

# $C$ -existence families, $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in complete random normed modules

Xia Zhang, Leilei Wei, Ming Liu and Tiexin Guo

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first introduce the notion of a (mild)  $C$ -existence family in complete random normed modules, then we prove that a (mild)  $C$ -existence family can guarantee the existence of the (mild) solutions of the associated abstract Cauchy problem in the random setting. Second, we investigate several important properties peculiar to locally almost surely bounded  $C$ -semigroups in complete random normed modules, which are not involved in the classical theory of  $C$ -semigroups. Finally, based on the above work, some relations among  $C$ -existence families,  $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in complete random normed modules are established, which extend and improve some known results. Besides, an application to a type of stochastic differential equations is also given.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. $C$ -existence families in complete $RN$ modules	5
4. $C$ -semigroups in complete $RN$ modules	8
5. Relations among $C$ -existence families, $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in complete $RN$ modules	18
6. An application to a type of stochastic differential equations	26
References	27

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that  $X$  is a Banach space and  $A$  is a closed linear operator on  $X$ , then the abstract Cauchy problem on  $X$  is described as the following

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H25; 45R05; 46N30.

*Key words and phrases.* Random normed modules,  $C$ -semigroups, Locally almost surely bounded, Abstract Cauchy problems.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12171361 and 12371141) and the Humanities and Social Science Foundation of Ministry of Education (Grant No. 20YJC790174).

Tiexin Guo is the corresponding author.

form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dz(t)}{dt} = Az(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \\ z(0) = z_0. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

It is well known that  $A$  generating a  $C_0$ -semigroup corresponds to the abstract Cauchy problem  $(*)$  having a unique mild solution, for all initial data  $z_0$  [5, 19]. What should be done when the abstract Cauchy problem  $(*)$  is not well-posed or does not have a mild solution for all initial data? Ill-posed problems arise naturally, see [18] for details. There are two ways one can choose. One can look for initial data in the original space yield solutions. Or one can renorm a subspace in such an approach that  $A$ , when restricted to that space, generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup. Just as stated in [1],  $C$ -existence families and  $C$ -semigroups provide a simple yet powerful tool for either way, and the studies on such families of operators have also achieved great success [1–3].

Considering the fundamental importance of the classical theory of operator semigroups, a recent development of interest lies in the extension of the classical theory of operator semigroups to random normed modules (briefly,  $RN$  modules). The notion of an  $RN$  module is a random generalization of that of a normed space. Thanks to Guo and Gigli's independent pioneering contributions [6–10], the theory of  $RN$  modules has obtained a systematic and deep development for the past thirty years, and has been successfully applied to several important fields such as random equations [13, 15, 16, 22], conditional risk measures [11] and nonsmooth differential geometry on metric measure spaces [6, 7, 14, 17]. The theory of operator semigroups on  $RN$  modules begins in the literature [12], where in order to establish the Stone representation theorem of a semigroup of strongly continuous unitary operators on complex random inner product modules, Guo and Zhang discover the fundamental theorem of calculus from a finite real closed interval to a complete  $RN$  module under the  $L^0$ -Lipschitz assumption. In fact, such a fundamental theorem of calculus plays an important role in the study of the theory of operator semigroups on  $RN$  modules. For example, using such a fundamental theorem of calculus, in 2012, Zhang studied some mean ergodic semigroups of random linear operators in [26], and in 2013, Zhang and Liu further investigated some almost surely (briefly, a.s.) bounded semigroups of linear operators in [24]. Subsequently, in 2019, Thang, Son and Think first established the Hille-Yosida generation theorem for contraction  $C_0$ -semigroups of continuous module homomorphisms in [23]. In 2020, Zhang, Liu and Guo further established the Hille-Yosida generation theorem for a.s. bounded  $C_0$ -semigroups of continuous module homomorphisms by a different way in [25]. Also in 2020, Zhang et al. investigated the abstract Cauchy problems with respect to  $C_0$ -semigroups in complete  $RN$  modules in [27]. In 2023, Son, Thang and Oanh first studied the exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in complete  $RN$

modules [21]. The purpose of this paper is to continue to study the theory of operator semigroups in complete  $RN$  modules.

This paper contains six sections: in Section 2, we will present some preliminaries; in Section 3, we will introduce the notion of a  $C$ -existence family in complete  $RN$  modules and present some results between such  $C$ -existence families and their associated abstract Cauchy problems; in Section 4, we will give several important properties peculiar to locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroups in complete  $RN$  modules; then, based on the above work, in Section 5, we are devoted to establishing some basic relations among  $C$ -existence families,  $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in the random setting; in Section 6, we will give an application to a type of stochastic differential equations.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we always assume that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  denotes a given probability space,  $K$  the scalar field  $R$  of real numbers or  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers,  $N$  the set of natural numbers. Moreover,  $L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$  denotes the algebra of equivalence classes of  $K$ -valued  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variables on  $\Omega$  and  $\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$  the set of equivalence classes of generalized real-valued  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variables on  $\Omega$ . It is known from [4] that  $\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$  is a complete lattice under the partial order  $\leq$ :  $f \leq h$  if and only if  $f^0(\omega) \leq h^0(\omega)$  for almost all  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ , where  $f^0$  and  $h^0$  are arbitrarily chosen representatives of  $f$  and  $h$  in  $\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$ . Besides, for each nonempty subset  $G$  of  $\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$ , let  $\bigvee G$  and  $\bigwedge G$  denote the supremum and infimum of  $G$ , respectively, then there are two sequences  $\{\xi_n, n \in N\}$  and  $\{\eta_n, n \in N\}$  in  $G$  such that  $\bigvee_{n \geq 1} \xi_n = \bigvee G$  and  $\bigwedge_{n \geq 1} \eta_n = \bigwedge G$ . Further,  $L^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$ , as a sublattice of  $\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$ , is complete in the sense that every subset with an upper bound has a supremum.

As usual, for any  $f$  and  $h$  in  $\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$ ,  $f > h$  means  $f \geq h$  and  $f \neq h$ , and for any  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f > h$  on  $H$  means  $f^0(\omega) > h^0(\omega)$  almost all  $\omega \in H$ , where  $f^0$  and  $h^0$  are arbitrarily chosen representatives of  $f$  and  $h$ , respectively. In particular,  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F}) = \{\xi \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, R) \mid \xi \geq 0\}$  and  $L_{++}^0(\mathcal{F}) = \{\xi \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, R) \mid \xi > 0 \text{ on } \Omega\}$ .

Due to [8], an ordered pair  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called an  $RN$  module over  $K$  with base  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  if  $X$  is an  $L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$ -module and  $\|\cdot\|$  is a mapping from  $X$  to  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  such that the following three axioms hold.

$$(RN-1) \quad \|\xi x\| = |\xi| \cdot \|x\| \text{ for any } \xi \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, K) \text{ and } x \in X;$$

$$(RN-2) \quad \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \text{ for any } x, y \in X;$$

(RN-3)  $\|x\| = 0$  implies  $x = \theta$  (the null in  $X$ ), where the mapping  $\|\cdot\|$  is called an  $L^0$ -norm of  $X$  and  $\|z\|$  is called the  $L^0$ -norm of  $z$  in  $X$ .

Following the idea of Schweizer and Sklar for probabilistic normed spaces [20], a topology is usually introduced on an  $RN$  module  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  as follows: for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , set  $U_\theta(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{z \in X \mid P\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \|z\|(\omega) < \varepsilon\} > \lambda\}$ , then the family  $\{U_\theta(\varepsilon, \lambda) \mid \varepsilon > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$  becomes a local

base for some metrizable linear topology, which is called the  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology induced by the  $L^0$ -norm on  $X$ . In the sequel of this paper, given an  $RN$  module  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , we always assume that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is endowed with the above  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology. Besides, observing that a sequence  $\{z_n, n \in N\}$  in  $X$  converges to some  $z_0 \in X$  in the  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology if and only if the sequence  $\{\|z_n - z_0\|, n \in N\}$  in  $L^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$  converges to 0 in probability  $P$ .

Let  $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  and  $(X_2, \|\cdot\|_2)$  be two  $RN$  modules over  $K$  with base  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . A linear operator  $T$  from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  is said to be a.s. bounded if there is an  $\eta \in L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$  satisfying  $\|Tz\|_2 \leq \eta \cdot \|z\|_1$  for any  $z \in X_1$ . Denote by  $B(X_1, X_2)$  the  $L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$ -module of a.s. bounded linear operators from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ , and define a mapping  $\|\cdot\| : B(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$  by  $\|T\| := \bigwedge \{\eta \in L^0_+(\mathcal{F}) \mid \|Tz\|_2 \leq \eta \cdot \|z\|_1 \text{ for any } z \in X_1\}$  for any  $T \in B(X_1, X_2)$ , then one can obtain that  $(B(X_1, X_2), \|\cdot\|)$  is still an  $RN$  module.

Proposition 1 below shows the exact relation between an a.s. bounded linear operator and a continuous module homomorphism on an  $RN$  module.

**Proposition 1** ([10]). *Let  $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  and  $(X_2, \|\cdot\|_2)$  be two  $RN$  modules over  $K$  with base  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $T$  a linear operator from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1)  *$T$  belongs to  $B(X_1, X_2)$  if and only if  $T$  is a continuous module homomorphism from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ ;*

(2) *If  $T$  belongs to  $B(X_1, X_2)$ , then  $\|T\| = \bigvee \{\|Tx\|_2 : x \in X_1 \text{ and } \|x\|_1 \leq 1\}$ , where 1 stands for the unit element of  $L^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$ .*

Let  $[s, t]$  be a finite closed real interval and  $X$  an  $RN$  module over  $K$  with base  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . A function  $f : [s, t] \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $L^0$ -Lipschitz on  $[s, t]$  if there is an  $\eta \in L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $\|f(s_1) - f(s_2)\| \leq \eta|s_1 - s_2|$  for any  $s_1, s_2 \in [s, t]$ . Further, a function  $f : [s, t] \rightarrow X$  is  $L^0$ -Lipschitz on  $[s, t]$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies the difference quotient a.s. bounded assumption, i.e.,  $\bigvee \{\|\frac{f(s_1) - f(s_2)}{s_1 - s_2}\| \mid s_1, s_2 \in [s, t] \text{ and } s_1 > s_2\}$  belongs to  $L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Proposition 2** ([12]). *Suppose that  $X$  is a complete  $RN$  module and  $f : [s, t] \rightarrow X$  is continuously differentiable. If  $f$  is  $L^0$ -Lipschitz on  $[s, t]$ , then  $f'$  is Riemann integrable on  $[s, t]$  and  $\int_s^t f'(u)du = f(t) - f(s)$ .*

It is well known that a continuous function from  $[s, t]$  to a Banach space is automatically bounded, but a continuous function from  $[s, t]$  to a complete  $RN$  module  $X$  may not be a.s. bounded. Fortunately, a sufficient condition for a continuous function from  $[s, t]$  to  $X$  to be Riemann integrable has been given, that is, if  $f : [s, t] \rightarrow X$  is a continuous function satisfying that  $\bigvee_{u \in [s, t]} \|f(u)\|$  belongs to  $L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $f$  is Riemann integrable. Based on this fact, Propositions 3 and 4 below hold.

**Proposition 3** ([12]). *Suppose that  $f$  is a continuous function from  $[s, t]$  to a complete  $RN$  module  $X$  satisfying  $\bigvee_{u \in [s, t]} \|f(u)\| \in L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$ , then the following statements hold.*

$$(1) \left\| \int_s^t f(u) du \right\| \leq \int_s^t \|f(u)\| du;$$

(2) Let  $G(l) = \int_s^l f(u) du$  for any  $l \in [s, t]$ , then  $G$  is differentiable on  $[s, t]$  and  $G'(l) = f(l)$ .

**Proposition 4** ([24]). Suppose that  $f$  is a continuous function from  $[s, t]$  to  $L^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$  satisfying  $\bigvee_{u \in [s, t]} |f(u)| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $\int_\Omega [\int_s^t f(u) du] dP = \int_s^t [\int_\Omega f(u) dP] du$ .

### 3. C-existence families in complete RN modules

In the sequel of this section, we always assume that  $X$  is a complete RN module,  $A$  is a module homomorphism from  $D(A)$  into  $X$  and  $[D(A)]$  stands for the RN module  $D(A)$  endowed with the graph  $L^0$ -norm

$$\|z\|_{[D(A)]} \equiv \|z\| + \|Az\| \quad (\forall z \in D(A)).$$

Besides,  $C([0, \infty), [D(A)])$  denotes the set of continuous functions from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[D(A)]$ ,  $C([0, \infty), X)$  the set of continuous functions from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $X$ , and  $C^1([0, \infty), X)$  the set of continuously differentiable functions from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $X$ .

Given  $z \in X$ , the abstract Cauchy problem for  $A$  with initial data  $z$  consists of finding a solution  $W(s, z)$  to the following problem

$$(1) \begin{cases} \frac{dW(s, z)}{ds} = AW(s, z), & \forall s > 0 \\ W(0, z) = z. \end{cases}$$

(a) If a mapping  $s \mapsto W(s, z) \in C([0, \infty), [D(A)]) \cap C^1([0, \infty), X)$  satisfies the abstract Cauchy problem (1), then we call it a solution of (1).

(b) If a mapping  $s \mapsto W(s, z) \in C([0, \infty), X)$  satisfies that  $\bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|W(u, z)\|$  belongs to  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for each  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in X$ , and further  $v(s, z) \equiv \int_0^s W(u, z) du \in D(A)$  and  $\frac{dv(s, z)}{ds} = A(v(s, z)) + z$ , i.e.,

$$W(s, z) = A \int_0^s W(u, z) du + z$$

for any  $s \geq 0$ , then we call it a mild solution of (1). Obviously,  $v \in C([0, \infty), [D(A)])$ .

The family of continuous module homomorphisms  $\{V(t) : s \geq 0\}$  is said to be locally a.s. bounded if  $\bigvee_{s \in [0, l]} \|V(s)\|$  belongs to  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $l > 0$ .

Throughout this section,  $C$  is assumed to be an a.s. bounded linear operator on  $X$ .

**Definition 1.** The locally a.s. bounded family of continuous module homomorphisms  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is called a mild C-existence family for  $A$  if the following statements hold.

(1) The mapping  $s \mapsto V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $X$  is continuous for any  $z \in X$ ;

(2) For any  $z \in X$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $\int_0^s V(u)zdu \in D(A)$  and  $A \int_0^s V(u)zdu = V(s)z - Cz$ .

**Definition 2.** The locally a.s. bounded family of continuous module homomorphisms  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\} \subseteq B([D(A)])$  is called a  $C$ -existence family for  $A$  if the following statements hold.

(1) The mapping  $s \mapsto V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $[D(A)]$  is continuous for any  $z \in D(A)$ ;

(2) For any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^s AV(u)zdu = V(s)z - Cz.$$

**Definition 3.** Suppose that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a mild  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ . If the family of continuous module homomorphisms  $\{V(s)|_{[D(A)]} : s \geq 0\}$  is also a  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ , then  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is called a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.** (1) Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a mild  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ . Then, for any  $z \in R(C)$ , there is a mild solution of (1). Further, for any  $z_n \in X$  and  $z_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ),  $W(s, Cz_n) \equiv V(s)z_n$ , acted as a sequence of solutions of (1), converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subsets of  $[0, \infty)$  as  $z_n \rightarrow 0$ .

(2) Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a  $C$ -existence family for  $A$  and  $A$  is a closed module homomorphism. Then, for any  $z \in C(D(A))$ , there is a solution of (1). Further, both  $W(s, Cz_n) \equiv V(s)z_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $AW(s, Cz_n) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any compact subsets of  $[0, \infty)$ , whenever  $Az_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proof.** (1) Since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a mild  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ , we have that for any  $z \in R(C)$ , there is a  $y \in X$  such that  $z = Cy$ , and further  $W(s, Cy) \equiv V(s)y$  is a mild solution of (1). Besides, since the family  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded, i.e.,  $\bigvee_{s \in [0, l]} \|V(s)\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $l > 0$ , the analogue of well-posedness in (1) follows.

(2) Since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ , we have that for any  $z \in C(D(A))$ , there is a  $y \in D(A)$  such that  $z = Cy$ , and further  $W(s, Cy) \equiv V(s)y$  is a solution of (1). Since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\} \subset B([D(A)])$  is locally a.s. bounded, i.e.,  $\bigvee_{s \in [0, l]} \|V(s)|_{[D(A)]}\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $l > 0$ , which implies that the analogue of well-posedness in (2) follows.  $\square$

Subsequently, the following Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 are devoted to studying when a mild  $C$ -existence family becomes a strong  $C$ -existence family in the random setting.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A$  be a closed module homomorphism,  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  a mild  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ , and for any  $z \in X$ , the mapping  $s \mapsto V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $X$  is differentiable at  $s = s_0$ . Then  $V(s_0)z$  belongs to  $D(A)$  and  $AV(s_0)z = \left. \frac{dV(s)z}{ds} \right|_{s=s_0}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $s_0 \geq 0$  be fixed, since the family  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded, we have  $\bigvee_{u \in [0, s_0]} \|V(u)\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\bigvee_{u \in [0, s_0+1]} \|V(u)\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ . Thus  $\bigvee_{u \in [s_0, s_0+1]} \|V(u)\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ . Set

$$\xi_{s_0} = \bigvee_{u \in [s_0, s_0+1]} \|V(u)z - V(s_0)z\|$$

then clearly  $\xi_{s_0} \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ .

Take  $A_{n, s_0} = [n-1 \leq \xi_{s_0} < n]$  for each  $n \in N$ , then  $A_{n, s_0} \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $A_{i, s_0} \cap A_{j, s_0} = \emptyset$  for any  $i, j \in N$  and  $i \neq j$ , and further  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n, s_0} = \Omega$ . Besides, for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\int_{A_{n, s_0}} \|V(u)z - V(s_0)z\| dP$  is continuous with respect to  $u$  on  $[s_0, s_0+1]$ . In fact, it is sufficient to prove that  $\int_{A_{n, s_0}} \|V(u)z - V(s_0)z\| dP$  converges to 0 as  $u \downarrow 0$ . Since

$$I_{A_{n, s_0}} \|V(u)z - V(s_0)z\| \leq n$$

for any  $u \in [s_0, s_0+1]$  and

$$I_{A_{n, s_0}} \|V(u)z - V(s_0)z\| \rightarrow 0$$

in probability  $P$  as  $u \downarrow s_0$ , according to Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have  $\int_{A_{n, s_0}} \|V(u)z - V(s_0)z\| dP \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \downarrow s_0$ . For any

$k \in N$ , let  $z_k \equiv k \cdot \int_{s_0}^{s_0 + \frac{1}{k}} V(u)z du$ , then, due to Proposition 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \|I_{A_{n, s_0}} z_k - I_{A_{n, s_0}} V(s_0)z\| dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\| k \int_{s_0}^{s_0 + \frac{1}{k}} I_{A_{n, s_0}} V(u)z du - k \int_{s_0}^{s_0 + \frac{1}{k}} I_{A_{n, s_0}} V(s_0)z du \right\| dP \\ &= k \int_{\Omega} \left\| \int_{s_0}^{s_0 + \frac{1}{k}} I_{A_{n, s_0}} (V(u)z - V(s_0)z) du \right\| dP \\ &\leq k \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_{s_0}^{s_0 + \frac{1}{k}} \|I_{A_{n, s_0}} (V(u)z - V(s_0)z)\| du \right] dP \\ &= k \int_{s_0}^{s_0 + \frac{1}{k}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \|I_{A_{n, s_0}} (V(u)z - V(s_0)z)\| dP \right] du \\ &\leq \max_{u \in [s_0, s_0 + \frac{1}{k}]} \left\{ \int_{A_{n, s_0}} \|V(u)z - V(s_0)z\| dP \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\|I_{A_{n, s_0}} z_k - I_{A_{n, s_0}} V(s_0)z\|$  converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $n \in N$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_{n, s_0}) = P(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n, s_0}) = P(\Omega) = 1$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A_{n, s_0}} \cdot z_k$  converges to  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A_{n, s_0}} W(s_0)z$  in the  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.,  $z_k$  converges to  $V(s_0)z$  in the  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a mild  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ ,

one can obtain  $Az_k = k(V(s_0 + \frac{1}{k})z - V(s_0)z)$ , and clearly  $Az_k$  converges to  $\frac{dV(s)z}{ds}|_{s=s_0}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Further, since  $A$  is closed, we have  $V(s_0)z \in D(A)$  and  $AV(s_0)z = \frac{dV(s)z}{ds}|_{s=s_0}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $A$  be a closed module homomorphism,  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  a mild  $C$ -existence family for  $A$  and  $\{V(s)|_{[D(A)]} : s \geq 0\}$  a locally a.s. bounded family. If one of the following statements holds:*

(1) *the mapping  $s \mapsto V(s)z$  belongs to  $C^1([0, \infty), X)$  and is locally  $L^0$  Lipschitz for any  $z \in D(A)$ ;*

(2) *the mapping  $s \mapsto V(s)z$  belongs to  $C([0, \infty), [D(A)])$  for any  $z \in D(A)$ ;*

(3)  *$V(s)A$  is contained in  $AV(s)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ ,*

*then  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ .*

**Proof.** For (1), according to Theorem 2, one can obtain that  $V(s)z \in D(A)$  and  $AV(u)z = \frac{dV(u)z}{du}$  for any  $u \geq 0$ , Thus for any  $s \geq 0$ , due to Proposition 2, we have

$$\int_0^s AV(u)z du = \int_0^s \frac{dV(u)z}{du} du = V(s)z - Cz$$

for any  $z \in D(A)$  since the family  $\{V(s)|_{[D(A)]} : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded and the function  $f \equiv V(\cdot)z$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz. Consequently,  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ .

For (2), for any  $z \in D(A)$ , we have  $V(s)z \in D(A)$  and  $\|V(s)z\|_A = \|V(s)z\| + \|AV(s)z\|$ . Thus the mapping  $u \mapsto AV(u)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $X$  is continuous and locally a.s. bounded since the family  $\{V(s)|_{[D(A)]} : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded. Consequently,  $A(\int_0^s V(u)z du) = \int_0^s AV(u)z du$  for any  $z \in D(A)$  since  $A$  is closed, which shows that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $A$ . For (3), it is sufficient to note that hypothesis (3) implies hypothesis (2).  $\square$

#### 4. $C$ -semigroups in complete $RN$ modules

The main results of this section are Theorems 3 and 4. The focus is Lemma 1, whose proof is not only skillful but also very useful in the proof of Theorem 3. Generally, the  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology is too weak to guarantee the a.s. boundedness, see [25, 26] for details, however, the special property of  $C$ -semigroups guarantees that the set we need in this section is a.s. bounded. These problems do not arise in the classical theory of  $C$ -semigroups.

**Definition 4.** [21] *Let  $X$  be a complete  $RN$  module,  $B(X)$  the set of continuous module homomorphisms on  $X$  and  $C \in B(X)$  an injective operator on  $X$ . Then a family  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\} \subset B(X)$  is called a  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$  if*



- (1)  $V(s)$  is strongly continuous, this is, for any  $z \in X$ , the mapping  $s \rightarrow V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $X$  is continuous;
- (2)  $V(0) = C$ ;
- (3)  $CV(s+t) = V(s)V(t)$  for any  $s, t \geq 0$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a  $C$ -semigroup on an  $RN$  module  $X$ . Define

$$D(A) = \left\{ z \in X : \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{V(s)z - Cz}{s} \text{ exists and belongs to } R(C) \right\}$$

and

$$Az = C^{-1} \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{V(s)z - Cz}{s}$$

for any  $z \in D(A)$ , then the mapping  $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$  is called the generator of  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$ , also denoted by  $(A, D(A))$  in this paper.

Let  $X$  be an  $RN$  module over  $K$  with base  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , it is clear that  $\bigvee_{s \in [0, l]} \|V(s)z\|$  may not be in  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $z \in X$  and  $l > 0$ . In this paper, a  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is said to be locally a.s. bounded if for any  $l > 0$ ,  $\bigvee_{s \in [0, l]} \|V(s)\|$  is in  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ . Besides, a  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is said to be a.s. bounded if there is a  $\xi \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  satisfying  $\|V(s)z\| \leq \xi \|z\|$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in X$ . Just as in the classical case,  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is said to be contractive if  $\|V(s)z\| \leq \|Cz\|$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $s \in X$ . Moreover,  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is said to be exponentially bounded if there are  $\tau \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$  and  $W \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  satisfying  $\|V(s)\| \leq W e^{\tau s}$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Furthermore, a function  $g$  from  $[0, +\infty)$  to  $X$  is said to be locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz if for any  $l > 0$ , there is a  $\xi_l \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  satisfying  $\|g(s_1) - g(s_2)\| \leq \xi_l |s_1 - s_2|$  for any  $s_1, s_2 \in [0, l]$ .

Clearly, an exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup is also locally a.s. bounded. However, due to Son, Thang and Oanh's work in 2023, a locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup may not be exponentially bounded.

Now, set

$$A_s = \frac{V(s) - C}{s}$$

for any  $s > 0$  and  $(A, D(A))$  denotes the generator of  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$ , i.e.,  $Az = C^{-1} \lim_{s \downarrow 0} A_s z$  for any  $z \in D(A)$ .

Motivated by the work of [24], we give Lemma 1 below, one will see that for any  $z \in D(A)$ , the set  $\{CA_t z : t \geq 0\}$  is a.s. bounded. It is such a special property of  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  that makes some following proofs possible. In the sequel of this section, we always assume that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a complete  $RN$  module.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is an a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$  with the generator  $(A, D(A))$ . Then  $\bigvee_{s \geq 0} \|CA_s z\|$  belongs to  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $z \in D(A)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\gamma = \bigvee_{s \geq 0} \|V(s)\|$ , then  $\gamma \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ . Now we can define a mapping  $\| \cdot \| : X \rightarrow L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  by

$$\|z\| = \bigvee_{s \geq 0} \|V(s)z\|$$

for any  $z \in X$ , then the mapping  $\| \cdot \|$  is well-defined and  $(X, \| \cdot \|)$  is an  $RN$  module on  $X$ .

Since  $CV(s+t) = V(s)V(t)$  for any  $s, t \geq 0$ , we have  $V(t)V(s) = V(s)V(t)$  for any  $s, t \geq 0$ . Particularly,  $CV(s) = V(s)C$  for any  $s \geq 0$ .

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(s)z\| &= \bigvee_{t \geq 0} \|V(t)[V(s)z]\| \\ &= \bigvee_{t \geq 0} \|CV(t+s)z\| \\ &\leq \bigvee_{s \geq 0} \|CV(s)z\| \\ &= \bigvee_{s \geq 0} \|V(s)(Cz)\| \\ &= \|Cz\| \end{aligned}$$

for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $x \in X$ , that is, the  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is contractive on  $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ . Observing

$$(2) \quad \|Cz\| \leq \|z\| \leq \gamma \cdot \|z\|$$

for any  $z \in X$ , we have

$$(3) \quad \|CA_s z\| \leq \|A_s z\| \leq \xi \cdot \|A_s z\|$$

for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in X$ . Consequently, for any  $s \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(l)A_s z\| &= \|V(l) \frac{V(s) - C}{s} z\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{CV(l+s) - CV(l)}{s} z \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{V(l + \frac{s}{2})V(\frac{s}{2}) - CV(l + \frac{s}{2}) + V(l)V(\frac{s}{2}) - CV(l)}{s} z \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{V(l + \frac{s}{2})[V(\frac{s}{2}) - C]}{2 \cdot \frac{s}{2}} z \right\| + \left\| \frac{V(l)[V(\frac{s}{2}) - C]}{2 \cdot \frac{s}{2}} z \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{V(l + \frac{s}{2})}{2} A_{\frac{s}{2}} z \right\| + \left\| \frac{V(l)}{2} A_{\frac{s}{2}} z \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|A_{\frac{s}{2}} z\| + \|A_{\frac{s}{2}} z\|}{2} \\ &= \|A_{\frac{s}{2}} z\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\| \|A_s z\| \| \leq \| \|A_{\frac{s}{2}} z\| \|,$$

which implies that

$$(4) \quad \| \|A_s z\| \| \leq \| \|A_{\frac{s}{2^n}} z\| \|$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Combining (2) and (4), one can obtain

$$(5) \quad \|CA_s z\| \leq \| \|A_s z\| \| \leq \| \|A_{\frac{s}{2^n}} z\| \| \leq \xi \cdot \|A_{\frac{s}{2^n}} z\|$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in D(A)$ , letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in Inequality (5), one can obtain that  $\bigvee_{s \geq 0} \|CA_s z\|$  belongs to  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $z \in D(A)$ .  $\square$

Based on Lemma 1, we can state Theorem 3 below, which will be used in the proofs of Theorems 4, 5 and 8.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be an exponentially bounded C-semigroup with the generator  $(A, D(A))$  on  $X$ . For any  $z \in C(D(A))$ , define a mapping  $g: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$  by  $g(s) = CV(s)z$ . Then  $g$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz.*

**Proof.** Since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is exponentially bounded, we have that there are  $\tau \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, R)$  and  $W \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $\|V(s)\| \leq We^{\tau s}$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Now set  $\tilde{V}(s) = e^{-\tau s}V(s)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ , then  $\{\tilde{V}(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is still a C-semigroup and its generator is denoted by  $(\tilde{A}, D(\tilde{A}))$ , where  $\tilde{A} = A - \tau I$  and  $\tilde{V}(0) = V(0) = C$ . In fact, since  $Az = C^{-1} \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{V(s)z - Cz}{s}$  for any  $z \in D(A)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}z &= C^{-1} \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\tau s}V(s)z - Cz}{s} \\ &= C^{-1} \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\tau s}V(s)z - Ce^{-\tau s}z + Ce^{-\tau s}z - Cz}{s} \\ &= C^{-1} \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{V(s)z - Cz}{s} + C^{-1} \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\tau s}Cz - Cz}{s} \\ &= Az + \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{\tau s}z - z}{s} \\ &= (A - \tau I)z \end{aligned}$$

for any  $z \in D(\tilde{A})$ . Further,  $\|\tilde{V}(s)\| = \|e^{-\tau s}V(s)\| \leq W$  for any  $s \geq 0$ , i.e., the C-semigroup  $\{\tilde{V}(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a.s. bounded. Thus, according to Lemma 1, we have

$$(6) \quad \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{C\tilde{V}(l_1)z - C\tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\} \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$$

for any  $z \in C(D(A))$ . In fact, for any  $z \in C(D(A))$ , there is a  $y \in D(A)$  such that  $z = Cy$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{C\tilde{V}(l_1)z - C\tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\} \\
&= \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{C^2\tilde{V}(l_1)y - C^2\tilde{V}(l_2)y}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\} \\
&= \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{C\tilde{V}(l_2) \cdot [\tilde{V}(l_1 - l_2)y - Cy]}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\} \\
&\leq W \cdot \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{C[\tilde{V}(l_1 - l_2)y - Cy]}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\} \\
(7) \quad &\in L_+^0(\mathcal{F}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for any  $d > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{g(l_1) - g(l_2)}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&= \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{CV(l_1)z - CV(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&= \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{Ce^{\tau l_1} \tilde{V}(l_1)z - Ce^{\tau l_2} \tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&= \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{Ce^{\tau l_1} \tilde{V}(l_1)z - Ce^{\tau l_1} \tilde{V}(l_2)z + Ce^{\tau l_1} \tilde{V}(l_2)z - Ce^{\tau l_2} \tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{Ce^{\tau l_1} \tilde{V}(l_1)z - Ce^{\tau l_1} \tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{Ce^{\tau l_1} \tilde{V}(l_2)z - Ce^{\tau l_2} \tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&\leq e^{|\tau|d} \cdot \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{C\tilde{V}(l_1)z - C\tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&\quad + \|C\|W \cdot \sqrt{\left\{ \left| \frac{e^{\tau l_1} - e^{\tau l_2}}{l_1 - l_2} \right| \cdot \|z\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \\
&\leq e^{|\tau|d} \cdot \left\{ \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{C\tilde{V}(l_1)z - C\tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|C\|W \cdot \sqrt{\left\{ \left| \frac{e^{\tau(l_1 - l_2)} - 1}{\tau(l_1 - l_2)} \right| \tau \cdot \|z\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, d] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \right\} \\
&\leq e^{|\tau|d} \cdot \left\{ \sqrt{\left\{ \left\| \frac{C\tilde{V}(l_1)z - C\tilde{V}(l_2)z}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|C\|W \cdot \sqrt{\left\{ |e^{\tau l} \tau| \cdot \|z\| \mid l \in (0, d] \right\}} \right\} \\
&\in L_+^0(\mathcal{F}) \text{ (according to (7))}
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $z \in C(D(A))$ , which shows that  $g$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a locally a.s. bounded C-semigroup on  $X$  and its generator is denoted by  $(A, D(A))$ . If a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is continuously differentiable and locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz, then*

- (1)  $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s V(u)f(u)du = Cf(0)$ ;
- (2)  $\int_0^s V(u)f(u)du \in D(A)$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and

$$A \int_0^s V(u)f(u)du = V(s)f(s) - Cf(0) - \int_0^s V(u)f'(u)du.$$

**Proof.** (1) Since  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz, we have that  $\bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|f(u)\|$  belongs to  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Further, since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded, we have that  $\bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\|$  belongs to

$L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Thus  $\bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)f(u)\|$  belongs to  $L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Set  $\xi_s = \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\|$ , then clearly  $\xi_s \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$ . Take  $A_{n,s} = [n-1 \leq \xi_s < n]$  for each  $n \in N$ , then one can obtain that  $A_{n,s} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A_{i,s} \cap A_{j,s} = \phi$  for any  $i, j \in N$  and  $i \neq j$ , and further  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,s} = \Omega$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . It is clear that for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\int_{A_{n,s}} \|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\| dP$  is continuous with respect to  $u$  on  $[0, s]$ . In fact, it is sufficient to prove that  $\int_{A_{n,s}} \|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\| dP$  converges to 0 as  $u \downarrow 0$ . Since  $\|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\| \leq n$  for any  $u \in [0, s]$  and  $\|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\| \rightarrow 0$  in probability  $P$  as  $u \downarrow 0$ , due to Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have  $\int_{A_{n,s}} \|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\| dP \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \downarrow 0$ . Thus, according to Proposition 4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \|V(u)f(u)I_{A_{n,s}} - Cf(0)I_{A_{n,s}}\| du \right] dP \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \left[ \int_{A_{n,s}} \|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\| dP \right] du \\ &\leq \max_{u \in [0, s]} \left\{ \int_{A_{n,s}} \|V(u)f(u) - Cf(0)\| dP \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \|V(u)f(u)I_{A_{n,s}} - Cf(0)I_{A_{n,s}}\| du$  converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $s \downarrow 0$  for each  $n \in N$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s V(u)f(u)I_{A_{n,s}} du - Cf(0)I_{A_{n,s}} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s [V(u)f(u)I_{A_{n,s}} - Cf(0)I_{A_{n,s}}] du \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \|V(u)f(u)I_{A_{n,s}} - Cf(0)I_{A_{n,s}}\| du \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\left\| \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s V(u)f(u)I_{A_{n,s}} du - Cf(0)I_{A_{n,s}} \right\|$  also converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $s \downarrow 0$  for each  $n \in N$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_{n,s}) = P(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,s}) = P(\Omega) = 1$  for any  $s \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s V(u)f(u) du$  converges to  $Cf(0)$  in the  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -topology as  $s \downarrow 0$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s V(u)f(u) du = Cf(0).$$

(2) Let  $s > 0$  be fixed and  $z_s = \int_0^s V(u)f(u)du$ . For any  $h > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h}(V(h)z_s - Cz_s) &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ \int_0^s (CV(u+h) - CV(u))f(u)du \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ \int_h^{s+h} CV(r)f(r-h)dr - \int_0^s CV(u)f(u)du \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_h^s CV(u)(f(u-h) - f(u))du \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h} \int_s^{s+h} V(r)f(r-h)dr - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h CV(u)f(u)du. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$(8) \quad \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h CV(u)f(u)du = C^2 f(0).$$

Next, we will prove that

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_h^s CV(u)(f(u-h) - f(u))du = \int_0^s -CV(u)f'(u)du.$$

Since  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschiz, it follows that

$$\bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|f'(u)\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$$

and

$$\bigvee_{\substack{u \in [0, s] \\ h \in (0, s)}} \left\| \frac{f(u-h) - f(u)}{h} \right\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F}).$$

Set  $\eta_s = \bigvee_{\substack{u \in [0, s] \\ h \in (0, s)}} \|CV(u)\frac{f(u-h)-f(u)}{h} + CV(u)f'(u)\|$ , then  $\eta_s \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$

since the  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded.

Take  $B_{n,s} = [n-1 \leq \eta_s < n]$  for each  $n \in N$ , then  $B_{n,s} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $B_{i,s} \cap B_{j,s} = \phi$  for any  $i, j \in N$  and  $i \neq j$ , and further  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n,s} = \Omega$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Clearly,  $CV(u)\frac{f(u-h)-f(u)}{h}$  converges to  $-CV(u)f'(u)$  in probability  $P$  as  $h \downarrow 0$  for any  $u \in [0, s]$ . Thus  $I_{B_{n,s}}[CV(u)\frac{f(u-h)-f(u)}{h} + CV(u)f'(u)]$  converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $h \downarrow 0$  for any  $u \in [0, s]$  and  $n \in N$ . since

$$\left\| I_{B_{n,s}} \left[ CV(u)\frac{f(u-h) - f(u)}{h} + CV(u)f'(u) \right] \right\| \leq n$$

for any  $u \in [0, s]$ ,  $h \in (0, s]$  and  $n \in N$ , due to Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_0^s I_{B_{n,s}} \left[ CV(u)\frac{f(u-h) - f(u)}{h} + CV(u)f'(u) \right] du$$

converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $h \downarrow 0$  for any  $n \in N$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_{n,s}) = P(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n,s}) = P(\Omega) = 1$  for any  $s \geq 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^s \left[ CV(u) \frac{f(u-h) - f(u)}{h} + CV(u)f'(u) \right] du$$

converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $h \downarrow 0$ , i.e.,

$$(9) \quad \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^s CV(u)(f(u-h) - f(u))du = \int_0^s -CV(u)f'(u)du,$$

thus

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_h^s CV(u)(f(u-h) - f(u))du = \int_0^s -CV(u)f'(u)du$$

since

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h CV(u)(f(u-h) - f(u))du = Cf(0) - Cf(0) = 0$$

according to (7).

Similarly, one can prove that

$$(10) \quad \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_s^{s+h} V(r)f(r-h)dr = CV(s)f(s).$$

Consequently, one can conclude that  $\lim_{h \downarrow 0} (V(h)z_s - cz_s)$  exists, combining (7), (8) and (9), we have

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} (V(h)z_s - Cz_s) = \int_0^s -CV(u)f'(u)du + CV(s)f(s) - C^2f(0)$$

Thus  $z_s \in D(A)$  and

$$CAz_s = - \int_0^s CV(u)f'(u)du + CV(s)f(s) - C^2f(0),$$

i.e.,

$$A \left[ \int_0^s V(u)f(u)du \right] = V(s)f(s) - Cf(0) - \int_0^s V(u)f'(u)du.$$

□

Based on Lemma 2 and Theorem 3, we can state Theorem 4 below. In particular, for the statements (5) and (6) of Theorem 4, we also give new and concise proofs of Son, Thang and Oanh's work in 2023.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$  with the generator  $(A, D(A))$ . Then*

- (1)  $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s V(u)zdu = Cz$  for any  $z \in X$ ;
- (2) for any  $z \in X$  and  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\int_0^s V(u)zdu \in D(A)$  and

$$A \int_0^s V(u)zdu = V(s)z - Cz;$$

- (3)  $R(C) \subseteq \overline{D(A)}$ ;



(4) for any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $V(s)z \in D(A)$  and

$$\frac{dV(s)z}{ds} = V(s)Az = AV(s)z.$$

Further, if the locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is exponentially bounded, then

(5) for any  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $V(s)A \subseteq AV(s)$  and

$$\int_0^s V(u)Azdu = V(s)z - Cz$$

for any  $z \in D(A)$ ;

(6)  $A$  is a closed module homomorphism.

**Proof.** For any  $z \in X$ , let  $f(s) \equiv z$  for any  $s \geq 0$ , then it is obvious that  $f$  is continuously differentiable and locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz. Thus statements (1) and (2) hold according to Lemma 2. Now we will prove  $R(C) \subseteq \overline{D(A)}$ . In fact, since for any  $z \in X$ ,  $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s V(u)zdu = Cz$  according to (1), it follows from (2) that (3) clearly holds.

For (4), let  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in D(A)$  be fixed. Since  $V(s)$  is a.s. bounded, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & V(s)CAz \\ &= V(s) \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \left[ \frac{1}{u} [V(u)z - Cz] \right] \\ &= \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{u} [V(u)(V(s)z) - C(V(s)z)] \\ &= CAV(s)z, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $V(s)z \in D(A)$  and  $V(s)Az = AV(s)z$ .

Besides, observing that

$$\frac{1}{u} [C(V(u+s)z) - C(V(s)z)] = \frac{1}{u} [V(u)V(s)z - CV(s)z],$$

it follows that  $\frac{d[CV(u)z]}{du}|_{u=s}$  exists and equals  $CV(s)Az$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{d[CV(s)z]}{ds} = CV(s)Az = CAV(s)z.$$

Thus statement (4) holds since  $C$  is a.s. bounded and injective.

For (5), due to (4), one can obtain

$$\frac{d[CV(s)(Cz)]}{ds} = CV(s)A(Cz) = CAV(s)(Cz)$$

for any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ . Further, according to Theorem 3, the mapping  $s \mapsto CV(s)(Cz)$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz. Thus, due to Proposition 2, we have

$$\int_0^s C^2V(u)Azdu = C^2V(s)z - C^3z$$

for any  $z \in D(A)$ , which shows that statement (5) holds since  $C$  is a.s. bounded and injective.

For (6), it is obvious that  $A$  is a module homomorphism. Next, we will prove that  $A$  is closed. Suppose that there is a sequence  $\{z_n, n \in N\} \subseteq D(A)$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow z$  and  $Az_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the sequence  $\{\|Az_n - y\|, n \in N\}$  converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, according to Riesz theorem, there is a subsequence  $\{\|Az_{n_k} - y\|, k \in N\}$  such that  $\|Az_{n_k} - y\| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^s V(u)Az_{n_k} du - \int_0^s V(u)y du \right\| \\ & \leq \int_0^s \|V(u)Az_{n_k} - V(u)y\| du \\ & \leq \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \int_0^s \|Az_{n_k} - y\| du \\ & = \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \|Az_{n_k} - y\| \cdot s \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. on } \Omega \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded, i.e.,  $\int_0^s V(u)Az_{n_k} du$  converges to  $\int_0^s V(u)y du$  a.s. on  $\Omega$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, according to (5) and the locally a.s. boundedness of  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V(s)z - Cz &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V(s)z_{n_k} - Cz_{n_k}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^s V(u)Az_{n_k} du \\ &= \int_0^s V(u)y du, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s}(V(s)z - Cz)$  exists and equals  $Cy$ . Thus  $z \in D(A)$  and  $Az = y$ , i.e.,  $A$  is a closed module homomorphism.  $\square$

## 5. Relations among $C$ -existence families, $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in complete $RN$ modules

This section is devoted to investigating some basic relations among  $C$ -existence families,  $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in a complete  $RN$  module  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . Clearly, it follows from Theorem 4 that a locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  with its generator  $(A, D(A))$  becomes a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $(A, D(A))$ . Thus Theorem 1 guarantees the existence of solutions and mild solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem (1). However, for a  $C$ -semigroup, Theorem 5 below shows that we can also guarantee uniqueness of the solutions even if the  $C$ -semigroup is generated by an extension of  $(A, D(A))$ .

**Theorem 5.** (1) Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be an exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$  with its generator  $(A, D(A))$ . Then  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $(A, D(A))$ .

(2) If an exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup is generated by an extension of  $(A, D(A))$ , then all solutions and mild solutions satisfying the locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz condition of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) are unique.

**Proof.** (1) It is clear from Theorem 4.

(2) Suppose that  $\tilde{A}$  is the generator of the  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  and  $A \subset \tilde{A}$ . Suppose that there is a locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz function  $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  such that  $v'(s) = A(v(s))$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $v(0) = 0$ . Let  $s \geq 0$  be fixed, define a function  $f : [0, s] \rightarrow X$  by  $f(u) = C^2V(s-u)v(u)$  for any  $0 \leq u \leq s$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(u) &= C^2 \cdot \frac{dV(s-u)v(u)}{du} \\ &= C^2 \cdot [V(s-u)A(v(u)) - \tilde{A}V(s-u)v(u)] \\ &= C^2 \cdot [V(s-u)A(v(u)) - V(s-u)\tilde{A}v(u)] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, according to Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(u_1) - f(u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} \right\| \mid u_1, u_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } u_1 \neq u_2 \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{C^2V(s-u_1)v(u_1) - C^2V(s-u_2)v(u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} \right\| \mid u_1, u_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } u_1 \neq u_2 \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{C^2V(s-u_1)v(u_1) - C^2V(s-u_1)v(u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{C^2V(s-u_1)v(u_2) - C^2V(s-u_2)v(u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} \right\| \mid u_1, u_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } u_1 \neq u_2 \right\} \\ &\leq \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \cdot \|C^2\| \cdot \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{v(u_1) - v(u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} \right\| \mid u_1, u_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } u_1 \neq u_2 \right\} \\ & \quad + \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{CV(s-u_2)[V(u_2-u_1)v(u_2) - Cv(u_2)]}{u_2 - u_1} \right\| \mid u_1, u_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } u_1 \neq u_2 \right\} \\ &\leq \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \cdot \|C^2\| \cdot \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{v(u_1) - v(u_2)}{u_1 - u_2} \right\| \mid u_1, u_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } u_1 \neq u_2 \right\} \\ & \quad + \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \cdot \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{V(u)(Cv(u_2)) - V(0)(Cv(u_2))}{u} \right\| \mid u \in [0, s] \text{ and } u_2 \in [0, s] \right\} \\ &\in L_+^0(\mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

since the function  $v$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz. Thus, according to Proposition 2, we have that

$$\int_0^s f'(u)du = f(s) - f(0)$$

for any  $s \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $C^3v(s) = V(s)v(0) = 0$ , thus  $v(s) \equiv 0$  since  $C$  is injective, which shows that all solutions and mild solutions satisfying the locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz condition of the abstract Cauchy problem (1) are unique.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$  with the generator  $(\tilde{A}, D(\tilde{A}))$  and  $A \subset \tilde{A}$ , and the family  $\left\{V(s)|_{[D(A)]} : s \geq 0\right\}$  is locally a.s. bounded. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *If  $\int_0^s V(u)zdu$  belongs to  $D(A)$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in X$ , then  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  becomes a mild  $C$ -existence family for  $(A, D(A))$ .*

(2) *If  $V(s)D(A) \subseteq D(A)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ , then  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  becomes a  $C$ -existence family for  $(A, D(A))$ .*

(3) *If, in addition to (2),  $A$  is a closed and densely defined module homomorphism, then  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  becomes a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $(A, D(A))$ .*

**Proof.** According to Corollary 1, one can immediately obtain assertions (1) and (2) since the family  $\left\{V(s)|_{[D(A)]} : s \geq 0\right\}$  is locally a.s. bounded. For (3), we only need to prove  $\int_0^s V(u)zdu$  belongs to  $D(A)$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in X$ .

Since  $A$  is a closed and  $V(s)A \subseteq AV(s)$ , we have that  $\int_0^s V(u)zdu \in D(A)$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in D(A)$ . For any  $z \in X$ , since  $A$  is densely defined, we have that there is a sequence  $\{z_n, n \in N\}$  in  $D(A)$  such that  $\{z_n, n \in N\}$  converges to  $z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., the sequence  $\{\|z_n - z\|, n \in N\}$  converges to 0 in probability  $P$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, according to Riesz theorem, there is a subsequence  $\{\|z_{n_k} - z\|, k \in N\}$  such that  $\|z_{n_k} - z\| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^s V(u)z_{n_k}du - \int_0^s V(u)zdu \right\| \\ & \leq \int_0^s \|V(u)z_{n_k} - V(u)z\| du \\ & \leq \left( \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \right) \int_0^s \|z_{n_k} - z\| du \\ & = \left( \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \right) \|z_{n_k} - z\| \cdot s \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. on } \Omega \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded, i.e.,  $\int_0^s V(u)z_{n_k}du$  converges to  $\int_0^s V(u)zdu$  a.s. on  $\Omega$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Observing that  $A \int_0^s V(u)z_{n_k}du =$

$V(s)z_{n_k} - Cz_{n_k}$ , and further, since  $A$  is closed, it follows that  $\int_0^s V(u)zdu \in D(A)$  and  $A \int_0^s V(u)zdu = V(s)z - Cz$ .  $\square$

It is interesting that one can use a  $C$ -semigroup with the generator  $(A, D(A))$  to characterize all solutions and mild solutions of (1). In Theorem 7 below, we will present a new partial converse to Theorem 1(2) and Theorem 4(5). First, the  $C$ -resolvent set in an  $RN$  module is introduced.

**Definition 6.** *The complex number  $\mu$  belongs to  $\rho_C(A)$ , called the  $C$ -resolvent set of  $(A, D(A))$ , if  $(\mu - A)$  is injective and  $R(C) \subseteq R(\mu - A)$ , i.e.,*

$$\rho_C(A) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \mid (\mu - A) \text{ is injective and } R(C) \subseteq R(\mu - A)\}.$$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $A$  be a closed module homomorphism and  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  a strongly continuous family of continuous module homomorphisms satisfying  $V(s)A \subset AV(s)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . For any  $z \in X$  and  $s \geq 0$ , define a function  $g : [0, s] \rightarrow X$  by  $g(u) = V(u)z$ . If  $g$  is locally  $L^0$ -lipschitz, then the following two statements hold.*

(1) *A mild  $C$ -existence family  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  for  $(A, D(A))$  is still a  $C$ -semigroup generated by  $\tilde{A}$ , where  $A \subset \tilde{A}$ .*

(2) *A  $C$ -existence family  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  for  $(A, D(A))$  is still a  $C$ -semigroup generated by  $\tilde{A}$ , where  $A \subset \tilde{A}$ , if one of the following conditions holds:*

1.  *$A$  is densely defined;*
2.  *$\rho_C(A)$  is not empty.*

**Proof.** (1) Since  $A$  is a closed module homomorphism and  $V(s)A \subseteq AV(s)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ , it follows from Corollary 1 that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a strong  $C$ -existence family for  $(A, D(A))$  and

$$(11) \quad \int_0^s V(u)Azdu = V(s)z - Cz$$

for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $z \in D(A)$ . To prove that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a  $C$ -semigroup, for any  $u, s \geq 0$  and  $z \in X$ , define a mapping  $f : [0, s] \rightarrow X$  by  $f(l) = V(u + s - l) \int_0^l V(r)zdr$ . Since the function  $g$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz, we have  $\bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\| \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Thus  $f$  is well defined and further, for any  $l_1, l_2 \in [0, s]$  and  $z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(l_1) - f(l_2)}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| &= \left\| \frac{V(u + s - l_1) \int_0^{l_1} V(r)zdr - V(u + s - l_2) \int_0^{l_2} V(r)zdr}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{V(u + s - l_1) \int_0^{l_1} V(r)zdr - V(u + s - s_2) \int_0^{l_1} V(r)zdr}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \\ &\quad + \|V(u + s - l_2)\| \cdot \left\| \frac{\int_0^{l_1} V(r)zdr - \int_0^{l_2} V(r)zdr}{l_1 - l_2} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(l_1) - f(l_2)}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\} \\
& \leq \bigvee \left\{ \left\| \frac{V(u + l - l_1) \int_0^{l_1} V(r)zdr - V(u + l - l_2) \int_0^{l_1} V(r)zdr}{l_1 - l_2} \right\| \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mid l_1, l_2 \in [0, s] \text{ and } l_1 \neq l_2 \right\} + \bigvee_{u \in [0, s]} \|V(u)\|^2 \cdot \|z\| \\
& \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F})
\end{aligned}$$

since the function  $g$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz. Hence, according to Proposition 2, we have  $\int_0^s f'(l)dl = f(s) - f(0)$ , i.e.,

$$(12) \quad \int_0^s \frac{d}{dl} \left[ V(u + s - l) \int_0^l V(r)zdr \right] dl = V(u) \int_0^s V(r)zdr.$$

Consequently, due to (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad & \int_u^{u+s} V(r)Czdr = \int_0^s V(u + s - l)Czdl \\
& = \int_0^s \frac{d}{dl} \left[ V(u + s - l) \int_0^l V(r)zdr \right] dl \\
& = V(u) \int_0^s V(r)zdr,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
V(u + s)Cz - V(u)Cz &= V(u)A \left( \int_0^s V(r)zdr \right) \\
&= V(u)(V(s)z - Cz),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $V(u + s)Cz = V(u)V(s)z$  for any  $z \in X$  and  $V(0) = C$ . Thus  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$ . Besides, it is clear from (11) that its generator is an extension of  $(A, D(A))$ .

(2) According to the statement (1), one can immediately obtain

$$(14) \quad V(0)z = Cz \text{ and } CV(s + u)z = V(s)V(u)z$$

for any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $u, s \geq 0$ . If  $A$  is densely defined, then we have that the equalities in (14) also hold for any  $z \in X$  since both  $V(s)$  and  $C$  are a.s. bounded. If there is a  $\mu \in \rho_C(A)$ , then it follows that  $V(s)$  and  $(\mu - A)^{-1}C$  commute since  $V(s)A \subseteq AV(s)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu - A)^{-1}C[V(u)V(s)z] &= V(u)V(s) (\mu - A^{-1}) Cz \\
&= CV(u + s)(\mu - A)^{-1}Cz \\
&= (\mu - A)^{-1}C[CV(u + s)z]
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $z \in X$ . Further, since  $(\mu - A)^{-1}C$  is injective, it follows that  $CV(s+u) = V(s)V(u)$  for any  $u, s \geq 0$  and  $V(0) = C$ , which shows that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$ . Besides, the statement (1) implies that the generator of  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is an extension of  $(A, D(A))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a locally a.s. bounded  $C_1$ -semigroup on  $X$  with the generator  $(A, D(A))$  and  $V(s)C_2 = C_2V(s)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Then  $\{C_2V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a  $C_1C_2$ -semigroup on  $X$  with the generator  $(A, D(A))$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\{C_2V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a  $C_1C_2$ -semigroup, it is sufficient to prove  $(A, D(A))$  is the generator of  $\{C_2V(s) : s \geq 0\}$ . Suppose that  $(A_1, D(A_1))$  is the generator of  $\{C_2V(s) : s \geq 0\}$ . Since  $C_2$  is a.s. bounded, it follows that  $A \subseteq A_1$ . Further, according to Corollary 1(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(V(r)z - C_1z) &= \int_0^r C_2V(s)A_1z ds \\ &= C_2 \int_0^r V(s)A_1z ds \end{aligned}$$

for any  $z \in D(A_1)$  and  $r \geq 0$ . Since  $C_2$  is injective, it follows that  $V(r)z - C_1z = \int_0^r V(s)A_1z ds$ , which shows that  $A_1 \subseteq A$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$  with the generator  $(\tilde{A}, D(\tilde{A}))$  and  $A \subset \tilde{A}$ . Then  $C(D(\tilde{A})) \subseteq D(A)$  if and only if  $C^{-1}AC = \tilde{A}$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ . It is clear that  $C^{-1}\tilde{A}C$  is the generator of the  $C^2$ -semigroup  $\{CV(s) : s \geq 0\}$ . Thus, according to Proposition 5, we have  $C^{-1}\tilde{A}C = \tilde{A}$ .

Set  $D(E) = C(D(\tilde{A}))$ , then  $Ez = Az$  for any  $z \in D(E)$ . Take  $z \in D(\tilde{A})$ , then  $Cz \in D(E)$  and  $E(Cz) = \tilde{A}Cz = C\tilde{A}z$ . Thus, according to Corollary 1(3), we have  $z \in D(C^{-1}EC)$  and  $C^{-1}ECz = \tilde{A}z$ , which implies that  $\tilde{A} \subseteq C^{-1}EC \subseteq C^{-1}AC \subseteq C^{-1}\tilde{A}C = \tilde{A}$ , i.e.,  $C^{-1}AC = \tilde{A}$ .

$\Leftarrow$ . It is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be a locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup with the generator  $(\tilde{A}, D(\tilde{A}))$  and  $A \subset \tilde{A}$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a closed module homomorphism,  $\tilde{A}$  is an extension of  $A$  and  $A$  generates a locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$ . If  $\rho_C(A) \cap \rho_C(\tilde{A})$  is nonempty, then  $\tilde{A} = C^{-1}AC$ .*

**Proof.** According to Proposition 6, it is sufficient to prove  $C(D(\tilde{A})) \subseteq D(A)$ . Take  $\lambda \in \rho_C(A) \cap \rho_C(\tilde{A})$ , then, for any  $z \in D(\tilde{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Cz &= C(\lambda - \tilde{A})^{-1}(\lambda - \tilde{A})z \\ &= (\lambda - \tilde{A})^{-1}C(\lambda - \tilde{A})z \\ &= (\lambda - A)^{-1}C(\lambda - \tilde{A})z, \end{aligned}$$

which also belongs to  $D(A)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  be an exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup with the generator  $(A, D(A))$ . Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

(1) *there exists a solution satisfying the locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz condition of (3.1).*

(2) *for any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $V(s)z$  belongs to  $C(D(A))$ , the mapping  $s \mapsto C^{-1}AV(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $X$  is continuous, and the mapping  $s \mapsto C^{-1}V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $[D(A)]$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz.*

(3) *for any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $V(s)z$  belongs to  $R(C)$ , the mapping  $s \mapsto C^{-1}V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $X$  is differentiable and is also locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz.*

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that the abstract Cauchy problem (1) has a solution  $u$  and  $u$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz. Clearly, both  $C^3u(s)$  and  $C^2V(s)z$  ( $\forall z \in D(A)$ ) are solutions of the following abstract Cauchy problem

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{du(s)}{ds} = Au(s), \quad \forall s > 0 \\ u(\theta) = C^3z. \end{cases}$$

Further, due to Theorem 3, we have that the mapping  $s \mapsto C^2V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $X$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz for any  $z \in D(A)$ . Thus, according to Theorem 5(2), we have  $C^3u(s) = C^2V(s)z$  for any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $Cu(s) = V(s)z$ . Consequently,

$$V(s)z \in C(D(A)) \text{ and } C \frac{du(s)}{ds} = AV(s)z,$$

i.e.,

$$V(s)z \in R(C) \text{ and } \frac{du(s)}{ds} = C^{-1}AV(s)z,$$

which shows that the mapping  $s \mapsto C^{-1}AV(s)z$  is continuous. Besides, it is clear that the mapping  $s \mapsto C^{-1}V(s)z$  from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $[D(A)]$  is locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). It is clear from (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). For any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we have  $V(s)z \in C(D(A))$  and  $s \mapsto C^{-1}AV(s)z$  is continuous and  $\bigvee \{\|C^{-1}AV(s)z\| \mid u \in [0, s]\} \in L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$ .

Let  $u(s) = C^{-1}V(s)z$ , due to Corollary 2, we have  $u(s) \in D(A) = D(C^{-1}AC)$  and

$$Au(s) = C^{-1}ACu(s) = C^{-1}AV(s)z.$$



Besides, since both  $C^{-1}$  and  $A$  is closed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^s Au(r)dr &= \int_0^s C^{-1}AV(r)zdr \\
&= C^{-1} \left[ \int_0^s AV(r)zdr \right] \\
&= C^{-1} \left[ A \left( \int_0^s V(r)zdr \right) \right] \\
&= C^{-1}(V(s)z - Cz) \text{ (according to Theorem 4(2))} \\
&= u(s) - z,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\frac{du(s)}{ds} = Au(s)$  and  $u(0) = z$  according to Proposition 3, which shows that  $u$  is a solution satisfying the locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz condition of the abstract Cauchy problem (1).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $u(s) = C^{-1}V(s)z$  for any  $z \in D(A)$  and  $s \geq 0$ , since the mapping  $s \mapsto C^{-1}V(s)z$  is differentiable, due to Theorem 2, we have  $Cu(s) \in D(A)$  and  $ACu(s) = Cu'(s)$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Thus  $u(s) \in D(A) = D(C^{-1}AC)$  and

$$Au(s) = C^{-1}ACu(s) = u'(s)$$

for any  $s \geq 0$ . Further,  $u(0) = z$ , which shows that  $u$  is a solution satisfying the locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz condition of the abstract Cauchy problem (1).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *Observing that the solution in Theorem 8 is given by  $W(s, z) = C^{-1}V(s)z$ , and this solution is well-posed in the following sense: whenever  $\{z_n, n \in N\}$  is a sequence of initial data, for which the abstract Cauchy problem (1) has a solution, converging to  $\theta$  in  $X$ , then  $\{CW(s, z_n), n \in N\}$  converges to  $\theta$  in  $X$  uniformly on any compact subsets of  $[0, \infty)$  since  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is locally a.s. bounded.*

**Remark 2.** *If we choose  $\mathcal{F} = \{\Omega, \Phi\}$ , then a complete RN module  $X$  reduces to a Banach space  $X$  and the locally a.s. bounded  $C$ -semigroup  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  reduces to an ordinary  $C$ -semigroup on  $X$ . Thus the main results with respect to locally a.s. bounded families of operators in this paper generalize the classical basic relations among  $C$ -existence families,  $C$ -semigroups and their associated abstract Cauchy problems in Banach spaces [1][Chapter 2 and Chapter 3] since a continuous function from  $[0, \infty)$  to a Banach space  $X$  is automatically locally bounded.*

Based on Theorem 1(2) and Theorem 5(2), one can immediately obtain Corollary 3 below.

**Corollary 3** ([27]). *Suppose that  $\{V(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a locally a.s. bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $X$  with the generator  $(A, D(A))$ . Then, under the locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz condition on the solution, the abstract Cauchy problem (1) possesses a unique classical solution  $u(s) := V(s)z$  belonging to  $C([0, \infty), [D(A)]) \cap C^1([0, \infty), X)$  for any  $z \in D(A)$ .*

## 6. An application to a type of stochastic differential equations

**Example 1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a given probability space. Let  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$  denote the space of all real-valued measurable processes  $g = \{g(t, \omega)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  satisfying

$$\|g\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} g^2(t, \omega) dt < \infty \text{ a.s. on } \Omega,$$

here, we identify  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$  in  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$  if  $\|g - \bar{g}\| = 0$  a.s. on  $\Omega$ , then  $\|\cdot\|$  defines an  $L^0$ -norm on  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|)$  becomes a complete RN module. Consider the following stochastic differential equation

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dg(t)}{dt} = Ag(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \\ f(0) = \xi \in C(D(A)), \end{cases}$$

where the initial value  $\xi$  is a real-valued  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variable.

Let  $H$  be an operator defined by

$$H(g(t, \omega)) = I_{[0,t]}g(t, \omega)$$

for any  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $C = e^{-H}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(g(t, \omega)) &= (1 + (-H) + \frac{(-H)^2}{2!} + \cdots)(g(t, \omega)) \\ &= (g(t, \omega) + (-H)(g(t, \omega)) + \frac{(-H)^2}{2!}(g(t, \omega)) + \cdots \\ &= g(t, \omega) + (-I_{[0,t]})g(t, \omega) + \frac{(-I_{[0,t]})^2}{2!}g(t, \omega) + \cdots \\ &= e^{-I_{[0,t]}}g(t, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ . Similarly,

$$e^{ZHs}(g(t, \omega)) = e^{ZI_{[0,t]}s}g(t, \omega)$$

for any  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$  and define the  $C$ -semigroup by

$$W(s) = e^{ZHs}e^{-H} \text{ for any } s \geq 0,$$

where  $Z$  is a standard Gaussian random variable. Then  $\{W(s) : s \geq 0\}$  is a  $C$ -semigroup of continuous module homomorphisms with the infinitesimal generator  $A = ZH$ . It is easy to check that for any  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$W(s)(g(t, \omega)) = e^{ZHs}e^{-H}(g(t, \omega)) = e^{ZI_{[0,t]}s - I_{[0,t]}}g(t, \omega)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|W(s)(g(t, \omega))\| &= \|e^{ZI_{[0,t]}s - I_{[0,t]}}g(t, \omega)\| \\ &\leq \|e^{ZI_{[0,t]}s - I_{[0,t]}}\| \|g(t, \omega)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|W(s)\| \leq e^{-I_{[0,t]}}e^{ZI_{[0,t]}s}$$

for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Thus,  $A = ZH$  is not an infinitesimal generator of exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup on Banach spaces but is an infinitesimal generator of exponentially bounded  $C$ -semigroup of continuous module homomorphisms on  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ . Then, due to Theorem 1 and Theorem 5, under the locally  $L^0$ -Lipschitz condition of the solution, the stochastic differential equation (16) possesses a unique solution  $g(t) := W(t)C^{-1}\xi$ .

## References

- [1] DeLaubenfels, R. Existence Families, Functional Calculi and Evolution Equations. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1994.
- [2] DeLaubenfels, R.  $C$ -semigroups and the Cauchy problems. *J. Funct. Anal.* **111** (1993), no. 1, 44-61.
- [3] DeLaubenfels, R.; Sun, G. Z.; Wang, S. W. Regularized semigroups, existence families and the abstract Cauchy problem. *Differential Integral Equations* **8** (1995), 1477-1496.
- [4] Dunford, N.; Schwartz, J. T. Linear Operators(I). *Interscience, New York*, 1957.
- [5] Engel, K. -J.; Nagel, R. One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 2000.
- [6] Gigli, N. Lecture notes on differential calculus on RCD spaces, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.*, **54** (2018), 855-918.
- [7] Gigli, N. Nonsmooth differential geometry-an approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **251**(1196) (2018).
- [8] Guo, T. X. A new approach to random functional analysis. Proceedings of the first china postdoctoral academic conference, The China National Defense and Industry Press, Beijing, 1993, pp. 1150-1154.
- [9] Guo, T. X.; Wang, Y. C.; Xu, H. K.; Yuan, G; Chen, G. A noncompact schauder fixed point theorem in random normed modules and its applications, *Math. Ann.* (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-024-03017-1>
- [10] Guo, T. X. Relations between some basic results derived from two kinds of topologies for a random locally convex module. *J. Funct. Anal.* **258** (2010), 3024-3047.
- [11] Guo, T. X. Recent progress in random metric theory and its applications to conditional risk measures. *Sci. China Ser. A* **54** (2011), 633-660.
- [12] Guo, T. X.; Zhang, X. Stone's representation theorem of a group of random unitary operators on complete complex random inner product modules. *Sci. Sin. Math.* **42** (2012), 181-202.
- [13] Guo, T. X.; Zhang, E. X.; Wang, Y. C.; Guo, Z. C. Two fixed point theorems in complete random normed modules and their applications to backward stochastic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **483** (2020), 123644.
- [14] Guo, T. X.; Mu, X. H.; Tu, Q. The relations among the notions of various kinds of stability and their applications. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* (2024), 42.
- [15] Liu, M.; Zhang, X.; Dai, L. F. Trotter-Kato approximations of semilinear stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Phys.* **64** (2023), 043506.
- [16] Liu, M.; Zhang, X.; Dai, L. F. Trotter-Kato approximations of impulsive neutral SPDEs in Hilbert spaces. *Acta Math. Sin.(Engl. Ser.)* **40** (2024), 1229-1243.
- [17] Lučić, M.; Pasqualetto, E.; Vojnović I. On the reflexivity properties of Banach bundles and Banach modules. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **18** (2024), 7.
- [18] Payne, L. E. Improperly Posed Problems in Partial Differential Equations. *SIAM, Philadelphia, Pa.*, 1975.
- [19] Pazy, A. Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. *World Publishing Company, Beijing*, 2006.
- [20] Schweizer, B.; Sklar, A. Probabilistic Metric Spaces. *Elsevier, New York*, 1983; reissued by *Dover Publications, New York*, 2005.

- [21] Son, T. C.; Thang, D. H.; Oanh, L. T. Exponentially bounded C-semigroup and the Cauchy initial value problems in complete random normed modules, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* (2024), **40**(9) (2024), 2195-2221.
- [22] Skorohod, A. V. Random Linear Operators. *D. Reidel Publishing Company, Holland*, 1984.
- [23] Thang, D. H.; Son, T. C.; Thinh, N. Semigroups of continuous module homomorphisms on complex complete random normed modules. *Lith. Math. J.* **59** (2019), no. 2, 229-250.
- [24] Zhang, X.; Liu, M. On almost surely bounded semigroups of random linear operators. *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), no. 5, 053517.
- [25] Zhang, X.; Liu, M.; Guo, T. X. The Hille-Yosida generation theorem for almost surely bounded  $C_0$ -semigroups of continuous module homomorphisms. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **21** (2020), no. 9, 1995-2009.
- [26] Zhang, X. On mean ergodic semigroups of random linear operators. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **88** (2012), 53-58.
- [27] Zhang, X.; Zhang, H. L.; Wang, B. Z.; Liu, M. The Cauchy initial value problem in complete random normed modules. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **43** (2020), no. 7, 4007-4015.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TIANGONG UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300387, P.R.CHINA  
zhangxia@tiangong.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TIANGONG UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300387, P.R.CHINA  
2220110138@tiangong.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TIANGONG UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300387, P.R.CHINA  
liuming@tiangong.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL SOUTH UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA  
410083, CHINA  
tiexinguo@csu.edu.cn