

# Periodic Inscription of Isosceles Trapezoids

Ali Naseri Sadr

## Abstract

We prove that a pair of continuous disjoint periodic curves in  $\mathbb{C}$  inscribes an isosceles trapezoid with any similarity type. The case of smooth curves can be identified with a Lagrangian intersection problem for a pair of Lagrangian cylinders in  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{C}$ , and the continuous case follows from the smooth one by a standard convergence argument.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be two continuous embeddings of the real line into  $\mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the periodicity condition

$$\gamma_i(t+1) = \gamma_i(t) + \sqrt{-1}$$

for every  $t$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Furthermore, assume the images of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are disjoint. Tao conjectured in [10] that there exist four points in  $\gamma_1(\mathbb{R}) \cup \gamma_2(\mathbb{R})$  which are vertices of a square; this is a variation of the Toeplitz square peg problem for periodic curves, and Hugelmeyer proved it in [5].

For any given isosceles trapezoid  $Q$ , we show there are four points in  $\gamma_1(\mathbb{R}) \cup \gamma_2(\mathbb{R})$  that are vertices of a quadrilateral similar to  $Q$ . The approach of [5] does not directly generalize even to the case of rectangles. By contrast, in this article, we use a different approach to prove not only that every pair of periodic curves inscribes every similarity type of rectangles, but also every similarity type of isosceles trapezoids.

**Definition 1.1.** *Assume  $Q$  is an isosceles trapezoid. We say that the pair  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  admits a balanced inscription of  $Q$  if there exist  $p_1, p_2 \in \gamma_1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $p_3, p_4 \in \gamma_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that the quadrilateral formed by  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  is similar to  $Q$ , the line segments  $\overline{p_1 p_2}$  and  $\overline{p_3 p_4}$  are parallel, and  $|\overline{p_1 p_2}| \leq |\overline{p_3 p_4}|$ .*

Note that our definition depends on the order of the pair  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  unless  $Q$  is a rectangle.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are two continuous disjoint periodic embeddings of the real line into the plane, and suppose  $Q$  is an isosceles trapezoid. Then  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  admits a balanced inscription of  $Q$ . Furthermore, there is a generic subset of smooth disjoint periodic pairs such that each pair in this set admits at least two balanced inscriptions of  $Q$  that are not related under translation by  $\sqrt{-1}$ .*

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ ; then every pair of continuous disjoint periodic curves in the plane inscribes a rectangle with angle  $\theta$  between its two diagonals.*

We conjecture that Theorem 1.2 is optimal, in the following sense.

**Conjecture 1.4.** *Let  $Q$  be a quadrilateral that admits an inscription in any pair of disjoint periodic curves in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $Q$  is an isosceles trapezoid.*

In contrast to peg problems for closed curves, we can deduce the periodic peg problem for continuous curves from the case of smooth curves using a standard convergence argument, so it suffices to prove the result for a pair of smooth periodic curves. We prove Theorem 1.2 for smooth curves using symplectic geometry. In particular, we will use Floer homology for a pair of non-compact Lagrangian cylinders in  $(\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, \omega)$ , where the symplectic form depends on the isosceles trapezoid  $Q$ . Our approach draws inspiration from the ideas in [3, 4].

## Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to his advisors, John Baldwin and Josh Greene, for their invaluable guidance, support, and insightful conversations about this work.

## 2 Symplectic Setting

Consider two disjoint smooth periodic curves  $\gamma_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in the plane; we will identify each  $\gamma_i$  with its image in the following. Fix an isosceles trapezoid  $Q$ . The similarity type of  $Q$  is determined by two pieces of information, the angle between its diagonals and the ratio its two diagonals intersect each other. Let  $\theta$  in  $(0, \pi)$  be the angle, and assume the diagonals intersect each other with ratio  $\frac{c}{1-c}$  for some fixed  $c$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ; see Figure 1.




Figure 1: An annotated isosceles trapezoid  $Q$ .

We always assume the pair  $(c, \theta)$  is fixed in what follows. Define a map  $\psi_c: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  by

$$\psi_c(z, w) = (z + cw, z + (c - 1)w).$$

Let  $R_\theta: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  denote the map

$$(z, w) \mapsto (z, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}w).$$

Observe that  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  cyclically label the vertices of an isosceles trapezoid similar to  $Q$ , with  $\overline{p_1p_2}$  parallel to  $\overline{p_3p_4}$  and  $|\overline{p_1p_2}| \leq |\overline{p_3p_4}|$ , iff there exists  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $\psi_c(z, w) = (p_1, p_3)$  and  $\psi_c \circ R_\theta(z, w) = (p_2, p_4)$ . Therefore, the balanced inscriptions of  $Q$  in  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the set

$$\psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) \cap R_\theta(\psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)).$$

We define a pair of symplectic forms on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_Q &:= dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + c(1-c)dx_2 \wedge dy_2, \\ \omega'_Q &:= (1-c)dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + cdx_2 \wedge dy_2.\end{aligned}$$

Define a Hamiltonian  $H$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_Q)$  by  $H(z, w) = \frac{\|w\|^2}{2c(1-c)}$ ;  $R_\theta$  is the flow of  $H$  at time  $\theta$ . A quick computation shows that  $\psi_c^*(\omega'_Q) = \omega_Q$ . The map  $\psi_c$  is a diffeomorphism, and since  $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$  is a product Lagrangian in  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega'_Q)$ , we conclude that both  $\psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$  and its image under  $R_\theta$  are Lagrangian submanifolds in  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_Q)$ . We consider two actions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , one generated by  $T_1(z, w) = (z + \sqrt{-1}, w + \sqrt{-1})$  and one generated by  $T_2(z, w) = (z + \sqrt{-1}, w)$ . We have

$$\psi_c \circ T_2 = T_1 \circ \psi_c.$$

Notice that  $T_2$  is a symplectomorphism of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_Q)$ , and  $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$  is invariant under  $T_1$  because we assumed both curves are periodic. Hence,  $\psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$  is invariant under  $T_2$ . Furthermore, we have  $H \circ T_2 = H$ ; thus the flow of  $H$  is invariant under  $T_2$ , and this shows  $R_\theta(\psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2))$  is also invariant under  $T_2$ . We consider the quotient of  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_Q)$  under the action by  $T_2$ . Denote the resulting symplectic manifold by  $(X, \omega)$ , and let  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow X$  be the quotient map; this manifold is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{C}$ , and the form  $\omega$  is given by  $dx_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + c(1-c)dx_2 \wedge dy_2$ . Consider the vector field  $V(x_1, \theta_1, x_2, y_2) = \partial_{x_1} + \partial_{x_2}$  on  $X$ ; this vector field has complete flow, and it is symplectically dilating. The projection map  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow X$  endows  $X$  with an  $\omega$ -compatible complex structure which we denote by  $j$ , and the function  $g(x_1, \theta_1, x_2, y_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_2^2$  is a subharmonic exhaustion of  $(X, j)$ . Thus  $(X, \omega)$  is Weinstein at infinity; see [2] for the definition. The Hamiltonian  $H$  reduces to a Hamiltonian on  $X$ ; by an abuse of notation, we also denote its flow by  $R_\theta$ . The Lagrangian  $\psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$  projects to a Lagrangian infinite cylinder  $L_\gamma$  in  $(X, \omega)$ . We denote  $R_\theta(L_\gamma)$  by  $L_\gamma^\theta$ .

Our goal is to define a Lagrangian Floer homology for the pair  $(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  and prove it is non-trivial. This will show the intersection  $L_\gamma \cap L_\gamma^\theta$  is non-empty. As a result, we must have an intersection point between  $\pi^{-1}(L_\gamma) = \psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(L_\gamma^\theta) = R_\theta(\psi_c^{-1}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2))$ . Hence, we need to show Floer homology for the pair  $(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  is well-defined. Since the two Lagrangians are non-compact, we have to show their intersection is compact in order to establish their Floer homology is well-defined and invariant under compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopies.

*Remark.* Notice that the intersection  $L_\gamma \cap L_\gamma^\theta$  parametrizes the orbits of balanced inscriptions of  $Q$  in  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  under translation by  $\sqrt{-1}$ .

The following lemma is the main result we will need for later compactness arguments. Its proof follows easily from the notion of the width of a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , i.e. the infimal width of an infinite strip containing  $K$ . One simply notes that width scales linearly under similarity transformations and is positive when  $K$  is the vertex set of a triangle.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Consider a positive number  $N$  and  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . Assume  $z$ ,  $z + cw$ , and  $z + ce^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}w$  are inside the strip  $[-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a constant  $b(N, c, \theta)$  depending only on  $N, c$ , and  $\theta$  such that  $\|w\| < b(N, c, \theta)$ . ■*

**Corollary 2.2.** *The intersection  $L_\gamma \cap L_\gamma^\theta$  is compact.*

*Proof.* We can find a positive number  $N$  such that both  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are inside  $[-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Consider  $\pi(z, w)$  in  $L_\gamma \cap L_\gamma^\theta$ . Then  $z$ ,  $z + cw$ , and  $z + ce^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}w$  are all inside this strip, so we can apply Lemma 2.1. Thus

$\|w\|$  is bounded, and this shows  $\pi(z, w)$  is in  $[-N, N] \times S^1 \times D_{b(N, c, \theta)}$ , where  $D_{b(N, c, \theta)}$  is the closed disk with radius  $b(N, c, \theta)$  around the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\blacksquare$

We will work with mod 2 coefficients for singular homology and Floer homology in the following. Define the path space  $\mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  by

$$\{x \in W^{1,2}([0, 1], X) \mid x(0) \in L_\gamma, x(1) \in L_\gamma^\theta\}.$$

Let  $\lambda = x_1 d\theta_1 + c(1 - c)x_2 dy_2$  be a primitive for  $\omega$  on  $X$ , and consider a compactly supported Hamiltonian  $\widehat{H}: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We define the symplectic action one form  $\omega_{\widehat{H}}$  on the path space  $\mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  by

$$\omega_{\widehat{H}}(\xi) = \int_0^1 \omega(\xi, \dot{x}(t) - X_{\widehat{H}}(x(t), t)) dt$$

for every  $x \in \mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  and  $\xi \in T_x \mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$ . In general, this defines a closed one-form on the path space between two Lagrangians, but it is not necessarily exact; in this case, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.3.** *The form  $\omega_{\widehat{H}}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  is exact for every Hamiltonian  $\widehat{H}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show if  $u: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  is a closed curve, then  $\omega_{\widehat{H}}[u] = 0$ . We can view  $u$  as a map from  $S^1 \times [0, 1]$  to  $X$ , where  $\beta_0 := u(\cdot, 0)$  is in  $L_\gamma$  and  $\beta_1 := u(\cdot, 1)$  is in  $L_\gamma^\theta$ . We get

$$\omega_{\widehat{H}}[u] = \int_{S^1} \int_0^1 \omega(u_s, u_t - X_{\widehat{H}}(u, t)) dt ds = \int_u \omega - \int_0^1 \int_{S^1} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}(u, t)}{\partial s} ds dt = \int_u \omega = \lambda(\beta_1) - \lambda(\beta_0),$$

where in the last step, we applied Stokes' theorem. Firstly, note that  $\beta_1$  and  $R_\theta(\beta_0)$  are homotopic in  $L_\gamma^\theta$  since  $\beta_0$  and  $R_\theta(\beta_0)$  are homotopic in  $X$ ,  $u$  gives a homotopy between  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  in  $X$ , and the inclusion map from  $L_\gamma^\theta$  to  $X$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_1$ . Secondly, we note that  $\lambda$  is a closed one form on  $L_\gamma^\theta$ , so we must have  $\lambda(R_\theta(\beta_0)) = \lambda(\beta_1)$ . Finally, since  $R_\theta$  is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism, the form  $R_\theta^*(\lambda) - \lambda$  is exact; see [6, Chapter 3] for more details. Hence, we must have  $\lambda(\beta_0) = \lambda(R_\theta(\beta_0))$ .  $\blacksquare$

Consider a primitive for  $\omega_{\widehat{H}}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$ , and denote it by  $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{H}}$ ; we call this the symplectic action functional. Critical points of  $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{H}}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the set  $\phi_{\widehat{H}}^1(L_\gamma) \cap L_\gamma^\theta$  where  $\phi_{\widehat{H}}^1$  denotes the time one flow of  $\widehat{H}$ . This set is compact because  $\widehat{H}$  is compactly supported, and  $L_\gamma \cap L_\gamma^\theta$  is compact. In particular, this proves the critical values of  $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{H}}$  are bounded. Now consider a family of  $\omega$ -compatible time dependent almost complex structures  $\{J_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  on  $X$  that agree with  $j$  at infinity. This family induces a metric on  $\mathcal{P}(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$ , and the gradient flow lines of  $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{H}}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the solutions of the perturbed Cauchy-Riemann equation (with respect to  $\{J_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  and  $\widehat{H}$ ) with boundary conditions on the pair  $(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$ ; we refer the unfamiliar reader to [1, Section 1.3].

For a brief discussion of when Lagrangian-Floer homology is well-defined, see [8]. The main issues are to show that a moduli space of solutions to a (perturbed) Cauchy-Riemann equation on the strip is precompact in the moduli space of broken trajectories with sphere and disk bubbles, and then that there are no sphere or disk bubbles.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Floer homology for the pair  $(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  is well-defined.*

*Proof.* Choose a compactly supported Hamiltonian  $\widehat{H}$  such that  $\phi_{\widehat{H}}^1(L_\gamma)$  intersects  $L_\gamma^\theta$  transversely and a family of  $\omega$ -compatible time dependent almost complex structures  $\{J_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  on  $X$  that agree with  $j$  at infinity. Consider a solution of the perturbed Cauchy-Riemann equation  $u$  that converges to  $x$  and  $y$  on its two ends; we have  $E(u) = \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{H}}(x) - \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{H}}(y)$ , where  $E$  is the energy of  $u$ . Since the critical values of  $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{H}}$  are bounded, we conclude the energy is uniformly bounded for every solution  $u$ . It follows from [7, Theorem 2.1] that any solution  $u$  must have bounded image inside a compact set depending on  $\{J_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  and  $\widehat{H}$ . This proves the moduli space of solutions is precompact in the moduli space of broken trajectories with sphere and disk bubbles. The sphere bubbles cannot happen since  $(X, \omega)$  is exact, and the disk bubbles cannot happen because both  $\pi_2(X, L_\gamma)$  and  $\pi_2(X, L_\gamma^\theta)$  are trivial; this follows from the fact that the inclusion map for each of these Lagrangians induces an isomorphism on the fundamental group.  $\blacksquare$

Our next goal is to show this Floer homology is invariant under a certain Hamiltonian isotopy that is not necessarily compactly supported; this will reduce the computation of  $HF(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)$  to the case  $HF(L_\delta, L_\delta^\theta)$ , where  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are two disjoint vertical lines.

**Definition 2.5.** *Let  $L_0, L_1$  be two Lagrangians in  $X$ , and consider a Hamiltonian isotopy  $\phi: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ . We say this Hamiltonian isotopy does not escape to infinity with respect to the pair  $(L_0, L_1)$  if the intersection  $L_0 \cap \phi(L_1 \times [0, 1])$  is compact.*

It follows from [7, Theorem I] that if  $\phi$  is a Hamiltonian isotopy that does not escape to infinity with respect to the pair  $(L_0, L_1)$ , then the continuation map from  $CF(L_0, L_1)$  to  $CF(L_0, \phi_1(L_1))$  is well-defined, and it induces an isomorphism between  $HF(L_0, L_1)$  and  $HF(L_0, \phi_1(L_1))$ .

Let  $N$  be a positive number such that each  $\gamma_i$  is inside  $[-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}$ . The quotient of  $\mathbb{C}$  under translation by  $\sqrt{-1}$  is an infinite cylinder, and we denote the quotient map by  $q$ . The pair  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  is projected to a pair of disjoint simple closed curves in  $[-N, N] \times S^1$ . We can find two distinct numbers  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  in  $(-N, N)$  so that the signed area between  $q(\gamma_i)$  and  $\{\alpha_i\} \times S^1$  is zero for each  $i$ . Therefore, there are Hamiltonian functions  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  supported in  $[-N, N] \times S^1$  such that the time one flow of  $H_i$  takes  $q(\gamma_i)$  to  $\{\alpha_i\} \times S^1$  for each  $i$ . Define a Hamiltonian function  $F$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega'_Q)$  by

$$F(z, w) = (1 - c)H_1(q(z)) + cH_2(q(w)).$$

This Hamiltonian is invariant under the action by  $T_1$ , and it takes  $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$  to  $\delta_1 \times \delta_2$  where  $\delta_i = \{\alpha_i\} \times \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, this induces a Hamiltonian isotopy  $\phi$  on  $X \times [0, 1]$  such that  $\phi_1(L_\gamma) = L_\delta$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *We have*

$$HF(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta) \cong HF(\phi_1(L_\gamma), L_\gamma^\theta) \cong HF(L_\delta, L_\delta^\theta). \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* We have a Hamiltonian isotopy  $\phi$  from  $L_\gamma$  to  $L_\delta$  and a Hamiltonian isotopy from  $L_\gamma^\theta$  to  $L_\delta^\theta$  defined by  $u_s := R_\theta \circ \phi_s \circ R_\theta^{-1}$ . Choose an arbitrary time  $s \in [0, 1]$ , and suppose  $\pi(z, w)$  is in  $\phi_s(L_\gamma) \cap L_\gamma^\theta$ . Then  $z$ ,  $z + cw$ , and  $z + ce^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}w$  are all inside the strip  $[-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}$ ; hence,  $\|w\|$  is bounded by Lemma 2.1. This proves the intersection  $\phi([0, 1] \times L_\gamma) \cap L_\gamma^\theta$  is inside  $[-N, N] \times S^1 \times D_{b(N, c, \theta)}$ , so it is compact. Similarly, one can show  $L_\delta \cap u([0, 1] \times L_\gamma^\theta)$  is compact. Therefore, both of these Hamiltonian isotopies do not escape to infinity, and we get the claim.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\delta_1 = \{\alpha_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta_2 = \{\alpha_2\} \times \mathbb{R}$  be two distinct vertical lines in the plane. Then  $HF(L_\delta, L_\delta^\theta) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\pi(z, w)$  is in  $L_\delta \cap L_\delta^\theta$ . Then we must have  $z + cw \in \delta_1$  and  $z + (c-1)w \in \delta_2$ . Thus  $z$  lies on the line  $\{x = (1-c)\alpha_1 + c\alpha_2\}$ . We also have  $z + ce^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}w \in \delta_1$  and  $z + (c-1)e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}w \in \delta_2$ . Hence, we get

$$v = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\sqrt{-1}.$$

This shows the intersection of  $\psi_c^{-1}(\delta_1 \times \delta_2)$  and  $R_\theta(\psi_c^{-1}(\delta_1 \times \delta_2))$  is diffeomorphic to the real line, and can be identified with the image of the embedding

$$s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto ((1-c)\alpha_1 + c\alpha_2 + s\sqrt{-1}, (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\sqrt{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

We claim this intersection is clean. Identify  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , and consider the coordinates  $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ . At a point  $(u_1, u_2)$  in  $\psi_c^{-1}(\delta_1 \times \delta_2)$ , we have

$$T_{(u_1, u_2)}\psi_c^{-1}(\delta_1 \times \delta_2) = \langle \partial_{y_1}, \partial_{y_2} \rangle,$$

and for a point  $(u_1, u_2)$  in  $R_\theta(\psi_c^{-1}(\delta_1 \times \delta_2))$ , we have

$$T_{(u_1, u_2)}R_\theta(\psi_c^{-1}(\delta_1 \times \delta_2)) = \langle \partial_{y_1}, \cos(\theta)\partial_{y_2} - \sin(\theta)\partial_{x_2} \rangle.$$

We conclude the intersection is clean because  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ . This shows the intersection between  $L_\delta$  and  $L_\delta^\theta$  is also clean, since  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow X$  is a local diffeomorphism. Furthermore,  $L_\delta \cap L_\delta^\theta$  becomes a circle after applying  $\pi$  to the line of intersection in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Consider the symplectic action one form  $\omega_{\widehat{H}}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(L_\delta, L_\delta^\theta)$  with  $\widehat{H} = 0$ ; this form is exact by Lemma 2.3, so the symplectic action functional is defined on the whole of  $\mathcal{P}(L_\delta, L_\delta^\theta)$ . Hence, there is an isomorphism between  $H^*(S^1) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$  and  $HF(L_\delta, L_\delta^\theta)$  according to [9, Theorem 3.4.11]. ■

### 3 Proof of the Main Theorem

***Proof of Theorem 1.2.*** We can find a positive number  $N$  such that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are inside  $[-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}$ . First assume  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are smooth. By Propositions 2.6 and 2.7, we get  $HF(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta) \cong HF(L_\delta, L_\delta^\theta) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$  where  $(\delta_1, \delta_2)$  is a pair of distinct vertical lines in  $[-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, the intersection  $L_\gamma \cap L_\gamma^\theta$  must be non-empty, and  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  admits a balanced inscription of  $Q$ . Moreover,  $L_\gamma$  and  $L_\gamma^\theta$  intersect transversely for a pair of generic smooth curves; thus in this case, we must have

$$|L_\gamma \cap L_\gamma^\theta| \geq \dim(HF(L_\gamma, L_\gamma^\theta)) = 2.$$

We conclude for a pair of generic smooth curves, there are at least two balanced inscriptions of  $Q$  that are not related under translation by  $\sqrt{-1}$ . Now suppose  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are continuous, and approximate each one by a sequence of smooth periodic curves  $\gamma_i^n$  inside the strip  $[-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $\gamma_1^n$  and  $\gamma_2^n$  disjoint for every  $n$ . Let  $Q_n$  denote an inscription of  $Q$  inside the pair  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)$ . One can assume the intersection point between the diagonals of  $Q_n$  lies in  $[-N, N] \times [0, 1]$  for every  $n$ . This can be done because every translation of  $Q_n$  by an integer multiple of  $\sqrt{-1}$  is also an inscription of  $Q$  in  $(\gamma_1^n, \gamma_2^n)$ . Moreover, the diameter length of each  $Q_n$  is bounded by a universal constant depending only on  $N, \theta$ , and  $c$  according to Lemma 2.1. We conclude that the sequence  $Q_n$  must have a limit point  $\tilde{Q}$  inscribed by the pair  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ ; this limit point is a non-degenerate isosceles trapezoid because  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are disjoint. ■

**Proof of Corollary 1.3.** This follows from Theorem 1.2 applied to the case  $(c = \frac{1}{2}, \theta)$ . ■

## References

- [1] Alberto Abbondandolo and Matthias Schwarz, *On the Floer homology of cotangent bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), no. 2, 254–316.
- [2] Yakov Eliashberg and Mikhael Gromov, *Convex symplectic manifolds*, Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 135–162.
- [3] Joshua Evan Greene and Andrew Lobb, *Cyclic quadrilaterals and smooth Jordan curves*, Invent. Math. **234** (2023), no. 3, 931–935.
- [4] Joshua Evan Greene and Andrew Lobb, *Floer homology and square pegs*, 2024.
- [5] Cole Hugelmeyer, *A solution to the periodic square peg problem*, 2024.
- [6] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, third ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 2017.
- [7] Yong-Geun Oh, *Floer homology and its continuity for non-compact Lagrangian submanifolds*, Turkish J. Math. **25** (2001), no. 1, 103–124.
- [8] Andrés Pedroza, *A quick view of Lagrangian Floer homology*, Geometrical themes inspired by the N-body problem, Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 91–125.
- [9] Marcin Poźniak, *Floer homology, Novikov rings and clean intersections*, Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 119–181.
- [10] Terence Tao, *An integration approach to the Toeplitz square peg problem*, Forum Math. Sigma **5** (2017), Paper No. e30, 63.

Boston College. Massachusetts, USA.  
naserisa@bc.edu