
BACTERIAL TURBULENCE IN SHEAR THINNING FLUID

Hongyi Bian
bianhongyi@sjtu.edu.cn

Chunhe Li
lichunhe@sjtu.edu.cn

Jin Zhu
zj519021911203@sjtu.edu.cn

Zijie Qu
zijie.qu@sjtu.edu.cn

March 6, 2025

ABSTRACT

The collective motion of bacteria, commonly referred to as bacterial turbulence, is well understood in Newtonian fluids[1, 2, 3]. However, studies on complex fluids[4, 5] have predominantly focused on viscoelastic effects. In our experiments, we employed Ficoll and Methocel polymers to compare the impacts of Newtonian and shear-thinning fluids on bacterial turbulence. We reported various physical properties, including energy and enstrophy, and observed that the shear-thinning effect is significantly suppressed in high-concentration bacterial suspensions. This suppression is largely attributed to the disruption of chain-like polymer structures around bacterial flagella due to strong interbacterial interactions in dense suspensions. To validate this hypothesis, we conducted experiments across bacterial concentrations (within the range where bacterial turbulence forms) and verified the findings using theoretical calculations based on the modified Resistive Force Theory (RFT).

1 Introduction

Active matter systems are generally considered to be cluster systems produced by aggregation of individuals that can move autonomously, such as schooling fish[6], bird flocks[7], and microtubule bundles[8]. The most distinctive feature of active matter systems is their non-equilibrium state, sustained by continuous energy input and dissipation. The collective behavior of active matter systems is influenced by multiple factors, such as local interactions between individuals, external stimuli, and boundary conditions, leading to a wide range of phenomena, including emergent patterns[9], asters[10], vortices[11, 12, 13, 14], and more.

The active microbial system is an important branch of the study of active substances, and its typical representatives include sperm[15], the artificial colloidal particle[16, 17], and the bacterial groups (such as *Escherichia coli*). As a direction of interest, the collective motion of the rod-like bacteria has been widely studied[18, 19, 20] and usually been called as 'bacteria turbulence' for its phenomenon similar to the turbulence at high Reynolds number. Studies of bacteria turbulence in Newtonian fluids have revealed many mechanisms of bacterial cluster movement. For example, the physical property in-plane kinematic energy was found to have a linear relationship with the enstrophy from the experiments[2] carried on the *B. subtilis*. Compared with passive turbulence, bacteria turbulence can have the quasi-2D case where only one layer of bacteria exists[21, 22]. The energy spectrum is also found to have the universal scaling around -3 according to the experiments on the general active turbulence[23, 24, 9, 25]. Considering the density of bacteria, activity of bacteria and the living-death ratio of bacteria as emergency conditions of the collective motion, sufficient experiments[3] were carried to yield a 3D phase diagram which provides verification data for current theories and quantitative data support for the follow-up experimental research.

While microorganisms live in complex environment in nature, studies[26] are not limited to Newtonian fluids (water). Due to the presence of various polymers, gastric fluid exhibits both shear-thinning and viscoelastic properties[27, 28], which are characteristic of the environment where the commonly studied bacterium *E. coli* resides. Polymer solutions that show Newtonian fluid properties in macroscopic tests can produce shear-thinning effects around high-shear bacterial flagella[29]. Although the viscosity increases, an increase in bacterial swimming speed was often observed in non-Newtonian solutions, accompanied by a decrease in higher concentrations of polymer solutions. The uneven distributed

or anisotropy viscosity[30, 31] caused by shear thinning, less bacterial tumble and smaller wobble angle[32] caused by elasticity are widely regarded as the main reasons for the increase in bacterial velocity. Fluid viscoelasticity can also prompt microorganisms to form collective motion by enhancing interactions among sperm cells, promoting the formation of clusters that facilitate successful fertilization[33].

Current research on bacterial turbulence in complex fluids remains limited, with most studies primarily focusing on viscoelastic effects. These investigations have revealed that viscoelastic solutions enhance mutual attraction and co-orientation among bacterial individuals[34], leading to enhanced temporal and spatial correlations[4, 5]. These structures, characterized by increased feature lengths, have been applied to control the periodic oscillating individual vortices within boundary-confined voids[14]. However, a gap exists in understanding the role of shear-thinning effects, which often coexists with viscoelasticity in fluids such as mucus, in bacterial turbulence. This oversight limits a comprehensive understanding of bacterial dynamics in complex fluid environments.

We choose the Methocel to control the shear thinning effects on the collective motion since the elastic effect is quite small[31]. Within our concentration range, we investigate the individual and collective motion of *E. coli* in Newtonian and shear-thinning fluids, focusing on how the interplay between shear-thinning effects and bacterial interactions influences turbulence dynamics. Several physical properties, including swimming speed, turbulence energy, and characteristic length scales, are analyzed. Our findings reveal that the shear-thinning effect is significantly repressed in high-concentration bacterial suspensions. To verify this observation, experiments are conducted at varying bacterial concentrations, and the change in turbulence energy is systematically compared.

Furthermore, we adapt the modified Resistive Force Theory (RFT), which incorporates the influence of bacterial aggregation on individual flagellar rotation, to calculate the energy changes in the suspension under different bacterial and polymer concentrations. This theoretical approach provides a quantitative framework to explain the observed suppression of shear-thinning effects in dense bacterial suspensions, offering new insights into the complex interplay between fluid rheology and collective bacterial behavior.

2 Results

Our experiments are carried on RP⁺ *E. coli*. The two different polymers: Ficoll and Methocel are added to the motility buffer to control the properties of the solvent. The Ficoll concentration ranges from 0 to 7.5% (wt/wt), which corresponds to a viscosity of 1 to 1.5 cP and the Methocel concentration is from 0 to 0.25% with a viscosity of 1 to 3 cP measured at a shear rate equal to 10 s⁻¹. In response to the bacteria turbulence, the effect of polymers on unit bacteria swimming was also investigated experimentally. The swimming of dilute *E. coli* suspension was observed at a fixed ROI and analyzed using ImageJ. Here, we use v_d to indicate the average speed of bacteria in a dilute suspension with different polymer concentration and v_b means the speed of bacteria in pure motility buffer. As shown in Fig. 1 A, the addition of Ficoll initially enhances a little of the speed of *E. coli* and then decreases it. The peak is only around 1.02 while the lowest speed reaches 0.85. The initial growth is usually thought to be caused by the presence of small molecules in the polymer solution[29, 31], which may provide nutrition to bacteria.

In the Methocel solution, the bacteria speed is constantly increasing. From the trajectory of bacteria shown in Fig. 1 B, the swimming wobble angle appears to be smaller, while the bacteria generates smoother path lines. Although the Methocel solution can still behave as a viscous elastic liquid, this property is not significant enough to increase the speed of the bacteria by almost 40 percent. Shear thinning effect of the solution in conjunction with the high shear rate around the flagellar is the main reason for this phenomenon.

The bacteria turbulence in different solvent properties was recorded at 60 fps in the test fixture described in the Material and Methods part. The thickness of the dense bacteria suspension is around 100 μm . We recorded the behavior of the collective motion at the bottom of the sample which is the solid-liquid surface. We calculate the in-plane kinetic energy by $E = \langle(u^2 + v^2)/2\rangle$ and also consider the activity of the different bacteria colony by $E_b = v_b^2/2$. Compare Fig. 1 A with Fig. 1 C, we can find that the addition of a small amount of Ficoll to a bacterial suspension induces a significant abrupt change in bacterial turbulence activity, notice that the Ficoll case and the Methocel case share the same point at viscosity equal to 1 for the pure motility buffer. As the concentration of Ficoll increases further, the energy shows more continuous transition. We hypothesize that this abrupt change arises from the substantial reduction in bacterial activity during the centrifugation process used to concentrate the samples. During centrifugation, bacterial cells experience mechanical stress and nutrient deprivation, resulting in significant metabolic and motility impairments. The introduction of small Ficoll molecules can potentially provide energy and metabolites to damaged bacterial cells, facilitating their recovery and restoration of activity. This "nutritional repair effect" explains that the abrupt transition was also observed when we applied some TB instead of buffer to the dense bacteria suspension during sample preparation, which is absent in more dilute bacterial suspensions.




Figure 1: A) Averaged speed of single swimmers in different polymer solution B) Trajectory of swimmers in Buffer, 7.5% Ficoll solution and 0.25% Methocel solution. The rougher trajectory of the bacteria is observed in Newtonian solution C) Energy of bacteria turbulence normalized by the bacteria activity D) Energy of bacteria turbulence normalized by considering the bacteria averaged speed in polymer solution

The in-plane energy in shear thinning Methocel solution does not exhibit a monotonic relationship with viscosity as the speed of the bacteria units. Instead, it reaches a peak at approximately 0.29 and subsequently decreases. When the individual speed increases to 1.4, the turbulence energy of the cluster drops to a value even smaller than that in a pure buffer. To investigate the effect of individual movement speed on collective speed, energy is non-dimensionalized with respect to the corresponding bacterial swimming speed $E_d = v_d^2/2$. According to the normalized energy in Fig. 1 D, it can be observed that the turbulence energy has a strong linear correlation with the individual movement speed in Newtonian fluids. However, the addition of Methocel in a shear-thinning solution leads to a continuous decline. Although the shear-thinning effect causes an increase in the individual bacterial speed, it can be assumed that the effect of shear-thinning is continuously suppressed in collective movement, and the competition between weakened shear thinning effect and the increasing viscosity results in a non-linear relationship between turbulence energy and Methocel content.

Then we want to investigate whether the shear rate caused by bacteria turbulence will lead to shear thinning. We derive the maximum shear rate $\gamma_{max} = \langle \sqrt{(du/dx - dv/dy)^2 + (du/dy + dv/dx)^2}/2 \rangle$ considering the eigenvalues of the shear rate tensor to prevent the influence of the coordinate transformation. Here, u is the velocity along the x -axis and v is along the y -axis. The shear rate values were found to be on the order of 10, and for the rheological properties of the Methocel solution measured, such shear rates are insufficient to induce a strong shear-thinning effect. Therefore, the impact of the turbulence shear scale on the polymer solution can be excluded. In both Newtonian and shear thinning solutions, the concentration of the polymers does not greatly influence the typical deformation rate. The enstrophy, $\Omega = \langle (dv/dx - du/dy)^2/2 \rangle$, which represents the rotation of the turbulence flow, has a trend similar to that of the shear rate.




Figure 2: A),B) Bacteria turbulence shear rate and enstrophy, the trends of these two properties are similar C),D) Two different ways to investigate the characteristic length. C) is the length from ratio of energy and enstrophy and D) is the correlation length.

Goldstein *et al.* reported[2] the ratio length between in-plane energy and enstrophy, $\Lambda = \sqrt{E/\Omega}$, which is about half of the typical vortex radius. This ratio was found to be constant for the 2D case of bacteria turbulence with various bacteria activity. In the 2D case, the energy and enstrophy should have a linear relation. In our experiment (Fig. 2 C), the small amount of addition of the Ficoll introduces a rapid increase, which is different with the 2D case if we regard the viscosity to be almost not changed and only the activity of bacteria is enhanced. The different experimental conditions of the 3D complex turbulence flow and the solid glass boundary condition of the sample can influence the flow structure. From the enstrophy change, we clearly see that the rotation is restrained. The ratio length for the Methocel solution has the same trend as for the in-plane energy.

The effect of the polymers on the flow structure can then be seen from the characteristic length. We first examine the velocity correlation length using the spatial correlation function of the velocity, defined as $C_u(r) = \langle \hat{v}(r_0) \cdot \hat{v}(r_0+r) \rangle_{r_0}$, where $\hat{v}(r)$ is the normalized velocity field. Then, the correlation length is defined as the integration of the spatial velocity correlation $L = \int_0^\infty C_u(r) dr$, while in practice we integrate from 0 to 100 μm where the velocity is close to 0. Compared with the Newtonian fluid, bacterial turbulence in a shear-thinning solution initially shows enhancement but subsequently exhibits a decrease as the viscosity increase dominates.

3 Discussion

Calculating the shear rate reveals that, on the observable scales, low shear rates are insufficient to induce shear-thinning effects. This also suggests that in order to study how bacterial turbulence is influenced by polymers, it is necessary to examine the shear-thinning mechanisms at a more microscopic, or even nanoscale, level during bacterial motion. When we look for a smaller scale, the first step is to get a brief idea about the mechanism on the different rheological properties of the polymer solution. Consider two kinds of polymers used in our research, the Ficoll molecular is composed of




Figure 3: A) Normalized energy at different bacteria density under buffer and 0.25% Methocel solution. B) Theoretical result of the modified RFT. Orange line is ζ at 1, red line is ζ at 0.6 and blue line is ζ at 0.3.

highly branched, compact molecules that do not form entangled or networked structures in solution, resulting in a constant viscosity regardless of the shear rate, while the Methocel solution exhibits shear-thinning behavior due to its linear or slightly branched polymer structure. The linear structured polymers can easily form complex network which is linked by hydrogen bonds or van der Waals forces. At high shear rates, these interactions are disrupted, leading to a rearrangement of the solution structure, which manifests itself as shear thinning.

Previous studies[31] have shown that in polymer solutions, the rotation of individual bacterial flagella can generate strong local shear flows, reducing the viscosity of the fluid surrounding the flagella and significantly enhancing bacterial swimming speed in shear-thinning solutions. However, these studies focus primarily on dilute bacterial suspensions in which there are no significant hydrodynamic or physical interactions between individuals. This contrasts sharply with the characteristics of bacterial turbulence. Therefore, we hypothesize that in bacterial turbulence, the strong interactions between individuals may weaken the local shear flows generated by the rotation of individual flagella or disrupt the regular alignment of polymer molecules (Methocel) in shear flows, thereby altering the shear-thinning effect.

To test this hypothesis, we reduced the bacterial concentration in both pure buffer and high-concentration Methocel solutions and observed the changes in the turbulence energy. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 3 A. At low concentration, the turbulence energy decreases in both solutions, but the magnitude of the reduction differs: in pure buffer, the energy decreases by approximately 26.6%, while in the 0.25% Methocel solution, it decreases by about 17.8%. This smaller energy reduction suggests that the bacterial concentration plays a critical role in the shear-thinning effect: as the concentration decreases, the interactions between individuals weaken, making the shear-thinning effect more significant. In contrast, in high-concentration solutions, these interactions may interrupt the formation of local shear flows, therefore limiting the shear-thinning effect in bacterial turbulence.

By introducing the modified resistive force theory (RFT)[30], we can theoretically add the shear thinning weakening effect induced by density change and reproduce the change in the injection rate of bacterial turbulent energy by individual swimming speed. The main idea here is to treat the viscosity as an anisotropic property. Because of the rearrangement of the polymers, the rotation of the cell body will not influence the polymer structure while the advance of the body would break the network and sustain a higher viscosity. So, two apparent viscosities are introduced as normal and tangential viscosity, μ_N and μ_T . The tangential viscosity is generally smaller than the normal viscosity, which is the same case around the flagella. The normal viscosity is also assumed to be different for the flow around the cell and the flagella and the shear rate is selected to be 200 s^{-1} and 10000 s^{-1} , respectively. The main calculation process is shown below[30] (for details, see Supplemental Material). Apply the force and torque free of the flagella and cell body, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_c + \alpha_f & 0 & \gamma_f \\ \gamma_f & \beta_c & \beta_f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega_c \\ \omega_f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where α, β, γ are the geometric constant related to viscosity and v, ω are velocity and angular speed with the subscript f, c to distinguish flagella and cell body. Here we also apply the constraint on the flagellum torque and its angular speed to complete the series of equations. Tangential viscosity related to the bacteria density parameter ζ :

$$\mu_T = \mu_0 + (\mu_N(\dot{\gamma} = 10) - \mu_0) * \zeta,$$

where ζ would increase the higher density and $\mu_0 = 0.89\text{cP}$ is the viscosity of the water at 25°C . The results of modified RFT in Fig. 3 B are based on three different cases: (1) single bacteria swimming in the shear thinning solution ($\zeta = 0.3$), (2) dense bacteria suspension in solution in which shear thinning effect is restrained ($\zeta = 0.6$) and (3) single bacteria swimming in Newtonian solution ($\zeta = 1$). In both the first and third conditions, the modified RFT effectively reproduces the swimming trends of individual bacteria in shear-thinning and Newtonian fluids. In particular, during the transition phase between these two cases, the model captures the same nonmonotonic variation observed in collective motion. Elevated values ζ indicate a weakening of the shear-thinning effect due to the increased concentration of the bacterial solution.

4 Conclusion

This study investigates the interplay between shear-thinning effects and collective bacterial turbulence in *E. coli* suspensions using Newtonian (Ficoll) and shear-thinning (Methocel) polymer solutions. Key findings reveal that shear-thinning effects, which enhance individual bacterial swimming speed in dilute suspensions, are significantly suppressed in dense bacterial populations. Experimental results demonstrate a non-monotonic relationship between turbulence energy and polymer concentration in Methocel solutions, with turbulence energy peaking at intermediate concentrations before declining. This suppression is attributed to strong interactions in dense suspensions, which disrupt localized shear flows generated by flagellar motion and impede polymer rearrangement critical for shear-thinning behavior.

The modified Resistive Force Theory (RFT), incorporating anisotropic viscosity effects, successfully reproduces the observed trends, linking bacterial density to weakened shear-thinning responses. Experiments varying bacterial concentration further validate that reduced cell density amplifies shear-thinning effects, emphasizing the competition between collective interactions and fluid rheology.

While this work advances our understanding of bacterial dynamics in complex fluids, some limitations exist. The experimental protocol restricts bacterial concentrations near phase boundaries[3], necessitating future optimizations to explore broader density ranges. Additionally, the role of nanoscale polymer-bacterial interactions warrants deeper investigation. These insights underscore the importance of integrating individual and collective behavior analyses to unravel microbial dynamics in physiologically relevant environments, paving the way for applications in biophysical control and synthetic active matter design.

5 Materia and Methods

5.1 Cell Preparation

Wild type of *E. coli* RP+ strain was streaked onto Lysogeny Broth (LB; 1 L water, 10 g tryptone, 5 g NaCl and 5 g yeast) medium agar plates from frozen stocks and was cultured for overnight (16 h) at 30°C . Then select a single colony of bacteria and inoculate it in 10 ml of Terrific Broth (TB; 1 L of water, 10 g of tryptone and 5 g of NaCl) for 16 h at 30°C . To control the amount of bacteria, four 15 ml centrifuge tubes with 10 ml of TB were prepared. Add $30\ \mu\text{L}$ bacterial suspension to each centrifuge tube to culture for another 6 h. The suspension was then centrifuged and washed for three times (2500 rpm, 9 min for one time and 2200 rpm, 7 min for two times) with motility buffer (1 L of water, 11.2 g of K_2HPO_4 , 4.8 g KH_2PO_4 , 0.029 g EDTA, 3.9 g NaCl) to achieve the concentration of bacteria around $80\ n_0$ where $n_0 = 8 \times 10^8\ \text{mL}^{-1}$. Mix the suspension with the polymer solution (1:1) to get the final suspension.

5.2 Polymer Solutions

To generate the solution with different rheological properties, we use two kinds of polymers: Ficoll 400 and Methocel. The Ficoll powder and Methocel powder are mixed with the buffer with different ratio to achieve the different concentration.

5.3 Test Fixture

The motion of cells in the dilute bacterial suspension was observed in a test fixture, which consisted of a 1 cm diameter well cut from a nanogel of approximately 1 mm thickness. The sample was sandwiched between two coverslips. For the dense suspension, to supply oxygen and prevent evaporation, an additional adhesive tape layer, 0.1 mm thick with a

5 mm diameter hole, was added below the nanogel layer used for the dilute sample. Approximately $2.5 \mu\text{L}$ of dense suspension was then introduced into this small well.

5.4 Imaging System and Data Analysis

We used the Nikon Ti2-U microscope with a CFI S Plan Fluor ELWD 60X objective and a PCO Edge 5.5 sCMOS camera. The region of interest (ROI) was set to 1500×1500 pixels, allowing image collection at 60 fps. The corresponding image size was $162 \times 162 \mu\text{m}$. To analyze the motility of individual bacteria, we used TrackMate[35] in ImageJ to capture bacterial trajectories. For bacterial turbulence analysis, the flow field was generated using the Optical Flow method. MATLAB was then used for further analysis, including quantifying bacterial activity, turbulence energy, and other related metrics.

References

- [1] Christopher Dombrowski, Luis Cisneros, Sunita Chatkaew, Raymond E Goldstein, and John O Kessler. Self-concentration and large-scale coherence in bacterial dynamics. *Physical review letters*, 93(9):098103, 2004.
- [2] Jörn Dunkel, Sebastian Heidenreich, Knut Drescher, Henricus H Wensink, Markus Bär, and Raymond E Goldstein. Fluid dynamics of bacterial turbulence. *Physical review letters*, 110(22):228102, 2013.
- [3] Yi Peng, Zhengyang Liu, and Xiang Cheng. Imaging the emergence of bacterial turbulence: Phase diagram and transition kinetics. *Science advances*, 7(17):eabd1240, 2021.
- [4] David A Gagnon, Ranjiangshang Ran, and Paulo E Arratia. Polymers in two-dimensional bacterial turbulence. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2111, 2021.
- [5] Wentian Liao and Igor S Aranson. Viscoelasticity enhances collective motion of bacteria. *PNAS nexus*, 2(9):pgad291, 2023.
- [6] Ugo Lopez, Jacques Gautrais, Iain D Couzin, and Guy Theraulaz. From behavioural analyses to models of collective motion in fish schools. *Interface focus*, 2(6):693–707, 2012.
- [7] Andrea Cavagna and Irene Giardina. Bird flocks as condensed matter. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.*, 5(1):183–207, 2014.
- [8] Tim Sanchez, Daniel TN Chen, Stephen J DeCamp, Michael Heymann, and Zvonimir Dogic. Spontaneous motion in hierarchically assembled active matter. *Nature*, 491(7424):431–434, 2012.
- [9] Zhengyang Liu, Wei Zeng, Xiaolei Ma, and Xiang Cheng. Density fluctuations and energy spectra of 3d bacterial suspensions. *Soft Matter*, 17(48):10806–10817, 2021.
- [10] Tyler D Ross, Heun Jin Lee, Zijie Qu, Rachel A Banks, Rob Phillips, and Matt Thomson. Controlling organization and forces in active matter through optically defined boundaries. *Nature*, 572(7768):224–229, 2019.
- [11] Iain D Couzin and Nigel R Franks. Self-organized lane formation and optimized traffic flow in army ants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 270(1511):139–146, 2003.
- [12] Enkeleida Lushi, Hugo Wioland, and Raymond E Goldstein. Fluid flows created by swimming bacteria drive self-organization in confined suspensions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(27):9733–9738, 2014.
- [13] Maxime Theillard, Roberto Alonso-Matilla, and David Saintillan. Geometric control of active collective motion. *Soft Matter*, 13(2):363–375, 2017.
- [14] Song Liu, Suraj Shankar, M Cristina Marchetti, and Yilin Wu. Viscoelastic control of spatiotemporal order in bacterial active matter. *Nature*, 590(7844):80–84, 2021.
- [15] Adama Creppy, Olivier Praud, Xavier Druart, Philippa L Kohnke, and Franck Plouraboué. Turbulence of swarming sperm. *Physical Review E*, 92(3):032722, 2015.
- [16] Paul J Ackerman, Timothy Boyle, and Ivan I Smalyukh. Squirring motion of baby skyrmions in nematic fluids. *Nature communications*, 8(1):673, 2017.
- [17] Changjin Wu, Jia Dai, Xiaofeng Li, Liang Gao, Jizhuang Wang, Jun Liu, Jing Zheng, Xiaojun Zhan, Jiawei Chen, Xiang Cheng, et al. Ion-exchange enabled synthetic swarm. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 16(3):288–295, 2021.
- [18] Donald L Koch and Ganesh Subramanian. Collective hydrodynamics of swimming microorganisms: living fluids. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 43(1):637–659, 2011.
- [19] Avraham Be’er and Gil Ariel. A statistical physics view of swarming bacteria. *Movement ecology*, 7:1–17, 2019.

- [20] Igor S Aranson. Bacterial active matter. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 85(7):076601, 2022.
- [21] Andrey Sokolov, Igor S Aranson, John O Kessler, and Raymond E Goldstein. Concentration dependence of the collective dynamics of swimming bacteria. *Physical review letters*, 98(15):158102, 2007.
- [22] Gil Ariel, Marina Sidortsov, Shawn D Ryan, Sebastian Heidenreich, Markus Bär, and Avraham Be’Er. Collective dynamics of two-dimensional swimming bacteria: Experiments and models. *Physical Review E*, 98(3):032415, 2018.
- [23] Henricus H Wensink, Jörn Dunkel, Sebastian Heidenreich, Knut Drescher, Raymond E Goldstein, Hartmut Löwen, and Julia M Yeomans. Meso-scale turbulence in living fluids. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 109(36):14308–14313, 2012.
- [24] Lipo Wang and Yongxiang Huang. Intrinsic flow structure and multifractality in two-dimensional bacterial turbulence. *Physical Review E*, 95(5):052215, 2017.
- [25] Ricard Alert, Jaume Casademunt, and Jean-François Joanny. Active turbulence. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, 13(1):143–170, 2022.
- [26] Gaojin Li, Eric Lauga, and Arezoo M Ardekani. Microswimming in viscoelastic fluids. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 297:104655, 2021.
- [27] Pernille Barbre Pedersen, Peter Vilmann, Daniel Bar-Shalom, Anette Müllertz, and Stefania Baldursdottir. Characterization of fasted human gastric fluid for relevant rheological parameters and gastric lipase activities. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 85(3):958–965, 2013.
- [28] Gustavo Ruiz-Pulido and Dora I Medina. An overview of gastrointestinal mucus rheology under different ph conditions and introduction to ph-dependent rheological interactions with plga and chitosan nanoparticles. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 159:123–136, 2021.
- [29] Vincent A Martinez, Jana Schwarz-Linek, Mathias Reufer, Laurence G Wilson, Alexander N Morozov, and Wilson CK Poon. Flagellated bacterial motility in polymer solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(50):17771–17776, 2014.
- [30] Yukio Magariyama and Seishi Kudo. A mathematical explanation of an increase in bacterial swimming speed with viscosity in linear-polymer solutions. *Biophysical journal*, 83(2):733–739, 2002.
- [31] Zijie Qu and Kenneth S Breuer. Effects of shear-thinning viscosity and viscoelastic stresses on flagellated bacteria motility. *Physical Review Fluids*, 5(7):073103, 2020.
- [32] Alison E Patteson, Arvind Gopinath, Mark Goulian, and Paulo E Arratia. Running and tumbling with e. coli in polymeric solutions. *Scientific reports*, 5(1):15761, 2015.
- [33] Chih-kuan Tung, Chungwei Lin, Benedict Harvey, Alyssa G Fiore, Florencia Ardon, Mingming Wu, and Susan S Suarez. Fluid viscoelasticity promotes collective swimming of sperm. *Scientific reports*, 7(1):3152, 2017.
- [34] Gaojin Li and Arezoo M Ardekani. Collective motion of microorganisms in a viscoelastic fluid. *Physical review letters*, 117(11):118001, 2016.
- [35] Dmitry Ershov, Minh-Son Phan, Joanna W Pylvänäinen, Stéphane U Rigaud, Laure Le Blanc, Arthur Charles-Orszag, James RW Conway, Romain F Laine, Nathan H Roy, Daria Bonazzi, et al. Trackmate 7: integrating state-of-the-art segmentation algorithms into tracking pipelines. *Nature methods*, 19(7):829–832, 2022.