

WASSERSTEIN METRIC, GRADIENT FLOW STRUCTURE AND WELL-POSEDNESS OF FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION ON LOCALLY FINITE GRAPHS

CONG WANG

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates the gradient flow structure and the well-posedness of the Fokker-Planck equation on locally finite graphs. We first construct a 2-Wasserstein-type metric in the probability density space associated with the underlying graphs that are locally finite. Then, we prove the global existence and asymptotic behavior of the solution to the Fokker-Planck equation using a novel approach that differs significantly from the methods applied in the finite case, as proposed in [S., Chow, W., Huang, Y., Li, H., Zhou, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 2012]. This work seems the first result on the study of Wasserstein-type metrics and the Fokker-Planck equation in probability spaces defined on infinite graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Fokker-Planck equation describes the evolution of the probability density for a stochastic process associated with an Itô stochastic differential equation. The seminal work by Jordan, Kinderlehrer, and Otto [8] revealed the connection among the Wasserstein metric (also named the Monge-Kantorovich metric), the Fokker-Planck equation, and the associated free energy functional, which is a linear combination of a potential energy functional and the negative of the Gibbs-Boltzmann entropy functional. In fact, the Fokker-Planck equation can be regarded as a gradient flow, or a steepest descent, for the free energy with respect to the 2-Wasserstein metric. This discovery has served as a starting point for numerous developments in evolution equations, probability theory, and geometry [1, 10, 11].

In recent years, similar studies have been studied in discrete settings, such as finite graphs and Markov chains. Typically, Chow, Huang, Li, and Zhou [5] investigated the relationships among three concepts defined on graphs: the free energy functional, the Fokker-Planck equation, and stochastic processes. It is well known that the notation of gradient flow makes sense only in context with an appropriate metric. As an alternative to the 2-Wasserstein metric defined on the continuous setting, several new metrics on the positive probability distributions with a graph as an underline space were constructed in [5]. Different choices for metric result in different Fokker-Planck equation. From the free energy viewpoint, they deduced a system of several nonlinear ordinary differential equations, which is named the Fokker-Planck equation on graphs, is gradient flow for the free energy functional defined on a Riemannian manifold of positive probability distributions. From the stochastic viewpoint, they introduced a new interpretation of white noise perturbations to a Markov process on the discrete space, and derived another Fokker-Planck equation as the time evolution equation for its probability density function, which is not same as the one obtained from the free energy functional. Under those settings, the unique

global equilibrium of those Fokker-Planck equation is a Gibbs distribution. With the framework constructed in [5], the authors of [3] proved that the exponential rate of convergence, which is measured by both the L^2 norm, and that of the (relative) entropy, towards the global equilibrium of these Fokker-Planck equation. With the convergence result, they also proved two Talagrand-type inequalities hold based on two different metrics introduced in [5]. In [9], Maas constructed a metric similar to, but different from, the 2-Wasserstein metric, and is defined via a discrete variant of the Benamou-Brenier formula [2]. Maas showed that with respect to this metric, the law of the continuous time Markov chain evolves as the gradient flow for the entropy defined on a finite set. Erbar and Maas [7] introduced a new notion of Ricci curvature that applies to Markov chains on discrete spaces under the metric construct in [9]. For a more general free energy functional consists of a Boltzmann entropy, a linear potential and a quadratic interaction energy, Chow, Li and Zhou [6] deduced the Fokker-Planck equation on graph as a gradient flow of this free energy functional. Their metric endowed to the positive probability distributions of the graph is similar to the one introduced by Maas [9]. In this article, Chow, Li and Zhou also proved the so called Log-Sobolev inequality by using the convergence of the solution. The asymptotic properties of the solution also are studied. Several numerical examples related to similar topics are provided in [4].

All the work mentioned above was developed in the finite setting, such as finite graphs and finite Markov chains. To the best of the author's knowledge, no related results exist in the context of infinite settings. There are two primary obstacles that arise when attempting to extend the results from the finite case to more general infinite graphs. The first obstacle is how to define the tangent space of the space of probability density when constructing the 2-Wasserstein distance \mathcal{W}_2 , or the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{P}_0(G), \mathcal{W}_2)$. Unlike finite graphs, where the tangent space is immediately apparent, constructing the appropriate tangent space on infinite-dimensional manifolds requires more careful observation and a deeper investigation into the properties of the individual components. The second obstacle is the existence of the global solution to the Fokker-Planck equation, an infinite-dimensional ODE, for all time $t > 0$ in the positive probability density space. The proof in finite graphs is elegant [5], where the method involves constructing a carefully chosen bounded subset, which is compact and entirely contained within the probability space $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$, and demonstrating that the solution remains within this bounded subset if the initial data lies within it. This method has been applied in several studies [5, 3, 6, 4]. Unfortunately, this approach is only applicable to finite graphs. In the infinite case, their definition of bounded sets becomes ambiguous at infinity. More importantly, bounded sets in infinite-dimensional spaces are not necessarily compact.

In this paper, we are trying to overcome those obstacles. The tangent space of any finite dimensional manifold is isomorphism to Euclidean space of the same dimension, whereas the tangent space of an infinite dimensional manifold is isomorphism to some Banach or Hilbert space. This fact provides more flexibility in constructing the tangent space of the probability density space, allowing it to possess the necessary properties. In order to make the Fokker-Planck equation on locally finite graph have a gradient flow structure, we define

the following tangent space

$$T_{\rho}\mathcal{P}_0(G) = \left\{ \sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \text{Ran}(A_{\rho}) \mid \sigma_i = \sigma(x_i) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i \pi_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i^2 \pi_i < \infty \right\},$$

where A_{ρ} is a negative weighted Laplace operator and its definition will be given in Section 3. Based on the definition of tangent space, the 2-Wasserstein distance was given by

$$\mathcal{W}_2^2(\rho^1, \rho^2) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \left\langle \dot{\rho}, A_{\rho}^{-1} \dot{\rho} \right\rangle_{\pi} dt : \rho(0) = \rho^1, \rho(1) = \rho^2, \rho \in \mathcal{C} \right\},$$

where \mathcal{C} is the set of all continuously differentiable curves $\rho(t) := [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(G)$. The Fokker-Planck equation can be viewed as a gradient flow of the free energy functional

$$F(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Psi_i \rho_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i \log \rho_i, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathcal{P}_0(G),$$

on $(\mathcal{P}_0(G), \mathcal{W}_2)$, where $\Psi = (\Psi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the potential of graph G and $\beta > 0$ is a fixed constant.

To prove the global existence of the Fokker-Planck equation, we first use the equation itself to derive a contradiction, showing that the solution will never touch the boundary of the probability density space. Then, we use the properties of gradient flow to show that the solution asymptotically approaches the Gibbs density $\rho^* = (\rho_i^*)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, given by

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_i^* = \frac{1}{K} e^{-\Psi_i} \text{ with } K = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j e^{-\Psi_j},$$

which is in the interior of the probability density space. This implies that the solution will never flow up to the boundary asymptotically. Our first main result is stated as follows

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G = (V, E, \omega)$ be a locally finite, connected, and stochastically complete graph. Then, for any initial data $\rho^0 \in \mathcal{P}_0(G)$, the Fokker-Planck equation (4.3) has a unique global solution in $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$.*

As a global solution of gradient flow, it will flow to the minimum point of its energy function, we hence have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G = (V, E, \omega)$ be a locally finite, connected, and stochastically complete graph. The solution ρ of the Fokker-Planck equation (4.3) with initial data $\rho^0 \in \mathcal{P}_0(G)$ converges exponentially to the Gibbs density $\rho^* = (\rho_i^*)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ under the $\ell^2(V, \pi)$ norm as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some basic settings about analysis on graphs. The construction of 2-Wasserstein distance on locally finite graph will be present in section 3. Finally, we study the Fokker-Planck equation on locally finite graphs, the global existence and asymptotic behavior of the solution to it will be proved in section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The set up and basic facts. Let $G = (V, E, \omega)$ be a connected, locally finite weighted graph with the vertex set V , edge set E and $\omega = (\omega_{ij})_{x_i, x_j \in V}$ contains the weight of each edge,

$$\omega_{ij} = \begin{cases} \omega_{ij} > 0, & \text{if } \{x_i, x_j\} \in E; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The weighted function satisfies $\omega_{ij} = \omega_{ji}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\Lambda := \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_{ij} < \infty, \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We denote

$$N(i) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \{x_i, x_j\} \in E\}$$

as the set of vertices adjacent to x_i . Let $\pi := (\pi_i)_{i=1}^\infty = (\pi(x_i))_{i=1}^\infty$ be a fixed measure on G satisfies $\Pi := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i = \infty$. The measure $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ and the weighted function ω such that the following relation

$$\sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} = \pi_i.$$

We assume that G is an undirected graph with no loops or multiple edges.

Let $C(V)$ be the set of real functions on V . For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote:

$$\ell^p(V, \pi) := \left\{ f \in C(V); \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi(x_i) |f(x_i)|^p < \infty \right\},$$

as the set of ℓ^p integrable functions on V with respect to the measure π . For $p = \infty$,

$$\ell^\infty(V, \pi) := \left\{ f \in C(V); \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |f(x_i)| < \infty \right\}.$$

The standard inner product is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_\pi := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f(x_i)g(x_i)\pi_i, \quad \forall f, g \in \ell^2(V, \pi).$$

This makes $\ell^2(V, \pi)$ a Hilbert space. Let $\phi \in C(V)$, define $\nabla_G \phi \in C(V \times V)$ by

$$\nabla_G \phi(x_i, x_j) := \begin{cases} \phi(x_i) - \phi(x_j), & \text{if } \{x_i, x_j\} \in E; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The probability density space on the graph G is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \left\{ \rho = (\rho_i)_{i=1}^\infty \mid \rho_i = \rho(x_i) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \rho_i \pi_i = 1 \text{ and } \rho_i \geq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and the positive probability density space on the graph G is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_0(G) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \mid \rho_i = \rho(x_i) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i \pi_i = 1 \text{ and } \rho_i > 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

The boundary of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is denoted by

$$\partial\mathcal{P}(G) := \mathcal{P}(G) / \mathcal{P}_0(G) = \{ \boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{P}(G), \text{ and } \exists i_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ s.t. } \rho_{i_0} = 0 \}.$$

We denote $\Psi = (\Psi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ as the potential on the graph G (i.e., Ψ_i is the potential at the state x_i), and define

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(x_i, x_j) := \begin{cases} \phi(x_i), & \text{if } \Psi(x_i) > \Psi(x_j), j \in N(i); \\ \phi(x_j), & \text{if } \Psi(x_i) < \Psi(x_j), j \in N(i); \\ \frac{\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_j)}{\log \phi(x_i) - \log \phi(x_j)}, & \text{if } \Psi(x_i) = \Psi(x_j), j \in N(i), \end{cases}$$

for any $\phi \in C(V)$. For $\Phi \in C(V \times V)$, the divergence $\nabla_G \cdot \Phi \in C(V)$ is defined by

$$\nabla_G \cdot \Phi(x_i) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\Phi(x_j, x_i) - \Phi(x_i, x_j)).$$

Moreover, the weighted divergence is defined by

$$\nabla_G \cdot (\rho\Phi)(x_i) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\Phi(x_j, x_i) - \Phi(x_i, x_j)) \rho(x_i, x_j),$$

for some $\rho \in C(V)$, where the $\rho(x_i, x_j)$ is defined in (2.2). The traditional π -Laplacian Δ_G was defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_G \phi(x_i) := \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\phi(x_j) - \phi(x_i)), \quad \forall \phi \in C(V).$$

However, in this paper, in order to be consistent with the case of finite graphs [5], we use another weighted π -Laplacian operator:

$$\Delta_{\Psi} \phi(x_i) := \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\log \phi(x_j) - \log \phi(x_i)) \phi(x_i, x_j), \quad \forall \phi \in C(V).$$

Here, the definition of $\phi(x_i, x_j)$ was given in (2.2). Notice that the definition of Laplacian operator Δ_{Ψ} is a little different from the general discrete Laplace Δ_G . Actually, if the potential $\Phi(x_i) = \Phi(x_j)$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, they are same with each other. However, when $\Phi(x_i) \neq \Phi(x_j)$, the definition differs. Then, by the definition of gradient, divergence and Laplacian on $C(V)$, we have

$$\nabla_G \cdot (\nabla_G \phi) = \Delta_G \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C(V).$$

Actually, a direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_G \cdot (\nabla_G \phi)(x_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\nabla_G \phi(x_j, x_i) - \nabla_G \phi(x_i, x_j)) \\ &= \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\phi(x_j) - \phi(x_i)) = \Delta_G \phi(x_i).\end{aligned}$$

Given two symmetric vector fields Φ and $\check{\Phi}$ defined on $V \times V$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, the discrete inner product is defined as follows

(2.4)

$$(\Phi, \check{\Phi})_\rho := \sum_{(x_i, x_j) \in E} \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j) \check{\Phi}(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j) \check{\Phi}(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j).$$

In particular,

$$(\Phi, \Phi)_\rho = \sum_{(x_i, x_j) \in E} \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j)^2 \rho(x_i, x_j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j)^2 \rho(x_i, x_j).$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. Then, for any symmetry $\Phi \in C(V \times V)$ and $\phi \in C(V)$, the following property holds*

$$(2.5) \quad \langle \nabla_G \cdot (\rho \Phi), \phi \rangle_\pi = (\Phi, \nabla_G \phi)_\rho.$$

Furthermore, the following relation holds

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\nabla_G \cdot (\rho \Phi))(x_i) \pi_i = 0.$$

Proof. On the one hand, by the definition of standard inner product (2.1), we have

$$\langle \nabla_G \cdot (\rho \Phi), \phi \rangle_\pi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} (\Phi(x_j, x_i) - \Phi(x_i, x_j)) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_i).$$

On the other hand, by the definition of discrete inner product (2.4), we have

$$(\Phi, \nabla_G \phi)_\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} (\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_j)) \Phi(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j).$$

Due to the symmetry of $\omega_{ij} = \omega_{ji}$, $\rho(x_i, x_j) = \rho(x_j, x_i)$ and $\Phi(x_i, x_j) = \Phi(x_j, x_i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} (\Phi(x_j, x_i) - \Phi(x_i, x_j)) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} [\omega_{ij} \Phi(x_j, x_i) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_i) - \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_i)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ji} \Phi(x_j, x_i) \rho(x_j, x_i) \phi(x_i).\end{aligned}$$

Interchanging x_i and x_j in the second term above yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} (\Phi(x_j, x_i) - \Phi(x_i, x_j)) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} \Phi(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j) \phi(x_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \omega_{ij} (\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_j)) \Phi(x_i, x_j) \rho(x_i, x_j). \end{aligned}$$

This implies equivalence between $\langle \nabla_G \cdot (\rho \Phi), \phi \rangle_\pi$ and $(\Phi, \nabla_G \phi)_\rho$.

For identity (2.6), it is a direct consequence of (2.5) by taking $\phi = \mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots)$. \square

A function $h : (0, +\infty) \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a fundamental solution to the following heat equation on graph (G, E, ω) ,

$$(2.7) \quad u_t = \Delta_G u,$$

if for any bounded initial data $u^0 : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function

$$u(t, x_i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(t, x_i, x_j) u^0(x_j) \pi_j, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0,$$

is differentiable in time variable t , satisfies the heat equation (2.7), and for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(t, x_i) = u^0(x_i)$. The heat semigroup associated with $-\Delta_G$ was given by

$$e^{t\Delta_G} f(x_i) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(t, x_i, x_j) f(x_j) \pi_j$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \ell^2(V, \pi)$. It is known that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(t, x_i, x_j) \pi(x_j) \leq 1$. The graph is called stochastically complete, if the following condition holds:

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(t, x_i, x_j) \pi_j = 1, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Throughout this work, we always assume that the graph (G, E, ω) is stochastically complete.

3. WASSERSTEIN TYPE DISTANCE ON LOCALLY FINITE GRAPHS

In this section, we construct the 2-Wasserstein type metric on the locally finite graphs (G, E, ω) . This section closely parallels the corresponding part in [6], where the authors focused on finite graphs. Here, we deal with the infinite case.

We define the discrete analogue of 2-Wasserstein metric on the probability density space $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$ using continuity equation. See [2] for the original work in continuous setting. For any $\rho^1, \rho^2 \in \mathcal{P}_0(G)$, define

$$\mathcal{W}_2^2(\rho^1, \rho^2) := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{C}(V)} \left\{ \int_0^1 (v(t), v(t))_{\rho(t)} dt : \dot{\rho} + \nabla_G \cdot (\rho(t)v(t)) = 0, \rho(0) = \rho^1, \rho(1) = \rho^2 \right\},$$

where $\dot{\rho} := \frac{d\rho}{dt}$.

We define a new operator A_ρ from $D(A_\rho) \subset \ell^2(V, \pi)$ to $\ell^2(V, \pi)$ by

$$A_\rho \mathbf{p}(x_i) := -\nabla_G \cdot (\rho \nabla_G \mathbf{p})(x_i) = - \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\mathbf{p}(x_j) - \mathbf{p}(x_i)) \rho(x_i, x_j),$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, it is a weighted Laplacian operator, distinct from Δ_G and Δ_Ψ . The tangent space of $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$ was defined by

$$T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G) = \left\{ \sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in \text{Ran}(A_\rho) \mid \sigma_i = \sigma(x_i) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_i \pi_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_i^2 \pi_i < \infty \right\}.$$

Next, we present the equivalence between the tangent space $T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$ and the range of operator A_ρ throughout the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For a given $\sigma \in T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$, there exists a unique real function $\mathbf{p} = (p_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in D(A_\rho)$, up to a constant shift, such that*

$$\sigma = A_\rho \mathbf{p} = -\nabla_G \cdot (\rho \nabla_G \mathbf{p}).$$

Moreover, we have $T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G) = \text{Ran}(A_\rho)$.

Proof. On the one hand, for any $\mathbf{p} \in D(A_\rho)$, because of (2.6) in Lemma 2.1, there is

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_\rho \mathbf{p}(x_i) \pi_i = - \sum_{i=1}^\infty \nabla_G \cdot (\rho \nabla_G \mathbf{p})(x_i) \pi_i = 0.$$

This implies that $\text{Ran}(A_\rho) \subset T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$.

On the other hand, the definition of $T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$ yields $T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G) \subset \text{Ran}(A_\rho)$. Hence, there is $T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G) = \text{Ran}(A_\rho)$. \square

Remark 3.1. In the finite graph case, i.e. $|V| = n < \infty$, the range $\text{Ran}(A_\rho)$ of operator A_ρ is a finite dimensional space, which is naturally a closed space. Hence, the tangent space is

$$T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G) = \left\{ \sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_i = \sigma(x_i) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_i \pi_i = 0 \right\},$$

and $\text{Ker}(A_\rho)^\perp = \text{Ran}(A_\rho) = T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$. One can find the details in [6].

We denote A_ρ^{-1} the pseudo-inverse operator of the Laplacian operator A_ρ . Actually, according to the definition of the operator A_ρ , the constant function $\mathbf{1} = (1)_{i=1}^\infty$ is the eigenfunction of it with eigenvalue 0. Consider

$$\langle A_\rho \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle_\pi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\mathbf{p}(x_i) - \mathbf{p}(x_j))^2 \rho(x_i, x_j) \pi_i = 0,$$

which indicates $\mathbf{p}(x_i) = \mathbf{p}(x_j)$ for $j \in N(i)$. Since the graph G is connected, it follows that $\mathbf{p}(x_i) = \mathbf{p}(x_j)$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, 0 is a simple eigenvalue, and $\text{Ker}(A_\rho) = \{c := (c)_{i=1}^\infty \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let \mathcal{R} be the quotient space $D(A_\rho) / \text{Ker}(A_\rho)$. In other words, for $\mathbf{p} \in C(V)$, we consider the equivalence class

$$[\mathbf{p}] = \{(p_1 + c, p_2 + c, \dots, p_n + c, \dots); c \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

and all such equivalent classes form the infinite dimensional space \mathcal{R} . We define the operator $\tilde{A}_\rho : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$ as follows

$$\tilde{A}_\rho([p]) = A_\rho(p), \quad \forall p \in D(A_\rho).$$

Obviously, the operator \tilde{A}_ρ is invertible. We denote its inverse operator as \tilde{A}_ρ^{-1} from $T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$ to \mathcal{R} . Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{R} \times \text{Ker}(A_\rho) = [D(A_\rho)/\text{Ker}(A_\rho)] \times \text{Ker}(A_\rho) \cong D(A_\rho).$$

Hence, we define

$$A_\rho^{-1}(\sigma) = p,$$

with $\tilde{A}_\rho^{-1}(\sigma) = [p]$. Here p is a representation of $[p]$.

Next, we give a innerproduct to the tangent space $T_\rho(\mathcal{P}_0(G))$ of manifold $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\sigma^1, \sigma^2 \in T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$, define the inner product $g_\rho : T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G) \times T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g_\rho(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) := \left\langle \sigma^1, A_\rho^{-1} \sigma^2 \right\rangle_\pi = \left\langle A_\rho p^1, p^2 \right\rangle_\pi = - \left\langle \nabla_G p^1, \nabla_G p^2 \right\rangle_\rho,$$

where $\sigma^1 = A_\rho p^1$ and $\sigma^2 = A_\rho p^2$.

As a consequence of Definition 3.1, the 2-Wasserstein distance can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{W}_2^2(\rho^1, \rho^2) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \left\langle \dot{\rho}, A_\rho^{-1} \dot{\rho} \right\rangle_\pi dt : \rho(0) = \rho^1, \rho(1) = \rho^2, \rho \in \mathcal{C} \right\},$$

where \mathcal{C} is the set of all continuously differentiable curves $\rho(t) := [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(G)$.

Now, we give the gradient flow structure of any functional \mathcal{J} from $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$ to \mathbb{R} on the infinite Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{P}_0(G), \mathcal{W}_2)$.

Lemma 3.2. For the locally finite graph G , the gradient flow of functional $\mathcal{J} \in C^2(\mathcal{P}(G))$ on $(\mathcal{P}_0(G), \mathcal{W}_2)$ is

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -A_\rho \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \mathcal{J}(\rho),$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \nabla_G \cdot \left(\rho \nabla_G \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \mathcal{J}(\rho) \right) \right).$$

This lemma is a direct conclusion of the definition of gradient flow on a manifold given by

$$g_\rho(\dot{\rho}, \sigma) = \left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \mathcal{J}, \sigma \right\rangle_\pi, \quad \forall \sigma \in T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G).$$

One can also find a proof in [6] (Theorem 2.4) for finite graphs. It is applicable to Lemma 3.2 with some minor adjustments.

4. FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION ON LOCALLY FINITE GRAPHS

In this section, we prove the global existence and asymptotic behavior of the unique solution to the Fokker-Planck equation on locally finite graphs, i.e. Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

Recall that $\Psi = (\Psi_i)_{i=1}^\infty = (\Psi(x_i))_{i=1}^\infty$ is the potential on V . The free energy functional on $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$ is given by the following expression:

$$(4.1) \quad F(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Psi_i \rho_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i \log \rho_i, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathcal{P}_0(G),$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a fixed constant. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta = 1$ in the following. Direct calculation shows that the Gibbs density $\rho^* = (\rho_i^*)_{i=1}^\infty$, given by

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_i^* = \frac{1}{K} e^{-\Psi_i} \text{ with } K = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j e^{-\Psi_j},$$

is the only global minimizer of the free energy functional F in $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$. Note that, in order to make the Gibbs density (4.2) to be well-defined, we assume that the potential sequence $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is bounded from below and that $\Psi_i < \infty$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

The Fokker-Planck equation is given by

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d\rho}{dt} = \nabla_G \cdot (\rho \nabla_G \Psi) + \Delta_\Psi \rho.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_G \cdot (\rho \nabla_G \Psi)(x_i) = \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} [\Psi(x_j) - \Psi(x_i)] \rho(x_i, x_j),$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta_\Psi \rho(x_i) = \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} [(\log \rho(x_j) - \log \rho(x_i))] \rho(x_i, x_j).$$

Submitting (4.4) and (4.5) into the Fokker-Planck equation (4.3), we obtain

$$\frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} [\Psi(x_j) - \Psi(x_i)] \rho(x_i, x_j) + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} [\log \rho(x_j) - \log \rho(x_i)] \rho(x_i, x_j).$$

The above equation can be rewritten as

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_i \frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = & \sum_{j \in N(i), \Psi_j > \Psi_i} \omega_{ij} [(\Psi_j + \log \rho_j) - (\Psi_i + \log \rho_i)] \rho_j \\ & + \sum_{j \in N(i), \Psi_j < \Psi_i} \omega_{ij} [(\Psi_j + \log \rho_j) - (\Psi_i + \log \rho_i)] \rho_i \\ & + \sum_{j \in N(i), \Psi_j = \Psi_i} \omega_{ij} (\rho_j - \rho_i), \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2, equation (4.6) is a gradient flow of the free energy F on $(\mathcal{P}_0(G), \mathcal{W}_2)$.

Let $B_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}, r)$ represent the ball with radius r and central $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. We then have the following lemma, which present the relationship between metric of ℓ^2 and g_ρ .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $r_0 > 0$ be a constant such that $B_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}^*, r_0) \subset \mathcal{P}_0(G)$. Then, for any $0 < r < r_0$, the following inequality holds*

$$(4.7) \quad g_\rho(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \geq C \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle_\pi, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G), \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_0(G) \cap B_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}^*, r).$$

with $C > 0$ only depends on r_0 .

Proof. Consider the set

$$P := \left\{ \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} = \left(p_i - \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_j p_j} \right)_{i=1}^\infty \mid \boldsymbol{p} = (p_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in C(V), \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i \left(p_i - \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_j p_j} \right)^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Since the negative Laplacian operators $-\Delta_G$ and A_ρ have the simple eigenvalue 0 with eigenfunction $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots)$, the set P contains all the eigenfunction of the Laplacian operator $-\Delta_G$ and A_ρ in $\ell^2(V, \pi)$.

Because

$$\min\{\rho_i, \rho_j\} \leq \frac{\rho_i - \rho_j}{\log \rho_i - \log \rho_j} \leq \max\{\rho_i, \rho_j\},$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\boldsymbol{p}(x_i) - \boldsymbol{p}(x_j))^2 \rho(x_i, x_j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\boldsymbol{p}(x_i) - \boldsymbol{p}(x_j))^2 \min\{\rho_i, \rho_j\},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\boldsymbol{p}(x_i) - \boldsymbol{p}(x_j))^2 \rho(x_i, x_j) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\pi_i} (\boldsymbol{p}(x_i) - \boldsymbol{p}(x_j))^2 \max\{\rho_i, \rho_j\},$$

where \boldsymbol{p} is a real function satisfies $A_\rho \boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$. Hence, for any $\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \in P$, there exists a constant $C(r_0) > 0$ such that

$$\langle A_\rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \rangle_\pi \leq \langle -\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \rangle_\pi \leq C(r_0) \lambda_{\max}(-\Delta_G) \langle \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \rangle_\pi = C(r_0) \lambda_{\max}(-\Delta_G),$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_0(G) \cap B_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}^*, r)$ and $r < r_0$, where $\lambda_{\max}(-\Delta_G)$ is the maximum eigenvalue of the negative Laplacian operator $-\Delta_G$ defined in (2.3). Then, for any eigenvalue $\lambda(A_\rho)$ of A_ρ with eigenfunction $\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \in P$, we have

$$\lambda(A_\rho) = \langle \lambda(A_\rho) \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \rangle_\pi = \langle A_\rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \rangle_\pi \leq C(r_0) \lambda_{\max}(-\Delta_G).$$

Hence, for any $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in T_\rho \mathcal{P}_0(G)$, we have

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}, A_\rho^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle_\pi \geq \frac{1}{C(r_0) \lambda_{\max}(-\Delta_G)} \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle_\pi.$$

Here, we finish the proof of (4.7). \square

Now, we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.1, which states the global existence of the solution to Fokker-Planck equation.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Firstly, the Picard-Lindelöf theorem yields that there exists a unique local solution $\rho : [0, T_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(G)$, if $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}_0(G)$. Next, we prove the solution exists globally in $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$ with initial data $\rho^0 \in \mathcal{P}_0(G)$ in two steps.

Step 1. In this step, we prove the solution $\rho(t)$ will never reach on the boundary at any time $T < \infty$ by deriving a contradiction. Assume that $\rho(t)$ hit the boundary $\partial\mathcal{P}(G)$ at time T at the point $\mu = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Let M_1 be the largest subset of \mathbb{N} such that $\mu_i = 0$ for any $i \in M_1$. We also denote $M_2 = \mathbb{N}/M_1$. Because $\rho_i(i \in M_1)$ converge to $\mu_i = 0$ simultaneously, as $t \rightarrow T \geq T_0$ and $\rho_j(t) > 0$ for all $j \in M_2$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$. As a consequence, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \log \rho_i(t) = -\infty \quad \text{for any } i \in M_1,$$

and

$$\rho_j(t) > 0 \quad \text{for any } j \in M_2 \text{ and } t \in [0, T].$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (\log \rho_j(t) - \log \rho_i(t)) = \infty, \quad \text{for any } i \in M_1, j \in M_2.$$

Furthermore,

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} (\Psi_j + \log \rho_j(t)) - (\Psi_i - \log \rho_i(t)) = \infty, \quad \text{for any } i \in M_1 \text{ and } j \in M_2 \cap N(i).$$

According to the Fokker-Planck equation and (4.8), we have that there exists a time $T_1 > 0$ such that $T_1 < T$ and

$$\sum_{i \in M_1} \pi_i \frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = \sum_{i \in M_1} \left\{ \sum_{j \in M_2 \cap N(i)} \omega_{ij} [(\Psi_j + \log \rho_j) - (\Psi_i + \log \rho_i)] \rho(x_i, x_j) \right\} > 0,$$

for any $t \in [T_1, T]$. This provides a contradiction with

$$\sum_{i \in M_1} \pi_i \rho_i(T_1) > \sum_{i \in M_1} \pi_i \rho_i(T) = 0.$$

Step 2. In this step, we assume that the solution ρ exists globally, but there exists a sequence of times $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ and a sequence of number $i_m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the sequence $\rho_{i_m}(t_n)$ tends to zero as n and m goes to infinity and we derive a contradiction in the following. According to the fact that the Fokker-Planck equation is a gradient flow in the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{P}_0(G), \mathcal{W}_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} g_\rho(\rho(t) - \rho^*, \rho(t) - \rho^*) &= g_\rho(\dot{\rho}, \rho(t) - \rho^*) \\ &= g_\rho \left(-A_\rho \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} F(\rho), \rho(t) - \rho^* \right) \\ &= - \left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} F(\rho), \rho(t) - \rho^* \right\rangle_\pi \leq F(\rho^*) - F(\rho(t)) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $t > 0$ such that $\rho(t) \neq \rho^*$, where we used the convexity of F in the last inequality. This shows the function $t \mapsto g_\rho(\rho - \rho^*, \rho - \rho^*)$ is decreased. Combining the monotony

of $g_\rho(\rho(t) - \rho^*, \rho(t) - \rho^*)$, inequality (4.7) and the result of step 1, we conclude that there exist three constants $N > 0$, $M > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\rho_{i_m}(t_n) \geq C \text{ for any } n \geq N \text{ and } m \geq M.$$

This contradicts our hypothesis at the beginning of this step. \square

Remark 4.1. The assumption of stochastic completeness in Theorem 1.1 is crucial. For example, if the potential is a constant, i.e. $\Psi_i = \Psi_j$ for any $i \neq j$, the Fokker-Planck equation degenerates into a heat equation, and its solution can be express as

$$\rho_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(t, x_i, x_j) \rho_0(x_j) \pi_j.$$

Hence, if the graph is stochastically incomplete, we will obtain

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i \rho_i(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(t, x_i, x_j) \rho_0(x_j) \pi_j = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \rho_0(x_j) \pi_j \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i h(t, x_i, x_j) < 1.$$

This implies that the solution flows out of the probability density space $\mathcal{P}(G)$, violating the conservation of total probability.

Next, we will study the asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the Fokker-Planck equations in $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$. Actually, the solution will convergent to the Gibbs distribution under the $\ell^2(V, \pi)$ norm. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is standard, we write the sketch of it here.

Proof of Thoerem 1.2. The classical analysis on gradient flow yields that

$$g_\rho(\rho(t) - \rho^*, \rho(t) - \rho^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

By inequality (4.7), we obtain

$$C \|\rho(t) - \rho^*\|_{\ell^2(V, \pi)} = C \langle \rho(t) - \rho^*, \rho(t) - \rho^* \rangle_\pi^{1/2} \leq g_\rho(\rho(t) - \rho^*, \rho(t) - \rho^*)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, for some $C > 0$ given in Lemma 4.1. \square

The finite graph case in [5] is a corollary of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

Corollary 4.1 (Theorem 2, [5]). *Given a connected graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ with its vertex set $V = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$, edge set E , a potential $\Psi = (\Psi_i)_{i=1}^N$ on V and a constant $\beta \geq 0$, let the neighborhood set of a vertex a_i be*

$$N(i) = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \mid \{a_i, a_j\} \in E\},$$

then,

1. The gradient flow of free energy F ,

$$F(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^N \Psi_i \rho_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i \log \rho_i$$

on the Riemannian manifold (\mathcal{P}_0, d_Ψ) of probability densities ρ on V is

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = & \sum_{j \in N(i), \Psi_j > \Psi_i} [(\Psi_j + \log \rho_j) - (\Psi_i + \log \rho_i)] \rho_j \\ & + \sum_{j \in N(i), \Psi_j < \Psi_i} [(\Psi_j + \log \rho_j) - (\Psi_i + \log \rho_i)] \rho_i \\ & + \sum_{j \in N(i), \Psi_j = \Psi_i} \beta(\rho_j - \rho_i), \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

2. For all $\beta > 0$, Gibbs distribution $\rho^* = (\rho_i^*)_{i=1}^N$ given by

$$\rho_i^* = \frac{1}{K} e^{-\Psi_i/\beta} \text{ with } K = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-\Psi_i/\beta}$$

is the unique stationary distribution of the Fokker-Planck equation (4.9) in \mathcal{P}_0 . Furthermore, the free energy F attains its global minimum at the Gibbs distribution.

3. For all $\beta > 0$, there exists a unique solution

$$\rho(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0$$

of the Fokker-Planck equation (4.9) with initial value $\rho^0 \in \mathcal{P}_0$, and $\rho(t)$ satisfies

- (a) the free energy $F(\rho(t))$ decreases as time t increases,
- (b) $\rho(t) \rightarrow \rho^*$ under the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^N as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Data availability. No data was used for the research described in the article.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. There is no acknowledgement.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flow: In metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Springer Science and Business Media, Berlin, 2006.
- [2] J. D. Benamou and Y. Brenier. A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem. *Numer. Math.*, 84(3):375–393, 2000.
- [3] R. Che, W. Huang, Y. Li, and P. Tetali. Convergence to global equilibrium for Fokker-Planck equations on a graph and Talagrand-type inequalities. *J. Differ. Equ.*, 261(4):2552–2583, 2016.
- [4] S. Chow, L. Dieci, W. Li, and H. Zhou. Entropy dissipation semi-discretization schemes for Fokker-Planck equations. *J. Dyn. Differ. Equ.*, 31(2):765–792, 2019.
- [5] S. Chow, W. Huang, Y. Li, and H. Zhou. Fokker-Planck equations for free energy functional or Markov process on a graph. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 203(3):969–1008, 2012.
- [6] S. Chow, W. Li, and H. Zhou. Entropy dissipation of Fokker-Planck equations on graphs. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 38(10):4929–4950, 2018.
- [7] M. Erbar and J. Maas. Ricci curvature of finite Markov chains via convexity of the entropy. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 206(3):997–1038, 2012.
- [8] R. Jordan, D. Kinderlehrer, and F. Otto. The variational formulation of the Fokker-Planck equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 29(1):1–17, 1998.

- [9] J. Maas. Gradient flows of the entropy for finite Markov chains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(8):2250–2292, 2011.
- [10] C. Villani. *Topics in optimal transportation*, volume 58. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [11] C. Villani. *Optimal transport, old and new*, volume 338. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

(C. Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, P.R. CHINA.

Email address: math_congwang@163.com