

# Super heavy dark matter origin of the PeV neutrino event: KM3-230213A

Kazunori Kohri,<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> Partha Kumar Paul,<sup>4,†</sup> and Narendra Sahu<sup>4,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Division of Science, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ),  
and SOKENDAI, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan*

<sup>2</sup>*Theory Center, IPNS, and QUP (WPI), KEK, 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

<sup>3</sup>*Kavli IPMU (WPI), UTIAS, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi, Sangareddy, Telangana-502285, India.*

(Dated: March 7, 2025)

The recent observation of the ultra-high-energy neutrino event KM3-230213A by the KM3NeT experiment offers a compelling avenue to explore physics beyond the Standard Model. In this work, we explore a simplest possibility that this event originates from the decay of a super-heavy dark matter (SHDM). We consider a minimal extension of the type-I seesaw by including a singlet scalar and a singlet fermion DM, both being odd under a  $Z_2$  symmetry. At high scale, the  $Z_2$  symmetry is spontaneously broken by the vev of the  $Z_2$  odd scalar, leading to i) mixing between DM and heavy right-handed neutrinos and ii) formation of domain walls (DW). In the former case, the decay of the DM to  $\nu, h$  can give rise to the PeV neutrino event KM3-230213A. While, in the latter case, the disappearance of the DW can give rise to stochastic gravitational waves. We derive constraints on the DM lifetime as a function of DM mass ensuring consistency with IceCube, Auger upper limits and the observed KM3-230213A event. We found that KM3-230213A gives stringent constraint on DM mass ranging from  $1.7 \times 10^8$  GeV to  $5.5 \times 10^9$  GeV with lifetime  $6.3 \times 10^{29}$  s to  $3.6 \times 10^{29}$  s.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The KM3NeT Collaboration recently reported the detection of an exceptionally high energy neutrino event, designated KM3-230213A, with an energy of  $220_{-100}^{+570}$  PeV [1]. This makes it nearly two orders of magnitude more energetic than the most extreme neutrino previously detected by IceCube[2, 3]. Understanding the origin of such an ultra-high energetic (UHE) neutrino is of great interest, as it could provide new insights into fundamental physics and astrophysical processes. Although conventional astrophysical explanations consider galactic, extragalactic, and cosmogenic sources, an alternative and intriguing possibility is that KM3-230213A originated from the decay of super-heavy dark matter (SHDM). In this scenario, an extremely long-lived DM particle with a mass in the PeV–EeV range or beyond decays into high-energy neutrinos. This could happen through various theoretical frameworks, such as DM coupled to neutrinos via a feeble interaction or scenarios involving higher-dimensional operators that allow suppressed but non-zero decay rates. See, e.g. [4–7] where the IceCube neutrino signal is explained with SHDM decay. KM3-230213A has already attracted much attention in the community. See for instance [8–16].

In this study, we consider a simple extension of the type-I seesaw model [17–20] with a singlet scalar,  $S$ , and a singlet fermion  $\chi$  representing the DM. These two particles are odd under a  $Z_2$  symmetry, whereas all other particles are even. When the scalar  $S$  obtains a vacuum expectation value (vev),  $\chi$  mixes with the RHN,  $N$ , and

decays to neutrino ( $\nu$ ) and SM Higgs ( $h$ ). The mixing angle is highly suppressed, ensuring a sufficiently long DM lifetime consistent with the observed high-energy neutrino event. Gamma-ray constraints can typically impose strong limits on such decays. However, the gamma-ray flux remains well below current observational bounds in our scenario. Additionally, the spontaneous breaking of  $Z_2$  symmetry leads to the formation of domain walls. The disappearance of the DWs can give rise to stochastic gravitational waves (GW)[21–27], which could be detectable in future experiments[28–41].

The paper is organized as follows. The neutrino and gamma-ray flux calculation details are discussed in Sec. II. A model of DM is introduced in Sec. III. Production of neutrino and gamma-ray flux from the DM decay and our results are discussed in Sec. IV. In Sec. V, we discuss the GW production from the breaking of  $Z_2$  symmetry. We finally conclude in Sec. VI.

## II. NEUTRINO AND GAMMA RAY FLUX

### A. Galactic component

As the neutrinos can travel through the galaxy without obstruction, their energy spectrum remains nearly unchanged from the source to the detection point. The differential neutrino (gamma ray) flux per energy per unit solid angle from a decaying dark matter (DM) within an observational volume can be expressed in terms of the decaying lifetime,  $\tau_{\text{DM}}$  as [42–44]

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_{\nu(\gamma)}^G}{dE_{\nu(\gamma)}d\Omega} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{DM}}} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{4\pi M_{\text{DM}}} \frac{dN_{\nu(\gamma)}}{dE_{\nu(\gamma)}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $M_{\text{DM}}$  is the mass of DM,  $dN_{\nu(\gamma)}/dE_{\nu(\gamma)}$  is the neutrino (gamma ray) energy spectrum, and the D-factor,  $\mathcal{D}$

\* [kazunori.kohri@gmail.com](mailto:kazunori.kohri@gmail.com)

† [ph22resch11012@iith.ac.in](mailto:ph22resch11012@iith.ac.in)

‡ [nsahu@phy.iith.ac.in](mailto:nsahu@phy.iith.ac.in)

is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{\Delta\Omega} \int_{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_0^{s_{\max}} ds \rho(\sqrt{R_{\text{sc}}^2 - 2sR_{\text{sc}} \cos\psi + s^2}), \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta\Omega$  is the angular region of observation,  $(b, l)$  are galactic coordinates,  $d\Omega = \cos b db dl$ ,  $\Delta\Omega = \int_l \int_b d\Omega$ ,  $\cos\psi = \cos b \cos l$ ,  $R_{\text{sc}} = 8$  kpc is the distance from the Solar System to the Galactic Center,  $s_{\max} = \sqrt{R_{\text{MW}}^2 - \sin^2\psi R_{\text{sc}}^2 + R_{\text{sc}} \cos\psi}$ ,  $R_{\text{MW}} = 40$  kpc is the size of the Milky Way, and  $\rho(r)$  is the DM density in the Milky Way given as

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^\gamma \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^\alpha\right]^{(\beta-\gamma)/\alpha}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are slope parameters and  $r_s$  is the scale radius. For the NFW profile, we fix  $\alpha = 1, \beta = 3, \gamma = 1, r_s = 20$  kpc,  $R_{\text{sc}} = 8.5$  kpc,  $\rho(R_{\text{sc}}) = 0.3$  GeVcm $^{-3}$  [42–44].

### B. Extra-Galactic component

The isotropic extragalactic neutrino (gamma ray) flux resulting from the decay of a SHDM particle with mass  $M_{\text{DM}}$  and lifetime  $\tau_{\text{DM}}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_{\nu(\gamma)}^{\text{EG}}}{dE} &= \frac{1}{4\pi M_{\text{DM}} \tau_{\text{DM}}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_0 c/H_0}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} \frac{1}{1+z} \\ &\times \frac{dN_{\nu(\gamma)}}{dE_{\nu(\gamma)}} dz, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho_0 = 1.15 \times 10^{-6}$  GeVcm $^{-3}$  is the average cosmological DM density at the present epoch,  $c/H_0 = 1.37 \times 10^{28}$  cm is the proper radius of the Hubble sphere (Hubble radius),  $\Omega_m = 0.315, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.685$  [45] are contributions of matter and vacuum energy to the total energy density of the Universe, respectively, and  $z$  is the redshift. The total flux per unit solid angle is obtained as

$$\Phi_{\nu(\gamma)} \equiv \frac{d^2\Phi_{\nu(\gamma)}^{\text{G}}}{dE_{\nu(\gamma)} d\Omega} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{d\Phi_{\nu(\gamma)}^{\text{EG}}}{dE_{\nu(\gamma)}} \quad (5)$$

### III. MINIMAL MODEL FOR DARK MATTER

We extend the minimal type-I seesaw model with a singlet scalar  $S$  and a singlet fermion  $\chi$  (representing the DM) that are odd under a  $Z_2$  symmetry. The relevant Lagrangian can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{seesaw+DM}} &= -\frac{M_N}{2} \bar{N}^c N - y_{NL} \bar{L} \tilde{H} N - \frac{M_\chi}{2} \bar{\chi}^c \chi \\ &- y_{N\chi} \bar{N} S \chi + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $N$  is the right handed neutrino,  $L$  is the lepton doublet and  $H = (0 \ \frac{h+v}{\sqrt{2}})^T$  is the Higgs doublet. We suppress the generation indices for simplicity. Once  $S$  gets a vacuum expectation value (vev),  $v_S$ ,  $N - \chi$  mix with mixing angle  $\theta$  and gives two mass eigenstates as  $\chi_1, \chi_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &= N \cos\theta + \chi \sin\theta, \\ \chi_2 &= -N \sin\theta + \chi \cos\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the mixing angle is given by  $\sin\theta \simeq \frac{y_{N\chi} v_S}{\sqrt{2(M_N - M_\chi)}}$ . Here,  $\chi_2$  is dominantly the DM, which decays to  $\nu, h$  with a suppressed mixing angle  $\sin\theta$  and can explain the observed neutrino flux measured by KM3NeT[1]. On the other hand,  $\chi_1$  is dominantly the RHN, which can explain the non-zero mass of SM neutrinos via the type-I seesaw mechanism.

### IV. NEUTRINO AND GAMMA RAY FLUXES FROM DARK MATTER DECAY

Dark matter can decay to neutrino via mixing with RHN,  $N$ . The decay mode is  $\chi \rightarrow \nu h$ . The non-observation of such a high-energy neutrino event like KM3-230213A by IceCube [46] sets the upper limit on the UHE neutrino flux. We used the IceCube limit to constrain the DM lifetime, which is consistent with the observed flux by KM3NeT. We now calculate the neutrino flux using Eq.(5) for DM mass of  $4.5 \times 10^8$  GeV, as shown in Fig.1. We see that the flux fitted the observation of KM3NeT for a lifetime of  $5 \times 10^{29}$  s. This gives a lower bound on the DM lifetime for a DM mass of  $M_{\text{DM}} = 4.5 \times 10^8$  GeV as  $\tau_{\text{DM}} > 5 \times 10^{29}$  s.

DM can also decay to  $\gamma$  in the decay channel  $\chi \rightarrow \nu h$ . Higgs then can decay to  $2\gamma$ . However, the branching fraction for  $h \rightarrow 2\gamma$  is  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$  and remain suppressed. Therefore, the gamma-ray flux from the DM decay is highly suppressed in comparison to neutrino flux. Nevertheless, we use HESS[51], LHAASO-inner[53] (inner galaxy region  $|b| < 5^\circ, 15^\circ < d < 125^\circ$ ), LHAASO-outer[53] (outer galaxy region  $|b| < 5^\circ, 125^\circ < d < 235^\circ$ ), CASA-MIA[52], Auger [54] data to constrain the flux. We computed the gamma-ray flux for the DM mass  $4.5 \times 10^8$  GeV with lifetime  $5 \times 10^{29}$  s and shown in Fig. 2. As expected, the flux remains well below the current constraints from LHAASO[53] and CASA-MIA[52].

We then scan the DM mass in the range from  $10^4 - 2 \times 10^{11}$  GeV and calculate the lower limit on the lifetime of the DM. Using the limits on neutrino flux from IceCube, Auger, we show the lower limit on DM lifetime as a function of DM mass in Fig. 3. We find that the SHDM lifetime is much larger than the age of the Universe, ranging from  $\tau_{\text{DM}} > 10^{28}$  s at  $M_{\text{DM}} \sim 3.5 \times 10^4$  GeV to  $\tau_{\text{DM}} > 3 \times 10^{28}$  s at  $M_{\text{DM}} \sim 2 \times 10^{11}$  GeV. The KM3-230213A event gives stringent constraint on DM mass ranging from  $1.7 \times 10^8$  GeV to  $5.5 \times 10^9$  GeV with lifetime  $6.3 \times 10^{29}$  s to  $3.6 \times 10^{29}$  s.




FIG. 1. Blue dashed line represents neutrino flux as a function of energy of the neutrinos for  $M_{\text{DM}} = 4.5 \times 10^8$  GeV,  $\tau_{\text{DM}} = 5 \times 10^{29}$  s, and red point represent the KM3-230213A event[1]. The magenta and pink crosses represent the IceCube single-power-law fits, NST [47] and HESE[3]. The orange cross corresponds to IceCube Glashow resonance event[48]. The black dotted line corresponds to IceCube-EHE[49]. The black dashed line corresponds to 12.6 years of IceCube data [46] and Auger[50] upper limit is shown with gray dashed line.




FIG. 2. Gamma ray flux from the decay of a DM with mass  $M_{\text{DM}} = 4.5 \times 10^8$  GeV, and lifetime  $\tau_{\text{DM}} = 5 \times 10^{29}$  s is shown with the dashed blue line. Datas from HESS[51], CASA-MIA[52], LHASSO-inner[53] and LHAASO-outer[53] are shown with black, dark blue, dark red, and red colored points respectively. Auger [54] limits are shown with cross. The channel for gamma-ray flux used here is  $\chi \rightarrow \nu\chi$ .

## V. STOCHASTIC GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM DISAPPEARING DOMAIN WALLS

When the scalar  $S$  acquires a vev, the  $Z_2$  symmetry is spontaneously broken. A key consequence of this discrete symmetry breaking is the formation of domain walls




FIG. 3. Lower limit on the DM lifetime as a function of DM mass for NFW DM halo profiles.

(DWs), which arise due to the existence of distinct vacuum regions separated by energy barriers. The energy density of the DWs varies as  $a^{-1}$ , where  $a$  is the scale factor, whereas the energy densities of matter and radiation decrease as  $a^{-3}$  and  $a^{-4}$ , respectively. Thus, these DWs will soon overclose the Universe, which will contradict the present cosmological observations. However, they can be made unstable by introducing an explicit  $Z_2$ -breaking term in the potential (say  $\mu_b^3 S$ ). This induces a pressure difference across the walls, causing them to collapse and release their energy in the form of stochastic gravitational waves [21–27]. These domain walls must vanish before Big Bang Nucleosynthesis and before they start dominating the Universe.

For a DM mass of  $M_{\text{DM}} = 4.5 \times 10^8$  GeV, a lifetime of  $5 \times 10^{29}$  s implies  $y_{NL} \sin \theta \sim 5.39 \times 10^{-31}$ . Now, for an RHN mass of  $M_N = 10^{14}$  GeV, to get the light neutrino mass to be  $m_\nu \sim 0.1$  eV, the Yukawa coupling must be  $y_{NL} = 0.575$ . Thus, the mixing angle is  $9.37 \times 10^{-31}$ . For this set of parameters, we then get  $y_{NL} v_S \sim 1.33 \times 10^{-16}$  GeV. Now, taking 4 different values of  $v_S$ , we compute the GW amplitude and show the spectrum in Fig. 4. The benchmark points are shown in Table I. We find that the gravitational wave frequencies spanning from nHz to kHz range which can be observed at various GW detectors such as BBO[28], CE[29], DECIGO[30], NANOGrav[31, 32], EPTA [33], PPTA [34], ET[35], GAIA[36], IPTA [37], LISA[38], SKA[39], THEIA[36], aLIGO [40], aVIRGO,  $\mu$ ARES[41].

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We explore the possibility that the recently observed high-energy neutrino event, KM3-230213A, reported by the KM3NeT collaboration, originates from the decay of




FIG. 4. Gravitational wave spectrum from annihilating domain walls for four benchmark points listed in Table I. Sensitivity curves of various gravitational wave experiments are shown in different colors BBO[28], CE[29], DECIGO[30], NANOGrav[31, 32], EPTA [33], PPTA [34], ET[35], GAIA[36], IPTA [37], LISA[38], SKA[39], THEIA[36], aLIGO [40], aVIRGO,  $\mu$ ARES[41]

BPs	$M_S$ (GeV)	$v_S$ (GeV)	$T_{\text{ann}}$ (GeV)	$\lambda_S$	$\sigma$ (TeV <sup>3</sup> )
BPGW1	$1.47 \times 10^5$	$9.73 \times 10^5$	0.22	$1.14 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.28 \times 10^7$
BPGW2	$9.11 \times 10^5$	$4.53 \times 10^6$	1.65	$2.02 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.25 \times 10^{10}$
BPGW3	$1.23 \times 10^6$	$8 \times 10^6$	16.03	$8.75 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.25 \times 10^{10}$
BPGW4	$1.35 \times 10^9$	$1.02 \times 10^{10}$	$9.66 \times 10^4$	$1.67 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.31 \times 10^{19}$

TABLE I. Benchmark points for gravitational wave. Here  $M_S$  is the mass of  $S$ ,  $\lambda_S$  is the quartic coupling of the scalar  $S$ ,  $\sigma$  is the surface energy density or the tension of the DW defined as  $\sigma \simeq 2/3 M_S v_S^2$ , and  $T_{\text{ann}}$  is the temperature at which the DWs annihilate.

super-heavy dark matter (SHDM). We consider an extension of the Standard Model that includes a right-handed neutrino ( $N$ ), a singlet scalar ( $S$ ), and a singlet fermion ( $\chi$ ), which serves as the DM candidate. The model is supplemented by a discrete  $Z_2$  symmetry, under which  $S$  and  $\chi$  are odd, while all other particles remain even. This  $Z_2$  symmetry is spontaneously broken when  $S$  acquires a vacuum expectation value (vev), leading to a mixing

between  $\chi$  and  $N$ . Consequently, the DM can decay into neutrinos. We constrain the DM lifetime using IceCube’s upper limits, along with the KM3NeT observation of KM3-230213A. Given the large uncertainties in the observed flux, we find that the event can be explained while remaining consistent with IceCube’s upper bound for a DM mass of  $4.5 \times 10^8$  GeV and a lifetime of  $5 \times 10^{29}$  s. We place limits on the lifetime of SHDM as a function of its mass. In our model, DM can also produce gamma rays. However, we find that current gamma-ray constraints are significantly weaker than the predicted flux for the same parameters that explain the KM3NeT observation, ensuring the viability of our framework. Furthermore, the breaking of the  $Z_2$  symmetry leads to the formation of domain walls, whose subsequent collapse can generate a stochastic gravitational wave background. We estimated the expected GW spectrum and discussed its detectability in future observatories. The presence of such a GW signal would provide an independent probe of the model, offering a multi-messenger approach to studying SHDM through neutrinos, gamma rays, and gravitational waves.

If the reheating temperature ( $T_{\text{RH}}$ ) of the Universe is less than the mass of the DM, the DM relic might be produced through the dilute plasma or some non-thermal processes. One of the typical scenarios would be that the DM relic can be directly produced by the inflaton ( $\phi$ ) decay. In this case, the abundance of the DM can be given by, e.g.,  $Y_{\text{DM}} = (3/4)\text{Br } T_{\text{RH}}/M_\phi$  where  $M_\phi$  is the mass of the inflation and Br is the branching fraction of  $\phi$  decay to the DM.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K.K. is supported by KAKENHI Grant No. JP23KF0289, No. JP24H01825, and No. JP24K07027. P.K.P. would like to acknowledge the Ministry of Education, Government of India, for providing financial support for his research via the Prime Minister’s Research Fellowship (PMRF) scheme. The work of N.S. is supported by the Department of Atomic Energy Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences, Government of India (Ref. Number: 58/14/15/2021-BRNS/37220).

[1] S. Aiello *et al.* (KM3NeT), *Nature* **638**, 376 (2025).  
 [2] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), *Science* **342**, 1242856 (2013), [arXiv:1311.5238 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).  
 [3] R. Abbasi *et al.* (IceCube), *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 022002 (2021), [arXiv:2011.03545 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).  
 [4] B. Feldstein, A. Kusenko, S. Matsumoto, and T. T. Yanagida, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 015004 (2013), [arXiv:1303.7320 \[hep-ph\]](#).  
 [5] C. Rott, K. Kohri, and S. C. Park, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 023529 (2015), [arXiv:1408.4575 \[hep-ph\]](#).  
 [6] S. M. Boucenna, M. Chianese, G. Mangano, G. Miele, S. Morisi, O. Pisanti, and E. Vitagliano, *JCAP* **12**, 055,

[arXiv:1507.01000 \[hep-ph\]](#).  
 [7] N. Hiroshima, R. Kitano, K. Kohri, and K. Murase, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 023006 (2018), [arXiv:1705.04419 \[hep-ph\]](#).  
 [8] K. Fang, F. Halzen, and D. Hooper, (2025), [arXiv:2502.09545 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).  
 [9] P. Satunin, (2025), [arXiv:2502.09548 \[hep-ph\]](#).  
 [10] T. A. Dzhatdoev, (2025), [arXiv:2502.11434 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).  
 [11] A. Neronov, F. Oikonomou, and D. Semikoz, (2025), [arXiv:2502.12986 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).  
 [12] G. Amelino-Camelia, G. D’Amico, G. Fabiano, D. Frattilillo, G. Gubitosi, A. Moia, and G. Rosati, (2025),

- arXiv:2502.13093 [astro-ph.HE].
- [13] Y.-M. Yang, X.-J. Lv, X.-J. Bi, and P.-F. Yin, (2025), arXiv:2502.18256 [hep-ph].
- [14] A. Boccia and F. Iocco, (2025), arXiv:2502.19245 [astro-ph.HE].
- [15] V. Brdar and D. S. Chattopadhyay, (2025), arXiv:2502.21299 [hep-ph].
- [16] D. Borah, N. Das, N. Okada, and P. Sarmah, (2025), arXiv:2503.00097 [hep-ph].
- [17] P. Minkowski, *Phys. Lett. B* **67**, 421 (1977).
- [18] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 2227 (1980).
- [19] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 165 (1981).
- [20] R. N. Mohapatra and P. B. Pal, *Massive neutrinos in physics and astrophysics*, Vol. 41 (1991).
- [21] M. Gleiser and R. Roberts, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5497 (1998), arXiv:astro-ph/9807260.
- [22] T. Hiramatsu, M. Kawasaki, and K. Saikawa, *JCAP* **05**, 032, arXiv:1002.1555 [astro-ph.CO].
- [23] M. Kawasaki and K. Saikawa, *JCAP* **09**, 008, arXiv:1102.5628 [astro-ph.CO].
- [24] T. Hiramatsu, M. Kawasaki, and K. Saikawa, *JCAP* **02**, 031, arXiv:1309.5001 [astro-ph.CO].
- [25] K. Nakayama, F. Takahashi, and N. Yokozaki, *Phys. Lett. B* **770**, 500 (2017), arXiv:1612.08327 [hep-ph].
- [26] K. Saikawa, *Universe* **3**, 40 (2017), arXiv:1703.02576 [hep-ph].
- [27] P. K. Paul, N. Sahu, and P. Shukla, (2024), arXiv:2409.08828 [hep-ph].
- [28] N. Yunes and E. Berti, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 124006 (2008), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 83, 109901 (2011)], arXiv:0803.1853 [gr-qc].
- [29] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific), *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34**, 044001 (2017), arXiv:1607.08697 [astro-ph.IM].
- [30] E. G. Adelberger, N. A. Collins, and C. D. Hoyle, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 125 (2006), [Erratum: *Class.Quant.Grav.* 23, 5463 (2006), Erratum: *Class.Quant.Grav.* 38, 059501 (2021)], arXiv:gr-qc/0512055.
- [31] G. Agazie *et al.* (NANOGrav), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L8 (2023), arXiv:2306.16213 [astro-ph.HE].
- [32] A. Afzal *et al.* (NANOGrav), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L11 (2023), [Erratum: *Astrophys.J.Lett.* 971, L27 (2024), Erratum: *Astrophys.J.* 971, L27 (2024)], arXiv:2306.16219 [astro-ph.HE].
- [33] J. Antoniadis *et al.* (EPTA, InPTA:), *Astron. Astrophys.* **678**, A50 (2023), arXiv:2306.16214 [astro-ph.HE].
- [34] D. J. Reardon *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L6 (2023), arXiv:2306.16215 [astro-ph.HE].
- [35] M. Punturo *et al.*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 194002 (2010).
- [36] J. Garcia-Bellido, H. Murayama, and G. White, *JCAP* **12** (12), 023, arXiv:2104.04778 [hep-ph].
- [37] G. Hobbs *et al.*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 084013 (2010), arXiv:0911.5206 [astro-ph.SR].
- [38] P. Amaro-Seoane *et al.* (LISA), (2017), arXiv:1702.00786 [astro-ph.IM].
- [39] A. Weltman *et al.*, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Austral.* **37**, e002 (2020), arXiv:1810.02680 [astro-ph.CO].
- [40] J. Aasi *et al.* (LIGO Scientific), *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 074001 (2015), arXiv:1411.4547 [gr-qc].
- [41] A. Sesana *et al.*, *Exper. Astron.* **51**, 1333 (2021), arXiv:1908.11391 [astro-ph.IM].
- [42] L. Bergstrom, P. Ullio, and J. H. Buckley, *Astropart. Phys.* **9**, 137 (1998), arXiv:astro-ph/9712318.
- [43] L. Bergström, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **63**, 793 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0002126.
- [44] K. Akita, G. Lambiase, M. Niibo, and M. Yamaguchi, *JCAP* **10**, 097, arXiv:2206.06755 [hep-ph].
- [45] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck), *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020), [Erratum: *Astron.Astrophys.* 652, C4 (2021)], arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO].
- [46] R. Abbasi *et al.* (IceCube), (2025), arXiv:2502.01963 [astro-ph.HE].
- [47] R. Abbasi *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **928**, 50 (2022), arXiv:2111.10299 [astro-ph.HE].
- [48] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), *Nature* **591**, 220 (2021), [Erratum: *Nature* 592, E11 (2021)], arXiv:2110.15051 [hep-ex].
- [49] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 062003 (2018), arXiv:1807.01820 [astro-ph.HE].
- [50] A. Abdul Halim *et al.* (Pierre Auger), *PoS ICRC2023*, 1488 (2023).
- [51] A. Abramowski *et al.* (H.E.S.S.), *Nature* **531**, 476 (2016), arXiv:1603.07730 [astro-ph.HE].
- [52] M. C. Chantell *et al.* (CASA-MIA), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1805 (1997), arXiv:astro-ph/9705246.
- [53] Z. Cao *et al.* (LHAASO), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134**, 081002 (2025), arXiv:2411.16021 [astro-ph.HE].
- [54] P. Abreu *et al.* (Pierre Auger), *Universe* **8**, 579 (2022), arXiv:2210.12959 [astro-ph.HE].