

# Unification of Stochastic and Quantum Thermodynamics in Scalar Field Theory via a Model with Brownian Thermostat

T. Koide<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and F. Nicacio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro,  
21941-972, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil*

<sup>2</sup>*Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

We present a systematic procedure to derive a quantum master equation for thermal relaxation in real scalar field theory, expanding on the method proposed in [Koide and Nicacio, Phys. Lett. A494, 129277 (2024)]. We begin by introducing a generalized model for a classical scalar field interacting with a Brownian thermostat, consistent with stochastic thermodynamics. Applying canonical quantization to this model, we derive the corresponding quantum master equation, that is applicable to any form of the scalar field Hamiltonian. While its evolution is generally non-CPTP (Completely Positive and Trace-Preserving), it can be adjusted to describe a CPTP evolution, such as those found in the GKSL (Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad) equation by appropriately tuning the parameters of the model. In this framework, we define heat, work, and entropy in a way that satisfies the first and second laws of quantum thermodynamics. This suggests that the quantum-classical correspondence extends beyond closed systems governed by unitary time evolution to open systems as well. We further investigate the relation between the second law in quantum thermodynamics and relative entropy, providing insights into the study of quantum fluctuations through information-theoretical techniques in quantum field theory.

---

\*Electronic address: [tomoikoide@gmail.com](mailto:tomoikoide@gmail.com), [koide@if.ufrj.br](mailto:koide@if.ufrj.br)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding thermodynamics in small fluctuating systems remains a central challenge in modern physics. Two primary frameworks address this challenge: stochastic thermodynamics, which applies to classical mesoscopic systems, and quantum thermodynamics, which is crucial for systems dominated by quantum fluctuations. The former expands standard thermodynamics by redefining heat, work, and entropy, while preserving the first and second laws, often using models based on Brownian motion [1–3]. However, classical approaches fail to describe quantum systems where fluctuations arise intrinsically from the principles of quantum mechanics. Quantum thermodynamics builds on the foundations of quantum physics [4, 5], but the relationship between its classical limit and stochastic thermodynamics has not yet been well investigated. Our recent studies [6, 7] have hinted at a quantum-classical correspondence that bridges these two frameworks.

In quantum thermodynamics, thermal relaxation processes are typically modeled using the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKSL) equation, which describes Completely Positive and Trace-Preserving (CPTP) dynamics. A significant challenge lies in determining the Lindblad’s jump operators directly from first principles. While quantum master equations can be derived through coarse-graining (the choice of gross variables and the Markov approximation) from microscopic dynamics, ensuring CPTP evolution requires additional approximations, such as the rotating wave approximation and the factorization in the initial system-bath density matrix [8]. There are numerous studies that attempt to advance research in this direction [9–17]. However, in this paper, we explore an alternative approach.

The present authors recently proposed a novel approach to constructing quantum master equations, deviating from conventional methods commonly used in many-body systems [6]. This approach aims to elucidate the role of quantum fluctuations in thermal relaxation processes by formulating a quantum master equation with a well-defined classical limit. Notably, in the Caldeira-Leggett model, the quantum counterpart of standard Brownian motion fails to yield a GKSL equation [8]. To address this issue, we first developed a generalized model of Brownian motion, where the relation between a particle’s velocity and momentum is modified due to interactions with heat baths. We then demonstrated that this model provides a consistent framework for stochastic thermodynamics. By applying canonical quantization to the generalized model, we derived a quantum master equation.

Our derivation is phenomenological and thus the coefficients contained in our equation are determined through microscopic calculations, such as the Green-Kubo-Nakano formula. It is worth noting that the canonical quantization of standard Brownian motion has been investigated by Oliveira [18, 19]. However, the generalization of Brownian motion is not considered and thus resulting equations do not satisfy the CPTP requirement.

The approach described in Ref. [6] offers two key benefits that are fundamental to the extension of thermodynamics. First, it provides simplicity: the quantum master equation is obtained solely by the system Hamiltonian and coupling coefficients, without requiring detailed knowledge of the system-bath interactions. In particular, Lindblad's jump operators and the modifications to the system Hamiltonian are automatically specified by the information. Second, it ensures that the classical limit of the quantum master equation consistently describes thermal relaxation processes. This includes not only convergence to equilibrium but also adherence to inequalities involving heat and entropy in accordance with the second law of thermodynamics. Although dynamics described by our quantum master equation are generally non-CPTP, they can be tuned to match the GKSL equation for general quadratic many-body Hamiltonians by appropriately adjusting the coupling parameters [6, 7].

In the present paper, we will put forward an extension of our program [6, 7] to a field theoretical model. This may be useful in furthering our understanding of the interplay between quantum field and quantum information theories. Quantum field theory employs various entropy measures, such as entanglement entropy, relative entropy, and mutual information to characterize the intricate nature of quantum fluctuations [20, 21]. To bridge these information-theoretic entropies with thermodynamic entropy, it is essential to establish a framework for quantum thermodynamics within field theory. Moreover, investigating the relationship between thermalization and time-reversal symmetry breaking becomes particularly intriguing in field-theoretical models of open systems, such as K mesons, where CP symmetry is violated. Recent proposals also suggest that GKSL equations may describe the interaction of quantized matter fields with classical gravity, further underscoring the relevance of this framework [22]. Furthermore, several studies in cosmology have employed field-theoretical GKSL equations [23–29]. To establish a systematic procedure for deriving such a GKSL equation, it is important to examine its derivation from various perspective.

The researches in stochastic and quantum thermodynamics have exclusively focused on the cases where the number of particles remains constant, thus neglecting the contribution

from chemical potential. In the study of stochastic thermodynamics, its generalization to finite chemical potential is studied in Ref. [30]. However, this particle-based formulation inherently uses the positions and momenta of particles as dynamic variables, making it difficult to describe processes where the number of particles changes. Conversely, in field theory, the states of systems are field configurations, and the number of conserved charges typically varies due to interactions. Thus, a formulation based on field theory might be more advantageous for describing the contribution from chemical potential. This is another motivation for discussing the extension to field theory.

In this paper, we systematically derive a quantum master equation that describes thermal relaxation processes in real scalar field theory. We begin by introducing a classical field-theoretical model where a scalar field interacts with a Brownian thermostat, ensuring consistency with stochastic thermodynamics. By applying canonical quantization, we derive a quantum master equation applicable to arbitrary scalar-field Hamiltonians. Although this equation generally describes non-CPTP evolution, we show that, for a free scalar field Hamiltonian, the parameters can be adjusted to ensure CPTP evolution. Within this framework, heat, work, and entropy are defined in a manner consistent with the first and second laws of quantum thermodynamics. Furthermore, our approach guarantees that quantum thermodynamics converges to stochastic thermodynamics in the classical limit ( $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ ). Finally, we examine the distinctions between our formulation of the second law and the concept of relative entropy.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the discretization of scalar fields to further introduce stochastic behaviors in these fields. Using this result, we introduce the classical field-theoretical model interacting with Brownian thermostat and demonstrate that the model satisfies the first and second law in stochastic thermodynamics in Sec. III. Our quantum master equation is obtained by applying canonical quantization to our classical model. Choosing the free field as the system Hamiltonian, we show that the derived dynamics is a CPTP map. Heat, work, and entropy are introduced by generalizing the corresponding quantity in stochastic thermodynamics showing the first and second laws in quantum thermodynamics in Sec. IV. In Sec. VI, the relation between the second law of quantum thermodynamics and the entropy production defined through the relative entropy is discussed. Section VII is devoted to concluding remarks.

## II. MATHEMATICAL SET UP BROWNIAN THERMOSTAT

As well-known, the trajectory of a Brownian particle is not differentiable by the influence of noise in its temporal evolution [31]. To describe a fluctuating field configuration, the field at each point in spacetime is subject to random fluctuations due to noise terms. Therefore, a field configuration at each stochastic event is a non-differentiable function. To handle such a random effect, it is necessary to introduce the discretization of field in spacetime. The discretization method of fields is extension of the work developed by one of the present authors [32].

Let us consider the 1 + 1-dimensional system of total length  $\ell$ , where positions are represented by  $2N$  discretized points in a grid with size  $\Delta x = \ell/(2N)$ , that is,  $x_i = i\Delta x$  ( $i = -N, -(N-1), \dots, N-1$ ). Assuming, for convenience, the periodic boundary conditions for a bosonic field  $\psi(x_i)$ ,

$$\psi(x_{i+2N}) = \psi(x_i). \quad (1)$$

Therefore the minimum is located at  $x_{\min} = x_{-N} = -\ell/2$  and the maximum is at  $x_{\max} = x_{N-1} = \ell/2 - \Delta x$ . Due to the periodic boundary condition, the field at  $x_N = \ell/2$  is defined through  $\psi(x_N) = \psi(x_{-N})$  and thus the field at  $x_N$ ,  $\psi(x_N)$ , is not explicitly considered in the following calculation.

Because of this discretization, the spatial derivatives are replaced by differences: the first and second derivatives are, respectively, given by

$$\partial_x = \frac{1}{2\Delta x} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_x^2 = \frac{1}{(2\Delta x)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

These definitions are different from those in Ref. [32].

Let us denote the eigenvectors of  $\partial_x^2$  as  $u^{(k_n)}$  associated to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{k_n}^2$ ,

$$\partial_x^2 u^{(k_n)} = -\lambda_{k_n}^2 u^{(k_n)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\lambda_{k_n} = \frac{\sin(k_n \Delta x)}{\Delta x}, \quad (4)$$

and  $k_n = 2\pi n/\ell$  for  $n = -N, -(N-1), \dots, N-1$ . These eigenvectors are orthonormal and constitute a complete-set, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} (u^{(k_n)}(x_i))^\dagger u^{(k_m)}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \delta_{n,m}, \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta x \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} (u^{(k_n)}(x_\alpha))^\dagger u^{(k_n)}(x_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$u^{(k_n)}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} e^{-ik_n x_i}, \quad (7)$$

$$(u^{(k_n)})^T = (u^{(k_n)}(x_{-N}), u^{(k_n)}(x_{-N+1}), \dots, u^{(k_n)}(x_{N-1})). \quad (8)$$

In the following, we consider the system composed of the real scalar field. The real scalar field and its conjugate field can be expanded as

$$\Phi_t(x_i) = \sqrt{\Delta k} \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \phi_t(k_n) u^{(k_n)}(x_i), \quad (9)$$

$$\pi_t(x_i) = \sqrt{\Delta k} \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \Pi_t(k_n) u^{(k_n)}(x_i), \quad (10)$$

where  $\Delta k = 2\pi/\ell$ . For simplicity in notation, we use  $A_t(x) = A(x, t)$  to represent a general time-dependent function. In the following, we develop the formulation in terms of  $\phi(k_n)$  and  $\Pi(k_n)$ . For a given Lagrangian  $L$  the conjugate field is given by

$$\Pi_t(k_n) = \frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_t(-k_n)}, \quad (11)$$

where the symbol “ $\dot{\bullet}$ ” denotes the temporal derivative and  $L = L(\Phi_t(x), \dot{\Phi}_t(x))$  is the discretized Lagrangian. To represent a family of fields,  $\{A_t(x), B_t(x), \dots\}$  for arbitrary fields  $A_t(x), B_t(x), \dots$  refers to the discrete set  $\{A_t(x_i), B_t(x_i), \dots \mid i = -N, \dots, N-1\}$ .

From a Legendre transformation, we introduce the Hamiltonian

$$H = \Delta k \sum_n \left\{ \Pi_t(k_n) \dot{\phi}_t(-k_n) - L \right\}, \quad (12)$$

and then the corresponding canonical equations describe the behavior of an isolated system,

$$\partial_t \phi_t(k_n) = \frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Pi_t(-k_n)}, \quad (13)$$

$$\partial_t \Pi_t(k_n) = -\frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_t(-k_n)}. \quad (14)$$

We further summarize the expansion of noise terms which appear in stochastic differential equations. In the following, we use the symbol “ $\widehat{\bullet}$ ” to denote a stochastic quantity. Let us introduce the Wiener process  $\widehat{B}_t(x_i)$  in the discretized spacetime satisfying the following correlations:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ d\widehat{B}_t(x_i) \right] = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ d\widehat{B}_t(x_i) d\widehat{B}_{t'}(x_j) \right] = dt \delta_{t,t'} \delta_{i,j}, \quad (16)$$

where  $d\widehat{B}_t(x_i) := \widehat{B}_{t+dt}(x_i) - \widehat{B}_t(x_i)$  is the increment and  $\mathbb{E}[\bullet]$  is the expectation value. As before, we will consider the expansion of  $d\widehat{B}_t(x_i)$  using the complete set where the expansion coefficients are denoted by  $d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t(k_n)$ , *i.e.*,

$$d\widehat{B}_t(x_i) = \sqrt{\Delta k} \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta k}} d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t(k_n) \right) u^{(k_n)}(x_i). \quad (17)$$

The correlation properties of  $d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t(k_n)$  are obtained using the above expansion in Eqs. (15) and (16) with Eqs. (5) and (6), namely,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t(k_n) \right] = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t(k_n) d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{t'}(-k_m) \right] = dt \delta_{t,t'} \delta_{i,j}. \quad (19)$$

Now, we proceed to determine the stochastic differential equation for the field interacting with Brownian thermostat. Let us first consider an isolated Hamiltonian system, the form of which can be controlled by time-dependent external parameters. Since we consider a field-theoretical system, such a parameter is a function of position in general. As the simplest example, we choose a Hamiltonian depending on a single external field  $b_t(k_n)$ , which satisfies periodic boundary conditions. Then the system Hamiltonian of the real scalar field is denoted by  $H(\{\Pi_t, \phi_t, b_t\})$ . The Brownian thermostat has a fixed temperature  $T = 1/(k_B \beta)$  with  $k_B$  being the Boltzmann constant. Due to the interaction with the Brownian thermostat, the scalar field dynamics becomes dissipative and is influenced by thermal noise. The fields

$\Pi_t(k_n)$  and  $\phi_t(k_n)$  are then not differentiable and are defined only on discretized momenta and times.

Extending our previous results in Ref. [6] for many-body systems, a field-theoretical model interacting with Brownian thermostat is supposed to be described by the following stochastic differential equations:

$$d\widehat{\phi}_t(k_n) = \frac{dt}{\Delta k} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} - \gamma_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} \right\} H(\{\widehat{\Pi}_t, \widehat{\phi}_t, b_t\}) + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k \beta}} d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\phi(k_n), \quad (20)$$

$$d\widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n) = -\frac{dt}{\Delta k} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} + \gamma_\Pi \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} \right\} H(\{\widehat{\Pi}_t, \widehat{\phi}_t, b_t\}) + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k \beta}} d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\Pi(k_n), \quad (21)$$

where  $d\widehat{A}_t := \widehat{A}_{t+dt} - \widehat{A}_t$  and  $dt$  denotes the width of the discretized time. The coefficients  $\gamma_\phi = \gamma_\phi(|k_n|)$  and  $\gamma_\Pi = \gamma_\Pi(|k_n|)$  are arbitrary real and positive functions of  $|k_n|$ . In the above complex representations of the stochastic differential equations, we introduce  $d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\mu(k_n)$  ( $\mu = \phi, \Pi$ ), which satisfy the same correlations defined by Eqs. (18) and (19), but are independent each other,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\mu(k_n) \right] &= 0, \\ \mathbb{E} \left[ d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\mu(k_n) d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{t'}^\nu(-k_m) \right] &= dt \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta_{m,n} \delta_{t,t'}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The field configuration is characterized by the probability distribution defined by

$$\rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) = \int [d\Pi_0] \int [d\phi_0] \rho_0(\{\Pi_0, \phi_0\}) \prod_{n=-N}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \delta(\Pi(k_n) - \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)) \delta(\phi(k_n) - \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)) \right], \quad (23)$$

where  $[dA] := \prod_{n=-N}^{N-1} dA(k_n)$ ,  $\phi_0$  and  $\Pi_0$  are the corresponding fields at a given initial time  $t_0$  and  $\rho_0(\{\Pi_0, \phi_0\})$  represents the initial probability distribution of these fields, normalized by one.

Assuming that this distribution converges in the continuum limit, where  $\Delta x, \Delta t \rightarrow 0$ , and using the Itô's Lemma [31], one can show that the probability distribution satisfies the functional Fokker-Planck-Kramers (FPK) equation of the scalar field:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) &= \\ \int dk &\left[ -\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(-k)} \left( \frac{\delta H(\{\Pi, \phi, b_t\})}{\delta \Pi(k)} - \gamma_\phi \frac{\delta H(\{\Pi, \phi, b_t\})}{\delta \phi(k)} - \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\beta} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(k)} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(-k)} \left( \frac{\delta H(\{\Pi, \phi, b_t\})}{\delta \phi(k)} + \gamma_\Pi \frac{\delta H(\{\Pi, \phi, b_t\})}{\delta \Pi(k)} + \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\beta} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Pi(k)} \right) \right] \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$



See Appendix A for details. Here the functional derivatives and integrals are assumed to be defined in the continuum limit as

$$\lim_{\Delta k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(k_n)} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(k)}, \quad (25)$$

$$\lim_{\substack{\Delta k \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \Delta k \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk. \quad (26)$$

See Appendix B for further discussions about this continuum limit. In the absence of the interaction with the Brownian thermostat, the coefficients  $\gamma_\phi = \gamma_\phi(|k|)$  and  $\gamma_\Pi = \gamma_\Pi(|k|)$  vanish and thus the functional FPK equation is reduced to the Liouville equation for the field.

If the spectrum of the Hamiltonian  $H$  is bounded from below and  $b_t(k_n)$  becomes constant after a certain  $t = \tau$ , *i.e.*,  $b_t(k_n) = b_\tau(k_n)$  for  $t \geq \tau$ , the functional FPK evolves an arbitrary initial condition towards the Gibbs state

$$\rho_\star(\{\Pi, \phi\}) = \frac{1}{Z(\tau)} e^{-\beta H(\{\Pi, \phi, b_\tau\})}, \quad (27)$$

where the partition function is given by

$$Z(\tau) = \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] e^{-\beta H(\{\Pi, \phi, b_\tau\})}. \quad (28)$$

See the discussion in Sec. VI for details.

One may wonder why the stochastic differential equations are defined for  $\widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)$  instead of  $\widehat{\Phi}_t(x_i)$ . It is because we need to introduce the coefficients  $\gamma_\phi(|k_n|)$  and  $\gamma_\Pi(|k_n|)$  depending on  $k_n$ . In our model, we can introduce heat, work and entropy which are consistent with thermodynamical interpretation for arbitrary real and positive functions  $\gamma_\phi(|k_n|)$  and  $\gamma_\Pi(|k_n|)$ . However, for the corresponding quantum master equation, we use coefficients with a predetermined  $k_n$  dependence, ensuring that the quantum master equation results in a CPTP evolution.

### III. STOCHASTIC THERMODYNAMICS FOR SCALAR FIELDS

Let us first clarify our stance on incorporating a thermodynamical interpretation into our model. Our analysis of thermodynamical behavior excludes environmental data and relies solely on information obtained directly from the system itself. Consequently, in our framework, entropy pertains only to the system, allowing for the possibility of negative entropy

change, unlike the total entropy change of the total system (system plus environment), which remains positive. Furthermore, we take a standard view on the thermodynamical entropy, defining it as a quantity related to equilibrium states, i.e., a function of only macroscopic (thermodynamic) variables. In this paper, we demonstrate that the Shannon entropy in stochastic thermodynamics and the von Neumann entropy in quantum thermodynamics adhere to laws analogous to the first and second laws of thermodynamics. Although these entropies can be calculated even for non-equilibrium states, they should not be indiscriminately equated with thermodynamical entropy itself.

### A. Introduction of classical heat

In standard Brownian motion, it is assumed that the interaction with thermostat influences the motion only through the momentum equation, leaving the position equation unchanged. However, quantizing such standard Brownian motion yields a master equation that does not preserve complete positivity of the state [18, 19]. To address this, we must ensure that the position equation is modified by the interaction with thermostat, as discussed in Ref. [6]. In other words, standard Brownian motion is recovered by setting  $\gamma_\phi(|k_n|) = 0$  in the model described by equations (20) and (21). Although this is not a straightforward generalization, we can define heat, work, and entropy in a manner that satisfies laws analogous to those of thermodynamics, as we will demonstrate in this section. Therefore, our generalized model provides a suitable phenomenological framework for describing thermal relaxation processes. This area has been the focus of intense debate in the theory of open quantum systems (see, for instance, [33, 34]).

In stochastic thermodynamics [1], the heat absorbed by the system from the Brownian thermostat is represented by the work done by the thermostat on the system [1]. To illustrate this definition, let us consider equations of motion for a particle given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(q)}, \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(p)}, \quad (30)$$

where  $H$  is a particle Hamiltonian, and  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(q)}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(p)}$  are external perturbations to velocity and acceleration, respectively. Then the change of the Hamiltonian is

$$dH(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(p)} \cdot d\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(q)} \cdot d\mathbf{p}, \quad (31)$$

that is, the right-hand side represents the work provided by the external perturbations. The standard definition of external work in classical mechanics is reproduced when  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(g)} = 0$ .

We now apply this idea to define the heat for the scalar field system. The interactions between the system and the Brownian thermostat are represented by the second and third terms on the right-hand sides of Eqs. (20) and (21), which, in the particle model, correspond to “external perturbations” in the above discussion. Therefore the heat (work done by the external perturbations) will be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d\widehat{Q}_t = & \Delta k \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\gamma_{\Pi} \frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}(\{\widehat{\Pi}_t, \widehat{\phi}_t, b_t\})}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_{\Pi}}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{B}_t^{\Pi}(k_n)}{dt} \right) \circ d\widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n) \\ & - \Delta k \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\gamma_{\phi} \frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}(\{\widehat{\Pi}_t, \widehat{\phi}_t, b_t\})}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_{\phi}}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{B}_t^{\phi}(k_n)}{dt} \right) \circ d\widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where, instead of the scalar product in Eq. (31), the product between stochastic variables is given by the Stratonovich definition [31]:

$$d\widehat{B}_t^{\mu}(k_m) \circ f(\widehat{\Phi}_t(k_n)) := d\widehat{B}_t^{\mu}(k_m) \frac{f(\widehat{\Phi}_t(k_n)) + f(\widehat{\Phi}_{t+dt}(k_n))}{2}, \quad (33)$$

for  $\mu = \phi, \Pi$ .

## B. First and second laws in stochastic thermodynamics

It is natural to define the energy of the system by its Hamiltonian. Moreover, as is done in Ref. [1], the work applied to the system is caused by the change of the external parameter  $b_t(x_i)$  and thus defined by

$$d\widehat{W}_t = \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \frac{\partial H(\{\widehat{\Pi}_t, \widehat{\phi}_t, b_t\})}{\partial b_t(k_n)} db_t(k_n). \quad (34)$$

Then, for each stochastic event, we can write

$$d\widehat{Q}_t = dH(\{\widehat{\Pi}_t, \widehat{\phi}_t, b_t\}) - d\widehat{W}_t, \quad (35)$$

which corresponds to the first law in stochastic thermodynamics. See, Appendix C for details.

Now, we consider the information entropy associated with the probability distribution  $\rho$ ,

$$S_{\text{ST}}[\rho_t] = -k_{\text{B}} \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) \ln \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}), \quad (36)$$

and the the expectation value of the heat

$$[\widehat{Q}_t] := \int [d\Pi_0] \int [d\phi_0] \rho_0(\{\Pi_0, \phi_0\}) E \left[ d\widehat{Q}_t \right]. \quad (37)$$

Then, for arbitrary initial conditions and external protocols  $b_t(k_n)$ , we find that the following inequality is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dS_{\text{ST}}[\rho_t]}{dt} - \frac{1}{T} \frac{1}{dt} [d\widehat{Q}_t] \\ &= k_{\text{B}} \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dk \frac{\gamma_{\phi}\beta}{\rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})} \left| \frac{\delta H}{\delta\phi(k)} \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\delta\rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})}{\delta\phi(k)} \right|^2 \\ &+ k_{\text{B}} \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dk \frac{\gamma_{\Pi}\beta}{\rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})} \left| \frac{\delta H}{\delta\Pi(k)} \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\delta\rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})}{\delta\Pi(k)} \right|^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

which corresponds to the second law of thermodynamics. See Appendix D for detailed calculations. The equality is satisfied when  $\rho = \rho_{\star}(\{\Pi, \phi\})$  and  $b_t(x_i) = b_{\tau}(x_i)$  for  $t \geq \tau$ , See also the discussion around Eqs. (27) and (28).

As was emphasized, the analogous laws introduced here are not equivalent to those in thermodynamics. For instance, the information entropy is defined for any non-equilibrium state, whereas thermodynamical entropy is a thermodynamical quantity only defined for equilibrium states. Moreover, we have not demonstrated that this entropy is expressed solely as a function of thermal equilibrium quantities.

Notably, in the above derivations, it is not necessary to specify the system Hamiltonian, allowing the first and second laws to be defined for any interacting scalar field. Consequently, stochastic thermodynamics is broadly applicable to various scalar field theories. However, this applicability becomes more limited when considering the quantum version of this model, as discussed in the following sections.

#### IV. QUANTUM MASTER EQUATION FOR SCALAR FIELD

To provide a unified description of stochastic and quantum thermodynamics, we present a quantum master equation that reproduces the results in Sec. III in its classical limit. By extending the formulation proposed in Ref. [7], we derive such a field-theoretical quantum master equation by applying canonical quantization to the functional Fokker-Planck-Kramers (FPK) equation (24).

It is remarkable that the functional FPK equation (24) can be expressed only in terms of the Poisson brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) &= -\{\rho_t, H\}_{\text{PB}} + \int dk \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\beta} \{e^{-\beta H} \{e^{\beta H} \rho_t, \Pi(k)\}_{\text{PB}}, \Pi(-k)\}_{\text{PB}} \\ &+ \int dk \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\beta} \{e^{-\beta H} \{e^{\beta H} \rho_t, \phi(k)\}_{\text{PB}}, \phi(-k)\}_{\text{PB}}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$\{f, g\}_{\text{PB}} = \int dk \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta \phi(k)} \frac{\delta g}{\delta \Pi(-k)} - \frac{\delta f}{\delta \Pi(-k)} \frac{\delta g}{\delta \phi(k)} \right). \quad (40)$$

The structure of this classical equation can be thus straightforwardly quantized. Let us consider the following canonical quantization rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) &\longrightarrow \hat{\rho}(t), \\ \{f, g\}_{\text{PB}} &\longrightarrow -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{f}, \hat{g}], \\ e^{\pm \beta H} \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) &\longrightarrow e^{\pm \frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}} \hat{\rho}(t) e^{\pm \frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The symbol “ $\hat{\bullet}$ ” denotes operators and  $\hat{\rho}(t)$  is the density matrix of the system. Using these replacements, our quantum master equation obtained from the functional FPK equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{\rho}(t) &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}(t)] - \int dk \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\beta \hbar^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)} \left[ e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)} \hat{\rho}(t) e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)}, \hat{\Pi}(k) \right] e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)}, \hat{\Pi}(-k) \right] \\ &- \int dk \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\beta \hbar^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)} \left[ e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)} \hat{\rho}(t) e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)}, \hat{\phi}(k) \right] e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \hat{H}(t)}, \hat{\phi}(-k) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

When there is no interaction with Brownian thermostat, *i.e.*, when both  $\gamma_\phi = \gamma_\phi(|k|)$  and  $\gamma_\Pi = \gamma_\Pi(|k|)$  vanish, our quantum master equation is reduced to the Heisenberg equation of motion. Note that, if the spectrum of the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}(t) = H(\{\hat{\Pi}, \hat{\phi}, b_t\})$  is bounded from below and  $b_t = b_\tau$  for  $t \geq \tau$ , the differential equation has a unique stationary solution (fixed-point attractor) in the asymptotic limit in time, which is given by Gibbs’s thermal equilibrium state:

$$\hat{\rho}_\star = \frac{1}{Z(\tau)} e^{-\beta \hat{H}(\tau)}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$Z(\tau) = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{H}(\tau)}]. \quad (44)$$

It should be however noted that, in general, the evolution described by Eq. (42) does not satisfy the requirements for a CPTP evolution. To address this point, we consider the simple

case where the system Hamiltonian takes the form of a free scalar field with an explicit time dependence,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \int dk \left\{ \frac{c^2}{2} |\hat{\Pi}(k)|^2 + \frac{k^2}{2} |\hat{\phi}(k)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_t^2(k) |\hat{\phi}(k)|^2 \right\}, \quad (45)$$

introduced through the external parameter  $b_t(k)$ , which corresponds to the mass term.

For this Hamiltonian, Eq. (42) can be conveniently rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}(t) &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}(t) \right] - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dk \hat{\rho}(t) (\hat{\phi}(k), \hat{\Pi}(k)) \mathbf{L}^T(t) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}(-k) \\ \hat{\Pi}(-k) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dk (\hat{\phi}(k), \hat{\Pi}(k)) \mathbf{L}^*(t) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}(-k) \\ \hat{\Pi}(-k) \end{pmatrix} \hat{\rho}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dk (\hat{\phi}(k), \hat{\Pi}(k)) \hat{\rho}(t) (\mathbf{L}(t) + \mathbf{L}^\dagger(t)) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}(-k) \\ \hat{\Pi}(-k) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}(t) = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\beta \hbar^2} \cosh \Theta(t) & -\frac{i \gamma_\Pi c^2}{\beta \hbar^2 \omega_t(k)} \sinh \Theta(t) \\ \frac{i \gamma_\phi \omega_t(k)}{\beta \hbar^2 c^2} \sinh \Theta(t) & \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\beta \hbar^2} \cosh \Theta(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

with

$$\Theta(t) = \frac{\beta \hbar \omega_t(k)}{2}, \quad (48)$$

$$\omega_k(t) = \lim_{\Delta k \rightarrow 0} c \sqrt{\lambda_k^2 + b_t^2(k)} = c \sqrt{k^2 + b_t^2(k)}. \quad (49)$$

As discussed in Ref. [7], the quantum master equation given by the form of Eq. (46) describes a CPTP evolution when all eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{L}_H := \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{L}^\dagger$  are non-negative. Thus, since  $\mathbf{L}_H$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, their eigenvalues are non-negative if and only if  $\det \mathbf{L}_H \geq 0$ . Consequently, the parameters  $\gamma_\Pi(|k|)$  and  $\gamma_\phi(|k|)$  should satisfy the following condition:

$$\sinh^2 \Theta(t) \left\{ 4 \frac{\gamma_\Pi(|k|) \gamma_\phi(|k|)}{\beta^2 \hbar^4} \coth^2 \Theta(t) - \left( \frac{\gamma_\Pi(|k|) c^2}{\beta \hbar^2 \omega_t(k)} + \frac{\gamma_\phi(|k|) \omega_t(k)}{\beta \hbar^2 c^2} \right)^2 \right\} \geq 0. \quad (50)$$

In the end, this inequality for any temperature (or for any  $\Theta$ ) is always true if and only if

$$\gamma_\Pi(|k|) = \frac{\omega_t^2(k)}{c^4} \gamma_\phi(|k|). \quad (51)$$

Although this relation depends on the wave number  $k$ , it is similar to the corresponding one in the quantum master equation for a harmonic oscillator. See the discussion below Eq. (35) in Ref. [6].

Using this relation, our quantum master equation is mapped into the well-known GKSL form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}(t) &= \frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\hbar} \int dk \sum_{i=\pm} \gamma_i(|k|, t) \left[ \hat{L}_i^\dagger(k) \hat{L}_i(k) \hat{\rho}(t) + \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{L}_i^\dagger(k) \hat{L}_i(k) - 2\hat{L}_i(k) \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{L}_i^\dagger(k) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where the Lindblad's jump operators are defined by

$$\hat{L}_+(k) = \hat{a}(k), \quad (53)$$

$$\hat{L}_-(k) = \hat{a}^\dagger(k), \quad (54)$$

and we have introduced the Lindblad rates,

$$\gamma_\pm(|k|, t) := \frac{4\gamma_\phi(|k|) \hbar\omega_t(k)}{\beta\hbar c^2} e^{\pm\frac{\beta\hbar\omega_t(k)}{2}}. \quad (55)$$

The creation-annihilation operators are defined by the transformation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}^\dagger(-k) \\ \hat{a}(k) \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{2\hbar\omega_t(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\omega_k(t)}{c^2} \hat{\phi}(-k) \\ \hat{\Pi}(k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (56)$$

which diagonalizes the system Hamiltonian (45),

$$\hat{H}(t) = \int dk \hbar\omega_t(k) \left( \hat{a}^\dagger(k) \hat{a}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (57)$$

See Appendix B for details about the continuum representation of the creation-annihilation operators.

## V. QUANTUM THERMODYNAMICS

In stochastic thermodynamics, the heat absorbed by the system is defined by the work done by the Brownian thermostat, as shown in Sec. III. It is easy to confirm that the definition given by Eq. (32) is reexpressed in an alternative form,

$$[d\hat{Q}_t] = dt \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \frac{\partial \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})}{\partial t} H(\{\Pi, \phi, b_t\}), \quad (58)$$

where we used the continuum limit expressed in Eqs. (25) and (26), and the temporal derivative inside the integral is given by the functional FPK equation (24). Using the

canonical quantization rules in Eq. (41), the corresponding heat in quantum thermodynamics is defined by

$$[\widehat{dQ}_t] \longrightarrow dQ(t) = \text{Tr} \left[ dt \frac{d\hat{\rho}(t)}{dt} \hat{H}(t) \right]. \quad (59)$$

The work applied to the system is induced by the change of the external parameter  $b_t(k)$  in the system Hamiltonian operator and thus is defined by

$$dW(t) = \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}(t) \int dk \frac{\partial \hat{H}(t)}{\partial b_t(k)} db_t(k) \right]. \quad (60)$$

Similar to the heat in Eq. (58), the above expression can be derived from the canonical quantization of the mean stochastic work  $[\widehat{dW}_t]$  in Eq. (34) and the stochastic mean defined in (37).

It is very natural to define the energy of the system by the expectation value of  $\hat{H}(t)$ , therefore the first law in quantum thermodynamics is represented by

$$\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}(t+dt)\hat{H}(t+dt)] - \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}(t)\hat{H}(t)] = dQ(t) + dW(t). \quad (61)$$

Comparing this with Eq. (35), one can observe the quantum-classical correspondence in the law analogous to the first law of thermodynamics. Interestingly enough, the first law in quantum thermodynamics is derived from the quantization of classical stochastic motion, providing a clear interpretation of all three quantities in Eq. (61). Despite being expressed exactly as Eq. (61), the mean energy variation in the theory of quantum master equations is ambiguous and is an open problem [35].

Let us define the entropy of our quantum system by the von Neumann entropy,

$$S_{\text{QT}}[\hat{\rho}(t)] = -k_{\text{B}} \text{Tr} [\hat{\rho}(t) \ln \hat{\rho}(t)]. \quad (62)$$

When the system Hamiltonian is given by Eq. (45), we can calculate the temporal derivative of  $S_{\text{QT}}$  using the GKSL equation (52) for an arbitrary initial state and an external parameter  $b_t(k)$ . We then obtain the following inequality involving  $S_{\text{QT}}$  and heat:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_{\text{QT}}[\hat{\rho}(t)]}{dt} - \frac{1}{T} \frac{dQ_t}{dt} &= k_{\text{B}} \int dk dn dm P_n(t) R_{mn}^+(k, t) \left\{ \ln \frac{P_n(t) R_{mn}^+(k, t)}{P_m(t) R_{nm}^-(k, t)} \right\} \\ &+ k_{\text{B}} \int dk dn dm P_n(t) R_{mn}^-(k, t) \left\{ \ln \frac{P_n(t) R_{mn}^-(k, t)}{P_m(t) R_{nm}^+(k, t)} \right\} \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where  $P_n(t)$  are the eigenvalues of the density operator associated to the eigenvectors  $|k, t\rangle$ , that is,

$$\hat{\rho}(t)|k, t\rangle = P_k(t)|k, t\rangle, \quad (64)$$



and

$$R_{nm}^{\pm}(k, t) := \gamma_{\pm}(|k|, t) |\langle n, t | L_{\pm}(k) | m, t \rangle|^2. \quad (65)$$

Assuming that the time-dependence of the system Hamiltonian vanishes after a certain time  $\tau$ ,  $b_t(k) = b_{\tau}(k)$  for  $t \geq \tau$ , our quantum master equation drives any state towards its stationary state,  $\hat{\rho}_{\star}$ , see Eq.(43). Further, the coefficients  $\gamma_{\pm}(|k|, t)$ , defined in Eq.(55), satisfies the detailed balance condition

$$\frac{\gamma_{-}(|k|, t)}{\gamma_{+}(|k|, t)} = \frac{\gamma_{-}(|k|, \tau)}{\gamma_{+}(|k|, \tau)} = e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_{\tau}(k)}, \quad (66)$$

which means that the equality in Eq. (63) holds for  $\hat{\rho}_{\star}$ . This represents the second law in quantum thermodynamics, and the correspondence between the classical and quantum inequalities (38) and (63) is evident. In this context, we can assert that a quantum-classical correspondence exists between the information entropy and the von Neumann entropy.

## VI. KULLBACK-LEIBLER DIVERGENCE AND RELATIVE ENTROPY

As mentioned in the introduction, numerous studies have applied information-theoretical techniques to quantum field theory, often utilizing two entropies: the entanglement and the relative entropies [20, 21]. In our model, the density matrix  $\hat{\rho}$  does not describe the state of the environment and is therefore a reduced density matrix. Consequently, the von Neumann entropy introduced in Eq. (62) is identified with the entanglement entropy, which serves as a measure for quantitatively evaluating the degree of entanglement in quantum systems.

The relative entropy satisfies an inequality and is sometimes associated with a law analogous to the second law of thermodynamics in such an informational approach [8]. However, as shown in this work, in quantum thermodynamics, the quantity identified with the thermodynamical entropy corresponds to the entanglement entropy. In this section, we compare the inequality of relative entropy with the second law in quantum thermodynamics.

Before discussing relative entropy, we first investigate the Kullback-Leibler divergence, which is extended to relative entropy in quantum theory. For the sake of simplicity, we consider that the external parameter  $b_t(x_i)$  is constant. In our field-theoretical model interacting

with Brownian thermostat, it is defined by

$$S_{\text{KL}}(\rho|\rho_\star) = \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) \ln \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) - \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) \ln \rho_\star(\{\Pi, \phi\}), \quad (67)$$

where  $\rho_\star(\{\Pi, \phi\})$  is the stationary solution of the functional FPK equation. We can show that the time derivative is a monotonically decreasing function,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_{\text{KL}}(\rho|\rho_\star)}{dt} &= - \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dk \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\beta} \rho \left| \frac{\delta \ln \rho}{\delta \phi(k)} - \frac{\delta \ln \rho_\star}{\delta \phi(k)} \right|^2 \\ &\quad - \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dk \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\beta} \rho \left| \frac{\delta \ln \rho}{\delta \Pi(k)} - \frac{\delta \ln \rho_\star}{\delta \Pi(k)} \right|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

That is, the Kullback-Leibler divergence plays a role of a Lyapunov function (H function) in this case and the probability distribution  $\rho$  evolves toward the stationary state  $\rho_\star$  monotonically for any initial distribution.

Its quantal extension, the relative entropy, is defined by

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}') = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}] - \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}']. \quad (69)$$

Following the discussion in Sec. 3.2.5 in Ref. [8], let us evaluate the relative entropy associated to the reduced density matrix defined by

$$\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho} := \text{Tr}_B[\hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}_{\text{tot}}\hat{U}^\dagger(t)], \quad (70)$$

where  $\text{Tr}_B[\bullet]$  denotes integrating out the bath degrees of freedom, and  $\hat{U}(t)$  is the unitary time evolution operator. Assuming that the initial total density matrix is given by the product of the system and bath density matrices  $\hat{\rho}_{\text{tot}} = \hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\rho}_B$ , the monotonicity of the relative entropy is demonstrated

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}|\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}') \leq S_{\text{rel}}(\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}'). \quad (71)$$

We consider a thermal relaxation process and choose  $\hat{\rho}' = \hat{\rho}_\star = e^{-\beta\hat{H}}/Z$  with  $\hat{H}$  being a system Hamiltonian and  $Z$  being the partition function. The relative entropy is then reexpressed as

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}_\star) = \beta \text{Tr}[\delta\hat{\rho}(t)\hat{H}] + \frac{1}{k_B} \left( S_{\text{QT}}[\hat{\rho}_\star] - S_{\text{QT}}[\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}] \right), \quad (72)$$

where  $\delta\hat{\rho}(t) := \hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho}_\star$ .

From the monotonicity (71), we can define the positive quantity called the “entropy” production rate by

$$\sigma[\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}_*] := -k_B \frac{d}{dt} S_{\text{rel}}(\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}_*) \geq 0. \quad (73)$$

Then we can obtain the inequality analogous to the second law,

$$\frac{dS_{\text{QT}}[\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}]}{dt} - \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{dQ}{dt} \right) = \sigma[\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}_*] \geq 0, \quad (74)$$

where the heat flux is defined by

$$\left( \frac{dQ}{dt} \right) := \text{Tr} \left[ \dot{\hat{V}}(t)\hat{\rho}\hat{H} \right]. \quad (75)$$

From this, one can see that the “entropy” production rate  $\sigma[V(t)\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}_*]$  characterizes the difference between the von Neumann entropy flux and the heat flux divided by temperature.

If we assume that the dynamical map  $\hat{V}(t)$  is governed by the GKSL equation, the inequality in Eq. (74) becomes equivalent to our inequality in Eq. (63). However, two important remarks are in order. First, the GKSL equation is not directly derived from the underlying microscopic dynamics by merely integrating out irrelevant degrees of freedom. Instead, a coarse-graining of the time scale, such as the Markov approximation, is required, which inherently violates time-reversal symmetry. Furthermore, ensuring the CPTP evolution necessitates additional procedures, such as the rotating wave approximation. Consequently, it is not immediately evident whether the dynamical map  $\hat{V}(t)$  under consideration can genuinely be described by the GKSL equation.

Second, while our inequality in Eq. (63) holds even for time-dependent Hamiltonians, it remains unclear whether the relative entropy is generalized in such a way that it recovers Eq. (63). For instance, if we choose

$$\hat{\rho}' = \hat{\rho}_*(t) := \frac{1}{Z(t)} e^{-\beta\hat{H}(t)}, \quad (76)$$

the “entropy” production would be calculated as

$$\sigma[\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}|\hat{\rho}_*(t)] = \frac{dS_{\text{QT}}[\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}]}{dt} - \frac{dS_{\text{QT}}[\hat{\rho}_*(t)]}{dt} - \frac{1}{T} \text{Tr} \left[ (\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho}_*(t))\hat{H}(t) \right]. \quad (77)$$

It is not clear whether this “entropy” production is non-negative, since  $\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho} \neq \hat{\rho}_*(t)$ . Moreover, the right-hand side does not seem to have any direct connection to the second law of quantum thermodynamics. Therefore, the second law in quantum thermodynamics is generally not the same as the “entropy” production calculated from the relative entropy.

## VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we studied a systematic procedure for deriving a quantum master equation which describes thermal relaxation processes in the scalar field theory. Because it is known that the classical limit of open quantum dynamics satisfying the CPTP map does not coincide with standard Brownian motion, we first introduced a generalized field-theoretical model interacting with Brownian thermostat for the scalar field and confirmed that the model is consistent with stochastic thermodynamics. We then applied canonical quantization to this model to derive a quantum master equation. While this equation is applicable to all forms of the scalar field Hamiltonian, it generally describes non-CPTP evolution. However, at least when the system Hamiltonian is given by a free scalar field, we demonstrated that by adjusting the parameters within our model, the quantum master equation gives the GKSL form. In this framework, heat, work, and entropy are defined in such a way that they satisfy analogs of the first and second laws of thermodynamics. Since our quantum master equation is derived through canonical quantization applied to a model consistent with stochastic thermodynamics, the classical limit of quantum thermodynamics recovers stochastic thermodynamics in cases where quantum fluctuations vanish in the macroscopic limit [7].

This result suggests that the quantum-classical correspondence extends beyond closed systems governed by unitary time evolution, encompassing open systems. Thus it is interesting to study Onsager's regression hypothesis where the average regression of thermal fluctuations behaves like the corresponding macroscopic irreversible process. For quantum systems, it is considered that this hypothesis is violated [36–40] and, consequently, it is worth investigating this property in the perspective of quantum-classical correspondence in open systems.

In quantum thermodynamics, we defined the entropy by the von Neumann entropy associated with the density matrix where the bath degrees of freedom is coarse-grained. This is the same as the entanglement entropy which has been used in the information theoretical approach in quantum field theory. Another important quantity is the relative entropy. It is known that this quantity satisfies monotonicity and thus is sometimes identified with a quantity analogous of the thermodynamical entropy. We demonstrated that the time derivative of the relative entropy can be identified with the second law in quantum thermodynamics

only for the time-independent system Hamiltonian, but this identification is not necessarily applicable to the time-dependent system Hamiltonian.

To obtain a CPTP map, we have to employ a constraint for the parameters included in our quantum master equation. In this paper, we derived this condition when the system Hamiltonian is given by the free scalar field with an external time-dependent parameter. In Ref. [7], we developed a systematic procedure to find such a condition for quadratic many-body system Hamiltonians. To discuss for example phase transition dynamics, it is necessary to consider nonlinear terms in the system Hamiltonian. The generalization of the procedure in Ref. [7] is an issue that needs to be resolved urgently.

In this paper, we studied general aspects of stochastic and quantum thermodynamics, but, we did not specifically examine how to evaluate heat, work, and entropy within a particular model. This task is far from trivial. For instance, in the applications to quantum field theory, it is known that the von Neumann entropy suffers from ultraviolet divergences [21]. To the best of our knowledge, no systematic procedure for regularizing and renormalizing these divergences has been established. Note however that the first and second laws of quantum thermodynamics are expressed in terms of changes in such quantities. Therefore, if these divergences are regularized by subtracting constant terms, these laws will be applied without modification, even in the presence of such divergences.

Applying this method to the complex scalar field theory, we can construct a field-theoretical model with a conserved charge. However, it remains an open question whether such a model satisfies analogs of the first and second laws of thermodynamics, incorporating contributions from a chemical potential. It is important to comparing its behavior with that of the particle system proposed in Ref. [30]. As an alternative approach, see also Ref. [41] as an example of field-theoretical systems with chemical potential.

Dissipative dynamics in the scalar field theory has been studied in cosmology and elementary particle physics. See, for example, Refs. [42–54] and references therein. In these approaches however, the main concern is the time evolutions of the field expectation values, such as order parameters in phase transitions, and the violation of the CPTP condition has not received much attention. It is thus interesting to ask how the dynamics of phase transitions is affected by the requirement of complete positivity. The model presented in this paper provide a tool to study this aspect in non-equilibrium dynamics in field theory.

In Ref. [55], the scalar field is decomposed into long and short wavelength components,

and the coarse-grained dynamics is obtained by integrating out the short wavelength part. This type of coarse-graining is well-known and has been studied, for instance, using the influence functional method [46, 56] and the projection operator method [53]. However, unlike these approaches, Ref. [55] focuses on the dynamics of the diagonal part of the density matrix, that is assumed to be governed by the functional Fokker-Planck equation, which is different from the functional FPK equation in the present paper. Specifically, the probability density of the scalar field configuration is described in a space spanned by the scalar field  $\Phi(x)$ . This is different from our formulation of quantum thermodynamics, where the probability density is defined in phase space, spanned by both the scalar field  $\Phi(x)$  and its conjugate field  $\pi(x)$ . The advantage of Ref. [55] is that the parameters in the functional Fokker-Planck equation can be derived perturbatively from microscopic dynamics. Their method might be extended to determine the parameters in our quantum master equation.

### **Acknowledgments**

T.K. thanks the fruitful discussion with theory groups of the Institute for Theoretical Physics in the Johann Wolfgang Goethe University and the Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS). T.K. acknowledges the financial support by CNPq (No. 305654/2021-7) and the Fueck-Stiftung. A part of this work has been done under the project INCT-Nuclear Physics and Applications (No. 464898/2014-5); F.N. is a member of the Brazilian National Institute of Science and Technology for Quantum Information [CNPq INCT-IQ (465469/2014-0)].

### **Appendix A: Derivation of functional FPK equation – Eq.(24)**

To calculate  $d\rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})$ , we will take the temporal derivative of the field configuration in Eq. (23) and use the correlations (22). Using Ito's lemma, a kind of Taylor expansion for

stochastic variables [31], we find

$$\begin{aligned}
d\rho_t &= \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left[ d\widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} + d\widehat{\phi}_t(k_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)} \right] \rho_t \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m=-N}^{N-1} \left[ d\widehat{\phi}_t(k_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)} d\widehat{\phi}_t(k_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_m)} + d\widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} d\widehat{\Pi}_t(k_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_m)} \right] \rho_t \\
&+ O((dt)^{3/2}). \tag{A1}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting Eqs. (20), (21) and (23) into, respectively,  $d\widehat{\phi}_t$ ,  $d\widehat{\Pi}_t$  and  $\rho_t$ , in the above equation and using the correlation properties in Eq. (22), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
d\rho_t &= -dt \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi(k_n)} \left( -\frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi(-k_n)} - \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Pi(-k_n)} \right) \rho_t \\
&- dt \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(k_n)} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Pi(-k_n)} - \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi(-k_n)} \right) \rho_t \\
&+ dt \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(k_n)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(-k_n)} + \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi(k_n)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Pi(-k_n)} \right) \rho_t + O((dt)^{3/2}). \tag{A2}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that this becomes the functional FPK equation in Eq. (24) in the continuum limit.

## Appendix B: Continuum limit

The continuum limit refers to consider the following limits:

$$\Delta x, \Delta k \longrightarrow 0, \quad N \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{B1}$$

After taking these limits, we further consider  $\ell \longrightarrow \infty$  in the end of the calculations. The continuum version for the eigenvectors of the Laplacian in Eq. (7) behave as

$$\tilde{u}^{(k)}(x) := \lim_{\Delta k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta k}} u^{(k_n)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx}. \tag{B2}$$

The orthogonal condition in Eq. (5) and complete set condition in Eq. (6) are, respectively, given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk (\tilde{u}^{(k)}(x))^\dagger \tilde{u}^{(k)}(x') = \delta(x - x'), \tag{B3}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (\tilde{u}^{(k)}(x))^\dagger \tilde{u}^{(k')}(x) = \delta(k - k'). \tag{B4}$$

Then the expansions of the scalar field and the conjugate field, Eq. (9) and Eq. (10), are reduced to the well-known representations:

$$\Phi(x_i) = \sqrt{\Delta k} \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \phi(k_n) u^{(k_n)}(x_i) \xrightarrow{\text{continuum}} \Phi(x) = \int dk \phi(k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\pi(x_i) = \sqrt{\Delta k} \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \Pi(k_n) u^{(k_n)}(x_i) \xrightarrow{\text{continuum}} \pi(x) = \int dk \Pi(k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

From the quantization rules in Eq. (41), we promote the coefficients in above expansion to the field operators  $\hat{\phi}(k_n)$  and  $\hat{\Pi}(k_n)$ , which can be written in terms of (discrete) creation-annihilation operators:

$$\hat{\phi}(k_n) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_{k_n} \Delta k}} c (\hat{a}_{k_n} + \hat{a}_{-k_n}^\dagger), \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$\hat{\Pi}(k_n) = -\frac{i}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_{k_n}}{2\Delta k}} (\hat{a}_{k_n} - \hat{a}_{-k_n}^\dagger). \quad (\text{B8})$$

The discrete version of the creation-annihilation operators satisfy

$$[\hat{a}_{k_n}, \hat{a}_{k_m}^\dagger] = \delta_{k_n, k_m}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

and, in the continuum limit, become

$$[\hat{a}(k), \hat{a}^\dagger(k')] = \delta(k - k'). \quad (\text{B10})$$

The above definitions are introduced so that the canonical quantization rule in the  $x$ -representation is automatically satisfied in the continuum limit,

$$[\hat{\Phi}_t(x), \hat{\pi}_t(x')] = i\hbar\delta(x - x'). \quad (\text{B11})$$

### Appendix C: Derivation of first law in stochastic thermodynamics – Eq. (35)

In the following derivation, the Stratonovich product in Eq. (33) will be implemented using a Taylor expansion:

$$d\hat{B}_t^\mu(x_i) \circ f(\hat{\Phi}_t(x_i)) = d\hat{B}_t^\mu(x_i) f(\hat{\Phi}_t(x_i)) + \frac{1}{2} d\hat{B}_t^\mu(x_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{\Phi}_t(x_i)} \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_t(x_i)}{\partial t} dt + O((dt)^2). \quad (\text{C1})$$



Note that this product is symmetric and distributive,  $A \circ B = B \circ A$  and  $A \circ (B + C) = A \circ B + A \circ C$ . As an example of a term appearing in Eq. (32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} \circ d\widehat{B}_t^\phi(k_n) &= \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} d\widehat{B}_t^\phi(k_n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_m \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_m) \partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} d\widehat{\phi}_t(k_m) d\widehat{B}_t^\phi(k_n) + O((dt)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using this result in the definition of heat given by Eq. (32), we find

$$\begin{aligned} d\widehat{Q}_t &= \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} dt \circ \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\Pi(k_n)}{dt} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)} dt \circ \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\phi(k_n)}{dt} \right) + O((dt)^{3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

Adding and subtracting the term

$$\sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \frac{dt}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} = \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \frac{dt}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} \circ \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)}, \quad (\text{C3})$$

on the right-hand side of Eq. (C2), this expression in the infinitesimal limit of  $dt$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\widehat{Q}_t &= \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} \circ \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} - \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\Pi(k_n)}{dt} \right) dt \\ &+ \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)} \circ \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} - \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\phi(k_n)}{dt} \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)} \circ d\widehat{\phi}_t(k_n) + \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} \circ d\widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C4})$$

This equals to Eq. (35) and can be written as  $dH(\{\widehat{\Pi}_t, \widehat{\phi}_t, b_t\}) - d\widehat{W}_t$ , considering the definition in (34) for  $d\widehat{W}_t$ .

### Appendix D: Derivation of second law in stochastic thermodynamics – Eq.(38)

Using the functional FPK equation (24) and integration by parts, the derivative of  $S_{\text{ST}}[\rho_t]$  is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_{\text{ST}}[\rho_t]}{dt} &= -k_B \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \partial_t \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) \ln \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) \\ &= k_B \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dk \left[ \gamma_\phi \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(k)} \frac{\delta \rho_t}{\delta \phi(-k)} + \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\beta} \frac{1}{\rho_t} \left| \frac{\delta \rho_t}{\delta \phi(k)} \right|^2 \right] \\ &+ k_B \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dk \left[ \gamma_\Pi \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Pi(k)} \frac{\delta \rho_t}{\delta \Pi(-k)} + \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\beta} \frac{1}{\rho_t} \left| \frac{\delta \rho_t}{\delta \Pi(k)} \right|^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D1})$$

where we used the Poisson bracket, see Eq.(40),

$$\int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \{H(\{\Pi, \phi\}), \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})\}_{\text{PB}} = 0. \quad (\text{D2})$$

Now, let us calculate the expectation value of heat given by Eq. (32). To this end, we will depart from the expression obtained in Eq. (C2):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[d\widehat{Q}_t] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} dt \circ \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\Pi}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\Pi(k_n)}{dt} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)} dt \circ \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k} \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma_\phi}{\Delta k \beta}} \frac{d\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_t^\phi(k_n)}{dt} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ (\Delta k)(dt) \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{\gamma_\Pi}{(\Delta k)^2} \left| \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n)} \right|^2 + \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{(\Delta k)^2 \beta} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(k_n) \partial \widehat{\Pi}_t(-k_n)} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\Delta k)(dt) \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \left( -\frac{\gamma_\phi}{(\Delta k)^2} \left| \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n)} \right|^2 + \frac{\gamma_\phi}{(\Delta k)^2 \beta} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{H}}{\partial \widehat{\phi}_t(k_n) \partial \widehat{\phi}_t(-k_n)} \right) \right] \\ &= -dt \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dx \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) \left[ \gamma_\Pi \left| \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Pi(k)} \right|^2 + \gamma_\phi \left| \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(k)} \right|^2 \right] \\ &\quad - dt \int [d\Pi] \int [d\phi] \int dx \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\}) \left[ \frac{\gamma_\Pi}{\beta} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Pi(k)} \frac{\delta \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})}{\delta \Pi(-k)} + \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\beta} \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \phi(k)} \frac{\delta \rho_t(\{\Pi, \phi\})}{\delta \phi(-k)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D3})$$

where in the last equality we took the limit of continuum, see Appendix B, and performed some integrations by part. Finally, Eq.(38) is the combination of above equations.

---

[1] K. Sekimoto, *Stochastic Energetics* (Springer, Berlin, 2010).

- [2] U. Seifert, *Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 126001 (2012).
- [3] L. Peliti and S. Pigolotti, *Stochastic Thermodynamics: An introduction* (Princeton University Press, 2021).
- [4] J. Gemmer, M. Michel and G. Mahler, *Quantum Thermodynamics* (Springer, Berlin, 2009).
- [5] R. Kosloff, *Quantum Thermodynamics: A Dynamical Viewpoint* Entropy **15**, 2100 (2013).
- [6] T. Koide and F. Nicacio, *Does canonical quantization lead to GKSL dynamics?*, Phys. Lett. A **494**, 129277 (2024).
- [7] F. Nicacio and T. Koide, *Complete Positivity and Thermal Relaxation in Quadratic Quantum Master Equations*, Physical Review E **110**, 054116 (2024).
- [8] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, New York, 2002).
- [9] T. Becker, L.-Na Wu and A. Eckardt, *Lindbladian approximation beyond ultraweak coupling*, Phys. Rev. E **104**, 014110 (2021).
- [10] A. D’Abbruzzo, V. Cavina and V. Giovannetti, *A time-dependent regularization of the Redfield equation*, SciPost Phys. **15**, 117 (2023).
- [11] H.-P. Breuer, *Genuine quantum trajectories for non-Markovian processes*, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 012106 (2004).
- [12] R. S. Whitney, *Staying positive: going beyond Lindblad with perturbative master equations*, JPhys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 175304 (2008).
- [13] H. C. Fogedby, *Field-theoretical approach to open quantum systems and the Lindblad equation*, Phys. Rev. A **106**, 022205 (2022).
- [14] J. Piilo, K. Härkönen, S. Maniscalco and K.-A. Suominen, *Open system dynamics with non-Markovian quantum jumps*, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 062112 (2009).
- [15] C. Gneiting, *Disorder-dressed quantum evolution*, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 214203 (2020).
- [16] D. Davidović, *Geometric-arithmetic master equation in large and fast open quantum systems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **55**, 455301 (2022).
- [17] D. F. de la Pradilla, E. Moreno and J. Feist, *Recovering an accurate Lindblad equation from the Bloch-Redfield equation for general open quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. A **109**, 062225 (2024).
- [18] M. J. de Oliveira, *Quantum Fokker-Planck-Kramers equation and entropy production*, Phys.

- Rev. E* **94**, 012128 (2016).
- [19] M. J. de Oliveira, *Stochastic quantum thermodynamics, entropy production, and transport properties of a bosonic system*, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 012105 (2018).
- [20] T. Nishioka, *Entanglement entropy: Holography and renormalization group*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 035007 (2018).
- [21] E. Witten, *APS Medal for Exceptional Achievement in Research: Invited article on entanglement properties of quantum field theory*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 045003 (2018).
- [22] J. Oppenheim, *A Postquantum Theory of Classical Gravity?*, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041040 (2023).
- [23] C. P. Burgess, R. Holman, G. Tasinato, and M. Williams, *EFT beyond the horizon: Stochastic inflation and how primordial quantum fluctuations go classical*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 03 (2015) 090.
- [24] D. Boyanovsky, *Effective field theory during inflation: Reduced density matrix and its quantum master equation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 023527 (2015).
- [25] T. J. Hollowood and J. I. McDonald, *Decoherence, discord, and the quantum master equation for cosmological perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 103521 (2017).
- [26] J. Martin and V. Vennin, *Observational constraints on quantum decoherence during inflation*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* 05 (2018) 063.
- [27] S. Brahma, A. Berera, and J. Calderón-Figueroa, *Universal signature of quantum entanglement across cosmological distances*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **39**, 245002 (2022).
- [28] T. Colas, J. Grain, and V. Vennin, *Benchmarking the cosmological master equations*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 1085 (2022).
- [29] R. Alicki, G. Barenboim and A. Jenkins, *Quantum thermodynamics of de Sitter space*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 123530 (2023).
- [30] T. Shibata and K. Sekimoto, *Energetics of Open Systems and Chemical Potential From Micro-Dynamics Viewpoints*, *J. Phys. Soc. JPN* **69**, 2455 (2000).
- [31] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods for Physics, Chemistry and the Natural Science* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 3rd ed. 2004).
- [32] T. Koide and T. Kodama, *Stochastic variational method as quantization scheme: Filed quantization of the complex Klein-Gordon equation*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2015**, 093A03 DOI:10.1093/ptep/ptv127.
- [33] R. Dann & R. Kosloff, *Open system dynamics from thermodynamic compatibility*, *Phys. Rev.*

- Research **3**, 023006 (2021).
- [34] A. Soret, V. Cavina & M. Esposito, *Thermodynamic consistency of quantum master equations*, Phys. Rev. A **106**, 062209 (2022).
- [35] F. Nicacio & R.N.P. Maia, *Gauge quantum thermodynamics of time-local non-Markovian evolutions*, Phys. Rev. A **108**, 022209 (2023).
- [36] H. Grabert, *Nonlinear relaxation and fluctuations of damped quantum systems*, Z. Phys. B **49**, 161 (1982).
- [37] P. Talkner, *The Failure of the Quantum Regression Hypothesis*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **167**, 390 (1986).
- [38] G. W. Ford and R. F. O'Connell, *There is No Quantum Regression Theorem*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 798 (1996).
- [39] G. Guarneri, A. Smirne and B. Vacchini, *Quantum regression theorem and non-Markovianity of quantum dynamics*, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 022110 (2014).
- [40] M. Cosacchi, T. Seidelmann, M. Cygorek, A. Vagov, D. E. Reiter and V. M. Axt, *Accuracy of the Quantum Regression Theorem for Photon Emission from a Quantum Dot*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 100402 (2021); Erratum Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 079901 (2022).
- [41] T. Neidig, J. Rais, M. Bleicher, H. van Hees and C. Greiner, *Open Quantum Systems with Kadanoff-Baym Equations*, Phys. Lett. B **851**, 138589 (2024).
- [42] M. Morikawa, *Classical fluctuations in dissipative quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 3607 (1986).
- [43] M. Gleiser and R. O. Ramos, *Microphysical approach to nonequilibrium dynamics of quantum fields*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2441 (1994).
- [44] A. Berera, M. Gleiser and R. O. Ramos, *Strong dissipative behavior in quantum field theory*, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 123508 (1998).
- [45] F. Lombardo and F. D. Mazzitelli, *Coarse graining and decoherence in quantum field theory*, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2001 (1996).
- [46] C. Greiner and B. Müller, *Classical fields near thermal equilibrium*, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1026 (1997).
- [47] D. H. Rischke, *Forming disoriented chiral condensates through fluctuations*, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 2331 (1998).
- [48] T. S. Biro and C. Greiner, *Dissipation and Fluctuation at the Chiral Phase Transition*, Phys.

- Rev. Lett. **79**, 3138 (1997).
- [49] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega and R. Holman, *Can disoriented chiral condensates form? A dynamical perspective*, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 734 (1995).
- [50] D. Boyanovsky, M. D' Attanasio, H. J. de Vega and R. Holman, *Evolution of inhomogeneous condensates after phase transitions*, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1748 (1996).
- [51] F. Cooper, Y. Kluger, E. Mottola and J. P. Paz, *Quantum evolution of disoriented chiral condensates*, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 2377 (1995).
- [52] J. Randrup, *Statistical properties of the linear  $\sigma$  model used in dynamical simulations of DCC formation*, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1188 (1997).
- [53] T. Koide, *Projection Operator Approach to Langevin Equations in  $\phi^4$  Theory*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **107**, 1001 (2002).
- [54] C. Wesp, H. van Hees, A. Meistrenko and C. Greiner, *Kinetics of the chiral phase transition in a linear  $\sigma$  model*, Eur. Phys. J. A **54**, 24 (2018).
- [55] H. Collins, R. Holman and T. Vardanyan, *The quantum Fokker-Planck equation of stochastic inflation*, J. High Energ. Phys. **2017**, 65 (2017).
- [56] B.-L. Hu, J. P. Paz and Y. Zhang, *Quantum Brownian motion in a general environment: Exact master equation with nonlocal dissipation and colored noise*, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2843 (1992)