

Novel Complexity Results for Temporal Separators with Deadlines

Riccardo Dondi  

Università degli Studi di Bergamo, Italy

Manuel Lafond  

Université de Sherbrooke, Canada

Abstract

We consider two variants, (s,z,ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR and (s,z,ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT, respectively, of the vertex separator and the edge cut problem in temporal graphs. The goal is to remove the minimum number of vertices (temporal edges, respectively) in order to delete all the temporal paths that have time travel at most ℓ between a source vertex s and target vertex z . First, we solve an open problem in the literature showing that (s,z,ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR is NP-complete even when the underlying graph has pathwidth bounded by four. We complement this result showing that (s,z,ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR can be solved in polynomial time for graphs of pathwidth bounded by three. Then we consider the approximability of (s,z,ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR and we show that it cannot be approximated within factor $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon}|V|)}$ for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$ (V is the vertex set of the input temporal graph) and that the strict version is approximable within factor $\ell - 1$ (we show also that it is unlikely that this factor can be improved). Then we consider the (s,z,ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT problem, we show that it is APX-hard and we present a $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ approximation algorithm.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Parameterized complexity and exact algorithms; Theory of computation \rightarrow Graph algorithms analysis; Mathematics of computing \rightarrow Graph theory

Keywords and phrases Temporal Graphs, Graph Algorithms, Graph Separators, Parameterized Complexity, Approximation Complexity

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23

1 Introduction

A central problem in checking network robustness is finding the minimum number of vertices or edges that needs to be removed in order to disconnect the network. In classic (static) graphs this is modeled by computing a minimum cut or a minimum vertex separator between a source vertex s and a target vertex z . The static graph model however does not consider how a network may change over time. In transportation networks, for example, the time schedule is a fundamental aspect that has to be taken into account for analyzing several properties, like connectedness and robustness. The need to incorporate time information of edge availability has led to the introduction of the *temporal graph* model [11, 9, 15], where edges are assigned timestamps in a discrete set that define when each edge is available (thus when a specific transport is available in a transportation network).

The robustness problems (minimum cut and minimum vertex separator) have been considered also for temporal graphs, where the paths to be removed have to be *temporal*, that is they have to satisfy a time constraint. Given a source s and a target z , the (s,z) -TEMPORAL CUT problem asks for the minimum number of temporal edges that have to be removed so that s and z are disconnected, while (s,z) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR asks for the minimum number of vertices that have to be removed so that s and z are disconnected. (s,z) -TEMPORAL CUT is known to be in solvable in polynomial time [2], (s,z) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR is known



© Riccardo Dondi, Manuel Lafond;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0

42nd Conference on Very Important Topics (CVIT 2016).

Editors: John Q. Open and Joan R. Access; Article No. 23; pp. 23:1–23:27



Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

NP-hard [11, 17], and its fixed-parameter tractability and approximability (and variants thereof) have been studied [7, 14, 8, 13, 10].

A variant of the (s,z)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem that has been considered in [8] to model the robustness of transportation system, defines s and z separated if the time needed to move from s to z is above a time threshold. The motivation is that if the time to move from s to z is increased considerably, then this makes the possibility of moving from s to z unlikely. This is modeled in [8] by defining the (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem, that asks for a smallest subset of vertices whose removal deletes each temporal path that takes at most time ℓ between s and z . Several results have been given for (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR in [8]. The (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem is NP-complete when $\ell = 1$ and the temporal graph is defined over (at least) two timestamps. On the other hand, the problem is solvable in polynomial time when the underlying graph is a tree (after deleting s and z) and when it has branchwidth at most two. As for the approximation complexity, it is shown to be not approximable within factor $\Omega(\ln |V| + \ln \tau)$ assuming that $NP \not\subseteq DTIME(|V|^{\log \log |V|})$ (V is the set of vertices of the temporal graph, τ the number of timestamps); moreover, a τ^2 -approximation algorithm is presented (also a τ -approximation algorithm for (s,z)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR). Finally, it is shown that solving (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR when the underlying graph has bounded pathwidth is at least as difficult as solving a problem called DISCRETE SEGMENT COVERING, whose complexity is unsolved [1]. In Section 3, we solve the status of (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on graphs of bounded pathwidth: we show that the problem is NP-complete even with $\ell = 1$ and when the underlying graph has pathwidth at most 4, and we give a polynomial-time algorithm when the pathwidth is at most 3. Then in Section 4, we show that (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR cannot be approximated within factor $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |V|)}$ for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$ and we present an $\ell - 1$ -approximation algorithm for the strict variant of (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. We show also that improving this factor is a challenging problem, since the strict variant of (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL SEPARATOR is hard to approximate as VERTEX COVER k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH, where $k = \ell - 1$. In Section 5, we consider the (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL CUT problem and we show that it is APX-hard, which contrasts with the polynomial-time solvability of the problem when deadlines are not considered, and we present a $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ -approximation algorithm. In Section 2 we give some definitions and we formally define the two problems we are interested into. Some of the proofs are omitted due to space constraint.

2 Preliminaries

For an integer n , we use the notation $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A *temporal graph* $G = (V, E, \tau)$ is defined over a set V of vertices and a set $E \subseteq V \times V \times [\tau]$ of temporal edges, where $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$. An *undirected* edge in a temporal graph is then a triple (u, v, t) , where $u, v \in V$ and $t \in [\tau]$ is a timestamp¹. Note that we denote by uv an edge in an undirected (static) graph and (u, v) an arc in a directed (static) graph.

We say that u, v are *neighbors* in G if they share some temporal edge, and denote the set of neighbors of u by $N_G(u)$ (we drop the subscript if clear). Given a set $V' \subseteq V$, we denote by $G[V']$ the subgraph induced by V' , which contains vertex set V' and every temporal edge whose two endpoints are in V' . We also denote by $G - V' = G[V \setminus V']$ the temporal graph

¹ As in [8] we assume that (u, v, t) is a temporal edge of G if and only if (v, u, t) is a temporal edge of G .

obtained by removing each vertex in V' . Given a set $E' \subseteq E$, we denote by $G - E'$ the temporal graph obtained by removing each temporal edge in E' .

An interval $[t_1, t_2]$, with $t_1, t_2 \in [\tau]$ and $t_1 \leq t_2$, is the sequence of consecutive timestamps between t_1 and t_2 . Given interval $[t_1, t_2]$, $G([t_1, t_2])$ is the temporal subgraph of G that has temporal edges having timestamps in $[t_1, t_2]$.

A *temporal path* P in a temporal graph G is a sequence of temporal edges such that

$$P = [(u_1, v_1, t_1), (u_2, v_2, t_2), \dots, (u_h, v_h, t_h)],$$

with $v_i = u_{i+1}$ and $t_i \leq t_{i+1}$, for each $i \in [h - 1]$, and $u_i \neq u_j$, $v_i \neq v_j$, for each $i, j \in [h]$ with $i \neq j$. If $t_i < t_{i+1}$, with $i \in [h - 1]$, then the temporal path is *strict*. Given a temporal path $P = [(u_1, v_1, t_1), (u_2, v_2, t_2), \dots, (u_h, v_h, t_h)]$, the *travelling time* $tt(P)$ of P is defined as $tt(P) = t_h - t_1 + 1$. The set of vertices of a temporal path P is denoted by $V(P)$. We may refer to a temporal path of travelling time *at most* ℓ as an ℓ -temporal path. Two temporal paths are *temporal edge disjoint* if they don't share any temporal edge.

Given a temporal graph $G = (V, E, \tau)$, we assume that there are two special vertices $s, z \in V$, which are the source and the target vertex of G . A set $V' \subseteq V$ is an (s, z) -temporal separator ((s, z) -strict temporal separator, respectively) in G if there is no temporal path (strict temporal path, respectively) in $G[V \setminus V']$ between s and z . Given $\ell \in [\tau]$, a set $V' \subseteq V$ is an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator ((s, z, ℓ) -strict temporal separator, respectively) in G if there is no temporal path (strict temporal path, respectively) between s and z in $G[V \setminus V']$ of travelling time at most ℓ .

A set $E' \subseteq E$ of temporal edges is an (s, z) -temporal cut ((s, z) -strict temporal cut, respectively) in G if there is no temporal path (strict temporal path, respectively) in $G - E'$ between s and z . Given $\ell \in [\tau]$ a set $E' \subseteq E$ is an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal cut ((s, z, ℓ) -strict temporal cut, respectively) in G if there is no temporal path (strict temporal path, respectively) in $G - E'$ between s and z of travelling time at most ℓ .

Now, we are ready to define the combinatorial problems we are interested into. The first, introduced in [8], is the following.

► **Problem 1.** $((s, z, \ell)$ -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR)

Input: a temporal graph $G = (V, E, \tau)$, two vertices $s, z \in V$, a positive integer $\ell \in [\tau]$.

Output: An (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator $V' \subseteq V$ in G of minimum size.

We denote by (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR the variant of (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR where we look for an (s, z, ℓ) -strict temporal separator.

We consider now the second problem we are interested into.

► **Problem 2.** $((s, z, \ell)$ -TEMPORAL CUT)

Input: a temporal graph $G = (V, E, \tau)$, two vertices $s, z \in V$, a positive integer $\ell \in [\tau]$.

Output: An (s, z, ℓ) -temporal cut $E' \subseteq E$ in G of minimum size.

Note that if $\ell = \tau$, then (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR ((s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT, respectively) is exactly the (s, z) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem (the (s, z) -TEMPORAL CUT) problem, respectively) where we look for an (s, z) -temporal separator ((s, z) -temporal cut, respectively) in G .

3 Graphs of bounded pathwidth

Let us first recall the notion of pathwidth. A *nice path decomposition* of a graph G is a sequence of set of vertices (X_1, \dots, X_q) , with each $X_i \subseteq V(G)$ referred to as a *bag*, such that all of the following holds:

- $X_1 = X_q = \emptyset$;
- for $i \in \{2, \dots, q\}$, either $X_i = X_{i-1} \cup \{v\}$, in which case X_i is called an *introduce bag*; or $X_i = X_{i-1} \setminus \{v\}$, in which case X_i is a *forget bag*.
- for any pair of vertices u and v that share an edge in G , some bag X_i contains both u and v (regardless of the time of the edge).
- for any vertex $v \in V(G)$, there are $i, j \in [q-1]$ such that the set of bags that contain v is precisely X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_j .

The *width* of the nice path decomposition is $\max_{i \in [q-1]} (|X_i| - 1)$. The *pathwidth* of G is the minimum width of a nice path decomposition of G .


We show that (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR is NP-complete even on graphs of pathwidth 4 and when $\ell = 1$. Our reduction is from the MULTICOLORED INDEPENDENT SET problem, where we are given a (static) graph G and a partition $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of $V(G)$ into k sets. The V_i sets are called *color classes*. The question is whether there is an independent set I of G such that $|I \cap V_i| = 1$ for each $i \in [k]$, that is, we must choose one vertex per color class to form an independent set. Note that this problem is typically used to prove W[1]-hardness, but it is also NP-hard [6]².

Let G be an instance of MULTICOLORED INDEPENDENT SET, with vertex partition V_1, \dots, V_k . We assume, without loss of generality, that $|V_i| = n$ for every $i \in [k]$.

Let us construct from G an instance of the (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem consisting of temporal graph H , vertices s and z to separate, and $\ell = 1$. In the construction, we assign to temporal edges of H a time in the set $\tau = \{t_{green}, t_{red}\} \cup \{t_u : u \in V(G)\}$, with the understanding that all elements of τ correspond to a distinct integer. Since $\ell = 1$, one can view the temporal edges as being colored by an element of τ and the problem as having to destroy all monochromatic paths — so the subscripts of the elements of τ can be seen as colors. So from G , first add to $V(H)$ the vertices s, z , and a new vertex r . We then have vertex-choosing gadgets and edge-verification gadgets (see Figure 1 for an illustration).

Vertex-choosing gadgets. For each $i \in [k]$, build a gadget for V_i as follows. For every $u \in V_i$, add to H two vertices u^- and u^+ . Then add a temporal path at time t_{green} from s

² Note that the problem considered in [6], MULTICOLORED CLIQUE, is equivalent to MULTICOLORED INDEPENDENT SET if we consider complementary relations (edges and no edges) between vertices of different color classes of the input graph.



■ **Figure 1** Top left: main structure of the reduction: there is a vertex-choosing phase leading to paths to r , followed by an edge verification phase (note, not all edges are shown). Middle: a V_i gadget, with t_{green} edges in green, t_{red} edges in red, and t_u edges in blue. Right: an edge gadget for $e = uv$, with t_{green} edges in green and t_u, t_v edges in blue.

to z formed by the temporal edges $(s, u^-, t_{green}), (u^-, u^+, t_{green}), (u^+, z, t_{green})$. Also add a temporal path at time t_u from s to r formed by the temporal edges $(s, u^+, t_u), (u^+, r, t_u)$.

To complete the gadget, add a new vertex p_i , then add temporal edges so that there is a path with a time of t_{red} from s to z that first goes through p_i , then through all the u^- vertices exactly once. More precisely, denote $V_i = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, where the ordering is arbitrary. Then, add the temporal edges $(s, p_i, t_{red}), (p_i, u_1^-, t_{red})$ and (u_n^-, z, t_{red}) , and for each $h \in [n - 1]$, add the temporal edge $(u_h^-, u_{h+1}^-, t_{red})$.

Edge verification gadgets. Next, we construct gadgets to verify that chosen vertices correspond to an independent set of G . For each edge $e = uv$ of G , where $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$ such that $i < j$, add to H two vertices w_u^e and w_v^e . Add a temporal path at time t_{green} from s to z formed by the temporal edges $(s, w_u^e, t_{green}), (w_u^e, w_v^e, t_{green})$, and (w_v^e, z, t_{green}) , enforcing the deletion of at least one of the two vertices. Next, add a temporal path at time t_u formed by the temporal edges $(r, w_u^e, t_u), (w_u^e, z, t_u)$. Finally, add a new vertex q_e and a temporal path at time t_v going from r to z formed by the temporal edges $(r, w_v^e, t_v), (w_v^e, q_e, t_v), (q_e, z, t_v)$.

► **Lemma 1.** *The graph H constructed from G as described above has pathwidth at most 4.*

The idea is that we can get a path decomposition by putting s, z, r in every bag. Then, the V_i and the uv gadgets are easy to construct using two extra vertices per bag. We next show that NP-hardness holds.

► **Lemma 2.** *The graph G has a multicolored independent set if and only if H has an $(s, z, 1)$ -temporal separator of size $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$.*

Proof sketch. Let $I = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ be a multicolored independent set of G , with each $u_i \in V_i$. In H , in the V_i gadget, delete every u^+ vertex, *except* u_i^+ , and delete u_i^- instead. This removes all the t_{green} and the t_{red} temporal paths, but s can reach r with a temporal path at time t_{u_i} . Then for each edge $e = uv$ of G , in the uv gadget, delete w_u^e if s reaches r with time t_u , and delete w_v^e otherwise. This removes the t_{green} temporal path, and since we delete at least one of u^+ or v^+ , there remains no temporal path at time t_u or t_v going through the gadget.

Conversely, suppose there is an $(s, z, 1)$ -temporal separator in H of size $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$. The t_{green} temporal paths enforce deleting, for each $u \in V(G)$, one of u^- or u^+ , and also for each $e = uv \in E(G)$ one of w_u^e or w_v^e . There is no room for other deletions. Because of the t_{red} temporal path in the V_i gadget, some u^- is deleted and some u^+ is kept. Also, we cannot keep u^+ and v^+ from different V_i, V_j gadgets if $uv \in E(G)$, as otherwise there will be a t_u or t_v temporal path going through the uv gadget. Hence, the kept u^+ vertices correspond to a multicolored independent set.

► **Theorem 3.** *The (S, Z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem is NP-complete, even with $\ell = 1$ and on temporal graphs of pathwidth at most 4, and even if each edge is present in only one timestamp.*

We mention that it should be possible to modify the proof to prove the same hardness for the *strict* variant of the problem, as it suffices to replace each label t_{green}, t_{red}, t_u with time intervals that are far enough from each other. We leave the details for a future version.

Graphs of pathwidth 3

Here we show that (S, Z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR can be solved in polynomial time on graphs of pathwidth 3, for any ℓ , which shows that the above hardness is tight. Note that


now, we allow temporal edges to have multiple activation times, and we allow them to be directed or not. Let G be a temporal graph. We first apply two reduction rules, which can easily be seen to be safe.

Rule 1. If G has a vertex v such that $[(s, v, t)(v, z, t')]$ is an ℓ -temporal path, then delete v .

Rule 2. If $G - \{s, z\}$ has multiple connected components C_1, C_2, \dots, C_p , then solve each subgraph $G[C_1 \cup \{s, z\}], \dots, G[C_p \cup \{s, z\}]$ separately.

We say that G is *clean* if none of the above rules is applicable to G . Note that for $n = |V(G)|$ and $m = |E(G)|$, one can determine in time $O(n + m)$ whether one of the rules applies, and each rule can be applied at most n times, and so a graph can be made clean in time $O(n^2 + nm)$.

We now turn to (clean) graphs of pathwidth at most 3. The idea is to first check whether there is an (s, z) -separator of size at most 3 (so, a separator that ignores edge times). If there is one, then there is an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator of size at most 3. In this case we can compute a solution by trying every combination of at most three vertices. If there is no such separator, we can rely on the structural lemma below, which is illustrated in Figure 2. First, we can show that there is a sequence of bags that contain both s and z ; this allows to find B , consisting of the vertices introduced and forgotten within this sequence. This B induces a caterpillar³, as removing s and z from these bags yields a subgraph of pathwidth at most 2. The vertices other than s or z in bags that precede this sequence form A , and the vertex s or z introduced last has at most one neighbor in A (e.g., in Figure 2, z has only y as a neighbor in A). Analogously, C contains vertices of bags that occur after the sequence.



■ **Figure 2** An illustration of the structure described by Lemma 4. The top part shows the temporal graph, the bottom part shows the bags that contain both s and z (the left and right areas represent A and C , and the middle box represents B).

► **Lemma 4.** Suppose that G is clean, has pathwidth 3, and has no (s, z) -separator of size 3 or less. Then $V(G) \setminus \{s, z\}$ can be partitioned into three non-empty sets A, B, C such that all of the following holds:

- either s has at most one neighbor in A (resp. C), or z has at most one neighbor in A (resp. C).
- the subgraph induced by B is a caterpillar with main path $w_1 - w_2 - \dots - w_k$. Moreover, w_1 is the only vertex of $B \cup C$ whose neighborhood can intersect with A , and w_k is the only vertex of $B \cup A$ whose neighborhood can intersect with C .

One can infer from this structure that “most” deletions occur on the caterpillar path.

³ Recall that a *caterpillar* is a tree in which there is a *main path* $w_1 - \dots - w_k$, and every vertex not on that path is a leaf adjacent to a vertex of the path.

► **Lemma 5.** *Suppose that G is clean and that $V(G) \setminus \{s, z\}$ can be partitioned into the sets A, B, C as in Lemma 4. Then there exists a minimum (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator in which at most one vertex of A is deleted, at most one vertex of C is deleted, and all other deleted vertices are on the main path $w_1 - \dots - w_k$ of the B caterpillar.*

■ **Algorithm 1** Main algorithm

```

1 function getTemporalSeparator( $G, s, z, (A, B, C)$ )
2   //We assume that  $G$  is clean
3   Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{D \subseteq V(G) : D \cap A \leq 1, D \cap C \leq 1, \text{ and } D \cap B = \emptyset\}$ 
4   for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  do
5     |  $D' = \text{extendSeparator}(G, s, z, (A, B, C), D)$            // pass copy of  $D$ 
6   end
7   return a smallest  $(s, z, \ell)$ -separator  $D'$  found
8
9 function extendSeparator( $G, s, z, (A, B, C), D$ )
10  | if  $G - (D \cup B)$  has an  $(s, z, \ell)$ -temporal path then
11  |   return "impossible"
12  | Let  $w_1 - \dots - w_k$  be the main path of the caterpillar  $B$ 
13  | for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  do
14  |   | Define  $W_i = A \cup \{s, z\} \cup (N[w_1, \dots, w_i] \setminus \{w_{i+1}\})$ 
15  |   | if  $G[W_i] - D$  contains an  $(s, z, \ell)$ -temporal path then
16  |   |   Add  $w_i$  to  $D$ 
17  | end
18  | if  $G - D$  contains an  $(s, z, \ell)$ -temporal path then
19  |   Add  $w_k$  to  $D$ 
20  | return  $D$ ;

```

Our algorithm proceeds as follows. We first make G clean, possibly handling multiple connected components of $G - \{s, z\}$ separately, and construct the sets A, B, C from Lemma 4. We then run Algorithm 1, which first guesses every way that a solution could delete at most one vertex from A and at most one vertex from C , noting that such a solution exists by Lemma 5. There are $O(n^2)$ possible guesses, and for each of them, we solve a restricted version of the problem where we can only delete vertices from the caterpillar B .

This is done by the *extendSeparator* function, which attempts to extend the guess by finding the minimum number of deletions to do on the main B path $w_1 - \dots - w_k$, traversing it from left to right. When at a specific w_i , we restrict the graph to $G[W_i] - D$, where D contains the deletions made so far and W_i contains A , w_i and the predecessors of w_i , and their neighbors. In a greedy manner, we delete w_i only if it creates a temporal path in this restricted graph, considering the deletions D applied so far. This ensures that there is no temporal path that goes through the w_i 's in increasing order (possibly going in A as well), and we make one last check at the end to ensure that no temporal path goes through C and then on the path in the reverse order (if so, we delete w_k to prevent that).

► **Theorem 6.** *The (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem can be solved in time $O(n^4 m)$ on graphs on pathwidth at most 3, where $n = |V(G)|$ and $m = |E(G)|$.*

Note that the above algorithm should work for the strict variant of the problem. Indeed, the algorithm only needs to query whether a forbidden path exists, so it suffices to have access to a routine that determines whether a strict ℓ -temporal path exists. Again we leave the details for a future version.

4 Approximation of (s, z, ℓ) -Temporal Separator

4.1 Hardness of Approximation

In this section we strengthen the inapproximability of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR (and later we extend the inapproximability to (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR). We prove the result by giving an approximation preserving reduction from DIRECTED MULTICUT to (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. DIRECTED MULTICUT is known to be inapproximable within factor $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |N|)}$, for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, even for a directed acyclic graph with a set N of vertices, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$ [4]. We recall here that DIRECTED MULTICUT (we consider it is defined on a directed acyclic graph), given a directed acyclic graph $D = (N, A)$, a set R of pairs $(s_1, z_1), \dots, (s_h, z_h)$ of vertices, with $s_i, z_i \in N$, $i \in [h]$, asks for a minimum cardinality subset $A' \subseteq A$ so that each pair (s_i, z_i) , $i \in [h]$, is disconnected in $D - A'$. Note that we assume that, for each $(s_i, z_i) \in R$, $i \in [h]$, it holds that $s_i \neq z_i$, otherwise the vertex needs to be removed in order to separate the pair. Given an instance (D, R) of DIRECTED MULTICUT, the vertices that belong to a pair in R are called terminals; the set R_S (R_Z , respectively) contains those terminals v such that $v = s_i$ and $(s_i, z_j) \in R$ ($v = z_j$ and $(s_i, z_j) \in R$, respectively).

Consider an instance (D, R) of DIRECTED MULTICUT, in the following we construct a corresponding instance of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. We first present the idea of the construction. Essentially G contains $|A| + 1$ copies of each vertex, plus one vertex for each arc in A , in addition to s and z . This ensures that only the vertices associated with arcs will be removed, as removing $|A| + 1$ copies of each vertex of D requires to delete too many vertices. As for the temporal edges of G , for each arc from u to v in D , there is a path of length two, consisting of a temporal edge from the vertex associated with u to vertex associated with arc (u, v) and a temporal edge from the vertex associated with arc (u, v) to the vertex associated with v . Each vertex v_i is associated with a interval of ℓ timestamps $[\ell \cdot (2i - 2), \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1]$. The timestamps are assigned to temporal edges of G so that if there exists a path in D from v_i to v_j , then there exists a temporal path from two corresponding vertices of G , that is $x_{i,q}$ to $x_{j,r}$, $q, r \in [|A| + 1]$, with timestamps in the interval $[\ell \cdot (2i - 2), \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1]$.

Now, we present the formal definition of the instance of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. First, $\ell = 2|N|$. Given $N = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|N|}\}$, since D is a DAG, we assume that the vertices of D are sorted according to a topological sorting of D , that is for $(v_i, v_j) \in A$, $i, j \in [|N|]$, it holds that $i < j$. We define the set V of vertices:

$$V = \{x_{i,q} : v_i \in N, q \in [|A| + 1]\} \cup \{y_{i,j} : (v_i, v_j) \in A, i < j\} \cup \{s, z\}.$$

Now, we define the set of temporal edges. For a vertex $v_i \in N$, $i \in [|N|]$, $Reach(D, v_i)$ denotes the set of vertices that can be reached with a path that starts from v_i in D .

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \{(s, x_{i,q}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2)) : v_i \in R_S, q \in [|A| + 1]\} \cup \\ & \{(x_{j,q}, z, \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1) : v_j \in R_Z, v_j \in Reach(D, v_i), \exists (v_i, v_j) \in R, q \in [|A| + 1]\} \cup \\ & \{(x_{i,q}, y_{i,j}, \ell \cdot (2h - 2) + 2i - 1) : v_i \in N, v_i \in Reach(D, v_h), (v_i, v_j) \in A, h \in [i], q \in [|A| + 1]\} \cup \\ & \{(y_{i,j}, x_{j,q}, \ell \cdot (2h - 2) + 2(j - 1)) : v_j \in N, v_j \in Reach(D, v_h), (v_i, v_j) \in A, h \in [i], q \in [|A| + 1]\} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove the relations between DIRECTED MULTICUT and (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR.

► **Lemma 7.** *Consider an instance (D, R) of DIRECTED MULTICUT and a corresponding instance (G, s, t, ℓ) of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. Then (1) given a solution A' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) consisting of k arcs we can compute in polynomial time a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, t, ℓ) consisting of k vertices; (2) given a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, z, ℓ) consisting of k vertices we can compute in polynomial time a solution A' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) consisting of k arcs.*

Proof sketch. For (1), given a solution A' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) consisting of k arcs, we can compute in polynomial time a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on the corresponding instance (G, s, t, ℓ) as follows: $V' = \{y_{i,j} : (v_i, v_j) \in A'\}$. Indeed, if $G[V \setminus V']$ contains a strict temporal path p it must pass through two vertices $x_{i,r}, x_{j,r}$ and there is a path between the corresponding vertices v_i, v_j of $D - A'$ such that $(v_i, v_j) \in R$. For (2), given a solution V' of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, t, ℓ) , where $|V'| = k$, first we can show that V' contains only vertices $y_{i,j}$. We can define a solution A' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) as follows $A' = \{(v_i, v_j) : y_{i,j} \in V'\}$.

It follows from Lemma 7 that we have designed an approximation preserving reduction from DIRECTED MULTICUT to (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. Since DIRECTED MULTICUT is not approximable within factor $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |N|)}$, for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$ [4], we have the following result.

► **Theorem 8.** *The (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem is $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |V|)}$ -hard to approximate for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$.*

The same result holds also for (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR, we need to slightly modify the input temporal graph so that each ℓ -temporal path is forced to be strict.

► **Corollary 9.** *The (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem is $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |V|)}$ -hard to approximate for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$.*

4.2 Approximating (s, z, ℓ) -Strict Temporal Separator

We consider now the (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem and we present an $(\ell - 1)$ -approximation algorithm for it. First, we prove the following easy claim.

▷ **Claim 10.** A strict ℓ -temporal path between s and z contains at most $\ell - 1$ vertices different from s and z .

Now, we present the approximation algorithm (Algorithm 2). The algorithm greedily looks for a strict ℓ -temporal path P between s and z . If there exists such a path P , Algorithm 2 removes all the vertices of P from G , except for s and z , and adds its vertices to the vertex separator V' . If there exists no such path, then the algorithm stops and return V' .

■ **Algorithm 2** The approximation algorithm for (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR

```

1  $V' \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;
2 while there exists a strict  $\ell$ -temporal path  $P$ , between  $s$  and  $z$  do
3    $V' \leftarrow V' \cup (V(P) \setminus \{s, z\})$ ;
4   Remove  $V(P) \setminus \{s, z\}$  from  $G$ ;
5 end
6 return  $(V')$ 

```

Algorithm 2 has approximation factor $\ell - 1$ since the ℓ -temporal paths considered in each iteration are vertex-disjoint, thus any solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR contains at least one vertex for each of these temporal path.

► **Theorem 11.** *Algorithm 2 is an $(\ell - 1)$ -approximation algorithm for (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR.*

Next, we prove that, using an approximation preserving reduction from VERTEX COVER k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH similar to the one presented in [8] from SET COVER, improving this approximation factor is a challenging problem. In particular, VERTEX COVER k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH is not approximable within factor k assuming the Unique Game Conjecture [12].

► **Corollary 12.** *(s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR is hard to approximate within factor $\ell - 1$ assuming the Unique Game Conjecture.*

5 Tractability and approximation of (s, z, ℓ) -Temporal Cut

In this section we consider the (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT problem. First, we prove the APX-hardness of the problem, then we present a $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ -approximation algorithm.

5.1 Hardness of (s, z, ℓ) -Temporal Cut

We show the APX-hardness of (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT, by presenting an approximation preserving reduction from the MULTIWAY CUT problem, which is APX-hard [5]. In this latter problem, the input is an undirected graph G with $k \geq 3$ distinguished vertices v_1, \dots, v_k called *terminals*. The goal is to remove the minimum number of edges from G to cut all paths between terminals, that is, compute $F \subseteq E(G)$ of minimum size such that in $G - F$ there is no path between v_i and v_j for every distinct $i, j \in [k]$.

Consider an instance (G, v_1, \dots, v_k) of MULTIWAY CUT and construct a temporal graph H as follows. First define $\ell = k - 1$. We assume that some edges of H are *undeletable*. This can easily be achieved by replacing an undeletable edge (u, v, t) with a large number of parallel paths between u and v at time t . We construct H as follows. First, start with a copy of G in which every temporal edge is defined at time ℓ , and every such temporal edge is *deletable*: for each $uv \in E(G)$ we add (u, v, ℓ) to H . Then add vertices s and z , and add the following *undeletable* edges:

$$(s, v_1, 1), \quad (v_1, z, \ell + 1); \quad (s, v_2, 2), \quad (v_2, z, \ell + 2); \quad \dots \\ (s, v_{k-1}, k - 1), \quad (v_{k-1}, z, \ell + k - 1); \quad (v_k, z, \ell).$$

In other words we add (s, v_i, i) and $(v_i, z, \ell + i)$ for $i \in [k - 1]$, and add (v_k, z, ℓ) . Note that s is a neighbor of every v_i except v_k . Also observe that the edge $(v_{k-1}, z, \ell + k - 1)$ is useless, but we include it to preserve the pattern.

► **Theorem 13.** *The (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT problem is APX-hard, even for $\ell = 2$.*

Proof sketch. Let $F \subseteq E(G)$ be a multiway cut of G , so that all $v_i - v_j$ paths are removed in $G - F$. In H , remove the set $F' = \{(u, v, \ell) : uv \in F\}$. Note that s needs to use two distinct v_i and v_j terminals to reach z , because it first needs to use some (s, v_i, i) temporal edges, but cannot use the temporal edge $(v_i, z, i + \ell)$. But F' cuts any path between two terminals, so F' is a temporal cut of H .

Conversely, any temporal cut $F' \subseteq E(H)$ contains only undeletable edges which are copied from G . Consider $F = \{uv \in E(G) : (u, v, \ell) \in F'\}$. A case analysis shows that if

$G - F$ has a path between v_i and v_j , then $H - F'$ has an ℓ -temporal path from s to z , going through v_i then v_j or vice-versa using that path. Thus F must be a multiway cut for G . ◀

Note for $\ell = 1$, (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL CUT is in P: the subgraphs that occur at different times can be treated independently, and thus $\ell = 1$ can be solved by computing a minimum edge cut for each possible time.

Also note that unlike most of our previous results, there is no obvious extension of the above reduction to the strict variant, where one must delete a minimum number of edges to remove all strict temporal paths of travel time at most ℓ . We leave the complexity of latter as an open problem.

5.2 A $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ -Approximation for (s,z, ℓ)-Temporal Cut

We present a $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ -approximation algorithm for (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL CUT. We start by recalling a generalization of Menger's Theorem on temporal graphs [2].

► **Theorem 14.** *The maximum number of edge-disjoint s, z-temporal paths in a temporal graph G equals the size of a minimum temporal s, z-cut of G .*

Also given a temporal graph G , it is possible to compute in polynomial time a minimum temporal s, z-cut of G [2] and we denote such algorithm by $\text{TempCut}(G)$. We present now a result that will be useful to prove the approximation factor.

► **Lemma 15.** *Given $t \in [2, \tau - 1]$, consider temporal graph $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$ and let E'_t be an s, z-cut of $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$. Consider a temporal path p of $G([t + i, t + \ell + i - 1]) - E'_t$, for some $i > 0$, and a temporal path p' of $G([t - j, t' - j + \ell - 1]) - E'_t$, for some $j > 0$. Then p and p' are temporal edge disjoint.*

We describe now an algorithm that has approximation factor $\log_2 \tau$, then we show how to use it to achieve approximation factor $2 \log_2(2\ell)$. We assume for simplicity that ℓ is even. The algorithm is recursive: given an interval $[l, r]$, at each step if the difference $r - l$ is at

■ **Algorithm 3** $\log_2 \tau$ -approximation algorithm for (s,z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL CUT

```

1  $G' \leftarrow G, \quad E' \leftarrow \emptyset, \quad l \leftarrow 1, r \leftarrow \tau;$ 
2 Function  $\ell - \text{TempEdgeCut}(G, r, l)$ 
3 if  $r - l \geq \ell - 1$  then
4    $t \leftarrow \lfloor \frac{r+l}{2} \rfloor;$ 
5    $E' \leftarrow E' \cup \text{TempCut}(G([t - \frac{\ell}{2}, t + \frac{\ell}{2} - 1]));$ 
6    $\ell - \text{TempEdgeCut}(G, l, t + \frac{\ell}{2} - 2);$ 
7    $\ell - \text{TempEdgeCut}(G, t - \frac{\ell}{2} + 1, r);$ 
8 end
```

least $\ell - 1$, thus $[l, r]$ contains at least ℓ timestamps, it defines a timestamp t that partitions in two (almost) equal parts the intervals of length ℓ contained in $[l, r]$. Then it computes a minimum temporal cut of $G[t - \ell/2, t + \ell/2 - 1]$ and recursively computes a solution on the first part of intervals and a solution on the second part of intervals (independently). Since the number of intervals of length ℓ is bounded by $\tau - \ell < \tau$, and each recursive call partitions in two equal parts this set of intervals, it follows that after $\log_2 \tau$ levels of recursion, the size of an interval is at most ℓ , thus there can be at most $\log_2 \tau$ levels of recursion.

Denote by h the number of recursion levels and by w_i the number of timestamps defined (as t in the pseudocode) at level i , $i \in [h]$. Denote by $t_{i,j}$ the j -th timestamp chosen by

the algorithm at the i -th level of the recursion, $i \in [h]$ and $j \in [w_i]$. Now, consider an approximated solution E' and optimal solution Opt of (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT. We partition E' and Opt as follows. Given a timestamp $t_{i,j}$, $i \in [h]$ and $j \in [w_i]$, we denote by $E'(t_{i,j})$ the set of temporal edges added to E' by the approximation algorithm when it defines $t = t_{i,j}$. By construction and by Lemma 15, the sets $E'(t_{i,j})$, $i \in [h]$, $j \in [w_i]$, define a partition of E' . Similarly, we define $Opt(t_{i,j})$, $i \in [h]$, $j \in [w_i]$, as the set of temporal edges $e \in Opt$ such that (1) there is an ℓ -temporal path in $G([t_{i,j} - \ell/2, t_{i,j} + \ell/2 - 1])$ from s to z that contains e and (2) e does not belong to any $Opt(t_{b,q})$, with $b < i$ for some $q \in [w_b]$. Note that by Lemma 15 this is indeed a partition, and in particular for each $i \in [h]$ it holds that $Opt(t_{i,j}) \cap Opt(t_{i,q}) = \emptyset$ for each $j, q \in [w_i]$ and $j \neq q$.

Since each temporal edge $e \in Opt$ belongs to exactly one set $Opt(t_{i,j})$ and since $Opt_{i,j} \cap Opt_{i,q} = \emptyset$, for each $j, q \in [w_i]$, we have that $Opt = \bigcup_{i \in [h], j \in [w_i]} Opt(t_{i,j})$ and $|Opt| = \sum_{i \in [h], j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})|$. Now, we prove the following result.

► **Lemma 16.** *Consider $E'(t_{i,j})$, $i \in [h]$, $j \in [w_i]$, the set of temporal edges cut by Algorithm 3 when it defines $t = t_{i,j}$. For each level $i \in [h]$ of the recursion, it holds that*

$$\sum_{j \in [w_i]} |E'(t_{i,j})| \leq \sum_{j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})| + \sum_{b \in [h]: b < i, q \in [w_b]} |Opt(t_{b,q})|.$$

Based on the previous lemma, since the number of recursion levels is bounded by $\log_2 \tau$, we can prove the following.

► **Theorem 17.** *Algorithm 3 returns an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal cut of size at most $\log_2 \tau \cdot Opt$.*

Next we prove that the previous approximation algorithm can be used to obtain an approximation algorithm of factor $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ for (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT. We assume that τ is a multiple of 2ℓ (if not, increase τ to the next multiple of 2ℓ , with no temporal edges existing in the extra times appended). Consider the time domain $[1, \tau]$ and computes the following partitions of intervals of $[1, \tau]$:

$$\blacksquare P_1 = [1, 2\ell], [2\ell + 1, 4\ell], \dots, [\tau - 2\ell + 1, \tau]; \quad P_2 = [\ell, 3\ell], [3\ell + 1, 5\ell], \dots, [\tau - 3\ell, \tau - \ell]$$

Each interval of length ℓ is contained in one of the interval of the two partitions and moreover two graphs defined on distinct intervals of a set P_i are temporal edge disjoint. Recall that $G(I)$ is the temporal graph defined on interval I .

► **Lemma 18.** *Consider the sets P_1 and P_2 , then (1) for each $I = [t, t + \ell - 1]$ of length ℓ , there is an interval of P_1 or P_2 that contains it; (2) Given I and I' be two distinct interval of P_i , then a temporal path of $G(I)$ and a temporal path of $G(I')$ are temporal edge disjoint.*

Now, we run Algorithm 3 on each $G(I)$, with $I \in P_i$ and we compute a feasible solution since by Lemma 18 each interval I of length ℓ is contained in an interval of P_1 or P_2 . The $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ -approximation factor is due to the fact that each interval I has length 2ℓ and we approximate each with a factor of $\log_2(2\ell)$. Then by Lemma 18 two intervals I and I' of P_i , are temporal edge disjoint, thus each temporal edge removed by an optimal solution belongs to at most one interval of P_1 and at most one interval of P_2 . Because we remove edges from both the intervals in P_1 and P_2 , our approximation factor is multiplied by two.

► **Corollary 19.** *(s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT admits a $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ -approximation algorithm.*

Conclusion. We briefly conclude with a few open problems: (1) is the (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem NP-hard on graphs of treewidth at most three? (2) is there a $O(\ell)$ -approximation for (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR (non strict variant)? (3) Is there a $O(1)$ -approximation for the (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT problem? (4) Is the *strict* variant of the (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT NP-hard?

References

- 1 Dan Bergren, Eduard Eiben, Robert Ganian, and Iyad Kanj. On covering segments with unit intervals. *SIAM J. Discret. Math.*, 36(2):1200–1230, 2022. doi:10.1137/20M1336412.
- 2 Kenneth A. Berman. Vulnerability of scheduled networks and a generalization of menger’s theorem. *Networks*, 28(3):125–134, 1996.
- 3 Hans L Bodlaender and Ton Kloks. Efficient and constructive algorithms for the pathwidth and treewidth of graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 21(2):358–402, 1996.
- 4 Julia Chuzhoy and Sanjeev Khanna. Polynomial flow-cut gaps and hardness of directed cut problems. *J. ACM*, 56(2):6:1–6:28, 2009. doi:10.1145/1502793.1502795.
- 5 Elias Dahlhaus, David S Johnson, Christos H Papadimitriou, Paul D Seymour, and Mihalis Yannakakis. The complexity of multiway cuts. In *Proceedings of the twenty-fourth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 241–251, 1992.
- 6 Michael R Fellows, Danny Hermelin, Frances Rosamond, and Stéphane Vialette. On the parameterized complexity of multiple-interval graph problems. *Theoretical computer science*, 410(1):53–61, 2009.
- 7 Till Fluschnik, Hendrik Molter, Rolf Niedermeier, Malte Renken, and Philipp Zschoche. Temporal graph classes: A view through temporal separators. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 806:197–218, 2020. doi:10.1016/J.TCS.2019.03.031.
- 8 Hovhannes A. Harutyunyan, Kamran Koupayi, and Denis Pankratov. Temporal separators with deadlines. In Satoru Iwata and Naonori Kakimura, editors, *34th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation, ISAAC 2023, December 3-6, 2023, Kyoto, Japan*, volume 283 of *LIPICs*, pages 38:1–38:19. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2023. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPICs.ISAAC.2023.38>, doi:10.4230/LIPICs.ISAAC.2023.38.
- 9 Petter Holme. Modern temporal network theory: a colloquium. *The European Physical Journal B*, 88(9):234, 2015.
- 10 Allen Ibiapina and Ana Silva. Mengerian graphs: Characterization and recognition. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 139:103467, 2024. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2023.103467>, doi:10.1016/J.JCSS.2023.103467.
- 11 David Kempe, Jon M. Kleinberg, and Amit Kumar. Connectivity and inference problems for temporal networks. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 64(4):820–842, 2002. doi:10.1006/jcss.2002.1829.
- 12 Subhash Khot and Oded Regev. Vertex cover might be hard to approximate to within $2 - \epsilon$. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 74(3):335–349, 2008.
- 13 Nina Klobas, George B. Mertzios, Hendrik Molter, Rolf Niedermeier, and Philipp Zschoche. Interference-free walks in time: temporally disjoint paths. *Auton. Agents Multi Agent Syst.*, 37(1):1, 2023. doi:10.1007/S10458-022-09583-5.
- 14 Nicolas Maack, Hendrik Molter, Rolf Niedermeier, and Malte Renken. On finding separators in temporal split and permutation graphs. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 135:1–14, 2023. doi:10.1016/J.JCSS.2023.01.004.
- 15 Othon Michail. An introduction to temporal graphs: An algorithmic perspective. *Internet Math.*, 12(4):239–280, 2016. doi:10.1080/15427951.2016.1177801.
- 16 Andrzej Proskurowski and Jan Arne Telle. Classes of graphs with restricted interval models. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, 3, 1999.
- 17 Philipp Zschoche, Till Fluschnik, Hendrik Molter, and Rolf Niedermeier. The complexity of finding small separators in temporal graphs. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 107:72–92, 2020. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2019.07.006>, doi:10.1016/J.JCSS.2019.07.006.

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 1

► **Lemma 1.** *The graph H constructed from G as described above has pathwidth at most 4.*

Proof. For the pathwidth, we describe an explicit sequence of bags that form the path decomposition. First, start with a bag containing $\{s, z, r\}$. These three vertices will be in every subsequent bag.

Then, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ in order, handle the vertices of the V_i gadget as follows. We assume inductively that before handling the i -th gadget, the last bag in the sequence is $\{s, z, r\}$ (which will also hold after handling the gadget). Let $V_i = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, ordered as in the construction. Add to the sequence the bags

$\{s, z, r, u_1^-\}$	(introduce u_1^-)
$\{s, z, r, u_1^-, p_i\}$	(introduce p_i)
$\{s, z, r, u_1^-\}$	(forget p_i)
$\{s, z, r, u_1^-, u_1^+\}$	(introduce u_1^+)
$\{s, z, r, u_1^-\}$	(forget u_1^+)
$\{s, z, r, u_1^-, u_2^-\}$	(introduce u_2^-)
$\{s, z, r, u_2^-\}$	(forget u_1^-)
$\{s, z, r, u_2^-, u_2^+\}$	(introduce u_2^+)
...	
$\{s, z, r, u_n^-, u_n^+\}$	(introduce u_n^+)
$\{s, z, r, u_n^-\}$	(forget u_n^+)
$\{s, z, r\}$	(forget u_n^-)

In other words for $h \in [n - 1]$, the pattern is to introduce u_h^- , then introduce u_h^+ , forget it, introduce u_{h+1}^- , forget u_h^- , and repeat the process (with a special case for p_i initially). It is clear that the bags containing a u_h^- or u_h^+ vertex form a connected subgraph, as they are introduced and forgotten once. To see that the edges of H incident to a gadget vertex all occur in some bag, note that the vertices adjacent to a u_h^+ vertex are u_h^-, s, z , and r . These are all part of a common bag with u_h^+ at some point, so these adjacencies are covered. The vertices adjacent to a u_h^- vertex are among u_h^+, s, z, u_{h-1}^- if $h > 1$, and u_{h+1}^- if $h < n$, which can all be seen to be covered by the sequence (also note that p_i is correctly handled as well).

Then, again assuming we have reached a $\{s, r, z\}$ bag, we handle each edge $e = \{u, v\}$ of G in an arbitrary order. This can simply be done by adding to the sequence of bags

$\{s, z, r, w_u^e, w_v^e\}$	(introduce w_u^e, w_v^e)
$\{s, z, r, w_v^e\}$	(forget w_u^e)
$\{s, z, r, w_u^e, q_e\}$	(introduce q_e)
$\{s, z, r\}$	(forget w_v^e, q_e)

One can easily check that the adjacencies of w_u^e, w_v^e , and q_e are covered by this sequence of bags.

The largest bag has 5 elements, and so the pathwidth of H is at most 4. ◀

5.3 Proof of Lemma 2

► **Lemma 2.** *The graph G has a multicolored independent set if and only if H has an $(s, z, 1)$ -temporal separator of size $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$.*

Proof. Suppose that G admits a multicolored independent set $I = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ where, for each $i \in [k]$, u_i is in V_i .

We first observe that in H , there are t_{green} temporal paths that traverse s, u^-, u^+ and z and s, w_u^e, w_v^e and z . All these temporal paths are vertex-disjoint and it suffices to remove one vertex from each to destroy all t_{green} paths. The same reasoning applies to t_{red} temporal paths: it suffices to remove one of p_i, u_1^-, \dots, u_n^- to destroy all such paths.

In H , for every $i \in [k]$, delete u_i^- (recall $u_i \in I$). Then for every $u \in V_i \setminus \{u_i\}$, delete u^+ instead. Therefore, for each $i \in [k]$, u_i^+ is the only “plus” vertex kept. At this point, for $u \in V(G)$, any t_{green} temporal path from s to z that contains a u^+ or u^- vertex is destroyed, since we deleted one of the two. Moreover, because we deleted u_i^- for each $i \in [k]$, any temporal t_{red} path is destroyed. As for the t_u temporal paths, we note for later use that there is a temporal path from s to r with time t_u that goes through at least one vertex u of a V_i gadget if and only if $u = u_i \in I$. This is because any such path needs to go through a “plus” vertex, and those are only kept for u_i^+ vertices with $i \in [k]$.

Next, consider an edge $e = uv$ of G , where $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$ with $i < j$. Suppose first that $v \notin I$. Then we delete w_u^e . This destroys the t_{green} temporal path that traverses s, w_u^e, w_v^e and z . Note that there is the temporal subpath that traverses r, w_v^e, q_e, z at time t_v . To see that no $s - z$ temporal path at time t_v involving w_v^e is possible, observe that such a temporal path would need to take the temporal edge (s, v^+, t_v) , which is the only edge at time t_v incident to s . However we have removed v^+ since $v \notin I$, and therefore there is no such temporal path. Next, if $v \in I$, then $v = u_i$ for some $i \in [k]$. Because I is an independent set, we have $u \notin I$. In this case, delete w_v^e . This also destroys the t_{green} temporal path going through w_u^e and w_v^e . By the same reasoning as in the previous case, $u \notin I$ implies that we deleted u^+ and that no temporal path with time t_u goes through w_u^e .

It follows that the set of deleted vertices remove all temporal paths of travel time $\ell = 1$. For each $u \in V(G)$, we have deleted exactly one of u^- or u^+ . Moreover, for each $e \in E(G)$, we have deleted exactly one of w_u^e or w_v^e . Thus the number of deletions is $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$, as desired.

Conversely, suppose that all temporal paths of travel time 1 can be destroyed with at most $|V(G)| + |V(E)|$ vertex deletions. Note that for each $u \in V(G)$, one of u^- or u^+ must be deleted to destroy the t_{green} temporal path. Likewise, for each edge $e = \{u, v\}$ of G , one of w_u^e or w_v^e must be deleted to destroy the t_{green} temporal path. These amount to at least $|V(G)| + |V(E)|$ vertex deletions, so it follows that we cannot delete both u^- and u^+ in the first case, or both w_u^e and w_v^e in the second case, so there is exactly one deletion per pair. Importantly, we also note that r cannot be deleted and that no p_i or q_e vertex can be deleted.

Next, for $i \in [k]$, notice that because of the t_{red} temporal path, there must be at least one $u \in V_i$ such that u^- is deleted, and therefore such that u^+ is not deleted. For $i \in [k]$, let u_i be the vertex of V_i such that u_i^+ is not deleted (if multiple choices arise, choose arbitrarily).

We claim that $I = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ is an independent set of G . Assume instead that $e = u_i u_j$ is an edge of G , for some $i < j$, so that u_i^+ and u_j^+ are in the remaining temporal graph. Consider the $w_{u_i}^e$ and $w_{u_j}^e$ vertices, one of which is deleted and the other is not. If $w_{u_i}^e$ is not deleted, then there is a t_{u_i} temporal path traversing $s, u_i^+, r, w_{u_i}^e$ and z . If $w_{u_j}^e$ is not deleted, then there is a t_{u_j} temporal path that traverses $s, u_j^+, r, w_{u_j}^e, q_e$ and z . Both cases lead to a contradiction, so e cannot exist, and therefore I is a multicolored independent set. ◀

Proof of Lemma 4

► **Lemma 4.** *Suppose that G is clean, has pathwidth 3, and has no (s, z) -separator of size 3 or less. Then $V(G) \setminus \{s, z\}$ can be partitioned into three non-empty sets A, B, C such that all of the following holds:*

- *either s has at most one neighbor in A (resp. C), or z has at most one neighbor in A (resp. C).*
- *the subgraph induced by B is a caterpillar with main path $w_1 - w_2 - \dots - w_k$. Moreover, w_1 is the only vertex of $B \cup C$ whose neighborhood can intersect with A , and w_k is the only vertex of $B \cup A$ whose neighborhood can intersect with C .*

Proof. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p be the sequence of bags of any nice path decomposition of G , where each bag has at most 4 vertices. We claim that some bag contains both s and z . Since vertices are introduced one at a time, one of s or z is introduced before the other. Assume that s is introduced in some bag X_p , before z is introduced, and let X_q be the bag in which s is forgotten, with $p < q$. If no bag contains both s and z , then z is introduced in X_{q+1} or later, meaning that X_q is an (s, z) -separator of size $|X_q| \leq 3$, a contradiction. So s and z are together at some point.

Assume that the sequence X_1, \dots, X_p is chosen so that, among the possibilities, the first bag X_c that contains both s and z has maximum size and, under this condition, the last bag X_d that contains both s and z has maximum size. Notice that $[c, d] = \{c, c+1, \dots, d\}$ is the interval of bags that contain both s and z . Also note that one of s or z is introduced in X_c (and was thus absent from X_{c-1}) and one is forgotten in X_{d+1} . In particular, X_{c-1} and X_{d+1} exist.

We show that $|X_c| = |X_d| = 4$. Suppose that $|X_c| \leq 3$, and note that X_{c-1} has one less vertex. Let X_e be the first introduce bag that occurs after X_c , i.e., $e > c$ and is the minimum value such that X_e introduces a vertex, say x . If no such X_e exists, then the vertex s or z introduced in X_c has only members of X_c in its neighborhood, because no further vertex is introduced, and so there would be an (s, z) -separator of size at most $|X_c \setminus \{s, z\}| \leq 1$. So X_e exists, and in that case we can simply introduce x earlier. That is, modify the sequence of bags by adding, after X_{c-1} and before X_c , a new bag $X_{c-1} \cup \{x\}$ that introduces x , and then adding x to each bag $X_c, X_{c+1}, \dots, X_{e-1}$. Then remove X_e since it has become equal to X_{e-1} . One can easily see that this results in another nice path decomposition, and the fact that $|X_c| \leq 3$ and that no vertex is introduced until X_e implies that the bag sizes remain bounded by 4. Moreover, this increases the size of the first bag that has both s and z , contradicting our choice of bag sequence. So we have $|X_c| = 4$.

Notice that in the above argument, modifying the sequence of bags does not decrease the size of the last bag that contains both s and z . We may thus assume that $|X_c| = 4$, reverse the sequence of bags, apply the argument to make the first bag with s and z in this reversed sequence also have size 4 (without affecting the last such bag), resulting in $|X_c| = |X_d| = 4$.

Next, we argue that $d > c$. Let us suppose that $c = d$. If X_c introduces s and X_{c+1} forgets s , then s has at most three neighbors and they are all in X_c , in which case an (s, z) -separator of size at most $|X_c \setminus \{s, z\}| = 2$ would exist. The same holds if X_c introduces z and X_{c+1} forgets it. So assume that X_c introduces z and X_{c+1} forgets s . In that case, the properties of path decompositions imply that $X_c \setminus \{s, z\}$ must be an (s, z) -separator, a contradiction (this is because a path from s to z must start with a vertex in X_1, \dots, X_c and end with a vertex in X_c, \dots, X_p , and must thus use a vertex of X_c). We deduce that $d > c$.

We can finally define A and C . Since we know that $|X_c| = 4$, denote $X_c = \{s, z, x, y\}$ for some vertices x, y . Because $d > c$, we have $s, z \in X_{c+1}$, and so the bag X_{c+1} cannot

introduce a new vertex. Thus it forgets x or y , let us say y . In that case, define $A = (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_c) \setminus \{s, z, x\}$ and put $w_1 = x$. Notice that $A \neq \emptyset$ and y is the only vertex of A that can be a neighbor of the vertex s or z that is introduced in X_c . This justifies the first part of our statement regarding A . Also note that no vertex introduced later than X_c can have a neighbor in A , and so $x = w_1$ is the only vertex outside of $A \cup \{s, z\}$ that can have neighbors in A (in particular, y is not problematic since it is forgotten in X_{c+1}).

To define C , let $X_d = \{s, z, x', y'\}$. Then X_d cannot be a forget bag, and must thus introduce one of x' or y' , say y' . Define $C = (X_d \cup X_{d+1} \cup \dots \cup X_p) \setminus \{s, z, x'\}$ and $w_k = x'$. Notice that y' is the only vertex of C that can be a neighbor of the vertex forgotten in X_{d+1} , which is either s or z . This justifies the first part of the statement regarding C . Also note that $x' = w_k$ is the only vertex outside of $C \cup \{s, z\}$ that can have neighbors in C .

Finally, let $B = V(G) \setminus (A \cup C \cup \{s, z\})$. The previous arguments imply that our statement on the neighborhood of w_1 and w_k holds, and it remains to argue that B induces a caterpillar whose main path starts at w_1 and ends at w_k . To see this, we note that a path decomposition of $G[B]$ can be obtained by the sequence of bags $X_c \setminus \{s, z\}, \dots, X_d \setminus \{s, z\}$. Each bag has at most two elements, and thus $G[B]$ has pathwidth 1. It is well-known [16] that such graphs contain only connected components that are caterpillars. We note that $G[B]$ must be connected, as otherwise $G - \{s, z\}$ cannot be connected, whereas we assume that G is clean. Thus $G[B]$ is a caterpillar, and it can be seen that the ends of the main path can be defined as w_1 and w_k since the first and last bag of the sequence only contain w_1 and w_k , respectively (in the caterpillar, w_1 could be either the end of the main path, or a leaf adjacent to an end of the main path — in the latter case we can simply extend the main path by adding w_1 to it; the same applies to w_k). ◀

Proof of Lemma 5

► **Lemma 5.** *Suppose that G is clean and that $V(G) \setminus \{s, z\}$ can be partitioned into the sets A, B, C as in Lemma 4. Then there exists a minimum (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator in which at most one vertex of A is deleted, at most one vertex of C is deleted, and all other deleted vertices are on the main path $w_1 - \dots - w_k$ of the B caterpillar.*

Proof. Let $D \subseteq V(G)$ be a minimum (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator. Suppose D contains at least two vertices $u, u' \in A$. Let v be a vertex of A such that $N(s) \cap A \subseteq \{v\}$ or $N(z) \cap A \subseteq \{v\}$, which is guaranteed to exist by Lemma 4. Aside from s, z , recall that w_1 is the only vertex outside of A to have neighbors in A . Therefore, all $s - z$ paths of G that contain a vertex of A must go through v or w_1 , whether these paths are temporal or not. In particular, any path that goes through u or u' also goes through v or w_1 . Thus $(D \setminus \{u, u'\}) \cup \{v, w_1\}$ also cuts such a path. We may thus assume that the two deleted vertices are v and w_1 instead of u and u' , and thus there is a single deletion in A . Under that assumption, notice that we may apply the same argument if two vertices of C are deleted, and so we may assume a single deletion or less in each of A and C .

Next, suppose that some element y of B is in D , but y is not on the main path of the caterpillar. Then $N(y) \subseteq \{s, z, w_i\}$ for some w_i of the main path. Because G is clean, $[(s, y, t), (y, z, t')]$ is not an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path, and thus any $s - z$ path that goes through y must also go through w_i . If $w_i \in D$, then we can just remove y from D . If instead $w_i \notin D$, then $(D \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{w_i\}$ cuts all the $s - z$ paths that contain y . Since this does not alter the intersection of D with A , with C , or with other vertices of the main path, we can apply this reasoning to each y of $D \cap B$ not on the main path, and satisfy at once all the requirements of the lemma on the intersection of D with A, B , and C . ◀

Proof of Theorem 6

► **Theorem 6.** *The (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem can be solved in time $O(n^4 m)$ on graphs on pathwidth at most 3, where $n = |V(G)|$ and $m = |E(G)|$.*

Proof. Let us argue the correctness of the algorithm. We assume a pre-processing step that ensures that we only run the algorithm on a clean graph G .

Let us first argue that when the routine *extendSeparator* does not return “impossible”, then the returned set D is an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator. For clarity, denote by D_0 the set D initially received as input, then by D_i the contents of the set D after the i -th iteration of the main loop of the routine, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and finally denote by D_k the set returned by the algorithm.

By the initial check made by *extendSeparator*, we know that $G - (D_0 \cup B)$ has no (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path. Thus any (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path must use vertices of $D_0 \cup B$. One can then see inductively that there is no (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path in $G[W_i] - D_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Indeed, for $i = 1$, if we don't add w_1 to D_1 , this is because $G[W_1] - D_0$ already had no temporal path, and if we add w_1 to D_1 , a remaining temporal path of $G[W_1] - D_1$ would only involve $A \setminus D_0$, which we excluded at the start of the routine (that temporal path cannot go through leaf neighbors of w_1 in the caterpillar, as we cleaned temporal paths of length 2 going through them, and they are not connected to A). For larger i , assuming that $G[W_{i-1}] - D_{i-1}$ has no temporal path, we get that any temporal path of $G[W_i] - D_{i-1}$ must include w_i ; but if this happens we include w_i in D_i . Therefore, our assumption holds after every iteration of the main loop. After the main loop, if any (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path remains in $G - D_{k-1}$, it must include w_k (if the temporal path uses vertices of C , that temporal path must use B and thus go through w_k in that temporal path, and if the temporal path does not use C , we know that $G[W_{k-1}] - D_{k-1}$ has no temporal path, so it must use w_k). If that happens, we put w_k in D_k , cutting all possible temporal paths. Thus when D_k is returned it must be an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator. It follows that the set returned by *getTemporalSeparator* is indeed an (s, z, ℓ) -separator and its size is at most the size of a minimum (s, z, ℓ) -separator (unless every call to *extendSeparator* returns “impossible”, which we next exclude).

We next show that *getTemporalSeparator* returns a solution of minimum size. Let D^* be a minimum (s, z, ℓ) -separator of G , chosen so that there is at most one deletion in each of A and C , and such that $D^* \cap B \subseteq \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ (such a D^* exists by Lemma 5). Moreover, refine the choice of D^* among the possibilities as follows: let $\{w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, \dots, w_{a_p}\} = D^* \cap B$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$, and choose D^* such that the vector (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) is lexicographically maximum (that is, the first vertex w_{a_1} to delete is as late as possible, then among the choices, w_{a_2} is as late as possible, and so on).

Consider the iteration of *getTemporalSeparator* that guesses the same deletions $D \in \mathcal{D}$ in A and C as D^* , so that $D \cap A = D^* \cap A$ and $D \cap C = D^* \cap C$. This will happen since we try every possibility. When D is passed as input to *extendSeparator*, because D^* contains only elements of D and B , we have that $G - (D \cup B)$ has no (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path, so when we call *extendSeparator* with D as input, it will not return “impossible”. Again denote $D_0 = D$ as the input to *extendSeparator*, and D_i as the D set after the i -th iteration of the main loop, and D_k the returned set.

Note that D_k is obtained by only adding the w_i vertices to D , so we have $D_k \cap A = D^* \cap A$ and $D_k \cap C = D^* \cap C$. We just need to argue that $D_k \cap B = D^* \cap B$. If $D^* \cap B = \emptyset$, then there is no (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path in $G - D$, and thus no such path in any $G[W_i] - D_0$. Hence our algorithm will never delete any element of B and returns the correct deletion set. So assume that $D^* \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Let $w_{b_1}, w_{b_2}, \dots, w_{b_q}$ be the vertices in $D_k \cap B$, with

$b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_q$. Note that $q \geq p$, by the optimality of D^* and our previous argument that D_k is an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator. We claim that the w_{a_i} 's and the w_{b_i} 's form the same set of vertices. Assume otherwise, and look at the first difference, that is, assume that there is some $i \in [q]$ such that $i > p$, or such that $w_{b_i} \neq w_{a_i}$, and let i be the smallest such index.

Suppose first that $i > p$, so that $i = p + 1$. Then $w_{a_1} = w_{b_1}, \dots, w_{a_p} = w_{b_p}$, meaning that our algorithm first deletes the same set of vertices as D^* , then adds an additional vertex $w_{b_{p+1}}$. This can only happen if, after the first p deletions, the algorithm still finds an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path in $G[W_{b_{p+1}}] - D_{b_p}$ (or $G - D_{b_{p+1}}$ if this happens after the *for* loop). This would imply that D^* does not destroy every (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path, a contradiction.

So $i \leq p$ must occur and $a_i \neq b_i$. Suppose that $a_i > b_i$. This means that the algorithm and D^* make the same first $i - 1$ deletions on the main path, and that there is an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path in $G[W_{b_i}] - D_{b_{i-1}}$. But $a_i > b_i$ implies that D^* does not remove any vertex of W_{b_i} other than $D_{b_{i-1}}$, and so it contains that (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path, a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that $a_i < b_i$. In D^* , we can replace w_{a_i} with w_{a_i+1} and get another solution: the algorithm did not add w_{a_i} , so there is no (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path in $G[W_{a_i}] - D_{a_{i-1}}$. Thus, all (s, z, ℓ) -temporal paths of $G[W_{a_i}] - D_{a_{i-1}}$ that use w_{a_i} also use w_{a_i+1} , so the latter can be used to cut all paths that w_{a_i} cuts. After doing this replacement, we obtain an alternate solution with higher lexicographical order, contradicting the choice of D^* .

It follows that we may assume $D_k = D^*$. Since the main algorithm *getTemporalSeparator* returns that D_k or better, combined with the argued fact that it always returns some (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator, we deduce that the algorithm is correct.

Complexity. Recall that there is an implicit cleaning step, which requires checking for an (s, z) -separator of size 3 or less. For each of the $O(n^3)$ subsets of three vertices or less, we spend time $O(n + m)$ to check for connectedness, so $O(n^4 + n^3m)$. We can also cut all (s, z, ℓ) -temporal paths of length 2 without increasing this complexity, as well as computing the connected components of $G - \{s, z\}$. We may assume that $G - \{s, z\}$ is connected, as the sum of times for handling the components separately is not greater than if $G - \{s, z\}$ is connected. Also note that a nice path decomposition of width 3, if it exists, can be built in linear time [3].

Then, *getTemporalSeparator* makes $O(n^2)$ calls to *extendSeparator*. The complexity of the latter is dominated by the time needed to check for the existence of an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal path, up to $|B| \in O(n)$ times. Each check can be done in time $O(nm)$, see [8, Lemma 2.1], and so the routine takes time $O(n^2m)$. Multiplying by the number of calls, we get a total time of (n^4m) . ◀

Proof of Lemma 7

► **Lemma 7.** *Consider an instance (D, R) of DIRECTED MULTICUT and a corresponding instance (G, s, t, ℓ) of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. Then (1) given a solution A' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) consisting of k arcs we can compute in polynomial time a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, t, ℓ) consisting of k vertices; (2) given a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, z, ℓ) consisting of k vertices we can compute in polynomial time a solution A' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) consisting of k arcs.*

Proof. (1) Consider a solution A' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) consisting of k arcs. Then we define in polynomial time a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on the corresponding instance (G, s, t, ℓ) as follows: $V' = \{y_{i,j} : (v_i, v_j) \in A'\}$. Clearly $|V'| = |A'| = k$. Next, we show that V' is a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL

SEPARATOR, that is there is no strict temporal path from s to z of travelling time at most ℓ in G . Aiming at a contradiction, assume that in $G[V \setminus V']$ there exists a strict temporal path $P = [(s, w_1, t_1), (w_1, w_2, t_2), \dots, (w_q, z, t_q)]$, with $t_q - t_1 + 1 \leq \ell$, from s to z , and note that $q \leq \ell$, since P is strict.

First, we prove some properties of P :

1. By construction w_1 must be a vertex $x_{i,q}$, for some $i \in [|N|]$ and $q \in [|A| + 1]$, with $v_i \in R_S$.
2. The temporal edge from s to $x_{i,q}$ is the following: $(s, x_{i,q}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2))$; hence $t_1 = \ell \cdot (2i - 2)$.
3. By construction w_q must be a vertex $x_{j,r}$, for some $j \in [|N|]$ and $r \in [|A| + 1]$, with $v_j \in R_Z$ and $v_j \in \text{Reach}(D, v_i)$.
4. Each temporal edge of P , different from the first one and the last one, is of the form $(x_{a,q}, y_{a,b}, t)$ or $(y_{a,b}, x_{b,r}, t)$, with $a, b \in [|N|]$, $q, r \in [|A| + 1]$, $t \in [\ell \cdot (2i - 2), \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1]$.
5. By construction $y_{a,b}$ is adjacent only to the set of vertices $\{x_{a,q} : q \in [|A| + 1]\}$ and $\{x_{b,r} : r \in [|A| + 1]\}$; thus if P contains a temporal edge $(x_{a,q}, y_{a,b}, t)$, then it must contain two consecutive temporal edges $(x_{a,q}, y_{a,b}, t)$, $(y_{a,b}, x_{j,r}, t')$, with $t < t'$ and $t, t' \in [\ell \cdot (2i - 2), \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1]$. Note that $a < b$ and that in particular $a \neq b$, since $t < t'$. In particular if $a = b$, then $t \in [\ell \cdot (2i - 2), \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1]$ and $t' \in [\ell \cdot (2j - 2), \ell \cdot (2j - 1) - 1]$, with $i < j$. But then $t' \geq \ell \cdot (2(i + 1) - 2)$ and $t \leq \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1$, thus $t' - t > \ell$.
6. If P contains two consecutive temporal edges $(x_{a,q}, y_{a,b}, t)$, $(y_{a,b}, x_{b,r}, t')$, with $t < t'$ and $t, t' \in [\ell \cdot (2i - 2), \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1]$, it follows that there exists an arc $(v_a, v_b) \in A$.

Consider the vertices of D , such that $x_{a,q}$ is in P . We assume w.l.o.g. that these vertices are $v_i, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_j$. By the above properties, in particular Points 4, 5, 6, it follows that the vertices $v_i, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_j$ induce a directed path in $D - A'$. Moreover, by Points 1, 2 it follows that $v_i \in R_S$ and by Point 3 $v_j \in R_Z$. Now, P is a path of travelling time at most ℓ , since $t_q - t_1 + 1 \leq \ell$. By definition of temporal edge $(x_{j,r}, z, t)$, it follows that $t = \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1$ and thus that $(v_i, v_j) \in R$. We conclude that $D - A'$ contains a pair of terminals that are not disconnected, contradicting the assumption that A' is a solution of DIRECTED MULTICUT. Hence after the removal of the set V' , G does not contain any strict temporal path of traveling time at most ℓ from s to t , thus it is a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, z, ℓ) .

(2) Consider a solution V' of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, ℓ) , where $|V'| = k$. First, we show some properties of V' . Note that, for each $i \in [|N|]$, there exist $|A| + 1$ vertices $x_{i,q}$, $q \in [|A| + 1]$. Each two vertices $x_{i,q}, x_{i,r}$ are adjacent to the same set of vertices of G , with temporal edges having identical timestamps. If some vertex $x_{i,q}$ is in $V \setminus V'$, it follows that by construction there is no strict temporal path of arrival time at most ℓ from s to z that traverses $x_{i,q}$. Then if some vertex $x_{i,r} \in V'$, we can remove it from V' , and $G[(V \setminus V') \cup \{x_{i,q} : q \in [|A| + 1]\}]$ is a temporal graph that does not contain strict ℓ -temporal paths from s to z , thus $V' \setminus \{x_{i,q} : q \in [|A| + 1]\}$ is a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, z, ℓ) , and clearly $|V' \setminus \{x_{i,q} : q \in [|A| + 1]\}| \leq |V'|$. Hence it holds that for each $i \in [|N|]$, either all the vertices $x_{i,q}$, $q \in [|A| + 1]$, belong to V' or no vertex $x_{i,q}$, $q \in [|A| + 1]$, belongs to V' .

Now, assume that $\{x_{i,q} : q \in [|A| + 1]\} \subseteq V'$. It follows that $|V'| \geq |A| + 1$. By construction the set of vertices $\{y_{i,j} : (v_i, v_j) \in A\}$ are $|A|$, since there exists a vertex $y_{i,j}$ for each arc $(v_i, v_j) \in A$. Thus we can compute an (s, z, ℓ) -separator V^* of G , such that $|V^*| < |V'|$, as follows:

$$V^* = \{y_{i,j} : (v_i, v_j) \in A\}.$$

Clearly $|V^*| < |V'|$, as it contains $|A|$ vertices, while V' contains at least $|A| + 1$ vertices. Furthermore, the only temporal paths from s to z in $G[V \setminus V^*]$ must have length two and pass through a vertex $x_{i,q}$, for some $i \in [|N|]$, $q \in [|A| + 1]$. This temporal path consists of a temporal edge $(s, x_{i,q}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2))$ and a temporal edge $(x_{i,q}, z, \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1)$, which implies that $(v_i, v_i) \in R$ and this cannot be the case, since we assume that v_i has been removed.

It follows that V' is a solution of (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR on instance (G, s, z, ℓ) that contains only vertices $y_{i,j}$, for some $(v_i, v_j) \in A$. We define a solution of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) consisting of k arcs as follows:

$$A' = \{(v_i, v_j) : y_{i,j} \in V'\}.$$

Clearly $|V'| = |A'| = k$.

Aiming at a contradiction, assume that $D - A'$ contains a path P from some v_i to some v_j , with $(v_i, v_j) \in R$. Define the following temporal path P' , corresponding to P , in $G[V \setminus V']$:

1. P' starts with temporal edge $(s, x_{i,q}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2))$, for some $q \in [|A| + 1]$; this temporal edge exists since $v_i \in R_S$.
2. P' ends with temporal edge $(x_{j,r}, z, \ell \cdot (2i - 1) - 1)$, for some $r \in [|A| + 1]$; this temporal edge exists since $v_j \in R_Z$, $v_j \in \text{Reach}(D, v_i)$, indeed P is path from v_i to v_j in D , and $(v_i, v_j) \in R$. Furthermore, note that $\ell(2i - 1) - 1 - \ell(2i - 2) + 1 = \ell$, hence $tt(P') = \ell$.
3. For each arc (v_a, v_b) of P , P' contains temporal edge $(x_{a,q}, y_{a,b}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2) + 2a - 1)$ and $(y_{a,b}, x_{b,r}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2) + 2(b - 1))$; this temporal edge exists since $(v_a, v_b) \in A$. Recall that, since D is a directed acyclic graph, we assume that for each arc $(v_a, v_b) \in A$, we have $a < b$. This ensures that the two temporal edges $(x_{a,q}, y_{a,b}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2) + 2a - 1)$ and $(y_{a,b}, x_{b,r}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2) + 2(b - 1))$ induce a strict temporal path from $x_{a,q}$ to $x_{b,r}$ (hence they do not violate the strict time constraint), since $2(b - 1) \geq 2(a + 1 - 1) = 2a > 2a - 1$. Moreover, note that if (v_b, v_c) is an arc of P , then the corresponding temporal edges of P' have timestamps greater than $\ell \cdot (2i - 2) + 2(b - 1)$ (the first of these temporal edges in P' is $(x_{b,r}, y_{b,c}, \ell \cdot (2i - 2) + 2b)$). This ensure that P' is an ℓ -temporal path in G .

Starting from P , we have defined an ℓ -temporal path in $G[V \setminus V']$, leading to a contradiction.

We conclude that A' is a solution of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) that contains at most k arcs, thus concluding the proof. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Theorem 8

► Theorem 8. *The (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem is $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |V|)}$ -hard to approximate for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 7, which implies we have designed an approximation preserving reduction from DIRECTED MULTICUT to (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR. DIRECTED MULTICUT is not approximable within factor $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |N|)}$, for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$ [4]. Since G contains $|V|$ vertices, with $|V| = O(|N||A|)$ and $|A| = O(|N^2|)$, it follows that $\log^{1-\varepsilon} |V| = \Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |N|)$, thus (s, z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR is hard to approximate within factor $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |V|)}$, for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Corollary 9

► Corollary 9. *The (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR problem is $2^{\Omega(\log^{1-\varepsilon} |V|)}$ -hard to approximate for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq ZPP$.*

Proof. We can modify the construction of instance (G, s, z, ℓ) so that each temporal path from s to z in G is strict. In this way, the result can be extended to (S, Z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL SEPARATOR.

Consider a temporal edge $(x_{i,q}, y_{i,j}, \ell \cdot (2h - 2) + 2i - 1)$ ($(y_{i,j}, x_{j,r}, \ell \cdot (2h - 2) + 2(j - 1))$, respectively), it is subdivided in two temporal edges $(x_{i,q}, w_{i,j,q}, t_{q,1})$, $(w_{i,j,q}, y_{i,j}, t_{q,2})$ ($(y_{i,j}, w'_{i,j,r}, t_{r,1})$, $(w'_{i,j,r}, x_{j,r}, t_{r,2})$, respectively) such that $t_{q,1} < t_{q,2} < t_{r,1} < t_{r,2}$. Timestamps $t_{q,1}$, $t_{q,2}$, $t_{r,1}$, $t_{r,2}$ are defined so that there is no temporal path consisting of a temporal edge (1) from $x_{i,q}$ to $y_{i,j}$ and (2) from $y_{i,j}$ to $x_{j,r}$, with $q, r \in [|A| + 1]$ and $q \neq r$. This ensures that any ℓ -temporal path in G traverses first vertices $x_{i,q}$ and then $x_{j,r}$ with $i < j$.

As in the previous construction, given a solution N' of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) , we can compute in polynomial time an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator of G consisting of $|N'|$ vertices $y_{i,j}$, $i, j \in [|N|]$. Similarly, we can prove that, given an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal separator of G , we can assume that V' contains only vertices $y_{i,j}$, $i, j \in [|N|]$. A solution of DIRECTED MULTICUT on instance (D, R) , consists of the $|V'|$ arcs corresponding to the vertices $y_{i,j} \in V'$. This is motivated by the property that there exists a path in D connecting two terminals if and only if there exists an ℓ -temporal path in G .

In this way we design an approximation preserving reduction from DIRECTED MULTICUT to (S, Z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR, thus concluding the proof. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Claim 10

\triangleright **Claim 10.** A strict ℓ -temporal path between s and z contains at most $\ell - 1$ vertices different from s and z .

Proof. Consider the strict temporal path $P = [sv_1t_1, u_2v_2t_2, \dots, u_hzt_k]$, with $tt(P) = t_k - t_1 + 1$ and $t_k - t_1 + 1 \leq \ell$, hence $t_k - t_1 < \ell$. Since P is strict, it holds that $t_i < t_{i+1}$, with $i \in [h]$, and P consists of $k \leq \ell$ temporal edges and traverses $k - 1 \leq \ell - 1$ vertices different from s and z . \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Theorem 11

\blacktriangleright **Theorem 11.** Algorithm 2 is an $(\ell - 1)$ -approximation algorithm for (S, Z, ℓ) -STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR.

Proof. First note that the solution V' returned by Algorithm 2 is an (s, z, ℓ) -strict temporal separator, since if there exists a strict ℓ -temporal path P between s and z in $G[V \setminus V']$, it must contain a vertex not in $\{s, z\}$; in this case Algorithm 2 finds such a path P and removes all the vertices in $V(P) \setminus \{s, z\}$.

Now, consider the vertices of V' . By construction, they belong to a set $\mathcal{P}' = \{P_1, \dots, P_h\}$ of strict temporal paths between s and z that have travel time at most ℓ , where P_j have been considered in the j -th iteration of Algorithm 2, $j \in [h]$. Furthermore, any two $P_i, P_j \in \mathcal{P}'$, with $1 \leq i < j \leq h$, are vertex disjoint (except for s and z), since all the vertices in $V(P_i)$ had been removed when V_j is considered by Algorithm 2. Any (s, z, ℓ) -strict temporal separator of G must then remove at least h vertices, one for each strict temporal path in \mathcal{P}' .

By Claim 10 each of the strict temporal path in \mathcal{P}' contains at most $\ell - 1$ vertices different from s and z , thus $|V'| \leq h(\ell - 1)$. Since the strict temporal paths in \mathcal{P}' are vertex disjoint and an optimal solution V^* must contain at h vertices, it follows that

$$|V'| \leq (\ell - 1)|V^*|,$$

thus concluding the proof. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Corollary 12

► **Corollary 12.** *(s, z, ℓ)-STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR is hard to approximate within factor $\ell - 1$ assuming the Unique Game Conjecture.*

Proof. We give an approximation preserving reduction from VERTEX COVER k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH to (s, z, ℓ)-STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR similar to the one given in [8] from SET COVER. We recall that VERTEX COVER k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH, given a k uniform hypergraph $H = (U, S)$, where U is a set of vertices and S is a collection of hyperedges (subset of k vertices), the goal is to find a subset $U' \subseteq U$ of minimum cardinality that intersects every hyperedge in S .

Assume S contains hyperedges e_1, \dots, e_q . Moreover, we assume that the vertices in U are ordered (the specific order is not relevant). First, we define $\ell = k + 1$ and $\tau = k \cdot q$. Now, we define $G = (V, E, \tau)$. V contains s, z and, for each $u_i \in U$, $i \in [U]$, a corresponding vertex $v_i \in V$. For each $e_i \in S$, $i \in [q]$, we define a strict ℓ -temporal path between s and z that traverses the vertices corresponding to elements in e_i according to their ordering (that is if $u_i < u_j$ then v_i is traversed before v_j), where the temporal edges of this strict ℓ -temporal path are defined in time interval $I_i = [2i\ell + 1, (2i + 1)\ell]$. Note that, since each $|e_i| = k$, $i \in [q]$, the corresponding strict ℓ -temporal path in G contains at most $k + 1 = \ell$ temporal edges, each assigned a distinct timestamp and that two intervals I_i, I_j , with $1 \leq i < j \leq [U]$, are separated by at least ℓ timestamps.

Now, each strict ℓ -temporal path defined in time interval I_i , $i \in [q]$, corresponds to a hyperedge e_i . Hence, a vertex v_j , $j \in [U]$, in an (s, z, ℓ) -strict temporal separator of G corresponds to a vertex $u_i \in U$. We have thus designed an approximation reduction from VERTEX COVER k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH to (s, z, ℓ)-STRICT TEMPORAL SEPARATOR with $k = \ell - 1$. The result follows from the fact that VERTEX COVER k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH is not approximable within factor k assuming the Unique Game Conjecture [12]. ◀

Proof of Theorem 13

► **Theorem 13.** *The (s, z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL CUT problem is APX-hard, even for $\ell = 2$.*

Proof. Given an instance of MULTIWAY CUT with graph G , terminals v_1, \dots, v_k , we construct the temporal graph H as described above. We claim that we can remove at most w edges from G to separate v_1, \dots, v_k if and only if H admits an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal cut with at most w temporal edges, where again $\ell = k - 1$. We comment on the case $\ell = 2$ at the end of the proof.

Suppose that $F \subseteq E(G)$ is a solution for G , so that $|F| \leq w$ and there is no path between any two distinct v_i, v_j , $i, j \in [k]$ and $i \neq j$, in $G - F$. Consider F' consisting of the corresponding edges in H , i.e., $F' = \{(u, v, \ell) : uv \in F\}$. We claim that F' is an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal cut. Suppose for contradiction that $H - F'$ contains an ℓ -temporal path P' from s to z . By construction, the first temporal edge of P' must be (s, v_i, i) for some $i \in [k - 1]$. By the time constraints, the temporal edge $(v_i, z, \ell + i)$ cannot be used in P' , and the same holds for temporal edges $(v_{i'}, z, \ell + i')$ with i' between i and $k - 1$. Therefore, the last temporal edge of P' is either $(v_j, z, \ell + j)$ for some $j < i$, or it is (v_k, z, ℓ) . Either way, this means that P' starts with s and v_i , then uses only temporal edges copied from $G - F$ to reach v_j or v_k , then goes to z . This is a contradiction, since F cuts all paths between v_i and v_j, v_k and the edges of H corresponding to the temporal edges of P' induce a path between two terminal in G . Thus F' has at most w edges and is a solution of (s, z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL CUT on instance H .

Conversely, suppose that there is $F' \subseteq E(H)$ such that $|F'| \leq w$ and such that $H - F'$ contains no ℓ -temporal path between s and z . In G , remove the set of edges $F = \{uv : (u, v, \ell) \in F'\}$, which is well-defined since the only deletable edges of H are those copied from G . Note that if there is a path between v_i and v_j in $G - F$, with distinct $i, j \in [k]$, then in $H - F'$ there is also a temporal path between v_i and v_j using only temporal edges copied from G . We argue that this is not possible. Indeed:

- if there is a path between v_i and v_j in $H - F'$, where $i < j \leq k - 1$, then in $H - F'$ there is the temporal path that starts from s , then goes to v_j using the edge (s, v_j, j) , then goes to v_i , then z using $(v_i, z, \ell + i)$. In this temporal path, the first temporal edge has time j , the temporal path from v_j to v_i has temporal edges at time $\ell > j$, and the last temporal edge has time $\ell + i > \ell$. Hence this is a temporal path. Since $j \geq i + 1$, the time spanned by the path is $i + \ell - j \leq i + \ell - (i + 1) = \ell - 1$. We have thus formed an ℓ -temporal path in $H - F'$, a contradiction.
- if there is a temporal path between v_i and v_k in $H - F'$, where $i < k$, then there is the temporal path that starts in s , goes to v_i and then to v_k , then z in $H - F'$. This is a temporal path because it starts with an edge at time $i \leq \ell$ and all other edges have time ℓ , and since $\ell - i \leq \ell - 1$, we have again formed an ℓ -temporal path in $H - F'$.

Since no ℓ -temporal path between v_i and v_j exists in $H - F'$ for any distinct $i, j \in [k]$, no path between v_i and v_j exists in $G - F$ either, and thus F is a solution for G with $|F| \leq w$. This completes the proof, since we have described an approximation preserving reduction and MULTIWAY CUT is APX-hard [5]. Note that when $k = 3$ terminals are given the MULTIWAY CUT problem is still APX-hard [5]. In this case the reduction puts $\ell = 2$, which means that (S,Z, ℓ)-TEMPORAL CUT is APX-hard even when $\ell = 2$. ◀

Proof of Lemma 15

► **Lemma 15.** *Given $t \in [2, \tau - 1]$, consider temporal graph $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$ and let E'_t be an s, z -cut of $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$. Consider a temporal path p of $G([t + i, t + \ell + i - 1]) - E'_t$, for some $i > 0$, and a temporal path p' of $G([t - j, t' - j + \ell - 1]) - E'_t$, for some $j > 0$. Then p and p' are temporal edge disjoint.*

Proof. Aiming at a contradiction, assume that a temporal path p of $G([t + i, t + i + \ell - 1]) - E'_t$ and a temporal path p' of $G([t - j, t - j + \ell - 1]) - E'_t$ are not temporal edge disjoint. Thus there exists a temporal edge $e = (u, v, t_e)$ that belongs to both p and p' . Let p_1 (p'_1 , respectively) be the subpath of p (of p' , respectively) between s and u ; let p_2 (p'_2 , respectively) be the subpath of p (of p' , respectively) between v and z . Then define $p^* = p_1 + e + p'_2$ (the concatenation of p_1 , e and p'_2); p^* is a temporal walk between s and z , since the following properties hold:

- All the temporal edges of p_1 must have associated timestamps not larger than t_e and at least $t + i$.
- The temporal edges of p'_2 must have associated timestamps not smaller than t_e and at most $t - j + \ell - 1$.

It must hold that $t - j + \ell - 1 \geq t + i$, since $t - j + \ell - 1 \geq t_e$ and $t + i \leq t_e$. Moreover, it holds that

$$(t - j + \ell - 1) - (t + i) \leq \ell - 1$$

since $(t + i) \leq (t - j + \ell - 1) \leq (t + i + \ell - 1)$ and $(t + i + \ell - 1) - (t + i) = \ell - 1$.

Thus $p^* = p_1 + e + p'_2$ is a temporal walk between s and z in $G([t + i, t + \ell + i - 1]) - E'_t$, $G([t - j, t' - j + \ell - 1]) - E'_t$, thus also in $(G[t, t + \ell - 1])$. Each vertex of p^* has at most two

occurrences in p^* (at most one in $p'_1 + e$, at most one in $e + p_2$, since $p'_1 + e$ and $e + p_2$ are temporal paths).

Now, given the temporal walk p^* , we can compute a temporal path between s and z in $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$. Assume that x_1, \dots, x_n are the repeated vertices of p^* and let x_1 be the first repeated vertex of p^* . Let (x_1, y_1, t_1) and (x_1, y_2, t_2) be the temporal edges outgoing from x_1 in p^* . Then we can compute a temporal walk in $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$ by removing the subwalk from x_1 to x_1 and replacing it with temporal edge (x_1, y_2, t_2) . Since $t_1 \leq t_2$, we have obtained a temporal walk that contains a single occurrence of x_1 . By iterating this procedure, we obtain an ℓ -temporal path between s and z in $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$ that is not cut by E'_t , thus E'_t is not a temporal cut of $G([t, t + \ell - 1])$. This leads to a contradiction, thus proving the lemma. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Lemma 16

► **Lemma 16.** Consider $E'(t_{i,j})$, $i \in [h]$, $j \in [w_i]$, the set of temporal edges cut by Algorithm 3 when it defines $t = t_{i,j}$. For each level $i \in [h]$ of the recursion, it holds that

$$\sum_{j \in [w_i]} |E'(t_{i,j})| \leq \sum_{j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})| + \sum_{b \in [h]: b < i, q \in [w_b]} |Opt(t_{b,q})|.$$

Proof. Consider the graph $G([t_{i,j} + \ell/2, t_{i,j} + \ell/2 - 1]) - \bigcup_{p=1, q \in [w_p]}^{i-1} E'_{p,q}$ and let $E'(t_{i,j})$ be the minimum cut of $G([t_{i,j} + \ell/2, t_{i,j} + \ell/2 - 1]) - \bigcup_{p=1, q \in [w_p]}^{i-1} E'_{p,q}$ computed by Algorithm 3.

For each $j \in w_i$, since $E'(t_{i,j})$ is a minimum cut of $G([t_{i,j} + \ell/2, t_{i,j} + \ell/2 - 1]) - \bigcup_{p=1, q \in [w_p]}^{i-1} E'_{p,q}$, it follows by Theorem 14 that there exists a set $P(t_{i,j})$ of temporal edge disjoint temporal paths in

$$G([t_{i,j} + \ell/2, t_{i,j} + \ell/2 - 1]) - \bigcup_{p=1, q \in [w_p]}^{i-1} E'_{p,q}.$$

Each temporal path in $P(t_{i,j})$ must be cut by Opt , either by some temporal edge in $Opt(t_{p,q})$, with $p \in [i - 1]$ or by $Opt(t_{i,j})$. Thus

$$|E'(t_{i,j})| \leq |Opt(t_{i,j})| + \sum_{p=i, q \in [w_i]}^{i-1} |Opt(t_{p,q})|.$$

By Lemma 15, it holds that each temporal path $p \in P(t_{i,j})$ and each temporal path $p' \in P(t_{i,q})$, with $j, q \in [w_i]$ and $j \neq q$, are temporal edge disjoint. Recall that sets $E'(t_{i,j})$ and $E'(t_{i,q})$ are disjoint, and sets $Opt(t_{i,j})$ and $Opt(t_{i,q})$ are disjoint. Moreover, if a temporal edge of a set $Opt(t_{b,a})$, with $b < i$ and $a \in [w_b]$, cuts a temporal path in $P(t_{i,j})$, it does not cut a path $P(t_{i,q})$, as these two sets of temporal paths are temporal edge disjoint. It follows that

$$\sum_{j \in [w_i]} |E'(t_{i,j})| \leq \sum_{j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})| + \sum_{b \in [h], b < i, q \in [w_b]} |Opt(t_{b,q})|$$

thus concluding the proof. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Theorem 17

► **Theorem 17.** Algorithm 3 returns an (s, z, ℓ) -temporal cut of size at most $\log_2 \tau \cdot Opt$.

23:26 Novel Complexity Results for Temporal Separators with Deadlines

Proof. By Lemma 16 we have that, for each $i \in [h]$, it holds that

$$\sum_{j \in [w_i]} |E'(t_{i,j})| \leq \sum_{j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})| + \sum_{b \in [h]: b < i, q \in [w_b]} |Opt(t_{b,q})|.$$

Thus, since $h = \log_2 \tau$, it holds that

$$|E'| = \sum_{i=1}^{\log_2 \tau} \sum_{j \in [w_i]} |E'(t_{i,j})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\log_2 \tau} \left(\sum_{j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})| + \sum_{b \in [h]: b < i, q \in [w_b]} |Opt(t_{b,q})| \right).$$

Since each $Opt(t_{i,j})$ contributes at most $O(\log_2 \tau)$ times to the right term, we have that

$$|E'| = \sum_{i=1}^{\log_2 \tau} \sum_{j \in [w_i]} |E'(t_{i,j})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\log_2 \tau} \left(\sum_{j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})| + \sum_{b \in [h]: b < i, q \in [w_b]} |Opt(t_{b,q})| \right),$$

which is bounded by $\log_2 \tau \sum_{i \in [h], j \in [w_i]} |Opt(t_{i,j})| = \log_2 \tau |Opt|$, thus concluding the proof. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Lemma 18

► **Lemma 18.** *Consider the sets P_1 and P_2 , then (1) for each $I = [t, t + \ell - 1]$ of length ℓ , there is an interval of P_1 or P_2 that contains it; (2) Given I and I' be two distinct interval of P_i , then a temporal path of $G(I)$ and a temporal path of $G(I')$ are temporal edge disjoint.*

Proof. (1) Consider interval $I = [t, t + \ell - 1]$, by construction there exists an interval $J = [a + 1, a + 2\ell]$ in P_1 that contains t . Now, if $t \leq a + \ell$, then I is contained in J . If this is not the case, $t \in [a + \ell + 1, a + 2\ell]$ and I is contained in $[a + \ell + 1, a + 3\ell]$, that belongs to P_2 .

(2) The result follows from the fact that $I \cap I' = \emptyset$, hence each temporal edge defined in $G[I]$ is not defined in $G[I']$ (and vice versa). \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Corollary 19

► **Corollary 19.** *(s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT admits a $2 \log_2(2\ell)$ -approximation algorithm.*

Proof. Consider an optimal solution OPT of (s, z, ℓ) -TEMPORAL CUT and let $OPT(G(I))$ be the set of temporal edges in OPT defined in some timestamp of I . The approximation algorithm with input $G(I)$ returns an approximated solution $E(I)$. By Theorem 17, since each interval consists of 2ℓ timestamps, it holds that

$$|E(I)| \leq \log_2(2\ell) |OPT(G(I))|.$$

Consider two different intervals I, I' of a set P_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since I and I' are disjoint, the temporal edges in sets $OPT(G(I))$ and $OPT(G(I'))$ are disjoint, thus

$$\sum_{I \in P_i} |E(I)| \leq \log_2(2\ell) \sum_{I \in P_i} |OPT(G(I))| \leq \log_2(2\ell) |OPT| \quad (1)$$

Now, since Equation 1 holds for both P_1 and P_2 , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{I \in P_i} |E(I)| \leq \log_2(2\ell) \sum_{I \in P_1} |OPT(G(I))| + \log_2(2\ell) \sum_{I \in P_2} |OPT(G(I))| \leq 2 \log_2(2\ell) |OPT|.$$

(2)

Now, the set E' returned by the approximation algorithm is a feasible solution of the problem (that is E' cuts every temporal path of time travel at most ℓ). Indeed by Lemma 18 each interval I of length ℓ is contained in an interval of P_1 or P_2 , and Algorithm 3 computes a cut in every $G(I)$.

