

The ABC of RPV II: Classification of R-parity Violating Signatures from UDD Couplings and their Coverage at the LHC

Herbi K. Dreiner,^a Michael Hank,^b Yong Sheng Koay,^c Martin Schürmann,^a
Rhitaja Sengupta,^a Apoorva Shah,^a Nadja Strobbe,^d and Evelyn Thomson^b

^a*Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics & Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn,
Nußallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany*

^b*Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA; USA*

^c*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Sweden*

^d*School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

E-mail: dreiner@uni-bonn.de, mhank@sas.upenn.edu,
yongsheng.koay@physics.uu.se, marschu@uni-bonn.de,
rsengupt@uni-bonn.de, ashah@uni-bonn.de, nstrobbe@umn.edu,
thomsone@physics.upenn.edu

ABSTRACT: We perform a detailed study of the current phenomenological status of baryon number violating operators within the framework of the R -parity violating Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (RPV-MSSM). This study aims to identify any gaps in the experimental coverage of the RPV landscape. We identify the unique final states for all possible LSPs decaying via four different benchmark UDD operators. Both the direct production of the LSP and its production via gauge-cascades are considered. For each LSP, we assume that only one UDD coupling is non-zero at a time and confront the signals with existing ATLAS and CMS searches implemented in the recasting framework CheckMATE 2. We find that the UDD colored LSP sector is well covered with the mass bounds on the gluino LSP being the strongest, and with possible improvements for some of the right-handed squark LSPs. We also point out that there is limited coverage for electroweakino and slepton LSPs with UDD decays. This limitation may be due to the lack of targeted experimental searches for these specific final states or the appropriate recasting of existing searches.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Revisiting the Framework	4
3	Application of the Framework to UDD Couplings	6
3.1	Benchmark Scenarios	6
3.2	Analyses Relevant for the Benchmarks	10
3.2.1	Implementation of the ATLAS Multijet Search at 13 TeV	12
3.2.2	Implementation of the CMS two OSSF Leptons along with Jets Search at 8 TeV	14
3.2.3	Implementation of the CMS Left-right Symmetric/Leptoquark Search at 13 TeV	16
3.3	Framework for Numerical Recasting	16
3.3.1	Computational Setup	16
3.3.2	Cross Sections	17
4	Results	18
4.1	Direct Production	18
4.2	Production from Cascade Decays	22
5	Discussions and Conclusion	29
A	Supplementary Tables and Figures	32

1 Introduction

The supersymmetric (SUSY) [1–4] extension of the Standard Model of particle physics (SM) has been in the limelight for a long time. It is a well-motivated beyond-the-SM (BSM) theory, which can offer solutions to several drawbacks of having the SM as the sole theory, such as the hierarchy problem [5–10]. The most widely discussed supersymmetric model is the “Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model” (MSSM) [11–15], where the SM particle content is extended by one Higgs doublet and then supersymmetrized. Often, the conservation of an extra \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, called R -parity [16, 17], is imposed. The conservation of R -parity eliminates baryon- and lepton-number violation from the renormalizable superpotential. Conservation of R -parity also means that supersymmetric particles can only be produced or annihilated

in pairs. Furthermore, the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is then necessarily stable and is an attractive candidate for dark matter [18]. In this case, it must be neutral with respect to electric charge and color. The collider signatures of the R -parity conserving MSSM (RPC-MSSM), therefore, involve final states with large missing transverse momentum (which is also known as the missing transverse energy, E_T^{miss}), due to LSP being invisible to the detector. Being a popular BSM theory, this scenario has been tested extensively in experiments, especially at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations. The extensive searches at colliders put severe constraints on the RPC-MSSM, pushing the lower bounds on the mass of SUSY particles in the colored sector to around 1-2 TeV, and in the electroweak sector to around a few hundreds of GeV to a TeV [19–57], depending on the model assumptions.

By omitting the imposed \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, the most general MSSM superpotential includes terms which violate R -parity, known as the R -parity violating MSSM (RPV-MSSM) [58, 59]. The RPV terms are usually set to zero by the imposition of RPC since these couplings can lead to proton decay at rates excluded by experiment [17, 60–64]. However, this is not true when only some of the RPV couplings are present [65–69] and if the values of these couplings are below the experimental bounds [17, 64, 70]. Therefore, there is no compelling reasoning to set all these terms to zero [71].

Moreover, the RPV-MSSM leads to a richer phenomenology than the RPC-MSSM, where the latter is mostly characterized by large E_T^{miss} . The LSP in RPV-MSSM is not stable and can decay to SM particles, depending on which of the RPV couplings is non-zero. It is thus not a viable dark matter candidate and also not restricted to being electrically or color neutral [72, 73]. The final state, therefore, does not always consist of E_T^{miss} . Signatures of the LSP decay in RPV-MSSM have been explored in a wide variety of phenomenological studies, see Refs. [70, 73–91]. Ref. [92] demonstrates the wide variety of collider signatures arising in RPV-MSSM, see also Refs. [73, 93]. It provides a dictionary for the RPV-MSSM signatures depending on the various LSPs and the different RPV couplings. Such a systematic representation of the final states is useful to identify the relevant experimental searches. This, in turn, reveals any gaps in the present experimental searches.

In Ref. [92], the status of the LLE operators ($\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}L_iL_j\bar{E}_k$ operators in the MSSM superpotential) after LHC Run-2 was studied in detail for the different LSPs in RPV-MSSM. This work aimed to answer the question: are the above bounds robust, or are there gaps/loopholes that could still allow LHC-scale SUSY to be hiding? In the present work, we extend the previous analysis and perform a similarly detailed study for the UDD operators: $\frac{1}{2}\lambda''_{ijk}\bar{U}_i\bar{D}_j\bar{D}_k$, in the RPV-MSSM superpotential. While classifying the signatures of the various LSP decays for the UDD couplings, λ'' , the previous study identified that the experimental coverage for the UDD operators might be less comprehensive than in the LLE scenario. Therefore, we perform a detailed numerical study of the UDD benchmark operators, which cover the full set

of the possible final states for a range of LSPs, either produced directly or from the cascade decay of another supersymmetric particle at the LHC. A similar study can be performed to cover the final states of the LQD operators. Due to the large number of LQD operators (27), we leave this for an upcoming study.

The motivation for this work is to identify whether there are gaps in the experimental coverage or loopholes in the analyses performed for the baryon number violating couplings.¹ With the ongoing LHC Run-3, it is timely to assess any gaps or loopholes, and to improve the sensitivity for the UDD operators in the RPV-MSSM. The reason for the existence of gaps in the coverage might be two-fold. First, there might be an experimental analysis that was originally aimed at a different BSM model but has similar final states as the signature of some LSP decaying through a UDD operator. The result of this search then has to be properly recast for the UDD operators to study if an improved sensitivity can be achieved. Even if the experimental result is available for the final state under study arising from a similar model, it is mostly presented with specific assumptions, and a recasting is required to study the sensitivity for a different set of assumptions. In numerous studies, the information made publicly available by the experimental collaborations is either not adequate or not present in a form that can be directly used for recasting. Identifying such analyses and highlighting the useful information required for recasting is thus beneficial for both experimentalists and theorists.

The second possibility for the existence of a gap in the coverage might be the lack of a sensitive analysis for some of the final states at the LHC. In this case, they can be a focal point of Run-3. With the present work, we want to point out gaps where a proper recasting of an existing possibly sensitive search is difficult, or the final state has evaded attention until now. We use the **CheckMATE 2** [94–99] framework for testing our benchmarks against the current LHC bounds. We also implement the recasting of a few searches, which we find important for our UDD benchmarks and which were absent in the **CheckMATE 2** framework, and discuss their importance in improving the bounds.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: in Section 2, we briefly revisit our previous framework from Ref. [92]. In Section 3, we apply this framework to the case of UDD couplings, beginning with a discussion of the benchmark scenarios in Section 3.1, followed by examining the relevant analyses for these benchmarks in Section 3.2. We also discuss the searches that we implement within **CheckMATE 2** in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. We describe our analysis setup in Section 3.3. We present our results for both the direct and indirect production of the LSPs in Section 4. Finally, we conclude in Section 5.

¹We also have in mind Wagner’s conjecture, which states that any potentially new physics observed in collider experiments can be explained by an RPV model. In reverse, this would mean that if one can experimentally exclude RPV-SUSY, there can be no new physics within the energetic reach of the collider.

2 Revisiting the Framework

In this section, we review the framework of Ref. [92] to remind the reader of the conventions used and assumptions made in this study. The most general and renormalisable MSSM superpotential is as follows [15, 59]:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= W_{\text{RPC}} + W_{\text{RPV}}, \\ W_{\text{RPV}} &= W_{\text{LNV}} + W_{\text{BNV}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where W_{RPC} contains the R -parity conserving terms. The second part, W_{RPV} , consists of the renormalizable terms violating R -parity, which are further divided into lepton number violating (LNV) and baryon number violating (BNV) terms:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{LNV}} &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j \bar{E}_k + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k + \kappa_i H_u L_i, \\ W_{\text{BNV}} &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda''_{ijk} \bar{U}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where L , Q , and H_u are the MSSM lepton, quark, and the up-type Higgs $SU(2)_L$ -doublet chiral superfields, respectively, while \bar{E} and \bar{U}/\bar{D} are the lepton and quark singlet chiral superfields. The λ 's and κ 's are, respectively, the trilinear and bilinear couplings and the indices i, j, k run over the three generations. We have suppressed the gauge indices. As mentioned in Section 1, we focus on the UDD operators in this study, which form the W_{BNV} . For an easier classification of final states in various scenarios, we introduce the convention used in the present work for the various particles in Table 1.

Recall, the LSP is not stable in the RPV-MSSM, and thus not a viable dark matter candidate. It is not restricted to being electrically neutral or a color singlet. We shall consider the following possible LSPs:

$$\text{LSP} \in \{\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}_L, \tilde{q}_3, \tilde{u}, \tilde{d}, \tilde{t}_R, \tilde{b}_R, \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}^\pm, \tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\nu}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The UDD couplings considered here lie in the intermediate range: small enough that the production of the LSP at the colliders is unaffected, but large enough that the LSP decays promptly. We, therefore, consider that the pair production of SUSY particles and their subsequent decay to the LSP, if the produced particle is not the LSP, all proceed via the RPC-MSSM gauge couplings. The LSP decays via the RPV UDD couplings, and all of the decays involved (including the cascade decays to the LSP) are considered to be prompt. The relevant UDD coupling for each benchmark roughly lies in the range²,

$$\sqrt{\frac{(\beta\gamma)10^{-12} \text{ GeV}}{m_{\text{LSP}}}} \lesssim \lambda'' \ll g, \quad (2.4)$$

²The exact values of the range depend on the spectrum details and the nature of the UDD coupling involved.

Symbol	Particles
ℓ	e/μ
L	$e/\mu/\tau$
j_l	$u/d/c/s$ jets
j	j_l/b jet/decay products of t quark
V	$W/Z/h$
\tilde{e}	\tilde{e}_L/\tilde{e}_R
$\tilde{\mu}$	$\tilde{\mu}_L/\tilde{\mu}_R$
$\tilde{\tau}$	$\tilde{\tau}_L/\tilde{\tau}_R$
\tilde{q}_L	$\tilde{u}_L/\tilde{d}_L/\tilde{c}_L/\tilde{s}_L$
\tilde{q}_3	\tilde{b}_L/\tilde{t}_L
\tilde{u}	\tilde{u}_R/\tilde{c}_R
\tilde{d}	\tilde{d}_R/\tilde{s}_R
\tilde{W}	Winos ($\tilde{W}^0/\tilde{W}^\pm$)
\tilde{H}	Higgsinos ($\tilde{H}^0/\tilde{H}^\pm$)

Table 1: Convention for the various SM final states and SUSY particles. For the particles not present in this list, we use the standard convention.

where m_{LSP} , β and γ are respectively the mass, speed and Lorentz boost factor of the LSP in a given process. The LSP decays via the UDD coupling, λ'' , and g denotes a gauge coupling. The lower bound is estimated assuming a two-body decay of the LSP having a decay length of 1 cm in the lab frame.

We study two production modes of the LSP: direct, and via the cascade decay of another sparticle. The final state is, therefore, determined by the produced sparticles, including the cascade to the LSP, the nature of the LSP, and the UDD operator responsible for the LSP decay. This can symbolically be written as,

$$\text{Final state} \sim (\text{Produced sparticle}) \otimes (\text{LSP}) \otimes (\text{UDD operator}). \quad (2.5)$$

Each of them can have the following possibilities:

- At the LHC, the produced sparticle pairs can be (in decreasing order of production cross section for a fixed mass): $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}\tilde{q}/\tilde{g}\tilde{u}/\tilde{g}\tilde{d}$, $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}/\tilde{q}_3\tilde{q}_3/\tilde{q}\tilde{u}$, $\tilde{\ell}\tilde{\ell}/\tilde{\tau}_L\tilde{\tau}_L/\tilde{\ell}\tilde{\nu}$, $\tilde{H}\tilde{H}$, $\tilde{W}\tilde{W}$, $\tilde{B}\tilde{B}$.
- In the RPV-MSSM, all sparticles can be possible LSPs, leading to a wide variety of final states. We study the status of all possible LSPs — gluinos, squarks, electroweakinos and sleptons.
- Lastly, in Eq. (2.2), the UDD couplings, λ''_{ijk} , are antisymmetric in j and k . Therefore, we have 9 different UDD couplings (requiring $j < k$). Since the

signature for the first two generations of quarks is the same at colliders, the set of UDD couplings having unique collider signatures can be further reduced to four:

1. **UDD** ($i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$) : $\lambda''_{\mathbf{112}}, \lambda''_{\mathbf{212}}$,
2. **UDD₃** ($i, j \in \{1, 2\}, k = 3$) : $\lambda''_{\mathbf{113}}, \lambda''_{\mathbf{123}}, \lambda''_{\mathbf{213}}, \lambda''_{\mathbf{223}}$,
3. **U₃DD** ($i = 3, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$) : $\lambda''_{\mathbf{312}}$,
4. **U₃DD₃** ($i = 3, j \in \{1, 2\}, k = 3$) : $\lambda''_{\mathbf{313}}, \lambda''_{\mathbf{323}}$,

where the first coupling in each set shown in *bold* represents the benchmark coupling from each set that we use for our numerical study.

For each LSP, we consider the relevant production modes at the LHC, and study its decay via the four benchmark UDD couplings. We only keep the masses of the LSP and the produced sparticles within the kinematic reach of the LHC and decouple the rest of the spectrum.

3 Application of the Framework to UDD Couplings

In this section, we first discuss the various benchmark scenarios for each possible LSP and the corresponding final states at the LHC. Depending on these final states, we then discuss the relevant analyses and their availability within the recasting framework, CheckMATE 2.

3.1 Benchmark Scenarios

For each possible LSP, we can have different relevant production modes at the LHC leading to different final states depending also on the UDD coupling. We discuss below the possible production modes and final states for each of the LSPs in the RPV-MSSM for baryon number violating couplings.

Glino LSP

For a gluino LSP, the dominant production channel at the LHC would be their direct pair production, due to its high cross section. In the corresponding benchmarks, all the other sparticles are decoupled³ from the spectrum. A gluino LSP cannot decay directly via UDD couplings, it first decays to a right-handed off-shell squark and a quark, which involves a gauge coupling, and then the squark decays to two quarks

³Note that we still need a squark light enough for the gluino to decay promptly. In this context, “decoupled” implies that the sparticle is heavy enough to be beyond the kinematic reach of the collider. With a related decay width expression taken from Ref. [100], we find that for a gluino mass of 1-2 TeV, a squark mass as high as 10 TeV still leads to the gluino decay length, $c\tau \ll 1$ mm, for $\lambda'' \sim 10^{-7}$.

in the presence of the UDD coupling. One can find the exact decay chain for this decay and all others that we mention in this study with the RPV Python library `abc-rpv` [92, 101]. Table 2 shows the possible final states for the four benchmark UDD couplings discussed in the previous section for a single gluino LSP.

LSP	Coupling	LSP Decay	Benchmark Label
\tilde{g}	λ''_{112}	$3j_l$	$D_{\tilde{g}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{g}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{g}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1b + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{g}}^{tdb}$

Table 2: Details of the gluino LSP benchmarks: the first column depicts the LSP; the RPV coupling assumed to be non-zero is shown in the second column; the third column represents the final state from the individual LSP decay; and the last column shows the notation we use for labeling the benchmark scenario when the LSP is directly produced, following similar conventions in Ref. [92].

Squark LSPs

For squark LSPs, we consider the direct pair production of the squarks at the LHC, where all the other sparticles are decoupled (see footnote 3) from the spectrum. In addition, we consider the gluino-squark model, where we have $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ pair production and $\tilde{g}\tilde{q}$ associated production at the LHC. In this latter scenario, the second squark LSP is produced from the gluino decay. Note that the associated production is only possible for the first two generation squarks, assuming a 4-flavor parton distribution function (PDF) scheme.

LSP	Coupling			
	λ''_{112}	λ''_{113}	λ''_{312}	λ''_{313}
\tilde{u}_R	Direct	Direct	Cascade	Cascade
\tilde{d}_R	Direct	Direct	Direct	Direct
\tilde{t}_R	Cascade	Cascade	Direct	Direct
\tilde{b}_R	Cascade	Direct	Cascade	Direct
$\tilde{q}_L/\tilde{b}_L/\tilde{t}_L$	Cascade	Cascade	Cascade	Cascade

Table 3: Direct or cascade decays of the various squark LSPs for the four benchmark UDD couplings.

Depending on the UDD coupling and the squark flavor, the left- and right-handed squark decays lead to different final states. For example, a right-handed up-type squark decays directly to two quarks via the λ''_{112} or λ''_{113} couplings. However, in

LSP	Coupling	LSP Decay	Benchmark Labels
\tilde{u}_R	λ''_{112}	$2j_l$	$D_{\tilde{u}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$1j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{u}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$3j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{u}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$2j_l + 1t + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{u}}^{tdb}$
\tilde{d}_R	λ''_{112}	$2j_l$	$D_{\tilde{d}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$1j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{d}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$1j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{d}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1t + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{d}}^{tdb}$
\tilde{t}_R	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{t}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1t + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{t}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l$	$D_{\tilde{t}_R}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{t}_R}^{tdb}$
\tilde{b}_R	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{b}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l$	$D_{\tilde{b}_R}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{b}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{b}_R}^{tdb}$
\tilde{q}_L	λ''_{112}	$4j_l$	$D_{\tilde{q}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$3j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{q}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$3j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{q}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$2j_l + 1t + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{q}}^{tdb}$
\tilde{t}_L	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{t}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1t + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{t}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 2t/2j_l + 2b$	$D_{\tilde{t}_L}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 2t + 1b/1j_l + 3b$	$D_{\tilde{t}_L}^{tdb}$
\tilde{b}_L	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{b}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 2b/2j_l + 2t$	$D_{\tilde{b}_L}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{b}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1t + 2b/1j_l + 3t$	$D_{\tilde{b}_L}^{tdb}$

Table 4: Details of the squark LSP benchmarks with columns as in Table 2. We show the final states from the direct decay (one step cascade decay) in *blue* (*black*).

case of the couplings λ''_{312} or λ''_{313} , \tilde{u}_R has to decay via two cascades (say, $\tilde{u}_R \rightarrow u_R \tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow d_R \tilde{d}_R$) before the decay via the UDD operator. This increases the multiplicity of the final state and therefore affects the bounds on the different squark LSPs. In Table 3, we show whether the squark LSP has a “direct” or “cascade” decay for each of the four benchmark UDD couplings.

Table 4 shows the possible final states for the four benchmark UDD couplings for the different squark LSPs. We denote the direct decays of the squarks in *blue*, while the one step cascade decays are denoted in *black*. Note that the heavy flavor

left-handed squarks have two possible cascade decays: one via a gluino or bino, and the second via a charged higgsino. When we consider the production mode $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ ($\tilde{g}\tilde{q}$), there will be two (one) extra jets in each of the final states mentioned in Table 4.

Electroweakino LSPs

In the electroweakino sector, we first consider the bino-dominated LSP, \tilde{B} . These have a similar cascade decay as the gluino LSPs in the presence of the UDD operators, as shown in Table 5. However, the pair production cross section for \tilde{B} is very small at the LHC. We, therefore, only consider its production via the decay of some other sparticle in the spectrum, which has a higher production cross section, like gluinos and squarks. When we consider the production mode $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$, there will be four extra jets in each of the final states mentioned in Table 5. In the case of squarks, we will have two extra quarks in each of the final states of Table 5. The flavor of each quark is the same as that of the produced squark, which decays to the \tilde{B} LSP.

LSP	Coupling	LSP Decay	Benchmark Label
\tilde{B}	λ''_{112}	$3j_l$	$D_{\tilde{B}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1b$	$D_{\tilde{B}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{B}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1b + 1t$	$D_{\tilde{B}}^{tdb}$

Table 5: Details of the bino LSP benchmarks with columns as in Table 2.

LSP	Coupling	LSP Decay				Label	
\tilde{W}^0	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + (2j_l/2b/2t/2L/2V/MET)$				$D_{\tilde{W}}^{uds}$	
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1b + 1V$	$2j_l + 1t + 1V$	$2j_l + 1b + (2j_l/2b/2t/2L/2V/MET)$		$D_{\tilde{W}}^{udb}$	
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t + 1V$	$2j_l + 1b + 1V$	$2j_l + 1t + (2j_l/2b/2t/2L/2V/MET)$		$D_{\tilde{W}}^{tds}$	
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1t + 1b + 1V$	$1j_l + 2b + 1V$	$1j_l + 2t + 1V$	$1j_l + 1t + 1b + (2j_l/2b/2t/2L/2V/MET)$		$D_{\tilde{W}}^{tdb}$
\tilde{W}^\pm	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + (2j_l/2V)$ $3j_l + 1t + 1b$ $3j_l + 1L + MET$				$D_{\tilde{W}}^{uds}$	
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1t + 1V$	$2j_l + 1b + 1V$	$2j_l + 1t + 2b$	$2j_l + 1b + (2j_l/2V)$	$2j_l + 1b + 1L + MET$	$D_{\tilde{W}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1b + 1V$	$2j_l + 1t + 1V$	$2j_l + 2t + 1b$	$2j_l + 1t + (2j_l/2V)$	$2j_l + 1t + 1L + MET$	$D_{\tilde{W}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1t + 1b + 1V$ $1j_l + 2b + 1V$ $1j_l + 2t + 1V$			$1j_l + 1t + 1b + (2j_l/2V)$	$1j_l + 2t + 2b$ $1j_l + 1t + 1b + 1L + MET$	$D_{\tilde{W}}^{tdb}$

Table 6: Details of the wino LSP benchmarks with columns as in Table 2. We show the final states from a two (three) step cascade decay in *purple* (*brown*).

The wino-dominated LSPs, \tilde{W} , can be directly pair-produced at the LHC ($\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ or $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$) when the rest of the spectrum is decoupled, or they can also come from the decay of the strong sector sparticles, which have higher cross sections. The wino-like LSPs decay via UDD couplings through longer cascades, since they only couple to left-handed sparticles, while the UDD operators involve only the right-handed fields. A typical cascade for the wino-like neutralino would be (with * denoting off-shell

states): $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow j\tilde{q}_L^* \rightarrow jj\tilde{g}^* \rightarrow jjj\tilde{u}_R^* \rightarrow jjjjj$ for the λ''_{112} coupling, which is a three step cascade before the final UDD decay. The intermediate sparticle can also be a bino or a higgsino instead of a gluino. For UDD operators involving heavy flavors, *i.e.* $\lambda''_{113}, \lambda''_{312}, \lambda''_{313}$, we can have a shorter cascade due to the large Yukawa couplings of the heavy flavor quarks, where the higgsinos in the intermediate steps can mix the left and the right-handed fields. We list all the possible final states for the wino LSPs in Table 6 for the four benchmark UDD couplings. The final states shown in *purple* are from a two step cascade decay, while the ones shown in *brown* result from a three step cascade decay.

The higgsino-dominated LSPs, \tilde{H} , can be directly pair-produced at the LHC ($\tilde{\chi}_{1/2}^0\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ or $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$) when the rest of the spectrum is decoupled, or they can also come from the decay of gluinos and heavy flavor squarks. The length of the cascades for higgsino-like LSP decays via UDD couplings are shorter than that for the wino-like LSPs. The possible final states for the higgsino LSPs are listed in Table 7 for the four benchmark UDD couplings. The final states shown in *black* are from a one step cascade decay, while the ones shown in *purple* result from a two step cascade decay.

LSP	Coupling	LSP Decay		Benchmark Label
\tilde{H}^0	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + 1V$		$D_{\tilde{H}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1b$	$2j_l + 1b + 1V$	$D_{\tilde{H}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t$	$2j_l + 1t + 1V$	$D_{\tilde{H}}^{ids}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1b + 1t$	$1j_l + 1b + 1t + 1V$	$D_{\tilde{H}}^{idb}$
\tilde{H}^\pm	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + 1V$		$D_{\tilde{H}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1t$	$2j_l + 1b + 1V$	$D_{\tilde{H}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1b$	$2j_l + 1t + 1V$	$D_{\tilde{H}}^{ids}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 2b$ $1j_l + 2t$	$1j_l + 1b + 1t + 1V$	$D_{\tilde{H}}^{idb}$

Table 7: Details of the higgsino LSP benchmarks with columns as in Table 2. We show the final states from a one (two) step cascade decay in *black* (*purple*).

Slepton LSPs

Slepton LSPs can be directly pair-produced at the LHC, or they can come from the decay of gluinos or winos. The sleptons decay via a two step cascade with a bino in the intermediate step, while they have longer cascades when they decay via wino-like intermediate sparticles. We show the possible final states for the sleptons having a two step (three step) cascade decay in Table 8 (Table 12 in Appendix A) for the four benchmark UDD couplings.

3.2 Analyses Relevant for the Benchmarks

In Ref. [92], the signatures of LSP decays via UDD couplings were broadly classified into the following:

LSP	Coupling	LSP Decay	Benchmark Label
$\tilde{e}/\tilde{\mu}/\tilde{\tau}$	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + 1e/\mu/\tau$	$D_{\tilde{e}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1b + 1e/\mu/\tau$	$D_{\tilde{e}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t + 1e/\mu/\tau$	$D_{\tilde{e}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1b + 1t + 1e/\mu/\tau$	$D_{\tilde{e}}^{tdb}$
$\tilde{\nu}_e/\tilde{\nu}_\mu/\tilde{\nu}_\tau$	λ''_{112}	$3j_l + \text{MET}$	$D_{\tilde{\nu}}^{uds}$
	λ''_{113}	$2j_l + 1b + \text{MET}$	$D_{\tilde{\nu}}^{udb}$
	λ''_{312}	$2j_l + 1t + \text{MET}$	$D_{\tilde{\nu}}^{tds}$
	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1b + 1t + \text{MET}$	$D_{\tilde{\nu}}^{tdb}$

Table 8: Details of the slepton LSP benchmarks when the decay happens via a two step cascade (shown in *purple*) involving an off-shell bino, with columns as in Table 2.

1. $4j$,
2. $2j_l + 4j$,
3. $2j_l + 6j$,
4. $1L + 2j_l + 4j + E_T^{\text{miss}}$,
5. $2L + 2j_l + 4j$,

where L , j , j_l are defined in Table 1. The CMS and ATLAS searches that might be sensitive to these final states were given in Ref. [92]. We tabulate them in Table 9. As we see, only one of these searches is implemented in **CheckMATE 2**, which limits our knowledge of the coverage of UDD couplings when we recast using **CheckMATE 2**.

Therefore, we implement some of these searches in order to reduce the gap between the coverage of the recasting framework available to theorists and the actual experimental coverage. In this section, we discuss three different searches implemented by us in **CheckMATE 2** for improving sensitivity to UDD couplings. We picked searches where detailed information regarding the experimental input and results was available. We select the ATLAS multijet search [104] which has sensitivity to final states involving 6–8 jets. The next search we implement is the CMS search with two leptons and multiple jets in the final state [109]. Searches with 4 jets in the final state are based on fitting distributions of pairs of jets to identify the new physics resonance. Implementing such a search in **CheckMATE 2** is difficult, and therefore, we use the result from the CMS study [102] directly for cases where we have a 4 jets final state. In the last column of Table 9 we comment on how we got coverage for the five listed classes of final states. Additionally, we implement a leptoquark search to explore whether it can enhance sensitivity for LSP decays with leptons in the final state. We discuss the three searches implemented in the following subsections.

Final state	Possible sensitive searches	Implemented in CheckMATE 2?	Comment
4 jets	CMS [102]	No	Used CMS [102] directly
	ATLAS [103]	No	
6 jets	ATLAS [104]	No	Implemented ATLAS [104] for this work
8 jets	ATLAS [104]	No	
1 lepton + ≥ 6 jets	CMS [37]	No	–
	ATLAS [105]	Yes	
	CMS [106]	No	
	ATLAS [107]	No	
2 leptons + 6 jets	ATLAS [108]	No	Implemented CMS [109] for this work
	CMS [35]	No	
	CMS [106]	No	
	CMS [109]	No	
	ATLAS [107]	No	

Table 9: List of relevant analyses for the UDD final states along with their status of implementation in the recasting framework, CheckMATE 2.

3.2.1 Implementation of the ATLAS Multijet Search at 13 TeV

UDD signal events in the colored LSP sector produce a large number of jets, see Tables 2 and 4. Unfortunately, there was no multijet search implemented in the default analysis library of our recasting tool CheckMATE 2, as of yet. So we manually implemented the multijet search `atlas_2401_16333` [104] into CheckMATE 2 following the procedure described in Ref. [110]. The search utilizes 140 fb^{-1} of proton–proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, recorded by the ATLAS experiment during Run 2 of the LHC. The results were analyzed within the framework of RPV-SUSY models, which involve prompt gluino pair production with subsequent decay of a gluino into either three jets, or into two jets and a neutralino that promptly decays into three jets. The results of this study are thus very well suited for recasting within our generalization of RPV signatures.

The details of the event reconstruction can be found in Sec. 4 of Ref. [104]. Let us note here that the anti- k_T algorithm with a cone size of $R = 0.4$ is used to define analysis-level jets. We then define “baseline” jets with $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 4.8$, from which we further select “signal” jets with $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.8$. Jets with $|\eta| < 2.5$ are tagged as b -jets by a multivariate algorithm (DL1r) [104, 111] with a tagging efficiency of 77%. For the analysis, only events that contain at least 4 “signal” jets and no electron or muon candidates are selected.

Signal and background are well separated in terms of the event shape variable C defined as,

$$C \equiv 3(\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3), \quad (3.1)$$

where the λ_i are the eigenvalues of the linearized sphericity tensor of each event, which contains only jet momenta after the previous selections. The event shape variable provides a measure of the isotropy of an event: small values indicate collimated events, while large values suggest more spherical, isotropic distributions of jet momenta. **atlas_2401_16333** defines in total seven signal regions featuring a large number of high- p_T jets as well as a tight selection of large C . Since we consider the pair production of heavy sparticles with a subsequent UDD cascade decay in our study, we expect a large number of jets isotropically distributed in the final state. This makes **atlas_2401_16333** very well suited to exclude large parts of the RPV-SUSY parameter space, after recasting the results.




Figure 1: Distribution of the shape variable, C , for three different masses of the gluino LSP decaying via the λ''_{112} coupling obtained from the **CheckMATE 2** implementation of **atlas_2401_16333**.

To validate our **CheckMATE 2** implementation of the search, we ran **CheckMATE 2** for the same RPV-SUSY scenario and read out the values of the event shape variable. Their distribution is shown in Fig. 1; within statistics it is in good agreement with the distribution obtained by the ATLAS collaboration (see Fig. 2(b) in Ref. [104]). Furthermore, for the $\tilde{g} \rightarrow uds$ and $\tilde{g} \rightarrow udb$ RPV decays, we scan through the gluino masses to find the **CheckMATE 2** exclusion limits. For each case, we do this for both the leading-order (LO) cross sections obtained from **MadGraph5_aMC@NLO** [112] and NNLO+NNLL cross sections from **NNLL-fast** (Refs. [113, 114]). We compute the r -value for each signal region using **CheckMATE 2**, which is defined in Ref. [94]. A signal benchmark having an r -value greater than 1 in any of the signal regions is excluded. The result is shown in Fig. 2. When using the LO cross section, the obtained limit




Figure 2: Validation of the implementation of the ATLAS multijet search [104] in **CheckMATE 2** for the λ''_{112} (*left*) and λ''_{113} (*right*) UDD couplings for the gluino LSP decay with the LO cross sections from **MadGraph5_aMC@NLO** and the NNLO+NNLL cross sections from **NNLL-fast**.

is always lower than the ATLAS value, as expected. With **NNLL-fast**, we reproduce the ATLAS limit within our 50 GeV grid in the λ''_{113} case. For λ''_{112} , **CheckMATE 2** slightly overestimates the exclusion limit.

3.2.2 Implementation of the CMS two OSSF Leptons along with Jets Search at 8 TeV

We have discussed in Section 3.1 that the charged slepton LSP decays always involve a charged lepton in the final state. In the pair production of sleptons, this leads to two opposite-sign same-flavor (OSSF) leptons along with multiple jets. We find that a relevant search for this final state was performed by the CMS collaboration at 8 TeV LHC in Ref. [109]. This search is not implemented in **CheckMATE 2** yet. We implement it for the present work and discuss the details of the implementation here.

The CMS search for two OSSF leptons along with multiple jets in the final state in Ref. [109] uses 19.7 fb^{-1} of data collected at a center-of-mass energy of 8 TeV during the Run 1 of LHC. It focuses on the stop search, where the stop decays via $\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}^\pm$, $\tilde{\chi}^\pm \rightarrow l^\pm jj$, where the second decay occurs due to the presence of a single RPV LQD coupling, λ'_{ijk} ($i, j, k \leq 2$). This final state is similar to the one we have for charged slepton decays via UDD couplings in Table 8. We closely follow the event selection criteria described in Ref. [109, Sec. 3]. We briefly discuss the details below for completeness and highlight the exact settings we use in **CheckMATE 2**.

While implementing this search in **CheckMATE 2**, we use electrons reconstructed in the **electronsLoose** category, while for muons, we use the **muonsCombined** category. Electron (muon) candidates are required to have $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$ ($|\eta| < 2.1$). Jets are clustered using the anti- k_T algorithm with $R = 0.4$, and are

required to satisfy $|\eta| < 2.4$. The leading jet must have a transverse momentum of at least 100 GeV, the sub-leading jet should have $p_T > 50$ GeV, and the rest of the jets should satisfy $p_T > 30$ GeV each. We also use b -tagging with a tagging efficiency of 70%. The analysis selects events with two oppositely charged electrons or muons and a minimum of five jets, at least one of which is b tagged.

In order to suppress the large $t\bar{t}$ background for the case of the leptonic decay of the top quark, events with a E_T^{miss} larger than 100 GeV are vetoed. To reduce the background from the decays of low-mass resonances and the Z boson to leptons, the invariant mass of the OSSF lepton pair must be higher than 130 GeV. Depending on the flavor of the leptons, the signal regions are divided into two channels: the electron channel and the muon channel. Within each channel, the signal regions are further divided based on the number of jets in the final state and the minimum value of S_T , the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of all the jets and leptons in the event. The minimum value of S_T required is optimised for various stop masses in the analysis for a given number of jets in the final state. We use the numbers for the observed data and the expected background events as given in Ref. [109, Tables 3,4] to calculate the signal significance using CheckMATE 2. Fig. 3 shows the validation of our implementation of this analysis. We find that for the electron channel our implementation matches the CMS result within a step size of 100 GeV, however, for the muon channel the bound obtained from our implementation differs from the CMS bound by 200 GeV. Our implementation, therefore, underestimates the exclusion. A possible reason could be a difference in the lepton reconstruction criteria, such as the isolation parameters, which are not fully specified in the CMS study.




Figure 3: Validation of the implementation of the CMS OSSF lepton pair with jets search at 8 TeV [109] in CheckMATE 2 for the chargino-mediated stop decay via LQD couplings, with 100% branching to $e^\pm e^\mp$ (left) and to $\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$ (right).

3.2.3 Implementation of the CMS Left-right Symmetric/Leptoquark Search at 13 TeV

Slepton LSPs produce final states involving both charged leptons and jets, therefore existing leptoquark searches might be relevant to gain sensitivity to this class. The search in Ref. [115] looks for final states comparable to the $\tilde{\tau}$ ones in Table 8 and provides sufficient information to be implemented in `CheckMATE 2`. The search utilizes 35.9 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$. It considers two distinct BSM scenarios. The first is a left-right symmetric model that introduces new bosons W_R^\pm, Z' and heavy neutrinos N_ℓ , of which Z' and $N_{e,\mu}$ are assumed to be decoupled. The second model has generic scalar third-generation leptoquarks. Both models predict final states of the form $\tau\tau jj$, either by single W_R^\pm production with the subsequent cascade decay $W_R \rightarrow \tau N_\tau \rightarrow \tau(\tau q \bar{q}')$, or by pair-produced leptoquarks, which each decay directly into τb . We implemented the search into `CheckMATE 2` and validated it for the left-right symmetric scenario. To that end, we used the UFO file of an effective left-right symmetric model provided by Ref. [116] and available on the `FeynRules` website.

Unfortunately, we did not find any improvements in the recasted UDD limits compared to vanilla `CheckMATE 2`, so we avoid further unnecessary details about the implementation at this point.

3.3 Framework for Numerical Recasting

We now describe the applications of our framework using benchmark scenarios. Similar to our previous work [92], we assume one non-zero RPV coupling at a time for each benchmark. We consider various cases with different SUSY particles (sparticles) as LSP, shown in Tables 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. Results are presented for two cases: direct pair production of the LSP, and an indirect production of the LSP through cascade decays from other sparticles. In each case, the final states differ in the number of final state jets/leptons/missing energy (despite the LSP being the same sparticle) and thus have a distinct signature. All mediating sparticles are assumed to be heavy and decoupled.

3.3.1 Computational Setup

We employ the following method to calculate our mass limits: For pair production of sparticles, we generate the process at leading order with `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` [112] using the `RPVMSSM_UFO` [117] model file. For the direct production case, the LSPs are pair-produced through pp collisions. For cascade decays, we first pair-produce sparticles through direct/associated channels, and then allow for the sparticles to decay into the LSP. Until this point, all processes follow the usual MSSM Feynman rules. The LSPs then decay promptly according to the RPV coupling in each considered benchmark. For the cascade decays, the two-body decays of sparticles

to LSPs are computed by `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO`. The RPV decays and showering are then handled by `Pythia 8.2` [118]. Once the final decayed and showered samples are produced, these are passed through `CheckMATE 2`. `DELPHES 3` [119] performs the detector simulation within the `CheckMATE 2` framework. The `CheckMATE 2` employed in our study is improved by the implementation of the ATLAS multijet search and the CMS search with two OSSF leptons and jets search, see Secs. 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. In the following, we will refer to the current publicly available `CheckMATE 2` as `CM2` and to the one having our newly implemented searches as `CM2-mod`.

3.3.2 Cross Sections

The cross sections obtained from `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` are only at NLO accuracy. To obtain state-of-the-art results, we will use NNLL cross sections for more accurate results. Since the cross sections are just shifted by a k -factor to a good approximation, it should not affect the event distribution obtained from `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO`. Hence, the events can be used as input for `CM2` and `CM2-mod`.

Sparticle production cross sections at the NNLL level for the colored sector are obtained with the `NNLL-fast` code [113, 114]. These cross sections were directly used in the $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ pair production with decoupled squarks. The production cross sections involving light squarks cannot be used directly because they are usually given assuming an 8- or 10-fold mass degeneracy. In this case all $\tilde{q}_{L/R}$ states, only excluding the bottom and/or top flavor, are in the accessible spectrum with the same mass $m_{\tilde{q}} = m_{\tilde{q}_L} = m_{\tilde{q}_R}$. In our case we want to study a non-degenerate spectrum with only one non-decoupled squark flavor, although we keep the phenomenologically well motivated left-right degeneracy for the first two generations (u, d, s, c), wherever the final state is the same for the left- and right-handed squarks. To achieve this, we use the prescription proposed in Ref. [114]: We rescale the degenerate `NNLL-fast` cross section for the production of sparticles $k, l = \tilde{q}^{(*)}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{t}^{(*)}$ (with $*$ indicating the complex conjugated field) according to

$$\sigma_{pp \rightarrow kl}^{\text{NNLL-fast, non-deg.}} = R_{\text{non-deg.}} \times \sigma_{pp \rightarrow kl}^{\text{NNLL-fast}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the rescaling factor is given by

$$R_{\text{non-deg.}} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{pp \rightarrow kl}^{\text{LO, non-deg.}}(m_{\tilde{u}_L}, m_{\tilde{u}_R}, m_{\tilde{d}_L}, m_{\tilde{d}_R}, \dots)}{\sigma_{pp \rightarrow kl}^{\text{LO, deg.}}(m_{\tilde{q}})}. \quad (3.3)$$

The LO cross sections can straightforwardly be obtained from `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO`. Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) essentially represent a rescaling of the non-degenerate LO cross section with a k -factor relating the degenerate LO and `NNLL-fast` cross sections. In general, the k -factors are flavor-dependent. For example, as demonstrated in Refs. [120, 121], the k -factors relating LO and NLO cross sections range in flavor- and chirality-dependent subchannels between ~ 1.1 and ~ 1.6 , while the sum of all

subchannels is scaled by a k -factor of ~ 1.3 . By explicitly checking we found that the non-degenerate bounds we obtained are not very sensitive to a variation of the k -factor around the degenerate LO-NNLL k -factor, if the individual subchannel k -factors are of similar size as the LO-NLO ones. The variations in our bounds were ≈ 50 GeV, which is a relative change of a few percent for an $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ exclusion bound.

For the electroweakino and slepton production cross sections, we compute the cross sections at the NLL level using the `Resummino` [122] code.

4 Results

4.1 Direct Production

In this section, we provide details for the 95% confidence level mass exclusion limits for direct production of various LSP scenarios. The results are summarized in Fig. 4. The final states for each LSP after decay through a UDD coupling are provided in Tables 2–8. As mentioned before, in each benchmark, all sparticles except the LSP are considered to be decoupled, and the LSP decays promptly. The requirement of the presence of b -tagged jets results in a higher background rejection. Therefore, the couplings λ''_{113} and λ''_{313} yield stricter mass exclusion limits in general, as compared to the other couplings. In the gluino and squark LSP scenario, the best results are obtained employing the 13 TeV searches, while currently, for the slepton LSP case the 8 TeV searches provide the best exclusion limits.

Since the pair production cross section for the bino LSP is small, the mass exclusions for these decays are not presented for direct production. Instead, we present results in the next subsection for cascade decays, where the bino LSP is produced through another SUSY particle.

Gluino LSP: For gluinos, the final states always contain ≥ 6 jets, as can be seen in Table 2. Hence, the best results are obtained using the implemented ATLAS multijet search. The high pair production cross section of gluinos at the LHC leads to exclusions close to the kinematic limit, which are the best among all the possible LSPs. We use the production cross sections obtained from the `MNLL-fast 2.0` code [114]. Gluino masses as high as ~ 1850 GeV (for λ''_{313}) can be excluded, as seen in Fig. 4.

Squark LSP: Squark LSP scenarios are divided into the following categories: left-handed first or second generation squarks \tilde{q}_L , two right-handed first or second generation squarks \tilde{u}_R and \tilde{d}_R , and the four third generation squarks \tilde{t}_L , \tilde{t}_R , \tilde{b}_L , and \tilde{b}_R . The possible final states for each scenario are shown in Table 4. We show limits for each squark⁴ in Fig. 4, assuming a single non-degenerate light squark in the spec-

⁴We imply that the results apply to each of the individual squarks in \tilde{q}_L , where only one of them is pair-produced.

trum. Additionally, we also show results for the case of a 4-fold degeneracy between the first or second generation squarks \tilde{q}_L ($\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{d}_L, \tilde{c}_L$ and \tilde{s}_L), and label it as $\tilde{q}_{L,4}$. Each of the above-mentioned scenarios shows varying exclusions due to the presence or absence of b -jets and the number of final state jets. $\tilde{q}_{L,4}$, naturally, shows the best exclusion limit, since the ATLAS multijet analysis signal regions are well populated by the jets coming from \tilde{q}_L and the 4-fold enhanced production cross section. For the right-handed squarks and the third generation squarks, specifically for the 4-jet final state topologies, other studies show better sensitivity. However, the exclusion limits are weaker. Table 10 provides the relevant searches and signal regions that are sensitive for each obtained mass exclusion. Note, for some of the cases (for example, \tilde{b}_L with λ''_{113}), there are two possible decay modes of the LSP for a given UDD coupling. In such cases, we assume a 50% branching fraction to each of the decay modes for the results in Fig. 4. We also show results for 100% branching fraction for each decay mode in Appendix A, Fig. 13.

For completeness, we mention an improved exclusion mass limit for \tilde{t}_R from a study by CMS [102]. This exclusion limit is higher in comparison to the one obtained from CM2 for λ''_{312} . This limit can also be employed for the \tilde{u}_R and \tilde{d}_R squarks in the λ''_{112} case and for \tilde{b}_R in the λ''_{113} case since the final states are exactly the same. These are shown in grey-hashed in Fig. 4.

Slepton LSP: Slepton LSP pair production leads to 2 leptons + 6 jets in the final state, where the jets are of any type. However, the lepton(s) can also be neutrinos, leading to missing transverse energy. Due to the leptons in the final state, the ATLAS multijet search is not sensitive to sleptons. Tables 8 and 12 present all the possible final states for the cases of a slepton LSP. We use the Resummino [122] code to obtain the slepton pair production cross section. It can provide NLL cross sections for slepton pair production at 8 TeV and 13 TeV center-of-mass energies. With the current version of CM2, we find no exclusion for sleptons. The search `cms_exo_14_014` is implemented in CM2, but requires same sign dileptons, which does not apply to our case. The CMS search `cms_1602_04334` at 8 TeV LHC, implemented by us in CM2-mod, still provides the best sensitivity for selectrons and smuons, but is not enough to exclude any region of the parameter space. An update of this or a similar search at the 13 TeV LHC might provide bounds for sleptons.

Searches have been performed with similar final states, such as with 1 lepton + 6 jets [123–127] and 2 leptons + 6 jets [19, 115, 128]. However, their implementation in CheckMATE 2 is not straightforward without additional details, or they apply specific cuts for signals like leptoquarks, which are not suitable for this particular scenario. For example, we implement the CMS leptoquark search [115], however, we find no improvements in the sensitivity for tau sleptons. Neural-network-based discriminator searches are especially cumbersome to implement in CM2 without proper input from experimental collaborations regarding the trained model files. This makes recasting




Figure 4: Gluino, squark and slepton LSP direct production search limits at 95% confidence level with the LSP decaying through UDD couplings. All results are shown for the conservative limit, except the $\tilde{q}_{L,4}$, which stands for \tilde{q}_L with 4-fold degeneracy. The \tilde{p} in the legend stands for the relevant LSP on the y-axis. The CMS search result [102] is shown in hashed-gray.

these searches difficult.

Electroweakino LSP: For wino LSPs, we assume degenerate $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ produced directly at the LHC. For higgsino LSPs, we consider the processes $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$, $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, and $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ for degenerate $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$. The production cross sections are obtained using **Resummino** [122] with 13 TeV center-of-mass energy. For the winos and higgsinos, we assume equal decay branching fractions to charged and neutral current final states, which are shown in Tables 6 and 7, and consider only the shortest cascades. Due to the small pair production cross-section of the electroweakinos, along with the presence of vector bosons in some of the final states, the searches implemented in **CM2-mod** cannot provide a mass exclusion⁵. We obtain no exclusion

⁵The decay of wino-like LSPs via UDD operators always involve vector bosons, which can decay hadronically or leptonically. However, all the signal regions of the ATLAS multijet search require a

LSP	Coupling (λ''_{ijk})	Sensitive Search	Signal Region	Mass Exclusion (GeV)
\tilde{q}_L	112	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	7j	320
\tilde{q}_L	113	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	2b5j	360
\tilde{q}_L/\tilde{u}	312	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR16/SR14	640
\tilde{q}_L/\tilde{u}	313	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR4	1000
$\tilde{q}_{L,4}$	112	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	9j	680
\tilde{u}_R/\tilde{d}_R	112	cms_2206_09997 [102]	-	800
\tilde{u}_R/\tilde{d}_R	113	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	2b2j	380
\tilde{d}_R	312	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	MET1b5j	440
\tilde{d}_R	313	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	4b2j	600
\tilde{b}_L/\tilde{b}_R	112	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	2b6j	420
\tilde{b}_L	113	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR4	1040
\tilde{b}_L/\tilde{b}_R	312	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR4	1000
\tilde{b}_L	313	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR4	1360
\tilde{b}_R	113	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	4j	220
\tilde{b}_R	113	cms_2206_09997 [102]	-	800
\tilde{b}_R	313	atlas_1706_03731 [130]	Rpv2L1bS	480
\tilde{t}_L/\tilde{t}_R	112	atlas_2004_10894 [131]	Cat2	600
\tilde{t}_L/\tilde{t}_R	113	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR10	1000
\tilde{t}_L	312	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR4	1040
\tilde{t}_L	313	atlas_2106_09609 [105]	SR10	1200
\tilde{t}_R	312	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	MET5j	280
\tilde{t}_R	312	cms_2206_09997 [102]	-	800
\tilde{t}_R	313	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	2b2j	400
\tilde{H}	313	atlas_1807_07447 [129]	MET2b1j	150

Table 10: List of relevant ATLAS and CMS searches and the corresponding dominant signal regions for the direct production exclusion limits shown in Fig. 4. For the cases of \tilde{g} LSPs (all four couplings) and $\tilde{q}_{L,4}$ LSPs (for $\lambda''_{113}, \lambda''_{312}, \lambda''_{313}$), the ATLAS multijet, **atlas_2401_16333**, is the most sensitive search. The search **cms_2206_09997** is not implemented in CheckMATE 2, we directly use the result here. We obtain no exclusions from CM2/CM2-mod for the rest of the LSPs and benchmark couplings, not shown in this table.

minimum p_T of 180 GeV for the signal jets, which is difficult to get from vector boson decays. The search also vetoes leptons. Moreover, the production cross sections of both the wino and higgsino-like LSPs are very small. This is in addition to the already small signal efficiency due to strong analysis cuts.

for the winos with CM2. A weak limit at 150 GeV for higgsinos is obtained in the λ''_{313} case with CM2, shown in Fig. 4. Ref. [84] studies the projected sensitivity of electroweakino LSPs decaying via UDD couplings at the HL-LHC. A broad overview of CMS searches for similar types of final states can be found in Ref. [132].

4.2 Production from Cascade Decays

If the LSPs may not be directly produced, we shall consider the possibility that they result from the cascade decay of a heavier particle. Here, we focus on cases where a non-LSP sparticle is pair-produced (or singly-produced along with the LSP) through pp interactions, and then the sparticle decays to the LSP through a cascade. The final states in such a case would be distinct from the case of direct LSP production, and in some cases an improved exclusion limit can be achieved through recasting of different ATLAS/CMS searches.

We now present benchmark scenarios where cascade decays provide better results, *i.e.* stricter mass bounds. We denote these benchmark scenarios with the label $I_{\tilde{s} \rightarrow \tilde{p}}$, where \tilde{s} and \tilde{p} are the NLSP and LSP, respectively. For each cascade benchmark scenario $I_{\tilde{s} \rightarrow \tilde{p}}$, the final states are the same as for $D_{\tilde{p}}$, plus extra jets. The number and flavor of the extra jets depends on the NLSP \tilde{s} .

Squark LSPs from a Gluino Cascade

Gluinos have the highest direct pair production cross section. Thus, one can expect to obtain better exclusion limits when an LSP squark is produced through the decay of a gluino NLSP.

$I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{q}_L}$: We first study the case where the \tilde{g} can decay into first- or second-generation squarks. This can happen in two ways: one can have pair-produced gluinos that both decay to the squark LSP, *e.g.* $\tilde{g}\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{q}_L\tilde{q}_L + X$, where X indicates further non-supersymmetric particles in the decay, or associated production where we first directly produce $\tilde{g}\tilde{q}_L$, and then the gluino further decays to the squark LSP $+X$. The cross section for the associated production depends heavily on the LSP generation due to PDF suppression of the higher generation quarks. The associated production also dominates the overall cross section. For example, the $\tilde{g}\tilde{u}_L$ cross section is at least ~ 5 times higher in contribution than the direct $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ cross section, even for only slightly heavier gluinos. For a large mass difference between the squark and the gluino, the $\tilde{g}\tilde{u}_L$ cross section is almost two orders of magnitude higher than the direct $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ cross section. In this work, we consider the best case scenario, where $\tilde{q} = \tilde{u}_L$ only. This is due to the larger u -quark PDF in the proton. We sum over the cross sections of the direct and associated production, details of the cross section calculation are provided in Section 3.3.2. We scan over a range of gluino and squark masses such that $m_{\tilde{g}} > m_{\tilde{u}_L}$.

Fig. 5 shows the mass exclusion range for this scenario $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_L}$. We also show the results from direct production of \tilde{q}_L for comparison. Due to the presence of t - and b -jets in the final state, the benchmark scenarios corresponding to the couplings λ''_{113} , λ''_{312} , and λ''_{313} have stricter exclusions than the λ''_{112} case. The ATLAS multijet search shows the maximum sensitivity over the whole range of the mass plane. For the coupling λ''_{112} , the sensitive signal regions are **SR1**, **SR2**, **SR3**, **SR4**, and **SR5**. For all other couplings, the signal region **SR1bj** is most sensitive.




Figure 5: Exclusion regions (in shades of *orange*) corresponding to 95% confidence level for the \tilde{g} to \tilde{u}_L cascade decays $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_L}$. The bounds of Fig. 4 from direct squark production $D_{\tilde{q}_L}$ also apply to the scenario and are shown in shades of *blue*. The *gray* region is kinematically disallowed in the scenario. The *red* dotted, *green* solid, *blue* dot-dashed and *magenta* dashed contours correspond to couplings λ''_{112} , λ''_{113} , λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} , respectively.

$I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_R}$ and $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{d}_R}$: For the right-handed up-type squark LSPs, Table 3 shows that the LSP will decay directly to two light-flavor jets for λ''_{112} , and to a light-flavor jet and a b -tagged jet for λ''_{113} . For the other two benchmark couplings, \tilde{u}_R decays via a cascade, similar to the \tilde{u}_L LSP. This would lead to the same final states, with the same production cross section, leading to the same mass exclusions as obtained for the $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_L}$ cascade within uncertainties. Therefore, we only perform scans for the $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_R}$ cascade for the couplings of the type λ''_{112} and λ''_{113} . The *left* panel of Fig. 6 shows the mass exclusion in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{u}_R})$ mass plane obtained with CM2-mod. The limit from the direct production of the \tilde{u}_R LSP decaying to a pair of light-flavor jets from the CMS search [102] is also shown for λ''_{112} . For both λ''_{112} and λ''_{113} couplings, the strongest exclusion comes from **atlas_2401_16333**, dominantly from the **SR2**

and **SR1bj** signal regions, respectively. Gluino masses up to 1.9 TeV (2.3 TeV) are excluded for a \tilde{u}_R LSP of mass 500 GeV, for the UDD coupling λ''_{112} (λ''_{113}).




Figure 6: Same as Fig. 5, for $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_R}$ (left) and $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{d}_R}$ (right). For the $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_R}$ cascade, the results for λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} will be the same as $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{u}_L}$.

In the case of the $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{d}_R}$, for all four benchmark couplings considered here, the LSP can directly decay via the UDD coupling. The *right* panel of Fig. 6 shows the exclusion in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{d}_R})$ mass plane generated with **CM2-mod**. The limit from the direct production of the \tilde{d}_R LSP is also shown for λ''_{313} . For the λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} couplings, searches implemented in **CM2** increase the sensitivity compared to the ATLAS multijet search (**SR1bj**), especially in the region of light \tilde{d}_R and heavy \tilde{g} , and the region with small $m_{\tilde{g}} - m_{\tilde{d}_R}$ difference, where the searches **atlas_1909_08457 (Rpv2L)** and **atlas_2101_01629 (6J_btag_2800)** provide the best exclusions. Gluino masses up to 2.3 TeV are excluded for a \tilde{d}_R LSP of mass 500 GeV for both the UDD couplings λ''_{112} and λ''_{113} .

$I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{b}_L}$ and $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{b}_R}$: When \tilde{b}_L or \tilde{b}_R is the LSP, we do not have the associated production channel due to PDF suppression. Therefore, the production cross section is just that of gluino pair production at the LHC. For all the benchmark couplings, the \tilde{b}_L LSP has a cascade decay (see Table 3). In the *left* panel of Fig. 7, the exclusion limits in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{b}_L})$ mass plane for $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{b}_L}$ are shown. The direct limits for \tilde{b}_L are also shown as horizontal lines. For the couplings λ''_{112} , λ''_{113} , and λ''_{312} , **atlas_2401_16333** is the most sensitive search throughout the mass plane. For the coupling λ''_{312} , most of the exclusion also comes from **atlas_2401_16333 (SR1bj and SR2bj)**, but a few points in the low \tilde{b}_L and high \tilde{g} mass range are excluded by **atlas_2106_09609 (SR10)**.

The *right* panel of Fig. 7 shows the mass exclusion results for $I_{\tilde{g}\rightarrow\tilde{b}_R}$. For λ''_{113} , **atlas_2401_16333 (SR1bj)** is the most sensitive search throughout. For λ''_{313} , **atlas_2401_16333** shows the highest sensitivity for most of the mass plane, however,

a few mass points are also excluded by the searches **atlas_1909_08457 (Rpv2L)** and **atlas_2109_01629 (6J_btag_2800)**. The results for λ''_{112} and λ''_{312} are the same as in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{b}_L})$ plane, since the final states are the same (see Table 3).




Figure 7: Same as Fig. 5, for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_L}$ (left) and $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_R}$ (right) decays. For the $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_R}$ cascade, the results for λ''_{112} and λ''_{312} will be the same as $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_L}$.

$I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_L}$ and $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_R}$: Similar to the $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_L}$ or $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_R}$ case, the associated production channel for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_L}$ and $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_R}$ is suppressed. Thus gluino pair production gives the dominant cross section at the LHC. For all the benchmark couplings, the \tilde{t}_L LSP has a cascade decay (see Table 3). The left panel of Fig. 8 shows the exclusion in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{t}_L})$ mass plane for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_L}$ obtained with CM2-mod. The limits from the direct production of the \tilde{t}_L LSP are also shown for each of the couplings. For all the benchmark couplings, the relevant searches are **atlas_2401_16333 (SR1bj and SR2bj)** and **atlas_2106_09609 (SR4 and SR6)**. Gluino masses up to 1.85 TeV, 2 TeV and 2.05 TeV are excluded in the presence of the couplings λ''_{112} , λ''_{113} (λ''_{312}), and λ''_{313} , respectively, for a 500 GeV \tilde{t}_L LSP.

The \tilde{t}_R LSP decays directly for λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} couplings. The right panel of Fig. 8 shows the exclusion in the $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{t}_R})$ mass plane for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_R}$ obtained with CM2-mod. The limit from the direct production of the \tilde{t}_R LSP decaying to a pair of light-flavor jets from the CMS search [102] is also shown for λ''_{312} . In this scenario, the dominant searches are again **atlas_2401_16333 (SR1bj)**, **atlas_1909_08457 (Rpv2L)**, and **atlas_2101_01629 (6J_btag_2800)**. Additionally, the search **atlas_2106_09609** has sensitivity for a large region of this parameter space with the signal region **SR9 (SR10 and SR4)** for the λ''_{312} (λ''_{313}) couplings. For the LSP mass of 500 GeV, gluino masses up to 1.7 TeV and 1.8 TeV are excluded for the λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} couplings, respectively.




Figure 8: Same as Fig. 5, for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_L}$ (left) and $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_R}$ (right) decays. For the $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_R}$ cascade, the results for λ''_{112} and λ''_{113} will be the same as $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_L}$.

Bino LSP from a Gluino Cascade

The direct pair production cross section of bino-like neutralinos is very small, therefore, we only consider its production from the decay of other SUSY particles. We first study the case where the bino is the LSP and gluinos are the NLSPs, $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$. We consider the pair production of gluinos, eventually decaying into the bino-like neutralino LSP, which finally decays to quarks via the various UDD couplings. We scan over a range of gluino and bino masses such that $m_{\tilde{g}} > m_{\tilde{B}}$. The *left* panel of Fig. 9 shows the exclusion in the gluino-bino mass plane for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ obtained from CM2-mod. We find that the edge of the exclusion contour is given by **atlas_2401_16333** for all four UDD couplings. For the couplings λ''_{113} , λ''_{312} , and λ''_{313} , the sensitive signal regions are **SR1bj** and **SR2bj**, since the final states include b jets. For λ''_{112} , **SR2** and **SR5** play a major role for higher gluino and bino mass. However, for the couplings λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} , the final state top quark is off-shell in the region where the bino mass is below the top quark mass. In that region, the most sensitive search is **atlas_2101_01629**, with the signal region **6J-btag-2800**. For a 100 GeV bino LSP, the NLSP gluinos are excluded up to a mass of around 1.6–1.65 TeV, while for a heavier bino of mass of 1.4 TeV, gluino masses are excluded up to 2.2–2.3 TeV. For λ''_{112} and λ''_{113} , the second most sensitive search from CM2 is **atlas_1807_07447**, while for λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} , the major exclusion after the ATLAS multijet search comes from **atlas_2106_09609**, with the **atlas_1909_08457** search having some sensitivity in both the light \tilde{B} and heavy \tilde{g} region, as well as the region with small $m_{\tilde{g}} - m_{\tilde{B}}$ mass difference. The *right* panel of Fig. 9 shows the extent of improvement achieved for λ''_{112} by the ATLAS multijet search implemented in CM2-mod for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$, compared to the bare CM2 result. We find that CM2-mod improves the sensitivity significantly for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$.




Figure 9: *Left:* Same as Fig. 5, for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$; *Right:* Comparison of the limits from the already available searches in CM2 (red dashed) and the ATLAS multijet search implemented in CM2-mod (red dotted) for the λ''_{112} coupling for $I_{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$.

Bino LSP from a Squark Cascade

Next we study the case where the bino LSP is produced from the cascade decay of squarks. Since the gluinos are decoupled, the dominant mechanism of squark pair production is flavor independent.

$I_{\tilde{q}_{L/R} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$: For the pair production of one left-right degenerate squark flavor from the first or second generation, we use the 10-fold degenerate NLL-fast cross sections scaled down by a factor of five. This is possible since the dominant production process is flavor independent. We run our analysis with up-squarks $\tilde{u}_{L/R}$, but the same limits apply to $\tilde{d}_{L/R}$, $\tilde{s}_{L/R}$ and $\tilde{c}_{L/R}$.

Fig. 10 shows the exclusion for $I_{\tilde{q}_{L/R} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ in the $(m_{\tilde{q}_{L/R}}, m_{\tilde{B}})$ plane, again using CM2-mod. For λ''_{112} the excluded region is small, and covered by the search **atlas_1807_07447**, with only a few points excluded by the multijet search. The excluded parameter space for λ''_{312} and λ''_{313} is much bigger. By far the most sensitive search is **atlas_2106_09609**; only for small squark masses, ~ 500 GeV, **atlas_2004_10894** yields larger r -values for some points. In the λ''_{313} case, the **atlas_2401_16333** search also yields exclusions, but this region is embedded within the CM2 exclusion and comes with lower r -values. For λ''_{112} , we encounter one allowed point in the exclusion region that lies in the gap of the two analyses **atlas_2401_16333** and **atlas_1807_07447**. This spoils the marking of a proper contour, so we denote it separately with a circle. For λ''_{312} there are two excluded points lying outside the contour, which are caused by the performance of signal regions of **atlas_2106_09609**: Outside the contour (set by **SR20**), **SR9** is the most sensitive signal region (with $r < 1$), but for two points, **SR20** again yields exclusions ($r > 1$). The excluded points outside the contour are marked with extra circles. These excep-

tions usually occur at the transition regions between two different analyses or two different signal regions from the same analysis.

The case λ''_{113} of $I_{\tilde{q}_{L/R} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ is shown independently in Appendix A, Fig. 12 (*left*) as a scatter plot, because we could not find a clear contour in the lower squark mass region. The search **atlas_2401_16333** is the most sensitive, but for squark masses below ~ 0.8 TeV, the r -value obtained in the most efficient signal region, **SR1bj**, jumps for adjacent points in parameter space between values bigger and smaller than one.




Figure 10: Same as Fig. 5, for $I_{\tilde{q}_{L/R} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$. We use circles in the respective linestyles and colors to indicate fluctuations in the obtained exclusion contours. For λ''_{112} , the encircled mass point on the exclusion contour is in fact allowed. For λ''_{312} there are two encircled points, marking excluded points outside the contour. The results for λ''_{113} can be found in Appendix A, Fig. 12 (*left*).

$I_{\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ and $I_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$: In the case of third-generation squarks, the left-right degeneracy is no longer a good approximation because of large off-diagonal terms in the mixing matrix [15]. Thus, we consider a spectrum with a bino LSP and only the light sbottom \tilde{b}_1 or stop \tilde{t}_1 in the spectrum, while the heavy state (\tilde{b}_2 or \tilde{t}_2) is assumed to be decoupled. The sbottom/stop pair production cross sections were again obtained from appropriate rescaling of the NNLL-**fast** cross sections.

The *left* panel of Fig. 11 shows the exclusions obtained in the $I_{\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ cascade scenario. For the λ''_{113} case, **atlas_1807_07447** excludes the largest region for small sbottom masses, while for large masses, **atlas_2401_16333** in **SR1bj** is the most sensitive. For λ''_{312} , **atlas_1807_07447** almost solely yields the exclusion region, while for λ''_{313} , this happens through **atlas_1807_07447** only for lower masses, and through **atlas_2106_09609** for the rest of the parameter space. The results for the

λ''_{112} case are not reliable as they do not form a clear exclusion contour, however, we still present the results obtained with CM2-mod for completeness in the form of a scatter plot in Appendix A, Fig. 12 (*right* panel).




Figure 11: *Left:* Same as Fig. 5, for $I_{\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$; *Right:* Same as Fig. 5, for $I_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$. We use circles in the respective linestyles and colors to indicate irregular behaviour of the obtained exclusion contours. For λ''_{112} and λ''_{113} , the encircled points mark exclusions outside the contour. The results for λ''_{112} can be found in Appendix A, Fig. 12 (*right*).

The *right* panel of Fig. 11 shows the exclusions obtained in the $I_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ cascade scenario. The dominant exclusions are due to the searches already implemented in CM2. For λ''_{112} , the small exclusion region in parameter space is obtained through **atlas_2106_09609** and **atlas_2004_10894**⁶ in CM2. The search **atlas_2106_09609** completely dominates the exclusion regions for the remaining couplings: λ''_{113} , λ''_{312} , and λ''_{313} . We again encounter a few points which are excluded and lie outside the large contour; they are marked as independent circles. For λ''_{112} , the signal region **SR9** from the search **atlas_2106_09609** is again sensitive outside the contour set by **SR3**, **SR9** (**atlas_2106_09609**), and **Cat2** (**atlas_2004_10894**). For λ''_{113} , **SR10** becomes sensitive outside the contour set by **SR4** and **SR10**. A search by CMS [133, 134] for a $I_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ type of cascade places a lower bound on \tilde{t} mass of 700 GeV for the λ''_{112} case. This is better than the result obtained using CM2.

5 Discussions and Conclusion

In this work, we extend the framework introduced in Ref. [92] to investigate the LLE operators and perform a detailed numerical study of the current status of UDD operators in the RPV-MSSM. We first divide the nine UDD couplings into four sets

⁶Note that the **atlas_2004_10894** search provides sensitivity here even though it requires two photons to be present. We have checked that our signal process passes this requirement, even though the process does not involve photons explicitly.

having similar final states and select a benchmark coupling from each set. Next, we identify the final states arising for all possible LSPs in RPV-SUSY via the presence of the four benchmark UDD couplings. The LSPs themselves can be directly pair produced at the LHC, or they can result from the cascade decay via gauge couplings of some other heavier sparticles pair produced at the LHC. Our goal is to identify potential gaps in the coverage of the UDD couplings. These can be either due to a relevant experimental search being present, but not properly recast in order to apply it to the various RPV scenarios, or very weak sensitivity to specific final states, which must be targeted in the future.

We find that very few of the searches relevant for the final states in the UDD are implemented in the recasting framework of **CheckMATE 2**. In order to improve the coverage, we implement three searches in **CheckMATE 2** for the present study, the ATLAS 13 TeV multijet search [104], the CMS 8 TeV search for a opposite sign same flavor lepton pair along with jets [109], and the CMS 13 TeV leptoquark search [115]. Finally, we test the UDD signals with the modified **CheckMATE 2** version including these three searches, called **CM2-mod**.

Search	Energy, Luminosity	Final state	Signal regions
atlas_1706_03731	13 TeV, 36.1 fb ⁻¹	SS $ll \geq 3l, \geq 3-6j, 0b$ to $\geq 2b, E_T^{\text{miss}}, m_{\text{eff}}$	Rpv2L1bS
atlas_1807_07447	13 TeV, 3.2 fb ⁻¹	Model-independent multiple SRs with $e, \mu, \gamma, j, b, E_T^{\text{miss}}$	4j, 7j, 9j, 2b2j, 2b5j, 2b6j, 4b2j, MET5j, MET1b5j, MET2b1j
atlas_1909_08457	13 TeV, 139 fb ⁻¹	SS $ll \geq 3l, \geq 6j, 0b$ to $\geq 2j, E_T^{\text{miss}}, m_{\text{eff}}$	Rpv2L
atlas_2004_10894	13 TeV, 139 fb ⁻¹	$2\gamma(\text{Higgs}), 0l/\geq 1l, \geq 2j/\lt 2j, E_T^{\text{miss}}$	Cat2
atlas_2101_01629	13 TeV, 139 fb ⁻¹	$1l, \geq 2-6j, 0b/\geq 1b, E_T^{\text{miss}}, m_{\text{eff}}$	6J_btag_2800
atlas_2106_09609	13 TeV, 139 fb ⁻¹	$1l/\text{SS } ll, \geq 4-15j, 0b$ to $\geq 4b$	SR4, SR9, SR10, SR14, SR16
atlas_2401_16333	13 TeV, 140 fb ⁻¹	$\geq 7-8j, 0e/\mu, 0-2b$	All SRs
cms_1602_04334	8 TeV, 19.7 fb ⁻¹	OS $ee/\mu\mu, \geq 5j, \geq 1b$	All SRs
cms_2206_09997	13 TeV, 138 fb ⁻¹	$\geq 4j$	Pairs of dijet resonances

Table 11: Summary of the sensitive searches found in this study for all possible LSPs decaying via the UDD operators for the LSP produced either directly or from the gauge-cascades of other sparticles.

In Table 11 we present a summary of all the relevant searches, along with the final

state and signal regions, which provide sensitivity for various benchmark scenarios studied in this paper. The resulting mass bounds from the direct LSP decays are summarized in Fig. 4. See also Fig. 13 in the Appendix for mass bounds in cases where we have more than one decay mode of the squark LSPs. The results of Fig. 4 improve for squark LSPs when we consider their indirect production from gluino NLSPs, shown in Figs. 5–8. For bino LSPs, we only consider indirect production through gluino and squark NLSPs and the results are shown in Figs. 9–11. We enumerate our key findings below:

- We observe that the UDD colored sector is well covered. Gluino LSPs are excluded up to masses of 1.6–1.85 TeV, with the most sensitive search being the ATLAS multijet search that we implement in `CM2-mod`.
- For squark LSPs, while few LSPs decaying via specific UDD operators are excluded up to masses close to a TeV, some of the scenarios still only have mass bounds below 500 GeV. Experimentalists can particularly target LSP $\rightarrow j_l + b$ and $j_l + t$ final states to improve the bounds for some right-handed squark LSPs. Even for multijet final states of squark LSPs, the coverage of the ATLAS multijet search is limited for processes having low cross-sections due to the very high p_T requirements on the jets.
- We find a gap in the coverage of UDD sleptons, winos, and higgsinos. For sleptons, we observe that the CMS 8 TeV OSSF lepton pair + jets search still provides the strongest sensitivity, however, is not able to exclude any region of the parameter space. An update of this search at the 13 TeV LHC might yield potential sensitivity. The final states in these scenarios are of the kind: 2 OSSF leptons + jets and 2 $V(W/Z/H)$ + jets.

The results imply an upgrade of the recasting framework with more relevant searches included is necessary to improve the coverage of the UDD couplings in the RPV-MSSM. This is in contrast to the LLE couplings, which have comprehensive coverage over all possible LSPs and couplings, as deduced in Ref. [92]. New experimental searches targeting these specific UDD generated final states are required. We want to reiterate the importance of joint efforts from theorists and experimentalists in order to probe the UDD operators of RPV-SUSY.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dominik Köhler for help in the initial stages of the project, Saurabh Nangia for useful discussions on the ABC of RPV framework, and Javier Montejo Berlingen for pointing out some of the relevant ATLAS searches for this study. HKD would like to thank the Nikhef Theory Group for their kind

hospitality while part of this work was completed. NS is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics under Award Number DE-SC0011845.

A Supplementary Tables and Figures

While discussing the slepton LSPs, we considered only the two step cascade decay mediated by the bino. Table 12 shows the slepton LSP decays for three step cascades. We don't use them in this work, however we state them here, because they become relevant for non-decoupled higgsinos.

LSP	Coupling	LSP Decay	Benchmark Label
$\tilde{e}_L/\tilde{\mu}_L/\tilde{\tau}_L/$	$\lambda''_{113}, \lambda''_{312}$	$2j_l + 1t + 1V + (e/\mu/\tau/\text{MET})$	$D_{\tilde{e}}^{udb}, D_{\tilde{e}}^{tds}$
		$2j_l + 1b + 1V + (e/\mu/\tau/\text{MET})$	
$\tilde{\nu}_e/\tilde{\nu}_\mu/\tilde{\nu}_\tau$	λ''_{313}	$1j_l + 1b + 1t + 1V + (e/\mu/\tau/\text{MET})$	$D_{\tilde{e}}^{tdb}$
		$1j_l + 2b + 1V + (e/\mu/\tau/\text{MET})$	
		$1j_l + 2t + 1V + (e/\mu/\tau/\text{MET})$	

Table 12: Details of the left-handed slepton LSP benchmarks when the decay involves a three step cascade (shown in *brown*), with columns as in Table 2.

As mentioned in Sec. 4.2, in some cases the scan results obtained from CheckMATE 2 do not yield a well-defined exclusion contour. In Fig. 12 we show the scan results as scatter plots for the $I_{\tilde{q}_{L/R} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ cascade with λ''_{113} and $I_{\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ cascade with λ''_{112} .




Figure 12: Mass exclusions (in *orange*) corresponding to 95% confidence level for the $I_{\tilde{q}_{L/R} \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ cascade with λ''_{113} (*left*) and $I_{\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}}$ cascade with λ''_{112} (*right*).

In Fig. 4, we show the results for the benchmark scenarios provided in Tables 2-8. However, in some cases, as shown in Table 4, the third generation squarks can have multiple decay modes for the same UDD coupling. The results shown in Fig. 4 consider 50% branching to each decay mode. The exact branching fraction depends

on the details of the mass spectrum of the intermediate sparticles. For completeness, Fig. 13 shows results assuming 100% branching fraction for each decay mode, wherever multiple decay modes are possible. These results are obtained using CM2.




Figure 13: Direct production limits for the third generation squarks (\tilde{t}_L , \tilde{t}_R , \tilde{b}_L and \tilde{b}_R) assuming 100% branching to each decay mode. The parentheses after each benchmark $D_{\tilde{p}}$ show the specific branching mode.

References

- [1] Y. A. Golfand and E. P. Likhtman, “Extension of the Algebra of Poincare Group Generators and Violation of p Invariance,” *JETP Lett.* **13** (1971) 323–326.
- [2] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, “Is the Neutrino a Goldstone Particle?,” *Phys. Lett. B* **46** (1973) 109–110.
- [3] J. Wess and B. Zumino, “A Lagrangian Model Invariant Under Supergauge Transformations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **49** (1974) 52.
- [4] J. Wess and B. Zumino, “Supergauge Transformations in Four-Dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **70** (1974) 39–50.
- [5] S. Weinberg, “Implications of Dynamical Symmetry Breaking,” *Phys. Rev. D* **13** (1976) 974–996. [Addendum: *Phys.Rev.D* 19, 1277–1280 (1979)].
- [6] E. Gildener and S. Weinberg, “Symmetry Breaking and Scalar Bosons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **13** (1976) 3333.
- [7] E. Gildener, “Gauge Symmetry Hierarchies,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 1667.
- [8] L. Susskind, “Dynamics of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in the Weinberg-Salam Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **20** (1979) 2619–2625.

- [9] M. J. G. Veltman, “The Infrared - Ultraviolet Connection,” *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **12** (1981) 437.
- [10] G. 't Hooft, C. Itzykson, A. Jaffe, H. Lehmann, P. K. Mitter, I. M. Singer, and R. Stora, eds., *Recent Developments in Gauge Theories. Proceedings, Nato Advanced Study Institute, Cargese, France, August 26 - September 8, 1979*, vol. 59. 1980.
- [11] M. Drees, R. Godbole, and P. Roy, *Theory and phenomenology of sparticles: An account of four-dimensional $N=1$ supersymmetry in high energy physics*. 2004.
- [12] A. Djouadi, “The Anatomy of electro-weak symmetry breaking. II. The Higgs bosons in the minimal supersymmetric model,” *Phys. Rept.* **459** (2008) 1–241, [arXiv:hep-ph/0503173](#).
- [13] H. Baer and X. Tata, *Weak scale supersymmetry: From superfields to scattering events*. Cambridge University Press, 5, 2006.
- [14] I. J. R. Aitchison, *Supersymmetry in Particle Physics. An Elementary Introduction*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [15] H. K. Dreiner, H. E. Haber, and S. P. Martin, *From Spinors to Supersymmetry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 7, 2023.
- [16] G. R. Farrar and P. Fayet, “Phenomenology of the Production, Decay, and Detection of New Hadronic States Associated with Supersymmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **76** (1978) 575–579.
- [17] R. Barbier *et al.*, “R-parity violating supersymmetry,” *Phys. Rept.* **420** (2005) 1–202, [arXiv:hep-ph/0406039](#).
- [18] H. Goldberg, “Constraint on the Photino Mass from Cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** (1983) 1419. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 103, 099905 (2009)].
- [19] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in final states with missing transverse momentum and three or more b-jets in 139 fb^{-1} of proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** no. 7, (2023) 561, [arXiv:2211.08028](#) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [20] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for new phenomena in final states with photons, jets and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **07** (2023) 021, [arXiv:2206.06012](#) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [21] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for new phenomena in pp collisions in final states with tau leptons, b-jets, and missing transverse momentum with the ATLAS detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** no. 11, (2021) 112005, [arXiv:2108.07665](#) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [22] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for new phenomena in events with two opposite-charge leptons, jets and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions

- at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **04** (2021) 165, [arXiv:2102.01444 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [23] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for new phenomena in final states with b -jets and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **05** (2021) 093, [arXiv:2101.12527 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [24] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for squarks and gluinos in final states with one isolated lepton, jets, and missing transverse momentum at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ with the ATLAS detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 7, (2021) 600, [arXiv:2101.01629 \[hep-ex\]](#). [Erratum: *Eur.Phys.J.C* 81, 956 (2021)].
- [25] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for new phenomena with top quark pairs in final states with one lepton, jets, and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **04** (2021) 174, [arXiv:2012.03799 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [26] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for squarks and gluinos in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum using 139 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collision data with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **02** (2021) 143, [arXiv:2010.14293 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [27] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for new phenomena in final states with large jet multiplicities and missing transverse momentum using $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton-proton collisions recorded by ATLAS in Run 2 of the LHC,” *JHEP* **10** (2020) 062, [arXiv:2008.06032 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [28] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for a scalar partner of the top quark in the all-hadronic $t\bar{t}$ plus missing transverse momentum final state at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 8, (2020) 737, [arXiv:2004.14060 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [29] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for squarks and gluinos in final states with same-sign leptons and jets using 139 fb^{-1} of data collected with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **06** (2020) 046, [arXiv:1909.08457 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [30] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for bottom-squark pair production with the ATLAS detector in final states containing Higgs bosons, b -jets and missing transverse momentum,” *JHEP* **12** (2019) 060, [arXiv:1908.03122 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [31] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for higgsinos decaying to two Higgs bosons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **05** (2022) 014, [arXiv:2201.04206 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [32] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Combined searches for the production of supersymmetric top quark partners in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 11, (2021) 970, [arXiv:2107.10892 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [33] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in final states with two or three soft leptons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **04** (2022) 091, [arXiv:2111.06296 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [34] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in final states with two oppositely charged same-flavor leptons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **04** (2021) 123, [arXiv:2012.08600 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for physics beyond the standard model in events with jets and two same-sign or at least three charged leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 8, (2020) 752, [arXiv:2001.10086 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [36] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in events with high-momentum Z bosons and missing transverse momentum,” *JHEP* **09** (2020) 149, [arXiv:2008.04422 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [37] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with 137 fb^{-1} in final states with a single lepton using the sum of masses of large-radius jets,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 5, (2020) 052010, [arXiv:1911.07558 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [38] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for top squark pair production in a final state with two tau leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **02** (2020) 015, [arXiv:1910.12932 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [39] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Searches for physics beyond the standard model with the M_{T2} variable in hadronic final states with and without disappearing tracks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 1, (2020) 3, [arXiv:1909.03460 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [40] CMS Collaboration, T. C. Collaboration *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum,” *JHEP* **10** (2019) 244, [arXiv:1908.04722 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [41] CMS Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in final states with a single electron or muon using angular correlations and heavy-object identification in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **09** (2023) 149, [arXiv:2211.08476 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [42] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for direct pair production of sleptons and charginos decaying to two leptons and neutralinos with mass splittings near the W-boson mass in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 031, [arXiv:2209.13935 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for charginos and neutralinos in final states with two boosted hadronically decaying bosons and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** no. 11, (2021) 112010, [arXiv:2108.07586 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for chargino–neutralino pair production in final states with three leptons and missing transverse momentum in

- $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 12, (2021) 1118, [arXiv:2106.01676 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [45] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for chargino-neutralino production with mass splittings near the electroweak scale in three-lepton final states in $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 7, (2020) 072001, [arXiv:1912.08479 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [46] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Searches for electroweak production of supersymmetric particles with compressed mass spectra in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 5, (2020) 052005, [arXiv:1911.12606 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [47] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for direct stau production in events with two hadronic τ -leptons in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 3, (2020) 032009, [arXiv:1911.06660 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [48] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for direct production of electroweakinos in final states with one lepton, missing transverse momentum and a Higgs boson decaying into two b -jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 8, (2020) 691, [arXiv:1909.09226 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [49] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for electroweak production of charginos and neutralinos in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **04** (2022) 147, [arXiv:2106.14246 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [50] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for electroweak production of charginos and neutralinos in multilepton final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **03** (2018) 166, [arXiv:1709.05406 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [51] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for chargino-neutralino production in events with Higgs and W bosons using 137 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 045, [arXiv:2107.12553 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [52] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetric partners of electrons and muons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **790** (2019) 140–166, [arXiv:1806.05264 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [53] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for direct pair production of supersymmetric partners of τ leptons in the final state with two hadronically decaying τ leptons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108** no. 1, (2023) 012011, [arXiv:2207.02254 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [54] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for Supersymmetry with a Compressed Mass Spectrum in Events with a Soft τ Lepton, a Highly Energetic Jet, and Large Missing Transverse Momentum in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** no. 4, (2020) 041803, [arXiv:1910.01185 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [55] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry using Higgs boson to diphoton decays at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **11** (2019) 109, [arXiv:1908.08500 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [56] CMS Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for electroweak production of charginos and neutralinos at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV in final states containing hadronic decays of WW, WZ, or WH and missing transverse momentum,” *Phys. Lett. B* **842** (2023) 137460, [arXiv:2205.09597 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [57] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for direct pair production of supersymmetric partners to the τ lepton in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 3, (2020) 189, [arXiv:1907.13179 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [58] L. J. Hall and M. Suzuki, “Explicit R-Parity Breaking in Supersymmetric Models,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **231** (1984) 419–444.
- [59] B. C. Allanach, A. Dedes, and H. K. Dreiner, “R parity violating minimal supergravity model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 115002, [arXiv:hep-ph/0309196](#). [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 72, 079902 (2005)].
- [60] B. C. Allanach, A. Dedes, and H. K. Dreiner, “Bounds on R-parity violating couplings at the weak scale and at the GUT scale,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 075014, [arXiv:hep-ph/9906209](#).
- [61] H. K. Dreiner and M. Thormeier, “Supersymmetric Froggatt-Nielsen models with baryon and lepton number violation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 053002, [arXiv:hep-ph/0305270](#).
- [62] M. Chemtob, “Phenomenological constraints on broken R parity symmetry in supersymmetry models,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **54** (2005) 71–191, [arXiv:hep-ph/0406029](#).
- [63] N. Chamoun, F. Domingo, and H. K. Dreiner, “Nucleon decay in the R-parity violating MSSM,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** no. 1, (2021) 015020, [arXiv:2012.11623 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [64] Particle Data Group Collaboration, S. Navas *et al.*, “Review of particle physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110** no. 3, (2024) 030001.
- [65] L. E. Ibanez and G. G. Ross, “Discrete gauge symmetries and the origin of baryon and lepton number conservation in supersymmetric versions of the standard model,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **368** (1992) 3–37.
- [66] H. K. Dreiner, H. Murayama, and M. Thormeier, “Anomalous flavor U(1)(X) for everything,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **729** (2005) 278–316, [arXiv:hep-ph/0312012](#).
- [67] H. K. Dreiner, C. Luhn, and M. Thormeier, “What is the discrete gauge symmetry of the MSSM?,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 075007, [arXiv:hep-ph/0512163](#).
- [68] H. K. Dreiner, C. Luhn, H. Murayama, and M. Thormeier, “Baryon triality and neutrino masses from an anomalous flavor U(1),” *Nucl. Phys. B* **774** (2007) 127–167, [arXiv:hep-ph/0610026](#).

- [69] H. K. Dreiner, M. Hanussek, and C. Luhn, “What is the discrete gauge symmetry of the R-parity violating MSSM?,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 055012, [arXiv:1206.6305 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [70] F. Domingo, H. K. Dreiner, D. Köhler, S. Nangia, and A. Shah, “A novel proton decay signature at DUNE, JUNO, and Hyper-K,” *JHEP* **05** (2024) 258, [arXiv:2403.18502 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] H. K. Dreiner, “An Introduction to explicit R-parity violation,” *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.* **21** (2010) 565–583, [arXiv:hep-ph/9707435](#).
- [72] H. K. Dreiner and S. Grab, “All Possible Lightest Supersymmetric Particles in R-Parity Violating mSUGRA,” *Phys. Lett. B* **679** (2009) 45–50, [arXiv:0811.0200 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [73] D. Dercks, H. Dreiner, M. E. Krauss, T. Opferkuch, and A. Reinert, “R-Parity Violation at the LHC,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** no. 12, (2017) 856, [arXiv:1706.09418 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [74] H. K. Dreiner and P. Morawitz, “Signals for supersymmetry at HERA,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **428** (1994) 31–60, [arXiv:hep-ph/9405253](#). [Erratum: Nucl.Phys.B 574, 874–875 (2000)].
- [75] H. K. Dreiner, S. Lola, and P. Morawitz, “Chargino pair production at LEP-2 with broken R-parity: 4 jet final states,” *Phys. Lett. B* **389** (1996) 62–72, [arXiv:hep-ph/9606364](#).
- [76] **R parity Working Group** Collaboration, B. Allanach *et al.*, “Physics at Run II: Searching for R Parity Violation at Run II of the Tevatron,” in *Physics at Run II: Workshop on Supersymmetry / Higgs: Summary Meeting*. 3, 1999. [arXiv:hep-ph/9906224](#).
- [77] A. Datta and B. Mukhopadhyaya, “Are messages of R-parity violating supersymmetry hidden within top quark signals?,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 248–251, [arXiv:hep-ph/0003174](#).
- [78] N. Desai and B. Mukhopadhyaya, “R-parity violating resonant stop production at the Large Hadron Collider,” *JHEP* **10** (2010) 060, [arXiv:1002.2339 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [79] B. Bhattacharjee, G. Bhattacharyya, and S. Raychaudhuri, “Can Flavor Physics Hint at Distinctive Signals for R-parity Violation at the LHC?,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 075006, [arXiv:1101.4360 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [80] B. Bhattacharjee, J. L. Evans, M. Ibe, S. Matsumoto, and T. T. Yanagida, “Natural supersymmetry’s last hope: R-parity violation via UDD operators,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87** no. 11, (2013) 115002, [arXiv:1301.2336 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [81] F. Domingo, H. K. Dreiner, J. S. Kim, M. E. Krauss, M. Lozano, and Z. S. Wang, “Updating Bounds on R-Parity Violating Supersymmetry from Meson Oscillation Data,” *JHEP* **02** (2019) 066, [arXiv:1810.08228 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [82] S. Bansal, A. Delgado, C. Kolda, and M. Quiros, “Limits on R-parity-violating couplings from Drell-Yan processes at the LHC,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99** no. 9, (2019) 093008, [arXiv:1812.04232 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [83] H. K. Dreiner and V. M. Lozano, “R-Parity Violation and Direct Stau Pair Production at the LHC,” [arXiv:2001.05000 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [84] R. K. Barman, B. Bhattacharjee, I. Chakraborty, A. Choudhury, and N. Khan, “Electroweakino searches at the HL-LHC in the baryon number violating MSSM,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** no. 1, (2021) 015003, [arXiv:2003.10920 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [85] P. Fileviez Perez *et al.*, “On Baryon and Lepton Number Violation,” [arXiv:2208.00010 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [86] C. O. Dib, J. C. Helo, V. E. Lyubovitskij, N. A. Neill, A. Soffer, and Z. S. Wang, “Probing R-parity violation in B-meson decays to a baryon and a light neutralino,” *JHEP* **02** (2023) 224, [arXiv:2208.06421 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [87] A. Choudhury, A. Mondal, S. Mondal, and S. Sarkar, “Improving sensitivity of trilinear R-parity violating SUSY searches using machine learning at the LHC,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** no. 3, (2024) 035001, [arXiv:2308.02697 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [88] A. Choudhury, A. Mondal, S. Mondal, and S. Sarkar, “Slepton searches in the trilinear RPV SUSY scenarios at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC,” [arXiv:2310.07532 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [89] A. Choudhury, A. Mondal, and S. Mondal, “Status of R-parity violating SUSY,” [arXiv:2402.04040 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [90] A. Choudhury, A. Mondal, and S. Sarkar, “Searches for the BSM scenarios at the LHC using decision tree-based machine learning algorithms: a comparative study and review of random forest, AdaBoost, XGBoost and LightGBM frameworks,” *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **233** no. 15-16, (2024) 2425–2463, [arXiv:2405.06040 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [91] G. Bickendorf and M. Drees, “Learning to see R-parity violating scalar top decays,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110** no. 5, (2024) 056006, [arXiv:2406.03096 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [92] H. K. Dreiner, Y. S. Koay, D. Köhler, V. M. Lozano, J. M. Berlingen, S. Nangia, and N. Strobbe, “The ABC of RPV: classification of R-parity violating signatures at the LHC for small couplings,” *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2023** no. 7, (July, 2023) . [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2023\)215](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2023)215).
- [93] H. K. Dreiner, F. Staub, A. Vicente, and W. Porod, “General MSSM signatures at the LHC with and without R-parity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 035021, [arXiv:1205.0557 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [94] D. Dercks, N. Desai, J. S. Kim, K. Rolbiecki, J. Tattersall, and T. Weber, “CheckMATE 2: From the model to the limit,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **221** (2017) 383–418, [arXiv:1611.09856 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [95] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, “Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder,” *Phys. Lett. B* **641** (2006) 57–61, [arXiv:hep-ph/0512210](#).

- [96] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm,” *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, [arXiv:0802.1189 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [97] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “FastJet User Manual,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, [arXiv:1111.6097 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [98] A. L. Read, “Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique,” *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693–2704.
- [99] “CheckMATE 2.” <https://checkmate.hepforge.org/>.
- [100] H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, “The Search for Supersymmetry: Probing Physics Beyond the Standard Model,” *Phys. Rept.* **117** (1985) 75–263.
- [101] “The abc-rpv RPV Python Library.” <https://github.com/kys-sheng/abc-rpv.git>.
- [102] CMS Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for resonant and nonresonant production of pairs of dijet resonances in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **07** (2023) 161, [arXiv:2206.09997 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [103] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Pursuit of paired dijet resonances in the Run 2 dataset with ATLAS,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108** no. 11, (2023) 112005, [arXiv:2307.14944 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [104] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “A search for R-parity-violating supersymmetry in final states containing many jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **05** (2024) 003, [arXiv:2401.16333 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [105] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for R-parity-violating supersymmetry in a final state containing leptons and many jets with the ATLAS experiment using $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{TeV}$ proton-proton collision data,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** no. 11, (2021) 1023, [arXiv:2106.09609 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [106] CMS Collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, “Search for pair-produced vector-like leptons in final states with third-generation leptons and at least three b quark jets in proton-proton collisions at $s=13\text{TeV}$,” *Phys. Lett. B* **846** (2023) 137713, [arXiv:2208.09700 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [107] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Oliveira Correa, “Search For The Production Of Vector-like Lepton Pairs In Final States Containing Tau-Leptons With The ATLAS Detector,” *PoS ICHEP2024* (2025) 313.
- [108] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for charged Higgs bosons decaying into a top quark and a bottom quark at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **06** (2021) 145, [arXiv:2102.10076 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [109] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan *et al.*, “Search for R-parity violating decays of a top squark in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **760** (2016) 178–201, [arXiv:1602.04334 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [110] J. S. Kim, D. Schmeier, J. Tattersall, and K. Rolbiecki, “A framework to create

- customised LHC analyses within CheckMATE,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **196** (2015) 535–562, [arXiv:1503.01123 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [111] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “ATLAS flavour-tagging algorithms for the LHC Run 2 pp collision dataset,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** no. 7, (2023) 681, [arXiv:2211.16345 \[physics.data-an\]](#).
- [112] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations,” *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, [arXiv:1405.0301 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [113] W. Beenakker, C. Borschensky, M. Krämer, A. Kulesza, and E. Laenen, “NNLL-fast: predictions for coloured supersymmetric particle production at the LHC with threshold and Coulomb resummation,” *JHEP* **12** (2016) 133, [arXiv:1607.07741 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [114] W. Beenakker, C. Borschensky, M. Krämer, A. Kulesza, E. Laenen, J. Mamužić, and L. M. Valero, “NNLL-fast 2.0: Coloured Sparticle Production at the LHC Run 3 with $\sqrt{S} = 13.6$ TeV,” *SciPost Phys. Core* **7** (2024) 072, [arXiv:2404.18837 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [115] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for heavy neutrinos and third-generation leptoquarks in hadronic states of two τ leptons and two jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 170, [arXiv:1811.00806 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [116] O. Mattelaer, M. Mitra, and R. Ruiz, “Automated Neutrino Jet and Top Jet Predictions at Next-to-Leading-Order with Parton Shower Matching in Effective Left-Right Symmetric Models,” [arXiv:1610.08985 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [117] B. Fuks. <http://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/RPVMSSM>.
- [118] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159–177, [arXiv:1410.3012 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [119] **DELPHES 3** Collaboration, J. de Favereau, C. Delaere, P. Demin, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaître, A. Mertens, and M. Selvaggi, “DELPHES 3, A modular framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment,” *JHEP* **02** (2014) 057, [arXiv:1307.6346 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [120] R. Gavin, C. Hangst, M. Krämer, M. Mühlleitner, M. Pellen, E. Popena, and M. Spira, “Matching Squark Pair Production at NLO with Parton Showers,” *JHEP* **10** (2013) 187, [arXiv:1305.4061 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [121] R. Gavin, C. Hangst, M. Krämer, M. Mühlleitner, M. Pellen, E. Popena, and M. Spira, “Squark Production and Decay matched with Parton Showers at NLO,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** no. 1, (2015) 29, [arXiv:1407.7971 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [122] J. Fiaschi, B. Fuks, M. Klasen, and A. Neuwirth, “Electroweak superpartner

- production at 13.6 tev with resummino,” *The European Physical Journal C* **83** no. 8, (Aug., 2023) . <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11888-y>.
- [123] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Measurement of differential cross-sections in $t\bar{t}$ and $t\bar{t}$ +jets production in the lepton+jets final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using 140 fb $^{-1}$ of ATLAS data,” *JHEP* **08** (2024) 182, [arXiv:2406.19701](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.19701) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [124] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for single production of vector-like T quarks decaying into Ht or Zt in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **08** (2023) 153, [arXiv:2305.03401](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.03401) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [125] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Probing the CP nature of the top–Higgs Yukawa coupling in $t\bar{t}^{-}H$ and tH events with $H\rightarrow b\bar{b}^{-}$ decays using the ATLAS detector at the LHC,” *Phys. Lett. B* **849** (2024) 138469, [arXiv:2303.05974](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.05974) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [126] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for a light charged Higgs boson in $t \rightarrow H^{\pm}b$ decays, with $H^{\pm} \rightarrow cb$, in the lepton+jets final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **09** (2023) 004, [arXiv:2302.11739](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11739) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [127] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for a new scalar resonance in flavour-changing neutral-current top-quark decays $t \rightarrow qX$ ($q = u, c$), with $X \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **07** (2023) 199, [arXiv:2301.03902](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.03902) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [128] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for the pair production of light top squarks in the $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 101, [arXiv:1901.01288](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.01288) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [129] **ATLAS** Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et al.*, “A strategy for a general search for new phenomena using data-derived signal regions and its application within the ATLAS experiment,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** no. 2, (2019) 120, [arXiv:1807.07447](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.07447) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [130] **ATLAS** Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et al.*, “Search for supersymmetry in final states with two same-sign or three leptons and jets using 36 fb $^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collision data with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **09** (2017) 084, [arXiv:1706.03731](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03731) [[hep-ex](#)]. [Erratum: *JHEP* 08, 121 (2019)].
- [131] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Search for direct production of electroweakinos in final states with missing transverse momentum and a Higgs boson decaying into photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **10** (2020) 005, [arXiv:2004.10894](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.10894) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [132] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Hayrapetyan *et al.*, “Review of searches for vector-like quarks, vector-like leptons, and heavy neutral leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at the CMS experiment,” [arXiv:2405.17605](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.17605) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [133] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, “Search for top squarks in final states

with two top quarks and several light-flavor jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104** no. 3, (2021) 032006, [arXiv:2102.06976 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [134] **CMS** Collaboration, “Search for top squarks in final states with many light flavor jets and 0, 1, or 2 leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,” tech. rep., CERN, Geneva, 2024. <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2899862>.