

# Analysis of triply charmed four-quark state in the effective Lagrangian approach

Na Li,<sup>\*</sup> Ye Xing,<sup>†</sup> and Jing-Rui Shi

<sup>1</sup> *School of Physics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221000, China*

In the work we study the production and decay of triply charmed four-quark state with  $J^P = 1^+$  under the phenomenological analysis and effective Lagrangian approach. On the SU(3) symmetric analysis of golden channels, we further explore the dynamics of the processes, based on the assumption of molecule  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  and  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ . Our results indicate that the production branching ratio from  $B_c$  meson is sizable, it can reach the order of  $10^{-4}$  for the molecular configuration  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$ , and  $10^{-5}$  for molecule  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ . In addition, we found that the decay widths of the two molecular configurations  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  and  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  are not significant, both at level of  $\mathcal{O}(\text{MeV})$ .

## I. INTRODUCTION

Since LHCb Collaboration found the strange-charm four-quark state candidate  $X_{0,(1)}(2900)$  and  $T_{c\bar{s}}^{0(++)}(2900)$  [1–4], the open-charmed four-quark states have received increasing attention [5–19]. Subsequently, a doubly charmed four-quark state candidate  $T_{cc}^+(3875)$  with the mass near  $(D^+D^0)$  threshold [20, 21] was reported in 2021. Those indicate that the heaviest open-charmed four-quark state with triply charmed flavor should be possible. Although there is not yet sufficient experimental evidence for the existence, it has been many preliminary theoretical research to explore the properties of the exotic state at present [22–30]. Especially the mass spectrum of triply charmed four-quark states, Reference [27] employed a quark-based model in conjunction with the Gaussian Expansion Method (GEM) and the Complex Scaling Method (CSM) to identify a triply heavy tetraquark state within the energy range of 5.6–5.9 GeV. In Ref.[25], the authors estimated the mass of the  $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$  tetraquark state with quantum numbers  $J^P = 1^+$  to be  $5.1 \pm 0.2\text{GeV}$  using the operator product expansion(OPE). Nevertheless, there are several studies believed that it can not form bound states for the triply-charmed four-quark system, such as studies by the relativized quark model [28], MIT bag model [23] and effective field approach [30]. Therefore, it remains an open issue which should be further validated through future experiments.

We force on the production and decay properties of the triply charmed four-quark state in the work, in the phenomenological analysis and effective Lagrangian approach. The SU(3) flavor symmetric analysis [31–38] and effective Lagrangian approach [39–43] which have been successfully applied into heavy meson and baryon system, accordingly can be used to investigate the triply

---

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: TS22180005A31@cumt.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>Electronic address: xingye.guang@cumt.edu.cn

charmed four-quark state. With the assumption of molecular state, then the discussion of dynamics is possible. The hypothesis of hadronic molecule is popular, such as four-quark candidate  $\psi(4040)$  is considered as  $D^*D^*$  hadronic molecule [44, 45]. As well as  $Y(4220)$ ,  $Z_c(3900)$  and  $X(3872)$  states are interpreted as  $\bar{D}_1D$ ,  $\bar{D}^*D$  and  $\bar{D}^*D$  molecular states in Ref. [46]. Consistently, we adopt the generic schemes for the molecule with  $J^P = 1^+$ , pseudoscalar-vector  $PV$  and vector-vector  $VV$  configurations. The work is proceed as the usual process for the effective Lagrangian approach. We firstly establish a gauge invariant phenomenological Lagrangian to describe the interactions between molecular states and their components, whose associated coupling constants can be determined by the bare compositeness condition. A direct calculation depending on the Lagrangian at the hadronic level can then be carried out.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we concisely analyze properties of the triply charmed four-quark states under the molecular configuration  $J/\psi D^*$  and  $\eta_c D^*$ , including the production and decay processes. The numerical results and discussions are presented in Sec. III. The final section provides a summary of the work.

## II. THE TRIPLY CHARMED FOUR-QUARK STATE

According to the Pauli exclusion principle, the triply charmed four-quark state  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}}$  can be illuminated under the flavor  $\otimes$  color  $\otimes$  spin space.  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}}$  has a couple of symmetric diquarks in color subspace  $[[cc]_{\mathbf{3}}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{\mathbf{3}}]_{color}$  and flavor- $(\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})$  diquarks in flavor subspace  $[\{cc\}_{\mathbf{1}}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{\mathbf{3}}]_{flavor}$ , then the S-wave  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}}$  can only be spin-1 with spin-parity  $J^P = 1^+$ ,

$$[\{cc\}_{\mathbf{1}}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{\mathbf{0}}]_{spin} \otimes [\{cc\}_{\mathbf{1}}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{\mathbf{3}}]_{flavor} \otimes [[cc]_{\mathbf{3}}[\bar{c}\bar{q}]_{\mathbf{3}}]_{color}, \quad (1)$$

here the  $\{\}$  and  $[[$  indicate the symmetry and antisymmetry of the diquark in different spaces respectively. According to configuration of S-wave triply charmed four-quark state, the possible molecular state can be vector-vector configurations  $VV$  ( $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ ) and vector-pseudoscalar configurations  $PV$  ( $T_{\eta_c D^*}$ ). The effective Lagrangian describing the couplings between the molecular state and its constituents [47],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}(x) &= g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}} T_{\eta_c D^*}^\mu(x) \int dy \Phi(y^2) \eta_c(x + \omega_1 y) D_\mu^*(x - \omega_2 y), \\ \mathcal{L}_{T_{J/\psi D^*}}(x) &= i g'_{T_{J/\psi D^*}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu T_{J/\psi D^*}^\nu(x) \int dy \Phi(y^2) J/\psi^\alpha(x + \omega_1 y) D^{*\beta}(x - \omega_2 y). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Among them, the Fourier transform of the correlation function [36, 48]

$$\Phi(y^2) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ipy} \tilde{\Phi}(-p^2) \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\Phi}(p_E^2) \doteq \exp(-p_E^2/\Lambda_T^2). \quad (3)$$

The coupling constants can be further obtained by the renormalization of mass operator, which can be described by the self-energy diagram in Fig. 1.A,

$$\Sigma_T = \frac{g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}^2}{3} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4 i} \tilde{\Phi}^2(-(k-pw)^2) \frac{-3 + \frac{k^2}{m_{D^*}^2} - \frac{(k.p)^2}{m_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}^2}}{(k^2 - m_{D^*}^2)((p-k)^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)},$$

$$\Sigma_T^{(\prime)} = \frac{g_{T_{J/\psi} D^*}^2}{3} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4 i} \tilde{\Phi}^2(-(k-pw)^2) \frac{\mathcal{A}}{(k^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)((p-k)^2 - m_{D^*}^2)}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} = & \frac{(-2(k \cdot p) + k^2 + p^2)(k \cdot p)^2}{m_{J/\psi}^2 m_{D^*}^2} - \frac{2(k \cdot p)^2}{m_{J/\psi}^2} - \frac{2(k \cdot p - k^2)(p^2 - k \cdot p)(k \cdot p)}{m_{J/\psi}^2 m_{D^*}^2} + \frac{k^2(p^2 - k \cdot p)^2}{m_{J/\psi}^2 m_{D^*}^2} - 6p^2 \\ & + \frac{p^2(k \cdot p - k^2)^2}{m_{J/\psi}^2 m_{D^*}^2} - \frac{k^2 p^2(k^2 - 2(k \cdot p) + p^2)}{m_{J/\psi}^2 m_{D^*}^2} + \frac{2k^2 p^2}{m_{J/\psi}^2} - \frac{2(p^2 - k \cdot p)^2}{m_{D^*}^2} + \frac{2p^2(k^2 - 2(k \cdot p) + p^2)}{m_{D^*}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

According to the Weinberg compositeness condition [49],

$$Z_T = 1 - \left. \frac{d\Sigma_T^{(\prime)}}{dp^2} \right|_{p^2=m_T^2} = 0. \quad (6)$$

We determine the expressions of coupling constants  $g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}$  and  $g_{T_{J/\psi} D^*}$ , written in the Appendix A.

### A. phenomenological analysis

In this part, we first employ a phenomenological analysis to investigate the production and decay of the triply four-quark molecular states. A convenient phenomenological tool is the SU(3) flavor symmetric analysis. In the flavor space, the triply charmed four-quark state can be represented with  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}} = (T_{cc\bar{c}u}^0, T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{d}}^+, T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{s}}^+)$ . The production from  $B_c$  meson must be induced by weak transition  $\bar{b} \rightarrow c\bar{c}\bar{s}/\bar{d}$ . Within the framework of SU(3) flavor analysis, the Hamiltonian can be written directly,

$$\mathcal{H} = a_1 B_c (H_3)^i (T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}})_j V_i^j, \quad \text{with } (H_3)^2 = V_{cd}^*, (H_3)^3 = V_{cs}^*. \quad (7)$$

here,  $V$  stands for the vector meson, coefficient  $a_1$  parameterizes the non-perturbative effect, and  $(H_3)^i$  represents the weak transition. Expanding Hamiltonian, we then achieve all possible production processes listed into Tab. V in Appendix A. Considering the CKM elements and the detection efficiency, we select three golden channels,

$$B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{s}}^+, \quad B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{u}}^0, \quad B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*0} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{d}}^+. \quad (8)$$

Without the effect of phase space, the relations between different channels can be easily reduced,

$$\frac{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{u}}^0)}{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{s}}^+)} = \frac{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*0} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{d}}^+)}{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{s}}^+)} = \frac{|V_{cs}|^2}{|V_{cd}|^2}. \quad (9)$$

It should be noted that  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}}$  can be molecule  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  for the production processes. However, in the strong decay of triply charmed four-quark state,  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}}$  may be different between the two possible molecular configurations as effect of phase space. Therefore we write the Hamiltonian of two-body and three-body decays respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & b_1 (T_{J/\psi D^*})_i D^i J/\psi + b_2 (T_{J/\psi D^*})_i D^{*i} \eta_c + c_1 (T_{J/\psi D^*})_i \eta_c D^j P_j^i + c_2 (T_{J/\psi D^*})_i J/\psi D^j M_j^i \\ & + b'_1 (T_{\eta_c D^*})_i D^i J/\psi + c'_1 (T_{\eta_c D^*})_i \eta_c D^j P_j^i, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $P$  denotes pseudoscalar meson. Accordingly we derive the decay processes shown in Tab. VI, as well as the decay relations between different channels in Tab. I.

TABLE I: The decay relations between different channels for the possible four-quark molecular states  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$ .

$\Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0) = \Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^{*0}}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+)$	$\Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+) = 2\Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0)$
$= \Gamma(T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D_s^{*+})$	$= 2\Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0)$
$\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+)$	$\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^+) = 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^0)$
$= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+)$	$= 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \pi^0)$
$\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow \eta_c D^{*0}) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D^{*+})$	$\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^-) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+)$
$= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D_s^{*+})$	$= 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0)$
	$= 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0)$

## B. The effective Lagrangian approach

### 1. Production of four-quark molecular states from $B_c$ meson

Based on the discussion from SU(3) symmetric analysis, we further investigate the dynamics of molecular states  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  by the effective Lagrangian approach. The production processes of molecular states  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  can be pictured as triangle diagram drawn in Fig. 1.D, the expression can be written as,

$$\langle K^* T_{J/\psi(\eta_c)D^*} | \mathcal{H}_{eff} | B_c \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} \langle K^* T_{J/\psi(\eta_c)D^*} | \mathcal{H}_{\lambda} | M_1 M_2 \rangle \langle M_1 M_2 | \mathcal{H}_{eff} | B_c \rangle, \quad (11)$$

here,  $\langle M_1 M_2 | \mathcal{H}_{eff} | B_c \rangle$  is the weak decay matrix element,  $M_1 M_2$  are the possible final states of  $B_c$  weak decay and  $\mathcal{H}_{eff}$  is the possible weak decay Hamiltonian. In our process, W-emission diagrams shown in Fig. 1.(A,B) are dominant for the weak decay. The matrix  $\langle K^* T_{J/\psi(\eta_c)D^*} | \mathcal{H}_{\lambda} | M_1 M_2 \rangle$  indicates strong coupling process and  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$  is the possible strong interaction Hamiltonian. We show the weak decay Hamiltonian as,

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb}^* V_{cs} (C_1(\mu) (\bar{b}_{\alpha} c_{\beta})_{V-A} (\bar{c}_{\beta} s_{\alpha})_{V-A} + C_2(\mu) (\bar{b}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha})_{V-A} (\bar{c}_{\beta} s_{\beta})_{V-A}) + h.c., \quad (12)$$

where,  $G_F$  and  $C_{1,2}(\mu)$  are the Fermi constant and Wilson coefficient respectively. The amplitude of weak decay can be further expressed as the product of Wilson coefficient, non perturbative form factor and decay constant,

$$\mathcal{M}(B_c \rightarrow J/\psi D(D^*)) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb}^* V_{cs} a_{1,2} \langle J/\psi | (\bar{b}c)_{V-A} | B_c \rangle \langle D(D^*) | (\bar{c}s)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle, \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(B_c \rightarrow \eta_c D(D^*)) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb}^* V_{cs} a_{1,2} \langle \eta_c | (\bar{b}c)_{V-A} | B_c \rangle \langle D(D^*) | (\bar{c}s)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle, \quad (14)$$

$a_{1,2}$  are the effective Wilson coefficients, with  $a_1 = C_1 + C_2/N_c$ ,  $a_2 = C_2 + C_1/N_c$ .  $N_c$  denotes the total number of color. The form factors are defined as [50]

$$\langle J/\psi(p_{J/\psi}) | (\bar{b}c)_{V-A} | B_c(p_{B_c}) \rangle = \frac{2}{m_{B_c} + m_V} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^{*\nu} p_{B_c}^{\rho} p_{J/\psi}^{\sigma} A_0(k_2^2) + i \varepsilon_{\mu}^* (m_{B_c} + m_V) A_1(k_2^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - i \frac{\varepsilon^* \cdot k_2}{m_{B_c} + m_V} (p_{B_c} + p_{J/\psi})_\mu A_2(k_2^2) - i \frac{\varepsilon^* \cdot k_2}{k_2^2} 2m_V k_{2\mu} A_3(k_2^2) \quad (15) \\
& + i \frac{\varepsilon^* \cdot k_2}{k_2^2} 2m_V k_{2\mu} A_4(k_2^2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \eta_c(p_{\eta_c}) | (\bar{b}c)_{V-A} | B_c(p_{B_c}) \rangle = (p_{B_c} + p_{\eta_c} - \frac{m_{B_c}^2 - m_P^2}{k_2^2} k_2)_\mu F_1(k_2^2) + \frac{m_{B_c}^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2}{k_2^2} k_{2\mu} F_0(k_2^2). \quad (16)$$

Here  $\varepsilon_\mu$  denotes the polarization vector of  $J/\psi$ , transition momentum  $k_{2\mu} \equiv (p_{B_c} - p_{J/\psi})_\mu$ . The matrix elements between meson  $D^{(*)}$  and vacuum are defined as [51]

$$\langle D | (\bar{c}q)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle = i f_{D(s)} k_{2\mu}, \quad \langle D^* | (\bar{c}q)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle = m f_{D^*(s)} \varepsilon_\mu^*, \quad (17)$$

where  $f_{D^{(*)}}$  is the decay constant of  $D^{(*)}$  meson,  $\varepsilon_\mu$  represents the polarization vector of  $D^*$  meson.

To deal with the strong coupling matrix, we introduce the strong interaction effective Lagrangian [52],

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{VP_1P_2} &= i g_{VP_1P_2} (V_\mu \partial^\mu P_1 P_2 - V_\mu \partial^\mu P_2 P_1), \\
\mathcal{L}_{V_1V_2P} &= -g_{V_1V_2P} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (\partial_\mu V_{1\nu} \partial_\alpha V_{2\beta}) P, \\
\mathcal{L}_{D^*D^*J/\psi} &= -i g_{D^*D^*J/\psi} \left\{ D^{*\mu} (\partial_\mu D^{*\nu} J/\psi_\nu - D^{*\nu} \partial_\mu J/\psi_\nu) + (\partial_\mu D_\nu^* D^{*\nu} - D_\nu^* \partial_\mu D^{*\nu}) J/\psi^\mu \right. \\
&\quad \left. + D^{*\mu} (D^{*\nu} \partial_\mu J/\psi_\nu - \partial_\mu D_\nu^* J/\psi^\nu) \right\}, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $V_i$  and  $P_i$  denote vector and pseudoscalar meson respectively. Using the effective Lagrangian and form factors, the amplitudes of  $B_c \rightarrow K^* T_{J/\psi(\prime\eta_c)D^*}$  in Fig. 1(D) are given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{T_{J/\psi}D^*} &= g_{T_{J/\psi}D^*} \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \left( \frac{2}{m_{B_c} + m_{J/\psi}} \varepsilon_{\varphi\nu\rho\sigma} p^\rho k_1^\sigma A_0(k_2^2) + i g_{\nu\varphi} A_1(k_2^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. (m_{B_c} + m_{J/\psi}) - \frac{i k_2\nu}{m_{B_c} + m_{J/\psi}} (p + k_1)_\varphi A_2(k_2^2) - 2i m_{J/\psi} \frac{k_2\nu k_{2\varphi}}{k_2^2} A_3(k_2^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2i \frac{k_2\nu k_{2\varphi}}{k_2^2} m_{J/\psi} A_4(k_2^2) \right) \left( -g^{\nu\beta_1} + \frac{k_1^\nu k_1^{\beta_1}}{m_{J/\psi}^2} \right) \left( -g^{\alpha_1\beta} + \frac{k_3^{\alpha_1} k_3^\beta}{m_{D^*}} \right) p_2^\mu \varepsilon^{*\nu_1}(p_2) \varepsilon^{*n}(p_1) \\
&\quad \left\{ \frac{i f_{D_s} g_{D_s D^* K^*} k_3^\alpha k_2^\varphi \varepsilon_{m\nu\alpha\beta} p_1^m}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D_s}^2)} + \frac{m_{D_s^*} f_{D_s^*} g_{D_s^* D^* K^*} (-g^{\varphi m} + \frac{k_2^\varphi k_2^m}{m_{D_s^*}})}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \varepsilon_{\mu\nu_1\alpha_1\beta_1} (i k_{2n} g_{am} - i k_{2a} g_{nm} - i k_{3m} g_{na} + i k_{3n} g_{\beta m} - i p_{1\beta} g_{nm} + i p_{1m} g_{n\beta}) \right\}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{T_{\eta_c}D^*} &= \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} \left( \frac{m_{B_c}^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2}{k_2^2} k_{2\rho} F_0(k_2^2) + (p + k_1 - \frac{m_{B_c}^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2}{k_2^2} k_2)_\rho F_1(k_2^2) \right) \varepsilon_\mu^*(p_2) \varepsilon^{*n}(p_1) \\
&\quad g_{T_{\eta_c}D^*} \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \left( -g^{\beta\mu} + \frac{k_3^\beta k_3^\mu}{m_{D^*}^2} \right) \left\{ \frac{-g_{D_s D^* K^*} \varepsilon_{m\nu\alpha\beta} p_1^m k_3^\alpha k_2^\rho i f_{D_s}}{(k_1^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D_s}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} (k_{2\beta} g_{nm} - k_{2n} g_{\beta m}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - k_{3n} g_{m\beta} + p_{1\beta} g_{nm} - p_{1m} g_{n\beta} \right\} + \frac{i m_{D_s^*} f_{D_s^*} g_{D_s^* D^* K^*} (-g^{\rho m} + \frac{k_2^\rho k_2^m}{m_{D_s^*}})}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)}. \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, to remove the ultraviolet divergence of amplitudes, we introduce form factor  $\mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2)$  [53]

$$\mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) = \left( \frac{m_{D^*}^2 - \Lambda_{D^*}^2}{k_3^2 - \Lambda_{D^*}^2} \right)^2, \quad (20)$$




FIG. 1: The internal W-emission(A) and external W-emission diagram(B) represent the weak processes of triply charmed four-quark production from  $B_c$  meson. Diagrams(C,D) are the self-energy and production triangle process of the triply charmed four-quark molecules  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  respectively.

where  $m_{D^*}$  and  $k_3$  denote the mass and momentum of the exchanged meson  $D^*$  in the triangle diagrams. The cutoff parameter  $\Lambda_{D^*} = m_{D^*} + \alpha_m$  (with  $\alpha_m \approx 0.4$ )[46] is used to suppress the contribution of hadrons at short distances.

## 2. Decay of four-quark molecular states $J^P = 1^+$

Using the effective Lagrangian approach, the decay processes of triply charmed four-quark states can be easily deduced, their diagram are shown in Fig. 2. In addition to the ordinary two-body decays, we also study the possible three-body decays, whose diagrams are shown in Fig. 2(c'-f'). In the work, we discuss the contribution of three-body decays under one-loop level. The decay amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}'$  of the four-quark molecular states  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  are given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{M}'_{T_{\eta_c D^*} \rightarrow J/\psi D}^{a'} \\
 &= \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} g_{\eta_c D^* D} g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}} g_{J/\psi D^* D^*} \frac{(-g^{m\mu} + \frac{k_3^m k_2^\mu}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{n\rho} + \frac{k_2^n k_2^\rho}{m_{D^*}^2}) \varepsilon_\rho(p) \varepsilon^{*a}(p_1)}{-(k_1^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \\
 & (k_1 + p_2)_\mu (-ik_{3n} g_{am} + ik_{3a} g_{mn} - ik_{2m} g_{an} - ik_{2n} g_{ma} + ip_{1a} g_{nm} - ip_{1m} g_{na}), \\
 & \mathcal{M}'_{T_{J/\psi D^*} \rightarrow J/\psi D}^{b'}
 \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 2: Diagrams(a,b) represent two-body decays of the triply charmed four-quark molecular states  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  and  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ . While Diagrams(a', b') and (c', d') picture tree level and one-loop level three-body decays of the triply charmed four-quark states( $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  and  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ ) respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} g_{T_{J/\psi D^*}} \left\{ g_{D^* D^* J/\psi} g_{J/\psi D^* D} \frac{(-g^{\beta m} + \frac{k_3^\beta k_3^m}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{\xi n} + \frac{k_2^\xi k_2^n}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{\rho\nu} + \frac{k_1^\rho k_1^\nu}{m_{J/\psi}^2})}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_D^2)} \right. \\
&\quad (-k_{3n} g_{am} + k_{3a} g_{mn} - k_{2m} g_{an} + k_{2n} g_{ma} + p_{1a} g_{nm} - p_{1m} g_{na}) \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \\
&\quad \left. \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_{\gamma\rho\xi} \varepsilon^\sigma(p) \varepsilon^{*a}(p_1) k_1^\mu k_3^\alpha p^\gamma + g_{\eta_c D^* D} g_{J/\psi D D} \varepsilon^{*\nu}(p_1) \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(-g^{\beta\xi} + \frac{k_2^\beta k_2^\xi}{m_{\nu^*}^2})(-g^{\mu\rho} + \frac{k_1^\mu k_1^\rho}{m_{J/\psi}^2})}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_D^2)} p_1^\mu k_2^\alpha \varepsilon^\sigma(p) \varepsilon_{\gamma\rho\xi} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (k_3 - p_2)_\mu p^\gamma \right\}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{T_{J/\psi D^*} \rightarrow \eta_c D^*}^{c'} &= \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} g_{T_{J/\psi D^*}} \left\{ g_{\eta_c D^* D} g_{J/\psi D D} \frac{(-g_{\mu\rho} + \frac{k_2^\mu k_2^\rho}{m_{D^*}^2})(-ip^\sigma) \varepsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\rho} (-g^{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{k_1^\alpha k_1^\gamma}{m_{J/\psi}^2})}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_D^2)} \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \right. \\
&\quad (p_2 + k_3)_\mu k_1^m p_1^n \varepsilon^\nu(p) \varepsilon_{mAx} \varepsilon_{n\gamma} \varepsilon^{*\xi}(p_2) + g_{\eta_c D^* D^*} g_{J/\psi D^* D} \varepsilon^{*n}(p_1) \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(-g_{a\xi} + \frac{k_{3a} k_{3\xi}}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g_{\sigma\beta} + \frac{k_{2\beta} k_{2\sigma}}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g_{\rho m} + \frac{k_{1\rho} k_{1m}}{m_{J/\psi}^2})}{i(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_D^2)} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\gamma\xi\rho\sigma} p_\gamma k_{3\gamma} k_{2\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (-k_{1n} g^{am} + k_1^a g_n^m + k_3^m g_n^a - k_{3n} g^{am} + p_2^a g_n^m - p_2^m g_n^a) \right\}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{T_{\eta_c D^*} \rightarrow \eta_c D \pi}^{c'+e'} &= g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}} g_{D^* D \pi} \frac{\varepsilon^\mu(p) \left( -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{(P_{23})_\mu P_{23\nu}}{m_{D^*}^2} \right) (p_2 - p_3)^\nu}{(p_{23}^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} + \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}} \\
&\quad \left\{ g_{\eta_c D^* D^*} g_{D^* D \pi} \varepsilon_n(p) \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \frac{(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{p_{23}^\nu p_{23}^\mu}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{mn} + \frac{k_2^m k_2^n}{m_{D^*}^2})}{i(k_1^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_D^2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. (p_2 - p_3)_\nu (k_1 - k_3)_\mu (k_3 - p_1)_m + g_{\eta_c D^* D}^2 g_{D^* D \pi} \varepsilon_\sigma(p) \varepsilon_{\xi n m \gamma} k_2^\xi k_3^m \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p_2 - p_3)_\rho \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_3^\mu p_{23}^\alpha \left. \frac{(-g^{\sigma n} + \frac{k_2^\sigma k_2^n}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{\nu\gamma} + \frac{k_3^\nu k_3^\gamma}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{\beta\rho} + \frac{p_{23}^\beta p_{23}^\rho}{m_{D^*}^2})}{(k_1^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} \right\}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{T_{J/\psi D^*} \rightarrow J/\psi D\pi}^{d'+f'} &= g_{T_{J/\psi D^*} D\pi} \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_\nu(p) \varepsilon_\alpha^*(p_1) (-g_{\beta m} + \frac{P_{23\beta} P_{23m}}{m_{D^*}^2}) p_\mu (p_2 - p_3)^m}{(p_{23}^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} \\
&+ \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} g_{T_{J/\psi D^*}} \left\{ g_{J/\psi D^* D^*} g_{\eta_c D^* D^*} g_{D^* D\pi} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} p^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta(p) \varepsilon^{*a}(p_1) (-g^{\rho\mu} + \frac{k_1^\rho k_1^\mu}{m_{J/\psi}^2}) \right. \\
&(p_2 - p_3)_\nu \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) (-g^{\sigma n} + \frac{k_2^\sigma k_2^n}{m_{D^*}^2}) \frac{(-g^{\gamma\nu} + \frac{p_{23}^\gamma p_{23}^\nu}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{m\xi} + \frac{k_3^m k_3^\xi}{m_{D^*}^2})}{i(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} \\
&(k_{3n} g_{am} - k_{3a} g_{mn} + k_{2m} g_{an} + k_{2n} g_{ma} - p_{1a} g_{nm} + p_{1m} g_{na}) ((p_1 + k_3)_\gamma g_{\xi\mu} \\
&- (p_1 + k_3)_\xi g_{\gamma\mu} - k_{3\mu} g_{\xi\gamma} + k_{3\gamma} g_{\xi\mu} - p_{1\xi} g_{\gamma\mu} - p_{1\mu} g_{\gamma\xi}) + g_{J/\psi D^* D^*} g_{\eta_c D^* D^*} \\
&g_{D^* D\pi} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \varepsilon_{nam\mu} \varepsilon_{\zeta\xi r\gamma} p^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta(p) \varepsilon^{*a}(p_1) p_1^n k_2^m \varepsilon^{*a}(p_1) k_1^\zeta p_{23}^r (p_2 - p_3)_\nu \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) \\
&\left. (-g^{\rho\xi} + \frac{k_1^\rho k_1^\xi}{m_{J/\psi}^2}) \frac{(-g^{\sigma\mu} + \frac{k_2^\sigma k_2^\mu}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{\gamma\nu} + \frac{p_{23}^\gamma p_{23}^\nu}{m_{D^*}^2})}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} \right\}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{T_{J/\psi D^*} \rightarrow \eta_c D\pi}^{f'} &= \int \frac{d^4 k_3}{(2\pi)^4} g_{T_{J/\psi D^*} D\pi} \left\{ g_{J/\psi D^* D^*} g_{\eta_c D^* D^*} \frac{i \varepsilon_{\xi\zeta\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^\xi(p) p^\zeta (-g^{\rho m} + \frac{k_1^\rho k_1^m}{m_{J/\psi}^2})}{(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} \right. \\
&(-g^{\sigma\beta} + \frac{k_2^\sigma k_2^\beta}{m_{D^*}^2}) \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) ((p_1 + k_3)_n g_{am} - (p_1 + k_3)_a g_{nm} - k_{3m} g_{na} + k_{3n} g_{am} \\
&- p_{1a} g_{nm} - p_{1m} g_{na}) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_3^\mu k_2^\alpha (-g^{\nu a} + \frac{k_3^\nu k_3^a}{m_{D^*}^2}) (-g^{n\gamma} + \frac{p_{23}^n p_{23}^\gamma}{m_{D^*}^2}) (p_{23} - p_3)_\gamma \\
&+ g_{J/\psi D D^*} g_{\eta_c D D^*} \varepsilon_{\rho\nu\alpha\beta} p_{23}^\rho k_1^\alpha \mathcal{F}_2(k_3^2) (p_2 - p_3)_\gamma (p_1 + k_3)_\mu \varepsilon_{mn\xi\sigma} \varepsilon^n(p) p^m \\
&\left. \frac{(-g^{\gamma\nu} + \frac{p_{23}^\gamma p_{23}^\nu}{m_{D^*}^2})(-g^{\beta\xi} + \frac{k_1^\beta k_1^\xi}{m_{J/\psi}^2})(-g^{\mu\sigma} + \frac{k_2^\mu k_2^\sigma}{m_{D^*}^2})}{i(k_1^2 - m_{J/\psi}^2)(k_2^2 - m_{D^*}^2)(k_3^2 - m_{D^*}^2)} \right\}, \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

the momentum definitions are drawn in Fig. 2, the momentum  $p_{23} = p_2 + p_3$  and  $k_3$  is the momentum of exchanged particle. The partial decay width for the three-body process  $T_{J/\psi(\eta_c)D^*} \rightarrow J/\psi(\eta_c)D\pi$  can be expressed as [54]:

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32m_T^3} |\overline{\mathcal{M}}'|^2 dm_{12}^2 dm_{23}^2. \tag{22}$$

$\mathcal{M}'$  denotes the decay amplitudes,  $m_{12}$  and  $m_{23}$  represent the invariant masses of final  $J/\psi\pi(\eta_c\pi)$  and  $D\pi$  system, respectively.



### III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The form factors in Eq. 15 and Eq. 16 can be parameterized on the physical region,

$$f(q^2) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 q^2 + \frac{\alpha_3 q^4}{m_{B_c}^2 - q^2},$$

where the fitted parameters  $\alpha_{1,2,3}$  can be found in reference [55]. The decay constants, coupling constants and Wilson coefficient  $a_1$  are collected into Tab. II, in which coupling constants  $g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}$  and  $g_{T_{J/\psi D^*}}$  between triply charmed four-quark state and their constituent can be obtained by Eq. (6).

In the schemes of molecular states  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$ , the production branching ratios from  $B_c$  meson are shown in Tab. III, where we take cutoff parameter  $\alpha_m = 0.4$  and binding energy  $\epsilon = 5, 10, 20$  MeV. Besides, the decay widths are obtained in Tab. IV, in which the results not provided are mainly forbidden by the effect of phase space. We can make several analyses based on the results above.

- Generally, the production branching ratios under  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  molecular configuration are larger than those with molecule  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ . Specifically, the branching ratios of two Cabibbo-allowed processes  $B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{J/\psi D^*}^+$  and  $B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{J/\psi D^*}^0$ , can reach the order of  $10^{-4}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Br(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{J/\psi D^*}^+) &= 4.560 \times 10^{-4}, & Br(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{J/\psi D^*}^0) &= 4.710 \times 10^{-4}, \\ Br(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{\eta_c D^*}^+) &= 1.016 \times 10^{-6}, & Br(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{\eta_c D^*}^0) &= 1.048 \times 10^{-6}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

- We define two ratios  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  for the production processes,

$$R_1 = \frac{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{\eta_c D^*}^0)}{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{\eta_c D^*}^+)} \approx 51.1, \quad R_2 = \frac{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{\eta_c D^*}^+)}{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{\eta_c D^*}^+)} \approx 49.5, \quad (24)$$

which are different from SU(3) symmetric prediction  $R_{1,2} = |V_{cs}|^2/|V_{cd}|^2 \approx 19.9$  in Eq. (9). These indicate that the two processes encounter significant SU(3) flavor breaking effect.

- It should be noted that the leading order contribution of some three-body processes comes from one-loop hadronic diagrams, such as processes  $T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0$  and  $T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+$ , the calculations begin with triangle diagram as Fig. 2.c'.
- Summing up all possible two-body and three-body processes, we derive total decay width of the triply charmed four-quark state,

$$\Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^*}^+) = 6.8 \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+) = 0.16 \text{ MeV}, \quad (25)$$

here the cutoff parameter  $\alpha = 0.25$  GeV, and the binding energy  $\epsilon = 5$  MeV.

TABLE II: The input parameters including decay constants, coupling constants and Wilson coefficient. [52, 56, 57]

$f_D = 0.130\text{GeV}$ , $f_{D_s} = 0.216\text{GeV}$ , $f_{D^*} = 0.130\text{GeV}$ , $f_{D_s^*} = 0.216\text{GeV}$ , $a_1 = 1.07$ ,
$a_2 = -0.017$ , $g_{K^*D^*D} = 4.27$ , $g_{D^*D^*J/\psi} = 2.298$ , $g_{D^*D^*K^*} = -2.298$ ,
$g_{D^{*+}D^0\pi^+} = 16.818$ , $g_{D^{*0}D^0\pi^0} = 11.688$ , $g_{\eta_c DD^*} = -9.543$ , $g_{\eta_c DD^*} = -9.543$ ,
$g_{J/\psi D^*D} = -7.07$ , $g_{D^*D^*\eta_c} = -7.07$ , $g_{T_{J/\psi D^*}} = 1.223$ , $g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}} = 16.065$

TABLE III: The production branching ratio ( $\times 10^{-5}$ ) of triply charmed four-quark states with binding energy  $\epsilon = 5, 10, 20\text{MeV}$ .

$J/\psi D^{(*)}$	branching ratio ( $\times 10^{-5}$ )			$\eta_c D^*$	branching ratio ( $\times 10^{-5}$ )		
	$\epsilon = 5$	$\epsilon = 10$	$\epsilon = 20$		$\epsilon = 5$	$\epsilon = 10$	$\epsilon = 20$
$B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{\eta_c D^*}^+$	4.678	6.282	10.161	$B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{J/\psi D^*}^+$	0.145	0.243	0.456
$\rightarrow K^{*+} T_{\eta_c D^*}^0$	4.829	7.046	10.475	$\rightarrow K^{*+} T_{J/\psi D^*}^0$	0.149	0.251	0.471
$\rightarrow K^{*0} T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+$	0.093	0.137	0.205	$\rightarrow K^{*0} T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+$	0.003	0.005	0.010

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In the article, we discuss the production of four-quark molecular states  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  through  $B_c$  meson decays, as well as their two-body and three-body decays. Starting from SU(3) symmetric phenomenological analysis, we primarily choose several golden channels for the production and decay of these four-quark molecular states. Immediately after using the effective

TABLE IV: The partial decay widths (in units of  $\text{MeV}$ ) for the two-body and three-body decays of four-quark molecular states  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$ .

process	widths / $\text{MeV}$			process	widths / $\text{MeV}$		
	$\epsilon = 5$	$\epsilon = 10$	$\epsilon = 20$		$\epsilon = 5$	$\epsilon = 10$	$\epsilon = 20$
$T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+$	3.491	4.385	4.093	$T_{\eta_c D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0$	3.621	4.583	4.477
$\rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0$	0.998	—	—	$\rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0$	0.964	—	—
$\rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+$	2.290	—	—	$T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$	2.293	3.757	3.854
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+$	0.070	0.122	0.238	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0$	0.070	0.123	0.239
$\rightarrow \eta_c D^{*+}$	0.045	0.076	0.141	$\rightarrow \eta_c D^{*0}$	0.045	0.077	0.142
$\rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \pi^0$	0.015	—	—	$\rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^0$	0.019	—	—
$\rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^+$	0.025	—	—	$\rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0$	$1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$6.5 \times 10^{-4}$
$\rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0$	$1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$	0.076	0.136	0.267
$\rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+$	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$\rightarrow \eta_c D_s^{*+}$	0.047	0.083	0.163

Lagrangian approach, the exhaustive decay widths and production branching ratios are shown up, based on the effective Lagrangian approach. The branching ratio increases with the cutoff parameter  $\alpha$  and binding energy  $\epsilon$ , which can reach the order of  $10^{-4}$  for the molecular configuration  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$ . Besides, it shows that the decay widths are not significant, which both at order of MeV regarding to strong decay of the two molecular configurations  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  and  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ .

### Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. LiSheng Geng for the meaningful discussion remarks and useful comments. This work is supported by NSFC under grants No. 12005294.

### Appendix A

The coupling constants  $g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}^2$  and  $g_{T_{J/\psi D^*}}^2$  can be deduced as,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}^2} &= 3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\infty d\beta \frac{\beta}{16\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left( \frac{-3\Phi^2(\Delta)}{(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{\Lambda_T^2 \Phi^2(\Delta)}{2m_{D^*}^2} \left( \frac{2}{(-1-\beta)^3} + \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^4} \times \frac{p^2}{2\Lambda_T^2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left. (2(1-\alpha)\beta - \frac{-2m_{D^*}}{m_{\eta_c} + m_{D^*}})^2 p^2 \right) - \frac{\Lambda_T^4 \Phi^2(\Delta)}{4m_{T_{\eta_c D^*}}^2 m_{D^*}^2} \left( \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^4} \frac{p^4}{4\Lambda_T^4} \times (4(\alpha-1)\beta \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2\frac{-2m_{D^*}}{m_{\eta_c} + m_{D^*}})^2 + \frac{1}{(-1-\beta)^3} \times \frac{p^2}{\Lambda_T^2} \right) \right), \\ \frac{1}{g_{T_{J/\psi D^*}}^2} &= 3 \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^\infty d\beta \frac{\beta}{16\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \left( \frac{-\Lambda_T^4 \Delta_5}{2m_{J/\psi}^2} - \frac{6p^2}{(-1-\beta)^2} \Phi^2(\Delta) + \frac{\Lambda_T^2 p^2 \Delta_1}{m_{J/\psi}^2} + \frac{p^2}{m_{D^*}^2 m_{J/\psi}^2} \frac{\Lambda_T^4}{4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\Delta_3 - 2\Delta_4 + \Delta_5) + \frac{1}{m_{D^*}^2 m_{J/\psi}^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda_T^6 \Delta_6 - 2\Lambda_T^6 \Delta_7 + 2\Lambda_T^4}{8} \right) + \left( \frac{\Lambda_T^2}{2} \Delta_1 - \Lambda_T^2 \Delta_2 + p^2 \right) \frac{2p^2}{m_{D^*}^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{p^4 \Delta_5}{m_{D^*}^2 m_{J/\psi}^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda_T^4 \Delta_3 - 2\Lambda_T^4 \Delta_4 + 2\Lambda_T^2 \Delta_1 p^2}{4} \right) - \frac{2}{m_{J/\psi}^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda_T^4 \Delta_5}{4} + p^4 - \Lambda_T^2 \Delta_2 p^2 \right) - \frac{1}{m_{D^*}^2 m_{J/\psi}^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left( \frac{\Lambda_T^6 \Delta_6}{4} - \frac{\Lambda_T^4 \Delta_4 p^2}{2} + \frac{\Lambda_T^4 \Delta_5 p^2}{2} - \frac{\Lambda_T^6 \Delta_7}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{m_{D^*}^2 m_{J/\psi}^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda_T^6 \Delta_6}{8} - \frac{\Lambda_T^4 \Delta_4 p^2}{2} + \frac{\Lambda_T^2 \Delta_1 p^4}{2} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

with  $\Delta = \frac{-m_{D^*}}{m_{\eta_c} + m_{D^*}} p^2 + \alpha\beta m_{D^*}^2 + (1-\alpha)\beta(p^2 - m_{\eta_c}^2) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(2(1-\alpha)\beta - \frac{2m_{D^*}}{m_{\eta_c} + m_{D^*}})^2}{-1-\beta} p^2$ , we take  $\Lambda_T = 1$  GeV in the work.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta' &= -\frac{m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}}^2 p^2 + \alpha\beta m_{D^*}^2 - (1-\alpha)\beta p^2 + (1-\alpha)\beta m_{J/\psi}^2 + \frac{[2(1-\alpha)\beta - \frac{-2m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}}]^2}{4(1+\beta)} p^2, \\ \Delta_1 &= \frac{\left( 2 \times (1-\alpha)\beta + \frac{2m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}} \right)^2 \Phi^2(\Delta')}{2(-\beta-1)^4 \Lambda_T^2}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{\left( -4 \times (1-\alpha)\beta - \frac{4m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}} \right) \Phi^2(\Delta')}{2(-\beta-1)^3 \Lambda_T^2}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \Phi^2(\Delta') \left( \frac{3(2(1-\alpha)\beta + \frac{2m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})^2}{(-\beta-1)^5 \Lambda_T^2} + \frac{p^2(2(1-\alpha)\beta + \frac{2m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})^4}{2(-\beta-1)^6 \Lambda_T^4} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_4 &= \Phi^2(\Delta') \left( \frac{3(4\beta(\alpha-1) - \frac{4m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})}{2(\beta+1)^4 \Lambda_T^2} + \frac{p^2(4(\alpha-1)\beta - \frac{4m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})(2\beta(1-\alpha) + \frac{2m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})^2}{2(-\beta-1)^5 \Lambda_T^4} \right), \\
\Delta_5 &= \Phi^2(\Delta') \left( \frac{(-4 \times (1-\alpha)\beta - \frac{4m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})}{(-\beta-1)^3 \Lambda_T^2} + \frac{p^2(-4 \times (1-\alpha)\beta - \frac{4m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})}{2(\beta+1)^4 \Lambda_T^4} \right), \\
\Delta_6 &= \Phi^2(\Delta') \left( \frac{3}{(-\beta-1)^4 \Lambda_T^2} + \frac{2p^2(-4 \times (1-\alpha)\beta - \frac{4m_{V_1}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{V_1}})^2}{(-\beta-1)^5 \Lambda_T^4} + \frac{p^2(2 \times (1-\alpha)\beta + \frac{2m_{V_1}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{V_1}})^2}{(-\beta-1)^5 \Lambda_T^4} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{3p^4(4 \times (\alpha-1)\beta - \frac{4m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})^2(2 \times (1-\alpha)\beta + \frac{2m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})^2}{8(\beta+1)^6 \Lambda_T^6} \right), \\
\Delta_7 &= \Phi^2(\Delta') \left( \frac{12p^2\alpha\beta - 12p^2\beta - 12p^2\frac{m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}}}{(\beta+1)^4 \Lambda_T^4} + \frac{3p^4((\alpha-1)\beta - \frac{m_{D^*}}{m_{J/\psi} + m_{D^*}})^3}{2(-\beta-1)^5 \Lambda_T^6} \right). \tag{A2}
\end{aligned}$$

The fully production and decay channels about four-quark states directly are collected into Tab. V and Tab. VI. In addition, The complete relations between different production processes are listed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 T_{J/\psi D^*}^+) &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ T_{J/\psi D^*}^0) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+), \\
\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{J/\psi D^*}^0) &= \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*0} T_{J/\psi D^*}^+) = \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \phi T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+), \\
\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 T_{\eta_c D^*}^+) &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ T_{\eta_c D^*}^0) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+), \\
\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{\eta_c D^*}^0) &= \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*0} T_{\eta_c D^*}^+) = \Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \phi T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+). \tag{A3}
\end{aligned}$$

The relations between different decay channels are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow \eta_c D^{*0}) &= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D^{*+}) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D_s^{*+}), \\
\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0) &= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+), \\
\Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0) &= \Gamma(T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+) = \Gamma(T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D_s^{*+}), \\
\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \pi^-) &= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ J/\psi K^-) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^+) \\
&= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ J/\psi \bar{K}^0) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi K^+) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi K^0) \\
&= 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^0) = 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \pi^0) = 6\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \eta_q) \\
&= 6\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \eta_q) = \frac{3}{2}\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ J/\psi \eta_q), \quad \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^-) \\
&= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c K^-) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \bar{K}^0) \\
&= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c K^+) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c K^0) = 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0) \\
&= 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0) = 6\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \eta_q) = 6\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \eta_q) \\
&= \frac{3}{2}\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \eta_q), \quad \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^-) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c K^-) \\
&= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \bar{K}^0) = \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c K^+) \\
&= \Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c K^0) = 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0) = 2\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0) \\
&= 6\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \eta_q) = 6\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \eta_q) = \frac{3}{2}\Gamma(T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \eta_q). \tag{A4}
\end{aligned}$$

TABLE V: The productions of the triply charmed four-quark molecular states  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}}$  from  $B_c$  meson.  $T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{q}}$  can be molecule  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$  and  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$ .

channel	amplitude	channel	amplitude
$B_c^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{d}}^+$	$\frac{-a_1 V_{cd}^*}{\sqrt{2}}$	$B_c^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{u}}^0$	$a_1 V_{cs}^*$
$B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{d}}^+$	$a_1 V_{cs}^*$	$B_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{s}}^+$	$a_1 V_{cd}^*$
$B_c^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{u}}^0$	$a_1 V_{cd}^*$	$B_c^+ \rightarrow \phi T_{cc\bar{c}\bar{s}}^+$	$a_1 V_{cs}^*$

TABLE VI: Two-body and three-body decay processes of  $T_{J/\psi D^*}$  and  $T_{\eta_c D^*}$ .

channel	amplitude	channel	amplitude	channel	amplitude
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0$	$\frac{b_1}{3}$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+$	$\frac{b_1}{3}$	$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$	$\frac{b_1}{3}$
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow \eta_c D^{*0}$	$\frac{b_2}{3}$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D^{*+}$	$\frac{b_2}{3}$	$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow \eta_c D_s^{*+}$	$\frac{b_2}{3}$
$T_{\eta_c D^*}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi D^0$	$\frac{b'_1}{3}$	$T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D^+$	$\frac{b'_1}{3}$	$T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$	$\frac{b'_1}{3}$
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^0$	$\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \eta_q$	$\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \pi^-$	$c_1$
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ J/\psi K^-$	$c_1$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi \pi^+$	$c_1$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \pi^0$	$-\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{2}}$
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi \eta_q$	$\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ J/\psi \bar{K}^0$	$c_1$	$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 J/\psi K^+$	$c_1$
$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ J/\psi K^0$	$c_1$	$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ J/\psi \eta_q$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}c_1$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0$	$\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2}}$
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \eta_q$	$\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{6}}$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^-$	$c_2$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c K^-$	$c_2$
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+$	$c_2$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0$	$-\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2}}$	$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \eta_q$	$\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{6}}$
$T_{J/\psi D^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \bar{K}^0$	$c_2$	$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c K^+$	$c_2$	$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c K^0$	$c_2$
$T_{J/\psi D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \eta_q$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}c_2$				
$T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \eta_q$	$\frac{c'_1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$T_{\eta_c D^*}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^-$	$c'_1$	$T_{\eta_c D^*}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c K^-$	$c'_1$
$T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^+$	$c'_1$	$T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \pi^0$	$-\frac{c'_1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c \eta_q$	$\frac{c'_1}{\sqrt{6}}$
$T_{\eta_c D^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \bar{K}^0$	$c'_1$	$T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c K^+$	$c'_1$	$T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D^+ \eta_c K^0$	$c'_1$
$T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \eta_c \pi^0$	$\frac{c'_1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$T_{\eta_c D_s^*}^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \eta_c \eta_q$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}c'_1$		

- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020), 112003 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.112003 [arXiv:2009.00026 [hep-ex]].
- [2] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **125** (2020), 242001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.242001 [arXiv:2009.00025 [hep-ex]].
- [3] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **131** (2023) no.4, 041902 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.041902 [arXiv:2212.02716 [hep-ex]].
- [4] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023) no.1, 012017 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.012017 [arXiv:2212.02717 [hep-ex]].
- [5] Q. Qin, J. L. Qiu and F. S. Yu, Eur. Phys. J. C **83** (2023) no.3, 227 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11375-4 [arXiv:2212.03590 [hep-ph]].
- [6] X. K. Dong, F. K. Guo and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126** (2021) no.15, 152001

- doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.152001 [arXiv:2011.14517 [hep-ph]].
- [7] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rept. **639** (2016), 1-121 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2016.05.004 [arXiv:1601.02092 [hep-ph]].
  - [8] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao and B. S. Zou, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90** (2018) no.1, 015004 [erratum: Rev. Mod. Phys. **94** (2022) no.2, 029901] doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015004 [arXiv:1705.00141 [hep-ph]].
  - [9] Y. R. Liu, H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **107** (2019), 237-320 doi:10.1016/j.pnpnp.2019.04.003 [arXiv:1903.11976 [hep-ph]].
  - [10] X. Cao, Front. Phys. (Beijing) **18** (2023) no.4, 44600 doi:10.1007/s11467-023-1264-8 [arXiv:2301.11253 [hep-ph]].
  - [11] M. Mai, U. G. Meißner and C. Urbach, Phys. Rept. **1001** (2023), 1-66 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2022.11.005 [arXiv:2206.01477 [hep-ph]].
  - [12] L. Meng, B. Wang, G. J. Wang and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rept. **1019** (2023), 1-149 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2023.04.003 [arXiv:2204.08716 [hep-ph]].
  - [13] P. G. Ortega and D. R. Entem, Symmetry **13** (2021) no.2, 279 doi:10.3390/sym13020279 [arXiv:2012.10105 [hep-ph]].
  - [14] H. Huang, C. Deng, X. Liu, Y. Tan and J. Ping, Symmetry **15** (2023) no.7, 1298 doi:10.3390/sym15071298
  - [15] R. F. Lebed, PoS **FPCP2023** (2023), 028 doi:10.22323/1.445.0028 [arXiv:2308.00781 [hep-ph]].
  - [16] B. S. Zou, Sci. Bull. **66** (2021), 1258 doi:10.1016/j.scib.2021.04.023 [arXiv:2103.15273 [hep-ph]].
  - [17] M. L. Du, V. Baru, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, J. A. Oller and Q. Wang, JHEP **08** (2021), 157 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2021)157 [arXiv:2102.07159 [hep-ph]].
  - [18] M. Z. Liu, Y. W. Pan, Z. W. Liu, T. W. Wu, J. X. Lu and L. S. Geng, Phys. Rept. **1108** (2025), 1-108 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2024.12.001 [arXiv:2404.06399 [hep-ph]].
  - [19] D. Johnson, I. Polyakov, T. Skwarnicki and M. Wang, doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-102422-040628 [arXiv:2403.04051 [hep-ex]].
  - [20] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Nature Phys. **18** (2022) no.7, 751-754 doi:10.1038/s41567-022-01614-y [arXiv:2109.01038 [hep-ex]].
  - [21] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Nature Commun. **13** (2022) no.1, 3351 doi:10.1038/s41467-022-30206-w [arXiv:2109.01056 [hep-ex]].
  - [22] Y. K. Chen, J. J. Han, Q. F. Lü, J. P. Wang and F. S. Yu, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2021) no.1, 71 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-08857-8 [arXiv:2009.01182 [hep-ph]].
  - [23] Z. H. Zhu, W. X. Zhang and D. Jia, Eur. Phys. J. C **84** (2024) no.4, 344 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12700-1 [arXiv:2312.01908 [hep-ph]].
  - [24] X. Z. Weng, W. Z. Deng and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.3, 034026 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034026 [arXiv:2109.05243 [hep-ph]].
  - [25] J. F. Jiang, W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.9, 094022 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.094022 [arXiv:1708.00142 [hep-ph]].
  - [26] H. Mutuk, Eur. Phys. J. C **83** (2023) no.5, 358 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11526-7 [arXiv:2305.03358 [hep-ph]].
  - [27] G. Yang, J. Ping and J. Segovia, Phys. Rev. D **110** (2024) no.5, 054036 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.054036 [arXiv:2407.14548 [hep-ph]].
  - [28] Q. F. Lü, D. Y. Chen, Y. B. Dong and E. Santopinto, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) no.5, 054026

- doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.054026 [arXiv:2107.13930 [hep-ph]].
- [29] Y. Xing, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.1, 57 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7625-3 [arXiv:1910.11593 [hep-ph]].
- [30] Y. Liu, M. A. Nowak and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) no.12, 126023 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.126023 [arXiv:1904.05189 [hep-ph]].
- [31] L. Meng, B. Wang and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020) no.11, 111502 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.111502 [arXiv:2011.08656 [hep-ph]].
- [32] Z. Yang, X. Cao, F. K. Guo, J. Nieves and M. P. Valderrama, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) no.7, 074029 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.074029 [arXiv:2011.08725 [hep-ph]].
- [33] M. J. Yan, F. Z. Peng, M. Sánchez Sánchez and M. Pavon Valderrama, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) no.11, 114025 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.114025 [arXiv:2102.13058 [hep-ph]].
- [34] V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart and A. V. Nefediev, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.3, 034014 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034014 [arXiv:2110.00398 [hep-ph]].
- [35] Q. Y. Zhai, M. Z. Liu, J. X. Lu and L. S. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022) no.3, 034026 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.034026 [arXiv:2205.03878 [hep-ph]].
- [36] N. Li, Y. Xing and X. H. Hu, Eur. Phys. J. C **83** (2023) no.11, 1013 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12188-1 [arXiv:2303.08008 [hep-ph]].
- [37] Y. Xing, F. S. Yu and R. Zhu, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) no.5, 373 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6882-5 [arXiv:1903.05973 [hep-ph]].
- [38] X. H. Hu, Y. L. Shen, W. Wang and Z. X. Zhao, Chin. Phys. C **42** (2018) no.12, 123102 doi:10.1088/1674-1137/42/12/123102 [arXiv:1711.10289 [hep-ph]].
- [39] N. Isgur, K. Maltman, J. D. Weinstein and T. Barnes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990), 161 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.161
- [40] H. J. Lipkin and B. s. Zou, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996), 6693-6696 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.53.6693
- [41] X. Q. Li, D. V. Bugg and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997), 1421-1424 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1421
- [42] J. J. Han, H. Y. Jiang, W. Liu, Z. J. Xiao and F. S. Yu, Chin. Phys. C **45** (2021) no.5, 053105 doi:10.1088/1674-1137/abec68 [arXiv:2101.12019 [hep-ph]].
- [43] K. Chen, C. Q. Pang, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) no.7, 074025 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.074025 [arXiv:1501.07766 [hep-ph]].
- [44] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977), 317 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.38.317
- [45] M. B. Voloshin and L. B. Okun, JETP Lett. **23** (1976), 333-336
- [46] M. Z. Liu, X. Z. Ling and L. S. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **110** (2024) no.5, 054035 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.054035 [arXiv:2404.07681 [hep-ph]].
- [47] Y. b. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008), 094013 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.094013 [arXiv:0802.3610 [hep-ph]].
- [48] T. Branz, T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Eur. Phys. J. A **37** (2008), 303-317 doi:10.1140/epja/i2008-10635-1 [arXiv:0712.0354 [hep-ph]].
- [49] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **130** (1963), 776-783 doi:10.1103/PhysRev.130.776
- [50] R. Dhir and R. C. Verma, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009), 034004 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.034004 [arXiv:0810.4284 [hep-ph]].
- [51] H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997), 2799-2811 [erratum: Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) no.7, 079901] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.56.2799 [arXiv:hep-ph/9612223 [hep-ph]].

- [52] C. W. Shen, J. J. Wu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) no.5, 056006 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.056006 [arXiv:1906.03896 [hep-ph]].
- [53] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005), 014030 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.014030 [arXiv:hep-ph/0409317 [hep-ph]].
- [54] S. Navas *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Phys. Rev. D **110** (2024) no.3, 030001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.030001
- [55] Z. Q. Yao, D. Binosi, Z. F. Cui and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Lett. B **818** (2021), 136344 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136344 [arXiv:2104.10261 [hep-ph]].
- [56] N. Yalikhun and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.9, 094026 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.094026 [arXiv:2112.06426 [hep-ph]].
- [57] J. M. Xie, X. Z. Ling, M. Z. Liu and L. S. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) no.11, 1061 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-11026-0 [arXiv:2204.12356 [hep-ph]].