

# Dynamical Cosmological Constant

G. di Donato and L. Pilo

*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche e Chimiche,*

*Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy and*

*INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67100 Assergi, Italy*

(Dated: March 6, 2025)

The dynamical realisation of the equation of state  $p + \rho = 0$  is studied. A non-pathological dynamics for the perturbations of such a system mimicking a dynamical cosmological constant (DCC) requires to go beyond the perfect fluid paradigm. It is shown that an anisotropic stress must be always present. The Hamiltonian of the system in isolation resembles the one of a Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator and linear stability requires that it cannot be positive definite. The dynamics of linear cosmological perturbations in a DCC dominated Universe is studied in detail showing that when DCC is minimally coupled to gravity no dramatic instability is present. In contrast to what happens in a cosmological constant dominated Universe, the non-relativistic matter contrast is no longer constant and exhibits an oscillator behaviour at small scales while it grows weakly at large scales. In the gravitational waves sector, at small scales, the amplitude is still suppressed as the inverse power of the scale factor while it grows logarithmically at large scales. Also the vector modes propagate, though no growing mode is found.

## I. INTRODUCTION

We still do not know the nature of dark energy that is driving the present acceleration of our Universe, recent observations (see for instance [1]) are consistent with the  $\Lambda$ CDM model that represents the simplest option. The motivations to go beyond a cosmological constant are two fold: from a phenomenological point of view, it is important to keep our options open in the case observations show any sizeable deviation from the “vanilla” scenario; from a theoretical perspective, it is rather challenging to come up with a dynamical dark energy model. In a Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) geometry to get into an accelerated expansion regime, the strong energy condition (SEC) must be violated and the equation of state corresponding to a cosmological constant already saturates the null energy condition (NEC), the weakest of all energy conditions. If one pushes past NEC in the region  $w < -1$ , the scale factor explodes at a finite time and generically small perturbations will trigger instabilities [2]. In the present paper we will focus on the case  $w = -1$ , studying what are the constraints on a general self-gravitating medium that saturates the NEC

( $w = -1$ ) in order that the dynamics of its elementary excitations are healthy. It turns out that more degrees of freedom of the ones present in a perfect fluid are needed. The approach that we follow is to effectively describe the dark energy medium by four scalar fields minimally coupled with gravity fluctuating around a non-trivial background. Such phonon-like fluctuations can be interpreted as the Goldstone bosons for the spontaneously broken translations; as a consequence, the low energy dynamics of the fluctuations is dictated by the symmetry breaking pattern. The bottom line is that, given the most general non-dissipative medium with  $w = -1$ , the requirement of a healthy dynamics for its elementary excitations selects a supersolid that will be our model for a dynamical cosmological constant (DCC). In a FRW Universe dominated by such a DCC, the dynamics of perturbation is rather different from  $\Lambda$ CDM and will be studied in detail.

The outline of the paper is the following. In section II, starting from the issues of k-essence, we study the dynamical and stability properties of a generic self-gravitating medium described in terms of four scalar fields that corresponds to the four independent phonon-like modes. Section III is devoted to cosmological perturbations in Universe dominated by a DCC. The impact of dark energy on structure formation at linear order in perturbation theory is discussed in section IV. The propagation of gravitational waves is described in section V, while section VI is devoted to the study of vector modes. The conclusions are drawn in section VII.

## II. DYNAMICAL COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT AS A SELF-GRAVITATING MEDIUM

In cosmology the description of matter as some sort of fluid has been rather successful and it is natural to follow the same approach also for dark energy. In its simplest form, dark energy can be defined as a component that, in the context of a homogeneous FRW Universe, contributes with an energy momentum tensor (EMT) of a perfect fluid [3]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (p + \rho)u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}; \quad (1)$$

where  $p$  is the pressure and  $\rho$  is the energy density, such that  $p = w\rho$  with  $w < -1/3$ , see [4, 5] for a recent discussion. In the case of a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ : no additional degrees of freedom are present,  $w$  is  $-1$  and the EMT is proportional to the metric with  $\rho = \Lambda$ . Actually, if one sets  $w = -1$  in (1), the conservation of the EMT tensor gives automatically  $\rho = \text{constant}$ . In the following we will focus on the case  $w = -1$ , the most challenging to realize dynamically.

A better physical insight can be obtained by considering a generic k-essence [6, 7] scalar field theory with Lagrangian [8]  $K(X, \Phi)$ , where  $X = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$  and with an EMT of the form (1).

In a standard homogeneous and isotropic FRW cosmology, at the background level, denoting by  $a$  the scale factor, the k-essence field has a profile of the form

$$\Phi = \phi(t), \quad X = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2; \quad (2)$$

with

$$\bar{\rho} = 2\bar{X}\bar{K}_X - \bar{K}, \quad \bar{p} = \bar{K}; \quad (3)$$

where  $t$  is the physical time, the bar stands for the background value, while  $K_X$ ,  $K_\Phi$  denote the partial derivative of  $K$  with respect to  $X$  and  $\Phi$  respectively. The time derivative with respect to physical time is denoted by a dot. Cosmological perturbations in FRW Universe dominated by k-essence are discussed in appendix A. Imposing  $w = -1$  gives

$$\bar{X}\bar{K}_X = 0. \quad (4)$$

Consider the following shift transformation

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \text{constant}. \quad (5)$$

Unless  $K$  is invariant under (5) and then it depends only on  $X$ , eq. (4) and the equation of motion require that  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ . However, as it is shown in appendix A, when  $\dot{\phi} = 0$  the dynamics of linear perturbations is pathological: both the kinetic and mass terms vanish signalling strong coupling; in addition, both  $\delta p$  and  $\delta \rho$  also vanish. In the case  $K$  is shift symmetric, the kinetic term for the scalar field perturbation is not identically zero, however this time the speed of sound is zero unless higher derivative terms are introduced [9]. A possibility that will be not discussed here is to consider a scalar tensor theory where the scalar field is not minimally coupled to gravity (see for instance [10–12]); we just note that passing solar system tests [13] requires some sort of screening mechanism and the measurement of the propagation speed of gravitational waves [14] put significant constraints [15–17] on such theories.

Before proceeding further, one might argue that focusing on a background that saturates the null energy condition is not terribly important phenomenologically. After all, though our Universe is dominated by dark energy, different subdominant components are present and thus  $w$  is not exactly one. The point is that if the equation of state of dark energy is  $w = -1$ , the total energy density  $\bar{\rho}_{tot}$  and the total pressure  $\bar{p}_{tot}$  are such that the value of  $\bar{\rho}_{tot} + \bar{p}_{tot}$  will get closer and closer to zero as times goes by. The only case where the present discussion is not phenomenologically relevant is when  $w \neq -1$ . In such a case a simple scalar field theory provides a compelling and simple viable model of dynamical dark energy.

A different avenue is to consider dark energy with  $w = -1$  as a self-gravitating medium more general than a perfect fluid. In general, a perfect fluid (see [18, 19] for recent reviews) can be described in terms of three degrees of freedom (DoF) obtained from the decomposition of the fluid velocity into a longitudinal and transverse part. All the fluid properties can be derived from an action principle based on three scalar fields  $\{\Phi^a, a = 1, 2, 3\}$  [20–22] that can be interpreted as the Eulerian coordinates of a fluid element; for a recent discussion see [23, 24]. The Lagrangian  $U$  can be taken as a function of

$$b = (\text{Det}[B^{ab}])^{1/2}, \quad B^{ab} = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi^a \partial_\nu \Phi^b, \quad a, b = 1, 2, 3. \quad (6)$$

The Lagrangian  $U(b)$  has a large internal symmetry corresponding to volume preserving internal diffeomorphisms

$$\Phi^a \rightarrow \Psi^a(\Phi^b), \quad \text{Det} \left( \frac{\partial \Psi^a}{\partial \Phi^b} \right) = 1, \quad (7)$$

and it describes a perfect barotropic fluid with 4-velocity

$$u^\mu = -\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}{6b\sqrt{-g}} \epsilon_{abc} \partial_\nu \Phi^a \partial_\alpha \Phi^b \partial_\beta \Phi^c, \quad u^2 = -1, \quad (8)$$

and  $u^\mu \partial_\mu \Phi^a = 0$ . The EMT is given by (1) with

$$p = U - bU_b, \quad \rho = -U. \quad (9)$$

The volume preserving reparametrization symmetry (7) implies that only the volume of fluid elements matters in a physical configuration. A more general fluid system can be obtained by adding a superfluid component whose velocity is the gradient of an additional scalar field  $\Phi^0$ . As a result, two new operators with a single derivative acting on the scalar fields and invariant under the volume preserving reparametrization symmetry (7) exist

$$y = u^\mu \partial_\mu \Phi^0, \quad \chi = (-g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi^0 \partial_\nu \Phi^0)^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

The velocity of the superfluid component has zero vorticity and is given by

$$v_\mu = \chi^{-1} \partial_\mu \Phi^0. \quad (11)$$

The Lagrangian of the form  $U(b, y, \chi)$  describes a fluid-superfluid system. The most general non-dissipative self-gravitating medium can be described by the same four scalar fields by giving up the large symmetry (7) and requiring invariance only under internal spatial rotations

$$\Phi^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_b^a \Phi^b, \quad a, b = 1, 2, 3 \quad \mathcal{R} \in SO(3). \quad (12)$$

The additional operators that break (7) and are invariant under (12) can be chosen as

$$\tau_1 = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{B}), \quad \tau_Y = \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{B}^2)}{\tau_1^2}, \quad \tau_Z = \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{B}^3)}{\tau_1^3}; \quad (13)$$

where  $\mathbf{B}$  is the 3x3 matrix with matrix elements  $B^{ab}$  given in (6). In the framework of effective field theories [25–28], we arrive to the action for the most general non-dissipative self-gravitating medium given in terms of four scalar fields  $\{\Phi^A, A = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$  of the form [29]

$$S_{DE} = M_{pl}^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} U(b, y, \chi, \tau_Y, \tau_Z). \quad (14)$$

The action (14) is the leading order term in a derivative expansion and it is a sort of generalised k-essence with the symmetries (12) and  $\Phi^A \rightarrow \Phi^A + \text{constant}$ , and it will be our model for a dynamical cosmological constant.

The energy-momentum tensor (EMT) has the form

$$M_{pl}^{-2} T_{\mu\nu} = (U - bU_b)g_{\mu\nu} + (yU_y - bU_b)u_\mu u_\nu + \chi U_\chi v_\mu v_\nu + Q_{\mu\nu}^{(Y)} U_{\tau_Y} + Q_{\mu\nu}^{(Z)} U_{\tau_Z}; \quad (15)$$

with

$$v_\mu = \chi^{-1} \partial_\mu \Phi^0; \quad (16)$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu}^{(Y)} = 2 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_1^2} \partial_\mu \Phi^a \partial_\nu \Phi^b B^{ab} - \frac{\tau_Y}{\tau_1} \partial_\mu \Phi^a \partial_\nu \Phi^a \right); \quad (17)$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu}^{(Z)} = 3 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_1^3} \partial_\mu \Phi^a \partial_\nu \Phi^b (B^2)^{ab} - \frac{\tau_Z}{\tau_1} \partial_\mu \Phi^a \partial_\nu \Phi^a \right). \quad (18)$$

When  $\Phi^a$  fluctuates around a background proportional to  $\vec{x}$ , while  $\Phi^0$  has a time-dependent background, the EMT describes a medium with mechanical and thermodynamical properties determined by the internal symmetries of the action (14), as discussed in [27, 28]. The action (14) is also related to massive gravity [27]. In flat space or in a spatially flat FRW spacetime we have the following background values

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}^a &= x^a, & \bar{\Phi}^0 &= \phi(t) \\ \bar{b} = \bar{\chi} = \bar{y} &= 1 & \bar{u}_\mu = \bar{v}_\mu, & \bar{Q}_{\mu\nu}^{(Z)} = \bar{Q}_{\mu\nu}^{(Y)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Thus, the background EMT is the one of a perfect fluid with

$$\bar{\rho} = -U + \bar{\chi} U_\chi + \bar{y} U_y, \quad \bar{p} = U - \bar{b} U_b. \quad (20)$$

Depending on the choice of  $U$ , different equations of state for the medium can be considered; for instance one can take

$$U(b, y, \chi, \tau_Y, \tau_Z) \equiv b^{1+w} U_w(b^{-w} \chi, b^{-w} y, \tau_Y, \tau_Z), \quad (21)$$

then from (20) one gets that  $\bar{p} = w \bar{\rho}$ .

In general, the linear dynamical stability in Minkowski space and in a FRW Universe is closely related to the equation of state of the medium [2, 30, 31]. In flat space, exploiting internal and spatial rotational invariance, the fluctuations  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_l$  of the scalar fields around their background configurations are defined as [32]

$$\Phi^0 = t + \pi_0, \quad \Phi^a = \delta_i^a (x^i + \partial_i \pi_l + \pi_T^i), \quad \partial_i \pi_T^i = 0. \quad (22)$$

The dynamics of the vector modes  $\pi_T^i$  will be studied in section VI. The fields  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_l$  can be interpreted as the Goldstone boson for broken translation.

Before digging into the study of the general case, one may wonder whether all the four scalar fields are mandatory, namely if dealing with the most general medium is really needed. By turning off all operators excepts  $\chi$ , we get the Lagrangian  $U(\chi)$  that describes a perfect irrotational fluid and we are back to the case of a shift symmetric k-essence already discussed (see also [24]). When only  $b$  is present, only the fields  $\{\Phi^a, a = 1, 2, 3\}$  are needed; unfortunately when  $w = -1$  both the longitudinal  $\pi_l$  and the transverse vector  $\pi_T^i$  do not propagate [24]. Consider next the case where only the operators  $b$  and  $y$  are present:  $U(b, y)$  has still the large internal symmetry (7) and it represents a non-barotropic perfect fluid; again the dynamics of transverse and longitudinal modes is pathological when  $p + \rho = 0$  [24]. Finally, let us consider the case of pure solid-like medium; the internal symmetry (7) is not present, the Lagrangian is of the form  $U(b, \tau_Y, \tau_Z)$  and only the three scalar fields  $\{\Phi^a, a = 1, 2, 3\}$  are needed. From the expansion at the quadratic level of (14) in which  $y$  and  $\chi$  are omitted we get [33]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{solid}}^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{p} + \bar{\rho}) k^2}{2} \dot{\pi}_l^2 + k^4 M_{pl}^2 (M_4 - M_2) \pi_l^2; \quad (23)$$

the parameters  $\{M_A, A = 0, 1, 2, 4\}$  can be expressed in terms of the derivatives of  $U$  whose form can be found in appendix B. Once again, when  $\bar{p} + \bar{\rho} = 0$ , the kinetic term vanishes. As a result, in order to have a non-pathological dynamics for the dark energy sector perturbations, all four scalar fields are needed and the action (14) describes a medium that is not a perfect fluid nor a perfect solid but a combination of a solid with a superfluid component (supersolid).

Let us now consider the general case and focus on scalar modes; their dynamics at the linear level is described by the following Lagrangian in Fourier space obtained from the quadratic expansion of (14)

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{M_{pl}^2}{2} [\dot{\varphi}^t \mathcal{K} \dot{\varphi} + 2 \varphi^t \mathcal{D} \dot{\varphi} - \varphi^t \mathcal{M} \varphi], \quad \varphi^t = (k^2 \pi_l, k \pi_0); \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{M_1 + M_0^{-2}(\bar{p} + \bar{\rho})}{k^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2M_0}{k^2} \end{pmatrix}, & \mathcal{D} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{(M_1 - 2M_0)}{2k} \\ -\frac{(M_1 - 2M_0)}{2k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{M} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}(2M_2 - 3M_0) & 0 \\ 0 & -M_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

When  $w > -1$ , e.g.  $\bar{\rho} + \bar{p} > 0$ , stability is rather standard and the Hamiltonian is positive definite [30]. However this is not the case when  $w = -1$ ; the condition for  $\mathcal{K} > 0$  conflicts with  $\mathcal{M} > 0$ . The best one can do is to reduce the dynamics to independent ‘‘normal modes’’ of the form  $\exp(c_{s1/2}t)$  and require that the sound speeds  $c_{s1/2}$  are real, avoiding instabilities. As discussed in detail in [34], the procedure is the following: by a suitable field redefinition, one can always put the Lagrangian (24) in the standard form in which  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are diagonal

$$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d \\ -d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (26)$$

with

$$d = \frac{k(M_1 - 2M_0)}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{M_0}\sqrt{M_1}}, \quad m_1^2 = \frac{2k^2(2M_2 - 3M_0)}{3M_1}, \quad m_2^2 = -\frac{k^2 M_1}{2M_0}. \quad (27)$$

The system (24), studied in [34], is rather peculiar due to the presence of the antisymmetric matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  that mixes  $\varphi$  with its time derivative and falls under the class of gyroscopic systems [35]. By using a suitable canonical transformation  $(\Pi, \varphi) \rightarrow (\Pi_c, \varphi_c)$ , the Hamiltonian  $H$  associated to (24) can be diagonalized, however its form crucially depends on the signs of  $m_1^2$  and  $m_2^2$ . The standard case of a positive definite energy is realised when  $m_{1/2}^2 > 0$  and the diagonal form of  $H$  is the sum of two harmonic oscillators. Unfortunately, this is impossible when  $w = -1$ ; indeed, taking  $\mathcal{K} > 0$  which is equivalent to  $M_1, M_0 > 0$ , leads to  $m_{1/2}^2 < 0$ . The Hamiltonian can be written as the *difference* of two harmonic oscillators [36]

$$H = \frac{\omega_1}{2} (\Pi_{c1}^2 + \varphi_{c1}^2) - \frac{\omega_2}{2} (\Pi_{c2}^2 + \varphi_{c2}^2), \quad (28)$$

where

$$\omega_{1,2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 4d^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 \pm \sqrt{(m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 4d^2)^2 - 4m_1^2 m_2^2} \right). \quad (29)$$

When the Hamiltonian is not positive definite, linear stability requires that [37]  $\omega_{1/2} > 0$  and leads to

$$m_{1,2}^2 < 0, \quad d^2 \geq \frac{(\sqrt{-m_1^2} + \sqrt{-m_2^2})^2}{4}; \quad (30)$$

By considering (27), from (30) one gets

$$M_0 > \frac{2}{3}M_2, \quad M_1 > 0, \quad M_1 + \sqrt{M_0^2 - \frac{2M_0M_2}{3}} < M_0. \quad (31)$$

When  $w = -1$ , necessary conditions for stability are:

- the dynamical cosmological constant must have a non-trivial anisotropic stress [38]  $M_2 \neq 0$ ;
- the total Hamiltonian cannot be positive definite.

An alternative equivalent form of the above inequalities is obtained by setting [39]

$$\omega_1^2 = k^2 c_{s1}^2, \quad \omega_2^2 = k^2 c_{s2}^2; \quad (32)$$

then

$$0 < c_{s1}^2, c_{s2}^2 < 1, \quad M_2 > 0, \quad M_1 > 0. \quad (33)$$

Once (31) or (33) are satisfied, the solutions of the equations of motion show the standard oscillator-like behaviour for both  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_l$ . Let us point out that taking the limit of zero anisotropic stress, namely  $M_2 \rightarrow 0$ , one gets

$$\lim_{M_2 \rightarrow 0} \omega_{1/2}^2 = -k^2, \quad (34)$$

which leads to an exponential instability. Such a limit is naturally obtained by taking the Lagrangian for the medium of the form  $U(b, y, \chi)$ ; the symmetry (7) associated with a perfect fluid is present and the Lagrangian describes a coupled system of a fluid and a superfluid. It follows that the solid component is essential to avoid exponential instability. The results are summarised in table I.

It is worth to point out that the Hamiltonian (28) is closely related to the one of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator [40]. Originally, Pais and Uhlenbeck studied a higher derivative system as a model, trying to improve the high energy behaviour of interacting relativistic quantum field theories. The fate of system like (28) at the classical and quantum level when interactions are introduced has become a subject of a number of recent studies. In the present investigation we are interested to the *classical* behaviour of a system with an Hamiltonian of the form (28). The question is what happens to the perfectly stable system like (24) when it is coupled with other degrees of freedom. The fear is that exponentially fast instabilities can develop by turning on a small interaction that allows energy exchange with a system that has an unbounded from bellow



TABLE I. The dynamical properties of fluctuation of the various media considered when the background pressure and density satisfy  $\bar{p} + \bar{\rho} = 0$ . The number of degrees of freedom is split into scalar and transverse vector modes.

Lagrangian	Medium Type	DoF	Properties
$U(\chi)$	superfluid	1	zero kinetic term
$U(b)$	perfect barotropic fluid	2+1	zero kinetic term
$U(b, y)$	perfect fluid	2+1	zero kinetic term
$U(b, y, \chi)$	fluid/superfluid	2+2	zero kinetic term
$U(b, \tau_Y, \tau_Z)$	solid	2+1	zero kinetic term
$U(b, y, \chi, \tau_Y, \tau_Z)$	supersolid	2+2	healthy dynamics

Hamiltonian; however such instabilities are not necessarily present [41–43]. In the contest of dark energy, gravity naturally provides an indirect interaction between dark energy and standard matter. Actually gravitational (Jeans) instability triggers structures formation; in particular such mechanism is very efficient during matter domination while it stops when the Universe enters in a phase of cosmological constant domination. The natural question is what happens when the cosmological constant is replaced by the dynamical model of dark energy described by (14) with  $w = -1$ . The rest of the paper is devoted to answer this question by using linear cosmological perturbations to study the impact of DCC on structure formation and on the propagation of gravitational waves and vector modes.

### III. COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS: DARK ENERGY DOMINATION

Consider now the evolution of cosmological perturbations in a Universe dominated by the dark energy component described by (14) with  $w = -1$ ; standard matter and radiation will give a subdominant contribution that will be neglected here. By using the Newtonian gauge and the conformal time  $t_c$  for the scale factor  $a$ , the scalar part of the metric perturbations can be written as

$$ds^2 = a^2 \left[ -(1 + 2\Psi) dt_c^2 + (1 - 2\Phi) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right], \quad (35)$$

while the scalar perturbations of the dark energy sector read

$$\Phi^i = x^i + \partial_i \pi_l, \quad \Phi^0 = \phi(t_c) + \pi_0. \quad (36)$$

In the scalar sector there are two independent modes that can be taken to be  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$ . When  $w = -1$  is set in (21), the parameters  $\{M_A, A = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  are time independent and moreover

they satisfy the following relations [44]

$$\frac{M_4}{M_0} = 1, \quad M_2 - 3(M_3 - M_4) = 0. \quad (37)$$

The Einstein equations are given by

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (38)$$

where the RHS is given by (15). At the linear level, the EMT can be written as

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(DE)} = \bar{T}_{\mu\nu}^{(DE)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{1(DE)}; \quad (39)$$

where  $\bar{T}_{\mu\nu}^{(DE)}$  is the EMT of perfect fluid with background pressure and energy density given by (20); for a dark energy dominated era  $T_{\mu\nu} \approx T_{\mu\nu}^{(DE)}$ . The explicit form of the linear order perturbation  $T_{\mu\nu}^{1(DE)}$  of  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(DE)}$  can be found in appendix C. We stress again that, when perturbations are taken into account,  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(DE)}$  has not the form of a perturbed perfect fluid. The presence of the operator  $\chi$  built out  $\Phi^0$  breaks the internal symmetry:  $\Phi^0 \rightarrow \Phi^0 + f(\Phi^a)$ ; when this is the case  $M_1 \neq 0$  and then the two scalar perturbations  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  both propagate. Notice that, when  $M_1 \neq 0$ , even if  $w = -1$ , still  $T_{0i}^{1(DE)} \neq 0$  and the medium has a non-trivial velocity. Moreover  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(DE)}$  features a non-trivial anisotropic stress proportional to  $M_2$ . With respect to the previous section the change in the equations of motion for  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  are due to the effect of the gravitational background and the presence of the gravitational fluctuations. At the background level we get the standard relations

$$3\mathcal{H}^2 = 8\pi G a^2 \bar{\rho}, \quad \mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}' = -8\pi G a^2 \bar{p}; \quad (40)$$

where ' denotes the derivative with respect to the conformal time  $t_c$  and  $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$  is the Hubble parameter in conformal time. In accordance with (20),  $\bar{\rho}$  and  $\bar{p}$  are given by

$$\bar{\rho} = -\frac{aU - \phi'(U_y + U_\chi\phi')}{8\pi aG}, \quad \bar{p} = \frac{a^3U - U_b}{8\pi a^3G}. \quad (41)$$

The conservation of the EMT at the background level gives

$$\phi'' - \frac{(M_0 + 3M_4)\mathcal{H}\phi'}{M_0} = 0. \quad (42)$$

In the case of  $w = -1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}' = 0$  and the relations (37) hold; from (42) we get

$$\phi' = a^4 + \text{constant}. \quad (43)$$

From now on we will focus on the case  $w = -1$  and thus the EMT is the dynamical generalisation of a cosmological constant. By using the linearised Einstein equations, one can express the metric perturbations in terms of  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$ ; in particular

$$2 a^2 M_2 \pi_l - \Phi + \Psi = 0, \quad (44)$$

and

$$\Phi = (2a^2 M_0 + k^2)^{-1} \left[ a^2 \pi_l M_0 (k^2 - 2a^2 M_2) - \frac{3}{2} a^2 M_1 \mathcal{H} \pi'_l + \frac{3\pi_0 M_1 \mathcal{H}}{2a^2} - \frac{M_0 \pi'_0}{a^2} \right]. \quad (45)$$

As expected, the presence of the solid component triggers a non-vanishing anisotropic stress even in the scalar sector; as a result, the difference between the two scalar Bardeen potentials is proportional to  $\pi_l$ , that is relevant for the propagation of CMB photons. The EMT conservation, together with (44) and (45), can be used to get the following dynamical equations for  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi''_l + 2\mathcal{H} \left( 2 - \frac{3a^2 M_0}{2a^2 M_0 + k^2} \right) \pi'_l + 2 \frac{[10a^2 M_0 M_2 + (2M_2 - 3M_0)k^2]}{3M_1(2a^2 M_0 + k^2)} k^2 \pi_l + \frac{6M_0 \mathcal{H}}{a^2 (2a^2 M_0 + k^2)} \pi_0 \\ + \frac{[k^2(2M_0 - M_1) - 2a^2 M_0 M_1]}{a^4 M_1 (2a^2 M_0 + k^2)} \pi'_0 = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi''_0 - \left( \frac{k^2}{2a^2 M_0 + k^2} + 3 \right) \mathcal{H} \pi'_0 - \left[ a^2 M_1 \left( \frac{3\mathcal{H}^2}{2a^2 M_0 + k^2} + 1 \right) + \frac{k^2 M_1}{2M_0} \right] \pi_0 \\ - \frac{2a^6 \mathcal{H} [10a^2 M_0 M_2 + k^2 (4M_2 - M_0)]}{2a^2 M_0 + k^2} \pi_l \\ + \left[ \frac{a^4 k^2 (M_1 - 2M_0)}{2M_0} + a^6 \left( \frac{3M_1 \mathcal{H}^2}{2a^2 M_0 + k^2} + M_1 + 2M_2 \right) \right] \pi'_l = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The presence of terms with the momentum  $k$  in the denominators is due to the eliminations of  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  in favor of  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  using (44) and (45). The scale factor during dark energy domination, expressed in conformal time  $t_c$ , is the one of de Sitter spacetime

$$a(t_c) = \frac{1}{1 - H_0 t_c}, \quad t_c \in [0, H_0^{-1}). \quad (48)$$

The present epoch corresponds to an epoch of dark energy domination that starts at the conventional time  $t_c = t_{c0} = 0$  and follows matter domination [45]. The value of the constant  $H_0$  is set to be the present value of the Hubble parameter. To simplify the form of the scale factor is convenient to redefine the conformal time according to:  $t_c = \tau + H_0^{-1}$  with  $\tau \in [-H_0^{-1}, 0)$ ; then

$$a(\tau) = -\frac{1}{H_0 \tau}. \quad (49)$$

The form (49) for the scale factor in dS corresponds to a spatially flat section; this is the most natural choice, given the overwhelming evidence that the spatial curvature is negligible before dark energy domination.

At level of linear cosmological perturbations, the effect of gravity manifests itself as non-local modifications (in space) of the equations of motion for  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  with respect to the ones founded in the previous section. As expected, in the very small scale limit (large  $k$ ) we recover the flat space case. We refer to a large scale when the physical wavelength  $\lambda_{ph} \sim a/k$  is much bigger than the dS curvature scale  $H_0^{-1}$ , namely  $x = k|\tau| \ll 1$ , and to a small scale when  $x \gg 1$ . A mode  $k$  crosses the dS ‘‘horizon’’ when  $k|\tau| = 1$ . Basically all the modes of physical interest will cross the dS horizon, eventually. As a reference, a comoving scale with  $k = k_{eq} = \frac{1}{2}10^2 H_0 \sim 10^{-2} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  that has crossed the FLRW horizon [46] at matter and radiation equality, will become superhorizon again during the dS phase at  $\tau_{eq} = -2 H_0^{-1} 10^{-2}$ .

It is useful to define

$$M_A = H_0^2 c_A, \quad A = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (50)$$

### A. Large Scales

One can decouple (46-47) by transforming them in two fourth-order independent equations for  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_l$ . For large scales, the fourth-order independent equation for  $\pi_l$  is Euler-like and reads

$$\pi_l^{(4)} - \frac{4}{t}\pi_l^{(3)} + \frac{2(c_2 + 4)}{t^2}\pi_l'' - \frac{4(3c_2 + 2)}{t^3}\pi_l' + \frac{20c_2}{t^4}\pi_l = 0 \quad (51)$$

and can be easily solved

$$\pi_l = \alpha_1 (-H_0 \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(3-\sqrt{9-8c_2})} + \alpha_2 (-H_0 \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{9-8c_2})} + \alpha_3 (H_0 \tau)^2 - \alpha_4 (H_0 \tau)^5. \quad (52)$$

For typical values of  $c_2$ , the only growing mode is the one proportional to the integration constant  $\alpha_1$ . For large scales, the equation satisfied by  $\pi_0$  is more complicated and takes the form

$$\pi_0^{(4)} + \frac{16}{t}\pi_0^{(3)} + \frac{2(2c_2 + 34)}{t^2}\pi_0'' + \frac{8(c_2 + 9)}{t^3}\pi_0' - \frac{2(4c_2^2 + 7c_1c_2 + 42c_1)}{3c_1t^2}k^2\pi_0 = 0. \quad (53)$$

The solution of the above equation can be given in terms of generalised hypergeometric functions; in the limit  $x \ll 1$ , omitting the non-growing terms, it takes the form

$$\pi_0 = \frac{\gamma_1}{(k\tau)^3} + \frac{\gamma_3}{(-k\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(7+\sqrt{9-8c_2})}} + \frac{\gamma_4}{(-k\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(7-\sqrt{9-8c_2})}}. \quad (54)$$

From (45), given the growing character of the scalar field perturbations, we also get a growing mode for  $\Phi$

$$\Phi = \frac{H_0^2 C_\Phi}{k^2} a^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{9-8c_2}+1)}; \quad (55)$$

where  $C_\Phi$  is a combination of  $k$ -dependent integration constants and the  $\{c_A\}$  defined in (50); as usual, non-growing terms have been neglected. The coupling with gravity induces a growth of the scalar perturbation at large scales during the dS phase dominated by the DCC (14). The above result is very different from LCDM where  $\Phi$  is constant or decreasing during matter (radiation) domination and  $\Lambda$  domination. Superhorizon modes suffer from gauge ambiguities, and, as discussed in the appendix D, the fields of  $\pi_l$ ,  $\pi_0$ ,  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  in the Newtonian gauge can be extended to gauge invariant quantities in a generic gauge and thus are physical.

### B. Small scales

In the opposite limit:  $x \gg 1$ , at the leading order, both the forth-order equations assume the very same form as in flat space which leads to pure oscillating solutions

$$\pi_a = \beta_a^{(1)} e^{ic_{s1}\tau} + \beta_a^{(2)} e^{ic_{s2}\tau} + \beta_a^{(3)} e^{-ic_{s1}\tau} + \beta_a^{(4)} e^{-ic_{s2}\tau}, \quad a = 0, l. \quad (56)$$

Of course of among the eight integration constants  $\beta_a^{(i)}$ , only four are independent and can be determined from the initial conditions for  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_l$ . As expected, we recover the oscillating behaviour of flat space for wavelengths much smaller than the dS curvature scale.

### C. Numerics

In order to follow the evolution of perturbations at a generic scale, one can numerically integrate the equations of motion and compare them, when it is possible, with the corresponding analytical expressions. We use the following initial conditions:

$$\pi_0(-H_0^{-1}) = \pi_l(-H_0^{-1}) = 10^{-3}, \quad \pi_0(-H_0^{-1})' = \pi_l(-H_0^{-1})' = 0, \quad (57)$$

and the numerical values of the parameters:

$$c_0 = 0.506, \quad c_1 = 0.266, \quad c_2 = 0.6 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_{1s}^2 = 0.7142, \quad c_{2s}^2 = 0.2933. \quad (58)$$

Figure 1 shows the scalar fields perturbations for a large scale mode, while figure 2 shows the case of an intermediate scale.

Finally, figure 3 depicts the scalar field perturbations for a mode of the order of  $k_{eq}$ .

Moving from large to small scales, consistently with the analytical solution (56), an oscillatory regime sets in. As we shall see, the small scale oscillation of dark energy leaves an imprint on matter perturbations. One might have feared that any external coupling of a system with free




FIG. 1. The functions  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  computed numerically (dashed) and analytically (thick) for  $k = 10^{-3} H_0$ .




FIG. 2. The functions  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  computed numerically for  $k = 10 H_0$ .




FIG. 3. The functions  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  computed numerically for  $k = 10^2 H_0$ .

Hamiltonian (28) might trigger a catastrophic instability; however this is not the case, at least when the system is minimally coupled to gravity: in an expanding universe is present only a power-law growth that resembles a Jeans-like instability. This behavior differs from the standard gravitational instability in the presence of ordinary matter, where the growth of perturbations takes place on subhorizon scales.

#### IV. STRUCTURE FORMATION AND DARK ENERGY

Once dark energy perturbations are known, the behaviour of standard matter perturbations with a constant equation of state  $w_m$  is found from the separate conservation of the matter's EMT, which gives

$$\delta'_m = (w + 1) (3 \Phi' + k^2 v_m) ; \quad (59)$$

$$(w_m + 1) [\Psi + (1 - 3w_m)\mathcal{H} v_m + v'_m] + \delta_m w_m = 0 ; \quad (60)$$

where  $\delta_m = \delta\rho_m/\bar{\rho}_m$  is the matter contrast and  $v_m$  in the matter longitudinal velocity field defined by

$$u_{(m)}^\mu = \bar{u}_{(m)}^\mu + u_{(m)}^{(1)\mu}, \quad \bar{u}_{(m)}^\mu = (a^{-1}, 0), \quad u_{(m)}^{(1)\mu} = (-\Psi a^{-1}, \partial_i v_m + v_{(Tm)}^i) \quad \partial_i v_{(Tm)}^i = 0. \quad (61)$$

For structure formation in non-relativistic matter, one can set  $w_m = 0$ , then

$$\delta''_m + \mathcal{H} \delta'_m + k^2 \Psi - 3 \Phi'' - 3 \mathcal{H} \Phi' = 0. \quad (62)$$

By using the equations (44-45) to express  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  in term of the scalar fields one gets an inhomogeneous equation for the matter contrast with a general solution of the form

$$\delta_m(t) = \delta_0 + \delta_{-2} a^{-2} + \delta_m^{(p)}(t). \quad (63)$$

At large scales ( $x \ll 1$ ), the particular solution  $\delta_m^{(p)}$  can be obtained analytically by using the Green method and the analytic expressions for  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_l$ ; omitting, as usual, the decreasing and constant modes, one gets

$$\delta_m^{(p)} = \left(\frac{H_0}{k}\right)^{2-\frac{1}{2}(9-8c_2)^{1/2}} \frac{\beta_1}{(-k\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{9-8c_2})}} + \beta_2 \left(\frac{H_0}{k}\right)^4 \log(a), \quad (64)$$

with  $\beta_{1/2}$  suitable constants that depend on the parameters of the medium. The exact form for the matter contrast valid for all scales can be obtained by solving numerically equations (46-47) and (62), taking the initial conditions (57),  $\delta_m(-H_0) = 10^{-3}$  and the values (58) for the parameters. On superhorizon scales, not only  $\delta_m$  can be hardly observed but also suffers from gauge ambiguities; as discussed in appendix D a much better quantity in this respect is

$$\delta_{gi} = \delta_m - 3 \mathcal{H} v_m. \quad (65)$$

As expected, the form of  $\delta_{gi}$  and  $\delta_m$  are very similar for subhorizon modes, while are substantially different for superhorizon modes. The numerical results are shown in figure 4 and figure 5.




FIG. 4. Matter contrast for the case  $k = 10^{-3} H_0$ . On the left hand side the dashed curve represents the numerical solution while the thick one the analytical form for  $\delta_m$  (log plot). On the right hand side it is shown the corresponding gauge invariant matter contrast  $\delta_{gi}$ .




FIG. 5. Matter overdensity numerical solutions. On the left hand side the case  $k = 10 H_0$  with  $\delta_{gi}$  represented in a blue dashed line. On the right hand side the case  $k = 10^2 H_0$ , with  $\delta_{gi}$  now in a solid blue line

In the LCDM model, during the cosmological constant domination, regardless of the scale, both  $\delta_m$  and  $\delta_{gi}$  have no growing mode and the same is true for  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ ; thus the advent of a late time dS phase marks the end of structure formation. Things are different when the Universe is dominated by the DCC (14): at the background level we still have a cosmological constant except that non-trivial perturbation exists. At superhorizon scales, the power-law growth of the DCC scalar perturbations  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  leads to the growth of the non relativistic matter scalar velocity  $v_m$  and matter contrast as shown in figure 4. As depicted in figure 5, the gauge invariant matter contrast stays around 1 for large scales and eventually diverges as  $1/a^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{9-8c_2}+1)$  near  $\tau = 0$ , where a coordinates singularity is encountered. At small scales the behaviour of matter contrast is rather benign, and though stays small, is non-constant. As soon as the oscillatory regime of the dark energy scalar perturbations sets in, it gets imprinted in the non-relativistic matter contrast



via eq. (62), giving a series of dark energy induced matter acoustic oscillations. As expected, no significant difference is found between  $\delta_m$  and  $\delta_{gi}$  at small scales. Once again, near  $\tau = 0$ , both  $\delta_m$  and  $\delta_{gi}$  behave as  $\frac{H_0}{k} a$ .

## V. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

Consider now perturbations corresponding to gravitational waves, namely

$$ds^2 = a^2 (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \chi_{ij} dx^i dx^j), \quad \chi_{ij} \delta^{ij} = \partial_j \chi_{ij} = 0. \quad (66)$$

From the quadratic expansion of

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} R + U(b, y, \chi, \tau_Y, \tau_Z) \right] \quad (67)$$

one arrives at the equation of motion in Fourier space for the spin 2 perturbations

$$\chi''_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H} \chi'_{ij} + (k^2 + a^2 M_2) \chi_{ij} = 0, \quad (68)$$

which is rather standard except for the additional mass term  $M_2$ . In dS the solution is a combination of Bessel functions of the form

$$\chi_{ij}(\tau) = a^{-3/2} \epsilon_{ij} [\chi_0 J_{\nu_T}(-k\tau) + \chi_1 Y_{\nu_T}(-k\tau)], \quad \nu_T = (9 - 4c_2)^{1/2}. \quad (69)$$

For small scales ( $k\tau \gg 1$ ) we have an oscillatory behaviour with a decreasing amplitude of the order  $a^{-1}$ , the very same behaviour as in LCDM. For large scales

$$\chi_{ij} \sim \epsilon_{ij} a^{-3/2 + \nu_T/2}, \quad (70)$$

and being  $c_2 > 0$ , the amplitude grows logarithmically; a similar result was found in an inflationary context [47, 48]. In contrast, when just a cosmological constant is present:  $M_2 = c_2 = 0$  and the amplitude is constant at large scales. Such a feature is difficult to test given the wavelength of the wave.

## VI. VECTOR MODES

Perturbations in the vector sector can be studied starting from the following metric:

$$ds^2 = a^2 (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2B_i^T dx^0 dx^i + 2E_{ij} dx^i dx^j) \quad (71)$$

with

$$E_{ij} = \partial_{(i} E_{j)}^T = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i E_j^T + \partial_j E_i^T), \quad \partial^i B_i^T = 0, \quad \partial^i E_i^T = 0; \quad (72)$$

while for the scalar fields we have

$$\Phi^0 = \phi(t), \quad \Phi^i = x^i + \pi_T^i, \quad \partial_i \pi^{Ti} = 0. \quad (73)$$

As in the scalar case, there is a gauge freedom also in the vector sector and in this case, instead of fixing a gauge, we shall use gauge invariant perturbations. As discussed in appendix D, the quantity  $\pi_{gi}^i = \pi^{Ti} - E^{Ti}$  is gauge invariant and transforms as a vector under the diagonal combination of internal and spatial rotations and represents the vector physical degrees of freedom in DCC medium. The dynamics of  $\pi_{gi}^i$  can be obtained by a suitable combination of the conservation of the medium's EMT and the Einstein equations. Indeed, from the  $0i$  Einstein equations is possible to express  $B^{Ti}$  in terms of  $\pi^{Ti}$  and  $\pi_{gi}^{Ti}$ ; as a result, the relevant part of the medium's EMT turns into a dynamical equation for  $\pi_{gi}^i$  that, in the case  $w = -1$  and by using the definitions (50), reads

$$\pi_{gi}^{i''} + \frac{4\mathcal{H}(a^2 c_1 H_0^2 + k^2)}{2a^2 c_1 H_0^2 + k^2} \pi_{gi}^{i'} + \frac{c_2(2a^2 c_1 H_0^2 + k^2)}{c_1} \pi_{gi}^i = 0, \quad (74)$$

where the scale factor  $a$  is given by (49). The general expression valid for any equation of state can be found in appendix E. For an ideal adiabatic fluid the classical result of vorticity conservation (see for instance [49]) makes the dynamics of vector modes not very interesting. Such a result stems from the large internal symmetries of the Lagrangian of an ideal adiabatic fluid of the form  $U(b, y)$  [28] is invariant under the following field dependent shift of  $\Phi^0$

$$\Phi^0 \rightarrow \Phi^0 + f(\Phi^a) \quad (75)$$

and the volume-preserving diffeomorphisms (7). Vorticity conservation follows from the Noether theorem (for a recent discussion see [23]). As it is clear from (B1), at the perturbative level, the symmetries (75) and (7) lead to  $M_1 = M_2 = 0$  or equivalently  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . In our case the presence of the operator  $\chi$  and  $\tau_Y$  and  $\tau_Z$  breaks (75) and vector modes do propagate. The dynamical equation for vector modes (74) can be easily solved for superhorizon scales, namely when  $k\tau \ll 1$ , and it gives

$$\pi_{gi}^i = q_1^i (-k\tau)^{(3-\sqrt{9-8c_2})/2} + q_2^i (-k\tau)^{(3+\sqrt{9-8c_2})/2}, \quad (76)$$

where  $q_{1,2}^i$  are arbitrary transverse vectors in Fourier space satisfying  $k^i q_{1,2}^i = 0$ . In the opposite limit (small scales),  $k\tau \gg 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{gi}}^i = & \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{c_2}k\tau}{\sqrt{c_1}}\right) \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{c_1} \tilde{q}_2^i (c_2 k^2 \tau^2 - 3c_1)}{c_2^{5/4}} + 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)^{3/4} k\tau \tilde{q}_1^i \right] \\ & + \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{c_2}k\tau}{\sqrt{c_1}}\right) \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{c_1} \tilde{q}_1^i (c_2 k^2 \tau^2 - 3c_1)}{c_2^{5/4}} - 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)^{3/4} k\tau \tilde{q}_2^i \right], \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where again  $\tilde{q}_{1,2}^i$  are arbitrary transverse vectors in Fourier space. In both regimes there is no growing mode.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

The  $\Lambda$ CDM model gives by far the most economical description of dark energy in terms of a cosmological constant, no additional degrees of freedom are needed. Our analysis shows that introducing dynamics in the dark energy sector while keeping the equation of state  $w = -1$  is difficult. For instance, considering a k-essence theory based on a single scalar field with a perfect fluid EMT, inevitably leads to a pathological dynamics for perturbations when  $w = -1$ . One has to move away from the description in terms of single scalar field minimally coupled with gravity. We have shown that it is possible to devise a classical field theory that mimics the very same equation of state of a cosmological constant but with a non-trivial dynamics by using four scalar fields minimally coupled with gravity, which gives an effective description of the most general non-dissipative medium: a combination of a solid and a superfluid. The EMT deviates from the one of a perfect fluid, in particular the existence of a non-vanishing anisotropic stress is a crucial requirement to avoid instabilities. We have also shown that the equation of state  $w = -1$  cannot be achieved with a positive definite Hamiltonian. Though the “normal” diagonal scalar modes show a perfectly healthy oscillatory behaviour with subluminal speeds of sound, the total Hamiltonian  $H$  can be written as the *difference*  $H = H_{\omega_1} - H_{\omega_2}$  of two harmonic oscillators, modulo a non-trivial canonical transformation. The fear is that adding a small interaction when the energy of a system is unbounded from below, will lead to instabilities. It turns out that, when the above dynamical cosmological constant model is minimally coupled with gravity, no fast instability is found. At large scale, there is a mild power-like growth of scalar perturbation. The presence of small perturbation of the non-relativistic matter contrast  $\delta_m$  during dynamical cosmological constant domination differs from the case of a cosmological constant dominated Universe. While in the LCDM model  $\delta_m$  is

constant during  $\Lambda$  domination, in our case at small scales  $\delta_m$  shows small oscillations induced by the fluctuations of the dynamical cosmological constant. Thus, phenomenologically the scalar sector is very interesting. Also in the gravitational waves and vector sectors no instability is found. In particular, at subhorizon scales the propagation of gravitational waves is the same as in LCDM and the speed of propagation is not altered; differences are found at superhorizon scales where the amplitude grows logarithmically instead of being constant. Our analysis is based only on linear perturbation theory, it would be interesting to study the coupling with gravity in the full non-linear regime and when self-interactions among the medium modes are considered. The presence of propagating vector modes and the difference between the two Bardeen scalar potentials induced by the anisotropic stress in DCC EMT can influence the polarisation and the propagation of the CMB photons. We leave those matters for a future investigation.

### Appendix A: k-Essence

In the present appendix we will study the dynamics of cosmological perturbation of a Universe dominated by k-essence scalar field  $\Phi$  described by the Lagrangian  $K(\Phi, X)$  where

$$X = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Consider linear perturbations around a spatially FRW Universe; the perturbed scalar field and metric in the  $\zeta$  gauge read [50]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -(1 + 2\delta N) dt^2 + 2 dt dx^i \partial_i \psi + a^2 e^{2\zeta} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j; \\ \Phi &\equiv \bar{\Phi} = \phi(t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

In the  $\zeta$  gauge, part of the gauge freedom is used to gauge away the scalar field perturbation. Expanding the total action, gravity plus scalar field, at the linear order in the fields' perturbations gives the background equations of motion

$$3H^2 + 8\pi G \left( \bar{K} + 2\dot{\phi} \bar{F}_X \right) = 0, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$3H^2 + 2\dot{H} + 8\pi G \bar{F} = 0, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where  $H = \dot{a}/a$ . In the case  $w = -1$ , namely de Sitter spacetime, we have  $H \equiv H_0$  is constant and we get

$$\bar{K} \equiv K(\phi, \bar{X}) = \text{constant}, \quad \dot{\phi} \bar{K}_X = 0. \quad (\text{A5})$$

In the quadric action it turns out that both  $\delta N$  and  $\psi$  have algebraic equations of motion and thus the only perturbation with non-trivial dynamics is  $\zeta$  and we get, up to total derivatives terms, the following quadratic Lagrangian in Fourier space

$$L_\zeta^{(2)} = A_\zeta \dot{\zeta}^2 + B_\zeta \zeta^2, \quad (\text{A6})$$

with both  $A_\zeta$  and  $B_\zeta$  such that

$$A_{\zeta|\dot{\phi}=0} = 0, \quad B_{\zeta|\dot{\phi}=0} = 0. \quad (\text{A7})$$

If no shift symmetry is present,  $\bar{K}$  can be constant only if  $\phi$  is constant and then  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ ; as a direct consequence of (A7),  $L_\zeta^{(2)} = 0$  and the dynamics of the  $\zeta$  is pathological. If a shift symmetry is indeed present, then  $H = H_0$  can be obtained with  $\dot{\phi} = \text{constant}$  and  $\bar{K}_X = 0$  with

$$A_\zeta = \frac{2 a^3 K_{XX} \dot{\phi}^4}{H_0^2} \quad (\text{A8})$$

that is non-vanishing and can be easily made positive providing a healthy kinetic term for  $\zeta$ . Unfortunately  $B_\zeta$  is also zero when  $\dot{\phi}$  is constant and  $K$  does not depend on  $\Phi$  and then the speed of sound of  $\zeta$  is zero.

## Appendix B: Parameters

The parameters  $\{M_A, A = 0, 1, 2, 4\}$  entering in (24) have the following expression in terms of the derivatives of  $U$  in a spatially flat FRW background

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= \frac{\phi'^2 (U_{XX} + 2U_{yX} + U_{yy})}{2a^2}, & M_1 &= -\frac{U_X \phi'}{a}, & M_2 &= -\frac{4(U_{\tau Y} + U_{\tau Z})}{9}, \\ M_3 &= \frac{27 a^{-6} U_{bb} - 8(U_{\tau Y} + U_{\tau Z})}{54}, & M_4 &= \frac{\phi' \{ -[a^3 (U_X + U_y)] + U_{bX} + U_{by} \}}{2a^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

In the case of Minkowski background one simply sets the scalar factor  $a$  to 1 and  $\phi' = 1$ .

## Appendix C: Perturbed EMT

The perturbation of the dark energy EMT at the linear order reads

$$\begin{aligned} M^{-2} T_{00}^{1(DE)} &= \\ 2(a^2 M_4 + \mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') \Delta \pi_l + \Psi (6\mathcal{H}^2 - 2a^2 M_0) + 6\Phi (4a^2 M_4 + \mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') + \frac{2a^2 M_0 \pi'_0}{\phi'} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$M^{-2} T_{0i}^{1(DE)} = \partial_i \pi'_l (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}') - \frac{a^2 M_1}{\phi'} \partial_i \pi_0 \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^{-2} T_{ij}^{1(DE)} &= \delta_{ij} \left[ 2a^2 \left( M_3 \Delta \pi_l - \frac{M_4}{\phi'} \pi'_0 \right) + 2a^2 M_4 \Psi + 2\Phi (a^2 (M_2 - 3M_3) + \mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}') \right] \\ &+ 2M_2 a^2 \partial_i \partial_j \pi_l. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C3})$$

The above expression holds for any equation of state; when  $w = -1$  and the background is a portion of dS space,  $T_{\mu\nu}^{1(DE)}$  reduces to

$$M^{-2} T_{00}^{1(DE)}|_{w=-1} = 2a^2 M_0 \Delta\pi_l + 6a^2 M_0 \Phi + \Psi (6\mathcal{H}^2 - 2a^2 M_0) + \frac{2M_0\pi'_0}{a^2}; \quad (C4)$$

$$M^{-2} T_{0i}^{1(DE)}|_{w=-1} = M_1 (a^2 \partial_i \pi'_l - a^{-2} \partial_i \pi_0); \quad (C5)$$

$$M^{-2} T_{ij}^{1(DE)}|_{w=-1} = \delta_{ij} \left[ 2\Phi (3\mathcal{H}^2 - 2a^2 M_0) - \frac{2M_0\pi'_0}{a^2} - 2 \left( \frac{1}{3} a^2 k^2 (3M_0 + M_2) - 2a^4 M_0 M_2 \right) \Delta\pi_l \right] + 2M_2 a^2 \partial_i \partial_j \pi_l \quad (C6)$$

and cannot be described as a perturbed perfect fluid. Notice that the EMT of the dark energy is linear in the perturbations as a consequence of the spontaneous symmetry breaking of translations.

#### Appendix D: Gauge Invariant Perturbations

Let us consider the metric

$$ds^2 = a^2 [-(1 + 2A)dt^2 + 2B_i dx^i dt + (\delta_{ij} 2E_{ij}) dx^i dx^j] \equiv (a^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}) dx^\mu dx^\nu; \quad (D1)$$

with

$$B_i = \partial_i B + B_i^T \quad E_{ij} = C\delta_{ij} + \left( \partial_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{3} \nabla \right) E + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i E_j^T + \partial_j E_i^T) + \chi_{ij}; \quad (D2)$$

$$\partial_i B_i^T = \partial_i E_i^T = 0, \quad \partial_j \chi_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \chi_{ij} = 0, \quad \nabla = \delta_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j.$$

The linear perturbations can be decomposed into: 4 scalars ( $A, B, C, E$ ), 2 transverse vector ( $B_i^T, E_i^T$ ) and a transverse and traceless tensor ( $\chi_{ij}$ ) according to 3D rotational group, for a total of  $4 + 4 + 2 = 10$  components. Under an infinitesimal coordinate transformation:  $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu - \xi^\mu$ , the metric transforms at the linear order as

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g'_{\mu\nu}(x) - g_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv a^2 \delta h_{\mu\nu}(x) = \xi^\alpha \partial_\alpha (a^2 \eta_{\mu\nu}) + a^2 (\eta_{\mu\alpha} \partial_\nu \xi^\alpha + \eta_{\nu\alpha} \partial_\mu \xi^\alpha). \quad (D3)$$

Decomposing  $\xi^i$  as  $\xi^i = \partial_i \xi + \xi^{Ti}$ , with  $\partial_i \xi^{Ti} = 0$ , one gets the following transformation properties for the perturbations

$$\delta C = \mathcal{H} \xi^0 + \frac{1}{3} \nabla \xi, \quad \delta A = \mathcal{H} \xi^0 + \partial_t \xi^0, \quad \delta B = \partial_t \xi - \xi^0, \quad \delta E = \xi \quad (D4)$$

$$\delta B^{Ti} = \partial_t \xi^{Ti}, \quad \delta E^{Ti} = \xi^{Ti}. \quad (D5)$$

$$\delta \chi_{ij} = 0. \quad (D6)$$

Because of the redundancy induced by  $\xi^0$  and  $\xi^{Ti}$ , only two scalars and a single transverse vector are physical. The identification of such physical perturbation can be made by identifying special

“gauge invariant” combination of the perturbations that remain unchanged under an infinitesimal coordinate transformation. A possible choice is given by the Bardeen potentials [51]

$$\Phi = -C + \mathcal{H}(\partial_t E - B) + \frac{1}{3}\nabla E, \quad \Psi = A + \partial_t(B - \partial_t B) + \mathcal{H}(B - \partial_t E); \quad (\text{D7})$$

with  $\delta\Phi = \delta\Psi = 0$ . In the Newtonian gauge used in (35)  $\xi^0$  and  $\xi$  are chosen in such a way that  $E_{\text{Newt}} = B_{\text{Newt}} = 0$ , then

$$\Psi = \Psi_{\text{Newt}} = A, \quad \Phi = \Phi_{\text{Newt}} = -C. \quad (\text{D8})$$

For a scalar field  $f = \bar{f} + f_1 + \dots$  with a non-vanishing background value, its linear perturbation transforms as

$$\delta f_1 = \xi^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{f}. \quad (\text{D9})$$

The three scalar fields  $\{\Phi^1, \Phi^2, \Phi^3\}$  transform as a vector under “internal” rotations and once they get the background value (36) they also transform as a vector under spatial rotation, namely

$$\begin{aligned} SO(3)_I : \quad \Phi^a &\rightarrow \Phi'^a = \mathcal{R}_b^a \Phi^b, & x^a &\rightarrow x^a; \\ SO(3)_S : \quad \Phi^a &\rightarrow \Phi^a, & x^a &\rightarrow x'^a = \mathcal{R}_b^a x^b, \quad a, b = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D10})$$

Thus the original symmetry of the action  $SO(3)_I \times SO(3)_S$  is broken down a diagonal  $SO(3)$  that leaves invariant the background configuration  $x^a$ . We can decompose the perturbations  $\pi^a$  in a vector and a scalar part according to

$$\pi^i = \pi^{Ti} + \partial_i \pi_l, \quad \partial_i \pi^{Ti} = 0. \quad (\text{D11})$$

From (D9) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\pi_l &= \xi, & \delta\pi^{Ti} &= \xi^{iT}, & i &= 1, 2, 3. \\ \delta\pi_0 &= \xi^0 \phi'. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D12})$$

As a result one can construct the gauge invariant generalisation of  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$ , namely

$$\pi_{0\text{gi}} = \pi_0 - \frac{1}{\phi'} (\partial_t E - B), \quad \pi_{l\text{gi}} = \pi_l - E. \quad (\text{D13})$$

Thus, in the Newtonian gauge,  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  and their gauge invariant generalisation coincide and do not suffer from gauge ambiguities. For structure formation an important quantity is the non-relativistic matter overdensity  $\delta_m = \bar{\rho}^{-1} \delta\rho_m$  which is not gauge invariant. In general, the density

$\rho_w$  of a perfect fluid with equation of state  $w$ , being a scalar, is such that the density perturbation  $\rho_w^{(1)}$  transforms as

$$\delta\rho_w^{(1)} = \bar{\rho}_w \xi^0 . \quad (\text{D14})$$

Considering the corresponding 4-velocity  $u^\mu$  of the fluid one has

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu &= \bar{u}^\mu + u^{(1)\mu}, & \bar{u}^\mu &= \delta_0^\mu a^{-1}, \\ u^{(1)\mu} &= -\delta_0^\mu A + \delta_i^\mu (v_w^{Ti} + \partial_i v_w), & \partial_i v_w^{Ti} &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D15})$$

with

$$\delta v_m = -\partial_t \xi, \quad \delta v_m^{Ti} = -\xi^{Ti} . \quad (\text{D16})$$

Exploiting (D14) and (D16) one can easily construct the following gauge invariant generalisation of the density perturbation

$$\delta_{\text{wgi}} = \frac{\rho_w^{(1)}}{\bar{\rho}_w} - 3(1+w)\mathcal{H}(B + v_w) . \quad (\text{D17})$$

For vector modes, one can form out of the metric perturbations a single independent gauge invariant combination

$$E_{Tgi}^i = E^{Ti} - \partial_t B^{Ti} . \quad (\text{D18})$$

For the vector part of  $\pi^a$  one can easily define the following gauge invariant vector perturbation

$$\pi_{gi}^i = \pi^{Ti} - E^{Ti} . \quad (\text{D19})$$

### Appendix E: Perturbations: generic equation of state

In the main text we have studied the special case  $w = -1$  which leads at the background level to de Sitter spacetime during dark energy domination. In the appendix we report the general expressions valid for any equation of state.

For a generic equation of state the relations (37) do not hold anymore and it is convenient to define

$$c_b^2 = -\frac{M_4}{M_0}; \quad (\text{E1})$$

when  $w = -1$ ,  $c_b^2 = -1$  and then from (42) one gets by integration  $\phi' = a^4$ ; moreover

$$w = -\frac{2\mathcal{H}'}{3\mathcal{H}^2} - \frac{1}{3}, \quad (\text{E2})$$



and in general for  $w \neq -1$ ,  $w$  can be time-dependent.

Let us consider scalar modes first. From the spatial part of the perturbed Einstein equations one expresses  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  in terms of the  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_l$ ; eq. (44) holds for any equation of state while from the 00 component of perturbed Einstein equations one finds the generalisation of (45), namely

$$\Phi = \frac{\pi_l [k^2 (\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') - 2a^4 M_0 M_2]}{Q} - \frac{3\mathcal{H}\pi'_l (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}')}{2Q} + \frac{3\pi_0 a^2 M_1 \mathcal{H}}{2Q\phi'} - \frac{a^2 M_0 \pi'_0}{Q\phi'}, \quad (\text{E3})$$

$$Q = k^2 - [a^2 M_0 (3c_b^2 + 1)] + 3\mathcal{H}^2 - 3\mathcal{H}';$$

The dynamical equations for  $\pi_l$  and  $\pi_0$  that follow from the EMT conservation are more involved

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_l'' + \pi_l' \left\{ \frac{a^2 [\mathcal{H} (3M_1 - 2M_2 + 6M_3 - 6c_b^4 M_0) + M_1']}{a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}'} + \frac{\mathcal{H} [a^2 (M_0 + 3M_2 - 9M_3) - k^2]}{Q} + 2\mathcal{H} \right\} + \\ & \frac{a^2 \{ M_0 [3a^2 c_b^4 M_0 + a^2 (M_2 - 3M_3) - c_b^2 (k^2 + 3\mathcal{H}^2 - 3\mathcal{H}') + \mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}'] - M_1 Q \}}{Q\phi' (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}')} \pi_0' + \\ & \frac{\mathcal{F}_4 k^4 + \mathcal{F}_2 k^2 + \mathcal{F}_0}{Q (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}')} \pi_l + \frac{a^2 (\mathcal{G}_2 k^2 + \mathcal{G}_0)}{Q\phi' (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}')} \pi_0 = 0; \\ & \pi_0'' + \frac{3a^2 M_0^2 (3\mathcal{H}c_b^4 + \mathcal{H}c_b^2 - c_b'^2) - 2M_0 \mathcal{H} (3c_b^2 + 1) (k^2 + 3\mathcal{H}^2 - 3\mathcal{H}') - M_0' (k^2 + 3\mathcal{H}^2 - 3\mathcal{H}')}{M_0 Q} \pi_0' + \\ & \frac{\phi' (\mathcal{A}_4 k^4 + \mathcal{A}_2 k^2 + \mathcal{A}_0)}{2M_0 Q} \pi_l' - \frac{M_1 (k^4 + \mathcal{B}_2 k^2 + \mathcal{B}_0)}{2M_0 Q} \pi_0 + \frac{\phi' (\mathcal{C}_4 k^4 + \mathcal{C}_2 k^2 + \mathcal{C}_0)}{M_0 Q} \pi_l = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E4})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_4 &= 2a^2 (M_2 - M_3), \\ \mathcal{F}_2 &= 8a^2 M_2 (\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') - 2 (\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}')^2 + 2a^2 M_0 \{ 2c_b^2 (\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') - [a^2 (c_b^4 M_0 + (4c_b^2 + 1) M_2 - M_3)] \}, \\ \mathcal{F}_0 &= 12a^6 M_0^2 M_2 c_b^4 + 12a^2 M_2 (\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}')^2 + 4a^4 M_0 M_2 [a^2 (M_2 - 3M_3) + 6c_b^2 (\mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}^2)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E5})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_2 &= -3(1 + c_b^2) M_1 \mathcal{H} \\
\mathcal{G}_0 &= 3M_1 \mathcal{H} [a^2(3c_b^4 + 5c_b^2 + 1)M_0 - a^2(M_2 - 3M_3) - (3c_b^2 + 4)(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}')] - QM_1', \\
\mathcal{A}_4 &= 2M_0 c_b^2 + M_1, \\
\mathcal{A}_2 &= -2M_0(a^2(M_1(3c_b^2 + 1) - 2M_2) + \mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') - 2a^2 M_0^2 c_b^2(3c_b^2 + 1) + 3M_1(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}'), \\
\mathcal{A}_0 &= 3M_0 \left[ 9\mathcal{H}^2 c_b^4 (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}') + 3c_b^2 (2\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}') (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}') + a^2 M_1 (3\mathcal{H}c_b'^2 + \mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') (2a^2 M_2 + 3\mathcal{H}(c_b')^2 + \mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}') \right] + 3\mathcal{H}(3c_b^2 + 1) M_0' (a^2 M_1 + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{H}'), \\
\mathcal{B}_2 &= 3(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') - 2a^2 M_0(3c_b^2 + 1), \\
\mathcal{B}_0 &= a^2(M_0^2(3ac_b^2 + a)^2 + 3M_0[(3c_b^2 + 1)(3\mathcal{H}^2 c_b^2 + \mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}') + 3\mathcal{H}c_b'^2] + 3\mathcal{H}(3c_b^2 + 1)M_0'), \\
\mathcal{C}_4 &= M_0[3\mathcal{H}(c_b^2 + 1)c_b^2 + c_b'^2] + c_b^2 M_0', \\
\mathcal{C}_2 &= -a^2 M_0^2(3\mathcal{H}c_b^4 + \mathcal{H}c_b^2 + c_b'^2) + 2M_0(4a^2 M_2 \mathcal{H} + a^2 M_2' - \mathcal{H}^3 + \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}') + M_0'(2a^2 M_2 - \mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}'), \\
\mathcal{C}_0 &= 2a^2 \left\{ a^2 M_0^2 [M_2(\mathcal{H}(9c_b^4 - 3c_b^2 - 2) + 3c_b'^2) - (3c_b^2 + 1)M_2'] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 3M_0(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}')(4M_2 \mathcal{H} + M_2') + 3M_2 M_0'(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{E6}$$

For vector modes, the dynamical equations can be obtained from the  $0i$  component of Einstein equations and from the conservation of the EMT; the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_{gi}'' + 22a^2 M_2 \left( \frac{k^2}{2a^2 M_1 + 4\mathcal{H}^2 - 4\mathcal{H}'} + 1 \right) \pi_{gi} \\
&\quad + \left\{ 4a^4 M_1 \mathcal{H} (-3M_0 c_b^2 + M_1 - 2M_2 + 3M_3) + a^2 [-6M_0 \mathcal{H} c_b^2 (k^2 + 4\mathcal{H}^2 - 4\mathcal{H}')] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4M_1 [\mathcal{H}(k^2 + 3\mathcal{H}^2 - 5\mathcal{H}') + \mathcal{H}''] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2k^2 M_2 \mathcal{H} + 6k^2 M_3 \mathcal{H} + k^2 M_1' - 8M_2 \mathcal{H}^3 + 24M_3 \mathcal{H}^3 + 8(M_2 - 3M_3) \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}' \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}')(\mathcal{H}(k^2 - 12\mathcal{H}') + 4\mathcal{H}^3 + 4\mathcal{H}'') \right\} \pi_{gi}' = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{E7}$$

For what concerns tensor modes, (68) holds for any equation of state.

- 
- [1] J. Elvin-Poole *et al.* (DES), Dark Energy Survey Year 3 results: magnification modelling and impact on cosmological constraints from galaxy clustering and galaxy-galaxy lensing, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **523**, 3649 (2023), arXiv:2209.09782 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] S. Dubovsky, T. Gregoire, A. Nicolis, and R. Rattazzi, Null energy condition and superluminal propagation, *JHEP* **03**, 025, arXiv:hep-th/0512260.

- [3] We use the signature  $-+++$ .
- [4] M. Kunz, The phenomenological approach to modeling the dark energy, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **13**, 539 (2012), arXiv:1204.5482 [astro-ph.CO].
- [5] L. Amendola and S. Tsujikawa, *Dark Energy: Theory and Observations* (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- [6] T. Chiba, T. Okabe, and M. Yamaguchi, Kinetically driven quintessence, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 023511 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/9912463.
- [7] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. F. Mukhanov, and P. J. Steinhardt, A Dynamical solution to the problem of a small cosmological constant and late time cosmic acceleration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4438 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0004134.
- [8] We only consider minimally coupled scalar fields. For the case a scalar tensor where the scalar field is non-minimally coupled to gravity, solar system tests [13] and the measurement of the propagation speed of gravitational waves [14] put severe constraints [15–17] on such a theories.
- [9] N. Arkani-Hamed, H.-C. Cheng, M. A. Luty, and S. Mukohyama, Ghost condensation and a consistent infrared modification of gravity, *JHEP* **05**, 074, arXiv:hep-th/0312099.
- [10] G. Gubitosi, F. Piazza, and F. Vernizzi, The Effective Field Theory of Dark Energy, *JCAP* **02**, 032, arXiv:1210.0201 [hep-th].
- [11] N. Frusciante and L. Perenon, Effective field theory of dark energy: A review, *Phys. Rept.* **857**, 1 (2020), arXiv:1907.03150 [astro-ph.CO].
- [12] M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, K. Noui, and D. A. Steer, Cosmological evolution in DHOST theories, *JCAP* **01**, 030, arXiv:1810.12070 [hep-th].
- [13] C. M. Will, The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment, *Living Rev. Rel.* **17**, 4 (2014), arXiv:1403.7377 [gr-qc].
- [14] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo, Fermi-GBM, INTEGRAL), Gravitational Waves and Gamma-rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **848**, L13 (2017), arXiv:1710.05834 [astro-ph.HE].
- [15] L. Lombriser and N. A. Lima, Challenges to Self-Acceleration in Modified Gravity from Gravitational Waves and Large-Scale Structure, *Phys. Lett. B* **765**, 382 (2017), arXiv:1602.07670 [astro-ph.CO].
- [16] J. M. Ezquiaga and M. Zumalacárregui, Dark Energy After GW170817: Dead Ends and the Road Ahead, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 251304 (2017), arXiv:1710.05901 [astro-ph.CO].
- [17] P. Creminelli and F. Vernizzi, Dark Energy after GW170817 and GRB170817A, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 251302 (2017), arXiv:1710.05877 [astro-ph.CO].
- [18] P. Kovtun, Lectures on hydrodynamic fluctuations in relativistic theories, *J. Phys. A* **45**, 473001 (2012), arXiv:1205.5040 [hep-th].
- [19] N. Andersson and G. L. Comer, Relativistic fluid dynamics: physics for many different scales, *Living Rev. Rel.* **24**, 3 (2021), arXiv:2008.12069 [gr-qc].
- [20] S. Matarrese, On the Classical and Quantum Irrotational Motions of a Relativistic Perfect Fluid. 1.

- Classical Theory, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A401**, 53 (1985).
- [21] H. Leutwyler, Nonrelativistic effective Lagrangians, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 3033 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9311264.
- [22] H. Leutwyler, Phonons as goldstone bosons, Helv. Phys. Acta **70**, 275 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9609466.
- [23] G. Ballesteros and B. Bellazzini, Effective perfect fluids in cosmology, JCAP **1304**, 001, arXiv:1210.1561 [hep-th].
- [24] G. Ballesteros, D. Comelli, and L. Pilo, Thermodynamics of perfect fluids from scalar field theory, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 025034 (2016), arXiv:1605.05304 [hep-th].
- [25] S. Dubovsky, L. Hui, A. Nicolis, and D. Son, Effective field theory for hydrodynamics: thermodynamics, and the derivative expansion, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 085029 (2012), arXiv:1107.0731 [hep-th].
- [26] D. Son, Effective Lagrangian and topological interactions in supersolids, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 175301 (2005), arXiv:cond-mat/0501658.
- [27] G. Ballesteros, D. Comelli, and L. Pilo, Massive and modified gravity as self-gravitating media, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 124023 (2016).
- [28] M. Celoria, D. Comelli, and L. Pilo, Fluids, Superfluids and Supersolids: Dynamics and Cosmology of Self Gravitating Media, JCAP **1709** (09), 036, arXiv:1704.00322 [gr-qc].
- [29] According to our notations  $M_{pl}^2 = (8\pi G)^{-1}$ .
- [30] M. Celoria, D. Comelli, and L. Pilo, Sixth mode in massive gravity, Phys. Rev. **D98**, 064016 (2018), arXiv:1711.10424 [hep-th].
- [31] M. Celoria, D. Comelli, and L. Pilo, Self-gravitating  $\Lambda$ -media, JCAP **1901** (01), 057, arXiv:1712.04827 [gr-qc].
- [32] In Minkowski space one can set in (19)  $\phi(t) = t$ .
- [33] The dot denotes the time derivative, fields are expressed in the Fourier basis and depend on time and on the spatial momentum  $\vec{k}$ ; thanks to the rotational invariance only  $|\vec{k}| = k$  is present in the Lagrangian. To simplify the notation we use same name  $\varphi(t, \vec{x})$  for the field and its spatial Fourier transform  $\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{k})$  defined by
- $$\varphi(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{k})$$
- .
- [34] D. Comelli, M. Di Giambattista, and L. Pilo, Classical and quantum dynamics of gyroscopic systems and dark energy, JCAP **11**, 017, arXiv:2207.02950 [hep-th].
- [35] A. M. Bloch, P. Hagerty, A. G. Rojo, and M. I. Weinstein, Gyroscopically Stabilized Oscillators and Heat Baths (2004).
- [36] Actually the “standard” form is obtained with a further canonical transformation  $\Pi_{ca} = \omega_a^{-1/2} P_a$ ,  $\varphi_a = \omega_a^{1/2} q_a$  with  $a = 1, 2$ .
- [37] In [34] it was called anomalous stability.
- [38] The sources of anisotropic stress are  $Q_{\mu\nu}^{(Y)}$  and  $Q_{\mu\nu}^{(Y)}$  and the first non-vanishing contribution starts with

- $\partial_i \pi_j + \partial_j \pi_i$  and their contribution to the EMT is proportional to  $U_{\tau Y}$  and  $U_{\tau z}$  or equivalently to  $M_2$ , see eq. (B1).
- [39] We order conventionally  $c_{s1}$  and  $c_{s2}$  such that  $c_{s2} < c_{s1}$ .
  - [40] A. Pais and G. E. Uhlenbeck, On Field theories with nonlocalized action, *Phys. Rev.* **79**, 145 (1950).
  - [41] A. Smilga, Classical and quantum dynamics of higher-derivative systems, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **32**, 1730025 (2017), arXiv:1710.11538 [hep-th].
  - [42] C. Gross, A. Strumia, D. Teresi, and M. Zirilli, Is negative kinetic energy metastable?, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 115025 (2021), arXiv:2007.05541 [hep-th].
  - [43] C. Deffayet, S. Mukohyama, and A. Vikman, Ghosts without Runaway Instabilities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 041301 (2022), arXiv:2108.06294 [gr-qc].
  - [44] This can be seen as the consequence of a Lifshitz scaling symmetry [31, 52].
  - [45] We neglect the sub-leading effect of photons and baryons.
  - [46] It should stressed that when  $\rho+3p \geq 0$  the particle horizon and the Hubble horizon  $H^{-1}$  are numerically equivalent.
  - [47] M. Celia, D. Comelli, L. Pilo, and R. Rollo, Boosting GWs in Supersolid Inflation, *JHEP* **01**, 185, arXiv:2010.02023 [gr-qc].
  - [48] M. Celia, D. Comelli, L. Pilo, and R. Rollo, Primordial non-Gaussianity in supersolid inflation, *JHEP* **06**, 147, arXiv:2103.10402 [astro-ph.CO].
  - [49] L. L. D. and L. E. M., *Fluid Mechanics (second edition)* (Elsevier, 1987).
  - [50] Notice that in the present appendix we do not use conformal time.
  - [51] J. M. Bardeen, Gauge Invariant Cosmological Perturbations, *Phys. Rev.* **D22**, 1882 (1980).
  - [52] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis, and J. Wang, Solid Inflation, *JCAP* **1310**, 011, arXiv:1210.0569 [hep-th].