto \zeta_2(t, x)$ and $x \mapsto \lambda(t, x)$ are $C^{1,1}$. Then, we

have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{A}(t) &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1(t, x) |\zeta_{1,x}(t, x)\zeta_2(t, x) - \zeta_1(t, x)\zeta_{2,x}(t, x)| dx \\ &\quad + \|\zeta_1(t)\|_{L^1} \|\varphi_2(t)\|_{L^1} + \|\zeta_2(t)\|_{L^1} \|\varphi_1(t)\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where \mathcal{A} is defined as below

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{x < y} |\zeta_1(t, x)\zeta_2(t, y) - \zeta_1(t, y)\zeta_2(t, x)| dx dy. \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. The proof follows the same line as in [4] except that we deal with a variable viscosity coefficient α_1 . For completeness we recall the proof. Let us set:

$$\gamma(t, x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^x \zeta_1(t, y) dy, \int_{-\infty}^x \zeta_2(t, y) dy \right) \quad (5.11)$$

Integrating (5.8), we get:

$$\gamma_t + \lambda \gamma_x - \alpha_1 \gamma_{xx} = \varphi(t, x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^x \varphi_1(t, y) dy, \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi_2(t, y) dy \right) \quad (5.12)$$

As in [4], for the curve γ , at each point where $\gamma_x \neq 0$ we consider the unit vector $n(x)$ oriented so that $\gamma_x(x) \wedge n = |\gamma_x(x)| > 0$. In particular for every vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$\gamma_x(x) \wedge v = |\gamma_x(x)| \langle n(x), v \rangle.$$

We define also the projection of γ along n by:

$$y \rightarrow \chi^n(y) = \langle n, \gamma(y) \rangle.$$

Following the same computations as [4], we can show that:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{A}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \int |\gamma_x(t, x)| \frac{d}{dt} (TV(\chi^{n(t,x)})) dx$$

where the derivative with respect to time of the total variation is considered assuming $n(t, x)$ is like a constant vector in time. If we assume that $\chi^{n(t,x)}$ has a finite number of local minima and maxima $y_{-p}, \dots, y_{-1}, y_0 = x, y_1, \dots, y_q$ then we can check that:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (TV(\chi^{n(t,x)})) = -\text{sign} \langle n(t, x), \gamma_{xx}(t, x) \rangle 2 \sum_{-p \leq \alpha \leq q} (-1)^\alpha \langle n(t, x), \gamma_t(t, y_\alpha(t)) \rangle \quad (5.13)$$

Furthermore we have as in [4]:

$$\langle n(t, x), \gamma_x(t, x) \rangle = 0, \quad \text{sign} \langle n(t, x), \gamma_{xx}(t, y_\alpha) \rangle = (-1)^\alpha \text{sign} \langle n(t, x), \gamma_{xx}(t, x) \rangle,$$

One obtain then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathcal{A}(t)}{dt} &= - \int |\gamma_x(t, x)| \text{sign} \langle n(t, x), \gamma_{xx}(t, x) \rangle \left(\sum_{-p \leq \alpha \leq q} (-1)^\alpha \langle n(t, x), \gamma_t(t, y_\alpha(t)) \rangle \right) dx \\
&\leq - \int \sum_{\alpha} \alpha_1(t, y_\alpha(t)) |\gamma_x(t, x) \wedge \gamma_{xx}(t, y_\alpha(t))| dx \\
&\quad + \int |\gamma_x(t, x)| \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^\alpha \langle n(t, x), \varphi(t, y_\alpha(t)) \rangle dx \\
&\leq - \int \alpha_1(t, x) |\gamma_x(t, x) \wedge \gamma_{xx}(t, x)| dx + \int \int |\gamma_x(t, x) \wedge \varphi_x(t, y)| dx dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

This last inequality implies (5.9). As in [4] using an approximation argument we can assume that the function $\chi^{n(t, x)}$ has the previous regularity. \square

Applications:

1. We may take $\zeta_1 = v_1$ and $\zeta_2 = w_1$. From Lemma 5.1 we can obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}(t) \leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1(u_1(t, x)) |v_{1,x}(t, \cdot) w_1(t, \cdot) - w_{1,x}(t, \cdot) v_1(t, \cdot)| dx.$$

Hence, we get for all $0 \leq T \leq T'$

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1(u_1) |v_{1,x}(t, \cdot) w_1(t, \cdot) - w_{1,x}(t, \cdot) v_1(t, \cdot)| dx \leq \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|w_1(T)\|_{L^1}. \tag{5.15}$$

2. We may take $\zeta_1 = v_1$ and $\zeta_2 = z_1 = \alpha_1(u_1) w_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda} w_1$. Define ϕ as in (5.7), from Lemma 5.1 we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}(t) &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1(u_1(t, x)) |v_{1,x}(t, \cdot) z_1(t, \cdot) - z_{1,x}(t, \cdot) v_1(t, \cdot)| dx \\
&\quad + \|v_1(t)\|_{L^1} \|\phi(t)\|_{L^1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

We first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} z_1 &= (\alpha_1 w_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 w_1)_x v_1 - v_{1,x} (\alpha_1 w_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 w_1) \\
&= \alpha_{1,x} w_{1,x} v_1 + \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} w_1 v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 w_{1,x} v_1 - \alpha_1 v_{1,x} w_{1,x} + \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_{1,x} w_1 \\
&= \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} v_1 - (w_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1) w_{1,x} + \alpha_{1,x} w_{1,x} v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} w_1 v_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_{1,x} w_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 w_{1,x} \\
&= \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} v_1 - w_1 w_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 w_{1,x} + \alpha_{1,x} w_{1,x} v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} w_1 v_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_{1,x} w_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 w_{1,x} \\
&= \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} v_1 - w_1 (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1)_x - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 w_{1,x} + \alpha_{1,x} w_{1,x} v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} w_1 v_1 \\
&\quad + \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_{1,x} w_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1 w_{1,x} \\
&= \alpha_1 (w_{1,xx} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,xx}) + (2\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \alpha'_1(u_1) v_1) (w_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} w_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

From (5.16) we get for all $0 \leq T \leq T'$

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1(u_1) |z_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} z_1| dx dt \leq \|z_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1}$$

$$+ \|v_1\|_{L^\infty((T,T'),L^1(\mathbb{R}))} \|\phi\|_{L^1([T,T'] \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

Now, we apply (5.7), (5.15), (5.16) and (5.17) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1^2(u_1) |w_{1,xx}v_1 - w_1v_{1,xx}| \, dxdt \\
& \leq \int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1(u_1) |z_{1,x}v_1 - v_{1,x}z_1| \, dxdt \\
& \quad + \|2\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \alpha_1'(u_1)v_1\|_{L^\infty([T,T'] \times \mathbb{R})} \int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1(u_1) |w_{1,x}v_1 - v_{1,x}w_1| \, dxdt \\
& \leq \|z_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1} + \|v_1\|_{L^\infty((T,T');L^1(\mathbb{R}))} \|\phi\|_{L^1([T,T'] \times \mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad + \|2\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \alpha_1'(u_1)v_1\|_{L^\infty([T,T'] \times \mathbb{R})} \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|w_1(T)\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \|z_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1} + \|2\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \alpha_1'(u_1)v_1\|_{L^\infty([T,T'] \times \mathbb{R})} \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|w_1(T)\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \|v_1\|_{L^\infty((T,T');L^1(\mathbb{R}))} \|\lambda_1'(u_1) - 2\frac{\alpha_1'(u_1)}{\alpha_1(u_1)}\tilde{\lambda} - \alpha_1''(u_1)v_1\|_{L^\infty((T,T') \times \mathbb{R})} \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|w_1(T)\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \|v_1\|_{L^\infty((T,T');L^1(\mathbb{R}))} \|\alpha_1'(u_1)\|_{L^\infty((T,T') \times \mathbb{R})} \|\alpha_1(w_{1,xx}v_1 - w_1v_{1,xx})\|_{L^1((T,T') \times \mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad + \|v_1\|_{L^\infty((T,T');L^1(\mathbb{R}))} \|\alpha_1'(u_1)\|_{L^\infty((T,T') \times \mathbb{R})} \times \\
& \quad \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha_1(u_1)} (2\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \alpha_1'(u_1)v_1) \right\|_{L^\infty((T,T') \times \mathbb{R})} \|v_1(T)\|_{L^1} \|w_1(T)\|_{L^1}. \quad (5.18)
\end{aligned}$$

We take now $T = \hat{t}$ and $T' = T$ with T defined in (4.4). We can note in particular that $|w_{1,xx}v_1 - w_1v_{1,xx}|$ and $|z_{1,x}v_1 - v_{1,x}z_1|$ are integrable on $[\hat{t}, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ due to the estimates of the Lemma 4.1. From the Lemma 4.1 and using (1.9) we deduce that

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_{1,xx}v_1 - w_1v_{1,xx}| \, dxdt = O(1)\delta_0^2 + O(1)\delta_0 \|\alpha_1(w_{1,xx}v_1 - w_1v_{1,xx})\|_{L^1([\hat{t}, T] \times \mathbb{R})}. \quad (5.19)$$

We deduce then since $\delta_0 > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small that

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_{1,xx}v_1 - w_1v_{1,xx}| \, dxdt = O(1)\delta_0^2. \quad (5.20)$$

Similarly using (5.15) we have

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_{1,x}v_1 - w_1v_{1,x}| \, dxdt = O(1)\delta_0^2. \quad (5.21)$$

5.2 Length Functional

As in [3], we wish now to deal with the terms of the form $O(1)v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \chi_{|w_1/v_1| \leq 3\delta_1}$. We follow the main argument of the proof of [4] except that we have variable viscosity coefficient.

Lemma 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 with $\zeta_1 = v_1$, $\zeta_2 = w_1$, $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}_1$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$, at a fixed time t assume that $\gamma_x(t, x) \neq 0$ for every x with $\gamma(t, x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^x v_1(t, y) dy, \int_{-\infty}^x w_1(t, y) dy\right)$. Then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) \leq -\frac{1}{(1 + 9\delta_1^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq 3\delta_1\}} |\alpha_1 v_1| \left|\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x\right|^2 dx, \quad (5.22)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{v_1^2(t) + w_1^2(t)} dx.$$

Proof. Let us recall that

$$\gamma_{t,x} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \gamma_x)_x - (\alpha_1 \gamma_{xx})_x = 0. \quad (5.23)$$

Since γ_x never vanishes, we get after integrations by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) &= \int \frac{\langle \gamma_x, \gamma_{xt} \rangle}{\sqrt{|\gamma_x|^2}} dx \\ &= \int \frac{\langle \gamma_x, (\alpha_1 \gamma_{xx})_x \rangle}{|\gamma_x|} - \frac{\langle \gamma_x, (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \gamma_x)_x \rangle}{|\gamma_x|} dx \\ &= \int (\alpha_1 |\gamma_x|)_x - \frac{\alpha_1 |\gamma_{xx}|^2}{|\gamma_x|} + \alpha_1 \frac{\langle \frac{\gamma_x}{|\gamma_x|}, \gamma_{xx} \rangle^2}{|\gamma_x|} - (\tilde{\lambda}_1 |\gamma_x|)_x. \end{aligned}$$

As in [4], we have $\alpha_1 \frac{\langle \frac{\gamma_x}{|\gamma_x|}, \gamma_{xx} \rangle^2}{|\gamma_x|} = -\frac{\alpha_1 |v_1| \left|\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x\right|^2}{(1 + (\frac{w_1}{v_1})^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) = - \int \frac{\alpha_1 |v_1| \left|\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x\right|^2}{(1 + (\frac{w_1}{v_1})^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} dx.$$

This last inequality implies clearly (5.22). \square

From (5.22), (1.9) and applying the Lemma 4.1, we deduce that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \chi_{|w_1/v_1| \leq 3\delta_1} dx ds = O(1)\delta_0^2. \quad (5.24)$$

6 Transversal interaction and energy estimates

In this subsection we recall the estimate for transversal interaction (see [4, 14])

Proposition 6.1. *Let $v, v^\#$ be solutions of the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + (\lambda v)_x - (\alpha v)_{xx} &= \phi, \\ v_t^\# + (\lambda^\# v^\#)_x - (\alpha^\# v^\#)_{xx} &= \phi^\#. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

We assume that $\inf \lambda^\# - \sup \lambda \geq c_0 > 0$ and that $\|(\alpha, \alpha^\#)\|_{L^\infty} < +\infty$. Then the following estimate holds true for any $0 \leq T \leq T'$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |vv^\#| \, dxdt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \left(\|v(T, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{L^1([T, T'] \times \mathbb{R})} \right) \cdot \left(\|v^\#(T, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\phi^\#\|_{L^1([T, T'] \times \mathbb{R})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = 2c_0$.

Similarly we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $v, v^\#$ be solutions of (6.1) and we assume that $\inf \lambda^\# - \sup \lambda \geq c_0$ holds with in addition the following estimates*

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(t, x)| \, dxdt \leq \delta_0, \quad \int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi^\#(t, x)| \, dxdt \leq \delta_0, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^1}, \|v^\#(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0, \quad \|v_x(t)\|_{L^1}, \|v^\#(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_* \delta_0^2, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\|\lambda_x(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\lambda_x(t)\|_{L^1} \leq C_* \delta_0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \lambda(t, x) = 0, \quad (6.4)$$

for all $t \in [T, T']$. Then we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_x(t, x)| |v^\#(t, x)| \, dxdt = \mathcal{O}(1) \delta_0^2. \quad (6.5)$$

We can apply directly the Proposition 6.1 to $v = v_1$ and $v^\# = v_2$. We have then $\lambda = \lambda_1$, $\phi = 0$, $\lambda^\# = \lambda_2 - (r_2 \bullet \alpha_2)v_2 + u_x \bullet \alpha_2 = \lambda_2 + (r_1 \bullet \alpha_2)v_1$, $\phi^\# = \varphi_2$. We can observe that using (1.6), the Lemma 4.1 and taking δ_0 sufficiently small we deduce that $\inf \lambda^\# - \sup \lambda \geq c_0 > 0$ with $c_0 = \frac{c}{2}$. It gives by applying again Lemma 4.1 that

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_1 v_2| \, dxdt = \mathcal{O}(1) \delta_0^2. \quad (6.6)$$

Similarly by applying the Proposition 6.2 we can show that

$$\int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_1 v_{2,x}| \, dxdt = \mathcal{O}(1) \delta_0^2, \quad \int_T^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_{1,x} v_2| \, dxdt = \mathcal{O}(1) \delta_0^2. \quad (6.7)$$

As in [4], we are going to prove energy estimate. Define now a cut-off positive function $\hat{\theta}$ satisfying

$$\hat{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \leq \frac{3\delta_1}{5}, \\ \text{smooth connection} & \frac{3\delta_1}{5} \leq |x| \leq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}, \\ 1 & |x| \geq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}. \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

We assume here that $\delta_1|\hat{\theta}'|, \delta_1^2|\hat{\theta}''| \leq 16$. Let us multiply now (3.15) by $v_1\theta\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)$ and integrate by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\frac{v_1^2\hat{\theta}}{2}\right)_t - \frac{v_1^2}{2}(\hat{\theta}_t + 2\tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x - (\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x)_x) + 2\alpha_1v_{1,x}v_1\hat{\theta}_x + \hat{\theta}v_{1,x}(\alpha_1v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1v_1) \right) dx = 0.$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\theta}v_{1,x}(\alpha_1v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1v_1) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{v_1^2\hat{\theta}}{2}\right)_t dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_1^2}{2}(\hat{\theta}_t + \tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x - (\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x)_x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\alpha_1v_{1,x}v_1\hat{\theta}_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_1^2}{2}\tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

A direct computation gives using (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\theta}_t + \tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x - (\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x)_x \\ &= \hat{\theta}'\left(\frac{w_{1,t}}{v_1} - \frac{v_{1,t}w_1}{v_1^2}\right) + \tilde{\lambda}_1\left(\frac{w_{1,x}}{v_1} - \frac{v_{1,x}w_1}{v_1^2}\right) \\ &\quad - \alpha_1'v_1\hat{\theta}'\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x - \alpha_1\hat{\theta}''\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 + 2\alpha_1\hat{\theta}'\frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1}\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x - \alpha_1\hat{\theta}'\left(\frac{w_{1,xx}v_1 - v_{1,xx}w_1}{v_1^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\hat{\theta}'}{v_1}(w_{1,t} + \tilde{\lambda}_1w_{1,x} - (\alpha_1w_{1,x})_x) - \frac{\hat{\theta}'w_1}{v_1^2}(v_{1,t} + \tilde{\lambda}_1v_{1,x} - (\alpha_1v_{1,x})_x) - \alpha_1\hat{\theta}''\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_1\hat{\theta}'\frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1}\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x \\ &= -\alpha_1\hat{\theta}''\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 + 2\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x\frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this last expression in (6.9), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\theta}v_{1,x}(\alpha_1v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1v_1) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{v_1^2\hat{\theta}}{2}\right)_t dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1\hat{\theta}''v_1^2\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1v_{1,x}v_1\hat{\theta}_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_1^2}{2}\tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

We observe that $\hat{\theta}\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right) \neq 0$ if $|w_1| = |\alpha_1v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1v_1| \geq \frac{3\delta_1}{5}|v_1|$ which gives

$$|\alpha_1v_{1,x}| \geq \frac{3\delta_1}{5}|v_1| - \|\tilde{\lambda}_1\|_{L^\infty}|v_1| \geq 2\|\tilde{\lambda}_1\|_{L^\infty}|v_1|, \quad (6.11)$$

if we assume that δ_1 satisfies:

$$\delta_1 \geq 10\|\lambda_1'\|_{L^\infty}\delta_0 + 2\delta_0\|\alpha_1'\|_{L^\infty} \geq 5\|\tilde{\lambda}_1\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (6.12)$$

From (6.10), (6.11), (1.9) we get for $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\theta}v_{1,x}^2 &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{v_1^2\hat{\theta}}{2}\right)_t dx + O(1) \int_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq \delta_1\}} v_1^2|\hat{\theta}''|\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 dx \\ &\quad + O(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \left|\frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1}\right|\chi_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\}}\right) |w_{1,x}v_1 - v_{1,x}w_1| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

We can now estimate the term $v_{1,x}(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)$, using the fact that this term is different from zero only if $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1$ we get from (6.10), (5.24), (5.21) and Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_{1,x}(w_1 - \sigma_1 v_1)| dx ds &\leq O(1) \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} (v_{1,x}^2 + |v_1 v_{1,x}|) dx ds \\ &\leq O(1) \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} v_{1,x}^2 dx ds \leq O(1) \delta_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Finally using (4.14), (5.20), (5.21), (5.24), (6.6), (6.7) and (6.14) we have proved that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_2(s, x)| dx ds \leq O(1) \delta_0^2,$$

which contradict the definition of T provided that δ_0 is chosen sufficiently small. In particular since $T = +\infty$ we deduce by maximum principle that we have for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|u_{1,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|u_{2,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0. \quad (6.15)$$

7 Stability

We wish now to get stability estimate, in other words let us consider two initial data \bar{u} and \bar{v} of the equation (1.11) satisfying the smallness assumption on $\text{Tot.Var.}\bar{u}$ and $\text{Tot.Var.}\bar{v}$ such that (1.11) admits respectively a global solution u and v associated to the initial data \bar{u} and \bar{v} with small Total Variation. In addition we assume that $(\bar{u} - \bar{v}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then we are going to prove that there exists a constant L depending on δ_0 such that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|_{L^1}. \quad (7.1)$$

To do this following [4], we employ an homotopy argument, setting $\bar{u}^\theta = \theta \bar{u} + (1 - \theta) \bar{v}$ with $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and denoting by u^θ the solution of (1.11) with this initial data (this solution exists due to the Theorem 1.1) we are going to consider the unknown $z^\theta(t, x) = \frac{du^\theta}{d\theta}(t, x)$ which satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} z_t^\theta + (A(u)z^\theta)_x = (B(u)z_x^\theta + z^\theta \bullet B(u^\theta)u_x^\theta)_x, \\ z^\theta(0, \cdot) = \bar{u} - \bar{v}. \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$

Indeed we can observe that $u_x^\theta \bullet A(u^\theta)z^\theta = z^\theta \bullet A(u^\theta)u_x^\theta$. It remains now to estimate the L^1 norm of z^θ all along the time, in particular if we show that for any $t \geq 0$

$$\|z^\theta(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq L \|z^\theta(0, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \quad (7.3)$$

then we deduce that for any $t \geq 0$

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \int_0^1 \|z^\theta(s)\|_{L^1} ds \leq L \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|_{L^1},$$

which is exactly the estimate (7.1) what conclude the proof of the stability. It remains now to prove the estimate (7.3). We are reduced to study the L^1 norm of the solution of the following equation with u satisfying (1.11)

$$h_t + (Ah)_x = (Bh)_{xx} + (h \bullet Bu_x - u_x \bullet Bh)_x. \quad (7.4)$$

7.1 Parabolic estimates

As in the previous section, we can get regularity estimates on h , by applying similar arguments (it is clear since h satisfies the same equation than u_x except that the initial data is a priori different).

Proposition 7.1. *There exists $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$ depending on $\kappa_A, \kappa_B, \kappa$ such that if h is the solution of the equation (7.4) satisfying*

$$\|(h)_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|(h)_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, \hat{t}] \text{ where } \hat{t} \leq \frac{1}{(C_3\delta_0)^2}, \quad (7.5)$$

for C_3 sufficiently large depending on $\kappa_A, \kappa_B, \kappa$ and δ_0 sufficiently small in terms of $C_1, C_2, C_3, \kappa_A, \kappa_B$ and κ then we have for $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\|h_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C_1\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}}, \|h_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C_2\delta_0}{t}, \text{ and } \|h_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C_3}{t^{3/2}}. \quad (7.6)$$

Corollary 7.2. *Let $T > \hat{t}$. Assume that the solution h of (7.4) satisfies on $[0, T]$*

$$\|(h)_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|(h)_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0,$$

then for all $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1)\delta_0^2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1)\delta_0^3, \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1)\delta_0^4. \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. It suffices to apply Proposition (2.1) on $[t - \hat{t}, t]$. □

Proposition 7.3. *There exists $C > 0$ large enough depending on $\kappa_A, \kappa_B, \kappa$ such that if the solution h of (7.4) verifies:*

$$\|h(0, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\delta_0}{C}, \quad (7.8)$$

then h satisfies on the whole interval $[0, \hat{t}]$:

$$\|h(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2}. \quad (7.9)$$

7.2 Gradient decomposition

We wish now to estimate on $h(t, \cdot)$ in L^1 when $t \geq \hat{t}$. As previously we decompose h as a sum of viscous travelling waves

$$h = h_1\tilde{r}_1(u, v_1, \sigma_1) + h_2r_2, \quad (7.10)$$

with the same choice on σ_1 as in (3.17). In the sequel we distinguish $(h)_2$ which is the second coordinate of h whereas h_2 is the new variable introduced in (7.10). From the previous estimate on h we deduce that

Lemma 7.4. *Let $T > \hat{t}$. Assume that the solution h of (7.4) satisfies on $[0, T]$*

$$\|(h)_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|(h)_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \delta_0,$$

then for all $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &= O(1)\delta_0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1)\delta_0^2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1)\delta_0^3, \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}, \sum_{i=1}^2 \|h_{i,xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &= O(1)\delta_0^4. \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &(Bh)_x + (h \bullet Bu_x - u_x \bullet Bh) - Ah \\ &= (\alpha_1 h_1 \tilde{r}_1 + (s_1 h_1 + \alpha_2 h_2) r_2)_x - h_1 A \tilde{r}_1 - \lambda_2 h_2 r_2 + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1)(\tilde{r}_1 \cdot DBr_2 - r_2 \cdot DB\tilde{r}_1) \\ &= \alpha_1 h_{1,x} \tilde{r}_1 + h_1 [-A \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha'_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 r_2] + \alpha_1 v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\ &+ \alpha_1 v_{1,x} h_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} + \alpha_1 h_1 \sigma_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + h_{1,x} s_1 r_2 + v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \\ &- \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x h_1 s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + v_{1,x} h_1 s_{1,v} r_2 + \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 h_2 r_2 + r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2 h_2 r_2 \\ &+ (\alpha_2 h_{2,x} - \lambda_2 h_2) r_2 + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1)(\tilde{r}_1 \cdot DBr_2 - r_2 \cdot DB\tilde{r}_1). \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(Bh)_x - Ah \\ &= (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) \tilde{r}_1 + (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1 r_2 + \alpha_1 v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 s_{1,v} r_2 \\ &- \alpha_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \\ &+ \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 h_2 r_2 + (\alpha_2 h_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2) h_2) r_2 \\ &+ (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1)(\tilde{r}_1 \cdot DBr_2 - r_2 \cdot DB\tilde{r}_1) \end{aligned}$$

Taking derivative with respect to x we get

$$\begin{aligned} &[(Bh)_x + (h \bullet Bu_x - u_x \bullet Bh) - Ah]_x \\ &= (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1)_x \tilde{r}_1 + (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\ &+ (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\ &- \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1)_x h_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} + (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
& + (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} \\
& - (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} \\
& - \frac{\alpha'_1 v_1}{\alpha_1^2} (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1 r_2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1)_x r_2 + \alpha'_1 v_1 v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 (v_{2,x} h_1 + v_2 h_{1,x}) r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& + \alpha_1 v_2 h_1 (r_2 \otimes u_x) : D_u^2 \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_2 h_1 v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} - \alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& - \frac{\alpha'_1 v_1}{\alpha_1^2} (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 s_{1,v} r_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) v_1) h_1 s_{1,v})_x r_2 \\
& - v_1 \alpha'_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1 h_1 \left[-\theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_{xx} \right] \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& - \alpha_1 h_{1,x} \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& - \alpha_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} + \alpha_1 h_1 (\theta'_1)^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma} \\
& + (v_{2,x} h_1 + v_2 h_{1,x}) r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 + v_2 h_1 (u_x \otimes r_2) : D^2 s_1 r_2 + v_2 h_1 v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} r_2 \\
& - v_2 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + h_1 \left[\theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 - \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_{xx} \right] s_{1,\sigma} r_2 - h_{1,x} \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \\
& - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_2 r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \\
& + h_1 (\theta'_1)^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 s_{1,\sigma\sigma} r_2 - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} s_{1,v\sigma} r_2 \\
& + (\tilde{r}_1 \otimes u_x) : D^2 \alpha_2 v_1 h_2 r_2 + (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2 v_1^2 h_2 r_2 + (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 v_2 h_2 r_2 \\
& + \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 v_{1,x} h_2 r_2 - \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 h_2 r_2 \\
& + \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2 (v_{1,x} h_2 + v_1 h_{2,x}) r_2 + (\alpha_2 h_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2) h_2)_x r_2 \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1)_x (\tilde{r}_1 \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_1) + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_1 ((\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1)) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_2 ((r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1)) + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,v} \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_{1,v}) \\
& - (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) ((\tilde{r}_1 \otimes u_x) \cdot D^2 B r_2 - (u_x \otimes r_2) \cdot D^2 B \tilde{r}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have using (3.12)

$$h_t = h_{1,t} \tilde{r}_1 + v_{1,t} h_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} + h_{2,t} r_2 + h_1 u_t \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + h_1 \sigma_{1,t} \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= h_{1,t}\tilde{r}_1 + v_{1,t}h_1\tilde{r}_{1,v} + h_{2,t}r_2 - h_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_t \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&+ w_1h_1[\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1\tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1] \\
&+ (\alpha_2v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2v_2)v_2)h_1r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1}(w_1 + \sigma_1v_1)(s_1 + v_1s_{1,v})h_1r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ h_1v_1^2\sigma_1\tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + v_1h_1\sigma_{1,x}s_{1,\sigma}r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1\sigma_{1,x}v_1h_1\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ h_1v_1v_2r_2 \bullet s_1r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1v_1h_1v_2r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ h_1v_1v_2(\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2)(r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(h_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1h_1)_x - (\alpha_1h_{1,x})_x)\tilde{r}_1 + (h_{2,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_2h_2)_x - (\alpha_2h_{2,x})_x)r_2 \\
&+ (v_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1v_1)_x - (\alpha_1v_{1,x})_x)h_1\tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1}((\alpha_1h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1 - \sigma_1)h_1)s_1)_xr_2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1}((\alpha_1v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1 - \sigma_1)v_1)h_1s_{1,v})_xr_2 \\
&+ h_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_t \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1h_1\left[\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_{xx} - \theta''_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2\right] \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \tag{7.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{R}} &= (\alpha_1h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1)h_1)v_1\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - (\alpha_1v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1)v_1)h_1\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ (\alpha_1h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1)h_1)v_2r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + [(\alpha_1h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1)h_1)v_{1,x} + (\sigma_1v_1)_x]h_1\tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
&- \theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x (\alpha_1h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1)h_1)\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&+ (w_1 + \sigma_1v_1)h_{1,x}\tilde{r}_{1,v} + (w_1 + \sigma_1v_1)h_1v_1\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
&+ (w_1 + \sigma_1v_1)h_1v_2r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + (w_1 + \sigma_1v_1)h_1v_{1,x}\tilde{r}_{1,vv} - (w_1 + \sigma_1v_1)h_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} \\
&- \frac{\alpha'_1v_1}{\alpha_1^2}(\alpha_1h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1\alpha'_1 - \sigma_1)h_1)s_1r_2 \\
&+ \alpha'_1v_1v_2h_1r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1(v_{2,x}h_1 + v_2h_{1,x})r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
&+ \alpha_1v_2h_1(r_2 \otimes u_x) : D_u^2\tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1v_2h_1v_{1,x}r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} - \alpha_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x v_2h_1r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&- \frac{\alpha'_1v_1}{\alpha_1^2}(w_1 + \sigma_1v_1)h_1s_{1,v}r_2 - v_1\alpha'_1h_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1h_{1,x}\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
&- \alpha_1h_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x v_1\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1h_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x v_2r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1h_1\theta'_1\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x v_{1,x}\tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} \\
&+ \alpha_1h_1(\theta'_1)^2\left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma} + (v_{2,x}h_1 + v_2h_{1,x})r_2 \bullet s_1r_2 + v_2h_1(u_x \otimes r_2) : D^2s_1r_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + v_2 h_1 v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} r_2 - v_2 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + h_1 \left[\theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 - \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_{xx} \right] s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \\
& - h_{1,x} \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} r_2 - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_2 r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \\
& - h_1 (\theta'_1)^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 s_{1,\sigma\sigma} r_2 - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} s_{1,v\sigma} r_2 \\
& + (\tilde{r}_1 \otimes u_x) : D^2 \alpha_2 v_1 h_2 r_2 + (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2 v_1^2 h_2 r_2 + (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 v_2 h_2 r_2 \\
& + \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 v_{1,x} h_2 r_2 - \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 h_2 r_2 + \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2 (v_{1,x} h_2 + v_1 h_{2,x}) r_2 \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1)_x (\tilde{r}_1 \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_1) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_1 ((\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1)) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_2 ((r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1)) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,v} \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_{1,v}) \\
& - (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) ((\tilde{r}_1 \otimes u_x) \cdot D^2 B r_2 - (u_x \otimes r_2) \cdot D^2 B \tilde{r}_1) \\
& - (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2) v_2) h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - v_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 h_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - h_1 v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 v_1 h_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - h_1 v_1 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

As in the previous section taking the scalar product of (7.12) with l_1 we get

$$h_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1)_x - (\alpha_1 h_{1,x})_x = 0. \quad (7.13)$$

Now taking the scalar product with l_2 we obtain

$$h_{2,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_2 h_2)_x - (\alpha_2 h_{2,x})_x = \tilde{\varphi}_2, \quad (7.14)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}_2 &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1)_x \\
&+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 s_{1,v})_x + \langle l_2, \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \rangle \\
&+ \langle l_2, \left(h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_t \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1 h_1 \left[\theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_{xx} - \theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \right] \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \right) \rangle.
\end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

We are now going to estimate each terms on the right hand side of (7.15). We start by defining the unknown $\hat{h}_1 = \alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1$ which is the equivalent of w_1 for v_1 . By using the same techniques as in the previous section, we will show energy estimate which will allow to control the quantity $h_{1,x}^2 \chi_{\{\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \geq \delta_1\}}$ in $L^1([\hat{t}, T^*] \times \mathbb{R})$. Let us start with the term

$((\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1)_x$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1)_x \\
&= [\alpha_1 h_{1,xx} - \lambda_1 h_{1,x} - \lambda'_1 v_1 h_1 + \alpha'_1 v_{1,x} h_1 + \alpha''_1 v_1^2 h_1 + 2\alpha'_1 v_1 h_{1,x} + \sigma_{1,x} h_1 + \sigma_1 h_{1,x}] s_1 \\
&+ (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} v_{1,x} - \lambda_1 v_{1,x} h_1 + v_{1,x} v_1 \alpha'_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 v_{1,x} h_1) s_{1,v} \\
&+ (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) (v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 + v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1) - (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} \\
&= 2\alpha'_1 (v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1) s_1 + [h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx}] \frac{\alpha_1 s_1}{v_1} \\
&+ \frac{s_1}{v_1} [w_{1,x} h_1 - \alpha'_1 v_1 v_{1,x} h_1 + \lambda'_1 v_1^2 h_1 + \lambda_1 v_{1,x} h_1 - \alpha''_1 v_1^3 h_1 + \alpha'_1 v_1 v_{1,x} h_1] \\
&+ [-\lambda_1 h_{1,x} - \lambda'_1 v_1 h_1 + \alpha''_1 v_1^2 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_{1,x}] s_1 - \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x h_1 s_1 \\
&+ (h_{1,x} w_1 + \lambda_1 h_{1,x} v_1 - \alpha'_1 v_1^2 h_{1,x} - \lambda_1 v_{1,x} h_1 + v_{1,x} v_1 \alpha'_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 v_{1,x} h_1) s_{1,v} \\
&+ (\alpha_1 (h_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} h_1) + h_1 (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)) \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 + (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 \\
&- (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can simplify as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1)_x \\
&= 2\alpha'_1 (v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1) s_1 + [h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx}] \frac{\alpha_1 s_1}{v_1} \\
&+ \frac{s_1}{v_1} [(w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}) + \lambda_1 (v_{1,x} h_1 - h_{1,x} v_1) + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_{1,x}] - \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x h_1 s_1 \\
&+ (h_{1,x} w_1 + \lambda_1 h_{1,x} v_1 - \alpha'_1 v_1^2 h_{1,x} - \lambda_1 v_{1,x} h_1 + v_{1,x} v_1 \alpha'_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 v_{1,x} h_1) s_{1,v} \\
&+ (\alpha_1 (h_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} h_1) + h_1 (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)) \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 + (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 \\
&- (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} \\
&= 2\alpha'_1 (v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1) s_1 + [h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx}] \frac{\alpha_1 s_1}{v_1} \\
&+ \frac{s_1}{v_1} [(w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}) + \lambda_1 (v_{1,x} h_1 - h_{1,x} v_1) + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_{1,x}] \\
&+ \frac{s_1}{v_1} \left(-\theta'_1 \frac{w_1}{v_1} (h_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} h_1) + \theta'_1 (h_{1,x} w_1 - w_{1,x} h_1) \right) \\
&+ (h_{1,x} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \sigma_1) (h_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} h_1)) s_{1,v} \\
&+ (\alpha_1 (h_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} h_1) + h_1 (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)) \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_1 + (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) v_2 r_2 \bullet s_1 \\
&- (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 + \sigma_1 h_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{s_1}{v_1} = O(1)$, $\frac{s_{1,\sigma}}{v_1} = O(1)$ and using the fact that

$$\sigma_{1,x} h_1 v_1 = -\theta'_1 \frac{w_1}{v_1} (h_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} h_1) + \theta'_1 (h_{1,x} w_1 - w_{1,x} h_1), \quad (7.16)$$

it implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1)_x = O(1) |v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1| + O(1) |h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx}| \\
& + O(1) |w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}| + O(1) |(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_{1,x}| + O(1) |h_1 v_2| + O(1) |h_{1,x} v_2| \\
& + O(1) h_{1,x}^2 \chi_{\{|\frac{h_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} + O(1) v_1^2 (\theta'_1)^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \chi_{\{|\frac{h_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

Remark 7.5. We can observe that the term $|h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx}|$ is new compared to the interaction terms in [3].

Using the fact that

$$(w_{1,x} + \sigma_1 v_{1,x}) h_1 = (h_1 w_{1,x} - h_{1,x} w_1) + h_{1,x} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) + \sigma_1 (v_{1,x} h_1 - h_{1,x} v_1),$$

we estimate the term $((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 s_{1,v})_x$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 s_{1,v})_x \\
& = (w_{1,x} + \sigma_1 v_{1,x}) h_1 s_{1,v} - \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x v_1 h_1 s_{1,v} + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) v_1 h_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_{1,v} \chi_{\{\delta_1 < |\frac{w_1}{v_1}|\}} \\
& + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} \chi_{\{\delta_1 < |\frac{w_1}{v_1}|\}} + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (h_{1,x} s_{1,v} + h_1 v_{1,x} s_{1,vv}) \chi_{\{\delta_1 < |\frac{w_1}{v_1}|\}} \\
& - (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) \theta_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x h_1 s_{1,v} \chi_{\{\delta_1 < |\frac{w_1}{v_1}|\}} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(|w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}| + |v_{1,x} h_1 - v_1 h_{1,x}| + |h_1 v_2| \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_{1,x}| + |v_{1,x}|).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

Similarly, we can show using (7.16) and the fact that $\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}(1) v_1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_t \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1 h_1 \left[\theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_{xx} - \theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \right] \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& = \theta'_1 h_1 \left(w_{1,t} - \alpha_1 w_{1,xx} - \frac{w_1}{v_1} (v_{1,t} - \alpha_1 v_{1,xx}) + 2\alpha_1 v_{1,x} \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x \right) [\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}/v_1] \\
& + \alpha_1 h_1 \theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& = \theta'_1 \left(\alpha'_1 (w_{1,x} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,x}) h_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x \right) [\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}/v_1] \\
& - 2\alpha_1 \frac{\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} v_{1,x}}{v_1} v_1 h_1 \sigma_{1,x} \chi_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq 3\delta_1\}} + \alpha_1 \theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2 h_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|v_1 w_{1,x} - v_{1,x} w_1| + |h_1 w_{1,x} - h_{1,x} w_1| + |h_1 v_{1,x} - h_{1,x} v_1|) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) + |\theta''_1| |h_1 v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1}\right)_x^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

Lemma 7.6. The remainder terms in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{R}} & = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(|h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| + |w_{1,x} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,x}| \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(|h_1 v_2| + |h_1 v_{2,x}| + |h_2 v_1| + |h_2 v_{1,x}| + |h_{2,x} v_1| + |h_{1,x} v_2| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathcal{O}(1)(|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|) |v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 \chi_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq 3\delta_1\}} + \mathcal{O}(1) |h_{1,xx}v_1 - v_{1,xx}h_1| \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) |w_1 + \sigma_1v_1| (|h_{1,x}| + |v_1| + |v_{1,x}|) + \mathcal{O}(1) |h_{1,xx}w_1 - w_{1,xx}h_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 7.6: We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) v_1) h_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& = \alpha_1 (h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}) \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 = \mathcal{O}(1) |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we note that using (7.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + [(\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) v_{1,x} + (\sigma_1 v_1)_x h_1] \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
& = (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + [h_{1,x}(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) + \sigma_1(v_{1,x} h_1 - h_{1,x} v_1) + (\sigma_1)_x v_1 h_1] \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| |h_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| + |v_2| (|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\theta'_1 v_{1,x}| = \mathcal{O}(1) |v_1|$, $\left| \theta'_1 \frac{w_1}{v_1} \right| = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}(1) v_1$ and $b \leq 1 + b^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& = - \theta'_1 v_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1) h_1) [\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} / v_1] \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |\theta'_1| \left[|h_{1,x} v_1| \left| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \right| + \left| h_1 \left(w_{1,x} - \frac{w_1}{v_1} v_{1,x} \right) \right| \right] \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |\theta'_1| \left[|h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| \left| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \right| + \left| -\frac{w_1}{v_1} (h_1 v_{1,x} - v_1 h_{1,x}) + (h_1 w_{1,x} - w_1 h_{1,x}) \right| \right] \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |\theta'_1| \left[|h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + (|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|) |v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We can check

$$\begin{aligned}
& (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v} + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_{1,x}| + |v_1|), \\
& (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} + (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,vv} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| |v_{1,x}| + |v_{1,x} v_2| + |v_1 v_2|).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (7.16) and the fact that $\theta'_1 w_1 = \mathcal{O}(1) v_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}|).
\end{aligned}$$

We note now that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\alpha'_1 v_1}{\alpha_1^2} (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha'_1 - \sigma_1) h_1) s_1 r_2 \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| |v_1| + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}|), \\
& \alpha'_1 v_1 v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 (v_{2,x} h_1 + v_2 h_{1,x}) r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 + \alpha_1 v_2 h_1 (r_2 \otimes u_x) : D_u^2 \tilde{r}_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha_1 v_2 h_1 v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,v} - \alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_2 h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|h_1 v_2| + |h_{1,x} v_2| + |h_1 v_{2,x}|) \\
& - \frac{\alpha'_1 v_1}{\alpha_1^2} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 s_{1,v} r_2 - v_1 \alpha'_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| |v_1| + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (7.16) and the fact that $\theta'_1 v_{1,x} = 0(1)v_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \alpha_1 h_{1,x} \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} = -\alpha_1 (h_{1,x} v_1 - v_{1,x} h_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x \frac{\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}}{v_1} + \alpha_1 v_{1,x} h_1 \sigma_{1,x} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |\theta'_1| \left[|h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + (|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|) |v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \alpha_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} - \alpha_1 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} \tilde{r}_{1,v\sigma} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|w_{1,x} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,x}| + |v_2 h_1| + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}|), \\
& \alpha_1 h_1 (\theta'_1)^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma\sigma} + (v_{2,x} h_1 + v_2 h_{1,x}) r_2 \bullet s_{1,r_2} + v_2 h_1 (u_x \otimes r_2) : D^2 s_{1,r_2} \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(|h_1 v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_{1,x} v_2| + |h_1 v_{2,x}| + |h_1 v_2| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now using (4.12), (7.16) and the fact that $s_{1,\sigma} = O(1)v_1$, $\theta'_1 v_{1,x} = O(1)v_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_2 h_1 v_{1,x} r_2 \bullet s_{1,v} r_2 - v_2 h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 + h_1 \left[\theta''_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 - \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_{xx} \right] s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\theta''_1 |h_1 v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_1 v_2| \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) \theta'_1 \left| h_1 \left(w_{1,xx} - \frac{v_{1,xx} w_1}{v_1} \right) \right| + \mathcal{O}(1) \left| \frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1} h_1 v_1 \sigma_{1,x} \right|, \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\theta''_1 |h_1 v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_1 v_2| \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) \theta'_1 \left| (h_1 w_{1,xx} - w_1 h_{1,xx}) - \frac{w_1}{v_1} (h_1 v_{1,xx} - v_1 h_{1,xx}) \right| \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) \left| \frac{v_{1,x}}{v_1} h_1 v_1 \sigma_{1,x} \right|, \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (\theta''_1 |h_1 v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_1 v_2| + |h_1 w_{1,xx} - w_1 h_{1,xx}| + |h_1 v_{1,xx} - v_1 h_{1,xx}| + |h_{1,x} v_1 - v_1 h_{1,x}| \\
& + |h_{1,x} w_1 - w_{1,x} h_1|).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we have similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& - h_{1,x} \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} r_2 - h_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 \tilde{r}_1 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |\theta'_1| \left[|h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + (|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|) |v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -h_1\theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_2 r_2 \bullet s_{1,\sigma} r_2 = \mathcal{O}(1) |h_1 v_2|, \\
& -h_1(\theta'_1)^2 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 s_{1,\sigma\sigma} r_2 - h_1\theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_{1,x} s_{1,v\sigma} r_2 \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |\theta'_1| \left[|h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + |h_1| |v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| \right], \\
& (\tilde{r}_1 \otimes u_x) : D^2 \alpha_2 v_1 h_2 r_2 + (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2 v_1^2 h_2 r_2 + (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 v_2 h_2 r_2 = \mathcal{O}(1) |v_1 h_2|, \\
& \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \alpha_2 v_1 v_{1,x} h_2 r_2 - \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \alpha_2 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 h_2 r_2 + \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2 (v_{1,x} h_2 + v_1 h_{2,x}) r_2 \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|v_1 h_2| + |v_{1,x} h_2| + |v_1 h_{2,x}|), \\
& (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1)_x (\tilde{r}_1 \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_1) + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_1 ((\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1)) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_2 ((r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1)) + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) v_{1,x} (\tilde{r}_{1,v} \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_{1,v}) \\
& - (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x (\tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \cdot DB r_2 - r_2 \cdot DB \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma}) \\
& + (h_1 v_2 - h_2 v_1) ((\tilde{r}_1 \otimes u_x) \cdot D^2 B r_2 - (u_x \otimes r_2) \cdot D^2 B \tilde{r}_1) \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|h_1 v_2| + |h_2 v_1| + |h_{1,x} v_2| + |h_{2,x} v_1| + |h_1 v_{2,x}| + |h_2 v_{1,x}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Again we have since $s_1 = O(1)v_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) h_1 v_1 \tilde{r}_{1,v} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - (\alpha_2 v_{2,x} - (\lambda_2 - r_2 \bullet \alpha_2 v_2) v_2) h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1) (s_1 + v_1 s_{1,v}) h_1 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| |v_1| + |h_1 v_{2,x}| + |h_1 v_2|), \\
& - h_1 v_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x s_{1,\sigma} r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 \theta'_1 \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x v_1 h_1 \tilde{r}_{1,\sigma} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) (|h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}|), \\
& - h_1 v_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet s_{1,r_2} \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - \alpha_1 v_1 h_1 v_2 r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 \bullet \tilde{r}_1 - h_1 v_1 v_2 (\tilde{r}_1 \bullet \alpha_2) (r_2 \bullet \tilde{r}_1) \\
& = \mathcal{O}(1) |h_1 v_2|.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.6. \square

From (7.15), (7.17), (7.18), (7.19) and Lemma 7.6 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}_2 & = \mathcal{O}(1) (|h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx}| + |h_{1,xx} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,xx}| + |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}| + |v_1| + |v_{1,x}|) \\
& + |w_{1,x} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,x}|) + \mathcal{O}(1) \left(\chi_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \leq 3\delta_1\}} (|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|) |v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| \right. \\
& + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| \Big) + \mathcal{O}(1) (|h_1 v_2| + |h_1 v_{2,x}| + |h_2 v_1| + |h_2 v_{1,x}| + |h_{2,x} v_1| + |h_{1,x} v_2|) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(1) |h_{1,x}|^2 \chi_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \geq \delta_1\}}. \tag{7.20}
\end{aligned}$$

We have seen that taking the initial data $h(0, \cdot)$ sufficiently small in L^1 we can assume that

$$\|(h)_1(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|(h)_2(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\delta_0}{5\kappa_1}. \tag{7.21}$$

In addition the solution h of (7.4) satisfies the estimates (7.7) for $t \in]0, \hat{t}]$ and we know that the solution can be extended as long as the L^1 norm of h remains small. Let us denote the time T satisfying:

$$T = \sup\{t, \int_{\hat{t}}^t |\tilde{\varphi}_2(s, x)| ds dx \leq \frac{\delta_0}{5}\}. \quad (7.22)$$

We want to prove that $T = +\infty$. We proceed again by contradiction and assume now that $T < +\infty$. Since h_1 and h_2 satisfy for $t \geq \hat{t}$ respectively the equations (7.13) and (7.14), applying maximum principle we deduce that for any $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \|(h)_1(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \\ \|h_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} &\leq \|h_2(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \int_{\hat{t}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\varphi}_2(s, x)| dx ds \\ &\leq (\kappa_1 \|h_1(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|(h)_2(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) + \frac{\delta_0}{5}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

It implies now that for $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$, we get using (7.21)

$$\|(h)_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|(h)_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq (1 + 2\kappa_1) \|(h)_1(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \|(h)_2(\hat{t}, \cdot)\|_{L^1} + \frac{\delta_0}{5} \leq \delta_0. \quad (7.24)$$

It means that we can apply the Corollary 7.2 and the Lemma 7.4 for any $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$ and in particular we control the L^∞ norm of h_i , $h_{i,x}$ and $\hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_{1,x}$.

We wish now to estimate $\int_{\hat{t}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\varphi}_2(s, x)| ds dx$ and to prove that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\varphi}_2(s, x)| ds dx = O(1)\delta_0^2, \quad (7.25)$$

which would contradict the fact that T is a supremum. To do this, we must estimate each terms of (7.20). Using (6.14) and (5.21), we have

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|) + |w_{1,x} v_1 - w_1 v_{1,x}|) dx ds = \mathcal{O}(1)\delta_0^2, \quad (7.26)$$

Using now the Lemma 7.4, Lemma 5.1 and (5.22) we deduce that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|) |v_1| \left(\frac{w_1}{v_1} \right)_x^2 + |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| + |h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}| \right) dx ds = \mathcal{O}(1)\delta_0^2. \quad (7.27)$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ satisfies (7.25), applying Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 we obtain that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|h_1 v_2| + |h_1 v_{2,x}| + |h_2 v_1| + |h_2 v_{1,x}| + |h_{2,x} v_1| + |h_{1,x} v_2|) dx ds = \mathcal{O}(1)\delta_0^2.$$

Now we are going to estimate the new terms compared to [3] $|h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx}|$ and $|h_{1,xx} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,xx}|$. We recall that $\hat{h}_1 = \alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1$. Then $h_{1,t} = \hat{h}_{1,x}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{1,t} &= \alpha_1 h_{1,tx} + u_{1,t} \alpha_1' h_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1') h_{1,t} - (\lambda_1' - v_1 \alpha_1'') u_{1,t} h_1 + v_{1,t} \alpha_1' h_1 \\ &= (\alpha_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - (\lambda_1 - v_1 \alpha_1') \hat{h}_1)_x - \alpha_1' v_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} + u_{1,t} \alpha_1' h_{1,x} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_1' - v_1 \alpha_1'') v_1 \hat{h}_1 - (\lambda_1' - v_1 \alpha_1'') u_{1,t} h_1 + v_{1,t} \alpha_1' h_1 - v_{1,x} \alpha_1' \hat{h}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{1,t} = w_1$ and $v_{1,t} = w_{1,x}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{h}_{1,t} &= (\alpha_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 \hat{h}_1)_x - \alpha'_1 v_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} + w_1 \alpha'_1 h_{1,x} \\
&\quad + (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) v_1 \hat{h}_1 - (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) w_1 h_1 + w_{1,x} \alpha'_1 h_1 - v_{1,x} \alpha'_1 \hat{h}_1 \\
&= (\alpha_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 \hat{h}_1)_x - \alpha'_1 (v_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - v_{1,x} \hat{h}_1) + 2\alpha'_1 (w_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} \hat{h}_1) \\
&\quad + (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) (v_1 (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1) - (\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1) h_1) \\
&\quad + \alpha'_1 (w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}) \\
&= (\alpha_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 \hat{h}_1)_x - \alpha'_1 (v_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - v_{1,x} \hat{h}_1) + \alpha_1 (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) (v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1) \\
&\quad + \alpha'_1 (w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}) + 2\alpha'_1 ((\alpha_1 v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1) h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1)) \\
&= (\alpha_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 \hat{h}_1)_x - \alpha'_1 (v_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - v_{1,x} \hat{h}_1) + \alpha_1 (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) (v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1) \\
&\quad + \alpha'_1 (w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}) + 2\tilde{\lambda}_1 \alpha'_1 (h_1 v_{1,x} - h_{1,x} v_1).
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that \hat{h}_1 satisfies the following equation

$$\hat{h}_{1,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \hat{h}_1)_x - (\alpha_1 \hat{h}_{1,x})_x = \hat{\varphi}_1, \quad (7.28)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\varphi}_1 &= -\alpha'_1 (v_1 \hat{h}_{1,x} - v_{1,x} \hat{h}_1) + \alpha_1 (\lambda'_1 - v_1 \alpha''_1) (v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1) + \alpha'_1 (w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}) \\
&\quad + 2\tilde{\lambda}_1 \alpha'_1 (h_1 v_{1,x} - h_{1,x} v_1).
\end{aligned} \quad (7.29)$$

Now we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\alpha_1 h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 \alpha_1 v_{1,xx}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\hat{h}_{1,x} v_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} h_1 v_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 w_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_{1,x} v_1 h_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 v_{1,x} - \alpha'_1 v_1 (v_1 h_{1,x} - h_1 v_{1,x})) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\hat{h}_{1,x} v_1 - \hat{h}_1 v_{1,x} + h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\hat{h}_1 v_{1,x} - h_{1,x} w_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_{1,x} v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 v_{1,x} - \alpha'_1 v_1 (v_1 h_{1,x} - h_1 v_{1,x})).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can simplify

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,xx} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\alpha_1 h_{1,xx} v_1 - h_1 \alpha_1 v_{1,xx}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\hat{h}_{1,x} v_1 - \hat{h}_1 v_{1,x} + h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} v_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 v_{1,x} - \alpha_1 h_{1,x} v_{1,x} + \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_{1,x} v_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (\tilde{\lambda}_1 h_{1,x} v_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1 v_{1,x} - \alpha'_1 v_1 (v_1 h_{1,x} - h_1 v_{1,x})) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} ((\hat{h}_{1,x} v_1 - \hat{h}_1 v_{1,x}) + (h_{1,x} w_1 - h_1 w_{1,x})) + \left(\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{\alpha'_1 v_1}{\alpha_1} \right) (h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}).
\end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

Therefore, we have applying Lemma 5.1 to the couples (\hat{h}_1, v_1) , (h_1, w_1) and (v_1, h_1) and

using the Lemma 7.4 we get

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_{1,xx}v_1 - h_1v_{1,xx}| dx ds = \mathcal{O}(1)\delta_0^2. \quad (7.31)$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,xx}w_1 - h_1w_{1,xx} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \left((\hat{h}_{1,x}w_1 - \hat{h}_1w_{1,x}) + (h_{1,x}z_1 - h_1z_{1,x}) \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{\alpha'_1v_1}{\alpha_1} \right) (h_{1,x}w_1 - h_1w_{1,x}). \end{aligned}$$

Again using Lemma 5.1 to the couples (\hat{h}_1, w_1) , (h_1, z_1) and (h_1, w_1) and using the Lemma 7.4 we get

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_{1,xx}w_1 - h_1w_{1,xx}| dx ds = \mathcal{O}(1)\delta_0^2. \quad (7.32)$$

It remains now to deal with the terms $|h_{1,x}|^2 \chi_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} |(w_1 - \sigma_1v_1)(|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|)$ which as in the previous section required energy estimate. To do this we multiply again the equation (7.13) by $h_1\hat{\theta}(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1})$ with $\hat{\theta}$ defined as in (6.8) and we integrate. We obtain then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(\frac{h_1^2\hat{\theta}}{2} \right)_t - \frac{h_1^2}{2} (\hat{\theta}_t + 2\tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x - (\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x)_x) + 2\alpha_1h_{1,x}h_1\hat{\theta}_x + \hat{\theta}h_{1,x}(\alpha_1h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1h_1) \right) dx = 0.$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\theta}h_{1,x}(\alpha_1h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1h_1) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{h_1^2\hat{\theta}}{2} \right)_t dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_1^2}{2} (\hat{\theta}_t + \tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x - (\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x)_x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\alpha_1h_{1,x}h_1\hat{\theta}_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_1^2}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x. \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

A direct computation gives using the equation (7.28)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_t + \tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{\theta}_x - (\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x)_x &= \hat{\theta}' \left(\frac{\hat{h}_{1,t}}{h_1} - \frac{h_{1,t}\hat{h}_1}{h_1^2} \right) + \tilde{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\hat{h}_{1,x}}{h_1} - \frac{h_{1,x}\hat{h}_1}{h_1^2} \right) \\ &\quad - \alpha'_1v_1\hat{\theta}' \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x - \alpha_1\hat{\theta}'' \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x^2 + 2\alpha_1\hat{\theta}' \frac{h_{1,x}}{h_1} \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x - \alpha_1\hat{\theta}' \left(\frac{\hat{h}_{1,xx}h_1 - h_{1,xx}\hat{h}_1}{v_1^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\hat{\theta}'}{h_1} (\hat{h}_{1,t} + \tilde{\lambda}_1\hat{h}_{1,x} - (\alpha_1\hat{h}_{1,x})_x) - \frac{\hat{\theta}'\hat{h}_1}{h_1^2} (h_{1,t} + \tilde{\lambda}_1h_{1,x} - (\alpha_1h_{1,x})_x) - \alpha_1\hat{\theta}'' \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_1\hat{\theta}' \frac{h_{1,x}}{h_1} \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x \\ &= \frac{\hat{\theta}'}{h_1} \hat{\varphi}_1 - \alpha_1\hat{\theta}'' \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x^2 + 2\alpha_1\hat{\theta}_x \frac{h_{1,x}}{h_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

Plugging this last expression in (7.33), it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\theta} h_{1,x} (\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{h_1^2 \hat{\theta}}{2} \right)_t dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1 \hat{\theta}'' h_1^2 \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_1 \frac{h_{1,x}}{h_1} h_1^2 \hat{\theta}_x \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_1^2}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_1 \hat{\theta}_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\theta}' h_1 \hat{\varphi}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.35)$$

We observe that $\hat{\theta} \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right) \neq 0$ if $|\hat{h}_1| = |\alpha_1 h_{1,x} - \tilde{\lambda}_1 h_1| \geq \frac{3\delta_1}{5} |h_1|$ which gives using (6.12)

$$|\alpha_1 h_{1,x}| \geq \frac{3\delta_1}{5} |h_1| - \|\tilde{\lambda}_1\|_{L^\infty} |h_1| \geq 2\|\tilde{\lambda}_1\|_{L^\infty} |h_1|. \quad (7.36)$$

From (7.35), (7.36), (1.9), (7.29), (7.30) we get for $t \in [\hat{t}, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta h_{1,x}^2 dx &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{h_1^2 \theta}{2} \right)_t dx + O(1) \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \delta_1\}} h_1^2 |\theta''| \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x^2 dx \\ &+ O(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\frac{h_{1,x}}{h_1}| \chi_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\}}) |\hat{h}_{1,x} h_1 - h_{1,x} \hat{h}_1| dx \\ &+ O(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|v_1 h_{1,xx} - v_{1,x} h_{1,xx}| + |v_1 h_{1,x} - v_{1,x} h_1|) dx \\ &+ O(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_{1,x} h_1 - w_1 h_{1,x}| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (7.37)$$

It remains now to estimate the term $\int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \delta_1\}} h_1^2 |\theta''| \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x^2 dx$, as previously we set:

$$\mathcal{L}_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{h_1^2 + \hat{h}_1^2(t, x)} dx.$$

As previously we can prove that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}_1(t) \leq - \int \frac{\alpha_1 |h_1| \left| \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x \right|^2}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} dx + \|\hat{\varphi}_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}.$$

We deduce then that from (7.29), (7.27), (7.32) and Lemma 7.4, we have

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \delta_1\}} h_1^2 |\theta''| \left(\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right)_x^2 dx = O(1) \delta_0^2. \quad (7.38)$$

Combining now (7.37), (7.38), (7.27) and the Lemma 5.1 applied to the couple (h_1, \hat{h}_1) we deduce that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \geq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\}} h_{1,x}^2 dx ds = O(1) \delta_0^2. \quad (7.39)$$

We can now estimate the term $(|h_{1,x}| + |h_1|)(w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1)$. First we observe that this term is

different from zero if $|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1$ then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|) dx ds \\ & \leq \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\} \cup \{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|) dx ds \\ & + \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \geq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\} \cup \{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|) dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

First we have

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \geq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\} \cup \{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|) dx ds \quad (7.40)$$

$$= O(1) \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \geq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\}} (h_{1,x})^2 dx ds + O(1) \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1|^2 dx ds \quad (7.41)$$

$$= O(1) \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \geq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\}} (h_{1,x})^2 dx ds + O(1) \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} (v_{1,x})^2 dx ds. \quad (7.42)$$

We observe now that $\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\} \cup \{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}$ is included in $\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \frac{4}{5}|\frac{w_1}{v_1}|\} \cup \{|\alpha_1 h_{1,x}| \leq \delta_1 |h_1|\}$ provided that δ_0 is sufficiently small in terms of δ_1 then we have

$$|h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \left| \hat{h}_1 v_1 - w_1 h_1 \right| = \frac{|h_1 v_1|}{\alpha_1} \left| \frac{w_1}{v_1} - \frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1} \right| \geq \frac{|h_1 w_1|}{5\alpha_1} \geq \frac{|h_{1,x} w_1|}{5\delta_1} \geq \frac{|h_{1,x} v_1|}{5}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\{|\frac{\hat{h}_1}{h_1}| \leq \frac{4\delta_1}{5}\} \cup \{|\frac{w_1}{v_1}| \geq \delta_1\}} |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|) dx ds &= O(1) \int_{\hat{t}}^T \int |h_{1,x} v_1 - h_1 v_{1,x}| dx ds \\ &= O(1) \delta_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.43)$$

Combining (7.39), (7.42), (7.43) and (6.14), we deduce that

$$\int_{\hat{t}}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_1 + \sigma_1 v_1| (|h_1| + |h_{1,x}|) dx ds = O(1) \delta_0^2. \quad (7.44)$$

From (7.26), (7.27), (7.31), (7.32) and (7.44) we deduce that $T_* = +\infty$. This completes the proof of (1.15).

We wish to conclude this section by proving the estimate (1.16) which will finish the proof of the Theorem 1.1. From the Proposition 2.1 and the Corollary 2.2, we have seen

that there exists $C > 0$ large enough such that

$$\begin{cases} \|u_{xx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} & \leq \frac{C\delta_0}{\sqrt{t}} \text{ if } 0 < t \leq \hat{t}, \\ & \leq \frac{C\delta_0}{\sqrt{\hat{t}}} \text{ if } t \geq \hat{t}. \end{cases} \quad (7.45)$$

We deduce in particular that there exists $L_3 > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$

$$\|u_t(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq L_3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right). \quad (7.46)$$

For any $0 \leq s < t$ we have then using (7.46)

$$\|u(t) - u(s)\|_{L^1} \leq \int_s^t \|u_t(\theta)\|_{L^1} d\theta \leq L_3(|t - s| + |\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s}|).$$

8 Vanishing viscosity limit

As claimed in Corollary 1.3 we want to prove vanishing viscosity limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for the following Cauchy problem

$$u_t^\varepsilon + A(u^\varepsilon)u_x^\varepsilon = \varepsilon(B(u^\varepsilon)_x)_x \text{ and } u^\varepsilon(0, x) = \bar{u}(x). \quad (8.1)$$

Note that if we define u as follows $u^\varepsilon(t, x) = u\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ then u solves the following problem with fix viscosity but scaled initial data,

$$u_t + A(u)u_x = (B(u)_x)_x \text{ and } u(0, x) = \bar{u}(\varepsilon x). \quad (8.2)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} TV(\bar{u}(\varepsilon \cdot)) &= TV(\bar{u}(\cdot)), \\ \|\bar{u}(\varepsilon \cdot)\|_{L^1} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the Theorem 1.1, we obtain for $0 \leq s \leq t$

$$TV(u^\varepsilon(t)) \leq L_1 TV(\bar{u}), \quad (8.3)$$

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t) - v^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^1} = \varepsilon \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^1} \leq L_2 \|\bar{u} - \bar{v}\|_{L^1}, \quad (8.4)$$

$$\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^1} \leq L_3 \left(|t - s| + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left|\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s}\right|\right), \quad (8.5)$$

The convergence of u^ε as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ follows from a standard argument with an application of Helly's theorem and the L^1 continuity (8.5). Indeed, due to the uniform TV estimate (8.3) by using Helly's theorem we can pass to a the limit (up to a subsequence) for a countable dense set $\{t_n\}$ and then applying L^1 continuity we can define the limit function at all time $t > 0$. We set

$$L^1_{loc} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u^{\varepsilon_k}(t, \cdot) = u(t, \cdot). \quad (8.6)$$

We wish no to prove that u is a global weak solution for the system (1.1). In particular up to a subsequence $(u^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ converges almost everywhere to u , it implies in particular that for

any function φ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R})$ we have applying dominated convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_x(s, x) f_1(u_1^\varepsilon(s, x)) ds dx &\rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_x(s, x) f_1(u(s, x)) ds dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_x(s, x) g(u^\varepsilon(s, x)) ds dx &\rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_x(s, x) g(u(s, x)) ds dx. \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

Similarly we have for any $T > 0$

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |B(u^\varepsilon) u_x^\varepsilon| dx ds \leq \sup_{\{|v-u^*| \leq 2\delta_0\}} |B(v)| \delta_0 \varepsilon T, \quad (8.8)$$

then $\varepsilon B(u^\varepsilon) u_x^\varepsilon$ converges to zero in $L_{loc,t,x}^1$. It implies that u is a global weak solution of (1.1). In addition as in [4] we can show that the solution u satisfies the Liu condition for any shock. Applying the result of [7], it implies that the solution u of (1.1) is unique since it is a global weak solution small in BV and satisfying Liu shocks conditions. In particular it means that the sequence $(u^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ has a unique accumulation point and then the convergence of $(u^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ to u is strong in L_{loc}^1 . It completes the proof of the Corollary 1.3.

A Viscous travelling wave

The viscous travelling wave $u(t, x) = U(x - \sigma t)$ of the equation (1.11) satisfies

$$(A(U) - \sigma)U' = (B(U)U)'$$

We can write

$$U'' = B^{-1}(U)(A(U) - \sigma)U' - B^{-1}(U)(U' \cdot DB(U))U'.$$

We consider the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} = v, \\ \dot{v} = B^{-1}(u)(A(u) - \sigma)v - B^{-1}(u)(v \bullet DB(u))v, \\ \dot{\sigma} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (A.1)$$

We note that $P_i^* := (u^*, 0, \lambda_i(u^*))$ are equilibrium points for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. We linearize near the point P_i^* and get

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} = v, \\ \dot{v} = B^{-1}(u^*)(A(u^*) - \lambda_i(u^*))v, \\ \dot{\sigma} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (A.2)$$

Let $\{r_i(u)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{l_i(u)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be the sets of right and left eigenvector of $A(u)$. We denote $r_i^* = r_i(u^*)$, $l_i^* = l_i(u^*)$. We define V_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$ as follows

$$v = \sum_j V_j r_j^*, \quad V_j := l_j^* \cdot v. \quad (A.3)$$

Then we have

$$Z = DF(u^*, 0, \lambda_i(u^*)) = \begin{pmatrix} O_n & & I_n & 0 \\ O_n & B^{-1}(u^*)(A(u^*) - \lambda_i(u^*)I_n) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A.4)$$

Subsequently, we get

$$Z^2 = (DF(u^*, 0, \lambda_i(u^*)))^2 = \begin{pmatrix} O_n & A(u^*) - \lambda_i(u^*)I_n & 0 \\ O_n & (A(u^*) - \lambda_i(u^*)I_n)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Therefore the center subspace will look like

$$\mathcal{N}_i := \{(u, v, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}; V_j = 0, j \neq i\}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Note that $\dim(\mathcal{N}_i) = 4$, by Center Manifold Theorem [19], there exists a smooth manifold $\mathcal{M}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ which is tangent to \mathcal{N} at P_i^* . Furthermore, \mathcal{M}_i has dimension 4 and is locally invariant under the flow of (A.1). We can write

$$V_j = \varphi_j(u, V_i, \sigma), \quad j \neq i. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We further consider

$$\mathcal{D}_i := \{|u - u^*| < \varepsilon, |V_i| < \varepsilon, |\sigma - \lambda_i(u^*)| < \varepsilon\}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Since \mathcal{M}_i is tangent to \mathcal{N}_i we have

$$\varphi_j(u, V_i, \sigma) = \mathcal{O}(1) (|u - u^*|^2 + |V_i|^2 + |\sigma - \lambda_i(u^*)|^2). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Note that equilibrium points $(u, 0, \sigma)$ with $|u - u^*| < \varepsilon, |\sigma - \lambda_i(u^*)| < \varepsilon$ lie in \mathcal{M}_i then we have

$$\varphi_j(u, 0, \sigma) = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq i. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Hence, we may write

$$\varphi_j(u, V_i, \sigma) = \psi_j(u, V_i, \sigma)V_i, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

for some ψ_j . Now, we would like to make a change of coordinates $V_i \mapsto \tilde{V}_i$ as follows

$$\tilde{V}_i = l_i(u) \cdot v = V_i l_i(u) \cdot (r_i^* + \sum_{j \neq i} \psi_j(u, V_i, \sigma) r_j^*) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

It implies that $\tilde{V}_i = \zeta_{ii}(u, V_i, \sigma)V_i$ with $\zeta_{ii}(u, V_i, \sigma) = l_i(u) \cdot (r_i^* + \sum_{j \neq i} \psi_j(u, V_i, \sigma) r_j^*)$ a C^2 function such that $\zeta_{ii}(u^*, 0, \sigma) = 1$. If we consider the function $f_{(u, \sigma)}(V_i) = \zeta_{ii}(u, V_i, \sigma)V_i$, we observe that $f'_{(u, \sigma)}(V_i) = \zeta_{ii}(u, V_i, \sigma) + V_i \zeta_{ii, V_i}(u, V_i, \sigma) \neq 0$ in \mathcal{D}_i . It implies that $f_{(u, \sigma)}$ is locally invertible and we can make the change of coordinates $V_i \mapsto \tilde{V}_i$. Therefore, for any point $(u, v, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}_i$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \sum_k l_k(u) \cdot v r_k(u) = \sum_k V_i l_k(u) \cdot (r_i^* + \sum_{j \neq i} \psi_j(u, V_i, \sigma) r_j^*) r_k(u) \\ &= \sum_k l_k(u) \cdot v r_k(u) = \tilde{V}_i r_i(u) + \sum_{k \neq i} \tilde{V}_i \frac{1}{\xi_{ii}(u, V_i, \sigma)} l_k(u) \cdot (r_i^* + \sum_{j \neq i} \psi_j(u, V_i, \sigma) r_j^*) r_k(u) \\ &= \tilde{V}_i \left(r_i(u) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{\psi}_j(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma) r_j(u) \right) = \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_i(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

with $\tilde{\psi}_j(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\xi_{ii}(u, V_i, \sigma)} l_j(u) \cdot (r_i^* + \sum_{j \neq i} \psi_j(u, V_i, \sigma) r_j^*)$. From (A.9) it follows that

$$\tilde{r}_i(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma) \rightarrow r_i^* \text{ as } (u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma) \rightarrow (u^*, 0, \lambda_i(u^*)). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Therefore, we may write $v = \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_i$ and we have

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \left\{ (u, v, \sigma_i); v = \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_i(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma_i) \right\} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

provided that $(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $(u^*, 0, \lambda_i(u^*))$. Next we derive few more estimates on $\tilde{\psi}_k$, $k \neq i$.

Claim A.1. *In a neighbourhood of $(u^*, 0, \lambda_i^*)$ we have*

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma) = \mathcal{O}(1) \left| \tilde{V}_i \right|. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_{k,\sigma}(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma), \tilde{\psi}_{k,u\sigma}(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma), \tilde{\psi}_{k,\sigma\sigma}(u, \tilde{V}_i, \sigma) = \mathcal{O}(1) \left| \tilde{V}_i \right|. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Proof of Claim A.1: Let us consider an i viscous travelling wave, $u(t, x) = U(x - \sigma t)$ and assume that this solution is contained in the center manifold \mathcal{M}_i then we have $U'(x) = \tilde{V}_i(x) \tilde{r}_i(U(x), \tilde{V}_i(x), \sigma)$ and it yields

$$U'' = \tilde{V}_i' [\tilde{r}_i + \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_{i,v}] + \tilde{V}_i^2 \tilde{r}_{i,u} \tilde{r}_i. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{V}_i' B(u) [\tilde{r}_i + \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_{i,v}] + \tilde{V}_i^2 B \tilde{r}_{i,u} \tilde{r}_i + \tilde{V}_i^2 \tilde{r}_i \bullet DB(u) \tilde{r}_i = \tilde{V}_i (A(u) - \sigma) \tilde{r}_i. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

We have

$$\tilde{V}_i' = \frac{1}{\langle B(u) [\tilde{r}_i + \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_{i,v}], \tilde{r}_i \rangle} (\tilde{\lambda}_i - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i\|^2) \tilde{V}_i + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \tilde{V}_i \right|^2 \right), \quad (\text{A.20})$$

with $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \langle A(u) \tilde{r}_i, \tilde{r}_i \rangle$. It gives then

$$\frac{1}{\langle B(u) [\tilde{r}_i + \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_{i,v}], \tilde{r}_i \rangle} (\tilde{\lambda}_i - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i\|^2) \tilde{V}_i B(u) [\tilde{r}_i + \tilde{V}_i \tilde{r}_{i,v}] + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \tilde{V}_i \right|^2 \right) = \tilde{V}_i (A(u) - \sigma) \tilde{r}_i. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Dividing by \tilde{V}_i and passing $\tilde{V}_i \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\langle B(u) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma), \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma) \rangle} (\tilde{\lambda}_i(u, 0, \sigma) - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma)\|^2) B(u) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma) = (A(u) - \sigma) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma). \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Set $b(u, 0, \sigma) = \langle B(u) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma), \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma) \rangle > 0$. We get

$$B(u) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma) = \left(B r_i(u) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) B r_j(u) \right) \quad (\text{A.23})$$

$$= \left(\alpha_i(u) r_i(u) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) \alpha_j(u) r_j(u) \right), \quad (\text{A.24})$$

$$A(u) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma) = \left(A r_i(u) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) A r_j(u) \right) \quad (\text{A.25})$$

$$= \left(\lambda_i(u) r_i(u) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) \lambda_j(u) r_j(u) \right). \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Applying above identities on (A.22) we obtain

$$\frac{(\tilde{\lambda}_i(u, 0, \sigma) - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma)\|^2)}{b(u, 0, \sigma)} \alpha_i(u) r_i(u) + \frac{(\tilde{\lambda}_i(u, 0, \sigma) - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma)\|^2)}{b(u, 0, \sigma)} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$$\times \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) \alpha_j(u) r_j(u) = (\lambda_i(u) - \sigma) r_i(u) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) (\lambda_j(u) - \sigma) r_j(u). \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{(\tilde{\lambda}_i(u, 0, \sigma) - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma)\|^2)}{b(u, 0, \sigma)} \alpha_i(u) = \lambda_i(u) - \sigma, \quad (\text{A.29})$$

$$\frac{(\tilde{\lambda}_i(u, 0, \sigma) - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma)\|^2)}{b(u, 0, \sigma)} \alpha_j(u) = \lambda_j(u) - \sigma \quad \text{if } \tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) \neq 0. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

This implies since $\tilde{\lambda}_i(u, 0, \sigma) = \langle A(u) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma) \tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma) \rangle$ and using the fact that $\tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma)$ and σ are respectively close from r_i^* and λ_i^* we can find ε_0 small enough such that we have

$$c_0 \leq |\lambda_i(u) - \lambda_j(u)| = \frac{\left| \frac{(\tilde{\lambda}_i(u, 0, \sigma) - \sigma \|\tilde{r}_i(u, 0, \sigma)\|^2)}{b(u, 0, \sigma)} \right|}{|\alpha_i(u) - \alpha_j(u)|} \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $\tilde{\psi}_j(u, 0, \sigma) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. □

Acknowledgements: AJ would like to thank the project PRIN 2022YXWSLR “BOUNDARY ANALYSIS FOR DISPERSIVE AND VISCOUS FLUIDS” - DIT.PN012.008 for supporting his postdoctoral position at IMATI-CNR, Pavia, Italy.

References

- [1] F. Ancona and S. Bianchini, Vanishing viscosity solutions for general hyperbolic systems with boundary (2003) (preprint IAC-CNR 28)
- [2] S. Bianchini and A. Bressan, BV solutions for a class of viscous hyperbolic systems. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 49 (2000), no. 4, 1673–1713.
- [3] S. Bianchini and A. Bressan, A center manifold technique for tracing viscous waves. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 1 (2002), no. 2, 161–190.
- [4] S. Bianchini and A. Bressan, Vanishing viscosity solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 161(2005), no.1, 223–342.
- [5] C. Bourdarias, M. Gisclon and S. Junca, Hyperbolic models in gas-solid chromatography. *Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. SeMA* No. 43 (2008), 29–57.
- [6] A. Bressan, Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. The one-dimensional Cauchy problem Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl., 20 Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. xii+250 pp.
- [7] A. Bressan and C. De Lellis, A remark on the uniqueness of solutions to hyperbolic conservation laws. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 247 (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 106, 12 pp.

- [8] G. Chen, M.-J. Kang and A. Vasseur, From Navier-Stokes to BV solutions of the barotropic Euler equations. Preprint 2024. arXiv:2401.09305.
- [9] G.-Q. Chen and M. Perepelitsa, Vanishing viscosity limit of the Navier-Stokes equations to the Euler equations for compressible fluid flow. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 63 (2010), no. 11, 14691504.
- [10] F. H. Clarke, On the inverse function theorem. *Pacific J. Math.* 64 (1976), no.1, 97-102.
- [11] A. Friedman, Partial differential equations of parabolic type. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964. xiv+347 pp.
- [12] J. Glimm, Solutions in the large for nonlinear hyperbolic systems of equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 18 (1965), 697–715.
- [13] J. Goodman and Z. Xin, Viscous limits for piecewise smooth solutions to systems of conservation laws. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 121 (1992), no.3, 235–265.
- [14] B. Haspot and A. Jana, Vanishing viscosity limit for hyperbolic system of Temple class in 1-d with nonlinear viscosity. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.12766
- [15] K. H. Karlsen, S. Mishra and N. H. Risebro, Convergence of finite volume schemes for triangular systems of conservation laws. *Numer. Math.* 111 (2009), no. 4, 559–589.
- [16] K. H. Karlsen, S. Mishra and N. H. Risebro, Semi-Godunov schemes for general triangular systems of conservation laws. *J. Engrg. Math.* 60 (2008), no. 3-4, 337–349.
- [17] D. Serre, Solutions à variations bornées pour certains systèmes hyperboliques de lois de conservation.(French.) *J. Differential Equations* 68 (1987), no.2, 137–168.
- [18] L. V. Spinolo, Vanishing viscosity solutions of a 2×2 triangular hyperbolic system with Dirichlet conditions on two boundaries. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 56 (2007), no. 1, 279–364.
- [19] A. Vanderbauwhede, Centre manifolds, normal forms and elementary bifurcations. *Dynamics reported*, Vol. 2, 89–169. Dynam. Report. Ser. Dynam. Systems Appl., 2 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1989.