

Bessel Potential Spaces and Complex Interpolation: Continuous embeddings

José C. Bellido¹
josecarlos.bellido@uclm.es

Guillermo García-Sáez¹
guillermo.garciasaez@uclm.es

¹ETSII, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA-LA MANCHA
Campus Universitario s/n, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain.

Abstract

Bessel potential spaces, introduced in the 1960s, are derived through complex interpolation between Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, making them intermediate spaces of fractional differentiability order. Bessel potential spaces have recently gained attention due to their identification with the Riesz fractional gradient. This paper explores Bessel potential spaces as complex interpolation spaces, providing original proofs of fundamental properties based on abstract interpolation theory. Main results include a direct proof of norm equivalence, continuous embeddings, and the relationship with Gagliardo spaces.

Keywords: Bessel potential spaces, complex interpolation method, Sobolev spaces of fractional order, continuous embeddings.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries on Interpolation Theory	3
2.1	The Real Method of Interpolation	6
2.2	The Complex Method of Interpolation	10
2.3	On the relationship between both methods	13
3	Bessel Potentials and Complex Interpolation of Sobolev spaces	15
3.1	Complex interpolation and classical Bessel potential spaces	15
3.2	Continuous Embeddings via Interpolation Theory	24
3.3	Relationship Between Bessel and Gagliardo Spaces	29
3.4	An open problem: are $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Interpolation Spaces?	31
A	Proof of Theorem 2.10	32
	References	35

1. Introduction

Bessel potential spaces were introduced in the 1960s, as documented in [3], driven by the study of partial differential equations (PDE), particularly in the linear case. These spaces can be derived through complex interpolation between Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces [14, 13, 43, 45], positioning them as intermediate spaces between those spaces, or as Sobolev spaces of fractional differentiability order. Another class of fractional Sobolev spaces, known as Gagliardo or Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces, is obtained via the real method of interpolation rather than the complex method. In recent decades, Gagliardo spaces have garnered significant attention due to their direct connection to the fractional Laplacian, or more generally, the fractional p -Laplacian. In opposition, Bessel potential spaces have received limited attention until recently.

Both Gagliardo and Bessel potential spaces are genuine fractional Sobolev spaces. However, the term "fractional Sobolev spaces" has become more commonly associated with Gagliardo spaces due to their extensive use in PDE analysis over the past three or four decades. Nonetheless, there has been a renewed interest in Bessel potential spaces following the pioneering works [55, 56], which established a connection with the Riesz fractional gradient. The Riesz fractional gradient of order s for a function u is defined as

$$D^s u(x) = c_{n,s} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+s}} \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} dy,$$

where $c_{n,s}$ is a normalization constant dependent on the dimension n and the fractional index s .

In [55, 56], it was demonstrated that Bessel potential spaces coincide, with equivalence of norms, with the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\| = \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|D^s u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The Riesz fractional gradient exhibits several notable properties. In [63], the uniqueness of the Riesz fractional gradient, up to a multiplicative constant, was established under natural conditions (invariance under translations and rotations, homogeneity under dilations, and certain continuity in the sense of distributions). Furthermore, for a Sobolev function $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $D^s u$ converges strongly to Du in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [6]. Additionally, a fractional divergence of order s can be defined to ensure integration by parts holds (see [5] and references therein). Moreover, fractional laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n can be obtained as the fractional divergence of the Riesz fractional gradient. Consequently, the Riesz fractional gradient $D^s u$ is a truly fractional differential object, and this, combined with the identification of Bessel potential spaces in [55], makes Bessel potential spaces an intriguing and valuable framework for the analysis of fractional PDEs.

Applications of Bessel potential spaces include the study of a new family of fractional PDEs [55, 56], variational inequalities involving the Riesz fractional gradient [19, 17, 18, 46], fractional elasticity problems [5, 6], and the development of a new concept of fractional perimeter [12, 23, 22].

A significant factor contributing to the growing interest in Bessel potential spaces is their robust structural properties from the perspective of Functional Analysis, making them highly applicable and useful in the study of fractional PDEs. Specifically, [55] established continuous embeddings of Bessel potential spaces into Lebesgue spaces, and [56] demonstrated the compactness of these embeddings. These results fundamentally rely on the identification of the spaces via the Riesz fractional gradient, as previously mentioned. However, the fact that Bessel potential spaces are interpolation spaces has not been fully exploited in these analyses.

Our objective here is to delve deeper into the nature of Bessel potential spaces as complex interpolation spaces to directly derive their main properties. While it is frequently mentioned in the literature that Bessel potential spaces are obtained through complex interpolation of Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, most references, such as [1, Theorem 7.63], provide this information without proof. In this paper, we clarify the relationship between Bessel potential spaces and interpolation theory, offering original proofs of all fundamental facts. Our approach is based solely on well-established principles of abstract interpolation theory, without relying on results from other general families of spaces, such as Triebel-Lizorkin spaces [61, 60]. Consequently, our proofs are specific to Bessel potential spaces and novel in the literature, despite some results having been previously demonstrated using different techniques.

This work is the first in a series of three papers examining Bessel potential spaces from the perspective of complex interpolation theory. This installment focuses on continuous embeddings into Lebesgue and Hölder spaces and the relationship between Bessel potential spaces and Gagliardo spaces. The second paper will explore the relationship between Bessel spaces and the Riesz fractional gradient, addressing some ambiguities in the literature regarding the definition of spaces involving the Riesz fractional gradient, and examining continuity as $s \rightarrow 1$ and $s \rightarrow 0$ using complex interpolation techniques. The third paper will address the compactness of the aforementioned embeddings, deriving compactness from complex interpolation theory results and providing alternative proofs based on the fractional gradient.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 provides a brief introduction to interpolation theory, covering both the real and complex methods of interpolation, including the main results utilized in this paper and the relationship between the two methods. Section 3 presents the main results of the paper. Notably, Theorem 3.7 directly establishes that the definition of Bessel potential spaces coincides with the norm equivalence to $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta$, the complex interpolation of order θ between Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Theorem 3.21 compiles the continuous embeddings of Bessel spaces in various cases—subcritical, critical, and supercritical—highlighting that our proofs are novel in the literature. Theorem 3.23 establishes the equality of Bessel and Gagliardo spaces in the Hilbert case, while Theorems 3.24 and 3.26 provide contiguity and nesting properties among Bessel and Gagliardo spaces as a consequence of the relationship between real and complex interpolation. Finally, Section 3.4 addresses the open question of whether the Bessel space $H^{s,1}$ ($p = 1$) is an interpolation space.

2. Preliminaries on Interpolation Theory

This section is a brief introduction to Interpolation Theory, including the concepts and results we will use in the following. For a complete development of the main ideas, we refer to [7, 31, 47, 61]

To motivate the framework of Interpolation Theory, we will briefly look at what happens with Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where (Ω, μ) is a measure space. Typically, when there is no risk of confusion we omit the measure μ in the notation, as for the Lebesgue measure for instance. Given $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ and $t > 0$, we can write

$$f = f\chi_{|f|>t} + f\chi_{|f|\leq t} =: f_1 + f_2,$$

where clearly f_2 is a function such that $\|f_2\|_\infty \leq t$. Further,

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_\Omega |f_1| d\mu = \int_{|f|>t} |f| d\mu \leq \int_{|f|>t} |f| \frac{|f|^{p-1}}{t^{p-1}} d\mu = t^{1-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

This two estimates imply that for every $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mu)$, we can find $f_1 \in L^1(\Omega, \mu)$ and $f_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $f = f_1 + f_2 \in L^1(\Omega, \mu) + L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ and

$$\|f_1\|_1 + \|f_2\|_\infty \leq t^{1-p}\|f\|_p^p + t.$$

Choosing $t = (p-1)^{1/p}\|f\|_p$, it yields that

$$\|f_1\|_1 + \|f_2\|_\infty \leq \left((p-1)^{(1-p)/p} + (p-1)^{1/p} \right) \|f\|_p,$$

hence

$$\|f\|_{L^1+L^\infty} := \inf \{ \|g\|_1 + \|h\|_\infty : f = g + h, g \in L^1, h \in L^\infty \} \leq \left((p-1)^{(1-p)/p} + (p-1)^{1/p} \right) \|f\|_p.$$

This implies that for every $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(\Omega, \mu) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega, \mu) + L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$, where \hookrightarrow denotes the usual continuous embedding between normed spaces. Now, we take $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$, and consider

$$\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \theta \in (0, 1).$$


By Hölder's inequality

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_\Omega |f|^p d\mu = \int_\Omega |f|^{(1-\theta)p} |f|^{\theta p} d\mu \leq \left(\int_\Omega |f|^{p_0} d\mu \right)^{p(1-\theta)/p_0} \left(\int_\Omega |f|^{p_1} d\mu \right)^{p\theta/p_1},$$

for all $f \in L^{p_0}(\Omega, \mu) \cap L^{p_1}(\Omega, \mu)$, i.e.,

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{p_1}^\theta \leq \|f\|_{L^{p_0} \cap L^{p_1}} := \max\{\|f\|_{p_0}, \|f\|_{p_1}\}.$$

In particular, it implies that for $p_0 = 1$ and $p_1 = \infty$, $L^1(\Omega, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mu) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mu)$. This behavior of the Lebesgue spaces with respect to the couple $(L^1(\Omega, \mu), L^\infty(\Omega, \mu))$ and the classical theorems of Marcinkiewicz and Riesz-Thorin [32, Theorems 1.3.2 and 1.3.4] motivate the precise definitions and main goals of the abstract interpolation theory, which can be summarized in the following diagram



Suppose that we have two pairs of normed spaces, which we will suppose complete for simplicity, (E_0, E_1) and (F_0, F_1) , such that they are compatible in the sense of their sum and intersection

being well defined as Banach spaces, which happens if both spaces of the couple are continuously embedded in a Hausdorff topological vector space. Such couples of Banach spaces are called *compatible couples*. In our case

$$E_0, E_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}, F_0, F_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}.$$

Let $T : E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow F_0 + F_1$ a bounded linear operator such that its restriction to E_j is a bounded linear operator from $E_j \rightarrow F_j$, $j = 0, 1$. Such operators are called *admissible operators* from $(E_0, E_1) \rightarrow (F_0, F_1)$. Suppose that we have two spaces $E(\theta), F(\theta)$ that are *intermediate* with respect to the couples $(E_0, E_1), (F_0, F_1)$, in the sense that

$$E_0 \cap E_1 \hookrightarrow E(\theta) \hookrightarrow E_0 + E_1, \quad F_0 \cap F_1 \hookrightarrow F(\theta) \hookrightarrow F_0 + F_1.$$

If we see the intermediate spaces between a compatible couple as a scale with endpoints being the members of such couple, the parameter $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is related to how far from the endpoints the intermediate space is. The main goal of interpolation theory is to construct intermediate spaces in such a way that the restriction of any admissible operator T to $E(\theta)$ is again a bounded linear operator from $E(\theta) \rightarrow F(\theta)$, in such a way that its norm is related to the norm of the operator acting on the endpoints and the parameter θ . These spaces, known as *interpolation spaces*, are central to the theory. They retain many properties of the compatible couples (the *endpoints* of the interpolation). Consequently, interpolation theory serves as a powerful tool for examining function spaces derived from the interpolation of compatible couples: which properties of compatible couples are inherited by interpolation spaces? That is the case of L^p spaces with respect to couple (L^1, L^∞) . For instance, for \mathbb{R}^n equipped with Lebesgue measure, and for every $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the couple $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is compatible since we can choose \mathcal{E} to be $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of equivalence classes of measurable functions with the topology generated by the metric

$$d(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - g(x)|}{1 + |f(x) - g(x)|} e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Given compatible couples, various approaches, or *interpolation methods*, can be utilized to derive interpolation spaces. These methods integrate seamlessly with the language of category theory, functioning as functors. In this context, we define the category of compatible couples of Banach spaces \mathcal{CC} as the one whose objects are compatible couples of Banach spaces and whose morphisms are the admissible operators between them. Then, an interpolation method will be a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{CC} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ban}$, where \mathbf{Ban} is the category of Banach spaces, such that given $(E_0, E_1), (F_0, F_1) \in \mathcal{CC}$ and $T : (E_0, E_1) \rightarrow (F_0, F_1)$ admissible, we have $\mathcal{F}(T) = T|_{\mathcal{F}((E_0, E_1))} : \mathcal{F}((E_0, E_1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((F_0, F_1))$ is linear and bounded. If we denote $E = \mathcal{F}((E_0, E_1))$ and $F = \mathcal{F}((F_0, F_1))$, the interpolation functors are classified in the following way: we say that \mathcal{F} is

- *exact*, if

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E, F)} \leq \max(\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_0, F_0)}, \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_1, F_1)}),$$

- *uniform*, if there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E, F)} \leq C \max(\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_0, F_0)}, \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_1, F_1)}),$$

- *exact of exponent* $\theta \in (0, 1)$, if

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E, F)} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_0, F_0)}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_1, F_1)}^\theta,$$

- *of exponent* $\theta \in (0, 1)$, if there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E, F)} \leq C \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_0, F_0)}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_1, F_1)}^\theta.$$

Another important notion of category theory with important applications in interpolation theory is the one of *retractions* and *coretractions*. Let \mathcal{C} some category and $A, B \in \text{Obj}_{\mathcal{C}}$. We say that B is a *retract* of A if there exist $f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ and $g \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ such that $f \circ g = i_B$. The morphism f is called a *retraction* and g is the corresponding *coretraction* or *section*. In the category of Banach spaces **Ban**, given two Banach spaces E, F , F being a retract of E means that there exist two bounded linear operators $R \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(F, E)$ such that $R \circ S$ is the identity operator. In the category of compatible couples of Banach spaces \mathcal{CC} , a compatible couple $\overline{F} = (F_0, F_1)$ is a retract of another compatible couple $\overline{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ if there exist two admissible operators $R: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{F}$, $S: \overline{F} \rightarrow \overline{E}$, such that $R \circ S$ is the identity operator for $F_0 + F_1$ with its restriction to F_j being as well the identity on $\mathcal{L}(F_j, F_j)$, $j = 0, 1$, i.e.,

$$R|_{E_j} \circ S|_{F_j} = i_{F_j}.$$

Retracts in \mathcal{CC} are kept by interpolation functors in the following sense: if \mathcal{F} is an interpolation functor and \overline{F} is a retract of \overline{E} in the sense of the category \mathcal{CC} , then by the properties of \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{F}(\overline{F})$ is a retract of $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$ in the sense of **Ban**. Moreover, retraction and coretraction are just the restrictions $R|_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})}$ and $S|_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{F})}$, respectively. Note that given $x \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$,

$$(S \circ R)^2 x = (S \circ R)((S \circ R)x) = S \circ (R \circ S)(Rx) = S(Rx) = (S \circ R)x,$$

hence $(S \circ R)^2 = (S \circ R)$ is a projection from $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$. Since it is a continuous projection, its image is closed. We will denote by E the image of the restriction of $S \circ R$ to $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$. For every $y \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{F})$, we have that

$$Sy = S((R \circ S)y) = (S \circ R)(Sy),$$

so $Sy \in E$, and hence the operator $S: \mathcal{F}(\overline{F}) \rightarrow E$ is well defined. Moreover, since any element $x \in E$ is identified by the image by the projection $S \circ R$ of $x \in \mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$, we have $x = (S \circ R)x = S(Rx)$, so the mapping is surjective. Finally, since $R \circ S$ is the identity for $\mathcal{F}(\overline{F})$, the mapping S is injective. Then, according to the closed graph Theorem, $S: \mathcal{F}(\overline{F}) \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism, that is, $\mathcal{F}(\overline{F})$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$. We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. (Retraction Theorem) *Let $\overline{E}, \overline{F}$ two compatible couples of Banach spaces such that \overline{F} is a retract of \overline{E} . Let $R \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{E}, \overline{F})$ a retraction with corresponding coretraction $S \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{F}, \overline{E})$. Then, given an interpolation functor \mathcal{F} , the interpolation space $\mathcal{F}(\overline{F})$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of the interpolation space $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$. The subspace is the image of the restriction of $S \circ R$ to $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$.*

This theorem will be crucial in the computation of interpolation spaces, as it provides a method to transfer information from known interpolation spaces to others. Suppose that the interpolation space $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$ is well known for some particular interpolation functor \mathcal{F} and compatible couple \overline{E} . If we are given another compatible couple \overline{F} such that \overline{F} is a retract, the construction of the operator S will allow us to determine the interpolation space $\mathcal{F}(\overline{F})$ in the light of **Theorem 2.1**, so the study of properties of the space $\mathcal{F}(\overline{F})$ is reduced to the study of retractions from the known space $\mathcal{F}(\overline{E})$.

For a comprehensive exposition of categorical interpolation theory, we refer to [11, 20]. For our purposes, we will focus on the real and complex interpolation methods and their interrelationships.

2.1. The Real Method of Interpolation

There are several *real* methods of interpolation, many of them equivalent. The most popular is the one based on Peetre's K -functional, defined for a compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1)

as

$$K(t, x) = K(t, x; (E_0, E_1)) := \inf_{x=x_0+x_1} (\|x_0\|_{E_0} + t\|x_1\|_{E_1}),$$

for $x \in E_0 + E_1$ and $t > 0$. Clearly, it defines an equivalent norm on $E_0 + E_1$ for every $t > 0$. Now, given $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$, or $p = \infty$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we define the real interpolation spaces $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p}$ as the spaces

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p} := \{x \in E_0 + E_1 : \|x\|_{\theta, p} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|x\|_{\theta, p} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{-\theta} K(t, x))^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{-\theta} K(t, x), & p = +\infty \end{cases}.$$

The functor $K_{\theta, p} : (E_0, E_1) \mapsto (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p}$ is an exact interpolation functor ([7, Theorem 3.1.2] or [31, Theorem III.1.3]), i.e., given an admissible operator (*morphism*) $T : (E_0, E_1) \rightarrow (F_0, F_1)$ between two compatible couples, such that

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_j, F_j)} = M_j, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

then $T : (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q} \rightarrow (F_0, F_1)_{\theta, q}$ linear and bounded, with

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}((E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q}, (F_0, F_1)_{\theta, q})} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta.$$

A relevant consequence is that if we have $E_j \hookrightarrow F_j$, $j = 0, 1$, by interpolating the embedding operator we get that $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q} \hookrightarrow (F_0, F_1)_{\theta, q}$. Now, we enumerate the most important properties of real interpolation spaces.

Theorem 2.2. (Properties of Real interpolation spaces) *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and (E_0, E_1) be a compatible couple of Banach spaces. The space $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q}$ satisfies the following properties:*

- 1.- *If E_0, E_1 are Banach spaces then $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p}$ is a Banach space.*
- 2.- *$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p} = (E_1, E_0)_{1-\theta, p}$*
- 3.- *If $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$ then*

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, 1} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p_1} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p_2} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, \infty}.$$

- 4.- *If $E_0 \hookrightarrow E_1$ and $0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < 1$, then*

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta_0, p} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta_1, p}.$$

- 5.- *Let $p < \infty$. If $E_0 \cap E_1$ is dense in both E_0 and E_1 (that is called a regular couple), then it follows*

$$((E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p})^* = (E_0^*, E_1^*)_{\theta, 1-1/p},$$

with equivalence of the norms. Moreover, if E_0 and E_1 are reflexive and $E_0 \hookrightarrow E_1$ with dense inclusion, then the space $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p}$ is reflexive.

Detailed proofs of these facts can be found in [7, Theorem 3.4.1, Theorem 3.4.2, Theorem 3.7.1] and [31, Theorem III.1.4, Corollary III.1.6, Theorem III.3.4, Theorem III.3.9].

A major result on interpolation theory, and in particular for the real method, is the Reiteration Theorem, which implies that the real method is stable under reiteration of the real interpolation functor. However, the theorem applies for a larger class of spaces, the so-called θ -class. Given $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and a compatible couple (E_0, E_1) , we say that a Banach space E is of θ -class for the couple if E is an intermediate space and if we have the embeddings

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, 1} \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, \infty}, \theta \in (0, 1)$$

or

$$\overline{E}^\Delta \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, \infty}, \theta \in \{0, 1\},$$

where \overline{E}^Δ is the completion of $E_0 \cap E_1$ under the norm of E . The embedding $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, 1} \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, \infty}$ is equivalent to the existence of a positive constant C such that for every $x \in E_0 \cap E_1$,

$$Ct^{-\theta}K(t, x) \leq \|x\|_E \leq C\|x\|_{E_0}^{1-\theta}\|x\|_{E_1}^\theta,$$

which could be seen as the generalization of Hölder's inequality for intermediate spaces [31, Proposition III.5.3]. Obviously, the endpoints E_0 and E_1 are respectively of class 0 and 1. Also, it is straightforward to prove that every interpolation functor of exponent $\theta \in (0, 1)$ produces spaces in the θ -class [31, Lemma III.6.16]. The main result is the following ([7, Theorem 3.11.5] or [31, Theorem III.5.6]):

Theorem 2.3. (Reiteration Theorem for the Real Method) *Let (E_0, E_1) and (X_0, X_1) be two compatible couples of Banach spaces such that X_j is intermediate with respect to the couple (E_0, E_1) , $j = 0, 1$. Given $0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 \leq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\theta := (1 - \alpha)\theta_0 + \alpha\theta_1$, and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, it holds that if X_i is of θ_i -class, then*

$$(X_0, X_1)_{\alpha, q} = (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q},$$

with equivalence of the norms.

We now present two important examples of spaces obtained by the real interpolation method exposed in this section that we shall require in the next section.

Examples 2.4.

- i) Let E be a Banach space and (U, μ) a measure space with σ -finite positive measure. For $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, $L^p((U, \mu), E)$ stands for the Bochner space of μ -measurable functions $f : U \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(U, E)} = \left(\int_U \|f(x)\|_E^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

We denote this space by $L^p(E)$ if there is no risk of confusion.

For $f \in L^1(E) + L^\infty(E)$ we define

$$\lambda(f, w) := \mu(\{t \in U : \|f(t)\|_E > w\}), \quad 0 < w < \infty,$$

the distribution function of f . We also define the decreasing rearrangement of f as

$$f^*(t) := \inf_{\lambda(f, w) \leq t} w, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$ we define the Lorentz space

$$L^{p, q}(E) = \left\{ f \in L^1(E) + L^\infty(E) : \|f\|_{L^{p, q}} := \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\},$$

and for $q = \infty$,

$$L^{p,\infty}(E) := \left\{ f \in L^1(E) + L^\infty(E) : \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} := \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^*(t) < \infty \right\}.$$

When $p = \infty = q$ it is conventional to set $L^{\infty,\infty}(E) = L^\infty(E)$. In general, those spaces are quasi-normed spaces, but for $p > 1$ it is possible to replace the quasi-norm with a norm, which makes them complete. From the definition, it is straightforward to see that $L^{p,p}(E) = L^p(E)$ with equality of norms for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Lorentz spaces can be obtained by real interpolation of Lebesgue spaces. In fact, for $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$(L^1(E), L^\infty(E))_{\theta,q} = L^{\frac{1}{1-\theta},q}(E),$$

see [60, Theorem 1.18.6.1] for a proof. By **Theorem 2.3** it follows that for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $1 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$, $p_0 \neq p_1$, and $1 \leq q, q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$,

$$(L^{p_0,q_0}(E), L^{p_1,q_1}(E))_{\theta,q} = L^{p(\theta),q}(E), \quad \frac{1}{p(\theta)} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

In particular,

$$(L^{p_0}(E), L^{p_1}(E))_{\theta,p(\theta)} = L^{p(\theta)}(E).$$

Moreover, given a compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) ,

$$(L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1))_{\theta,p(\theta)} = L^{p(\theta)}((E_0, E_1)_{\theta,p(\theta)}),$$

see [7, Theorem 5.6.2]. Interestingly, there is no generalization for $q \neq p(\theta)$ and the space

$$(L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1))_{\theta,q},$$

as it was shown in [25]. In general, we only have the embedding

$$(L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1))_{\theta,q} \hookrightarrow L^{p(\theta)}((E_0, E_1)_{\theta,q}).$$

ii) Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$. We define the Gagliardo space $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + s}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \right\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}} := \|u\|_p + [u]_{W^{s,p}},$$

where

$$[u]_{W^{s,p}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

is the Gagliardo seminorm. In the case $p = \infty$, we have the natural identification of $W^{s,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $C^{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Gagliardo spaces are obtained by means of real interpolation of the couple $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. In particular we have the equality

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{s,p}, \quad s \in (0, 1), \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

with equivalence of the norms. We refer to [42, Theorem 12.5] and [47, Example 1.8] for detailed proofs of these facts, and to [51] to a complete discussion of Gagliardo spaces without interpolation theory.

2.2. The Complex Method of Interpolation

The other major method of interpolation is the Complex Method of Interpolation. Briefly introduced by Lions [43] and Calderón [14], it was later developed in depth in the seminal paper of the second one [15]. The ideas of Calderón has been generalized by several authors, see for example the work of Schechter [54]. The complex interpolation method is based on the theory of holomorphic vector valued functions, in particular the ones defined on the strip

$$S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\},$$

taking values on some complex Banach space E . From the Hadamard's three line Theorem and the maximum modulus principle it follows that for any function $f : S \rightarrow E$ holomorphic on $\operatorname{int} S$ and continuous and bounded on S , the inequality

$$\|f(z)\|_E \leq \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(it)\|_E, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(1+it)\|_E\}, \quad z \in S$$

holds. This motivates the following definition:

Given a compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) , we define the space $\mathfrak{F}((E_0, E_1))$ as the space of functions $f : S \rightarrow E_0 + E_1$ such that the following hold:

- f is holomorphic on $\operatorname{int} S$, and continuous and bounded on S .
- The functions $t \mapsto f(j+it)$ are continuous from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow E_j$, $j = 0, 1$, and such that

$$\|f(j+it)\|_{E_j} \rightarrow 0, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{F}(\overline{E})$ is a vector space. Moreover, endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{F}(\overline{E})} := \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(it)\|_{E_0}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(1+it)\|_{E_1}\}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{F}(\overline{E}),$$

it becomes a Banach space. Then, for any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we define $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$ as the space of all $x \in E_0 + E_1$ such that exists $f \in \mathfrak{F}(\overline{E})$ with $f(\theta) = x$, and with the norm

$$\|x\|_\theta := \inf\{\|f\|_{\mathfrak{F}(\overline{E})} : f \in \mathfrak{F}(\overline{E}), f(\theta) = x\} < \infty.$$

The functor,

$$\mathcal{C}_\theta : (E_0, E_1) \mapsto [E_0, E_1]_\theta,$$

is an exact interpolation functor of exponent θ , i.e., the space $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$ is a Banach space intermediate with respect to the couple (E_0, E_1) , and for any admissible operator $T : (E_0, E_1) \hookrightarrow (F_0, F_1)$ between two compatible couples, such that

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E_j, F_j)} = M_j, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

then $T : [E_0, E_1]_\theta \rightarrow [F_0, F_1]_\theta$ with

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}([E_0, E_1]_\theta, [F_0, F_1]_\theta)} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta.$$

A relevant consequence is that we have $E_j \hookrightarrow F_j$, $j = 0, 1$, interpolating the embedding operator we get that $[E_0, E_1]_\theta \hookrightarrow [F_0, F_1]_\theta$. Another interesting consequence is that the spaces $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$ are of θ -class, and hence, for $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, **Theorem 2.3** holds, hence

$$([E_0, E_1]_{\theta_0}, [E_0, E_1]_{\theta_1})_{\alpha, p} = (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p},$$

where $\theta = (1 - \alpha)\theta_0 + \alpha\theta_1$.

Now we enumerate the most important properties of such spaces.

Theorem 2.5. (Properties of Complex interpolation spaces) *Let (E_0, E_1) a compatible couple of Banach spaces, and $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Then, we have*

- 1.- $[E_0, E_1]_\theta = [E_1, E_0]_{1-\theta}$.
- 2.- If $E_0 \hookrightarrow E_1$ and $\theta_0 < \theta_1$, $[E_0, E_1]_{\theta_0} \hookrightarrow [E_0, E_1]_{\theta_1}$.
- 3.- If $E_0 = E_1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, $[E_0, E_1]_\theta = E_0$.
- 4.- $E_0 \cap E_1$ is dense in $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$.
- 5.- $[E_0, E_1]_j$ is a closed subspace of E_j with coincidence of the norm in $[E_0, E_1]_j$, $j = 0, 1$.
- 6.- If (E_0, E_1) is a regular couple and at least one of E_0 or E_1 is reflexive, then

$$([E_0, E_1]_\theta)^* = [E_0^*, E_1^*]_\theta, \theta \in (0, 1).$$

- 7.- If at least one of E_0 or E_1 is reflexive, then the space $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, is reflexive

Detailed proofs of these facts can be found in [7, Theorem 4.2.1, Theorem 4.2.2, Theorem 4.5.1] and [31, Proposition IV.1.8, Theorem IV.5.4, Theorem IV.5.6]. There are also two more reiterations for the complex method, concerning the complex interpolation of real interpolation spaces and the reiteration of complex spaces under complex interpolation. The first result is due to Karadzov [40], while the second was proved by Cwikel in [26], improving the original result by Calderón.

Theorem 2.6. *Let (E_0, E_1) a compatible couple of Banach spaces, $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$. Define,*

$$\theta(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)\theta_0 + \alpha\theta_1, \quad \frac{1}{p(\alpha)} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{p_0} + \frac{\alpha}{p_1}.$$

Then,

- $[(E_0, E_1)_{\theta_0, p_0}, (E_0, E_1)_{\theta_1, p_1}]_\alpha = (E_0, E_1)_{\theta(\alpha), p(\alpha)}$.
- $[[E_0, E_1]_{\theta_0}, [E_0, E_1]_{\theta_1}]_\alpha = [E_0, E_1]_{\theta(\alpha)}$.

As we did for the real method, we present some useful examples of spaces obtained by complex interpolation.

Examples 2.7.

- i) The following interpolation identity may be understood as the generalization of the Riesz-Thorin theorem. Let E a Banach space, $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$ and $1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$, and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then,

$$[L^{p_0}(E), L^{p_1}(E)]_\theta = L^{p(\theta)}(E), \quad \frac{1}{p(\theta)} = \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1},$$

see [61, Theorem 1.18.6.2]. Moreover, given a compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) , for $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$,

$$[L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1)]_\theta = L^{p(\theta)}([E_0, E_1]_\theta),$$

see [7, Theorem 5.1.2].

- ii) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and E be a Banach space. We define $\ell^{s,q}(E)$ as the space of E -valued sequences $(x_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ such that

$$\|(x_m)\|_{\ell^{s,q}(E)} := \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (2^{ms} \|x_m\|_E)^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

$$\|(x_m)\|_{\ell^{s,\infty}(E)} := \sup_{m \geq 0} 2^{ms} \|x_m\|_E, \quad q = \infty.$$

Then, given $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and (E_0, E_1) a compatible couple of Banach spaces, we have that

$$[\ell^{s_0, q_0}(E_0), \ell^{s_1, q_1}(E_1)]_\theta = \ell^{s(\theta), q(\theta)}([E_0, E_1]_\theta),$$

where,

$$s(\theta) = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1, \quad \frac{1}{q(\theta)} = \frac{1 - \theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1},$$

see [7, Theorem 5.6.3].

- iii) Consider the space $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions with *bounded mean oscillation*, (see [37]), i.e., the space of locally integrable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx =: \|f\|_{\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

where the supremum ranges over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with $|Q|$ the Lebesgue measure of the cube and $f_Q = 1/|Q| \int_Q f$. We will use this space in the sequel as an endpoint for interpolation results. The crucial thing is that $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ behaves like $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the generalized Riesz-Thorin theorem. This space is connected with the real variable Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [58]), for which it is known that (see [35] or [58])

$$[\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \frac{1}{q} = (1 - \theta) + \frac{\theta}{p}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Now, since $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is reflexive, **Theorem 2.5 (vi)** holds, and using the fact that $(\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ([58, Theorem 2]), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= ([\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta)^* = [(\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^*, (L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^*]_\theta \\ &= [\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = [L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{1-\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. Taking $\theta = 1 - s$, we have that

$$[L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q'} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} = 1 - s - \frac{1-s}{p} = 1 - s - (1-s) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p'}\right) = \frac{1-s}{p'}.$$

Then, we get that

$$[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = L^{q(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\frac{1}{q(s)} = \frac{1-s}{p}$.

2.3. On the relationship between both methods

Since the complex interpolation functor \mathcal{C}_θ is an exact interpolation functor of exponent θ ,

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\theta(E_0, E_1) \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta,\infty}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1,$$

for any compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) . A natural question arises: could any of the indices 1 and ∞ be replaced by some $1 < p < \infty$? In general, real and complex interpolation yield different results [7, Exercice 6.23], but with some extra hypotheses over the spaces E_0 and E_1 , we can show some nice embeddings between the two methods. This question was first addressed by Peetre [53], where he introduced the following concept.

Given a Banach space E and the Bochner space $L^p(\mathbb{R}, E)$, that we denote by $L^p(E)$, the Fourier transform on $L^p(E)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \zeta} dx,$$

as a Bochner integral.

Definition 2.8. Let E a Banach space and $1 \leq p \leq 2$. We say that E is of p -type if the Fourier transform is a linear bounded operator from $L^p(E)$ to $L^q(E)$, where $1 = 1/p + 1/q$.

Observe that every Banach space E is of 1-type, since the Fourier Transform of $f \in L^1(E)$ verifies $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_\infty < \infty$, so $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(E), L^\infty(E))$. It is also straightforward that every Hilbert space H is of 2-type due to Plancherel Identity.

Moreover, all L^p spaces are of some type. In particular, for $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(\Omega, d\mu)$ is of type $\min\{p, q\}$, $1 = 1/p + 1/q$, where (Ω, μ) is some measure space. Indeed, let $1 < p \leq 2$ and consider the space $L^p(L^p(\Omega, d\mu))$. For a given $f \in L^p(L^p(\Omega, d\mu))$,

$$\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} f(x) dx,$$

in the Bochner integral sense. Then, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi)$ is a function defined on Ω whose p -norm is finite. Using the Minkowski integral inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi)\|_p^q d\xi \right)^{p/q} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi)(s)|^p d\mu(s) \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{p/q} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi)(y)|^q d\xi \right)^{p/q} d\mu(s), \end{aligned}$$

and by the Hausdorff-Young theorem,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi)(s)|^q d\xi \right)^{1/q} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)(s)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

thus

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi)\|_p^q d\xi \right)^{p/q} \leq \int_{\Omega} d\mu(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)(s)|^p ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(x)\|_p^p dx,$$

so

$$\|\mathcal{F}\{f\}\|_{L^q(L^p(\Omega, d\mu))} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(L^p(\Omega, d\mu))},$$

so $L^p(\Omega, d\mu)$ is of p -type. In the case $2 \leq p < \infty$, with analogous reasoning we get that $L^p(\Omega, d\mu)$ is of q -type.

The previous concept relates to the interpolation theory due to the following result. We include its proof for readers' convenience.

Lemma 2.9. *Let (E_0, E_1) a compatible couple of Banach spaces such that E_i is of p_i -type, $1 \leq p_i \leq 2$, $i = 0, 1$. Then, for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)}$ and $[E_0, E_1]_{\theta}$ are of $p(\theta)$ -type, where*

$$\frac{1}{p(\theta)} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

Proof: We have that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(L^{p_i}(E_i), L^{q_i}(E_i))$ for $i = 0, 1$, hence

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}\left((L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1))_{\theta, p(\theta)}, (L^{q_0}(E_0), L^{q_1}(E_1))_{\theta, p(\theta)}\right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}([L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1)]_{\theta}, [L^{q_0}(E_0), L^{q_1}(E_1)]_{\theta})$$

By [7, Theorem 5.6.2]

$$(L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1))_{\theta, p(\theta)} = L^{p(\theta)}((E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)}),$$

and

$$(L^{q_0}(E_0), L^{q_1}(E_1))_{\theta, p(\theta)} \hookrightarrow L^{q(\theta)}((E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)}),$$

(see [25]) were

$$\frac{1}{q(\theta)} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1} \implies 1 = \frac{1-\theta}{p(\theta)} + \frac{\theta}{q(\theta)},$$

we conclude that the space $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)}$ is of $p(\theta)$ -type. Also, by [7, Theorem 5.1.2]

$$[L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1)]_{\theta} = L^{p(\theta)}([E_0, E_1]_{\theta}),$$

and

$$[L^{q_0}(E_0), L^{q_1}(E_1)]_{\theta} = L^{q(\theta)}([E_0, E_1]_{\theta}),$$

so we conclude that $[E_0, E_1]_{\theta}$ is of $p(\theta)$ -type. \square

With this notion, Peetre showed the following major result connecting the Real and Complex interpolation spaces.

Theorem 2.10. *Let (E_0, E_1) be a compatible couple of Banach spaces such that E_i is of p_i -type, $1 \leq p_i \leq 2$, $i = 0, 1$, with conjugate exponents q_i ($1 = 1/p_i + 1/q_i$), $i = 0, 1$. Then,*

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)} \hookrightarrow [E_0, E_1]_{\theta} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q(\theta)}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p(\theta)} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q(\theta)} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}.$$

Peetre's original proof is challenging to follow. Therefore, for the readers' interest, we provide a comprehensive proof of this theorem in **Appendix A**.

Remark 2.11. Since every Banach space is of 1-type, we directly obtain the fact that

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\theta \overline{E} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta,\infty}, \theta \in (0,1),$$

for any compatible couple of Banach spaces.

Another important consequence of the theorem is that the real and complex interpolation methods yields the same spaces with equivalent norms when we restrict ourselves to Hilbert spaces. In fact, given a compatible couple of Hilbert spaces (X, Y) , i.e, as normed spaces they form a compatible couple of Banach spaces, since X and Y are of 2-type, and the conjugate of 2 is 2, we have that for any $\theta \in (0,1)$,

$$(X, Y)_{\theta,2} \hookrightarrow [X, Y]_\theta \hookrightarrow (X, Y)_{\theta,2} \implies (X, Y)_{\theta,2} = [X, Y]_\theta.$$

3. Bessel Potentials and Complex Interpolation of Sobolev spaces

Building on the interpolation concepts discussed in the previous section, we now turn our attention to the complex interpolation of Sobolev spaces. This section focuses on the classical Bessel potential spaces, which are derived through interpolation using the Bessel potential. The primary result is a straightforward proof demonstrating the equivalence between Bessel spaces and the complex interpolation space $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta$. Leveraging the well-established properties of the \mathcal{C}_θ functor, we can effortlessly derive the main characteristics of these spaces.

3.1. Complex interpolation and classical Bessel potential spaces

The family of Bessel potential spaces is a cornerstone in Functional Analysis, particularly in the study of partial differential equations. These spaces are known by various names in the literature, with *Bessel potential spaces* being the most widely used term. Initially introduced by Aronszajn and Smith [3] for the Hilbertian case $p = 2$, these spaces have been extensively studied due to their significance in the theory of partial differential equations. Although Aronszajn and Smith introduced these spaces, they named them in honor of Friedrich Wilhelm Bessel, as the Bessel potential of a function can be expressed as the convolution of that function with the Bessel kernel, which in turn can be represented using modified Bessel functions (see [3] for more details).

Notable works by Lions and Magenes [45, 44] explored interpolation methods, showing their equivalence to Calderón's method for the Hilbertian case. Calderón himself later extended these ideas to the range $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ in [13], defining them as the image of L^p under the Bessel potential, from which the name is derived. Calderón's work is deeply rooted in Harmonic Analysis, and it was not until Lions' work in [43] that these spaces were considered as interpolation spaces. However, Lions merely mentioned them as an example of the new complex interpolation method, without providing further proofs or commentaries, unlike Calderón in [14].

Recently, Campos and Rodrigues [16, 18, 19] have proposed naming these spaces *Lions-Calderón spaces* in recognition of their complete introduction by them. They are also sometimes referred to as *General Sobolev spaces* as noted in [33]. In Russian literature, these spaces are known as *Liouville spaces*, as pointed out by Triebel in [60]. From the perspective of fractional gradients [6, 5, 22, 12, 23, 55], the terms *Fractional Sobolev spaces* and *Distributional Fractional Sobolev spaces* are used. It is arguable that these terms are more appropriate for them than for

the spaces $W^{s,p}$ derived from Gagliardo seminorms, as fractional gradients generalize the classical gradient [6]. Given the classical use of *Fractional Sobolev spaces* for $W^{s,p}$ spaces and the infrequent use of other terms, we will maintain the classical denomination of Bessel potential spaces for our discussion.

Definition 3.1. [Bessel potential] Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s$, $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We define the Bessel potential Λ_s of order s of f as

$$\Lambda_s f = \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \left((1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-s/2} \mathfrak{F} f(\xi) \right).$$

Remark 3.2. Note that Λ_s is well-posed. Since $(1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-s/2}$ is a smooth function with all its derivatives with at most polynomial growth, its product with a tempered distribution defines another tempered distribution. Also, since the Fourier transform and its inverse transform tempered distributions into tempered distributions,

$$\Lambda_s : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n); \quad f \mapsto \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \left((1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-s/2} \mathfrak{F} f(\xi) \right).$$

is well defined as a linear operator. Moreover, from the basic properties of the Fourier transform acting on tempered distributions, we can easily derive that the Bessel potential has the semigroup property with the composition, i.e., for any $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s_0, \operatorname{Re} s_1$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\Lambda_{s_0+s_1} f = \Lambda_{s_1} (\Lambda_{s_0} f).$$

It can be shown that

$$(1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-s/2} = \mathcal{F} G_s,$$

with

$$G_s(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t/(4\pi)} e^{-|x|^2 \pi/t} t^{(s-n)/2} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for every $s > 0$, which verifies that

$$\|G_s\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_s(x) dx = 1,$$

see [34, 50]. From there, we can derive a useful result: Λ_s is a continuous linear operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, with norm at most one, for every $s > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. This follows from Young's convolution inequality, since

$$\|\Lambda_s f\|_p = \|G_s * f\|_p \leq \|G_s\|_1 \|f\|_p = \|f\|_p \implies \|\Lambda_s\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq 1.$$

We now present the Bessel potential spaces as they were introduced by Lions and Calderón, respectively.

Definition 3.3. [Bessel potential space (Lions)] Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We define the *Bessel potential space (Lions)* $\Lambda_{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \Lambda_{-s} f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^{s,p}} := \|\Lambda_{-s} f\|_p.$$

Notice that we use the same symbol, Λ , for the Bessel potential and the space, but Λ_s , with subscript, for the Bessel potential, and $\Lambda^{s,p}$, with superscripts, for the (s,p) -Bessel potential space with the definition given by Lions.

Definition 3.4. [Bessel Potential space (Calderón)] Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We define the *Bessel Potential space (Calderón)* $L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the image of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Λ_s , i.e.,

$$L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{\Lambda_s f : f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

with the norm

$$\|\Lambda_s f\|_{L_s^p} = \|f\|_p.$$

Obviously, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the spaces $\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are equal, with equality of norms.

One of the crucial things about Bessel potential spaces is that they generalize the classical Sobolev spaces, i.e., for any $1 < p < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\Lambda^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

as it was proved by Calderón [13, Theorem 7]. See also [50, Chapter 7, Theorem 2.2].

From the definitions of Lions and Calderon, the following result of density is straightforward.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $s > 0$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof: Let $f \in \Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which means that $\Lambda_{-s} f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|\Lambda_{-s} f - g\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

Since Λ_s is a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself,

$$\|\Lambda_{-s} f - g\|_p = \|f - \Lambda_s g\|_{\Lambda^{s,p}},$$

and hence

$$\|f - \Lambda_s g\|_{\Lambda^{s,p}} < \varepsilon,$$

which means that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Another straightforward but crucial fact is that Λ_{-t} is an isomorphism between $\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Lambda^{s-t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In particular, $\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphic to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Lambda^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In fact, for any $u \in \Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the semigroup property,

$$\|\Lambda_{-t} u\|_{\Lambda^{s-t,p}} = \|\Lambda_{t-s}(\Lambda_{-t} u)\|_p = \|\Lambda_{t-s-t} u\|_p = \|\Lambda_{-s} u\|_p = \|u\|_{\Lambda^{s,p}}.$$

This is usually known as the lifting property of the Bessel potential. We now define the complex interpolation spaces between L^p spaces and $W^{k,p}$ spaces, which turns out to be equivalent to the spaces obtained by means of the Bessel potential.

Definition 3.6. [Complex interpolation of Sobolev spaces] Let $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $s = k\theta$. We define the space $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the complex interpolation space

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta,$$

with the identification $H^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, for $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s.$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $s = k\theta$, we have that*

$$\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with equivalence of norms.

We provide a direct proof of the equivalence of the interpolation norm and the norm in the Bessel potential space, that, to the authors' knowledge, is new in the literature. Part of the proof is based on some ideas of [59, Chapter 13, Proposition 6.2]. In particular, the use of the following bound of the purely imaginary Bessel potentials, which follows directly from Mihlin's multiplier theorem [32, Theorem 6.2.7].

Lemma 3.8. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a positive constant C only depending on p such that*

$$\|\Lambda_{it}u\|_p \leq C (1 + 4\pi^2|t|^2)^{n/2} \|u\|_p.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.7: We first prove the inclusion

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \leftrightarrow \Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let $u \in \Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we want to show that $u \in [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta$, i.e., we must find a function $f \in \mathfrak{F}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $f(\theta) = u$. Let

$$f(z) = e^{(z-\theta)^2} \Lambda_{(z-\theta)k}u,$$

for $z \in S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$. Clearly, f is a holomorphic function on $\operatorname{int} S$ and continuous in S , taking values on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, we have to study the boundedness of $f(j + it)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1$. We observe that

$$f(j + it) = e^{-t^2 + (\theta-j)^2 + 2i(jt-\theta t)} \Lambda_{(j+it-\theta)k}u.$$

Since we have that

$$\left| e^{-t^2 + (\theta-j)^2 + 2i(jt-\theta t)} \right| = e^{-t^2 + (\theta-j)^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty,$$

we only have to study the boundedness of

$$\left\| \Lambda_{(j+it-\theta)k}u \right\|_{W^{j,p}},$$

where $W^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is identified with $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. First we have that

$$\Lambda_{(it-\theta)k}u = \Lambda_{itk}(\Lambda_{-\theta k}u),$$

due to the semigroup properties of the Bessel potential. By the lifting property,

$$\Lambda_{-\theta k} : \Lambda^{\theta k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Lambda^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

hence $\Lambda_{-\theta k}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and by the preceding Lemma,

$$\left\| \Lambda_{(it-\theta)k}u \right\|_p \leq C (1 + 4\pi^2|tk|^2)^{n/2} \|\Lambda_{-\theta k}u\|_p < \infty,$$

and hence

$$\|f(it)\|_p \leq C e^{-t^2 + \theta^2} (1 + 4\pi^2|tk|^2)^{n/2} \|\Lambda_{-\theta k}u\|_p < \infty.$$

Observe that since $(1 + 4\pi^2|tk|^2)^{(n+1)/2}$ is a polynomial in t ,

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t^2 + \theta^2} (1 + 4\pi^2|tk|^2)^{n/2} = 0,$$

and hence

$$\|f(it)\|_p \rightarrow 0,$$

as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. For $j = 1$, we have that

$$\Lambda_{(1+it-\theta)k}u = \Lambda_{itk}(\Lambda_k(\Lambda_{-\theta k}u)),$$

and since

$$\Lambda^{\theta k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{-\theta k}} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\Lambda_k} W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$\Lambda_k(\Lambda_{-\theta k}u) = \Lambda_{(1-\theta)k}u \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, again by the preceding lemma and the fact that $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we get that

$$\|\Lambda_{(1+it-\theta)k}u\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq C' (1 + 4\pi^2|tk|^2)^{n/2} \|\Lambda_{(1-\theta)k}u\|_{W^{1,p}} < \infty,$$

so

$$\|f(1+it)\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq C' e^{-t^2 + (\theta-j)^2} (1 + 4\pi^2|tk|^2)^{n/2} \|\Lambda_{(1-\theta)k}u\|_{W^{1,p}} < \infty,$$

which tends to zero again when $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that $f \in \mathfrak{F}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and since

$$f(\theta) = \Lambda_0 u = u,$$

we have that $u \in [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta$, and hence

$$\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now we prove the reverse embedding. Let $v \in H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists $g \in \mathfrak{F}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, such that $g(\theta) = v$. We have to prove that $g(\theta) \in \Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which means that there exists $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\Lambda_s h = g(\theta).$$

Note that since $v = g(\theta)$,

$$\|g(j+it)\|_{W^{j,p}} < \infty, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, it is enough to prove that $h = \Lambda_{-s}g(\theta) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, but since Λ_{-s} maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself with bounded norm, $g(\theta) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have that

$$\|h\|_p = \|\Lambda_{-s}g(\theta)\|_p \leq C\|g(\theta)\|_p < \infty,$$

so $g(\theta) \in \Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad \square$$

We can extend the definition of complex interpolation spaces to negative exponents as

$$H^{-k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{-k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, p \in (1, \infty), \theta \in (0, 1),$$

where

$$W^{-k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (W^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

By **Theorem 2.5** we have the following natural result.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $s < 0$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then,*

$$\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with equivalence of the norms. Moreover, the space $H^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is reflexive for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be such that $s = k\theta$. Since $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the pair $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p})$ is regular, hence by **Theorem 2.5 (6)** we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* &= ([L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)])^* = [(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^*, (W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*]_{\theta} \\ &= [L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{-k,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{\theta} = H^{-k\theta,q}(\mathbb{R}^n), \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since by **Theorem 3.7**

$$\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

it only lasts to prove that $\Lambda^{-k\theta,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$, since $(\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = (H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = H^{-k\theta,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $g \in \Lambda^{-k\theta,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence there exists $g_{-k\theta} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\Lambda_{k\theta}g = g_{-k\theta}$, so $g = \Lambda_{-k\theta}g_{-k\theta}$. Now take $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_{k\theta} = \Lambda_{-k\theta}f \in \Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, in the sense of pairing of distributions and continuous linear functional

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, f \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_{-k\theta}g_{-k\theta}, \Lambda_{k\theta}f_{k\theta} \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}((1 + 4\pi^2|\xi^2|)^{k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}g_{-k\theta}(\xi)), \mathfrak{F}^{-1}((1 + 4\pi^2|\xi^2|)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}f_{k\theta}(\xi)) \rangle \\ &= \langle (1 + 4\pi^2|\xi^2|)^{k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}g_{-k\theta}(\xi), \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}^{-1}((1 + 4\pi^2|\xi^2|)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}f_{k\theta}(\xi))) \rangle \\ &= \langle (1 + 4\pi^2|\xi^2|)^{k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}g_{-k\theta}(\xi), \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{F}^{-1}((1 + 4\pi^2|\xi^2|)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}f_{k\theta}(-\xi))) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{F}g_{-k\theta}(\xi), \mathfrak{F}f_{k\theta}(-\xi) \rangle = \langle g_{-k\theta}(\xi), \mathfrak{F}^2f_{k\theta}(-\xi) \rangle = \langle g_{-k\theta}(\xi), f_{k\theta}(\xi) \rangle \leq \|g_{-k\theta}\|_q \|f_{k\theta}\|_p \\ &= \|g_{-k\theta}\|_q \|\Lambda_{-k\theta}f\|_p = \|g_{-k\theta}\|_q \|f\|_{\Lambda^{k\theta,p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by **Proposition 3.5**, we can extend this result for functions $f \in \Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence we can identify g with a continuous linear functional on $\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which means that

$$\Lambda^{-k\theta,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow (\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*.$$

Now, consider $v \in (\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so we can regard v as a tempered distribution and hence its Fourier transform is well defined. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence $\Lambda_{-k\theta}u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we define $u_{k\theta} = \Lambda_{-k\theta}u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Again, in the sense of pairings of distributions,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_{k\theta}v, u_{k\theta} \rangle &= \langle \mathfrak{F}^{-1}((1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}v), u_{k\theta} \rangle = \langle (1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}v, \mathfrak{F}^{-1}u_{k\theta}(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{F}v, (1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}u_{k\theta}(-\xi) \rangle = \langle v, \mathfrak{F}((1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}u_{k\theta}(-\xi)) \rangle \\ &= \langle v, \mathfrak{F}^{-1}((1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{-k\theta/2}\mathfrak{F}u_{k\theta}(\xi)) \rangle = \langle v, \Lambda_{k\theta}u_{k\theta} \rangle \leq \|v\|_{(\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \|\Lambda_{k\theta}u_{k\theta}\|_{\Lambda^{k\theta,p}} \\ &= \|v\|_{(\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \|u_{k\theta}\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we can extend the result to functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence $\Lambda_{k\theta}v \in (L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., $v \in \Lambda^{-k\theta,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so

$$(\Lambda^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{-k\theta,q}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Finally, take $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since both $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are reflexive, by **Theorem 2.5 (7)**, the space $H^{m\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is reflexive. \square

Henceforth, we shall denote $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in place of $\Lambda^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and refer to these as Bessel potential spaces, or simply Bessel spaces, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. We now summarize some fundamental properties of these spaces, which can be directly derived from established abstract interpolation results.

Proposition 3.10. *For every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the space $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is complete.*

Proof: For every compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) , the complex interpolation space $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is complete since \mathcal{C}_θ is an interpolation functor, hence the result follows from the completeness of the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense $H^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof: Given any compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) , the space $E_0 \cap E_1$ is dense in $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$ by **Proposition 2.5 (4)**. Since $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Let $0 < t < s$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then, we have the inclusion*

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof: Let k the least integer greater than s . By **Proposition 2.5 (2)** we know that if $E_0 \hookrightarrow E_1$ and $0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < 1$, then

$$[E_0, E_1]_{\theta_0} \hookrightarrow [E_0, E_1]_{\theta_1}.$$

Now, since $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $1 - s/k < 1 - t/k$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s/k} = [W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{1-s/k} \hookrightarrow [W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{1-t/k} \\ &= [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{t/k} = H^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality

$$[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s/k} = [W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{1-s/k},$$

follows from **Proposition 2.5 (1)**. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let $s = k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the spaces $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincide with the classical Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof: For any compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) , by **Proposition 2.5 (5)** we have that $[E_0, E_1]_j$ is a closed subspace of E_j with coincidence of the norms in $[E_0, E_1]_j$, $j = 0, 1$. In this case, it implies that

$$H^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_1 \hookrightarrow W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and since $E_0 \cap E_1 \hookrightarrow [E_0, E_1]_\theta$, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_1 = H^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

so $H^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalence of the norms. \square

Proposition 3.14. *Let $s > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then, the space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof: Suppose that $s = k\theta$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed and $u \in H^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By **Proposition 3.11**, the space $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{k\theta,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\cdot\|_{H^{s,p}} \leq \|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}}.$$

Hence, there exists $v \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|u - v\|_{H^{s,p}} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Also, since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|v - w\|_{W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Then, we have

$$\|u - w\|_{H^{s,p}} \leq \|u - v\|_{H^{s,p}} + \|v - w\|_{H^{s,p}} \leq \|u - w\|_{H^{s,p}} + \|v - w\|_{W^{k,p}} < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon,$$

so $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then, the space $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is separable.*

Proof: For $s > 0$, the result follows from the fact that subspaces of separable metric spaces are separable. Since $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is separable for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we get the desired result. Now, for $s < 0$, the result follows from the fact that Banach spaces with separable dual are separable. Since by **Proposition 3.9**

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (H^{-s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

and Bessel potential spaces are reflexive, hence since $H^{-s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (H^{-s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{**} = (H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ is separable, then $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s < 0$ is separable. For $s = 0$, separability follows from identification $H^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Now, we are going to prove several further results using finer results on the complex and real interpolation methods.

Theorem 3.16. (Real reiteration of Bessel spaces) *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$. Then,*

$$(H^{s,p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,p(\theta)} = H^{s,p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \frac{1}{p(\theta)} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

Proof: Since Λ_{-t} is an isomorphism between $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{s-t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Λ_{-s} is an isomorphism between $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is identified with $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, using this and the results on real interpolation of Lebesgue spaces [7, Theorem 5.2.1],

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{s,p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,p(\theta)} &= (\Lambda_{-s}H^{s,p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \Lambda_{-s}H^{s,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,p(\theta)} = (L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,p(\theta)} \\ &= L^{p(\theta),p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Lambda_{-s}H^{s,p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{aligned}$$

where the equalities are up to the equivalence of norms. \square

For the reiteration using the complex method, it is necessary to employ the concept of retraction and **Theorem 2.1**. The key is that the spaces $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are retracts of the Lebesgue spaces of the weighted sequences $L^p(\ell^{s,2})$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. This result is a direct consequence of Mihlin's multiplier theorem. Since it involves the Littlewood-Paley characterization of Bessel spaces, which is beyond the scope of this paper, we redirect the interested reader to [7, Theorem 6.4.3], which we rely on in the result.

Theorem 3.17. (Complex reiteration of Bessel spaces) *Let $0 < \theta < 1$, $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $s_0 \neq s_1$, $1 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$. Then,*

$$[H^{s_0, p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s_1, p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = H^{s(\theta), p(\theta)}, \quad s(\theta) = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1, \quad \frac{1}{p(\theta)} = \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

Proof: The case $p_0 = p_1$ follows easily from **Theorem 2.6**. If $s_0, s_1 \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [H^{s_0, p}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s_1, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta &= [[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s_0}, [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s_1}]_\theta \\ &= [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s(\theta)} = H^{s(\theta), p}(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

If $|s_0|, |s_1| > 1$, we obtain the result just interpolating with respect to the couple $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k, p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, with k being the least integer bigger than $|s_0|$ and $|s_1|$, and the interpolation parameters $|s'_i| = |s_i|/k$, $i = 0, 1$.

Now, for the general case $p_0 \neq p_1$ we have to use the fact that $H^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a retract of $L^p(\ell^{s, 2})$.

Theorem 2.1 and the fact that for any compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) ,

$$[L^{p_0}(E_0), L^{p_1}(E_1)]_\theta = L^{p(\theta)}([E_0, E_1]_\theta),$$

for any $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Also, since

$$[\ell^{s_0, q_0}, \ell^{s_1, q_1}]_\theta = \ell^{s(\theta), q(\theta)},$$

for any $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq \infty$, we have that

$$[L^{p_0}(\ell^{s_0, 2}), L^{p_1}(\ell^{s_1, 2})]_\theta = L^{p(\theta)}([\ell^{s_0, 2}, \ell^{s_1, 2}]_\theta) = L^{p(\theta)}(\ell^{s(\theta), 2}),$$

with the latest space being a coretract of $H^{s(\theta), p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so we conclude that

$$[H^{s_0, p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s_1, p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = H^{s(\theta), p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad \square$$

Remark 3.18. The latest theorem does not cover the cases $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$, since they are based on the reiteration theorem for the complex method and the Mihlin's multiplier theorem, as $H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are not covered by those results. However, if we fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$, by the lifting property of the Bessel potential, we have that

$$[H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = \Lambda_s[L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = \Lambda_s L^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s, \frac{1}{1-\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now, by **Theorem 3.17**, given $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} [H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta &= \left[H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n), [H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right]_\theta = [H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{\theta \frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &= H^{s, p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p(\theta)} = 1 - \theta \frac{p-1}{p} = (1 - \theta) + \frac{\theta}{p}.$$

And in a similar fashion,

$$\begin{aligned} [H^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta &= \left[[H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{\frac{p-1}{p}}, H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right]_\theta = [H^{s, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{(1-\theta)\frac{p-1}{p} + \theta} \\ &= H^{s, p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p(\theta)} = 1 - (1 - \theta) \frac{p-1}{p} - \theta = \frac{1 - \theta}{p}.$$

This should be compared with the results of Milman [49], where it was established that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$[W^{k,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = W^{k,p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \frac{1}{p(\theta)} = 1 - \theta + \frac{\theta}{p}.$$

However, there is a notable difference with the fractional case since the techniques of Milman does not extend to the case $p = \infty$. Recently, it was finally proved by Curca [24] that there is no possible extension to $p = \infty$ in the case of classical Sobolev spaces, solving the long standing question posed in 1984 by Jones (see [38]). This differs from the real method case, for which it was soon established by De Vore and Scherer [62] that it covers the case $p = \infty$

Corollary 3.19. (Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities for Bessel potential spaces) *Let $s_0 \neq s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists a positive constant C depending continuously on the parameters such that for every $u \in H^{s_0, p_0} \cap H^{s_1, p_1}$*

$$\|u\|_{H^{s(\theta), p(\theta)}} \leq C \|u\|_{H^{s_0, p_0}}^{1-\theta} \|u\|_{H^{s_1, p_1}}^\theta.$$

Proof: From the complex reiteration theorem

$$[H^{s_0, p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s_1, p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = H^{s(\theta), p(\theta)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and since the functor \mathcal{C}_θ is an interpolation functor of exponent θ , the result follows. \square

From **Remark 2.11** it also follows that for every $s \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p < \infty$

$$(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{s,1} \hookrightarrow H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow (L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{s,\infty}.$$

The interpolation spaces at the endpoints are identified with the large class of Besov spaces [41, Theorem 17.24].

3.2. Continuous Embeddings via Interpolation Theory

In this section, we will establish the Sobolev embeddings in the fractional case using the interpolation of linear operators and the well-known results for classical Sobolev spaces. Some of these embeddings have been known since the beginning of the modern theory of function spaces and were initially established for the general three-parameter family of *Triebel-Lizorkin* spaces $F_{p,q}^s$, where $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. These spaces are connected to $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via the Littlewood-Paley theory. Specifically, $F_{p,2}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. However, the proofs (see [61, Theorem 2.8.1], for instance) often rely on indirect arguments, such as the Littlewood-Paley characterization of Bessel potential spaces. More recently, in [55], these embeddings were established using the Riesz fractional gradient and well-known estimates for the Riesz potential. Our proofs are entirely based on the classical embeddings for the endpoints of the interpolation scale and the properties of the complex method, making them particularly interesting from a qualitative perspective.

The first one is the embedding of $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into the space $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $sp = n$. This result is of interest in its own right, and it will be very applied in the proof of the Fractional Sobolev embedding.

Theorem 3.20. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p < \infty$ such that $sp = n$. Then, we have*

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof: Consider the operator

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n); u \mapsto u,$$

where $\mu = 1 - n/p$. This mapping is well defined and is an admissible operator since $T|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is just the identity map for $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in \mathcal{L}(W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ since $p > n$ (because $sp = n$), Morrey's inequality holds (see [9, Theorem 9.12]), thus we have that $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, $T : u \mapsto u$ is a bounded linear map (in fact, it is an inclusion operator) from $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s$ to $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s$. The couple $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ can be identified with a compatible couple of *Morrey-Campanato* spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq n + p$, (see [52]) the space of locally $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions f such that

$$|f|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\lambda/p} \left(\int_{B(x_0,r)} |f(x) - f_{B(x_0,r)}|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $\lambda = n$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $\lambda > n$, $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda - n}{p},$$

(see [42, Theorem 7.1]). Since $sp = n$ for some $s \in (0, 1)$, in particular $p > n$, so $C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$ with

$$\lambda = p\mu + n = p(1 - n/p) + n = p,$$

and hence the couple $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is equivalent to the couple $(\mathcal{L}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{L}^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, for which interpolation properties are well known (see the introduction in [8]). Then, from the previous discussion

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s \hookrightarrow [\mathcal{L}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{L}^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p(s),\lambda(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p(s)} = \frac{1-s}{p} + \frac{s}{p} = \frac{1}{p} \implies p(s) = p,$$

and

$$\lambda(s) = (1-s)0 + sp = sp.$$

Since $sp = n$, we have that $\mathcal{L}^{p,sp}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to the space $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so we conclude that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.21. (Fractional Sobolev embedding theorem) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then:*

1. *Subcritical case.- If $sp < n$, then*

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad q \in [p, p_s^*],$$

where

$$p_s^* = \frac{np}{n - sp},$$

is the fractional Sobolev conjugate exponent.

2. *Critical case.*- If $sp = n$, then

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad q \in [p, \infty).$$

3. *Supercritical case or Morrey's embedding.*- If $sp > n$, then

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < \mu \leq \mu_s^*.$$

Proof:

1. We will study three different cases according to the relationship of n and p .

- If $n > p$, consider the operator

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n); u \mapsto u,$$

where

$$p^* = \frac{np}{n-p},$$

is well defined. Clearly $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ since $T|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is just the identity map. Also, $T \in \mathcal{L}(W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ since it is well known that $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [9, Theorem 9.9], with norm less or equal to some constant C depending only on p and n). Hence by $T : u \mapsto u$ is an admissible operator from $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and since \mathcal{C}_θ is an exact interpolation functor, T is a bounded linear map (in fact it is an inclusion operator) from $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s$ to $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p^*}]_s$, and since

$$[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = L^{p(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p(s)} = \frac{1-s}{p} + \frac{s}{p^*} \implies p(s) = \frac{np}{n-sp} = p_s^*,$$

we have that

$$\|u\|_{p_s^*} \leq C' \|u\|_{H^{s,p}},$$

for some constant C' depending on n, p and s , thus

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- If $p = n$, proceeding as in the previous case, interpolating the operator

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n); u \mapsto u,$$

we would get that $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, note that $p^* = \infty$, and it is known that the embedding $W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ fails to hold, so we need a suitable space replacing $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consider the space $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (**Examples 2.7** iii)). We know that

$$[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = L^{q(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\frac{1}{q(s)} = \frac{1-s}{p}$, and since $p = n$,

$$p_s^* = \frac{np}{n-sp} = \frac{np}{n-sn} = \frac{p}{1-s} = q(s),$$

so

$$[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now, since $W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [10]), the operator

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is an admissible operator (in fact, it is an inclusion operator), and therefore we conclude that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s \hookrightarrow [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- If $p > n$, there exists $t \in (s, 1)$ such that $tp = n$. Now, consider the operator

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + H^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which is an admissible inclusion operator, since by **Theorem 3.20**

$$H^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then, T is a bounded linear operator (inclusion) between $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s/t}$ and $[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s/t}$. By **Theorem 3.17**,

$$[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s/t} = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and since,

$$[L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{s/t} = L^q(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 - s/t}{p} \implies q = \frac{p}{1 - \frac{s}{t}} = \frac{np}{n - n\frac{s}{t}}.$$

Note that $tp = n$, hence

$$q = \frac{np}{n - tp\frac{s}{t}} = \frac{np}{n - sp} = p_s^*,$$

thus

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now, let $p < q < p_s^*$. Since $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta,$$

for any $0 < \theta < 1$. Taking

$$\theta = \frac{p_s^*(q - p)}{q(p_s^* - p)},$$

yields

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

2. By **Proposition 3.12**,

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{s-\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, s)$. Since $sp = n$, we have that

$$0 < (s - \varepsilon)p = sp - \varepsilon p = n - \varepsilon p < n,$$

hence by the subcritical case,

$$H^{s-\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{s-\varepsilon}^*}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$p_{s-\varepsilon}^* = \frac{np}{n - (s - \varepsilon)p}.$$

Since the function $\varepsilon \mapsto p_{s-\varepsilon}^*$ is a continuous function mapping $(0, s)$ to (p, ∞) , we have that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

for every $q \in (p, \infty)$. The case $p = q$ is trivial since $H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subspace of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3. Analogously as in the proof of **Theorem 3.20**, we get that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s \hookrightarrow [\mathcal{L}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{L}^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p(s),\lambda(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p(s)} = \frac{1-s}{p} + \frac{s}{p} = \frac{1}{p} \implies p(s) = p,$$

and

$$\lambda(s) = (1-s)0 + sp = sp.$$

Since $sp > n$, we have that $\mathcal{L}^{p,sp}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to the space $C^{0,\mu_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\mu_s^* = \frac{sp - n}{p} = s - n/p > 0,$$

so we conclude that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,s-n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now, take $0 < \mu \leq 1 - n/p$. Since $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}^{p,p\mu+n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the same argument yields that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda_s(\mu)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$\lambda_s(\mu) = (1-s)0 + s(p\mu + n).$$

To have the equivalence with a Hölder space we need that $\lambda_s(\mu) > n$, so we need to restrict ourselves to $\mu \in \left(\frac{n(1-s)}{sp}, 1 - n/p\right)$. In that case

$$\mathcal{L}^{p,s(p\mu+n)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^{0,\alpha_s(\mu)}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \alpha_s(\mu) = \frac{sp\mu + sn - n}{p},$$

with equivalence of norms. The function $\mu \mapsto \alpha_s(\mu)$ is a continuous function such that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \left(\frac{n(1-s)}{sp}\right)^+} \alpha_s(\mu) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow (1-n/p)} \alpha_s(\mu) = \frac{sp(1 - n/p) + sn - n}{p} = \frac{sp - n}{p} = s - \frac{n}{p} = \mu_s^*,$$

and hence it maps continuously $\left(\frac{n(1-s)}{sp}, 1 - n/p\right)$ into $(0, \mu_s^*)$, so

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \mu_s^*.$$

□

With these results in mind and using the lifting property for the Bessel potential, we can prove the following general embedding theorem between Bessel spaces.

Theorem 3.22. (Fractional Sobolev embedding theorem) *Let $s, t \in (0, 1)$ with $t < s$, and $1 < p < \infty$ such that*

$$q := \frac{np}{n - (s - t)p},$$

is well defined. Then, we have the embedding

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{t,q}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof: We have that $s > t$, so there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $s = t + \varepsilon$. Since q is well defined by hypothesis, by **Theorem 3.21** we have that

$$H^{\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p_\varepsilon^*},$$

but note that

$$p_\varepsilon^* = \frac{np}{n - \varepsilon p} = \frac{np}{n - (s - t)p} = q,$$

hence

$$H^{\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is identified as $H^{0,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, using the fact that Λ_t is an isomorphism from $H^{\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{t+\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and from $H^{0,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{t,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that

$$H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{t,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \square$$

3.3. Relationship Between Bessel and Gagliardo Spaces

In the initial discussion of this section, we explored various spaces that aim to generalize Sobolev spaces to the fractional case, specifically the spaces $W^{s,p}$ (see **Examples 2.4 ii**), commonly referred to as Fractional Sobolev spaces. These spaces are crucial in the study of partial differential equations. Similar to Bessel spaces, these spaces have been introduced under different names in the literature, honoring mathematicians such as Aronszajn [2], Gagliardo [30], and Slobodeckij [57], who independently developed them in the late 1950s. We will refer to them as Gagliardo spaces, as they are characterized by the Gagliardo seminorm. This terminology helps distinguish them from Bessel potential spaces. The concept behind Gagliardo spaces is to extend the Hölder condition to L^p -functions using the Gagliardo seminorm, which measures the fractional differentiability of a function u for the parameter $s \in (0, 1)$. The identification of $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with real interpolation spaces shows a close relationship between Gagliardo and Bessel spaces, despite their apparent differences. From an interpolation perspective, they are real and complex fractional Sobolev spaces, respectively. The first significant result linking these spaces is the well-known fact that they coincide in the Hilbertian case $p = 2$, a result that can be demonstrated through Harmonic Analysis and, in turn, is also a direct consequence of **Theorem 2.10**.

Theorem 3.23. (Coincidence of Bessel and Gagliardo spaces on the Hilbertian case) *For every $s \in (0, 1)$ we have that*

$$H^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with equivalence of norms.

Proof: By **Remark 2.11**, we have that

$$K_{\theta,2} = \mathcal{C}_\theta, \quad \theta \in (0, 1),$$

when restricted to the category of Hilbert spaces. Since $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are Hilbert spaces, we have that

$$H^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = (L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{s,2} = W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad \square$$

This is the only case that both spaces coincide for every fractional parameter s . However, they are very close to each other in the following sense.

Theorem 3.24. (Contiguity of Bessel and Gagliardo spaces) *Let $0 < s_0 < s < s_1 < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then we have*

$$H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof: Given $\theta \in (0, 1)$, by **Theorem 2.3** we have that

$$(H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,p} = (L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{s(\theta),p} = W^{s(\theta),p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $s(\theta) = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$. If we choose

$$\theta = \frac{s - s_0}{s_1 - s_0},$$

then $s(\theta) = s$ and hence $(H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,p} = W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $s_0 < s_1$, we have that

$$H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) + H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow (H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,p} \\ &= W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) + H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s_0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 3.25. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p < \infty$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that*

$$H^{s+\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{s-\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Deeper into the relationship between Bessel and Gagliardo spaces, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.26. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Then,*

$$\begin{cases} W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), & 1 < p \leq 2, \\ H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), & 2 \leq p < \infty, \end{cases}$$

with strict inclusions unless $p = 2$.

Proof: Let $1 < p \leq 2$. Since $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of p -type and $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphic to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then it is also of p -type, and hence by **Theorem 2.10**

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{s,p} \hookrightarrow [L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Analogously, given $2 \leq q < \infty$, since $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are of p -type, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$, we have that

$$H^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_s \hookrightarrow (L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,q} = W^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad \square$$

This connection between Bessel and Gagliardo spaces will allow us to obtain many important results for Bessel spaces that are easier to prove in the case of Gagliardo spaces, such as compactness results, which are easily proven for Gagliardo spaces since one-sided compactness of admissible operators is preserved by real interpolation as it was finally proved by Cwikel in [27] and by Cobos et al. in [21]. Meanwhile, the analogous case for the complex method remains as an open problem; see [28] for a survey on the problem.

An important problem that we have not studied is the pointwise multiplication of functions in generalized Sobolev spaces. Let $s_0, s_1 \in (0, 1)$ and $1 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$, and let X represent either W or H . Given $u_j \in X^{s_j, p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $j = 0, 1$, what can be inferred about the product $u_0 u_1$? It is anticipated that $u_0 u_1 \in X^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for appropriately chosen parameters s and p . This classical problem has numerous applications in the modern theory of partial differential equations (PDEs) and is traditionally tackled using Littlewood-Paley theory, as well as Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. However, we have not explored this problem from the perspective of interpolation theory, as it is comprehensively addressed in the remarkable work of A. Behzadan and M. Holst [4].

3.4. An open problem: are $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Interpolation Spaces?

An interesting open problem of proving or disproving whether the space $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an interpolation space from a suitable couple [56, Open problem 1.5]. This comes from the question of characterizing the space of functions such that $D^s u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $D^s u$ is the Riesz fractional gradient of order s [55]. One would be tempted to try to use the real interpolation method; the most suitable couples are $(L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $(L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \dot{W}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, with $\dot{W}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Real interpolation ([41, Theorems 17.24 and 17.30]) yields

$$(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{1/2,1} = B_{1,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \dot{W}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{1/2,1} = \dot{B}_{1,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which are Besov spaces that are different from $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The other option is to use complex interpolation of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular

$$[F_{1/2,2}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n), F_{3/2,2}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)]_{1/2} = F_{1,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is known that $F_{p,2}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, however, there is no known relationship for the case $p = 1$. About the relationship between $H^{s,1}$ and $F_{1,2}^s$, we have the following observation by O. Domínguez [29]. By simplicity, we suppose that we are working in the torus \mathbb{T}^n , however, there are analogous results for \mathbb{R}^n . The Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ satisfies that

$$L \log L(\mathbb{T}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

This follows with the characterization of $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as the space of integrable functions with integrable Hilbert transform and the fact that the Hilbert transform of a function belonging to $L \log L(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is integrable. Applying the Fourier multiplier $m(x_j) = |x_j|^s$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, to the embeddings, we deduce that

$$H^s(L \log L) \hookrightarrow F_{1,2}^s(\mathbb{T}^n) \hookrightarrow H^s(L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)) = H^{s,1}(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

where we have used that the multiplier acts as a lifting and $F_{1,2}^0 = \mathcal{H}^1$. Hence, the difference between $F_{1,2}^s$ and $H^{s,1}$ is a logarithmic perturbation. Note that these embeddings are optimal in the following sense. Modulo lifting, they are reduced to

$$L \log L(\mathbb{T}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

and by duality, it yields

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{T}^n) \hookrightarrow e^{L(\mathbb{T}^n)},$$

which is optimal due to the John-Nirenberg's inequality.

Moreover, the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces coincide with the so-called "Hardy-based Sobolev spaces" $h^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [39]), defined for $0 < p < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It was established in [48] that $h^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = F_{p,2}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, and hence by interpolation we can obtain the space $h^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, as far as we are aware, there is no known relationship between $h^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by *Agencia Estatal de Investigación* (Spain) through grant PID2023-151823NB-I00 and *Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha* (Spain) through grant SB-PLY/23/180225/000023. G.G.-S. is supported by a Doctoral Fellowship by Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha 2024-UNIVERS-12844-404. We thank O. Domínguez for several conversations on the subject of this paper and in particular for his insight on the case $p = 1$, and J. Illescas-Fiorito for his significant help while preparing this L^AT_EX-manuscript.

A. Proof of Theorem 2.10

We briefly present another equivalent real interpolation method that we will require for the proof, the Method of Means or *Espaces de moyennes*. The historical development of real interpolation is different: Lions and Peetre first introduced the method of means in their celebrated work [36], and then it was proved that it was in fact equivalent with other methods introduced afterwards by Gagliardo, Oklander and Peetre (see [7, Notes and Comment 3.14]), in particular it is equivalent to the K -method (see [7, Theorem 3.12.1]).

Let E a Banach space, $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a μ -measurable and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We denote by $L^p(U, E, \mu)$ the space of all strongly μ -measurable E -valued functions $u : U \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^p(U, E, \mu)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty \|u(t)\|_E^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} \|u(t)\|_E, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now, for a compatible couple of Banach spaces (E_0, E_1) , given $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$, we denote by $\mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (p_0, p_1), \theta)$ the space of all $x \in E_0 + E_1$ such that there exists a strongly measurable function $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow E_0 \cap E_1$ for the dt/t measure, such that

$$x = \int_0^\infty \frac{u(t)}{t} dt,$$

with convergence in $E_0 + E_1$, and such that

$$\left\| t^{j-\theta} u \right\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_j, dt/t)} < \infty, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

where the norm of x is the infimum over all such functions u of

$$\max_{j=0,1} \left(\left\| t^{j-\theta} u \right\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_j, dt/t)} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.10: In fact, we will show that

$$\mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1, \cdot), (p_0, p_1), \theta) \hookrightarrow [E_0, E_1]_\theta \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1, \cdot), (q_0, q_1), \theta),$$

and then we will use the equivalence between the means method and the K -method. Let $x \in (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)} = \mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (p_0, p_1), \theta)$. Then, there exists a strongly measurable function $u(t) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow E_0 \cap E_1$ such that

$$x = \int_0^\infty \frac{u(t)}{t} dt,$$

with convergence in $E_0 + E_1$ such that

$$\|x\|_{\mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (p_0, p_1), \theta)} \leq \left\| t^{-\theta} u \right\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_0, dt/t)} + \left\| t^{1-\theta} u \right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_1, dt/t)} < \infty.$$

We fix a bounded interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ and take $v(t) = u(t)\chi_I(t)$ and

$$y = \int_0^\infty \frac{v(t)}{t} dt = \int_I \frac{u(t)}{t} dt.$$

We denote

$$f(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-\theta} \frac{v(t)}{t} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

the Mellin transform of $t^{-\theta}v(t)$. The function f is analytic in \mathbb{C} and $f(\theta) = y$. Making the change of variables $t = e^{-2\pi s}$, we observe that

$$f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty (e^{-2\pi s})^{z-\theta} v(e^{-2\pi s}) \frac{2\pi e^{-2\pi s}}{e^{-2\pi s}} ds = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-2\pi s z} e^{2\pi s \theta} v(e^{-2\pi s}) ds.$$

Now, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(ir) &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-2\pi i s r} e^{2\pi s \theta} v(e^{-2\pi s}) ds = 2\pi \mathcal{F}\{e^{2\pi s \theta} v(e^{-2\pi s})\}(r), \\ f(1+ir) &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-2\pi i s r} e^{-2\pi s(1-\theta)} v(e^{-2\pi s}) ds = 2\pi \mathcal{F}\{e^{-2\pi s(1-\theta)} v(e^{-2\pi s})\}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Since $t^{j-\theta}u(t) \in L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_j, dt/t)$, $j = 0, 1$, and the change $t = e^{-2\pi s}$ transforms the measure dt/t into $-2\pi ds$, we have that $e^{-2\pi s(j-\theta)}v(e^{-2\pi s}) \in L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_j, ds)$, $j = 0, 1$, and since E_j is of p_j -type, $f(j+ir) \in L^{q_j}(R^+, E_j, dr) = L^{q_j}(E_j)$, $j = 0, 1$. Now, using the inequality

$$\|f(\theta)\|_\theta \leq C(\theta) \left(\|f(ir)\|_{L^{q_0}(E_0)} + \|f(1+ir)\|_{L^{q_1}(E_1)} \right),$$

where $C(\theta)$ is a constant depending on θ , we have that

$$\|y\|_\theta \leq C(\theta)' \left(\left\| t^{-\theta} v \right\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_0, dt/t)} + \left\| t^{1-\theta} v \right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_1, dt/t)} \right),$$

and taking the infimum over all possible representations $v(t)$, we get that

$$\|y\|_\theta \leq C(\theta)' \|y\|_{\mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (p_0, p_1), \theta)},$$

where the constant $C(\theta)'$ does not depend on the representation $v(t)$ or the fixed interval I . Now, we take some increasing family of intervals I_m such that $I_m \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and denote $v_m(t) = u(t)\chi_{I_m}(t)$,

$$y_m = \int_{I_k} \frac{u(t)}{t} dt,$$

for every m . Since the integral

$$x = \int_0^\infty \frac{u(t)}{t} dt$$

converges in $E_0 + E_1$, the sequence $\{y_m\}$ converges to x in $E_0 + E_1$, and by the previous calculations

$$\|y_m\|_\theta \leq C(\theta)' \|y_m\|_{\mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (p_0, p_1), \theta)},$$

for every m , so the sequence $\{y_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$, and by the completeness of complex interpolation spaces it must converge to some limit $y \in [E_0, E_1]_\theta$. Since $[E_0, E_1]_\theta \hookrightarrow E_0 + E_1$, we have that $y = x$, and hence

$$\|x\|_\theta \leq C(\theta)' \|x\|_{\mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (p_0, p_1), \theta)} \implies (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)} = \mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (p_0, p_1), \theta) \hookrightarrow [E_0, E_1]_\theta.$$

Conversely, let $x \in [E_0, E_1]_\theta$. Then, there exists $g \in \mathfrak{F}(\overline{E})$ such that $g(\theta) = x$. Furthermore, we can replace $g(z)$ by a function $G(z) = g(z)h(z)$ where $h(z)$ is a rapidly decreasing analytic complex-valued function such that $h(\theta) = 1$, for example taking

$$G(z) = g(z)e^{-(z-\theta)^2}.$$

Then, clearly we have $G(j + it) \in L^{p_j}(E_j)$, $j = 0, 1$. We define

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_a} t^{\theta-z} G(z) dz,$$

where γ_a is the line parameterized by $\{a + is : -\infty < s < \infty\}$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$. The function u only takes positive values $t > 0$ (indeed, is the inverse Mellin transform of $t^\theta G(z)$). In the extremal cases $a = 0$ and $a = 1$ we obtain, respectively,

$$t^{j-\theta} u(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^{-is} G(j + is) ds, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Proceeding analogously as in the previous case, we could connect directly the functions $t^{j-\theta} u(t)$ with the Fourier transform of $G(j + it)$, $j = 0, 1$, and since E_j is of p_j -type, we obtain

$$\left\| t^{j-\theta} u(t) \right\|_{L^{q_j}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_j, dt/t)} \leq C \|G(j + is)\|_{L^{p_j}(E_j)} \leq C' \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|g(j + is)\|_{E_j}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

where C' is a positive constant. Now, taking $x = \theta$ in the expression of $u(t)$ yields

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_\theta} t^{\theta-z} G(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty t^{-is} G(\theta + is) ds$$

and taking $t = e^{2\pi r}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$u(e^{2\pi r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-2\pi i r s} G(\theta + is) ds = (2\pi)^{-1} \mathfrak{F}\{G(\theta + is)\}(r),$$

thus

$$x = G(\theta) = G(\theta + i0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F}\{G(\theta + is)\}(r) dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\pi u(e^{2\pi r}) dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{u(t)}{t} dt.$$

So, we have that there exists a strongly measurable function $u(t) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow E_0 \cap E_1$ such that x admits the representation

$$x = \int_0^\infty \frac{u(t)}{t} dt,$$

with convergence in $E_0 + E_1$, such that

$$\left\| t^{j-\theta} u(t) \right\|_{L^{q_j}(\mathbb{R}^+, E_j, dt/t)} < \infty, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

i.e., $x \in \mathbf{S}((E_0, E_1), (q_0, q_1), \theta) = (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q(\theta)}$, hence

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p(\theta)} \hookrightarrow [E_0, E_1]_{\theta} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q(\theta)},$$

as we wanted to prove. □

References

- [1] R.A. Adams. *Sobolev Spaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] N. Aronszajn. Boundary values of functions with finite dirichlet integral. *Techn. Report Univ. of Kansas*, 14:77–94, 1955.
- [3] N. Aronszajn and K.T. Smith. Theory of bessel potentials. i. *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, 11:385–475, 1961.
- [4] A. Behzadan and M. Holst. Multiplication in sobolev spaces, revisited. *Arkiv för Matematik*, 59(2):275–306, 2021.
- [5] J.C. Bellido, J. Cueto, and C. Mora-Corral. Fractional piola identity and polyconvexity in fractional spaces. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 37(4):955–981, 2020.
- [6] J.C. Bellido, J. Cueto, and C. Mora-Corral. γ -convergence of polyconvex functionals involving s-fractional gradients to their local counterparts. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 60(Art. 7):1643–1670, 2021.
- [7] J. Bergh and J. Löfström. *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1976.
- [8] O. Blasco, A. Ruiz, and L. Vega. Non interpolation in Morrey-Campanato and block spaces. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze*, Ser. 4, 28(1):31–40, 1999.
- [9] H. Brezis. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, New York, 2010.
- [10] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg. Degree theory and bmo; part i: Compact manifolds without boundaries. *Selecta Mathematica*, 1(2):197–263, 1995.
- [11] Y.A. Brudnyi and N.Y. Krugljak. *Interpolation functors and interpolation spaces*. North-Holland mathematical library ; v. 47. North-Holland, Amsterdam ;, 1991.
- [12] E. Brué, M. Calzi, G.E. Comi, and G. Stefani. A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics II. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 360(G6):589–626, 2022.
- [13] A.P. Calderón. Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions and distributions. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 4:33–49, 1961.
- [14] A.P. Calderón. Intermediate spaces and interpolation. *Studia Mathematica*, Special Series(1):31–34, 1963.

- [15] A.P. Calderón. Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method. *Studia Mathematica*, 24(2):113–190, 1964.
- [16] P.M. Campos. Bessel potentials and Lions-Calderón spaces. *Bol. Soc. Port. Mat.*, (80):21–38, 2022.
- [17] P.M. Campos and J.F. Rodrigues. On fractional and classical hyperbolic obstacle-type problems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 16(12):3813–3836, 2023.
- [18] P.M. Campos and J.F. Rodrigues. Unilateral problems for quasilinear operators with fractional riesz gradients, 2023.
- [19] P.M. Campos and J.F. Rodrigues. An implicit two-phase obstacle-type problem for the p -laplacian with the fractional gradient, 2024.
- [20] J. Castillo. The hitchhiker guide to categorical banach space theory. i. *Extracta Mathematicae*, 25, 01 2010.
- [21] F. Cobos, T. Kühn, and T. Schonbek. One-sided compactness results for aronszajn-gagliardo functors. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 106(2):274–313, 1992.
- [22] G.E. Comi and G. Stefani. A distributional approach to fractional sobolev spaces and fractional variation: Existence of blow-up. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 277(10):3373–3435, 2019.
- [23] G.E. Comi and G. Stefani. A distributional approach to fractional sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics i. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 36(2):491–569, 2023.
- [24] E. Curcă. On the interpolation of the spaces $(w^{l,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $w^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 40(3):931–986, 2024.
- [25] M. Cwikel. On $(l^{p_0}(a_0), l^{p_1}(a_1))_{\theta,q}$. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 44(1):286–292, 1974.
- [26] M. Cwikel. Complex interpolation spaces, a discrete definition and reiteration. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 27(6):1005–1009, 1978.
- [27] M. Cwikel. Real and complex interpolation and extrapolation of compact operators. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 65(2):333–343, 1992.
- [28] M. Cwikel and R. Rochberg. Lecture notes on complex interpolation of compactness, 2014.
- [29] O. Domínguez. Private communication. Private communication, 2025. Private communication.
- [30] E. Gagliardo. Proprieta di alcune classi di funzioni in piu variabili. *Ricerche di Matematica*, 7(1):102–137, 1958.
- [31] G. García-Sáez. Interpolation theory and function spaces. Master’s thesis, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Ciudad Real, 2024. Available at <https://hdl.handle.net/10578/39823>.
- [32] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Springer New York, NY, 2014.
- [33] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier Analysis*. Springer New York, NY, 2014.

- [34] C. Hao. *Lecture Notes on Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2016.
- [35] S. Janson and P.W. Jones. Interpolation between hp spaces: The complex method. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 48(1):58–80, 1982.
- [36] J.L.Lions and J. Peetre. Sur une classe d’espaces d’interpolation. *Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 19:5–68, 1964.
- [37] F. John and L. Nirenberg. On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14(3):415–426, 1961.
- [38] P.W. Jones. Complex interpolation between sobolev spaces. In *Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book, 199 Research Problems*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1984.
- [39] N. Kalton, S. Mayboroda, and M. Mitrea. Interpolation of hardy-sobolev-besov-triebel-lizorkin spaces and applications to problems in partial differential equations. In *Interpolation Theory and Applications*, volume 445 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 121–177. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [40] G.E. Karadzov. An interpolation method of ‘means’ for quasi-normed spaces. *Sov. Math., Dokl.*, 14:319–322, 1973.
- [41] G. Leoni. *A First Course in Sobolev Spaces*. American Mathematical Society, 2017.
- [42] G. Leoni. *A First Course in Fractional Sobolev Spaces*. American Mathematical Society, 2023.
- [43] J.L. Lions. Une construction d’espaces d’interpolation. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris*, 251(1853):1855, 1960.
- [44] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes. Problemi ai limiti non omogenei (iii). *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze*, 15(1-2):41–103, 1961.
- [45] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications Vol.1*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1972.
- [46] C.W.K. Lo and J.F. Rodrigues. On a class of nonlocal obstacle type problems related to the distributional Riesz fractional derivative. *Port. Math.*, 80(1-2):157–205, 2023.
- [47] A. Lunardi. *Interpolation Theory*. Edizioni della Normale Pisa, 2018.
- [48] S. Mayboroda and M. Mitrea. Sharp estimates for green potentials on non-smooth domains. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 11(4):481–492, 2004.
- [49] M. Milman. Fourier type and complex interpolation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (89):246–248, 1983.
- [50] Y. Mizuta. *Potential theory in Euclidean spaces*, volume 6 of *GAKUTO International Series. Mathematical Sciences and Applications*. GakkBotosho Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1996.
- [51] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci. Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional sobolev spaces. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 136(5):521–573, 2012.
- [52] J. Peetre. On the theory of $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 4:71–87, 1969.

- [53] J. Peetre. Sur la transformation de fourier des fonctions á valeurs vectorielles. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 42:15–26, 1969.
- [54] M. Schechter. Complex interpolation. *Compositio Mathematica*, 18(1-2):117–147, 1967.
- [55] T. Shieh and D.E. Spector. On a new class of fractional partial differential equations. *Advances in Calculus of Variations*, 8(4):321–336, 2015.
- [56] T. Shieh and D.E. Spector. On a new class of fractional partial differential equations ii. *Advances in Calculus of Variations*, 11(3):289–307, 2018.
- [57] L.N. Slobodeckij. Generalized sobolev spaces and their applications to boundary value problems of partial differential equations. *Leningrad. Gos. Ped. Inst. Ucep. Zap*, 197:54–122, 1958.
- [58] C. Fefferman E.M. Stein. Hp spaces of several variables. *Acta Mathematica*, 129:137–193, 1972.
- [59] M.E. Taylor. *Partial Differential Equations III: Nonlinear Equations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York, 2010.
- [60] H. Triebel. *Theory of Function Spaces II*. Birkhäuser Basel, 1992.
- [61] H. Triebel. *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*. Leipzig: Barth, 2nd rev. a. enl. ed. edition, 1995.
- [62] R. DeVore and K. Scherer. Interpolation of linear operators on Sobolev spaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, 109:583–599, 1979.
- [63] M. Šilhavý. Fractional vector analysis based on invariance requirements (critique of coordinate approaches). *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, 32(1):207–228, 2020.