

# Studying Bremsstrahlung in Polarized Background Field at EIC and EicC

Cong Li<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Information Engineering, Zhejiang Ocean University, Zhoushan, Zhejiang, China*

Within the framework of quantum field theory, we have studied bremsstrahlung radiation in polarized electromagnetic fields. It reveals that the resulting photon beams are polarized, reflecting the properties of the background field. We propose to observe this phenomenon via the Bethe-Heitler process and provide numerical estimates at the Electron-Ion Collider and the Electron-Ion Collider in China. This study introduces a novel approach to probing the structure of polarized background fields through bremsstrahlung radiation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the framework of quantum field theory, we analyze the phenomenon of bremsstrahlung radiation generated when a charged particle traverses a polarized electromagnetic field. This investigation reveals that the radiation produced in such interactions is intrinsically polarized, a property tied to the structure of the background field. Building on this insight, we propose a method to observe this phenomenon via the Bethe-Heitler process and provide numerical estimates for its experimental realization in high-energy facilities such as the Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) and the Electron-Ion Collider in China (EicC). This work offers a novel approach to probing polarized background fields and highlights how measurements of bremsstrahlung radiation can serve as a powerful tool to characterize field polarization.

The study of bremsstrahlung radiation in polarized fields is crucial for understanding the structure and dynamics of high-energy interactions. For example, angular asymmetries in photon emission during the Bethe-Heitler (BH) process could provide direct insights into the polarization state of the background field, revealing medium-specific properties that are otherwise difficult to access using traditional methods. Despite its importance, much of the existing literature relies on simplified models that assume static or unpolarized fields and neglect quantum-level effects [1–6]. Our work bridges this gap by incorporating both quantum interactions and perturbative corrections, including effects such as multiple scatterings and soft radiation. These corrections substantially alter the radiation spectrum, providing a more realistic framework for analyzing emission properties. To the best of our knowledge, our research is the first to propose the study of bremsstrahlung in polarized background fields within high-energy collider experiments. Previous studies have primarily focused on strong-field QED, electron radiation in intense laser fields [4–6], and high-energy

electron radiation in ordered crystals [7–10].

Another critical aspect of this study lies in its experimental feasibility. Polarized background fields, such as those generated in Ultra-Peripheral Collisions (UPC) [11, 12], provide an ideal testing ground for our proposed methodology. While current experimental setups face challenges in directly measuring photon polarization, our approach circumvents these limitations by inferring field polarization through angular asymmetry in photon emission. This method makes the experimental observation of polarized radiation much more accessible.

The broader implications of this work extend beyond collider experiments. The proposed framework opens up possibilities for studying nuclear structure, such as examining bremsstrahlung in the Color Glass Condensate [13, 14]. Moreover, bremsstrahlung radiation influenced by polarized fields has significant applications in astrophysics, such as interpreting gamma-ray emissions in interstellar environments [15, 16]. By advancing theoretical models and proposing experimentally viable detection methods, this study provides a comprehensive foundation for exploring the dynamics of charged particles in polarized fields. Ultimately, these findings contribute to ongoing research initiatives at high-energy facilities such as the EIC, EicC, and beyond, while offering insights relevant to both laboratory environments and astrophysical phenomena.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the parameterization of photon propagators within polarized background fields, providing the explicit form of the propagators in such fields. Section III offers numerical estimates of the azimuthal asymmetry in the Bethe-Heitler process at EIC and EicC, highlighting the impact of Coulomb corrections and Sudakov factors. Finally, Section IV concludes with a discussion of the implications of our findings and potential directions for future research.

## II. PHOTON PROPAGATORS IN POLARIZED BACKGROUND FIELDS

In this study, we primarily investigate the BH process in the EIC and EicC. During the electron-ion collision, the electron absorbs a photon from the nuclear field and emits a final-state photon. As the electron traverses the external electromagnetic field of the nucleus, it undergoes multiple scatterings with the background field. Simultaneously, if the electron radiates a real photon, this process is also influenced by the background field. As mentioned earlier, the nuclear external photon field is polarized [11, 14, 17, 18], and the deceleration of the electron in this polarized background field, leading to photon emission, is the central theme of our study—bremsstrahlung in a polarized background field.

For the BH process in electron-ion collisions, it can be factorized into two parts: the hard part and the photon transverse momentum-dependent (TMD) distribution function describing the nuclear external photon distribution. In general, the photon correlator can be parameterized as follows [17, 19, 20],

$$\int \frac{2dy^- d^2y_\perp}{xP^+(2\pi)^3} e^{ik \cdot y} \langle P | F_{+\perp}^\mu(0) F_{+\perp}^\nu(y) | P \rangle \Big|_{y^+=0} = \delta_\perp^{\mu\nu} f_1^\gamma(x, k_\perp^2) + \left( \frac{2k_\perp^\mu k_\perp^\nu}{k_\perp^2} - \delta_\perp^{\mu\nu} \right) h_1^{\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2) \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta_\perp^{\mu\nu} = -g^{\mu\nu} + p^\mu n^\nu + p^\nu n^\mu$ , and thus  $k_\perp^2 = \delta_\perp^{\mu\nu} k_{\perp\mu} k_{\perp\nu}$ . Here,  $x$  represents the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the photon. The functions  $f_1^\gamma(x, k_\perp^2)$  and  $h_1^{\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2)$  are the unpolarized and linearly polarized photon TMDs, respectively.

Assuming that the nucleus moves along the  $P^+$  direction, the dominant component of the gauge potential is  $A^+$ , while other components are suppressed by the Lorentz contraction factor  $\gamma$ . Based on this observation, after partial integration, the photon field strength tensor can be approximated as  $F_{+\perp}^\mu F_{+\perp}^\nu \propto k_\perp^\mu k_\perp^\nu A^+ A^+$ , which leads to the relation [11, 12, 21, 22],

$$f_1^\gamma(x, k_\perp^2) = h_1^{\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2) \quad (2)$$

within the equivalent photon approximation, one obtains,

$$x f_1^\gamma(x, k_\perp^2) = x h_1^{\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2) = \frac{Z^2 \alpha_e}{\pi^2} k_\perp^2 \left[ \frac{F(k_\perp^2 + x^2 M_p^2)}{(k_\perp^2 + x^2 M_p^2)} \right]^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $Z$  is the nuclear charge number,  $F$  is the nuclear charge form factor, and  $M_p$  is the proton mass. The nuclear charge form factor can be obtained from the STARlight Monte Carlo generator [23],

$$F(|\vec{k}|) = \frac{4\pi\rho^0}{|\vec{k}|^3 A} \left[ \sin(|\vec{k}|R_A) - |\vec{k}|R_A \cos(|\vec{k}|R_A) \right] \frac{1}{a^2 |\vec{k}|^2 + 1} \quad (4)$$

where  $R_A = 1.1A^{1/3}$  fm and  $a = 0.7$  fm. This parameterization closely resembles the Woods-Saxon distribution in phase space.

As the electron passes through the photon field, it undergoes multiple scatterings with the background photon field, leading to the Coulomb correction. This correction occurs either before or after photon radiation and can be absorbed into the photon correlator via a closed-loop gauge link. The explicit form is given by [24–26],

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{dy^- d^2y_\perp}{P^+(2\pi)^3} e^{ik \cdot y} \langle A | F_{+\perp}^\mu(0) U^\dagger(0_\perp) U(y_\perp) F_{+\perp}^\nu(y) | A \rangle \Big|_{y^+=0} \\ &= \int \frac{d^2y_\perp d^2y'_\perp}{4\pi^3} e^{ik_\perp \cdot (y_\perp - y'_\perp)} \mathcal{F}^\mu(x, y_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{*\nu}(x, y'_\perp) e^{i[\mathcal{V}(y_\perp) - \mathcal{V}(y'_\perp)]} \\ &= \frac{\delta_\perp^{\mu\nu}}{2} x f_1^{\prime\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2) + \left( \frac{k_\perp^\mu k_\perp^\nu}{k_\perp^2} - \frac{\delta_\perp^{\mu\nu}}{2} \right) x h_1^{\prime\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $U^\dagger(0_\perp)U(y_\perp)$  represents the transverse gauge link, effectively incorporating the Coulomb correction from the hard part into the photon TMDs  $f_1^{\prime\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2)$  and  $xh_1^{\prime\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2)$ . The explicit expression for the gauge link is.

$$U(y_\perp) = \mathcal{P}e^{ie \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz^- A^+(z^-, y_\perp)} \quad (6)$$

Since photons are neutral, the correlator does not require a gauge link for gauge invariance. The potential can be further expressed as,

$$\mathcal{V}(y_\perp) \equiv e \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz^- A^+(z^-, y_\perp) = \frac{\alpha Z}{\pi} \int d^2 q_\perp e^{-iy_\perp \cdot q_\perp} \frac{F(q_\perp^2)}{q_\perp^2 + \delta^2} \quad (7)$$

where  $\delta$  is the photon mass, used to regulate the infrared divergence, and  $F$  is the nuclear charge form factor. The explicit form of the field strength in the correlator is.

$$\mathcal{F}^\mu(x, y_\perp) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy^- e^{ixP^+y^-} F_{+\perp}^\mu(y^-, y_\perp) = \frac{Ze}{4\pi^2} \int d^2 q_\perp e^{-iy_\perp \cdot q_\perp} (iq_\perp^\mu) \frac{F(q_\perp^2 + x^2 M_p^2)}{q_\perp^2 + x^2 M_p^2} \quad (8)$$

The photon TMDs with final-state Coulomb corrections that we need can be obtained through orthogonality. We have.

$$xf_1^{\prime\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2) = \int \frac{d^2 y_\perp d^2 y'_\perp}{4\pi^3} e^{ik_\perp \cdot (y_\perp - y'_\perp)} \mathcal{F}^\mu(x, y_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{*\nu}(x, y'_\perp) \delta_\perp^{\mu\nu} e^{i[\mathcal{V}(y_\perp) - \mathcal{V}(y'_\perp)]} \quad (9)$$

$$xh_1^{\prime\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2) = \int \frac{d^2 y_\perp d^2 y'_\perp}{4\pi^3} e^{ik_\perp \cdot (y_\perp - y'_\perp)} \mathcal{F}^\mu(x, y_\perp) \mathcal{F}^{*\nu}(x, y'_\perp) \left( \frac{2k_\perp^\mu k_\perp^\nu}{k_\perp^2} - \delta_\perp^{\mu\nu} \right) e^{i[\mathcal{V}(y_\perp) - \mathcal{V}(y'_\perp)]} \quad (10)$$

As shown in Eq. 1, we find that the photons surrounding the atomic nucleus are linearly polarized. Here, not only does  $f_1^\gamma(x, k_\perp^2)$  exist, but also  $h_1^{\perp\gamma}(x, k_\perp^2)$ , which represents the distribution function of linearly polarized photons. When it acts as a background field, the photon propagator becomes more complicated, taking the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B | A_\mu(x) A_\nu(y) | B \rangle &= \int \frac{2d^4 k}{(2\pi)} e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)} \frac{-i}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \\ &\times [g_{\perp\mu\nu} x f_1^\gamma(k_z, k_\perp) - (g_{\perp\mu\nu} + \frac{2k_{\perp\mu} k_{\perp\nu}}{k_\perp^2}) x h_1^{\perp\gamma}(k_z, k_\perp)] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $B$  denotes the polarized photon background field, and  $-g_\perp^{\mu\nu} = \delta_\perp^{\mu\nu} = -g^{\mu\nu} + p^\mu n^\nu + p^\nu n^\mu$ . Note that  $\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3}$  is hidden within the TMDs  $f_1^\gamma(k_z, k_\perp^2)$  and  $h_1^{\perp\gamma}(k_z, k_\perp^2)$ . When studying the motion of photons in the background field, the photon propagator in the polarized background field depends on both the polarized and unpolarized photon distribution functions in the field. This equation provides a reformulated description, within the framework of the parton model in field theory, of how the background field affects bremsstrahlung photons within it. Furthermore, it shows that

in a linearly polarized background field, the bremsstrahlung photon beam is linearly polarized, and its spectrum corresponds to the photon distribution function in the background field. The effects of a polarized background field on bremsstrahlung are typically described through classical approximations, with relatively few studies on quantum effects. In particular, the polarization effects of the background field—such as how photon polarization affects radiation in the final state—have not been adequately quantified. Within the framework of quantum theory, systematic descriptions of the corrections induced by polarized background fields on radiation processes remain lacking. Parameterizing the photon propagator in the background field also opens the possibility for future measurements of the structure of the background field through bremsstrahlung radiation.

### III. OBSERVABLES

We can investigate bremsstrahlung radiation in a polarized background field through the BH process at EIC and EicC.

$$e(k_1) + \gamma(k_2) \longrightarrow e(p_1) + \gamma(p_2) \quad (12)$$

In this process, an electron undergoes scattering with a heavy nucleus, absorbing a photon from the nuclear Coulomb field and subsequently emitting a real photon. Since the emitted photon originates from interactions with the external Coulomb field of the nucleus, the corresponding final-state photon propagator is modified according to the Eq. 11. The azimuthal asymmetry of the final-state particles can serve as an experimental signature to verify the polarization characteristics of the emitted photon beam.

The resummation of all large logarithmic terms induced by final-state soft photon radiation results in the Sudakov factor, given by  $e^{-\frac{\alpha_e}{2\pi} \ln^2 \left( \frac{P_\perp^2}{\mu_r^2} \right)}$ , where  $\mu_r = 2e^{-\gamma_E}/|r_\perp|$  [26]. After convoluting the Sudakov factor over the impact parameter space, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dy_\gamma d^2P_\perp d^2q_\perp} &= \int \frac{d^2r_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ir_\perp \cdot q_\perp} e^{-\frac{\alpha_e}{2\pi} \ln^2 \frac{Q^2}{\mu_r^2}} \\ &\times \int d^2k_\perp e^{ir_\perp \cdot k_\perp} [H_1 x f_1^\gamma(x, k_{2\perp}^2) x f_1^\gamma(p_{2z}, p_{2\perp}^2) + H_2 x h_1^{\perp\gamma}(x, k_{2\perp}^2) x f_1^\gamma(p_{2z}, p_{2\perp}^2) \\ &+ H_3 x f_1^\gamma(x, k_{2\perp}^2) x h_1^{\perp\gamma}(p_{2z}, p_{2\perp}^2) + H_4 x h_1^{\perp\gamma}(x, k_{2\perp}^2) x h_1^{\perp\gamma}(p_{2z}, p_{2\perp}^2)] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \frac{2z^2(3z^2 - 4z + 2)}{p_\perp^4}; \\
H_2 &= \frac{4(z-1)z^3 \cos(2\phi)}{p_\perp^4}; \\
H_3 &= \frac{4(z-1)z^3}{p_\perp^4}; \\
H_4 &= \frac{4(z-1)^2 z^2 \cos(2\phi)}{p_\perp^4}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where  $z$  denotes the longitudinal momentum fraction of the incoming electron carried by the final-state photon. Since the total transverse momentum  $q_\perp$  satisfies  $q_\perp = k_{2\perp} = p_{1\perp} + p_{2\perp} \approx 0$ , we approximate  $p_{1\perp} \approx -p_{2\perp} \approx P_\perp$ . The azimuthal angle  $\phi$  is defined as the angle between  $P_\perp$  and the total transverse momentum  $k_{2\perp}$ .

Now, we proceed to numerically evaluate the magnitude of this effect at both EIC and EicC. Specifically, we analyze the dependence of the  $\cos 2\phi$  azimuthal asymmetry on the rapidity of the final-state photon as well as on the total transverse momentum at the energy scales of EIC and EicC. The beam energies in these two colliders are as follows: for EIC, the electron and heavy-ion beam energies are 18 GeV and 100 GeV, respectively, while for EicC, they are 3.5 GeV and 8 GeV, respectively. The azimuthal asymmetry, quantified by the expectation value  $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle$ , is given by,

$$\langle \cos(2\phi) \rangle = \frac{\int \frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{S}.} \cos 2\phi d\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{S}.}{\int \frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{S}.} d\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{S}.} \tag{15}$$

where  $d\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{S}.$  is the phase space factor. In the context of EicC and EIC, we calculate the azimuthal asymmetry  $-\cos(2\phi)$  as a function of transverse momentum  $q_\perp$  and photon rapidity  $y_\gamma$  in the bremsstrahlung process. We also investigate the effects of Coulomb corrections and Sudakov suppression. The numerical results are presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

In Fig. 1, we consider the kinematic settings for EicC, where the transverse momentum of the parent particle is chosen within the range  $P_\perp \in [0.3, 0.4]$  GeV. In the left panel, we observe that  $-\cos(2\phi)$  exhibits a clear suppression effect due to Sudakov corrections: the result including Sudakov effects (blue dashed line) is significantly reduced in the low- $q_\perp$  region compared to the cases without Sudakov corrections (red dashed and black solid lines). In the right panel, as  $y_\gamma$  increases, the azimuthal asymmetry shows a slight enhancement. The Sudakov effect and Coulomb correction remain non-negligible, with Sudakov suppression consistently increasing the asymmetry, while the impact of Coulomb corrections is relatively small. In Fig. 2, we switch to the EIC kinematics, choosing a higher transverse momentum range for the parent particle,  $P_\perp \in [1.5, 2]$  GeV. In the




FIG. 1: The azimuthal asymmetry as the function of  $q_{\perp}$  (left panel) and  $y_{\gamma}$  (right panel) with and without taking into account the Coulomb corrections (cc) or Sudakov for a Pb target at EicC. The asymmetry is averaged over the  $P_{\perp}$  region  $[0.3\text{GeV}, 0.4\text{GeV}]$ . In the left plot, the emitted photon rapidity  $y_{\gamma}$  is integrated over the region  $[0.5, 1]$ . In the right plot, the total transverse momentum  $q_{\perp}$  is fixed to be 50 MeV .

left panel,  $-\cos(2\phi)$  is relatively small at low  $q_{\perp}$  but increases rapidly with  $q_{\perp}$ . The Sudakov effect is particularly pronounced at large  $q_{\perp}$ . Similarly, in the right panel,  $-\cos(2\phi)$  increases with  $y_{\gamma}$ , reaching a peak around  $y_{\gamma} \approx 2.6$  before slightly decreasing. This suggests a non-monotonic dependence of azimuthal asymmetry on photon rapidity. Additionally, Coulomb corrections have a more noticeable impact under EIC conditions than in EicC, indicating their increasing relevance at higher energy scales. These findings provide valuable insights for future experimental measurements of azimuthal asymmetry at EIC and EicC.

#### IV. SUMMARY

This study investigates bremsstrahlung radiation in polarized background fields and examines the azimuthal asymmetry of real photon emission during the Bethe-Heitler process within experimental settings such as the EIC and the EicC. By leveraging a partonic model rooted in quantum field theory, we present a new interpretation of the effects of polarized background fields on bremsstrahlung radiation. Expanding beyond conventional studies, we propose a novel theoretical framework and an experimental methodology to address longstanding challenges in this domain.

Historically, research on bremsstrahlung radiation has relied on simplified models that approximate background fields as uniform static electric fields or pure Coulomb potentials. While providing




FIG. 2: The azimuthal asymmetry as the function of  $q_{\perp}$  (left panel) and  $y_{\gamma}$  (right panel) with and without taking into account the Coulomb corrections (cc) or Sudakov for a Pb target at EIC.

The asymmetry is averaged over the  $P_{\perp}$  region [1.5GeV, 2GeV]. In the left plot, the emitted photon rapidity  $y_{\gamma}$  is integrated over the region [2, 2.8]. In the right plot, the total transverse momentum  $q_{\perp}$  is fixed to be 50 MeV .

essential insights, these approximations fail to capture the complex influences of field polarization. Furthermore, most studies have utilized classical frameworks, offering limited analysis of quantum effects, particularly in terms of how photon polarization impacts final-state radiation. Our work introduces a quantum-level description, addressing these shortcomings and providing more accurate predictions for bremsstrahlung radiation in polarized fields, which are essential for interpreting results in future high-energy experiments.

From a practical perspective, we propose an experimental method based on measuring azimuthal asymmetry to overcome the technical challenges of directly detecting photon polarization. Ultra-peripheral collision photon fields available at facilities such as EIC and EicC provide an ideal platform for studying polarized background fields, making the experimental observation of bremsstrahlung radiation both feasible and accessible. By incorporating the effects of multiple scatterings, soft photon radiation, and perturbative corrections, our approach ensures more precise cross-section calculations. This work not only delivers valuable theoretical insights but also establishes new pathways for probing the structure of polarized background fields in high-energy environments, both in collider physics and beyond.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AI tools were used to improve sentence fluency and make English more natural.

---

- [1] A. V. Korol and A. V. Solov'yov, *Polarization bremsstrahlung*, Vol. 23 (Springer, 2014).
- [2] V. I. Ritus, Quantum effects of the interaction of elementary particles with an intense electromagnetic field, *Journal of Soviet Laser Research* **6**, 497 (1985).
- [3] M. Marklund and P. K. Shukla, Nonlinear collective effects in photon-photon and photon-plasma interactions, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **78**, 591 (2006).
- [4] A. Di Piazza, C. Müller, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel, Extremely high-intensity laser interactions with fundamental quantum systems, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **84**, 1177 (2012).
- [5] G. Mourou, T. Tajima, and S. V. Bulanov, Optics in the relativistic regime, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **78**, 309 (2006).
- [6] N. Narozhny and A. Fedotov, Extreme light physics, *Contemporary Physics* **56**, 249 (2015).
- [7] V. Baier and V. Katkov, Radiation from polarized electrons in oriented crystals at high energy, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* **234**, 106–115 (2005).
- [8] V. Baier and V. Katkov, Coherent and incoherent radiation from high-energy electron and the lpm effect in oriented single crystal, *Physics Letters A* **353**, 91–97 (2006).
- [9] U. I. Uggerhøj, The interaction of relativistic particles with strong crystalline fields, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **77**, 1131 (2005).
- [10] B. Azadegan *et al.*, Quantum aspects of charged particle channeling and radiation effects in aligned crystals, *International Journal of Modern Physics E* **21**, 1250050 (2012).
- [11] C. Li, J. Zhou, and Y.-j. Zhou, Probing the linear polarization of photons in ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions, *Physics Letters B* **795**, 576–580 (2019).
- [12] C. Li, J. Zhou, and Y.-j. Zhou, Impact parameter dependence of the azimuthal asymmetry in lepton pair production in heavy ion collisions, *Physical Review D* **101**, 10.1103/physrevd.101.034015 (2020).
- [13] D. Boer, P. J. Mulders, J. Zhou, and Y.-j. Zhou, Suppression of maximal linear gluon polarization in angular asymmetries, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017**, 10.1007/jhep10(2017)196 (2017).
- [14] A. Metz and J. Zhou, Distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside a large nucleus, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 051503 (2011).
- [15] G. R. Blumenthal and R. J. Gould, Bremsstrahlung, synchrotron radiation, and compton scattering of high-energy electrons traversing dilute gases, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **42**, 237 (1970).
- [16] G. B. Rybicki and A. P. Lightman, *Radiative Processes in Astrophysics* (John Wiley & Sons, 1979).

- [17] P. J. Mulders and J. Rodrigues, Transverse momentum dependence in gluon distribution and fragmentation functions, *Physical Review D* **63**, [10.1103/physrevd.63.094021](#) (2001).
- [18] F. Dominguez, J.-W. Qiu, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, Linearly polarized gluon distributions in the color dipole model, *Physical Review D* **85**, [10.1103/physrevd.85.045003](#) (2012).
- [19] F. Dominguez, C. Marquet, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, Universality of unintegrated gluon distributions at small  $x$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 105005 (2011).
- [20] B.-W. Xiao and F. Yuan, Nonuniversality of transverse momentum dependent parton distributions at small  $x$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 062001 (2010).
- [21] M. Vidović, M. Greiner, C. Best, and G. Soff, Impact-parameter dependence of the electromagnetic particle production in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions, *Phys. Rev. C* **47**, 2308 (1993).
- [22] C. A. Bertulani and G. Baur, Electromagnetic processes in relativistic heavy ion collisions, *Physics Reports* **163**, 299 (1988).
- [23] S. R. Klein, J. Nystrand, J. Seger, Y. Gorbunov, and J. Butterworth, Starlight: A monte carlo simulation program for ultra-peripheral collisions of relativistic ions, *Computer Physics Communications* **212**, 258 (2017).
- [24] R. N. Lee, A. I. Milstein, V. M. Strakhovenko, and O. Y. Schwarz, Coulomb corrections to bremsstrahlung in the electric field of a heavy atom at high energies, *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* **100**, 1 (2005).
- [25] H. A. Olsen, Differential bremsstrahlung and pair production cross sections at high energies, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 033008 (2003).
- [26] Z. hao Sun, D. xin Zheng, J. Zhou, and Y. jin Zhou, Studying coulomb correction at eic and eicc, *Physics Letters B* **808**, 135679 (2020).