

ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF LIPSCHITZ-FREE SPACES OVER SIMPLY CONNECTED RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

FRANZ LUGGIN

ABSTRACT. We show that the Lipschitz-Free Space over a simply connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M is isometrically isomorphic to a quotient of $L^1(M, TM)$, the integrable vector-valued sections on the manifold, if M is either complete or lies isomorphically inside a complete manifold N . Two functions are deemed equivalent in this quotient space if their difference has distributional divergence zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Lipschitz Spaces. Given a metric space with a distinguished point $0_M \in M$, let $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ be the space of all functions $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are Lipschitz on M and satisfy $F(0_M) = 0$. When equipped with the usual addition and scalar multiplication of functions, this space is a vector space on which the Lipschitz constant $\text{Lip}(F) := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|F(x) - F(y)|}{d(x,y)}$ is a norm, $\|F\|_{\text{Lip}} := \text{Lip}(F)$. We can now define the Lipschitz-Free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ as a predual of $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ (under certain conditions the (strongly) unique one, see e.g. [23, Thm. 3.26-28]). To do this, define $\mathcal{F}(M) := \overline{\text{span}\{\delta(x) : x \in M\}}$, where $\delta(x)$ is an evaluation functional such that $\langle F, \delta(x) \rangle = F(x)$ for all $F \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$, and for all $x \in M$.

These spaces have been a topic of intense research in recent years, starting with [11] and the first edition of [23], and [10] has sparked renewed interest in it. A non-exhaustive selection of relevant papers from the last 10 years include [3, 4, 6, 8, 12]. Strong results are available in special cases, as e.g. when M is a Banach space, see Kaufmann [16]. Moreover, Ostrovska and Ostrovskii studied the isometric structure of Lipschitz-Free spaces over finite metric spaces in [20], and investigated which Lipschitz-Free spaces contain isometric copies of ℓ^1 in [18, 19, 21].

In general, isomorphisms or isometries of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ to well-known spaces allow transfer of isomorphic and isometric properties, respectively, like approximation properties, existence of Schauder bases, etc. For example, recent results include Gartland in [9] showing that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{H}^d) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ isomorphically, and Albiac, Ansorena, Cúth, Doucha in [1] showing $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{S}^d) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, again, isomorphically.

The main result of this paper is that the Lipschitz space $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ of a simply connected Riemannian manifold M is isometrically isomorphic to the closed L^∞ -currents on M

$$\text{Lip}_0(M) \equiv \{f \in L^\infty(M, T^*M) : \text{d}f = 0\}$$

via an isometry that is weak*-to-weak* continuous. As corollaries of that, if M is complete, the Lipschitz-Free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is isometric to $L^1(M, TM) / \{g \in L^1(M, TM) : \text{div}(g) = 0\}$, the integrable sections modulo the equivalence relation $f \sim g \iff \text{div}(f - g) = 0$ in

the sense of distributions on M ; whereas if M lies isometrically inside a larger complete manifold N , then the same result holds with a slightly modified quotient consisting of all integrable sections whose extension to N by zero has distributional divergence zero: $\{g \in L^1(M, TM) : \exists \hat{g} \in L^1(N, TN) : \hat{g}|_M = g, \hat{g}|_{N \setminus M} = 0, \operatorname{div}(\hat{g}) = 0\}$.

This is a generalization of the result by Cúth, Kalenda and Kaplický in [5], where the same is shown for nonempty convex open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with the metric induced by any norm on \mathbb{R}^n , and follows roughly the same structure, whereas Ostrovska and Ostrovskii discuss the isometric structure of Lipschitz-Free spaces over finite metric spaces in [20].

A different generalization by Flores was recently published as a preprint in [7], where M is instead a domain in a finite-dimensional normed space E equipped with the intrinsic metric. For the case where M is simply connected, Flores' result follows from the one present in this paper (see Remark 4.12), however, Flores also proves this representation for non-simply connected domains. On the other hand, it is not a generalization of the present result either, since in Flores' theorem, M as a whole forms some domain within a normed space E , with the metric on M coinciding with the induced intrinsic metric from E . One can see that many important Riemannian manifolds, e.g. compact manifolds (without boundary) like \mathbb{S}^n , cannot be embedded in \mathbb{R}^n this way.

1.2. Theory of Distributions on Smooth Manifolds. This paper assumes familiarity with the basic theory of Riemannian manifolds, working with smooth charts and smooth n -forms, the tangent and cotangent spaces, as well as the Lebesgue measure on Riemannian manifolds, and how it can be used to define integrals and $L^1(M)$. For more information on these topics, see e.g. [2] and [17].

For anything going beyond this 'classical' theory, including practically any non-smooth object on the manifold, we follow the book [13], which introduces, for a smooth manifold M , the space of (compactly supported) smooth k -forms $\Omega^k(M)$ ($\Omega_c^k(M)$), and then defines the *distributional k -forms* $\Omega^k(M)^\diamond$ as the dual of $\Omega_c^{n-k}(M)$ (where n is the dimension of M) with respect to the dual pairing

$$(1.1) \quad \langle \omega, \tau \rangle_{(\Omega^k(M)^\diamond, \Omega_c^{n-k}(M))} := \int_M \omega \wedge \tau.$$

For example, one can see that for 0-forms, while $\Omega^0(M) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, $L_{loc}^1(M) \subseteq \Omega^0(M)^\diamond$, since those are exactly the functions which are integrable on compact subsets of M .

It turns out that these spaces of distributional k -forms are well-behaved in a couple of key ways, namely $\overline{\Omega^k(M)}^{wsc} = \Omega^k(M)^\diamond$ with respect to the dual pairing (1.1), and the exterior derivative d and the Lie derivative L_φ (for a smooth vector field φ) have unique continuous extensions from the spaces of smooth forms to their respective distributional forms which preserve all their most crucial properties [13, Thm. 3.1.18, 3.1.23, 3.1.24].

Importantly, observe that $\operatorname{Lip}_0(M) \subset \Omega^0(M)^\diamond$ since Lipschitz functions are locally integrable.

Lastly, the following lemma follows easily from results in the literature:

Lemma 1.1. *If a distribution $h \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ defined on an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M has all its partial derivatives $\partial_j h$ lie in $L^p_{loc}(M)$ for some $n < p < \infty$ and dh is (a regular distribution induced by) a function, then h is an absolutely continuous function.*

This follows from a combination of two theorems, firstly Theorem 4.5.12 in Hörmander [15, Thm. 4.5.12] which states that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open and $\partial_j h \in L^p_{loc}(X)$ for $p > n$ and all $1 \leq j \leq n$, then h is a (even Hölder-continuous) function. And secondly, according to Schwartz in [22, Thm. XVIII], if all derivatives of rank ≤ 1 of h are functions, then h is an absolutely continuous function.

1.3. Essentially Bounded Sections. Let M be a Riemannian manifold and E a vector bundle over M with projection π . Then, any right-inverse of π , i.e. any continuous function $f: M \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ f = \text{id}_M$, is called a *section* of E .

Smooth 1-forms are by definition smooth sections of the cotangent bundle, which we denote by $\Gamma(M, T^*M)$ (following [13]). On the other hand, using the Lebesgue measure on M , we can define the space $L^\infty(M, T^*M)$ of *essentially bounded sections* of the cotangent bundle (not necessarily smooth ones) as the Banach space of all sections which have essentially bounded supremum:

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} := \inf_{N \subset M \text{ null set}} \sup_{x \in M \setminus N} \|f(x)_2\|_2.$$

Here, $f(x)_2 \in T^*_x M \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the second component of $f(x) = (x, f(x)_2)$. It follows that $L^\infty(M, T^*M) \subset \Omega^1(M)^\diamond$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Derivative of Lipschitz Functions. For the remainder of this paper, let M be an n -dimensional simply connected Riemannian manifold with volume form dV .

In order to connect the space of Lipschitz functions to the space of essentially bounded functions L^∞ , if we are in \mathbb{R}^n , we have Rademacher's theorem, ensuring almost-everywhere differentiability. On a Riemannian manifold M , it is not too difficult to achieve the same result, and while said result is widely known, it is included for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a L -Lipschitz function. Then the following hold:*

- i) For almost all $x \in M$, the differential $dF(x) \in T^*_x M$ of F exists and satisfies $\|dF(x)\| \leq L$.*
- ii) The mapping $dF: M \rightarrow T^*M: x \mapsto (x, dF(x))$ is well-defined as an $L^\infty(M, T^*M)$ section.*
- iii) For each $x, y \in M$ and each differentiable curve γ with endpoints x, y and length $L(\gamma) < \infty$ we have*

$$F(y) - F(x) = \int_0^{L(\gamma)} dF(\gamma(t))(\gamma'(t)) dt.$$

Proof. i) In Riemannian manifolds, every unit vector $v \in T_x M$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with an equivalence class of unit-length geodesics $[\gamma_v]$ emanating from x in M via the exponential map \exp . Moreover, this correspondence preserves angles (at x).

Since γ_v is a geodesic on a smooth manifold, it is differentiable in the classical sense as well as isometric and thus 1-Lipschitz.

Thus, for each geodesic γ_v , $F \circ \gamma_v$ is L -Lipschitz and satisfies the classical Rademacher theorem, and thus $(F \circ \gamma_v)'$ exists almost everywhere and $\|(F \circ \gamma_v)'\|_\infty = L$. Moreover, from classical differential geometry we know that

$$dF(x)(tv) = t(F \circ \gamma_v)'(0),$$

whenever the right-hand side exists. It follows that

$$\|dF(x)\|_{T_x^* M} = \sup_{\substack{v \in \text{dom}(dF(x)) \\ \|v\|=1}} dF(x)(v) = \sup_{\substack{y \neq x \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1(x,y)}} (F \circ \gamma)'(0) \leq L.$$

for all unit-speed geodesics $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1(x, y)$ starting in x with arbitrary endpoint $y \neq x$.

- ii) On each chart, the set of non-differentiability points is of measure zero, thus it is a set of measure zero on M .
- iii) Let $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma: [0, L(\gamma)] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(L(\gamma)) = y$. Then the equation to be shown follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus for the Lebesgue integral:

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) - F(x) &= F \circ \gamma(L(\gamma)) - F \circ \gamma(0) = \int_0^{L(\gamma)} (F \circ \gamma)'(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{L(\gamma)} dF(\gamma(t))(\gamma'(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.2. Integrable Vector Fields. We will now define the Banach space $L^1(M, TM)$, the space of equivalence classes of sections that are integrable with respect to the canonical volume form dV of M , and then show that this is indeed a predual of $L^\infty(M, T^*M)$. To start, note that dV is a regular Radon measure, and $dV(\overline{A}) = dV(A)$ for all measurable sets A .

So we can first choose a countable atlas (U_m, φ_m) , then decompose M into disjoint regions $(V_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\overline{V_m}$ is a cover of M , by choosing $V_j = (U_j \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_{j-1}))^\circ$. Denote $N := M \setminus (\bigcup_i V_i)$; by the previous paragraph, N is a null set.

Clearly, on each chart, $f: M \rightarrow TM$ is integrable iff $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is integrable as a function $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to a specific measure μ_m that is absolutely continuous with respect

to the Lebesgue measure. Similarly, if we denote by g the metric tensor on M :

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M f dV &= \int_{M \setminus N} f dV = \sum_m \int_{V_m} f dV \\ &= \sum_m \int_{\varphi_m(V_m)} f \circ \varphi_m^{-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{\left| \det \left[g \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_m}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial \varphi_m}{\partial x_j} \right) \right]_{i,j=1}^n \right|}}_{=: d\mu_m} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will use that the regions V_m are subsets of the domain U_m of a single chart φ_m , to define a ‘‘patchwork of trivializations’’ $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ on all of M except for the null set N . We do this by first defining local sections $x_i^m: V_m \rightarrow TM$ such that $\langle x_i^m(x), x_j^m(x) \rangle_x = \delta_{ij}$ for all $x \in V_m$ and the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ on $T_x M$.

This is possible to do e.g. by choosing the ‘usual’ frame $\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_m}{\partial x_i} \right)_{i=1}^n$ given by the smooth chart φ_m , and then applying Gram-Schmidt to the resulting vectors in each $T_x M$. Since the pointwise scalar product of two \mathcal{C}^∞ functions is again \mathcal{C}^∞ and pointwise addition and scalar multiplication as well as the pointwise normalization of a nowhere-vanishing vector field likewise preserve smoothness, the ‘‘Gram-Schmidt normalization operator’’ G maps n -tuples of smooth vector fields which are pointwise linearly independent, to n -tuples of smooth vector fields which pointwise form an orthonormal basis of their respective tangent space. Thus, $(x_i^m)_{i=1}^n := G \left(\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_m}{\partial x_i} \right)_{i=1}^n \right)$ is an orthonormal frame of V_m .

Then, define $x_i: M \setminus N \rightarrow TM$ as $x_i|_{V_m} := x_i^m$. Due to orthonormality, if \tilde{x}_i denotes the cotangent vector dual to x_i , then $|\tilde{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{x}_n| = |dV|$. W.l.o.g. choose the ordering of the x_i such that this equality holds even without absolute values. Let $\chi: TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the ‘coordinate function’ which maps $(x, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x) x_i(x)) \mapsto (\alpha_i(x))_{i=1}^n$ and which by orthonormality is an isometry on each $T_x M$.

We are now ready to define *integrability* of a section:

Definition 2.2. A section $g: M \rightarrow TM$ is integrable with respect to the measure ω iff

$$\sum_m \int_{\varphi_m(V_m)} \|\chi \circ g \circ \varphi_m^{-1}\| d\mu =: \int_M \|g\| \omega < \infty.$$

Moreover, define $L^1(M, TM)$ to be the quotient space of integrable sections modulo dV -almost-everywhere equivalence:

$$L^1(M, TM) := \{f: M \rightarrow TM: f \text{ integrable}\} / (f = g \text{ dV-a.e.}).$$

We will of course want that this definition is independent of the choice of atlas.

Lemma 2.3. *The notion of integrability introduced in Definition 2.2 does not depend on the choice of (U_m, φ_m) .*

Proof. Let (W_α, ψ_α) be another atlas, and let X_α be the analogous disjoint regions whose closures cover M , let $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ be the resulting orthonormal frame on their union, and let ξ be the coordinate function analogous to χ .

It is sufficient to show equality on an intersection $D := V_m \cap X_\alpha$. However, on such an intersection, we know that

$$\int_{\varphi_m(D)} \|\chi \circ f \circ \varphi_m^{-1}\| \sqrt{\det[g(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j}} d\lambda = \int_{\psi_\alpha(D)} \|\chi \circ f \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1}\| \sqrt{\det[g(y_i, y_j)]_{i,j}} d\lambda,$$

because $h := \|\cdot\| \circ \chi \circ f: M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is arbitrarily well approximable in L^1 -norm by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ functions h_k (since $h \circ \varphi_m^{-1}$ is, and φ_m is a diffeomorphism). Note that this pertains to density of smooth functions within the space $L^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, not $L^1(M, TM)$, and is thus a classical result.

But for h_k we know that $h_k dV$ is simply some other classical n -form ω , and clearly n -forms satisfy the stated transformation behaviour:

$$\int_{\varphi_m(D)} h_k \circ \varphi_m^{-1} \sqrt{\det[g(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j}} d\lambda = \int_D \omega = \int_{\psi_\alpha(D)} h_k \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1} \sqrt{\det[g(y_i, y_j)]_{i,j}} d\lambda.$$

It follows that the equality also holds for the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and that the limit can be brought inside the integrals by dominated convergence.

Lastly, since both $\chi|_{\{x\} \times T_x M}$ and $\xi|_{\{x\} \times T_x M}$ are bijective isometries between \mathbb{R}^n and $\{x\} \times T_x M$ with the same base point (both map x to 0), $\|\cdot\| \circ \chi$ and $\|\cdot\| \circ \xi$ agree on each $\{x\} \times T_x M$, and thus they agree on TM , finishing the proof. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.4. *The dual of the Banach space $L^1(M, TM)$ is $L^\infty(M, T^*M)$.*

Proof. Via the isometry $L^1(M, TM) \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^1} L^1(\varphi_m(V_m), \mathbb{R}^n)$ (where the measure on $\varphi_m(V_m)$ is μ_m from Equation 2.1), we get that $L^1(M, TM)^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^\infty} L^\infty(\varphi_m(V_m), \mathbb{R}^n)$.

However, clearly $L^\infty(\varphi_m(V_m), \mathbb{R}^n)$ is isometric to $L^\infty(V_m, T^*M)$ (no matter the measure, as long as φ_m maps null sets to null sets), and

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{essup}_{x \in V_m} \|f(x)\|_{T_x^* M} = \operatorname{essup}_{x \in M} \|f(x)\|_{T_x^* M},$$

i.e. $L^1(M, TM)^* \cong L^\infty(M, T^*M)$. \blacksquare

3. ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF $\operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$

Proposition 3.1. *For any $F \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$ let $D: \operatorname{Lip}_0(M) \rightarrow L^\infty(M, T^*M): F \mapsto dF$. Then, the following hold:*

- i) D is a linear isometry of $\operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$ into $L^\infty(M, T^*M)$.
- ii) The range of D are the closed L^∞ -currents:

$$Z(M) = \{f \in L^\infty(M, T^*M) : df = 0\}.$$

- iii) The inverse operator $D^{-1}: Z(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$ is defined pointwise as

$$D^{-1}(f)(x) = \int_0^{L(\gamma)} \langle f(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle dt, x \in M, f \in Z(M).$$

where γ is any differentiable curve from $0 \in M$ (the base point at which all $F \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$ were chosen to be zero) to x .

Proof. i) We already know from i) in Proposition 2.1 that $\|dF(x)\|_{T_x^*M} \leq \text{Lip}(F)$ for almost all $x \in M$, and thus $\|DF\|_{L^\infty(M, T^*M)} \leq \|F\|_{\text{Lip}_0(M)}$.

Similarly, using 2.1.iii, but now letting $x \in M$ vary as well compared to 2.1.i where it was fixed, one can get (for \mathcal{G}_1 the set of all (nonconstant) unit-speed curves in M):

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{F(y) - F(x)}{d(x, y)} = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{F(y) - F(x)}{\inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1(x, y)} L(\gamma)} = \sup_{x \neq y} \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1(x, y)} \frac{F \circ \gamma(L(\gamma)) - F \circ \gamma(0)}{L(\gamma)} \\
&= \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1} \frac{1}{L(\gamma)} \int_0^{L(\gamma)} dF(\gamma(t))(\gamma'(t)) dt \leq \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1} \int_0^{L(\gamma)} \|dF(\gamma(t))\|_{T_{\gamma(t)}^*M} \underbrace{\|\gamma'(t)\|_{T_{\gamma(t)}M}}_{=1} dt \\
&= \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1} \int_0^{L(\gamma)} \|dF(\gamma(t))\|_{T_{\gamma(t)}^*M} dt \leq \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1} \int_0^{L(\gamma)} \text{esssup}_{x \in \text{im}(\gamma)} \|dF(x)\| dt \\
&\leq \text{esssup}_{x \in M} \|dF(x)\|_{T_x^*M} = \|dF\|_{L^\infty(M, T^*M)}.
\end{aligned}$$

ii) Clearly every function value DF lies in $Z(M)$ as $d^2 = 0$.

On the other hand, if we have a function $f \in Z(M)$, then by Theorem 3.1.30 in [13], every closed distributional form is locally exact, and by simple connectedness of the domain, it is therefore exact. For classical 1-forms, the latter result is well-known, however for distributional forms, we may use the mollifier R_ε constructed in [13, p. 254–262] (and its properties proved in Theorem 3.1.29) to arrive at the same result.

Namely, there exist nets of operators $R_\varepsilon, A_\varepsilon$ (R_ε mapping k -forms to k -forms and A_ε mapping k -forms to $k - 1$ -forms) such that for each distributional 1-form ω , there exists an $\varepsilon_0(\omega)$ such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $R_\varepsilon\omega$ is a classical 1-form and:

$$\omega = R_\varepsilon\omega - (-1)^k dA_\varepsilon\omega + (-1)^k A_\varepsilon(d\omega).$$

It follows by applying d to both sides of this equation and setting $d\omega = 0$ that $R_\varepsilon\omega$ is closed if ω is. Thus, by simple connectedness of M , it is exact, and therefore there exists a classical 0-form τ such that $d\tau = R_\varepsilon\omega$, implying that for closed forms ω

$$\omega = d(\tau - (-1)^k A_\varepsilon\omega).$$

Thus, every $f \in Z(M)$ is in fact the image of a distributional 0-form F under d , however we still have to show that F is always a Lipschitz function to prove $\text{im}(D) = Z(M)$.

To do that, use Lemma 1.1 to show that h and thus F is absolutely continuous. Then, we can use the fundamental theorem of calculus to get that F must be Lipschitz due to the a.e. global bound on its derivative $f \in Z(M) \subset L^\infty(M, T^*M)$.

iii) Let $F \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$, $x \in M$ and γ a differentiable curve from 0 to x which necessarily exists due to M being Riemannian, thus a length space and smooth as a manifold.

Then according to Proposition 2.1.iii,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1}DF(x) &= \int_0^{L(\gamma)} \langle (dF \circ \gamma)(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle dt = (F \circ \gamma)(L(\gamma)) - (F \circ \gamma)(0) \\ &= F(x) - F(0) = F(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $f \in Z(M)$ and let ψ be the scalar function such that $D\psi = f$, then

$$DD^{-1}f = D(D^{-1}D)\psi = D\psi = f. \quad \blacksquare$$

4. CONSTRUCTING THE PRE-ADJOINT

This last section proves that the operator D we've been working with is, in fact, the adjoint of some other operator *D , which will serve as our isometry between the Lipschitz-Free Space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ and the quotient $L^1(M, TM)/Z_\perp(M)$. To do this, we will need to define distributional divergence, following [13, Thm. 3.1.42].

Definition 4.1. Let $dV \in \Omega^n(M)$ be our volume form and $g \in L^1(M, TM)$ an integrable distributional vector field, then $\text{div}(g) \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ is defined as

$$\text{div}(g)(dV) := L_g(dV).$$

The proof that these classical definitions of the divergence and Lie derivative L_g extend to distributional vector fields can be found in [13, Thm. 3.1.41].

Lemma 4.2. For the volume form $dV = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ a test function and $g \in L^1(M, TM)$ an integrable distributional vector field,

$$\text{div}(g)(dV)(\varphi) = - \int_M \langle d\varphi, g \rangle dV.$$

Proof. This fact can also be found in [13] in the paragraph below Thm. 3.1.42. Since the proof is so short, it is included here for completeness.

We know from [13, Thm. 3.1.41(iv)] that the distributional Lie derivative satisfies the Leibniz rule, thus:

$$\text{div}(g)(dV) = L_g(dV) = \sum_{i=1}^n dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge L_g(dx^i) \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

On the other hand, the way the distributional Lie derivative is defined in the first place is via the classical identity $L_g\omega = di_g\omega + i_gd\omega$. Thus, for $\omega := dx^i$, we get:

$$L_g(\omega) = (di_g\omega) + (i_gd\omega) = d(\langle dx^i, g \rangle) + 0 = \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x^i} dx^i.$$

Here g_i is the i -th component of g in local coordinates, thus a \mathcal{C}^∞ function on M .

So, as expected, $\text{div}(g)(dV) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x^i} dV$ in local coordinates.

From there it is clear by partial integration in each of the n summands that on test functions φ ,

$$\operatorname{div}(g)(dV)(\varphi) = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_i} \varphi dV = - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M g_i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dV = - \int_M \langle d\varphi, g \rangle dV. \quad \blacksquare$$

We are now ready to define the pre-annihilator of $Z(M)$:

Definition 4.3. Let $I(M)$ denote the divergence-free integrable sections, and recall that the pre-annihilator of a set S is the set of all elements of the predual that vanish on all elements of S :

$$\begin{aligned} I(M) &:= \{g \in L^1(M, TM) : \operatorname{div}(g)(dV) = 0 \in \mathcal{D}'(M)\}. \\ Z_{\perp}(M) &:= \{g \in L^1(M, TM) : \forall F \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(M) : \langle dF, g \rangle = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4. *The pre-annihilator $Z_{\perp}(M)$ is contained in the divergence-free integrable sections $I(M)$.*

Proof. Note that the test functions $\mathcal{D}(M) \subset \operatorname{Lip}(M)$. And if $\langle dF, g \rangle = 0$ for all $F \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$, then it follows that also $\langle d(f - f(0)) + 0, g \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(M)$, and thus all $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$.

However, that means that by Lemma 4.2, for all evaluations against test functions φ , $\int_M \operatorname{div}(g)(dV) \wedge \varphi = - \int_M \langle d\varphi, g \rangle dV = 0$, and thus $\operatorname{div}(g)(dV) = 0 \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$.

It follows that $Z_{\perp}(M) \subseteq I(M)$. ■

Lemma 4.5. *The compactly supported sections are dense in $L^1(M, TM)$.*

Proof. Let $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which satisfies $h(t) = 1$ for all $t \leq 0$, $h(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 1$, $\|h'\|_{\infty} \leq 2$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} h_k: M &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}: x \mapsto h\left(\frac{d(0_M, x)}{k} - 1\right) \\ g_k(x) &:= g(x)h_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $g_k(x) = g(x)$ on $B_k := B_k(0_M)$, the metric ball of radius k around 0_M , g_k has compact support in $\overline{B_{2k}}$ and $\|g_k - g\| \leq \|g\|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and on all of M . So, we get that $g_k \rightarrow g$ in L^1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$, as

$$\int_M \|g_k - g\| dV \leq \int_{M \setminus B_k} \|g\| dV \rightarrow 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 4.6. *If M is complete and unbounded, then the pre-annihilator $Z_{\perp}(M)$ of $Z(M)$ is the set of divergence-free integrable sections: $I(M) = Z_{\perp}(M)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in I(M)$. Then, we know that for each test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$,

$$\int_M \langle d\varphi, g \rangle dV = - \int_M \operatorname{div}(g)(dV) \wedge \varphi = 0.$$

So now let $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which satisfies $h(t) = 1$ for all $t \leq 0$, $h(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 1$, $\|h'\|_\infty \leq 2$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} h_k: M &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}: x \mapsto h\left(\frac{d(0_M, x)}{k} - 1\right) \\ g_k(x) &:= g(x)h_k(x), \\ \operatorname{div}(g_k)(dV) &= h_k \operatorname{div}(g)(dV) + L_g h_k \wedge dV \\ L_g h_k(x) &= \langle g, \nabla \rangle h_k = \sum_{i=1}^n g^i(x) h' \left(\frac{d(0, x)}{k} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial d(0, \cdot)}{\partial x^i}(x). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we get that $g_k \rightarrow g$ in L^1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, since $d(0, x)$ is 1-Lipschitz in x , all partial derivatives exist (not just a.e. but everywhere, due to the local existence of geodesics everywhere) and are bounded by 1. Moreover, h' will be 0 everywhere outside of $[0, 1]$, which happens iff $x \in B_{2k} \setminus B_k$. It follows that

$$L_g h_k(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n g^i(x) \|h'\| \chi_{B_{2k} \setminus B_k}(x) \frac{1}{k} \cdot 1 \leq \frac{2}{k} \operatorname{tr}(g)(x) \chi_{B_{2k} \setminus B_k}(x).$$

Thus, thanks to g_k having compact support, we get by partial integration that, for any $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$, (and keeping in mind that $\operatorname{div}(g)(dV) = 0$ by definition of $I(M)$):

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M \langle df, g_k \rangle dV \right| &= \left| \int_M \operatorname{div}(g_k)(dV) \wedge f \right| \leq \frac{2}{k} \int_{B_{2k} \setminus B_k} |\operatorname{tr}(g)f| dV \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k} \int_{B_{2k} \setminus B_k} |\operatorname{tr}(g)| 2k \operatorname{Lip}(f) dV \\ &\leq 4 \operatorname{Lip}(f) \int_{M \setminus B_k} |\operatorname{tr}(g)| dV \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Together with $\|g_k - g\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$, this gives us the sought-after equation

$$\left| \int_M \langle df, g \rangle dV \right| \leq \operatorname{Lip}(f) \|g_k - g\|_1 + \left| \int_M \langle df, g_k \rangle dV \right| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for all $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$. ■

Proposition 4.7. *If M is compact, then $I(M) = Z_\perp(M)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in I(M)$. Then, since $\mathcal{D}(M) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ and $\partial M = \emptyset$, we know that

$$\int_M \langle d\varphi, g \rangle dV = \int_{\partial M} \varphi g dV - \int_M \operatorname{div}(g)(dV) \wedge \varphi = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, and thus for all functions that are $L^\infty(M)$ -approximable by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ functions, which includes all Lipschitz functions. ■

Remark 4.8. Note that $Z_{\perp}(M) \subseteq I(M)$ is always true, however, we need completeness for the other direction. Propositions 4.6 and 4.7 together cover every case where M is a complete Riemannian manifold (without boundary).

Corollary 4.9. *Let M be both simply connected and also a domain inside a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold N . Moreover, let $I(M, N)$ be the set of sections that are integrable over M and divergence-free over N when extended to N by zero:*

$$I(M, N) := \{g \in L^1(M, TM) : \exists \hat{g} \in L^1(N, TN) : \hat{g}|_M = g, \hat{g}|_{N \setminus M} = 0, \\ \operatorname{div}(\hat{g})(dV) = 0 \in \mathcal{D}'(N)\}.$$

Then, $I(M, N) = Z_{\perp}(M)$.

Proof. Trivially, $Z_{\perp}(M) \subseteq I(M) \subseteq I(M, N)$.

For the other direction, we will use the same approach as in the last part of the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [5] by Cúth, Kalenda and Kaplický.

Their proof, reproduced here for completeness, goes as follows: choose $g \in I(M, N)$, and let $F \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$. Moreover, let $\tilde{F} \in \operatorname{Lip}_0(N)$ be any extension of F , e.g. the one that exists by MacShane. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle dF, g \rangle &= \int_M \langle dF, g \rangle dV = \int_M \langle d\tilde{F}, g \rangle dV \\ &= \int_N \langle d\tilde{F}, g \rangle dV = \langle d\tilde{F}, g \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last step uses either Proposition 4.6 or 4.7, depending on the boundedness of N . ■

Proposition 4.10. *The isometry D is weak*-to-weak* continuous.*

Proof. Let A be a bounded subset of $\operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$, then weak* convergence on A coincides with pointwise convergence, i.e. $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{w^*} f$ iff for all $x \in M$, $f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$. Since A is bounded with respect to the Lipschitz norm, assume all f_k and f are at most L -Lipschitz, giving us uniform convergence on compact sets.

On the other hand, weak* convergence on $L^\infty(M, T^*M)$ by definition means that for all functions $g \in L^1(M, TM)$, $\langle df_k, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle df, g \rangle$. Since we know that compactly supported sections are dense in $L^1(M, TM)$ from Lemma 4.5, let $(g_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of sections, each with compact support K_m , which are L^1 -convergent to g . This allows us to find a finite patchwork of trivialisations $(U_j, \varphi_j)_{j=1}^\ell$ such that $\bigcup_j \bar{U}_j = K_m$, $U_j \cap U_i = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq i$, and on each U_j , there exists an (injective) tangent space trivialization $\Phi_j: TU_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we know

$$\begin{aligned} \langle df_k, g_m \rangle &= \int_{K_m} \langle df_k, g_m \rangle dV = - \int_{K_m} \operatorname{div}(g_m)(dV) \wedge f_k \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{U_j} (\Phi_j \circ g_m^i(x)) \left((\Phi_j^*)^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x^i}(x) \right) \det(\varphi_j)(x) d\lambda(x), \end{aligned}$$

which, on each chart, provides us with $x \mapsto L\|\Phi_j \circ g_m^i(x) \det(\varphi_j)(x)\|$ as an a.e. dominating $L^1(K_m)$ distribution on the compact set $\overline{U_j}$. Thus, by dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle df_k, g_m \rangle = - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{K_m} \operatorname{div}(g_m)(dV) \wedge f_k = - \int_M \operatorname{div}(g_m)(dV) \wedge f = \langle df, g_m \rangle.$$

If we now look at $\langle df_k, g \rangle$ instead,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle df_k, g \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle df_k, g - g_m \rangle + \langle df_k, g_m \rangle \leq \int_M L\|g - g_m\|dV + \langle df, g_m \rangle \\ &\leq L\varepsilon_m + \langle df, g_m \rangle \leq \langle df, g \rangle + 2L\varepsilon_m. \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle df_k, g \rangle &\geq \langle df, g \rangle - 2L\varepsilon_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above inequalities hold for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow 0$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$ by L^1 convergence of g_m to g , it follows that df_k weak*-converges to df . \blacksquare

Theorem 4.11. *The Lipschitz-Free Space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ of a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold M is isometric to $L^1(M, TM)/I(M)$, the space of equivalence classes of integrable sections with regards to the relation $g \sim h \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{div}(g - h) = 0$.*

Proof. Since D is an isometric weak*-to-weak* continuous operator, it has an isometric pre-adjoint *D mapping elements of a predual of $Z(M)$ to elements of a predual of $\operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$. In this case, with the dual pairings we have chosen, the predual of $Z(M)$ in question is $L^1(M, TM)/Z_\perp(M) = L^1(M, TM)/I(M)$, and the corresponding predual of $\operatorname{Lip}_0(M)$ is $\mathcal{F}(M)$. \blacksquare

Remark 4.12. Using Corollary 4.9, one also gets that $\mathcal{F}(M) = L^1(M, TM)/I(M, N)$ in the case that M is a simply connected Riemannian manifold that lies isometrically as a domain inside a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold N .

4.1. Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank his advisors Christian Bargetz and Tommaso Russo for helpful discussions and suggestions to improve this paper, and Birgit Schörkhuber for helpful pointers.

The author gratefully acknowledges the support by the Vice-Rectorate for Research at the University of Innsbruck in the form of a PhD scholarship.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac, J.L. Ansorena, M. Cúth, and M. Doucha, *Lipschitz free spaces isomorphic to their infinite sums and geometric applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), 7281–7312.
- [2] H. Amann, J. Escher, *Analysis III*, 2nd edition, Birkhäuser (Springer), Basel, 2008.
- [3] B. Cascales, R. Chiclana, L. C. García-Lirola, M. Martín, A. Rueda Zoca, *On strongly norm attaining Lipschitz maps*, J. Func. Anal. **277(6)** (2019), 1677–1717.
- [4] M. Cúth, M. Johanis, *Isometric Embedding of ℓ_1 into Lipschitz-Free Spaces and ℓ_∞ into Their Duals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), 3409–3421.
- [5] M. Cúth, O.F.K. Kalenda, and P. Kaplický, *Isometric representation of Lipschitz-free spaces over convex domains in finite-dimensional spaces*, Mathematika **63** (2017), 538–552.
- [6] A. Dalet, *Free Spaces Over Some Proper Metric Spaces*, Med. J. Math. **12(3)** (2015), 973–986.

- [7] G. Flores, *An Isometric Representation for the Lipschitz-Free Space of Length Spaces Embedded in Finite-Dimensional Spaces*, Preprint ([arXiv:2411.13538](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.13538)), 2024.
- [8] D.M. Freeman and C. Gartland, *Lipschitz Functions on Unions and Quotients of Metric Spaces*, *Studia Math.* **273** (2023), 29–61.
- [9] C. Gartland, *Hyperbolic Metric Spaces and Stochastic Embeddings*, Preprint ([arXiv:2406.10986](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.10986)), 2024.
- [10] G. Godefroy, *A survey on Lipschitz-free Banach Spaces*, *Comment. Math.* **55** (2015), 89–118.
- [11] G. Godefroy, N.J. Kalton, *Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, *Studia Math.* **159** (2003), 121–141.
- [12] G. Godefroy, N. Lerner, *Some natural subspaces and quotient spaces of L^1* , *Adv. Oper. Theory* **3**(1) (2018), 61–74.
- [13] M. Grosser, M. Kunzinger, M. Oberguggenberger, R. Steinbauer, *Geometric Theory of Generalized Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 2010.
- [14] E. Hebey. *Sobolev Spaces on Riemannian Manifolds*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [15] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [16] P. Kaufmann, *Products of Lipschitz-free spaces and applications*, *Studia Math.* **226** (2015), 213–227.
- [17] J.M. Lee, *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **176**. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [18] S. Ostrovska, M.I. Ostrovskii, *Generalized transportation cost spaces*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **16** (2019), 157.
- [19] S. Ostrovska, M.I. Ostrovskii, *On relations between transportation cost spaces and ℓ_1* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **491** (2) (2020), 124338.
- [20] S. Ostrovska, M.I. Ostrovskii, *Isometric structure of transportation cost spaces on finite metric spaces*, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matemáticas* **116** (4) (2022), 153.
- [21] S. Ostrovska, M.I. Ostrovskii, *Complementability of isometric copies of ℓ_1 in transportation cost spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **529** (2) (2024), 127234.
- [22] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann Éditeurs des Sciences et des Arts, Paris, 1966.
- [23] N. Weaver, *Lipschitz algebras*, Second edition, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2018.