

# Implications of recent Higgs data for the light CP-odd Higgs boson in the GNMSSM

---

Zhaoxia Heng, Zehan Li

*School of Physics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China*

*E-mail:* [zxheng@htu.edu.cn](mailto:zxheng@htu.edu.cn), [hnuzehanli@163.com](mailto:hnuzehanli@163.com)

**ABSTRACT:** This study systematically examines the parameter space of GNMSSM with a light CP-odd Higgs boson  $a_1$ , emphasizing the exotic decay  $h_{125} \rightarrow a_1 a_1$  under recent Higgs data constraints. Firstly, We scan the parameter space under the basic constraints from `HiggsSignals-2.6.2` and `HiggsBounds-5.10.2`, and then further filter the surviving parameter points using constraints from the LHC direct Higgs searches and `HiggsTools`. Comparative analysis demonstrates that the code `HiggsTools` imposes stringent constraints compared to legacy versions of `HiggsSignals-2.6.2` and `HiggsBounds-5.10.2`. Moreover, its exclusion capacity notably exceeds the direct individual decay channel searches. Through comparative analysis of the two types of constraints (`HiggsSignals` and `HiggsBounds`) embedded in the `HiggsTools`, we found that the constraints from `HiggsBounds` are the most stringent. Almost all parameter points excluded by `HiggsSignals` and the individual decay channel analyses at the LHC can also be excluded by `HiggsBounds`. This is because `HiggsBounds` provides direct constraints, while `HiggsSignals` imposes indirect constraints for the searches of non-SM Higgs bosons. In the  $h_2$  scenario, due to the kinematic accessibility of the exotic decay channel  $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1$ , `HiggsSignals` can also exclude the parameter points with smaller values of  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau\tau/\mu\mu bb)$ . Despite rigorous experimental limitations constraining the light CP-odd Higgs scenario, our comprehensive investigation still reveals viable parameter regions, which opens new avenues for future exploration of light Higgs phenomenology.

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Theoretical preliminaries</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Basics of the GNMSSM	3
2.2	The Higgs Sector of GNMSSM	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Numerical Result</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Research Strategy	6
3.2	LHC Direct search Limits	7
3.3	HiggsTools constraints	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>12</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

The discovery of the 125 GeV Higgs boson at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [1, 2] in 2012 marked a monumental milestone in particle physics, confirming the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) as described by the Standard Model (SM). While the SM has achieved tremendous success in describing fundamental interactions, it still remains several unresolved questions, such as neutrino masses, the hierarchy problem, and the dark matter problem. These problems have motivated extensive exploration of physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM), where extended Higgs sectors and new symmetries often predict additional scalar states. Among these BSM frameworks, supersymmetry (SUSY) stands out as a compelling candidate, offering solutions to the hierarchy problem and unification of gauge couplings at high energies. As the most economical realization of SUSY, the Minimum Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [3–6] has been extensively studied in the past few decades. However, considering the constraints from the relic density of dark matter and direct detection experiments, the MSSM faces significant limitations, and it also has some issues, such as the  $\mu$  problem and the little hierarchy problem. As natural and minimal extension of the MSSM, the Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (NMSSM) [7–9] adds an additional gauge-singlet Higgs superfield, whose scalar component acquires a non-zero vacuum expectation value (vev) to spontaneously induce an effective  $\mu$ -term through dynamical symmetry breaking. Crucially, the mixing between the gauge singlet and doublets superfields introduces a new CP-even Higgs ( $h_s$ ) that mixes with the MSSM-like states. This

mixing can suppress the mass of the lightest Higgs boson while allowing the next-to-lightest Higgs boson to match the observed 125 GeV Higgs signal [10–16]. Such a scenario is particularly attractive because it alleviates fine-tuning in the stop sector and provides a rich phenomenology, including Higgs-to-Higgs decays [17–24] or exotic decays involving neutralinos [25]. However, the predictive power of the  $Z_3$ -invariant NMSSM is constrained by its superpotential structure, which motivates generalizations such as the General NMSSM (GNMSSM) [26–31]. By introducing additional singlet couplings or  $Z_3$ -symmetry-breaking terms, the GNMSSM enhances flexibility in the Higgs mass matrix, enabling lighter CP-even and CP-odd states without conflicting with LHC Higgs data. These light Higgs bosons could evade direct detection due to suppressed couplings to SM particles but might emerge through exotic decays of the 125 GeV Higgs  $h$ , such as  $h \rightarrow h_s h_s / a_1 a_1$  with  $a_1$  denoting the lightest CP-odd Higgs boson, offering a unique window into new physics. Such exotic decay channels, though rare in the SM, become prominent in BSM scenarios, transforming the 125 GeV Higgs into a portal for discovering light hidden sector particles.

Both the ATLAS and CMS collaborations at the LHC have also pursued extensive searches for light Higgs bosons through exotic decays of the 125 GeV Higgs boson [32], targeting final states like  $4b$ [33],  $\mu\mu bb$ [34–36],  $\tau\tau bb$ [37, 38],  $\gamma\gamma\tau\tau$ [39] and  $4\mu$ [40–44]. Despite stringent limits from ATLAS and CMS, no significant excess has been observed, imposing strong constraints on the parameter space of GNMSSM. This work mainly focus on the promising yet challenging final states  $\mu\mu bb$  and  $\tau\tau bb$  [34, 38]. However, solely considering the LHC direct Higgs search limits is not comprehensive, we have also incorporated the limitations on the parameter space imposed by the package `HiggsTools` [45]. The `HiggsTools` framework combines and extends the codes `HiggsBounds` and `HiggsSignals`. `HiggsBounds` performs compatibility tests of BSM models with exclusion bounds from new scalar boson searches, whereas `HiggsSignals` assesses theoretical predictions against experimental measurements of the 125 GeV Higgs boson production rates at the LHC. This study presents a comprehensive investigation of the exotic decay channels of the 125 GeV Higgs boson into a pair of lighter CP-odd Higgs bosons within the framework of GNMSSM. Incorporating stringent constraints from LHC direct Higgs searches and `HiggsTools`, we systematically explore the viable parameter space of GNMSSM.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we briefly introduce the GNMSSM model, along with the Higgs sector within the model. In Section 3, we present our scanning strategy and numerical results, comparing the effects of two different constraints on the parameter points. Finally, Section 4 provides our conclusions.

## 2 Theoretical preliminaries

### 2.1 Basics of the GNMSSM

The GNMSSM extends the MSSM through the incorporation of a gauge-singlet superfield  $\hat{S}$  that carries neither baryon nor lepton number. Consequently, in addition to the two  $SU(2)_L$  doublet superfields,  $\hat{H}_u = (\hat{H}_u^+, \hat{H}_u^0)$  and  $\hat{H}_d = (\hat{H}_d^0, \hat{H}_d^-)$ , the Higgs sector of GNMSSM also includes a singlet superfield  $\hat{S}$ . The gauge-invariant superpotential of the GNMSSM can be expressed as [8]:

$$W_{\text{GNMSSM}} = W_{\text{Yukawa}} + \lambda \hat{S} \hat{H}_u \cdot \hat{H}_d + \frac{\kappa}{3} \hat{S}^3 + \mu \hat{H}_u \cdot \hat{H}_d + \frac{1}{2} \mu' \hat{S}^2 + \xi \hat{S}, \quad (2.1)$$

with  $W_{\text{Yukawa}}$  denoting the MSSM superpotential containing quark and lepton Yukawa interactions. Both  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  are dimensionless coupling coefficients that parametrize the interactions among the Higgs fields, similar to the scenario in the  $Z_3$ -NMSSM. The parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\mu'$  and  $\xi$  represent  $Z_3$ -symmetry-breaking effects, which play crucial roles in addressing both the tadpole problem [8, 46] and the cosmological domain-wall problem inherent to  $Z_3$ -NMSSM [47–49]. Notably, the parameter  $\xi$  can be consistently eliminated through the singlet field redefinition technique [50], allowing us to adopt  $\xi = 0$  without loss of generality. The parameters  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  at electroweak scale emerge naturally from spontaneous breaking of fundamental discrete  $R$ -symmetry  $\mathbb{Z}_4^R$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_8^R$  at high energy scales, as established in prior theoretical investigations [47, 50–53]. Crucially, these  $Z_3$ -violating parameters induce significant modifications to the neutral Higgs mass spectrum, generating phenomenological features markedly richer than those in the  $Z_3$ -NMSSM and MSSM.

### 2.2 The Higgs Sector of GNMSSM

The soft SUSY-breaking terms in the Higgs sector of the GNMSSM can be expressed as:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} = \left[ \lambda A_\lambda S H_u \cdot H_d + \frac{1}{3} \kappa A_\kappa S^3 + m_3^2 H_u \cdot H_d + \frac{1}{2} m_S'^2 S^2 + \xi' S + h.c. \right] + m_{H_u}^2 |H_u|^2 + m_{H_d}^2 |H_d|^2 + m_S^2 |S|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

with  $H_u, H_d$ , and  $S$  denoting the scalar components of the Higgs superfields, and  $m_{H_u}^2, m_{H_d}^2$ , and  $m_S^2$  being their supersymmetry-breaking masses. Following the electroweak symmetry breaking, the neutral components of the Higgs fields develop non-vanishing vevs:

$$\langle H_u^0 \rangle = \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle H_d^0 \rangle = \frac{v_d}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle S \rangle = \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (2.3)$$

with  $v = \sqrt{v_u^2 + v_d^2} \simeq 246$  GeV. The Higgs sector is then characterized by eleven independent physical parameters:

$$\tan \beta \equiv \frac{v_u}{v_d}, \quad \lambda, \quad \kappa, \quad v_s, \quad A_\lambda, \quad A_\kappa, \quad \mu, \quad \mu', \quad m_3^2, \quad m_S^2, \quad \xi'. \quad (2.4)$$

In the systematic investigation of Higgs sector phenomenology, it is convenient to adopt specialized parametrizations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\text{NSM}} &\equiv \cos \beta \text{Re}(H_u^0) - \sin \beta \text{Re}(H_d^0), \\
H_{\text{SM}} &\equiv \sin \beta \text{Re}(H_u^0) + \cos \beta \text{Re}(H_d^0), \\
A_{\text{NSM}} &\equiv \cos \beta \text{Im}(H_u^0) - \sin \beta \text{Im}(H_d^0).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

In the basis  $(H_{\text{NSM}}, H_{\text{SM}}, \text{Re}[S])$ , the mass matrix of CP-even Higgs fields can be written as [28]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{S,11}^2 &= \frac{\lambda v_s (\sqrt{2} A_\lambda + \kappa v_s + \sqrt{2} \mu') + 2m_3^2}{\sin 2\beta} + \frac{1}{2} (2m_Z^2 - \lambda^2 v^2) \sin^2 2\beta, \\
\mathcal{M}_{S,12}^2 &= -\frac{1}{4} (2m_Z^2 - \lambda^2 v^2) \sin 4\beta, \quad \mathcal{M}_{S,13}^2 = -\frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} (A_\lambda + \sqrt{2} \kappa v_s + \mu') \cos 2\beta, \\
\mathcal{M}_{S,22}^2 &= m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2\beta, \\
\mathcal{M}_{S,23}^2 &= \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\sqrt{2} v_s + 2\mu) - (A_\lambda + \sqrt{2} \kappa v_s + \mu') \sin 2\beta \right], \\
\mathcal{M}_{S,33}^2 &= \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{A_\kappa + \mu'}{2\sqrt{2}} \lambda v^2 + \frac{\kappa v_s}{\sqrt{2}} (A_\kappa + 2\sqrt{2} \kappa v_s + 3\mu') \right) - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2} v_s} \lambda v^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_s} \xi'.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly, in the basis  $(A_{\text{NSM}}, \text{Im}[S])$ , the mass matrix of CP-odd Higgs fields can be written as [28]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{P,11}^2 &= \frac{\lambda v_s (\sqrt{2} A_\lambda + \kappa v_s + \sqrt{2} \mu') + 2m_3^2}{\sin 2\beta}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{P,12}^2 = \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} (A_\lambda - \sqrt{2} \kappa v_s - \mu'), \\
\mathcal{M}_{P,22}^2 &= \frac{(A_\lambda + 2\sqrt{2} \kappa v_s + \mu') \sin 2\beta}{2\sqrt{2} v_s} - \frac{\kappa v_s}{\sqrt{2}} (3A_\kappa + \mu') \\
&\quad - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2} v_s} \lambda v^2 - 2m_S^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v_s} \xi'.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The mass eigenstates  $h_i = \{h, H, h_s\}$  and  $a_j = \{A_H, A_s\}$  are obtained by diagonalizing the mass matrices  $\mathcal{M}_S^2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_P^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
h_i &= V_{h_i}^{\text{NSM}} H_{\text{NSM}} + V_{h_i}^{\text{SM}} H_{\text{SM}} + V_{h_i}^{\text{S}} \text{Re}[S], \\
a_j &= V_{P,a_j}^{\text{NSM}} A_{\text{NSM}} + V_{P,a_j}^{\text{S}} \text{Im}[S].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Among these states,  $h$  is identified to be the SM-like scalar observed at the LHC,  $H$  and  $A_H$  denote the heavy doublet-dominated Higgs bosons, while  $h_s$  and  $A_s$  correspond to the singlet-dominated states. Adopting the mass-ordered notation, the CP-even and CP-odd Higgs eigenstates are labeled as  $h_i$  ( $i=1,2,3$ ) and  $a_j$  ( $j=1,2$ ) with  $m_{h_1} < m_{h_2} < m_{h_3}$  and  $m_{a_1} < m_{a_2}$ . Therefore, in the  $h_1$  scenario  $h \equiv h_1$  and

$m_{h_s} > m_h$ , while in the  $h_2$  scenario  $h \equiv h_2$  and  $m_h > m_{h_s}$ . The model also predicts a pair of charged Higgs bosons,  $H^\pm = \cos \beta H_u^\pm + \sin \beta H_d^\pm$ , and their masses are written as [8, 28]

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = \frac{\lambda v_s (\sqrt{2} A_\lambda + \kappa v_s + \sqrt{2} \mu') + 2m_3^2}{\sin 2\beta} + m_W^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 v^2. \quad (2.9)$$

For the light Higgs scenario studied in this paper, we assume the existence of a light CP-odd Higgs boson with  $2m_{a_1} < m_h$ . To date, both the ATLAS and CMS collaborations have performed comprehensive searches for non-SM Higgs bosons  $H$ ,  $A_H$ ,  $h_s$  and  $A_s$ . And these investigations have established exclusion bounds on key parameters, such as masses and relative couplings [54, 55]. However, the input parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\mu'$ ,  $m_3^2$ ,  $m_S^2$ , and  $\xi'$  are not directly associated with experimental observables, which motivated us to use the following physical parameters:

- $m_A$ : the mass of heavy MSSM-like CP-odd Higgs boson and  $m_A \equiv \sqrt{M_{P,11}^2}$ ,
- $m_B$ : the mass of CP-even singlet Higgs boson and  $m_B \equiv \sqrt{M_{S,33}^2}$ ,
- $m_C$ : the mass of CP-odd singlet Higgs boson and  $m_C \equiv \sqrt{M_{P,22}^2}$ ,
- $\mu_{\text{tot}}$ : the mass of Higgsino fields and  $\mu_{\text{tot}} \equiv \mu_{\text{eff}} + \mu$ ,
- $m_N$ : the mass of Singlino fields and  $m_N \equiv \frac{2\kappa}{\lambda} \mu_{\text{eff}} + \mu'$ .

Using the above physical parameters as theoretical inputs, the original Lagrangian parameters can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \mu_{\text{tot}} - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} v_s, \quad \mu' = m_N - \sqrt{2} \kappa v_s, \quad m_3^2 = \frac{m_A^2 \sin 2\beta}{2} - \lambda v_s \left( \frac{\kappa v_s}{2} + \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{A_\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \\ \xi' &= \frac{v_s}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \frac{(A_\lambda + \mu') \sin 2\beta}{2\sqrt{2} v_s} + \frac{\kappa v_s}{\sqrt{2}} (A_\kappa + 2\sqrt{2} \kappa v_s + 3\mu') \right] - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2} v_s} \lambda v^2 - m_B^2, \\ m_S^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ m_B^2 - m_C^2 + \lambda \kappa \sin 2\beta v^2 - 2\sqrt{2} \kappa v_s (A_\kappa + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} v_s + \mu') \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and Eqs. ( 2.6) and ( 2.7) take the following simplified forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{S,11}^2 &= m_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} (2m_Z^2 - \lambda^2 v^2) \sin^2 2\beta, \quad \mathcal{M}_{S,12}^2 = -\frac{1}{4} (2m_Z^2 - \lambda^2 v^2) \sin 4\beta, \\ \mathcal{M}_{S,13}^2 &= -\frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} (A_\lambda + m_N) \cos 2\beta, \quad \mathcal{M}_{S,22}^2 = m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2\beta, \\ \mathcal{M}_{S,23}^2 &= \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} [2\mu_{\text{tot}} - (A_\lambda + m_N) \sin 2\beta], \quad \mathcal{M}_{S,33}^2 = m_B^2, \\ \mathcal{M}_{P,11}^2 &= m_A^2, \quad \mathcal{M}_{P,22}^2 = m_C^2, \quad \mathcal{M}_{P,12}^2 = \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{2}} (A_\lambda - m_N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Parameter	Prior	Range	Parameter	Prior	Range
$\kappa$	Flat	-0.75–0.75	$\tan \beta$	Flat	5–60
$\lambda$	Flat	0–0.75	$v_s/\text{TeV}$	Flat	0.1–1.0
$\delta$	Flat	-1.0–1.0	$m_N/\text{TeV}$	Flat	-1.0–1.0
$m_B/\text{GeV}$	Flat	1–300	$m_C/\text{GeV}$	Flat	1.0–300
$A_t/\text{TeV}$	Flat	1.0–3.0	$\mu_{\text{tot}}/\text{TeV}$	Flat	0.2–1.0
$A_\kappa/\text{TeV}$	Flat	-2.0–2.0			

**Table 1.** Parameter space explored in this study. All input parameters adopt flat distributions based on their unambiguous physical interpretations. Given the substantial radiative corrections induced by the third-generation squark trilinear couplings ( $A_t$  and  $A_b$ ) on the SM-like Higgs boson mass, we impose  $A_t = A_b$  with their magnitudes treated as free variables. Non-critical SUSY-breaking parameters are fixed:  $m_A = 2$  TeV,  $M_1 = 1$  TeV,  $M_2 = 2$  TeV,  $M_3 = 3$  TeV. All parameters are defined at the renormalization scale  $Q_{\text{input}} = 1$  TeV.

Obviously, eight out of the eleven parameters ( $\tan \beta$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $A_\lambda$ ,  $m_A$ ,  $m_B$ ,  $m_C$ ,  $m_N$ , and  $\mu_{\text{tot}}$ ) uniquely specify the neutral Higgs mass matrices, and the remaining three parameters ( $\kappa$ ,  $A_\kappa$ , and  $v_s$ ) influence the triple Higgs coupling strengths [28].

In studies of the light  $h_s$  scenario, the off-diagonal element  $\mathcal{M}_{S,23}^2$  of the scalar mass matrix is conventionally parameterized as  $\mathcal{M}_{S,23}^2 = \sqrt{2}\lambda v \delta \mu_{\text{tot}}$ , where the dimensionless parameter  $\delta$  is defined by  $\delta \equiv [2\mu_{\text{tot}} - (A_\lambda + m_N) \sin 2\beta]/(2\mu_{\text{tot}})$ . Here  $\delta$  quantifies the degree of cancellation between distinct terms in the mass matrix, characterizing the relative balance between the effective  $\mu$ -term and SUSY-breaking parameters. Notably, this parametrization exhibits significant advantages that it inherently enables larger couplings  $\lambda$  with small values of  $\delta$  while remaining compatible with the LHC Higgs data. In this work we use  $\delta$  instead of  $A_\lambda$  as the input parameter.

### 3 Numerical Result


This section introduces our scan strategy and presents our numerical results. The GNMSSM model file is constructed using the package SARAH-4.14.3 [56–59], and the particle spectrum is generated with the code SPheno-4.0.5 [56, 60–62]. To explore the parameter space, we utilize the parallelized MultiNest algorithm [63]. This algorithm implements a complex multimodal nested sampling framework, enabling efficient identification of high-likelihood regions, simultaneous detection of multiple solution modes, and calculation of robust Bayesian evidence.

#### 3.1 Research Strategy

In the specific parameter space scan, we set the value of  $n_{\text{live}} = 8000$ . Through multiple trial-and-error processes, we choose the GNMSSM parameter space as shown in Table 1. The likelihood function  $\mathcal{L}$  that guided our scan is influenced by relevant

experimental constraints. When the parameter points satisfy the experimental limits,  $\mathcal{L} = 1$ ; otherwise,  $\mathcal{L} = \exp[100]$ . These constraints include:

- **The SM-like Higgs boson:** In our study, we consider  $h_1$  or  $h_2$  as the SM-like Higgs boson  $h$ . The mass of  $h$  has an uncertainty of 3 GeV, so it should be restricted within the range  $122 \text{ GeV} \leq m_h \leq 128 \text{ GeV}$ .
- **Higgs data fit:** Assuming that  $h$  corresponds to the Higgs boson discovered by the LHC, its properties should align with the measurements reported by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations at the 95% confidence level. A p-value larger than 0.05 was required, which was tested by the code HiggsSignals-2.6.2 [64–67].
- **Extra Higgs searches:** Additional Higgs bosons must satisfy the limits from the direct searches at LEP, Tevatron, and LHC, which are evaluated using the code HiggsBounds-5.10.2 [68–72].
- **$B$ -physics observables:** Theoretical predictions for the branching ratios of  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  and  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  are required to be consistency with experimental measurements within  $2\sigma$  [73].




**Figure 1.** The branching ratio  $\sigma(h)/\sigma_{SM}(h)Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow bb\tau\tau)$  as a function of  $m_{a_1}$ . The left and right plots represent the  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  scenario, respectively. The blue points indicate the surviving points considering the constraints listed in Sec.3.1, and the black solid line shows the constraints provided by ATLAS regarding the decay channel  $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$  [38].


### 3.2 LHC Direct search Limits

For the light Higgs scenario, both the ATLAS and CMS collaborations have provided direct search constraints based on the observations of its decay products. The ATLAS collaboration presents the search for exotic decays of the Higgs boson into a pair of pseudoscalar particles  $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1$  with one pseudoscalar decays to two b quarks and the other to a pair of  $\tau$  leptons [38]. We project the surviving samples considering





**Figure 2.** Similar to Fig. 1, here the black solid line shows the constraints provided by CMS, originating from the decay channel  $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb$  [34].




**Figure 3.** Similar to Fig. 1, here the black solid line shows the constraints provided by CMS, originating from the decay channel  $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau\tau bb$  [34].

the constraints listed in Sec.3.1 onto a two-dimensional plane with the vertical axis denoting  $\sigma(h)/\sigma_{SM}(h)Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow bb\tau\tau)$ , as shown in Fig.1. In the figure, the left and right plots depict the  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  scenarios, respectively. The solid line denotes the observed 95% C.L. upper limits provided by ATLAS. Parameter space points above the solid line are excluded by the ATLAS direct search exclusion limits,

while those below remain viable. It can be seen that for the  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  scenarios, ATLAS provides a strong constraint.


The CMS collaboration presents the search for exotic decays of the Higgs boson into a pair of pseudoscalars in the  $\mu\mu bb$  and  $\tau\tau bb$  final states[34]. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 demonstrate the exclusion power of the CMS direct Higgs search constraints over the parameter space. In the figure, the left plot corresponds to the  $h_1$  scenario, while the right plot illustrates the  $h_2$  scenario. The solid line denotes the observed exclusion limits at 95% CL provided by CMS, with parameter space samples above the line being experimentally ruled out and those below retaining phenomenological viability. It can be observed that both the  $\mu\mu bb$  and  $\tau\tau bb$  decay channels have certain exclusion capabilities, with the  $\tau\tau bb$  channel appearing to have slightly stronger exclusion power, although the difference is not substantial.

### 3.3 HiggsTools constraints




**Figure 4.** Similar to Fig. 1, the blue points represent the surviving points considering both the constraints listed in Sec.3.1 and HiggsTools (HT), the red points are those only excluded by HiggsSignals (HS), the orange points are those only excluded by HiggsBounds (HB), and the magenta points are those excluded by both HB and HS.

The codes HiggsBounds and HiggsSignals have recently been updated and combined into the package HiggsTools[45]. The subpackage HiggsBounds provides direct constraints from searches for extra new scalar bosons, while HiggsSignals evaluates compatibility with the measurements of the 125 GeV Higgs boson. Therefore, we further extend the analysis to incorporate the constraints from HiggsTools on the parameter space of GNMSSM. Similar to Figure 1-3, Figure 4-6 further exhibit the constraints from HiggsTools. In these figures, the blue points represent the surviving parameter space allowed further by the constraints from HiggsTools, whereas the red diamond points represent those excluded only by HiggsSignals, orange square points represent those excluded only by HiggsBounds, while magenta points represent those excluded by both HiggsSignals and HiggsBounds. Comparative analysis demonstrates that the upgraded package HiggsTools imposes markedly stronger constraints relative to legacy versions of HiggsSignals and HiggsBounds, eliminating a large portion



**Figure 5.** Similar to Fig. 4, here the black solid line shows the constraints provided by CMS, originating from the decay channel  $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb$ .




**Figure 6.** Similar to Fig. 4, here the black solid line shows the constraints provided by CMS, originating from the decay channel  $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau\tau bb$ .

of previously allowed parameter space points shown in Figure 1-3. And its exclusion power further surpasses even the latest LHC direct Higgs search constraints shown with the solid line in the figure. After applying the constraints from HiggsTools, Fig.4 shows that the branching ratio of Higgs bosons decaying into  $\tau\tau bb$  in the GNMSSM can reach to 1.9% in  $h_1$  scenario and 2.1% in the  $h_2$  scenario, respectively. Fig.5

shows that the maximum value of  $B(H \rightarrow \mu\mu bb)$  can reach to  $0.489 \times 10^{-4}$  in the  $h_1$  scenario and  $0.445 \times 10^{-4}$  in the  $h_2$  scenario, respectively. Fig.6 shows that the branching ratio of Higgs bosons decaying into  $\tau\tau bb$  in the GNMSSM can reach to 1.95% in the  $h_1$  scenario and 2.1% in the  $h_2$  scenario, respectively.

Fig.4-6 also show that the exclusion power of **HiggsBounds** is significantly stronger than that of **HiggsSignals**. Parameter points excluded only by **HiggsSignals** are almost non-existent, and points excluded by **HiggsSignals** are almost always excluded by **HiggsBounds** as well. In the  $h_1$  scenario, the parameter points with relatively larger values of  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb/\tau\tau bb)$  (i.e.  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau\tau bb) \geq 2.5\%$  or  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb) \geq 0.9 \times 10^{-4}$ ) are excluded by both **HiggsSignals** and **HiggsBounds**, while those with smaller values are excluded only by **HiggsBounds**. This is because the code **HiggsBounds** imposes direct constraints and **HiggsSignals** imposes indirect constraints on the searches for non-SM-like Higgs bosons. An excessively large values of  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1)$  is highly likely to imply an excessively large values of  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb/\tau\tau bb)$ , but the reverse is not necessarily true. But small values of  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb/\tau\tau bb)$  always mean small values of  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1)$ , which has little influence on the properties of 125GeV Higgs boson.



**Figure 7.** Similar to the right plot in Fig.4-6, here the label of the horizontal axis is  $Br(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1)$ .

Consistent with the  $h_1$  scenario, analogous characteristics are observed in the  $h_2$  scenario. However, it simultaneously manifests distinctive features in the  $h_2$  scenario. The right plot of Fig.4-6 shows that some parameter points with  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau\tau bb) \leq 2.5\%$  or  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb) \leq 0.9 \times 10^{-4}$  are also excluded by **HiggsSignals**. In this case the exotic decay channel  $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1$  becomes kinematically accessible and has enhanced branching fraction, which may influence the properties of the SM-like Higgs and consequently affect the constraints imposed by **HiggsSignals**. In Fig.7 we plot the relationship between  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb/\tau\tau bb)$  and  $Br(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1)$  in the  $h_2$  scenario. Fig.7 reveals an anticorrelation between

$Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb/\tau\tau bb)$  and  $Br(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1)$  across the parameter space, which validates our explanation.

## 4 Conclusion

This study systematically investigates the exotic decay of the 125 GeV Higgs boson to a pair of the lightest CP-odd Higgs bosons in the GNMSSM, considering the recent direct search constraints from ATLAS and CMS collaborations and HiggsTools limitations integrating updated HiggsBounds exclusion data and HiggsSignals statistical analyses. Initially, the parameter space of GNMSSM is systematically scanned under the fundamental constraints of HiggsSignals-2.6.2 and HiggsBounds-5.10.2. Subsequently, LHC direct search constraints are applied to further restrict the parameter space. Finally, the HiggsTools framework is used to exhaustively constrain the parameter space of GNMSSM. The comprehensive comparative analysis demonstrates that the upgraded package HiggsTools imposes significantly enhanced constraints compared to legacy versions of HiggsSignals-2.6.2 and HiggsBounds-5.10.2. Furthermore, its exclusion capacity notably exceeds the current experimental bounds derived from LHC direct Higgs searches, which fundamentally rely solely on individual decay channel analyses.

To better understand the constraining effects of the recent Higgs data on the parameter space of GNMSSM featuring a light CP-odd Higgs boson, we separately investigate the impacts of the two subpackages HiggsSignals and HiggsBounds embedded within the HiggsTools framework, and derive the following critical conclusions:

- Across both the  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  scenarios, the constraints from HiggsBounds emerge as the most stringent in delimiting the theoretical parameter spaces. In comparison, the constraints from HiggsSignals and the direct individual decay channel searches at the LHC are much weaker. Almost all parameter points excluded by HiggsSignals and the individual decay channel analyses at the LHC can also be excluded by HiggsBounds. The reason is that, for non-SM Higgs searches, HiggsBounds provides direct constraints, while HiggsSignals imposes indirect constraints.
- The studies in the  $h_2$  scenario manifest unique phenomenological characteristics. Notably, parameter points with suppressed exotic decay branching ratios, specifically  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau\tau bb) \leq 2.5\%$  or  $Br(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu bb) \leq 0.9 \times 10^{-4}$  can also be excluded by HiggsSignals. This exclusion arises from the kinematic accessibility of the exotic decay channel  $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1$ , which exhibits substantially enhanced branching fractions. Such augmented decay channel induce modifications to the properties of the SM-like Higgs boson, thereby amplifying the sensitivity of HiggsSignals indirect constraints on these otherwise kinematically suppressed regions.

Fortunately, although current Higgs data impose rigorous constraints on the light CP-odd Higgs scenario, there still exist phenomenologically viable parameter regions, which provide the possibility for future exploration of the light Higgs phenomenology.

## Acknowledgement

We sincerely thank Prof. Junjie Cao for helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Combined search for the Standard Model Higgs boson using up to  $4.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $pp$  collision data at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **710** (2012) 49 [[1202.1408](#)].
- [2] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Combined results of searches for the standard model Higgs boson in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **710** (2012) 26 [[1202.1488](#)].
- [3] H. P. Nilles, *Supersymmetry, Supergravity and Particle Physics*, *Phys. Rept.* **110** (1984) 1.
- [4] H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, *The Search for Supersymmetry: Probing Physics Beyond the Standard Model*, *Phys. Rept.* **117** (1985) 75.
- [5] J. F. Gunion and H. E. Haber, *Higgs Bosons in Supersymmetric Models. 1.*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **272** (1986) 1.
- [6] S. P. Martin, *A Supersymmetry primer*, *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.* **18** (1998) 1 [[hep-ph/9709356](#)].
- [7] D. J. Miller, R. Nevzorov and P. M. Zerwas, *The Higgs sector of the next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **681** (2004) 3 [[hep-ph/0304049](#)].
- [8] U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie and A. M. Teixeira, *The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model*, *Phys. Rept.* **496** (2010) 1 [[0910.1785](#)].
- [9] M. Maniatis, *The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model reviewed*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **25** (2010) 3505 [[0906.0777](#)].
- [10] J.-J. Cao, Z.-X. Heng, J. M. Yang, Y.-M. Zhang and J.-Y. Zhu, *A SM-like Higgs near 125 GeV in low energy SUSY: a comparative study for MSSM and NMSSM*, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 086 [[1202.5821](#)].
- [11] J. Cao, Y. He, L. Shang, Y. Zhang and P. Zhu, *Current status of a natural NMSSM in light of LHC 13 TeV data and XENON-1T results*, *Phys. Rev.* **D99** (2019) 075020 [[1810.09143](#)].
- [12] J. Cao, Y. He, L. Shang, W. Su and Y. Zhang, *Natural NMSSM after LHC Run I and the Higgsino dominated dark matter scenario*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 037 [[1606.04416](#)].

- [13] J. Cao, Y. He, L. Shang, W. Su, P. Wu and Y. Zhang, *Strong constraints of LUX-2016 results on the natural NMSSM*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 136 [[1609.00204](#)].
- [14] Z. Heng, X. Gong and H. Zhou, *Pair production of Higgs boson in NMSSM at the LHC with the next-to-lightest CP-even Higgs boson being SM-like*, *Chin. Phys. C* **42** (2018) 073103 [[1805.01598](#)].
- [15] J. Cao, X. Guo, Y. He, P. Wu and Y. Zhang, *Diphoton signal of the light Higgs boson in natural NMSSM*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 116001 [[1612.08522](#)].
- [16] J. Cao, D. Li, L. Shang, P. Wu and Y. Zhang, *Exploring the Higgs Sector of a Most Natural NMSSM and its Prediction on Higgs Pair Production at the LHC*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 026 [[1409.8431](#)].
- [17] U. Ellwanger, M. Muehleitner, N. Rompotis, N. R. Shah and D. Winterbottom, *Benchmark Lines and Planes for Higgs-to-Higgs Decays in the NMSSM*, [2403.15046](#).
- [18] U. Ellwanger and C. Hugonie, *Benchmark planes for Higgs-to-Higgs decays in the NMSSM*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 406 [[2203.05049](#)].
- [19] S. Ma, K. Wang and J. Zhu, *Higgs decay to light (pseudo)scalars in the semi-constrained NMSSM*, *Chin. Phys. C* **45** (2021) 023113 [[2006.03527](#)].
- [20] W. Wang, M. Zhang and J. Zhao, *Higgs exotic decays in general NMSSM with self-interacting dark matter*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **33** (2018) 1841002 [[1604.00123](#)].
- [21] J. Cao, F. Ding, C. Han, J. M. Yang and J. Zhu, *A light Higgs scalar in the NMSSM confronted with the latest LHC Higgs data*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 018 [[1309.4939](#)].
- [22] D. Curtin et al., *Exotic decays of the 125 GeV Higgs boson*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 075004 [[1312.4992](#)].
- [23] J. Cheng, R. Husain, L. Li and M. J. Strassler, *Limits on an Exotic Higgs Decay From a Recast ATLAS Four-Lepton Analysis*, [2412.14452](#).
- [24] H. Zhou and G. Ban, *Status of  $Z_3$ -NMSSM featuring a light bino-dominated LSP and a light singlet-like scalar under the LZ Experiment*, [2502.14664](#).
- [25] J. Huang, T. Liu, L.-T. Wang and F. Yu, *Supersymmetric Exotic Decays of the 125 GeV Higgs Boson*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 221803 [[1309.6633](#)].
- [26] J. Cao, X. Jia, L. Meng, Y. Yue and D. Zhang, *Status of the singlino-dominated dark matter in general Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model*, *JHEP* **03** (2023) 198 [[2210.08769](#)].
- [27] J. Cao, X. Jia and J. Lian, *Unified interpretation of the muon  $g-2$  anomaly, the 95 GeV diphoton, and  $bb^-$  excesses in the general next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) 115039 [[2402.15847](#)].
- [28] L. Meng, J. Cao, F. Li and S. Yang, *Dark Matter physics in general NMSSM*, *JHEP* **08** (2024) 212 [[2405.07036](#)].

- [29] J. Cao, D. Li, J. Lian, Y. Yue and H. Zhou, *Singlino-dominated dark matter in general NMSSM*, [2102.05317](#).
- [30] J. Cao, X. Jia, J. Lian and L. Meng, *95 GeV diphoton and  $bb^-$  excesses in the general next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) 075001 [[2310.08436](#)].
- [31] J. Cao, J. Lian, Y. Pan, Y. Yue and D. Zhang, *Impact of recent  $(g - 2)_{\mu}$  measurement on the light CP-even Higgs scenario in general Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model*, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 203 [[2201.11490](#)].
- [32] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *ATLAS searches for additional scalars and exotic Higgs boson decays with the LHC Run 2 dataset*, [2405.04914](#).
- [33] CMS collaboration, A. Hayrapetyan et al., *Search for the decay of the Higgs boson to a pair of light pseudoscalar bosons in the final state with four bottom quarks in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV*, *JHEP* **06** (2024) 097 [[2403.10341](#)].
- [34] CMS collaboration, A. Hayrapetyan et al., *Search for exotic decays of the Higgs boson to a pair of pseudoscalars in the  $\mu\mu bb$  and  $\tau\tau bb$  final states*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84** (2024) 493 [[2402.13358](#)].
- [35] CMS collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Search for light bosons in decays of the 125 GeV Higgs boson in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 076 [[1701.02032](#)].
- [36] ATLAS collaboration, M. Aaboud et al., *Search for Higgs boson decays into a pair of light bosons in the  $bb\mu\mu$  final state in  $pp$  collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **790** (2019) 1 [[1807.00539](#)].
- [37] CMS collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan et al., *Search for an exotic decay of the Higgs boson to a pair of light pseudoscalars in the final state with two  $b$  quarks and two  $\tau$  leptons in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **785** (2018) 462 [[1805.10191](#)].
- [38] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for decays of the Higgs boson into a pair of pseudoscalar particles decaying into  $bb^- \tau^+ \tau^-$  using  $pp$  collisions at  $s=13$  TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) 052013 [[2407.01335](#)].
- [39] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for Higgs boson decays into a pair of pseudoscalar particles in the  $\gamma\gamma\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$  final state using  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [2412.14046](#).
- [40] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for new light gauge bosons in Higgs boson decays to four-lepton final states in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 092001 [[1505.07645](#)].
- [41] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Search for a Non-Standard-Model Higgs Boson Decaying to a Pair of New Light Bosons in Four-Muon Final States*, *Phys. Lett. B* **726** (2013) 564 [[1210.7619](#)].



- [42] CMS collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *A search for pair production of new light bosons decaying into muons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **752** (2016) 146 [[1506.00424](#)].
- [43] ATLAS collaboration, M. Aaboud et al., *Search for Higgs boson decays to beyond-the-Standard-Model light bosons in four-lepton events with the ATLAS detector at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV*, *JHEP* **06** (2018) 166 [[1802.03388](#)].
- [44] CMS collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan et al., *A search for pair production of new light bosons decaying into muons in proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **796** (2019) 131 [[1812.00380](#)].
- [45] H. Bahl, T. Biekötter, S. Heinemeyer, C. Li, S. Paasch, G. Weiglein et al., *HiggsTools: BSM scalar phenomenology with new versions of HiggsBounds and HiggsSignals*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **291** (2023) 108803 [[2210.09332](#)].
- [46] U. Ellwanger, *NONRENORMALIZABLE INTERACTIONS FROM SUPERGRAVITY, QUANTUM CORRECTIONS AND EFFECTIVE LOW-ENERGY THEORIES*, *Phys. Lett. B* **133** (1983) 187.
- [47] S. A. Abel, *Destabilizing divergences in the NMSSM*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **480** (1996) 55 [[hep-ph/9609323](#)].
- [48] C. F. Kolda, S. Pokorski and N. Polonsky, *Stabilized singlets in supergravity as a source of the  $\mu$  - parameter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 5263 [[hep-ph/9803310](#)].
- [49] C. Panagiotakopoulos and K. Tamvakis, *Stabilized NMSSM without domain walls*, *Phys. Lett. B* **446** (1999) 224 [[hep-ph/9809475](#)].
- [50] G. G. Ross and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, *The Fine-Tuning of the Generalised NMSSM*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **862** (2012) 710 [[1108.1284](#)].
- [51] H. M. Lee, S. Raby, M. Ratz, G. G. Ross, R. Schieren, K. Schmidt-Hoberg et al., *A unique  $\mathbb{Z}_4^R$  symmetry for the MSSM*, *Phys. Lett. B* **694** (2011) 491 [[1009.0905](#)].
- [52] H. M. Lee, S. Raby, M. Ratz, G. G. Ross, R. Schieren, K. Schmidt-Hoberg et al., *Discrete  $R$  symmetries for the MSSM and its singlet extensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **850** (2011) 1 [[1102.3595](#)].
- [53] G. G. Ross, K. Schmidt-Hoberg and F. Staub, *The Generalised NMSSM at One Loop: Fine Tuning and Phenomenology*, *JHEP* **08** (2012) 074 [[1205.1509](#)].
- [54] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for heavy Higgs bosons decaying into two tau leptons with the ATLAS detector using  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 051801 [[2002.12223](#)].
- [55] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for charged Higgs bosons decaying into a top quark and a bottom quark at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **06** (2021) 145 [[2102.10076](#)].
- [56] F. Staub, *SARAH*, [0806.0538](#).
- [57] F. Staub, *SARAH 3.2: Dirac Gauginos, UFO output, and more*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184** (2013) 1792 [[1207.0906](#)].

- [58] F. Staub, *SARAH 4 : A tool for (not only SUSY) model builders*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** (2014) 1773 [[1309.7223](#)].
- [59] F. Staub, *Exploring new models in all detail with SARAH*, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015** (2015) 840780 [[1503.04200](#)].
- [60] W. Porod and F. Staub, *Spheno 3.1: extensions including flavour, cp-phases and models beyond the mssm*, *Computer Physics Communications* **183** (2012) 2458–2469.
- [61] W. Porod, *SPheno, a program for calculating supersymmetric spectra, SUSY particle decays and SUSY particle production at e+ e- colliders*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **153** (2003) 275 [[hep-ph/0301101](#)].
- [62] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema and A. Pukhov, *micrOMEGAs : a code for the calculation of Dark Matter properties in generic models of particle interaction*, in *The Dark Secrets of the Terascale: Proceedings, TASI 2011, Boulder, Colorado, USA, Jun 6 - Jul 11, 2011*, pp. 739–790, 2013, [1402.0787](#), DOI.
- [63] F. Feroz, M. P. Hobson and M. Bridges, *MultiNest: an efficient and robust Bayesian inference tool for cosmology and particle physics*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **398** (2009) 1601 [[0809.3437](#)].
- [64] P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål, T. Stefaniak and G. Weiglein, *HiggsSignals: Confronting arbitrary Higgs sectors with measurements at the Tevatron and the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2711 [[1305.1933](#)].
- [65] O. Stål and T. Stefaniak, *Constraining extended Higgs sectors with HiggsSignals*, *PoS EPS-HEP2013* (2013) 314 [[1310.4039](#)].
- [66] P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål, T. Stefaniak and G. Weiglein, *Probing the Standard Model with Higgs signal rates from the Tevatron, the LHC and a future ILC*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 039 [[1403.1582](#)].
- [67] P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, T. Klingl, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein and J. Wittbrodt, *HiggsSignals-2: Probing new physics with precision Higgs measurements in the LHC 13 TeV era*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 145 [[2012.09197](#)].
- [68] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, G. Weiglein and K. E. Williams, *HiggsBounds: Confronting Arbitrary Higgs Sectors with Exclusion Bounds from LEP and the Tevatron*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 138 [[0811.4169](#)].
- [69] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, G. Weiglein and K. E. Williams, *HiggsBounds 2.0.0: Confronting Neutral and Charged Higgs Sector Predictions with Exclusion Bounds from LEP and the Tevatron*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182** (2011) 2605 [[1102.1898](#)].
- [70] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein et al., *Recent Developments in HiggsBounds and a Preview of HiggsSignals*, *PoS CHARGED2012* (2012) 024 [[1301.2345](#)].
- [71] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stål, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein et al., *HiggsBounds – 4: Improved Tests of Extended Higgs Sectors against Exclusion*

- Bounds from LEP, the Tevatron and the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2693 [[1311.0055](#)].
- [72] P. Bechtle, D. Dercks, S. Heinemeyer, T. Klingl, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein et al., *HiggsBounds-5: Testing Higgs Sectors in the LHC 13 TeV Era*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 1211 [[2006.06007](#)].
- [73] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, P. Zyla et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2020** (2020) 083C01.