

Uniform Boundedness of Homogeneous Incompressible Flows in \mathbb{R}^3

Ulisse Iotti*

October 3, 2024

Abstract

This paper investigates the extendability of local solutions for incompressible 3D Navier-Stokes and 3D Euler problems, with initial data \mathbf{u}_0 in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where s ensures the existence and uniqueness of classical solutions. A geometric decomposition of the configuration space, identified by the orthogonality between the solution \mathbf{u} and the pressure forces ∇p , splits the problem into two simpler subproblems, which enable the uniform boundedness of the solution in each component of the partition, thereby ensuring the extendability of the solution.

Keywords: Incompressible Navier-Stokes, Incompressible Euler, Global solutions, No blow-up, Uniform boundedness, Fluid dynamics, Incompressible flows, Orthogonality of pressure forces, Pressure forces and energy conservation, CFD

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The main result	3
2.1	Ideas of the proof	3
3	Preliminaries and known results	3
3.1	Local existence and uniqueness theorems in the Eulerian approach	3
3.2	Sufficient conditions for extendability	4
3.3	Representation by the Lamb Vector	5
3.4	Foundations of the Lagrangian approach for incompressible fluids	6
4	Partition of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T^*)$ leading to problem simplification	7
4.1	The $(\mathbf{u}, \nabla p)$ -orthogonality set	7
4.2	The zero-vorticity set	8
5	Uniform boundedness of solutions for the Euler problem	9
6	Uniform boundedness of solutions for the Navier-Stokes problem	10

*ulisse.iotti@globalscienceresearch.org

This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International license for non-commercial use ([CC BY-NC-SA 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)). This document is an unsigned copy. The original is signed in PADES-LT format, compliant with eIDAS, by Ulisse Iotti on 06/03/2025. [Verify the original signed document here.](#)

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the initial value problem for the homogeneous incompressible 3D Euler equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

and the homogeneous incompressible 3D Navier-Stokes equations

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), u_3(x, t))$ denote the velocity field, and let $p(x, t)$ represent the pressure function of an ideal fluid. Here, μ is the kinematic viscosity, which is a positive constant.

A salient feature of the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is the inclusion of the pressure term, which is not governed by a dynamical equation. Indeed, pressure is not an independent variable; rather, it functions as a Lagrange multiplier that enforces the incompressibility condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. In problems (1.1) and (1.2), the kinematic pressure p is obtained by solving Poisson's problem, which is derived by taking the divergence of the evolution equations (1.1) or (1.2):

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta p = \nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}] = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) = \text{Tr}(\nabla \mathbf{u})^2 \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p = 0 \end{cases}$$

In the whole space with decaying boundary conditions, the pressure is given by:

$$p = \sum_{j,k} R_i R_j (u_i u_j)$$

where $R_i = \partial_i (-\Delta)^{-1}$ are Riesz transforms. The pressure is defined up to a time-dependent constant, and the usual choice involves zero average pressure. It can be noted that the pressure forces are not of a local nature.

The Navier-Stokes (1.2) and Euler (1.1) equations describe the behavior of incompressible flows and play a central role in the theory of nonlinear partial differential equations. Among the fundamental open questions is the possibility of globally extending local regular solutions in the case of finite energy, or determining whether blow-up can occur in finite time.

Numerous authors who have studied the problem in depth have identified several discriminating properties of the local solutions, the boundedness of which guarantees the extendability, while the unboundedness leads to the formation of singularities.

To obtain an existence theorem, regardless of the functional space utilized, an approximating sequence of the solution will always be constructed, whose terms generally exhibit global existence in time; however, this global existence is lost in the transition to the limit when obtaining the final solution. This occurs because it is necessary to traverse a *bottleneck* that cannot be crossed while preserving the approximations. This constraint is associated with some form of compactness or dominated convergence, which is controlled by the nonlinear term.

It is hypothesized that this *bottleneck* does not represent an inevitable impediment, but rather a technical limitation stemming from the conventional treatment of the nonlinear term as a straightforward product of functions in norm estimation. This approach inevitably neglects the Helmholtz projector and the intrinsic structure of the nonlinear term, which, if *untied* like a trivial knot, could significantly simplify the problem.

The present study addresses the extendability of a family of classical local solutions for finite energy, where sufficient regularity of initial data permits simplified notation. No explicit criterion for convergence or blow-up dynamics is proposed. The adopted approach involves a geometric decomposition of the problem into two analogous yet elementary subproblems, defined through an appropriate two-component partition of the configuration space.

2 The main result

The main results of the paper are the following:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ be a solution to (1.1) with initial condition $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ where $s > 3/2 + 2$. Then,*

$$(2.1) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\infty \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*)$$

Hence, the solution \mathbf{u} can be extended for all $t \geq 0$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ be a solution to (1.2) with initial condition $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ where $s > 3/2 - 1$. Then,*

$$(2.2) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \varepsilon)\|_\infty \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, T^*)$$

Hence, the solution \mathbf{u} can be extended for all $t \geq 0$.

REMARK 2.1. *The aim of this work is not to determine the minimal regularity necessary, but to adopt the lowest level of regularity sufficient to optimize notational simplicity.*

2.1 Ideas of the proof

To investigate the scenario of infinite extendability of solutions, we consider the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ as a solution, for instance, to the Euler problem (1.1).

$$D_t \mathbf{u} = \partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}$$

is the material derivative of \mathbf{u} , expressing the variation of \mathbf{u} over time along the stream lines. We can rewrite (1.1) as

$$D_t \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p$$

and by scalar multiplication with \mathbf{u} , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} D_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 = -\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

which expresses the variation of energy along the stream lines.

If ∇p were always orthogonal to \mathbf{u} , we would have $D_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 \equiv 0$, leading to energy conservation along all stream lines and $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_\infty \equiv \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\infty$.

The condition $\nabla p \perp \mathbf{u}$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T^*)$ turns out to be overly restrictive for general solutions \mathbf{u} . Nevertheless, we impose this constraint by an auxiliary PDE with a new unknown field, thus introducing additional degrees of freedom into the constraint expression. In this PDE, \mathbf{u} and its spatial derivatives will act as coefficients. The domain of existence of the solution to the auxiliary equation induces a two-component partition of \mathbb{R}^3 , which is preserved under the *flow map* diffeomorphism. This allows the problem to be split into two simpler problems and enables a straightforward characterization of \mathbf{u} in each component of the partition of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T^*)$.

3 Preliminaries and known results

3.1 Local existence and uniqueness theorems in the Eulerian approach

In this work, we state only the classical existence and uniqueness theorems for Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as they suffice for our analysis and no results in more general functional spaces are required. For each theorem, we will provide bibliographic references to explore the most recent developments in much more general functional spaces.

THEOREM 3.1 (Theorem of local existence of classical solutions for incompressible Navier-Stokes problems in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > n/2 - 1$).

- (i) *Let $s > n/2 - 1$. For $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$, there exist a positive T^* and a (unique) weak solution \mathbf{u} for the incompressible Navier-Stokes problem (1.2) on $(0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$\mathbf{u}(0, x) = \mathbf{u}_0(x)$. Moreover, the solution \mathbf{u} satisfies the following:

- (a) $\mathbf{u} \in C((0, T^*), H^s \mathbb{R}^n)$
- (b) $\mathbf{u} \in C^\infty((0, T^*), H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- (c) $\mathbf{u} \in C^\infty((0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$
- (d) $\mathbf{u} \in L^2((0, T), H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all $T \in (0, T^*)$

(ii) The maximal existence time T^* of the smooth solution \mathbf{u} is finite if and only if $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_\infty = \infty$.

In local existence theorems for the Navier-Stokes equations (1.2) and the Euler equations (1.1), we typically analyze the projected equation using the Helmholtz projector, which maps onto divergence-free vector fields and eliminates the pressure gradient.

For the Navier-Stokes equations, the integral form of the equation and the semigroup generated by the projected Laplacian (the Stokes operator) play a crucial role, as the latter provides regularization properties essential for this formulation.

Existence theorems, in general, depend on approximation or regularization strategies, leading to the construction of a sequence that converges, in some topology, to the solution. This sequence is frequently generated — i.e., its existence is proven — using techniques related to some form of fixed-point argument. Typically, passing to the limit requires a form of compactness, obtained through a priori estimates or uniform properties tied to the nonlinear term. However, ensuring this compactness typically necessitates sacrificing certain properties of the sequence elements, such as global existence or some degree of regularity.

Theorems in Sobolev spaces are essentially derived from the works of [180], [181], [182], [184] and [185]. Kato's mild solutions paved the way for developments in Besov spaces, as seen in [40], [41], [8] and later extended to BMO^{-1} spaces, pioneered by [190].

For a comprehensive overview of existence and uniqueness theorems for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in Sobolev, Besov, homogeneous Besov, BMO , and BMO^{-1} spaces, see [202], [197].

THEOREM 3.2 (Theorem of local existence of classical solutions for incompressible Euler problems in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > n/2 + 1$).

(i) Let $k \geq 0$ and $s > n/2 + k + 1$. For $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$, there exist a positive T^* and a classical solution \mathbf{u} for the incompressible Euler problem (1.1) on $(-T^*, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{u}(0, x) = \mathbf{u}_0(x)$ and $0 \leq r \leq k$. Moreover, the solution \mathbf{u} satisfies the following:

- (a) $\mathbf{u} \in C^r((-T^*, T^*), H^{(s-r)}(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- (b) $\mathbf{u} \in C^r((-T^*, T^*), C^{(k+1-r)}(\mathbb{R}^n))$

(ii) The maximal existence time T^* of the smooth solution \mathbf{u} is finite if and only if $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_\infty = \infty$.

For a comprehensive overview of existence and uniqueness theorems for the incompressible Euler equations in Sobolev, Besov, homogeneous Besov, Besov-Herz, and Hölder spaces, see [9] and [208].

3.2 Sufficient conditions for extendability

A common feature of existence theorems is the unavoidable dependence on the time integral of some norm of $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ or, ω whether one uses a form of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality or studies the vorticity equation directly. The behavior of these quantities provides sufficient criteria for extendability or necessary conditions for blow-up. From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, the following theorem follows directly.

THEOREM 3.3 (L^∞ gradient control and global existence).

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s > n/2 + 1$, so that there exists a classical solution $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T^*), H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to the incompressible Euler or Navier-Stokes problem. Then:

(i) If for any $T > 0$ there exists $0 < M_1 < \infty$ such that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_\infty dt \leq M_1,$$

then the solution \mathbf{u} exists globally in time and $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, \infty), H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

(ii) If the maximal time T^* of the existence of the solution \mathbf{u} is finite, then necessarily

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_\infty dt = \infty.$$

This is followed by the famous theorem of BKM [15].

THEOREM 3.4 (BKM. L^∞ vorticity control and global existence).

Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $s \geq 3/2 + 1$, so that there exists a classical solution $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T^*), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to the incompressible Euler or Navier-Stokes problem.

Let $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$, then:

(i) If for any $T > 0$ there exists $0 < M_1 < \infty$ such that

$$\int_0^T \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_\infty dt \leq M_1,$$

then the solution \mathbf{u} exists globally in time and $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, \infty), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

(ii) If the maximal time T^* of the existence of the solution \mathbf{u} is finite, then necessarily

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_\infty dt = \infty.$$

Vorticity is a typical concept defined in \mathbb{R}^3 can be extended to higher dimensions using the exterior derivative of the covelocity 1-form \mathbf{u}^* . The vorticity 2-form $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} := d\mathbf{u}^*$$

where $\omega_{i,j} = \nabla_i u_j - \nabla_j u_i$. Alternatively, vorticity can be viewed as the antisymmetric part of the velocity gradient tensor $\nabla \mathbf{u}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\nabla \mathbf{u} - \nabla \mathbf{u}^T).$$

A significant version of 3.4 was obtained by [195] in L^p spaces for $p > 1$ and [194], [196] proved that the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm can be replaced by the norm in the BMO space. This generalization is interesting because some crucial Sobolev embedding theorems can be applied to the BMO space, but not to the L^∞ space.

The BKM non-blow-up criterion has evolved in various forms, often by incorporating geometric aspects of the solution. It was first introduced in the work of [78], where the direction of vorticity was analyzed. This approach was further developed in [84] and later extended in different directions by many others, such as [159], [99], [116], [20], [16] and [63].

For recent developments on quantitative bounds and critical behavior in solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, see [156], [260] and [13].

3.3 Representation by the Lamb Vector

By decomposing $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ into its symmetric and antisymmetric parts, the equation (1.2) or (1.1), for $\mu \geq 0$, can be rewritten in the form

$$(3.1) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |\mathbf{u}|^2 - \mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = 0.$$

Note 1 (Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition of the Lamb vector $\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}$). Taking the divergence of (3.1) yields

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \Delta p.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \nabla p + \mathbf{a}.$$

where, \mathbf{a} denotes a vector field with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega})$.

3.4 Foundations of the Lagrangian approach for incompressible fluids

The Lagrangian framework provides a geometric perspective for analyzing incompressible fluid dynamics by tracking the motion of individual fluid particles. This approach intrinsically incorporates the divergence-free constraint and reveals deep connections with differential geometry and dynamical systems.

Particle Trajectories and Well-Posedness

Let $\mathbf{u} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a velocity field solving the Euler (1.1) or Navier-Stokes (1.2) equations. The fundamental kinematic law is encoded in the system of ordinary differential equations:

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t), t), \quad \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, 0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha},$$

where $\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t)$ denotes the position at time t of the fluid particle initially at $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Under the regularity assumption $\mathbf{u} \in C^r(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T^*])$ with $r \geq 1$, the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem guarantees: existence and uniqueness of $\mathbf{X} \in C^r(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T^*])$, continuous dependence on initial conditions, preservation of topological structure (no particle crossings).

This establishes \mathbf{X} as a *flow map* generating particle trajectories.

Incompressibility as Volume Preservation

The divergence-free condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ translates into a geometric constraint on the flow map. Let $J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t) := \det(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t))$ be the Jacobian determinant of the deformation gradient tensor. Then:

$$(3.3) \quad J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t) = 1 \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

implying $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, t)$ is a *volume-preserving diffeomorphism*. Physically, this means: fluid parcels evolve without compression/expansion, the pushforward map $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, t)_*$ preserves Lebesgue measure, inverse map $\mathbf{X}^{-1}(\cdot, t)$ exists and is smooth.

Material Derivatives and Acceleration

The *material derivative* quantifies time evolution along particle paths. For any Eulerian function $f(x, t)$, its Lagrangian rate of change is:

$$(3.4) \quad D_t f(x, t) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \text{ fixed}} f(\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t), t) = \partial_t f + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f,$$

where $x = \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t)$. For the velocity field itself, this gives:

$$(3.5) \quad D_t \mathbf{u} = \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{X}}{\partial t^2},$$

directly linking to the momentum equations. The equivalence in (3.5) arises from differentiating $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t), t)$ via the chain rule.

Vorticity Transport and Lagrangian Coherence

A fundamental result is the *vorticity transport formula*, describing the evolution of $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$:

$$(3.6) \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t), t) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0$ is the initial vorticity. This reveals that vorticity vectors are *Lie-transported* along particle paths.

For (1.1), existence and uniqueness theorems can be directly established for the the system (3.2) formulated as integro-differential equations in Hölder spaces, using potential theory [210].

Highly fertile theoretical frameworks are those based on Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics, leveraging the Frobenius theorem or analyzing structures on diffeomorphism groups [5], [235]. However, within these approaches, it is generally challenging to directly establish existence theorems except in particular cases with special symmetries [254], [256], [259], [238], among many others who have investigated the potential for finite-time blow-up.

4 Partition of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T^*)$ leading to problem simplification

DEFINITION 1. Let $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T^*), C^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ solution to (1.2) or (1.1), and let $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$. For $t \in [0, T^*)$ we define

$$(4.1) \quad \Omega_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}(x, t) = 0\}.$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\omega}(\cdot, t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows that Ω_t is a C^1 -regular closed set.

REMARK 4.1. By (3.6), the sets Ω_t are mutually diffeomorphic for different values of t via the map $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, t)$, and by virtue of (3.3), they share the same measure. Particularly, we can write $\Omega_t = \mathbf{X}(\Omega_0, t)$. $\Omega_t \times [0, T^*) = \bigcup_{x \in \Omega_0} \mathbf{X}(x, [0, T^*))$ is a stream tube.

REMARK 4.2. Similarly, the sets $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t$, $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}$ and $\partial\Omega_t$ are mutually diffeomorphic via $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, t)$, share the same measure and identify stream tubes.

4.1 The $(\mathbf{u}, \nabla p)$ -orthogonality set

LEMMA 4.1. Let $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T^*), H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $s > 3/2 + 2$, solution to (1.2) or (1.1) and $t \in [0, T^*)$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{u} \perp \nabla p \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t.$$

Proof. Let $t \in [0, T^*)$. To ensure that $\mathbf{u} \perp \nabla p$, we set

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla p = \mathbf{u} \times \nabla \theta,$$

where $\nabla \theta$ is a vector field to be determined. Then, $\mathbf{u} \perp \nabla p$ holds wherever $\nabla \theta$ exists.

By vector identity

$$\nabla \times (\varphi \mathbf{y}) = \varphi (\nabla \times \mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{y} \times \nabla \varphi$$

equation (4.3), can be rewritten as

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla p = \mathbf{u} \times \nabla \theta = \theta \boldsymbol{\omega} - \nabla \times \theta \mathbf{u}.$$

Taking the pointwise scalar product of both sides of (4.4) with $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla p = \theta |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot (\nabla \times \theta \mathbf{u}).$$

Rearranging terms yields

$$(4.6) \quad \theta = \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla p}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot (\nabla \times \theta \mathbf{u})}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2}.$$

From this expression, it follows that the existence of θ is not guaranteed in Ω_t , since $|\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 = 0$ there.

Next, consider $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t$, where $\boldsymbol{\omega} \neq 0$. Taking the divergence of (4.4), we find

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta p = \nabla \theta \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}.$$

This is a non homogeneous first-order linear partial differential equation for θ . Since $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\Delta p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $\boldsymbol{\omega} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t$, with both $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and Δp vanishing at infinity and $\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$ in $\partial\Omega_t$ and Ω_t

is a C^1 -regular set, the method of characteristics guarantees the existence of a solution $\theta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t)$. Consequently, for all $t \in [0, T^*)$, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \nabla p = \mathbf{u} \times \nabla \theta \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t,$$

and by construction,

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t.$$

□

COROLLARY 4.1.1. $\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega_t$.

Proof. Since $(\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T^*))$, continuity yields

$$\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}.$$

□

COROLLARY 4.1.2. If $\dot{\Omega}_t = \emptyset$, then $\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.1.1.

□

4.2 The zero-vorticity set

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let \mathbf{u} be as defined in Lemma 1. In Ω_t , we have

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega_t} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u}|^2$$

Proof. In Ω_t , we have $\nabla \times \mathbf{u} = 0$, hence there exists a scalar potential ϕ such that $\mathbf{u} = \nabla \phi$.

Since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, ϕ is harmonic, and $(\nabla \phi)^2$ is subharmonic (see note 1).

For $|\mathbf{u}|^2 = (\nabla \phi)^2$ continuous and subharmonic in Ω_t , the weak maximum principle holds:

$$(4.10) \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega_t} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u}|^2.$$

□

COROLLARY 4.2.1. We have $\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \Omega_t} \leq \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}}$ for $t \in [0, T^*)$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2.

□

Note 1 (Subharmonicity of $|\mathbf{u}|^2$).

ϕ is harmonic in Ω_t

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{u}|^2 &= (\nabla \phi)^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \\ \Delta |\mathbf{u}|^2 &= \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 |\mathbf{u}|^2}{\partial x_j^2} = 2 \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 + 2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_j^2} = 2 \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} &= 2 \sum_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \Delta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} = 2 \sum_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta \phi}{\partial x_i} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\Delta |\mathbf{u}|^2 = 2 \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

5 Uniform boundedness of solutions for the Euler problem

THEOREM 5.1 (Uniform Boundedness of the Euler Solution in \mathbb{R}^3).

Let $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ be a solution of problem (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s > 3/2 + 2$. Then the following hold:

A) $D_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 = 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times [0, T^*)$.

B) $\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}}$ for all $t \in [0, T^*)$.

C) $\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\infty$ for all $t \in [0, T^*)$

Hence, the solution \mathbf{u} can be extended for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof(of Main Theorem 2.1).

For $s > 3/2 + 2$, Theorem 3.2 ensures the existence of the solution $\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T^*), C^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

(A) We start with (3.1) and take the pointwise scalar product with \mathbf{u} , yielding

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \partial_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla |\mathbf{u}|^2 \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, Corollary 4.1.1 and Remark 4.2, we have $\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times [0, T^*)$.

Consequently,

$$D_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 = 0 \quad \forall (x, t) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times [0, T^*).$$

(B)

$$\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \max \left\{ \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \Omega_t}, \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}} \right\} \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*)$$

By Corollary 4.2.1, we obtain immediately

$$\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}} \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*).$$

(C) By point (A), energy is constant along all streamlines in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times [0, T^*)$. This leads to

$$(5.2) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}} = \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_0}} \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*).$$

Moreover, (B) ensures that

$$(5.3) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\infty$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_\infty < \infty$, Theorem 3.2 (ii) guarantees that the solution \mathbf{u} can be extended for all $t \geq 0$. □

6 Uniform boundedness of solutions for the Navier-Stokes problem

THEOREM 6.1 (Uniform Boundedness of the Navier-Stokes Solution in \mathbb{R}^3).

Let $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ be a solution of problem (1.2) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s > 3/2 - 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < T^*$. Then the following hold:

$$A) \quad D_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq \mu \Delta |\mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times [\varepsilon, T^*].$$

$$B) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T^*).$$

$$C) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \varepsilon)\|_\infty \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, T^*]$$

Hence, the solution \mathbf{u} can be extended for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof of Main Theorem 2.2.

For $s > 3/2 - 1$, Theorem 3.1 ensures the existence of the solution $\mathbf{u} \in C^\infty((0, T^*), H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

(A) We start with (3.1) and take the pointwise scalar product with \mathbf{u} , yielding

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \partial_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla |\mathbf{u}|^2 \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, Corollary 4.1.1 and Remark 4.2, we have $\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times (0, T^*)$. Equation (6.1), in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times (0, T^*)$ can be rewritten as

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} D_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mu \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\mathbf{u}|^2 - \mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2,$$

and thus

$$(6.3) \quad D_t |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq \mu \Delta |\mathbf{u}|^2 \quad \forall (x, t) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times (0, T^*).$$

(B)

$$\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \max \left\{ \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \Omega_t}, \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}} \right\} \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*]$$

By Corollary 4.2.1, we obtain immediately

$$\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}} \quad \forall t \in (0, T^*).$$

(C) By point (A), $|\mathbf{u}|^2$ is a sub-solution in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t} \times (0, T^*)$. Consequently, the weak parabolic maximum principle applies, and for all $t \in (0, T^*)$, the points where $|\mathbf{u}(x, t)|^2$ attains its absolute maximum lie on $\partial(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t) \cap \partial\Omega_t$ and absolute maximum is non-increasing with respect to t . This leads to

$$(6.4) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_t}} \leq \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \varepsilon)\|_{\infty, \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon}} \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, T^*].$$

Moreover, by (B), we have

$$(6.5) \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \varepsilon)\|_\infty \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, T^*].$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_\infty < \infty$, by Theorem 3.1 (ii), the solution \mathbf{u} can be extended for all $t \geq 0$. \square

arXiv Classes:

Primary Classes: Mathematics (math)

Subclasses: math.AP, math-ph/math.MP, math.DS, math.NA, physics.flu-dyn

References

- [1] H. Abidi, *Équation de Navier-Stokes avec densité et viscosité variables dans l'espace critique*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **23** (2007), no. 2, 537-586.
- [2] H. Abidi, G. Gui, and P. Zhang, *On the wellposedness of 3D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **204** (2012), 189-230.
- [3] Robert A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [4] D. Albritton, *Blow-up criteria for the Navier-Stokes equations in non-endpoint critical Besov spaces*, Anal. PDE **11** (2018), no. 6, 1415-1456.
- [5] V. Arnold, *Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16** (1966), no. 1, 319-361.
- [6] Vladimir I. Arnold and Boris A. Khesin, *Topological methods in hydrodynamics*, Vol. 125, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [7] P. Auscher, S. Dubois, and P. Tchamitchian, *On the stability of global solutions to Navier-Stokes equations in the space VMO^{-1}* , J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **83** (2004), no. 6, 673-697.
- [8] Hajer and Chemin Bahouri Jean-Yves and Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, 1st ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [9] C. Bardos and E. S. Titi, *Euler equations for incompressible ideal fluids*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **62** (2007), no. 3, 346-375.
- [10] Claude Bardos and Edriss S. Titi, *Loss of smoothness and energy conserving rough weak solutions for the 3d Euler equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **3** (2010), no. 2, 185-197.
- [11] C. Bardos and E. Titi, *Mathematics and Turbulence: where do we stand?*, Journal of Turbulence **14** (2013), no. 3, 42-76.
- [12] C. Bardos and E. S. Titi, *Onsager's conjecture for the incompressible Euler equations in bounded domains*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **228** (2018), no. 1, 197-207.
- [13] Tobias and Prange Barker Christophe, *From concentration to quantitative regularity: A short survey of recent developments for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics (2022).
- [14] G. K. Batchelor, *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, 2000.
- [15] J. T. Beale, T. Kato, and A. Majda, *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), no. 1, 61-66.
- [16] Hugo and Giga Beirão da Veiga Yoshikazu and Grujić, *Vorticity Direction and Regularity of Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations*, 2016, pp. 1-31.
- [17] J. Benameur, *On the blow-up criterion of 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **371** (2010), 719-727.
- [18] Luigi C. Berselli and H. H. Beirão da Veiga, *On regularizing effect of the vorticity direction in incompressible viscous flows*, Differential and Integral Equations **3** (2002), 345-356.
- [19] L. C. Berselli, *On a regularity criterion for the solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Differential Integral Equations **15** (2002), no. 9, 1129-1137.
- [20] Luigi C. and Córdoba Berselli Diego, *On the regularity of the solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations: a remark on the role of the helicity*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique **347** (2009), no. 11, 613-618, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2009.03.003.
- [21] L. C. Berselli and E. Chiodaroli, *On the energy equality for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **192** (2020), 111704.
- [22] L. C. Berselli, *Three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations for turbulence*, Academic Press, London, 2021.
- [23] Luigi C. Berselli and Rossano Sannipoli, *Velocity-vorticity geometric constraints for the energy conservation of 3D ideal incompressible fluids* (2024). Preprint.
- [24] L. C. Berselli and S. Georgiadis, *Three results on the energy conservation for the 3D Euler equations*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **31** (2024), 33.

- [25] M. E. Bogovskii, *Solution of some vector analysis problems connected with operators div and grad*, Trudy Sem. S. L. Sobolev **80** (1980), no. 1, 5-40.
- [26] J. P. Bourguignon and H. Brezis, *Remarks on the Euler equation*, J. Func. Anal. **15** (1974), 341–363.
- [27] Franck Boyer and Pierre Fabrie, *Mathematical tools for the study of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and related models*, Vol. 183, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [28] Y. Brenier, C. De Lellis, and L. Székelyhidi, *Weak-strong uniqueness for measure-valued solutions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **305** (2011), 351-361.
- [29] H. Brézis and S. Wainger, *A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **5** (1980), no. 7, 773-789.
- [30] E. Bruè, M. Colombo, and C. De Lellis, *Positive solutions of transport equations and classical nonuniqueness of characteristic curves*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **240** (2021), 1055–1090.
- [31] T. Buckmaster, *Onsager’s conjecture almost everywhere in time*, Comm. Math. Phys. **333** (2015), no. 3, 1175–1198.
- [32] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, P. Isett, and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *Anomalous dissipation for $1/5$ -Hölder Euler flows*, Ann. of Math. **182** (2015), no. 1, 127–172.
- [33] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *Dissipative Euler flows with Onsager-critical spatial regularity*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), no. 9, 1613-1670.
- [34] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi Jr., and V. Vicol, *Onsager’s conjecture for admissible weak solutions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **72** (2018), no. 2, 229-274.
- [35] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol, *Convex integration and phenomenologies in turbulence*, EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences **6** (2019), no. 1-2, 143–263, DOI 10.4171/EMSS/34 (English (US)). Publisher Copyright: © European Mathematical Society.
- [36] ———, *Convex integration constructions in hydrodynamics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (2020), no. 1, 1–44.
- [37] T. Buckmaster, N. Masmoudi, M. Novack, and V. Vicol, *Intermittent convex integration for the 3D Euler equations*, Vol. 217, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2023.
- [38] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), 771-831.
- [39] C. Calderón, *Existence of weak solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations with initial data in L^p* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **318** (1990), 179-200.
- [40] Marco Cannone, *Ondelettes, paraproducts et Navier–Stokes*, 1995.
- [41] ———, *A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **13** (1997), 515-541.
- [42] ———, *Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, 2004, pp. 161-244.
- [43] A. Castro, D. Córdoba, and F. Gancedo, *Singularity formations for a surface wave model*, Nonlinearity **23** (2010), no. 11, 2835-2847.
- [44] A. Castro, D. Córdoba, and D. Faraco, *Mixing solutions for the Muskat problem*, Invent. Math. **226** (2021), 251-348.
- [45] Hi-Jun Choe and Dongho Chae, *Regularity of solution to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **5** (1999), 1-7.
- [46] D. Chae, *On the Well-Posedness of the Euler Equations in the Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces*, Tosio Kato’s Method and Principle for Evolution Equations in Mathematical Physics, 2002, pp. 42–57. Proceedings of the workshop held on June 27–29, 2001.
- [47] ———, *On the well-posedness of the Euler equations in the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **55** (2002), no. 5, 654–678.
- [48] ———, *Remarks on the helicity of the 3-D incompressible Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **240** (2003), 501–507.
- [49] ———, *On the Euler Equations in the Critical Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **170** (2003), no. 3, 185–210.

- [50] ———, *Remarks on the blow-up of the Euler equations and the related equations*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **245** (2003), no. 3, 539–550.
- [51] D. Chae and J. Lee, *Local existence and blow-up criterion of the inhomogeneous Euler equations*, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **5** (2003), 144–165.
- [52] D. Chae, *Local Existence and Blow-up Criterion for the Euler Equations in the Besov Spaces*, *Asymp. Anal.* **38** (2004), no. 3–4, 339–358.
- [53] ———, *Remarks on the blow-up criterion of the 3D Euler equations*, *Nonlinearity* **18** (2005), 1021–1029.
- [54] ———, *On the continuation principles for the Euler equations and the quasi-geostrophic equation*, *J. Diff. Eq.* **227** (2006), 640–651.
- [55] ———, *On the spectral dynamics of the deformation tensor and new a priori estimates for the 3D Euler equations*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **263** (2006), 789–801.
- [56] ———, *On the Regularity Conditions for the Dissipative Quasi-geostrophic Equations*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **37** (2006), no. 5, 1649–1656.
- [57] ———, *On the Lagrangian dynamics for the 3D incompressible Euler equations*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **269** (2006), 557–569.
- [58] ———, *Notes on perturbations of the 3D Euler equations*, *Nonlinearity* **20** (2007), 517–522.
- [59] ———, *On the Regularity Conditions for the Navier-Stokes and the Related Equations*, *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana* **23** (2007), no. 1, 373–386.
- [60] ———, *On the finite time singularities of the 3D incompressible Euler equations*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60** (2007), no. 4, 597–617.
- [61] Dongho Chae, *Incompressible Euler equations: the blow-up problem and related results*, *Handbook of Differential Equations: Evolutionary Equations*, 2008, pp. 1–55.
- [62] Dongho Chae and Roman Shvydkoy, *On formation of a locally self-similar collapse in the incompressible Euler equations*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **209** (2013), no. 3, 999–1017.
- [63] Dongho and Lee Chae Jihoon, *On the Geometric Regularity Conditions for the 3D Navier–Stokes Equations*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **151** (2016), DOI 10.1016/j.na.2016.10.024.
- [64] Dongho Chae and Jörg Wolf, *Energy Concentrations and Type I Blow-Up for the 3D Euler Equations*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **376** (2020), no. 2, 1627–1669, DOI 10.1007/s00220-019-03566-6.
- [65] Dongho Chae and Joerg Wolf, *Localized non blow-up criterion of the Beale-Kato-Majda type for the 3D Euler equations*, *Mathematische Annalen* **383** (2022), no. 3, 837–865, DOI 10.1007/s00208-021-02182-x.
- [66] Jean-Yves and Gallagher Chemin Isabelle and Iftimie, *Perfect Incompressible Fluids*, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [67] J.-Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, *Wellposedness and stability results for the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3* , *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **26** (2009), no. 2, 599–624.
- [68] ———, *A non linear estimate on the life span of solutions of the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, *Tunisian Journal of Mathematics* **1** (2018), DOI 10.2140/tunis.2019.1.273.
- [69] D. Chen, Z. Zhang, and W. Zhao, *Fujita-Kato theorem for the 3-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations*, *J. Differential Equations* **261** (2016), 738–761.
- [70] J. Chen, *On the Finite Time Blowup of the De Gregorio Model for the 3D Euler Equations*, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* **74** (2021), no. 6, 1282–1350, DOI 10.1002/cpa.21991.
- [71] ———, *Remarks on the smoothness of the $C^{1,\alpha}$ asymptotically self-similar singularity in the 3D Euler and 2D Boussinesq equations* (2023).
- [72] A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander, and R. Shvydkoy, *Energy conservation and Onsager’s conjecture for the Euler equations*, *Nonlinearity* **21** (2008), no. 6, 1233, DOI 10.1088/0951-7715/21/6/005.
- [73] A. and Shvydkoy Cheskidov R., *The regularity of weak solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations in $B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$* , *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* **195** (2010), no. 1, 159–169, DOI 10.1007/s00205-009-0265-2.

- [74] E. Bruè, M. Colombo, and C. De Lellis, *Positive solutions of transport equations and classical nonuniqueness of characteristic curves*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **240** (2021), 1055-1090.
- [75] D. Albritton, E. Bruè, and M. Colombo, *Non-uniqueness of Leray solutions of the forced Navier-Stokes equations*, Ann. of Math. **196** (2022), 415-455.
- [76] P. Constantin, *Note on loss of regularity for solutions of the 3D incompressible Euler and related equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), 311-326.
- [77] ———, *Navier-Stokes equations and area of interfaces*, Comm. Math. Physics **129** (1990), 241-266.
- [78] P. Constantin and C. Fefferman, *Direction of Vorticity and the Problem of Global Regularity for the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), 775-789.
- [79] P. Constantin, *Geometric statistics in turbulence*, SIAM **36** (1994), no. 73.
- [80] P. Constantin, E. Weinan, and E. Titi, *Onsager's conjecture on the energy conservation for solutions of Euler's equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), no. 1, 207-209.
- [81] P. Constantin and C. Fefferman, *Scaling exponents in fluid turbulence: some analytic results*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 1, 41.
- [82] P. Constantin, A. Majda, and E. Tabak, *Formation of strong fronts in the 2-D quasigeostrophic thermal active scalar*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 6, 1495-1533.
- [83] P. Constantin, *A few results and open problems regarding incompressible fluids*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1995), no. 6, 658-663.
- [84] P. Constantin, C. Fefferman, and A. Majda, *Geometric constraints on potentially singular solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **21** (1996), no. 3-4, 559-571.
- [85] P. Constantin, Q. Nie, and S. Tanveer, *Bounds for second order structure functions and energy spectrum in turbulence*, Phys. Fluids **11** (1999), no. 8, 2251-2256.
- [86] P. Constantin, *The Euler equations and nonlocal conservative Riccati equations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **9** (2000), 455-465.
- [87] ———, *Some open problems and research directions in the mathematical study of fluid dynamics*, Mathematics Unlimited — 2001 and Beyond, 2001, pp. 353-360.
- [88] ———, *An Eulerian-Lagrangian approach for incompressible fluids: local theory*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 2, 263-278.
- [89] P. Constantin, D. Córdoba, and J. Wu, *On the critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), 97-107.
- [90] P. Constantin, *On the Euler equations of incompressible fluids*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **44** (2007), no. 4, 603-621.
- [91] ———, *Singular, weak and absent: solutions of the Euler equations*, Physica D (2007). To appear.
- [92] P. Constantin, B. Levant, and E. Titi, *Regularity of inviscid shell models of turbulence*, Physical Review E **75** (2007), no. 1, 16305.
- [93] Peter and Wu Constantin Jiahong, *Regularity of Hölder continuous solutions of the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation*, Annales de l'I.H.P. Analyse non linéaire **25** (2008), no. 6, 1103-1110, DOI 10.1016/j.anihpc.2007.10.001.
- [94] P. Constantin, G. Iyer, and J. Wu, *Global regularity for a modified critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 6, 2681-2692.
- [95] P. Constantin and W. Sun, *Remarks on Oldroyd-B and related complex fluid models*, Commun. Math. Sci. **10** (2012), 33-73.
- [96] P. Constantin, *Local formulae for the hydrodynamic pressure and applications*, 69(3) (2014), 395.
- [97] P. Constantin, J. La, and V. Vicol, *Remarks on a paper by Gavrilo: Grad-Shafranov equations, steady solutions of the three dimensional incompressible Euler equations with compactly supported velocities, and applications*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **29** (2019), no. 6, 1773-1793.
- [98] D. Córdoba and C. Fefferman, *On the collapse of tubes carried by 3D incompressible flows*, Comm. Math. Phys. **222** (2001), no. 2, 293-298.
- [99] D. Córdoba and C. Fefferman, *Potato chip singularities of 3D flows*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), 786-789.

- [100] ———, *Scalars convected by a two-dimensional incompressible flow*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), no. 2, 255–260.
- [101] A. Córdoba and D. Córdoba, *A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), no. 3, 511–528.
- [102] A. Córdoba, D. Córdoba, and M. Fontelos, *Formation of singularities for a transport equation with nonlocal velocity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 3, 1377–1389.
- [103] D. Córdoba, M. Fontelos, A. Mancho, and J. Rodrigo, *Evidence of singularities for a family of contour dynamics equations*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **102** (2005), no. 17, 5949–5952.
- [104] D. Córdoba, *On the search for singularities in incompressible flows*, Appl. Math. **51** (2006), no. 4, 299–320.
- [105] A. Córdoba, D. Córdoba, and M. A. Fontelos, *Integral inequalities for the Hilbert transform applied to a nonlocal transport equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **86** (2006), no. 6, 529–540.
- [106] Diego Córdoba and Luis Martínez-Zoroa, *Blow-up for the incompressible 3D-Euler equations with uniform $C^{1,1/2-\epsilon} \cap L^2$ force* (2023). Preprint.
- [107] Diego Córdoba and Luis Martínez-Zoroa and Wojciech S. Ożański, *Instantaneous gap loss of Sobolev regularity for the 2D incompressible Euler equations*, Duke Mathematical Journal **173** (2024), no. 10, 1931–1971, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2023-0052.
- [108] R. Danchin and P. B. Mucha, *A Lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **65** (2012), 1458–1480.
- [109] R. Danchin and X. Zhang, *On the persistence of Hölder regular patches of density for the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Éc. Polytech. Math. **4** (2017), 781–811.
- [110] S. Daneri and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *Non-uniqueness and h-principle for Hölder-continuous weak solutions of the Euler equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **224** (2017), no. 2, 471–514.
- [111] G. David and J. L. Journé, *A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Ann. Math. **120** (1984), 371–397.
- [112] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *The Euler equations as a differential inclusion*, Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 3, 1417–1436.
- [113] ———, *High dimensionality and h-principle in PDE*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (2017), no. 2, 247–282.
- [114] ———, *On turbulence and geometry: from Nash to Onsager*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (2019), no. 5, 677–685. cvgmt preprint.
- [115] Camillo De Lellis and Hyunju Kwon, *On Non-uniqueness of Hölder continuous globally dissipative Euler flows*, To appear in Analysis and PDEs (2020). cvgmt preprint.
- [116] J. Deng, T. Hou, and X. Yu, *Geometric properties and nonblowup of 3D incompressible Euler flow*, Comm. PDE **30** (2005), 225–243.
- [117] J. Deng, T. Y. Hou, and X. Yu, *Improved geometric conditions for non-blow up of the 3D incompressible Euler equations*, Comm. P.D.E. **31** (2006), no. 1–3, 293–306.
- [118] L. De Rosa, *On the helicity conservation for the incompressible Euler equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), no. 7, 2969–2979.
- [119] Marcelo M. Disconzi, Igor Kukavica, and Amjad Tuffaha, *A Lagrangian interior regularity result for the incompressible free boundary Euler equation with surface tension*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **51** (2019), no. 5, 3982–4022.
- [120] C. R. Doering and C. Foias, *Energy dissipation in body-forced turbulence*, J. Fluid Mech. **467** (2002), 289–306.
- [121] H. Dong and D. Du, *The Navier-Stokes equations in the critical Lebesgue space*, Comm. Math. Phys. **292** (2009), 811–827.
- [122] J. Duchon and R. Robert, *Inertial energy dissipation for weak solutions of incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity **13** (2000), no. 1, 249.
- [123] D. Ebin and J. Marsden, *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. of Math. (2) **92** (1970), 102–163.
- [124] T. M. Elgindi and I. J. Jeong, *Ill-posedness for the Incompressible Euler Equations in Critical Sobolev Spaces*, Annals of PDE **3** (2017), no. 1, 19pp.

- [125] ———, *Finite-time singularity formation for strong solutions to the axisymmetric 3D Euler equations*, Annals of PDE **5** (2019), no. 2, 1–51.
- [126] ———, *On singular vortex patches, I: Well-posedness issues*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 283, 2023. MEMO/283/1400.
- [127] T. M. Elgindi and N. Masmoudi, *L^p ill-posedness for a class of equations arising in hydrodynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. **235** (2020), no. 3, 1979–2025.
- [128] Tarek Elgindi and In Jee. Jeong, *On the effects of advection and vortex stretching*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **235** (2020), 1763–1817.
- [129] Tarek M. Elgindi, *Finite-time singularity formation for $C^{1,\alpha}$ solutions to the incompressible Euler equations on \mathbb{R}^3* , Annals of Mathematics **194** (2021), no. 3, 647–727, DOI 10.4007/annals.2021.194.3.2.
- [130] T. M. Elgindi, T.-E. Ghoul, and N. Masmoudi, *On the Stability of Self-similar Blow-up for $C^{1,\alpha}$ Solutions to the Incompressible Euler Equations on \mathbb{R}^3* , Camb. J. Math. **9** (2021), no. 4, 1035–1075.
- [131] T. M. Elgindi and I. J. Jeong, *The incompressible Euler equations under octahedral symmetry: Singularity formation in a fundamental domain*, Adv. Math. **393** (2021), 10891.
- [132] T. Drivas and T. Elgindi, *Singularity formation in the incompressible Euler equation in finite and infinite time*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. **10** (2023), no. 1, 1–100, DOI 10.4171/EMSS/66.
- [133] A. Enciso and D. Peralta-Salas, *Knots and links in steady solutions of the Euler equation*, Ann. of Math. **175** (2012), 345–367.
- [134] L. Escauriaza, G. Seregin, and V. Šverák, *On L_3 -solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **58** (2003), 3–44.
- [135] L. Escauriaza, G. A. Seregin, and V. Šverák, *L^3 -solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **58** (2003), 3–44.
- [136] J. Escher, B. Kolev, and M. Wunsch, *The geometry of a vorticity model equation*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **11** (2012), no. 4, 1407–1419.
- [137] Lawrence C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, second, Vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [138] G. Eyink and K. Sreenivasan, *Onsager and the theory of hydrodynamic turbulence*, Rev. Modern Phys. **78** (2006), no. 1, 87–135.
- [139] R. Farwig, *From Jean Leray to the millennium problem: the Navier-Stokes equations*, Journal of Evolution Equations **21** (2021), 3243–3263, DOI 10.1007/s00028-020-00645-3.
- [140] Charles Fefferman and Peter Constantin, *Direction of vorticity and the problem of global regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1993), no. 3, 775–789.
- [141] C. Fefferman, *C^m extension by linear operators*, Ann. of Math. **166** (2007), 779–835.
- [142] Ciprian Foias, *Statistical study of Navier-Stokes equations I*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **48** (1972), 219–348.
- [143] Ciprian Foias and Giovanni Prodi, *Statistical study of Navier-Stokes equations II*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **49** (1973), 9–123.
- [144] Ciprian Foias and Roger Temam, *Some analytic and geometric properties of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **58** (1979), 339–368.
- [145] Ciprian Foias, Roger Temam, and G. R. Sreenivasan, *New a priori estimates for Navier-Stokes equations in dimension 3*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **6** (1981), 329–359.
- [146] Ciprian Foias and Roger Temam, *Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **87** (1989), 359–369.
- [147] Ciprian Foias, O. Manley, R. Rosa, and Roger Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations and Turbulence*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [148] G. B. Folland, *Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1995.
- [149] S. Friedlander and N. Pavlovic, *Remarks concerning modified Navier-Stokes equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **10** (2004), no. 1-2, 269–288.

- [150] ———, *Blowup in a three-dimensional vector model for the Euler equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **57** (2004), 705–725.
- [151] Giovanni P. Galdi, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Vol. I, Springer, New York, 1994.
- [152] ———, *An introduction to the Navier-Stokes initial-boundary problem*, Fundamental Directions in Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, 2000, pp. 1-70.
- [153] G. P. Galdi, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations: Steady-State Problems*, 2nd ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, 2011. Originally published as volume 38 in the series: Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy.
- [154] I. Gallagher, G. Koch, and F. Planchon, *A profile decomposition approach to the $L_t^\infty(L_x^3)$ Navier-Stokes regularity criterion*, Math. Ann. **355** (2013), no. 4, 1527-1559.
- [155] I. Gallagher, G. S. Koch, and F. Planchon, *Blow-up of critical Besov norms at a potential Navier-Stokes singularity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **343** (2016), 39-82.
- [156] Isabelle Gallagher, *Critical function spaces for the well-posedness of the Navier–Stokes initial value problem*, Handbook of Mathematical Analysis in Mechanics of Viscous Fluids, 2018, pp. 647–685.
- [157] A. Gavrillov, *A steady Euler flow with compact support*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **29** (2019), 190-197.
- [158] P. Germain, *Strong solutions and weak-strong uniqueness for the nonhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system*, J. Anal. Math. **105** (2008), 169-196.
- [159] B. Galanti, J. D. Gibbon, and M. Heritage, *Vorticity alignment results for the three-dimensional Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity **10** (1997), 1675–1694.
- [160] J. D. Gibbon, *A quaternionic structure in the three-dimensional Euler and ideal magneto-hydrodynamics equations*, Physica D. **166** (2002), 17–28.
- [161] C. Doering and J. D. Gibbon, *Bounds on moments of the energy spectrum for weak solutions of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, Phys. D **165** (2002), no. 3-4, 163-175.
- [162] John Gibbon, *Orthonormal quaternion frames, Lagrangian evolution equations, and the three-dimensional Euler equations*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **62** (2007), no. 3, 535, DOI 10.1070/RM2007v062n03ABEH004411.
- [163] J. D. Gibbon, D. D. Holm, R. M. Kerr, and I. Roulstone, *Quaternions and particle dynamics in Euler fluid flow*, Nonlinearity **19** (2006), 1969–1983.
- [164] J. D. Gibbon, *The three-dimensional Euler equations: where do we stand?*, Phys. D **237** (2008), no. 14–17, 1894–1904.
- [165] Yoshikazu Giga, *Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system*, J. Diff. Eqs. **62** (1986), 186-212.
- [166] R. Grundy and R. McLaughlin, *Three-dimensional blow-up solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, IMA J. Appl. Math. **63** (1999), no. 3, 287–306.
- [167] John G. Heywood, *Remarks on the possible global regularity of solutions of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, Progress in Theoretical and Computational Fluid Mechanics, 1994, pp. 1-32.
- [168] D. Hoff, *Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional compressible flow with discontinuous initial data*, J. Differential Equations **120** (1995), 215-254.
- [169] T. Y. Hou, *Blow-up or no blow-up? a unified computational and analytic approach to 3D incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Acta Numerica **18** (2009), no. 1, 277–346.
- [170] T. Hou, Z. Shi, and S. Wang, *On singularity formation of a 3D model for incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), no. 2, 607-641.
- [171] T. Y. Hou, Z. Lei, G. Luo, S. Wang, and C. Zou, *On Finite Time Singularity and Global Regularity of an Axisymmetric Model for the 3D Euler Equations*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis (2014).
- [172] T. Hou, *Potential singularity of the 3D Euler equations in the interior domain*, Found. Comput. Math. **23** (2023), 2203-2249.
- [173] Philip Isett, *Hölder Continuous Euler Flows in Three Dimensions with Compact Support in Time*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, 2017. Main subject: Physics & Astronomy.

- [174] P. Isett and S. J. Oh, *On nonperiodic Euler flows with Hölder regularity*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **221** (2016), 725-804.
- [175] Philip Isett, *On the Endpoint Regularity in Onsager's Conjecture*, Analysis & PDE **17** (2017), DOI 10.2140/apde.2024.17.2123.
- [176] P. Isett, *A proof of Onsager's conjecture*, Annals of Mathematics **188** (2018), no. 3, 871.
- [177] Grzegorz Karch and Marco Cannone, *Smooth or singular solutions to the Navier-Stokes system?*, Journal of Differential Equations **197** (2004), no. 2, 247-274, DOI 10.1016/j.jde.2003.10.003.
- [178] ———, *On the validity of Picard algorithm for nonlinear parabolic equations*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 135A **135A** (2005).
- [179] Tosio Kato and H. Fujita, *On the non stationary Navier-Stokes system*, Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova **32** (1962), 243-260.
- [180] ———, *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **16** (1964), no. 16, 269-315.
- [181] T. Kato, *Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in \mathbb{R}^3* , J. Func. Anal. **9** (1972), 296-305.
- [182] Tosio Kato, *Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m , with applications to weak solutions*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **187** (1984), 471-480.
- [183] Tosio Kato and Chi-Yuen Lai, *Nonlinear evolution equations and the Euler flow*, J. Funct. Anal. **56** (1984), no. 1, 15-28.
- [184] T. Kato and G. Ponce, *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 891-907.
- [185] Tosio Kato, *Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in Morrey spaces*, Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática - Bulletin/Brazilian Mathematical Society **22** (1992), no. 2, 127-155, DOI 10.1007/BF01232939.
- [186] C. Kenig and G. Koch, *An alternative approach to regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations in critical spaces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **28** (2011), no. 2, 159-187.
- [187] R. M. Kerr, *Evidence for a singularity of the three dimensional, incompressible Euler equations*, Phys. Fluids **5** (1993), no. 7, 1725-1746.
- [188] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov, and R. Shterenberg, *Blow up and regularity for fractal Burgers equation*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Eqns **5** (2008), 211-240.
- [189] S. Klainerman and A. Majda, *Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems with large parameters and the incompressible limit of compressible fluids*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), 481-524.
- [190] Herbert Koch and Daniel Tataru, *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Adv. Math. **157** (2001), 22-35.
- [191] Herbert Koch, *Transport and instability for perfect fluids*, Math. Ann. **323** (2002), no. 3, 491-523.
- [192] A. Kolmogorov, *Local structure of turbulence in an incompressible fluid at very high Reynolds number*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR **30** (1941), no. 4, 299-303.
- [193] Hideo Kozono and Hermann Sohr, *Remark on uniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Analysis **16** (1996), 255-271.
- [194] H. Kozono and Y. Taniuchi, *Limiting case of the Sobolev inequality in BMO, with applications to the Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (2000), 191-200.
- [195] ———, *Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Math. Z. **235** (2000), no. 1, 173-194.
- [196] H. Kozono, T. Ogawa, and T. Taniuchi, *The critical Sobolev inequalities in Besov spaces and regularity criterion to some semilinear evolution equations*, Math Z. **242** (2002), no. 2, 251-278.
- [197] Hideo and Shimizu Kozono Senjo, *Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations based on the maximal Lorentz regularity theorem in Besov spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis **276** (2019), no. 3, 896-931, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2018.06.006.
- [198] H. Lamb, *Hydrodynamics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1932.
- [199] Z. Lei and F. H. Lin, *Global Mild Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **64** (2011), no. 9, 1297-1304.

- [200] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Analyses multi-résolutions non orthogonales, commutation entre projecteurs et dérivation et ondelettes vecteurs à divergence nulle*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **8** (1992), no. 2, 221–237.
- [201] ———, *Solutions faibles d'énergie infinie pour les équations de Navier-Stokes dans \mathbb{R}^3* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **328** (1999), no. 12, 1133–1138.
- [202] ———, *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, Vol. 431, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [203] Jean Leray, *Sur le mouvements d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta. Math. **63** (1934), 193–248.
- [204] D. Li and Ya. Sinai, *Blow ups of complex solutions of the 3d-Navier-Stokes system and renormalization group method*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **10** (2008), no. 2, 267–313.
- [205] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 1, Oxford University Press, 1996.
- [206] ———, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models*, Vol. 3, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- [207] Jeans Lorenz and Heinz-Otto Kreiss, *Initial-Boundary Value Problems and the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1989.
- [208] Lucas and Perez Ferreira Jhean, *On the theory of Besov-Herz spaces and Euler equations*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **220** (2017), 1–50, DOI 10.1007/s11856-017-1519-6.
- [209] Andrew J. Majda, *Smooth solutions for the equations of compressible and incompressible fluid flow*, Fluid Dynamics: Varenna 1982, 1984, pp. 75–126.
- [210] A. J. Majda and A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [211] Carlo Marchioro and Mario Pulvirenti, *Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids*, Vol. 96, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [212] K. Masuda, *Weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Tohoku Math. J. **36** (1984), 623–646.
- [213] G. Misiołek and S. Preston, *Fredholm properties of Riemannian exponential maps on diffeomorphism groups*, Invent. math. **179** (2010), 191–227.
- [214] Hideyuki and Sawada Miura Okihiro, *On the regularizing rate estimates of Koch–Tataru's solution to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Asymptotic Analysis **49** (2006), 1–15.
- [215] S. Modena and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *Non-uniqueness for the transport equation with Sobolev vector fields*, Ann. PDE **4** (2018), no. 2, 38, Paper No. 18.
- [216] H. K. Moffatt, *Some remarks on topological fluid mechanics*, An Introduction to the Geometry and Topology of Fluid Flows, 2001, pp. 3–10.
- [217] Stephen Montgomery-Smith, *Finite time blow up for a Navier-Stokes like equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 10, 3025–3029.
- [218] ———, *Condition implying regularity of the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, Applications of Mathematics **5** (2004), 451–464.
- [219] S. Müller and V. Šverák, *Convex Integration for Lipschitz Mappings and Counterexamples to Regularity*, Annals of Mathematics **157** (2003), no. 3, 715–742.
- [220] M. Novack and V. Vicol, *An intermittent Onsager theorem*, Invent. Math. **233** (2023), 223–323.
- [221] T. Ogawa and H. Kozono, *Global strong solutions and its decay properties for the Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensional domains with non compact boundaries*, Math. Z. **216** (1994), 1–30.
- [222] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, *Global solutions to the 3D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes system*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 3556–3584.
- [223] M. Paicu, P. Zhang, and Z. Zhang, *Global unique solvability of inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with bounded density*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **38** (2013), 1208–1234.
- [224] Cesare Parenti and Alberto Parmeggiani, *Algebra lineare ed equazioni differenziali ordinarie*, Vol. 117, Springer Milan, Milano, 2019.
- [225] Madalina Petcu, *Euler equation in a 3D channel with a noncharacteristic boundary*, Differential Integral Equations **19** (2006), no. 3, 297–326.

- [226] Rolf Rannacher, Giovanni P. Galdi, and John G. Heywood, *Contributions to Current Challenges in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2004.
- [227] J. C. Robinson and W. Sadowski, *A local smoothness criterion for solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **131** (2014), 159–178, DOI 10.4171/RSMUP/131-9.
- [228] J. C. Robinson, W. Sadowski, and R. P. Silva, *Lower bounds on blow-up solutions of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in homogeneous Sobolev spaces*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), 1-16.
- [229] Walter Rudin, *Analyse fonctionnelle*, Ediscience International, 1995.
- [230] V. Scheffer, *Partial regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Pacific J. Math. **66** (1976), no. 2, 535–552.
- [231] ———, *An inviscid flow with compact support in space-time*, J. Geom. Anal. **3** (1993), no. 4, 343–401.
- [232] M. Schonbek, M. Cannone, and F. Planchon, *Strong Solutions To The Incompressible Navier Stokes Equations In The Half Space*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **25** (2000), no. 5-6, 903–924, DOI 10.1080/03605300008821536.
- [233] P. Secchi and H. H. Beirão da Veiga, *L^p -stability for the strong solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **98** (1987), 65-70.
- [234] G. Seregin and V. Šverák, *On global weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with large L^3 -initial data*, Nonlinear Anal. **154** (2017), 269-296.
- [235] D. Serre, *Invariants et dégénérescence symplectique de l'équation d'Euler des fluides parfaits incompressibles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **298** (1984), no. 14, 349–352.
- [236] James Serrin, *On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **9** (1962), 187-195.
- [237] ———, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinear Problems, 1963, pp. 69-98.
- [238] Yuuki Shimizu and Tsuyoshi Yoneda, *Locality of Vortex Stretching for the 3D Euler Equations*, Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics **25** (2023), no. 1, 18, DOI 10.1007/s00021-023-00763-1.
- [239] A. Shnirelman, *On the nonuniqueness of weak solutions of the Euler equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997), 1261–1286.
- [240] R. Shvydkoy, *Lectures on the Onsager conjecture*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **3** (2010), no. 3, 473–496.
- [241] J. Simon, *Nonhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluids: existence of velocity, density, and pressure*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21** (1990), 1093-1117.
- [242] Hermann Sohr and H. Kozono, *Global strong solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in 4 and 5 dimensional unbounded domains*, Annales de l'I. H. P. section C **16** (1999), no. 5, 535-561.
- [243] Hermann Sohr, *Navier-Stokes Equations. An Elementary Functional Analytic Approach*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2001.
- [244] Elias M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [245] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [246] L. Székelyhidi, *Weak solutions to the incompressible Euler equations with vortex sheet initial data*, C. R. Math. **349** (2011), 1063–1066.
- [247] L. Székelyhidi Jr., *From isometric embeddings to turbulence*, HCDTE lecture notes. Part II. Nonlinear hyperbolic PDEs, dispersive and transport equations **7** (2012), 63.
- [248] Terence Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 106, 2006. A co-publication of the AMS and CBMS.
- [249] ———, *A quantitative formulation of the global regularity problem for the periodic Navier-Stokes equation*, Dynamics of PDE **4** (2007), 293–302.
- [250] ———, *Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes equation*, Anal. PDE **2** (2009), no. 3, 361–366.

- [251] ———, *Noether's theorem and the conservation laws for the Euler equations* (2010).
- [252] ———, *Localisation and compactness properties of the Navier-Stokes global regularity problem*, *Anal. PDE* **6** (2013), no. 1, 25-107.
- [253] ———, *Finite time blowup for an averaged three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (2016), no. 3, 601-674.
- [254] ———, *Finite time blowup for Lagrangian modifications of the three-dimensional Euler equation*, *Annals of PDE* **2** (2016), no. 9, DOI 10.1007/s40818-016-0019-z.
- [255] ———, *On the universality of potential well dynamics*, *Dynamics of Partial Differential Equations* **14** (2017), no. 3, 219–238, DOI 10.4310/DPDE.2017.v14.n3.a1.
- [256] ———, *On the universality of the incompressible Euler equation on compact manifolds*, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **38** (2018), no. 3, 1553–1565, DOI 10.3934/dcds.2018064.
- [257] ———, *Searching for singularities in the Navier–Stokes equations*, *Nature Reviews Physics* **1** (2019), no. 7, 418–419, DOI 10.1038/s42254-019-0068-9.
- [258] ———, *255b, notes 2: Onsager's conjecture*, 2019.
- [259] ———, *On the universality of the incompressible Euler equation on compact manifolds, II. Non-rigidity of Euler flows*, *Pure and Applied Functional Analysis* **5** (2020), no. 6, 1425–1443.
- [260] ———, *Quantitative bounds for critically bounded solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations* (A. Kechris and N. Makarov and D. Ramakrishnan and X. Zhu, ed.), Vol. 104, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2021.
- [261] ———, *A possible approach to finite time blowup for the Euler equations*, 2024. Accessed: 2024-01-27.
- [262] Michael E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III. Nonlinear Equations*, Second, Vol. 117, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [263] ———, *Partial Differential Equations II. Qualitative studies of Linear Equations*, Second, Vol. 116, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [264] ———, *Partial Differential Equations I. Basic Theory*, Second, Vol. 115, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [265] W. Wang and Z. Zhang, *Blow-up of critical norms for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, *Sci. China Math.* **60** (2017), no. 4, 637-650.
- [266] F. B. Weissler, *The Navier-Stokes initial value problem in L^p* , *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **74** (1981), 219-230.
- [267] Michael Wiegner, *The Navier-Stokes equations — a neverending challenge?*, *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* **101** (1999), 1-25.
- [268] J. Wolf, *On the local regularity of suitable weak solutions to the generalized Navier-Stokes equations*, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara* **61** (2015), 149–171.
- [269] ———, *On the local pressure of the Navier-Stokes equations and related systems*, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **22** (2017), 305–338.
- [270] M. Wunsch, *On the geodesic flow on the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle with a fractional Sobolev right-invariant metric*, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **17** (2010), no. 1, 7-11.
- [271] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, 6th, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [272] W. M. Zajączkowski, *Local solvability of nonstationary leakage problem for ideal incompressible fluid. I*, *Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI* **92** (1980), 39-56.
- [273] ———, *Local solvability of a nonstationary leakage problem for an ideal incompressible fluid. III*, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **4** (1982), no. 1, 1-14.
- [274] ———, *Local solvability of nonstationary leakage problem for ideal incompressible fluid. II*, *Pacific J. Math.* **113** (1984), no. 1, 229-255.
- [275] Ping Zhang, *Global Fujita-Kato solution of 3-D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes system*, *Advances in Mathematics* **363** (2020), 107007, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2020.107007.
- [276] Zujin and Wang Zhang Weihua and Yang, *An extension and simpler proof of Berselli–Córdoba's geometric regularity condition for the Navier-Stokes system*, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **77** (2019), no. 3, 765–769, DOI 10.1016/j.camwa.2018.10.018.
- [277] William P. Ziemer, *Weakly Differentiable Functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.