Dynamic Hologram Generation with Automatic Differentiation

Xing-Yu Zhang,^{1,2,3} Yu-Qing Wang,⁴ Angrui Du,⁴ Han Wang,¹ Lei Wang,² and Jinguo Liu^{1,*}

¹Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), Guangzhou 511453, China

²Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Gent, Belgium

⁴Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional

Quantum Physics, Tsinghua University, 100084, Beijing, China.

We designed an automatic differentiation-based strategy to generate optical trap arrays that change smoothly in time. Instead of repeatedly regenerating the holograms for each time step, we derive the differential form of the phase dynamics that enables the continuous evolution of the trap coordinates. This differential form is derived from the implicit differentiation of the fixed point of the Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm, which is computationally efficient. We carried out numerical and laboratory experiments to demonstrate its effectiveness in improving the phase continuity and reducing the computational burden compared to the traditional pure interpolation techniques. By combining the method with the spatial light modulator, the method is promising for the dynamic manipulation of particles in real experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

Optical traps [1, 2] have found a wide range of applications in physics, biophotonics, and biomedicine, including the manipulation of biological cells [3], the investigation of molecular motor properties [4] and the control of ultracold atoms [5]. The construction and independent manipulation of scalable arrays of uniform optical traps have become a topic of interest in many fields [6– 12]. Among the various methodologies proposed to create such arrays [6, 7, 13], the computer generated holograms can be easily implemented with a phase modulated spatial light modulator (SLM) [14, 15] which allows great flexibility in dynamic control [16] and the generation of various geometries [17] under certain algorithms [18, 19].

The holograph computation, as illustrated in Figure 1, is a process to compute the phase pattern ϕ on the SLM plane that can traps at coordinates \mathbf{r} . The efficient and smooth transition of the trap coordinates is crucial for certain applications, such as arranging neutral atoms into a regular pattern [20]. Bottlenecked by the computational cost of the hologram generation algorithm, the recomputation of the holograms at each step may take too long and cause existing atoms to escape. Recently, neutral network [21] and interpolation based methods [22] are proposed to improve efficiency. In this work, we propose a method with better explainability and better numerical stability. Instead of treating the phase-coordinate relationship as a black box, we treat them as an analytic function $\phi \to \mathbf{r}$ where ϕ is a matrix of phase in the SLM plane and \mathbf{r} is a vector of trap coordinates in the image plane. They are related through Fourier optics [23]. Given a velocity field

of the trap locations $\mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t}$, we can derive accurate phase dynamics $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ with automatic differentiation [24]. Technically, we use the weighted Gerchberg-Saxton (WGS) algorithm [18, 25] to compute the holograms, which iterates (inverse) Fourier transformations between these planes to derive the phases. This iterative process determines the relation between $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ and \mathbf{r} implicitly. Hence, we employ the implicit function theorem [26, 27] to derive the phase dynamics $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ concerning \mathbf{v} . We emphasize that the process to derive the gradient is computationally efficient, sometimes even faster than the forward computation of the WGS algorithm. Using the phase dynamics, we can continuously evolve the phases for multiple steps to achieve the contiguous evolution of trap locations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II A, we incorporate the contiguous Fourier transformation (CFT) into the WGS algorithm to generate the holograms in the SLM plane at arbitrary trap locations. In Section II B, we derive the phase dynamics $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ concerning trap velocities using the implicit function theorem [26, 27] to differentiate the fixed-point iterations of the WGS algorithm. In Section III, we demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method through numerical experiments. Finally, we implement the proposed setup in a lab experiment and report the results in Section IV.

To streamline practical implementation, we have developed a Julia package [28] that offers pre-built functionality for the evolution of SLM holograms.

II. METHOD

The goal of dynamic hologram generation is to smoothly transition the traps from initial locations to target locations in the image plane. In this work, we intend to achieve this goal with a computer-generated hologram displayed on SLM. When a laser beam is incident on a certain hologram, light gets diffracted. The

¹ Xing-Yu Zhang and Yu-Qing Wang contributed equally to this work.

^{*} jinguoliu@hkust-gz.edu.cn

wavefront or phase profile of the diffracted beam can be modulated by revising the hologram. The framework of our algorithm for calculating the hologram displayed is shown in Fig. 1. In our algorithm, this process is divided into *n* steps, each step evolves the trap locations from one keyframe to the next keyframe, where the keyframe is the trap locations at the *j*-th step denoted as $\mathbf{r}^{(j)}$, the *i*-th element $r_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a 2D coordinate, and *k* is the number of traps. As shown in Fig. 1, at the beginning of the *j*-th step, we are given the (j-1) -th keyframe in the image plane $\mathbf{r}^{(j-1)}$ and the target velocity of the *i*-th trap is defined as $\mathbf{v}_i^{(j)} = \frac{r_i^{(j)} - r_i^{(j-1)}}{\Delta t}$ of these trap locations, where Δt is the time interval between two steps. We start by computing the phase generating $\mathbf{r}^{(j-1)}$ in the SLM plane as $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{(j-1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ using the contiguous-WGS (C-WGS) algorithm, where *K* is the resolution of the SLM plane. Given the target velocities of the trap locations, we then calculate the phase dynamics $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(j-1)}}{\partial t}$ by automatic differentiation. Finally, we use $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(j-1)}}{\partial t}$ to evolve the phases for multiple steps to achieve the contiguous evolution of trap locations.

In the following subsections, we will introduce how to generate high-resolution holograms using the C-WGS algorithm and how to compute the phase dynamics using automatic differentiation.

A. The Contiguous-WGS algorithm

The WGS algorithm [25, 29, 30] considers the problem of finding the phase ϕ in the SLM plane that generates the target trap locations **r** in the image plane. The contiguous WGS (C-WGS) algorithm is a slight modification of the traditional weighted-GS algorithm that incorporates the contiguous Fourier transformation (CFT) to generate high-resolution holograms.

The algorithm of contiguous WGS is shown in alg. 1. The amplitude in the SLM plane A_0 is determined by the light source and cannot be changed during the hologram computation. Given a set of target trap coordinates \mathbf{r} in the image plane, the algorithm returns the phase ϕ in the SLM plane. To ensure that the traps are uniform. the algorithm introduces weights \mathbf{W} on the traps to adjust the target amplitude of the traps. The main loop is the same as the traditional WGS algorithm, which is an iterative process (line 7). We expect the phase ϕ to converge to the fixed point of the cwgs_step function after n iterations, where the function $cwgs_step$ defines a self-consistent relationship for (ϕ, \mathbf{W}) . At the beginning of the function body of cwgs_step, the wave function on the SLM plane is computed by combining the amplitude A_0 and the phase ϕ . Through Fourier transformation, the algorithm calculates the wave function \mathbf{B}_{μ} at the trap coordinates. The Fourier transformation utilizes the precomputed Fourier matrices **X** and **Y** for the coordinates x and y of the trap locations, respectively. Then the Fourier transformation can be calculated through ma-



FIG. 1: (a) The optical setup for hologram generation. The incident light is phase-modulated by SLM, which produces the designed hologram at the Fourier plane of the lens. (b) The framework of gradient-flow weighted Gerchberg-Saxton (WGS) algorithm. $\{r_i\}$ is the target trap locations in the focal plane and ϕ is the phase in

the SLM plane. (i) Use the WGS with contiguous Fourier transformation to generate the phases on the SLM plane. (ii) Given the target velocities of the target trap locations, obtain the phase dynamics $\frac{d\phi}{dt}$ by using the implicit differentiation of the fixed point. (iii)

Evolve the phases for multiple steps for contiguous evolution of trap locations.

trix multiplication (line 13 and 16). The generated trap amplitudes \mathbf{B}_{μ} may be non-uniform, so the weights \mathbf{W}_{μ} are updated based on the mean of the wave function \mathbf{B} and the current wave function \mathbf{B}_{μ} (line 14). The amplitude \mathbf{B}_{μ} is then updated by the weights \mathbf{W}_{μ} . The inverse Fourier transformation is then applied to obtain the wave function **A** in the SLM plane (line 16). Finally, the phase ϕ is extracted from the wave function A (line 17). Instead of using the FFT method, the algorithm calculates the Fourier transformation and its inverse by the matrix multiplication, which has a time complexity of $O(K^2 n_{\mu})$. Since in practice $k \ll K \times K$, the computational cost is comparable to the FFT method with complexity $O(K^2 \log(K))$. However, this method does not have the precision issue and zero padding overhead of the FFT method.

As a remark, one can easily generalize the C-WGS al-

Algorithm 1: The C-WGS algorithm (cwgs) Input:

- $\mathbf{A}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$: the amplitude in the SLM plane
- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$: the *x* and *y* coordinates of the optical traps
- $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$: the total number of iterations

Output:

- $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$: the phase in the SLM plane
- $W \in \mathbb{R}^k$: the weights applied on the traps.

1 function $cwgs(\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, n)$

// Random initialize $\phi_{xy} \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 2\pi);$ 2 // Initialize trap weights $\mathbf{W}_{u} \leftarrow 1;$ 3 $\mathbf{X}_{ux} \leftarrow e^{-2\pi i u x}$; // Fourier matrix for x-axis 4 $\mathbf{Y}_{vv} \leftarrow e^{-2\pi i v y}$; // Fourier matrix for y-axis $\mathbf{5}$ for j=1,2,...,n do 6 $(\phi, \mathbf{W}) \leftarrow \mathsf{cwgs_step}(\phi, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y});$ 7 end 8 return ϕ , W; 9 10 end function cwgs_step(ϕ , W, X, Y) 11 $\mathbf{A}'_{xy} \leftarrow (\mathbf{A}_0)_{xy} e^{i\phi_{xy}};$ // Update phase 12
$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B}_{u} &\leftarrow (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{Y}^{T})_{uu}; \\ \mathbf{W}_{u} &\leftarrow \mathbf{W}_{u} \frac{\mathrm{mean}(|\mathbf{B}_{u}|)}{|\mathbf{B}_{u}|}; \\ \mathbf{B}_{u}' &\leftarrow \mathbf{W}_{u} e^{i\mathrm{Arg}(\mathbf{B}_{u})}; \end{split}$$
13 // Fourier transform (FT) // Update weights $\mathbf{14}$ // Update amplitude 15 $\mathbf{A}_{xy} \leftarrow (\mathbf{X}^\dagger \text{diag}(\mathbf{B'})\mathbf{Y}^*)_{xy};$ 16 // Inverse FT $\phi_{xy} \leftarrow \operatorname{Arg}(A_{xy});$ Extract phase 17return ϕ , W; 18 19 end

gorithm to generate a grid of traps. The computational cost is the same, since the size of the Fourier matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} depends only on the number of unique \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} coordinates of the traps. Detailed discussion of the grid layout is beyond the scope of this paper.

B. Gradient-based evolution

To temporally evolve the phases $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ on the SLM plane, it is imperative to establish the relationship between the phase changing rate $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t}$. Since the trap velocities $\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{v}$ is given, the main task is to compute the Jacobian $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial \mathbf{r}}$. This relationship can be accurately determined through automatic differentiation (AD) [24] of the C-WGS algorithm in Algorithm 1. Given sufficient long iterations, the phase in the SLM plane and the target amplitude converge to fixed points ($\boldsymbol{\phi}^*, \mathbf{W}^*$) that satisfy the following equation:

$$(\boldsymbol{\phi}^*, \mathbf{W}^*) - \mathsf{cwgs_step}(\boldsymbol{\phi}^*, \mathbf{W}^*, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (1)$$

where **X** and **Y** depends on the trap coordinates **r**. ϕ^* is an implicit function of the trap coordinates **r**, the gradient of which can be computed by implicit function theorem [26, 27, 31]. For simplicity, we denote (**X**, **Y**) as parameters θ , (ϕ^*, \mathbf{W}^*) as an implicit function $\eta(\theta)$, and cwgs_step as a function **T**. Then the gradient can be computed as:

$$\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \eta^*} \right)^i \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \theta}.$$
 (2)

The derivation of this equation can be found in Appendix A. In practice, we can truncate the series in a certain order to obtain an approximate gradient for stability and efficiency. This Jacobian matrix does not need to be computed explicitly, instead, we use the following relation to compute the disired $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ directly:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta}\right]_{\boldsymbol{\phi}^*} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t}.$$
(3)

Since $\eta(\theta)$ is composed of ϕ and \mathbf{W} , we use $\left[\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta}\right]_{\phi^*}$ to label the gradient associated with ϕ^* . The above equation can be computed straight-forwardly using the trick in Algorithm 2 by utilizing the forward mode AD. In the algorithm, we introduce a dummy variable δt in line 4-5 to represent the infinitesimal time interval. It perturbs the coordinates and this perturbation is reflected in the DFT matrices **X** and **Y** at lines 6-7. Then the program runs the cwgs_step function for m times. Since ϕ and W are already fixed points, they are not changed during the iteration (lines 8-10). This seemingly trivial computation becomes powerful when combined with the forward mode AD (line 13). We set the dummy variable δt to 0. It has zero effect to the output, but carries gradient. The AD engine associates each variable with a gradient field, and updates the gradients as the computation goes. Along with the output, the gradient information $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ is also obtained. Forward mode AD is efficient in obtaining the gradient of multiple output variables with respect to single input variables, which is suitable for our problem. AD engines, such as ForwardDiff.jl [32] in Julia and PyTorch [33] in Python, can perform forward mode AD and obtain accurate gradients, while only introduce a constant overhead. One can verify that the gradient corresponds to Equation (3) and Equation (2) with the series expansion truncated to the m-th order. The finite order error is analyzed numerically as shown in Figs. 13 and 14.

III. BENCHMARKS AND APPLICATIONS

In this section, we will present specific examples and benchmark our method to demonstrate the improvements.

A. Movement in One Direction

In this section, we plan to demonstrate the gradient evolution method through a single optical trap moving in

Algorithm 2: The gradient-flow algorithm

Input:

- $\mathbf{A}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$: the amplitude in the SLM plane
- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$: the *x* and *y* coordinates of the optical traps
- $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$: the number of iterations to reach fixed point
- $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$: the number of series expand in Equation (2)

Output:

• $\frac{d\phi}{dt} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$: the change rate of phase

```
1 function gradient_flow(\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}_x, \mathbf{v}_y, n, m)
```

```
(\phi, W) \leftarrow cwgs(A_0, x, y, n); // Get fixed point
  \mathbf{2}
  3
                function cwgs_iter(\delta t)
  \mathbf{4}
                        \mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}} \delta t;
                                                                // Infinitesimal movement
                        \mathbf{y} \leftarrow \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}} \delta t;
  \mathbf{5}
                        \mathbf{X}_{ux} \leftarrow e^{-2\pi i u x};
                                                                                         // DFT matrices
  6
                        \mathbf{Y}_{vv} \leftarrow e^{-2\pi i v y};
  7
                        for j=1,2,...,m do
  8
                                (\phi, \mathbf{W}) \leftarrow \mathsf{cwgs\_step}(\phi, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y});
  9
10
                        end
                        return \phi;
11
12
                end
                \begin{array}{l} \frac{d\pmb{\phi}}{dt} \leftarrow {\rm ForwardDiff}({\rm cwgs\_iter}, \delta t=0) \ ; \\ // \ {\rm Calculate} \ \frac{d\pmb{\phi}}{dt} \ {\rm using \ forward \ mode \ AD} \end{array}
13
                return \frac{d\phi}{dt};
14
15 end
```

one direction. We start with an SLM of size 10×10 , where the initial configuration features a single trap positioned at (0.5, 0.5), which then moves upward by 0.1 in the y direction, resulting in the final position at (0.5, 0.6). Let us denote the initial and final phases in the SLM plane as ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 , respectively. These two simple phases can be easily solved, as illustrated in Fig. 2.

We can assess the phase change $\Delta \phi = \phi_1 - \phi_0$ resulting from the current gradient flow method compared to the exact solution. The discrepancy, denoted by $\Delta \phi_{\text{exact}} - \Delta \phi_{\text{flow}}$, is at the level of 10^{-6} , as shown in Fig. 3.

As shown in previous example, the displacement distance Δx is directly proportional to $\Delta \phi$, enabling the WGS algorithm to attain the exact solution. However, in situations with more complex patterns, the WGS algorithm can only offer phase approximations within the SLM plane. As a result, discrepancies in phases may arise even with small movements. An example demonstrating this is presented in Appendix B

In order to decrease the error in the gradient calculation, we expand the series of the derivative derived from the implicit function theorem and choose a higher number of series, which can be demonstrated through Eq. (A8). In practical applications, employing approximately 15 series is sufficient to obtain an accurate gradient, as depicted in Figs. 13 and 14.



FIG. 2: The patterns B in the focal plane and the corresponding phases ϕ in the SLM plane of the exact solution. ϕ_0 and B_0 represent the initial state, while ϕ_1 and B_1 depict the final state.



FIG. 3: Comparison of the exact phase change with the current gradient flow method.

B. Squeezing along one direction

In this section, we intend to assess the numerical quality of $\Delta \phi$, which can indicate to what extent we can use $\Delta \phi$ to evolve ϕ and follow trap movement.

In the corresponding simulation, the initial image is designed to be a butterfly, while the target diagram is the butterfly with shrinking wings, which means that the points on both sides converging towards the center. The simulation process is illustrated in Fig. 4.

At first, we employed a grid size of 100×100 for the SLM and a focal plane with dimensions 1000×1000 for further analysis. We focus on the top-left point marked with a red box, which experiences a substantial displacement of approximately 0.08 units, corresponding to 8 pixels on the present SLM.

After a single round of WGS calculation, we can derive the gradient $\frac{d\phi}{dt}$ of fixed points according to the second line of Eq. (A8) instead of solving the linear equation in the first line for greater stability and efficiency. Then this gradient is applied in the phase evolution process ϕ in the SLM plane. However, with increasing time or distance applied to the gradient, the amplitude *B* of the image in



FIG. 4: Patterns of the butterfly denoted as B in the focal plane. B_0 represents the initial state, whereas B_1 signifies the final state. Various points undergo distinct displacements, with the point exhibiting the longest displacement highlighted by a red box.

the focal plane will gradually decay, as shown in Fig. 5(a). To quantitatively analyze the decay phenomena, we plot the maximum amplitude B_{max} of the points influenced with moving distance Δx per pixel of the center along the six steps in Fig. 5(a). We find that the amplitude decays rapidly from 0.7 to 0.2 after 0.5 to 1 pixel movement (the initial amplitude is 1), which is depicted in Fig. 5 (b) and (c). The long-distance result is shown in the red-box point in Appendix C



FIG. 5: (a) Utilizing the gradient to guide the optical trap results in a gradual decay of the amplitude of the point in Fig. 4. The red box labels one unit pixel. (b)(c) The displacement Δx per pixel of the central points and the maximum amplitude B_{max} over successive steps in (a).

C. Moving a subset of traps

The current methodology can be applied in the manipulation of a logical quantum processor [34]. Initially, we focus on a cluster of points arranged in a 2×5 unit cell of seven points shown in Fig. 6 (a). We performed a logical calculation involving the shrinking of the width along y direction in Fig. 6 (b) and then moved the unit cell to the left side(shown in Fig. 6 (c).). The displacement Δx per pixel of the central points and the maximum amplitude B_{max} over successive steps (B_0, B_1, B_2) are illustrated in Fig. 7. The results demonstrate a new approach for seamless transformation of the logical quantum processor.



FIG. 6: B_0 is the initial configuration of the logical quantum processor, while B_1 is the shrunk middle configuration and B_2 represents the final states after the logical operations.



FIG. 7: The displacement Δx per pixel of the central points and the maximum amplitude B_{max} over successive steps of (a)(b) B_0 to B_1 and (c)(d) B_1 to B_2 in Fig. 6.

D. Rearrangement of traps

Rearranging the position of traps is crucial for atom loading. In this example, we first generate a randomly positioned about half-filled 20 × 20 grid (256 points by randomly picked) to a full-filled 16 × 16 grid in square pattern (shown in Fig. 8). We employ the Hungarian algorithm [35] to match the points in the two configurations, ensuring that the total displacement is minimized. Compared with methods of moving from outside to get a full-filled pattern [36], this manipulation is an efficient alternative way to obtain the desired pattern in experiments [37]. We also calculated the displacement Δx per pixel of the central points and the maximum amplitude B_{max} over successive steps (depicted in Fig. 9) to demonstrate the feasibility of the current methodology.



FIG. 8: B_0 is the initial configuration of the half-filled atoms, while B_1 represents the final states of the full-filled atoms.



FIG. 9: (a) Utilizing the gradient to guide the optical trap results in a gradual decay of the amplitude of the point in Fig. 8. (b)(c) The displacement Δx per pixel of the red boxed points and the maximum amplitude B_{max} over successive steps.

Program	Implementation	butterfly	circle
WGS	CPU	3.38	2.87
	GPU	0.0375	0.0795
Gradient	CPU Linear Solve	7.16	79.4
	CPU Expand	2.26	25.1
	GPU Linear Solve	0.0268	0.179
	GPU Expand	0.00861	0.0586

TABLE I: Wall clock time in seconds for hologram computation with WGS algorithm and gradient computation on a 1024×1024 grid SLM. The WGS iterations are set at 50, and the expansion series is truncated to order 15. All simulations are conducted using the Float64 data type.

E. Performance analysis

Our computational setup involves the Nvidia Ampere A800 GPU, boasting a substantial computing capacity of 9.7 tera floating point operations per second (TFLOPS) and 1.5 terabytes of memory bandwidth per second. Additionally, the CPU utilized is the AMD EPYC 7702 64-Core Processor @ 3.35GHz, offering a single-thread single-precision computing power of 195 giga floating point operations per second (GFLOPS). We use the Julia package ForwardDiff.jl [38] for automatic differentiation and the CUDA.jl package [39] for GPU acceleration.

A benchmark of the computation time for calculating the WGS and gradient of the butterfly and circle transformations in Table I. It is observed that the computational time of GPU processing is significantly smaller compared to CPU processing, which is attributed to the proficiency of GPUs in handling matrix manipulations. It is a key advantage leveraged by the current contiguous Fourier transformation methodology. The time to compute gradients can be much less than the WGS computation, which is a promising result for the future development of the methodology.

IV. EXPERIMENT

To benchmark our method's performance, we experimentally simulated trap rearrangement using a LCOS-SLM (Hamamatsu, X15213-02L). The SLM has a resolution of 1272×1024 and a frame rate of 60 Hz, limited by the DVI transmission rate. We randomly generated initial trap positions on an 18×18 square grid with a filling factor of 0.44. We then calculated the phase using our method and projected it onto the SLM in real time to rearrange the traps into a defect-free 12×12 configuration. Throughout each movement, we monitored the positions and intensities of optical tweezers using a CMOS camera in the Fourier plane. Fig. 10 shows the displacement Δx and maximum intensity B_{max} of one traced optical trap.

We accelerated phase generation on an NVIDIA RTX

4090 GPU. Phase generation takes 75 ms per 10 frames, including 20 iterations of WGS calculation and gradient evolution. Projection requires 128 ms per 10 frames, which aligns with the standard frame rate but is not fast enough compared to the phase generation.





optical traps after rearrangement. (c)(d) The displacement Δx per pixel and the maximum intensity $B_{\rm max}$ of one optical trap across successive steps. Data was extracted from a monitor camera, with displacement measured in camera pixels and intensity

measured in camera gray values.

V. DISCUSSION

In summary, integrating automatic differentiation with the weighted Gerchberg-Saxton (WGS) algorithm enables seamless manipulation of optical focus arrays. The numerical analysis demonstrates that, in the case of single-point movement, the gradient can accurately adjust the trap locations by any desired distance. In other scenarios, the gradient can accurately adjust the image by at least 0.5 pixels without significant amplitude decay, making it suitable for various pattern movements. While 0.5 pixels may not represent a large displacement, it is important to note that the gradient computation is highly efficient, requiring even less time than the hologram computation itself. By leveraging the gradient, we can enhance the continuity of trap coordinate changes, which may help reduce atom loss during the loading process in realistic atom array experiments. Compared to previous methods, a significant advantage of our approach is its explainability. The gradient can be easily understood and analyzed, which is crucial for experimentalists to comprehend why the method succeeds or fails.

The effectiveness of the method is also demonstrated through a laboratory experiment. Here, we identify two potential areas for improvement in the devices. First is the frame rate. In comparison to acousto-optic deflectors, which can move traps on a microsecond timescale, the current setup's frame rate of 60 Hz represents a significant bottleneck, limiting its application in atom loading experiments. The second area for improvement is the precision of phase adjustment. In practice, the spatial light modulator offers only 8 or 12 bits of precision, which falls short of the continuous limit. Achieving seamless phase manipulation remains a significant challenge for experimentalists. Given that our proposed method is characterized by its explainability, simplicity, and computational efficiency, we hope it will inspire further research aimed at overcoming these limitations.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the very helpful discussion with Wenlan Chen. This project is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant nos. 92270107, 12404568, T2225018, and T2121001.

Appendix A: Implicit function theorem

We consider a user-defined mapping $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^d$, and an implicit function $\eta^*(\theta)$ that satisfies the following equation:

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\eta}^*(\theta), \theta) = 0. \tag{A1}$$

The implicit function theorem states that if a point (η_0, θ_0) satisfies $F(\eta_0, \theta_0) = 0$ with a continuously differentiable function **F**, and the Jacobian $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \eta}$ at (η_0, θ_0) is an invertible square matrix, then there exists a function $\eta(\cdot)$ defined in a neighborhood of θ_0 such that $\eta^*(\theta_0) = \eta_0$. Furthermore, for all θ in this neighborhood, $\mathbf{F}(\eta^*(\theta), \theta) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta}$ exist. Under the chain rule, the Jacobian $\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta}$ satisfies:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\eta^*,\theta)}{\partial \eta^*} \frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\eta^*,\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$
 (A2)

calculating $\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta}$ involves solving the system of linear equations expressed as

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \eta^*}}_{V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta}}_{J \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}} = -\underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \theta}}_{P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}}.$$
 (A3)

Therefore, the desired Jacobian is given by $J = V^{-1}P$. In many practical situations, explicitly constructing the Jacobian matrix is unnecessary. Instead, it suffices to perform left-multiplication or right-multiplication by V and P. These operations are known as the vector-Jacobian product (VJP) and the Jacobian-vector product (JVP), respectively. They are valuable for determining $\eta(\theta)$ using reverse-mode and forward-mode automatic differentiation (AD), respectively.

In many cases, **F** is clearly defined, allowing for facilitated VJP or JVP calculations using AD. However, there are instances where **F** is implicitly defined, as seen in problems involving variational problem. In such cases, determining the VJP or JVP will require implicit differentiation, a method known as automatic implicit differentiation [27]. In our scenario, the function $\eta^*(\theta)$ is implicitly defined through a fixed point equation:

$$\eta^*(\theta) = \mathbf{T}(\eta^*(\theta), \theta), \qquad (A4)$$

where $\mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^d$. This representation can be viewed as a specific instance of Eq. (A1) by introducing the residual term:

$$\mathbf{F}(\eta, \theta) = \mathbf{T}(\eta, \theta) - \eta. \tag{A5}$$

If ${\bf T}$ is continuously differentiable, application of the chain rule yields:

$$V = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \eta^*} = I - \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \eta^*}, \quad (A6)$$

$$P = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \theta}, \qquad (A7)$$

Substituting V and P back into Eq. (A3), we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial \theta} = \left(I - \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \eta^*}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \eta^*}\right)^i \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}(\eta^*, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$
(A8)

We can use either solve the linear equation in the first line or expand the series in the second line to compute the gradient. The latter is more stable and efficient in practice. Consequently, when differentiating a fixed-point iteration, the main operation involves the JVP related to the single-step iteration function **T**. In practical situations, we can iterate **T** over multiple steps, obtaining the derivative for each step, leading to an accumulation of the expanded series. Although this method increases memory usage, it still shows effectiveness in real-world applications [40, 41].

To provide further clarification, we employ the WGS algorithm as our fixed-point function $\mathbf{T}((\boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{W}), \theta)$, which encompasses multiple steps outlined as Algorithm 1 and with the implicit function $\eta(\theta) = (\boldsymbol{\phi}(\theta), \mathbf{W}(\theta))$ and $\theta(r) = (\mathbf{X}(r), \mathbf{Y}(r))$.

To address the solution for $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$, we have the following:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \theta}\right]_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t}$$

$$= \left[V^{-1}P\right]_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t}$$
(A9)

where we use $[\cdot]_{\phi}$ to label the part associated with ϕ and the corresponding linear coefficients in Eq. (A6) and Eq. (A7) should be revised as:

$$V = I - \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}((\boldsymbol{\phi}^*, \mathbf{W}^*), \theta)}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\phi}^*, \mathbf{W}^*)}, \qquad (A10)$$

$$P = \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}((\boldsymbol{\phi}^*, \mathbf{W}^*), \theta)}{\partial \theta}.$$
 (A11)

Appendix B: Four points movement

Consider the scenario involving four points located at (0.4, 0.4), (0.4, 0.6), (0.6, 0.4), (0.6, 0.6), transitioning to (0.4, 0.5), (0.4, 0.7), (0.6, 0.5), (0.6, 0.7) with a 0.1 movement in the y direction, as depicted in Fig. 11 with a SLM grid SLM 100 × 100. When trying to derive the phases directly using the WGS algorithm, the resulting $\Delta \phi$ values exhibit a significantly larger magnitude than those obtained through the current gradient flow method, even when initialized from one another. This discrepancy is visually evident in Fig. 12.



FIG. 11: Patterns of the four points denoted as B in the focal plane. B_0 represents the initial state, while B_1 signifies the final state after a 0.1 movement in the y direction.



FIG. 12: The phase difference $\Delta \phi$ between two states. The $\Delta \phi_{\rm flow}$ obtained from the current method exhibits a notably smoother trend compared to the $\Delta \phi_{\rm WGS}$ derived from the WGS algorithm.



FIG. 13: The phase difference $\Delta \phi_{\text{implicit}}$ obtained from the solution of the linear equation and $\Delta \phi_{\text{expand}}$ from the series expansion in Eq. (A8). The label -n denotes the number of series used.



FIG. 14: The error across iterations for AD. With an increase in the number of expanded terms, the error significantly diminishes. The error is defined as $|\Delta\phi_{\rm implicit} - \Delta\phi_{\rm expand}|.$

Appendix C: Full movement of the butterfly

Based on the aforementioned analysis in Section III B, we can recalculate the WGS step following a displacement of 0.5 pixels of the furthest moving point. An effective strategy involves leveraging the gradient to regress the previous 0.5 pixels, thus necessitating recalculation only once per 1-pixel movement interval. Consequently, during the transition from B_0 to B_1 in Fig. 4, it is advisable to recalculate the 8th WGS algorithm, incorporating 10 gradient flows between successive keyframes. The displacements Δx and the maximum amplitude B_{max} are illustrated in Fig. 15 (a)(b). By recalculating the WGS with a 0.5-pixel movement, a smoother motion and more stable amplitude can be achieved, as depicted in Fig. 15 (c)(d).



FIG. 15: (a)(b) The displacement Δx per pixel and the maximum amplitude B_{max} of the central points over successive steps in the evolution depicted in Fig. 4, involving a 1-pixel recalculation of the WGS keyframes. (c)(d) 0.5-pixel recalculation.

Lastly, similar to Fig. 12, we evaluate the phase difference between consecutive states in the current analysis. The current gradient flow approach outperforms the pure WGS recalculation method, as depicted in Fig. 16.

Appendix D: Geometric Transformation

We arrange a cluster of points into circular pattern and transform it into an elliptical shape. The simulation process is illustrated in Fig. 17 (a). We find that the image can be adjusted with high efficiency under the gradient flow method even though the contiguous Fourier transformation process is challenging with closely positioned points. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 17 (b) and (c).



FIG. 16: The phase difference $\Delta \phi$ between two consecutive states in the assessment. The lower two histograms represent the unfolding of the phase on the 100×100 grid shown at the top. The red line denotes the average of the absolute values of $\Delta \phi$. The $\Delta \phi_{\rm flow}$ obtained through the current method demonstrates a significantly smoother trend in contrast to $\Delta \phi_{\rm WGS}$ computed using the WGS algorithm.

- A. Ashkin, J. M. Dziedzic, J. E. Bjorkholm, and S. Chu, Opt. Lett. 11, 288 (1986).
- [2] M. D. Porter, B. Giera, R. M. Panas, L. A. Shaw, M. Shusteff, and J. B. Hopkins, Appl. Opt. 57, 6565 (2018).
- [3] F. M. Fazal and S. M. Block, Nature Photonics 5, 318 (2011).
- [4] K. S. Malik and B. R. Boruah, Journal of Optics 24, 034004 (2022).
- [5] M. O. Brown, T. Thiele, C. Kiehl, T.-W. Hsu, and C. A. Regal, Phys. Rev. X 9, 011057 (2019).
- [6] S. Pang, C. Han, J. Erath, A. Rodriguez, and C. Yang, Opt. Express 21, 14555 (2013).
- [7] K. Bahlmann, P. T. C. So, M. Kirber, R. Reich, B. Kosicki, W. McGonagle, and K. Bellve, Opt. Express 15, 10991 (2007).
- [8] Y. Hayasaki, T. Sugimoto, A. Takita, and N. Nishida, Applied Physics Letters 87, 031101 (2005).
- [9] K. Obata, J. Koch, U. Hinze, and B. N. Chichkov, Opt. Express 18, 17193 (2010).
- [10] M. Endres, H. Bernien, A. Keesling, H. Levine, E. R. Anschuetz, A. Krajenbrink, C. Senko, V. Vuletic, M. Greiner, and M. D. Lukin, Science **354**, 1024 (2016).
- [11] M. Saffman, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 49, 202001 (2016).



FIG. 17: (a) The evolution from a solid circle to an ellipse. (b)(c) The displacement Δx per pixel of the central points and the maximum amplitude B_{max} over successive steps of the red point in (a).

- [12] H. Bernien, S. Schwartz, A. Keesling, H. Levine, A. Omran, H. Pichler, S. Choi, A. S. Zibrov, M. Endres, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Nature 551, 579 (2017).
- [13] G. Gauthier, I. Lenton, N. M. Parry, M. Baker, M. J. Davis, H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, and T. W. Neely, Optica 3, 1136 (2016).
- [14] N. Matsumoto, T. Inoue, T. Ando, Y. Takiguchi, Y. Ohtake, and H. Toyoda, Opt. Lett. 37, 3135 (2012).
- [15] K. Lou, S.-X. Qian, Z.-C. Ren, C. Tu, Y. Li, and H.-T. Wang, Scientific Reports 3, 2281 (2013).
- [16] W. J. Hossack, E. Theofanidou, J. Crain, K. Heggarty, and M. Birch, Opt. Express 11, 2053 (2003).
- [17] F. Nogrette, H. Labuhn, S. Ravets, D. Barredo, L. Béguin, A. Vernier, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, Phys. Rev. X 4, 021034 (2014).
- [18] R. W. Gerchberg, Optik **35**, 237 (1972).
- [19] C.-Y. Chen, W.-C. Li, H.-T. Chang, C.-H. Chuang, and T.-J. Chang, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 34, B42 (2017).
- [20] S. Wang, W. Zhang, T. Zhang, S. Mei, Y. Wang, J. Hu, and W. Chen, Physical Review Applied 19, 10.1103/physrevapplied.19.054032 (2023).
- [21] R. Lin, H.-S. Zhong, Y. Li, Z.-R. Zhao, L.-T. Zheng, T.-R. Hu, H.-M. Wu, Z. Wu, W.-J. Ma, Y. Gao, Y.-K. Zhu, Z.-F. Su, W.-L. Ouyang, Y.-C. Zhang, J. Rui, M.-C.

Chen, C.-Y. Lu, and J.-W. Pan, Ai-enabled rapid assembly of thousands of defect-free neutral atom arrays with constant-time-overhead (2024), arXiv:2412.14647 [quant-ph].

- [22] I. H. A. Knottnerus, Y. C. Tseng, A. Urech, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and F. Schreck, Parallel assembly of neutral atom arrays with an SLM using linear phase interpolation (2025), arXiv:2501.01391 [quant-ph].
- [23] J. W. Goodman, *Introduction to Fourier optics* (Roberts and Company publishers, 2005).
- [24] A. G. Baydin, B. A. Pearlmutter, A. A. Radul, and J. M. Siskind, Journal of machine learning research 18, 1 (2018).
- [25] A. V. Kuzmenko, Optics letters **33**, 1147 (2008).
- [26] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, *The implicit function the-orem: history, theory, and applications* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2002).
- [27] M. Blondel, Q. Berthet, M. Cuturi, R. Frostig, S. Hoyer, F. Llinares-López, F. Pedregosa, and J.-P. Vert, Advances in neural information processing systems 35, 5230 (2022).
- [28] X.-Y. Zhang and J.-G. Liu, Dynamic hologram generation with gradient, julia-version, [EB/OL] (2025), https://github.com/XingyuZhang2018/HoloGrad.jl Accessed March 5, 2025.
- [29] R. Di Leonardo, F. Ianni, and G. Ruocco, Optics Express 15, 1913 (2007).
- [30] D. Kim, A. Keesling, A. Omran, H. Levine, H. Bernien, M. Greiner, M. D. Lukin, and D. R. Englund, Optics Letters 44, 3178 (2019), arXiv:1903.09286.
- [31] A. Griewank and A. Walther, *Evaluat*ing *Derivatives*, 2nd ed. (Society for In-

dustrial and Applied Mathematics, 2008) https://epubs.siam.org/doi/pdf/10.1137/1.9780898717761.

- [32] J. Revels, M. Lubin, and T. Papamarkou, arXiv:1607.07892 [cs.MS] (2016).
- [33] A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, L. Antiga, *et al.*, Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019).
- [34] D. Bluvstein, S. J. Evered, A. A. Geim, S. H. Li, H. Zhou, T. Manovitz, S. Ebadi, M. Cain, M. Kalinowski, D. Hangleiter, J. P. Bonilla Ataides, N. Maskara, I. Cong, X. Gao, P. Sales Rodriguez, T. Karolyshyn, G. Semeghini, M. J. Gullans, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Nature **626**, 58 (2024).
- [35] H. W. Kuhn, Naval research logistics quarterly 2, 83 (1955).
- [36] H. J. Manetsch, G. Nomura, E. Bataille, K. H. Leung, X. Lv, and M. Endres, A tweezer array with 6100 highly coherent atomic qubits (2024), arXiv:2403.12021 [quantph].
- [37] G. Pichard, D. Lim, E. Bloch, J. Vaneecloo, L. Bourachot, G.-J. Both, G. Mériaux, S. Dutartre, R. Hostein, J. Paris, B. Ximenez, A. Signoles, A. Browaeys, T. Lahaye, and D. Dreon, Phys. Rev. Appl. 22, 024073 (2024).
- [38] J. Revels, M. Lubin, and T. Papamarkou, arXiv:1607.07892 [cs.MS] (2016).
- [39] T. Besard, C. Foket, and B. De Sutter, IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems 10.1109/T-PDS.2018.2872064 (2018), arXiv:1712.03112 [cs.PL].
- [40] H.-J. Liao, J.-G. Liu, L. Wang, and T. Xiang, Phys. Rev. X 9, 031041 (2019).
- [41] X.-Y. Zhang, S. Liang, H.-J. Liao, W. Li, and L. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 108, 085103 (2023).