

Examples of real stable bundles on K_3 surfaces

Dino Festi, Daniel Platt, Ragini Singhal, and Yuuji Tanaka

6th March 2025

Abstract

Motivated by gauge theory on manifolds with exceptional holonomy, we construct examples of stable bundles on K_3 surfaces that are invariant under two involutions: one is holomorphic; and the other is anti-holomorphic. These bundles are obtained via the monad construction, and stability is examined using the Generalised Hoppe Criterion of Jardim–Menet–Prata–Sá Earp, which requires verifying an arithmetic condition for elements in the Picard group of the surfaces. We establish this by using computer aid in two critical steps: first, we construct K_3 surfaces with small Picard group—one branched double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with Picard rank 2 using a new method which may be of independent interest; and second, we verify the arithmetic condition for carefully chosen elements of the Picard group, which provides a systematic approach for constructing further examples.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Background	3
2.1	K_3 surfaces	3
2.2	Moduli of semistable sheaves	4
2.3	Monad bundles	5
2.4	Stable bundles on covering spaces	7
3	K_3 surfaces with small Picard group	8
3.1	A branched double cover of \mathbb{P}^2	8
3.2	A branched double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	8
4	Stable bundles on K_3 surfaces with small Picard group	10
4.1	Examples of bundles on the branched double cover of \mathbb{P}^2	10
4.1.1	The pullback of the cotangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^2	11
4.1.2	Another rank 2 example	11
4.2	Examples of bundles on the branched double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	12
4.2.1	A rank 2 example	12
4.2.2	Rank 3 examples	13
4.3	An example on a quartic	16

1 Introduction

Stable vector bundles in algebraic geometry give rise to Hermite–Einstein connections, which are analytic objects that are challenging to construct within differential geometry. This connection is established through the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence, as proven by Donaldson [3, 4] and Uhlenbeck–Yau [27]. These Hermite–Einstein connections are also important in physics, see for example [23].

In applications, it is sometimes the case that the underlying manifold admits discrete symmetries, and one looks for bundles to which these symmetries can be lifted. The simplest case is that of involutions. On a complex manifold, one may, in particular, consider anti-holomorphic and holomorphic involutions. The former was studied in [28].

Stable bundles are also key building blocks for the construction of $Spin(7)$ -instantons on compact $Spin(7)$ -manifolds in [26] and G_2 -instantons on compact G_2 -manifolds in [24, 13, 20], due to the correspondence mentioned above.

Since our motivation for this paper comes from the construction of G_2 -instantons in [20] by the second-named author, we briefly review it below:

If X is a K_3 surface with holomorphic involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ and anti-holomorphic involution $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$, then one may extend them to maps $\iota', \sigma' : T^3 \times X \rightarrow T^3 \times X$ in such a way that there exists a resolution of singularities $N^7 \rightarrow (T^3 \times X)/\langle \iota', \sigma' \rangle$, such that N admits a Ricci-flat metric. More precisely, N admits a torsion-free G_2 structure. The manifold N was constructed in [14]. In [20, Section 5.2], one example of a G_2 -instanton on N was constructed as follows:

Theorem 1.1 ([20]). *If $E \rightarrow X$ is a stable bundle that is infinitesimally rigid and admits a holomorphic involution $\hat{\iota} : E \rightarrow E$ covering ι and an anti-holomorphic involution $\hat{\sigma} : E \rightarrow E$ covering σ , then there exists a bundle $E' \rightarrow N^7$ constructed from E that admits a G_2 -instanton.*

We do not discuss the details here, but the differential geometric construction above is very explicit by means of *gluing*, and many properties of E' and its instanton can be computed from data of E in a straightforward way.

The assumption of *infinitesimal rigidity* is very restrictive. In other similar situations, it turned out to be possible to remove this assumption, and instead work with an *Kuranishi map*. The same is hoped to work in this case, and because of this, constructing non-rigid stable bundles is also of interest.

The goal of this paper is to give explicit examples of stable bundles which admit these involutions. The methods to construct these bundles are known and we prove no new theorems about these objects. However, our examples are new, and for many of them we provide the easy-to-use computer code that we wrote to find these examples. We construct the following completely explicit examples using the *monad construction* for holomorphic vector bundles:

Theorem 1.2. *All K_3 surfaces X in the following three items admit one holomorphic involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ and one anti-holomorphic involution $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$. The bundles K, K_s and K' in the following three items admit a holomorphic involution $\hat{\iota}$ covering ι and admit an anti-holomorphic involution $\hat{\sigma}$ covering σ .*

1. *There exists a K_3 surface X that is a branched double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 with projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that the following is true: every infinitesimally rigid stable vector bundle of rank 2 is isomorphic to $\pi^*(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)$ twisted by a line bundle.*

*Furthermore, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}, s > 0$, there exists a stable bundle $K_s \rightarrow X$ of rank 2 with $c_1(K_s) = c_1(\pi^*O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-s))$ and $c_2(K_s) = 2s^2$, which is infinitesimally rigid for $s = 1$.*

2. *There exists a K_3 surface X that is a branched double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ such that the following is true: there exist stable bundles $K, K' \rightarrow X$ of rank 3 with $c_1(K) = \pi^*O_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-4, -4)$ and $c_2(K) = 24$ and $c_1(K') = (-4, -4)$ and $c_2(K') = 16$ and K is infinitesimally rigid.*
3. *There exists a quartic K_3 surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and a stable vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank 2 such that $c_1(E) = O_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)|_X$ and $c_2(E) = 12$. The bundle E admits a lift $\hat{\sigma}$ of σ , and $K = E \oplus \iota^*E$ admits lifts $\hat{\iota}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ of ι and σ respectively.*

The bundles from the first, second, and third items of the theorem are constructed in Section 4.1, Section 4.2, and Section 4.3, respectively.

The construction of all our examples relies on the following: we focus on K_3 surfaces with small Picard groups, i.e., K_3 surfaces that admit not many line bundles. We find suitable examples using Magma. Roughly

speaking, checking stability of bundles becomes computationally easy, because in these cases there are not many line bundles that can destabilise a given bundle. This is made rigorous by the Generalised Hoppe Criterion [13, Theorem 3], that we employ. We use Macaulay2 to check a numerical condition on finitely many carefully chosen line bundles, and can then check this condition by hand on all remaining line bundles, and are able to conclude stability of our constructed bundles in this way. All computer code used for the examples in the paper can be found at github.com/danielplatt/stable-bundles.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Simon Donaldson, Marcos Jardim, Wim Nijgh, Richard Thomas, Luya Wang for helpful conversations. D.F. is a member of the UMI and the INdAM group GNSAGA; he is grateful to Pınar Kılıçer and Bert van Geemen for their help with computational power. D.P. was partially supported by the Simons Collaboration on Special Holonomy in Geometry, Analysis and Physics and partially supported by the Eric and Wendy Schmidt AI in Science Postdoctoral Fellowship, funded by Schmidt Sciences. R.S. is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 –390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure. Y.T. was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research numbers 20H00114, 21H00973, 21K03246, 23H01073. Y.T. thanks the Department of Mathematics in Kyoto University and Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications (BIMSA) for their hospitality.

2 Background

2.1 K3 surfaces

In this subsection, we introduce K3 surfaces and the basic notions that will be used later; we refer to [11, Chapter 1] for proofs and much more information. In this paper, we will only consider K3 surfaces defined over \mathbb{C} and will furthermore only deal with *projective* ones, that is, K3 surfaces that admit an embedding into a projective space. In other words, our K3 surfaces will always be projective varieties of dimension 2. We define a K3 surface as a smooth surface X with trivial canonical divisor $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and trivial first cohomology group of the structure sheaf $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the Picard group: } \quad \text{Pic } X &= \{\text{invertible sheaves on } X\} / \cong, \text{ and} \\ \text{the divisor class group: } \quad \text{Cl } X &= \{\text{Weil divisors on } X\} / \approx, \end{aligned}$$

where \cong denotes isomorphism of line bundles and \approx denotes linear equivalence of divisors. On a smooth complex K3 surface, they coincide, namely, there is a natural isomorphism $\text{Cl } X \cong \text{Pic } X$, given by sending a divisor class $[D] \in \text{Cl } X$ to its corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \in \text{Pic } X$, see e.g. [9, Corollary II.6.16]. In what follows, with a slight abuse of notation, we will freely switch among divisors, divisor classes and corresponding line bundles. The intersection pairing of the divisor group induces a pairing on $\text{Cl } X$. With this pairing, also called intersection pairing, $\text{Cl } X$ turns out to be an even lattice, i.e., a finitely generated, torsion-free abelian group with a non-degenerate pairing $\text{Cl } X \times \text{Cl } X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for every $D \in \text{Cl } X$ the self-intersection $D^2 = D.D$ is an even integer. The rank of $\text{Pic } X$ is called *the Picard number* of X , and it is denoted by $\rho(X)$. The Hodge-index theorem also shows that the $\text{Cl } X$ is a hyperbolic lattice, that is, its signature is $(1, \rho(X) - 1)$.

Definition 2.1. Choosing a basis for a lattice N , we can associate a *Gram matrix* to the bilinear pairing. We introduce the notation $[a \ b \ c]$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ for a lattice of rank 2 and a basis with Gram matrix equal to $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$. We also introduce the notation $\langle a \rangle$ for a lattice of rank 1 and a basis with Gram matrix equal to (a) .

We denote by U the lattice $[0 \ 1 \ 0]$. We denote by $E_8(-1)$ is the unique even unimodular negative-definite lattice of rank 8.

The second cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, endowed with the cup product, is also a lattice. In particular, if X is a K3 surface, then $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to the lattice $U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2}$, which is called *the K3 lattice* and we denote it by Λ_{K3} . The exponential sequence for X shows that $\text{Pic } X$ embeds into $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and so it follows

that $1 \leq \rho(X) \leq 22$. In fact, as X is a K3 surface over \mathbb{C} , more is true: $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is endowed with a Hodge structure of weight two, $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{1,1}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X)$ with $\dim H^{1,1}(X) = 20$. From the Lefschetz theorem on $(1, 1)$ classes it follows that $\text{Pic } X = H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and hence $1 \leq \rho(X) \leq 20$. If $L \in \text{Pic } X$ is an ample line bundle then the pair (X, L) is called a *polarised* K3 surface; the degree of (X, L) is defined as the (even) integer $L^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.2. The double cover X of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified above a smooth sextic is a K3 surface. The pull-back L of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ is an ample line bundle and its self-intersection is 2, hence (X, L) is a K3 surface of degree 2, see Proposition 3.1.

2.2 Moduli of semistable sheaves

In this subsection, we recall some facts about semistable and stable sheaves (see e.g. [12] for the details). To this end, let X be a complex projective surface, and let H be an ample divisor of X .

Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a coherent sheaf. We define the *degree* of \mathcal{E} by $\deg_H(\mathcal{E}) := c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot [H]$. We call $\mu(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{\deg_H(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}$ the *slope* of \mathcal{E} .

Definition 2.3. A torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on X is called *μ -semistable*, if for any proper subsheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{E} the following holds:

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{E}).$$

We say that \mathcal{E} is *μ -stable* if the strict inequality holds in the above.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the ample line bundle on X and by $p_{\mathcal{E}}(n) := \chi(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n))$ the *Hilbert polynomial* of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on X , where $\chi(\mathcal{E}) := \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{E})$ is the Euler characteristic of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on X .

Definition 2.4. A torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{E} on X is called *Gieseker-semistable* if for any proper subsheaf \mathcal{F} the following holds:

$$\frac{p_{\mathcal{F}}(n)}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{p_{\mathcal{E}}(n)}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}}$$

for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say it is *Gieseker-stable*, if the strict inequality holds for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the above.

Note that the following holds (see e.g. [12, Lemma 1.2.13])

$$\mu\text{-stable} \Rightarrow \text{Gieseker-stable} \Rightarrow \text{Gieseker-semistable} \Rightarrow \mu\text{-semistable}.$$

Note also that all μ -semistable bundles are μ -stable bundles, if the rank and degree are coprime (see e.g. [12, Lemma 1.2.14]).

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{ss}}(r, c_1, c_2)$ the moduli space of Gieseker-semistable sheaves \mathcal{E} (resp. $\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{s}}(r, c_1, c_2)$ the moduli space of Gieseker-stable sheaves) of rank r with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = c_1$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = c_2$ on a complex projective surface X . The number

$$\text{exp.dim}(r, c_1, c_2) := \Delta - (r^2 - 1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where $\Delta := 2rc_2 - (r-1)c_1^2$ is the discriminant, and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - h^{0,1} + h^{0,2}$, is called the *expected dimension* of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{ss}}(r, c_1, c_2)$.

When X is a K3 surface, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{s}}(r, c_1, c_2)$ is smooth and the dimension is always even, see [12, p.168]. In the case $r = 2$ we have that $\dim \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{ss}}(2, c_1, c_2) = \text{exp.dim}(2, c_1, c_2) = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 6$, see [12, p.156]. For arbitrary rank, Mukai completely described moduli spaces of dimension ≤ 2 on K3 surfaces, see [16]. In particular, we have the following for zero-dimensional moduli spaces:

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 6.1.6 in [12]). *Let X be a K3 surface. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{s}}(r, c_1, c_2)$ is non-empty and $\text{exp.dim}(r, c_1, c_2) = 0$. Then, $\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{ss}}(r, c_1, c_2)$ contains only a single point, which is represented by a stable locally free sheaf on X .*

Hereafter, when we say stable vector bundles, it means μ -stable vector bundles, unless otherwise stated. In later sections, we use the Generalised Hoppe Criterion from [13], to examine whether bundles we construct are stable or not:

Theorem 2.6 (Generalised Hoppe Criterion, Theorem 3 in [13]). *Let X be an algebraic variety with a polarisation H and $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^l$ for some $l \geq 0$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on X .*

1. If

$$H^0(X, (\Lambda^s E) \otimes L) = 0$$

for all $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and all $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ with $\deg_H(L) \leq -s\mu(E)$, then E is stable.

2. If E is stable, then

$$H^0(X, E \otimes L) = 0$$

for all $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ with $\deg_H(L) \leq -s\mu(E)$.

2.3 Monad bundles

One way to construct holomorphic bundles is using the *monad construction*, that is as the homology or kernel of a complex of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

which is exact at A and C (but not necessarily at B). Even if the constituents of the complex are easy to understand, one can construct many complicated bundles by the monad construction. For example, on \mathbb{P}^2 , it is an application of a theorem by Beilinson that many moduli spaces of stable bundles of rank 2 can be constructed via the monad construction, see [19, Chapter 2 Section 3.2].

We begin by recalling how to compute Chern classes of bundles fitting into a short exact sequence:

Proposition 2.7.

1. Let X be a smooth manifold and $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of complex vector bundles over it. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(A) &= c_1(B) - c_1(C), \\ c_2(A) &= c_2(B) - c_1(B)c_1(C) + c_1(C)^2 - c_2(C). \end{aligned}$$

2. On \mathbb{P}^2 : $c_1(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(k_i)) = \sum_i k_i$, $c_2(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(k_i)) = \sum_{i < j} k_i k_j$.

3. On $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$: $c_1(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(k_i, m_i)) = (\sum k_i, \sum m_i)$, $c_2(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(k_i, m_i)) = \sum_{i < j} k_i m_j + k_j m_i$.

Proof. The Chern polynomial $c_t(E) = 1 + c_1(E)t + c_2(E)t^2 + \dots$ satisfies $c_t(B) = c_t(A)c_t(C)$ and $(c_t(A))^{-1} = (1 - c_1(A) + (c_1(A)^2 - c_2(A))t^2 + \dots)$ and the claims follow from this. \square

Complex conjugation maps an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} to itself, if the variety is defined by polynomials with real coefficients. More generally, being defined over \mathbb{R} is equivalent to the existence of an anti-holomorphic involution by [21, Proposition 1.3], and we take this as our definition of real structure of a complex manifold:

Definition 2.8. Let X be a complex manifold. An anti-holomorphic involution $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ is called a *real structure of X* .

On a complex manifold X equipped with a real structure σ , it makes sense to consider bundles that are compatible with σ . The following definition captures this:

Definition 2.9 (p.8 in [8]). Let X be a complex manifold with real structure $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a complex vector bundle over X .

A *real structure* on E is an anti-holomorphic map $\hat{\sigma} : E \rightarrow E$ covering σ such that $(\hat{\sigma})^2 = \text{Id}$. A *symplectic structure* is the same, except that $(\hat{\sigma})^2 = -\text{Id}$.

We say that two real or symplectic structures $\hat{\sigma}$ and $\hat{\sigma}'$ are equivalent if $\hat{\sigma}' = \lambda \hat{\sigma}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$.

An example of a complex vector bundle with a real structure is the tangent bundle of a complex manifold X with real structure σ . In this case, $d\sigma : TX \rightarrow TX$ is a real structure. Other interesting examples come from the following Proposition:

Proposition 2.10. *On $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_2}$ consider the complex*

$$A = \mathcal{O}(a_1, a'_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_k, a'_k) \xrightarrow{a} B = \mathcal{O}(b_1, b'_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(b_l, b'_l) \xrightarrow{b} C = \mathcal{O}(c_1, c'_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(c_m, c'_m).$$

Viewing the maps a and b as polynomials in the coordinates of \mathbb{P}^{n_1} and \mathbb{P}^{n_2} , we have the following:

1. *If the map b is defined by polynomials with real coefficients, then the kernel monad $\text{Ker}(b)$ admits a real structure.*
2. *If both maps a and b are defined by polynomials with real coefficients, then the homology monad $\text{Ker}(b)/\text{Im}(a)$ admits a real structure.*

Proof. Consider first the case of $n_2 = 0$, i.e., $\mathbb{P}^n := \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_2}$ and

$$A = \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_k) \xrightarrow{a} B = \mathcal{O}(b_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(b_l) \xrightarrow{b} C = \mathcal{O}(c_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(c_m).$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^n$ let s be a local section of $\mathcal{O}(k)$, i.e., a rational function of degree k , with real coefficients and such that $s(p) \neq 0$. Let then ξ be the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : \mathcal{O}(k)_p &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(k)_{\bar{p}} \\ s(p) &\mapsto s(\bar{p}) \end{aligned}$$

and requiring that it is complex anti-linear. This is independent of the choice of s , if t is another section and $t(p) = \lambda \cdot s(p)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $t(\bar{p}) = \bar{\lambda} \cdot s(\bar{p})$, because s, t have real coefficients. Furthermore, ξ covers the complex conjugation map on \mathbb{P}^n and satisfies $\xi^2 = \text{Id}$. The definition of ξ extends to the direct sums A, B, C . Thus, it remains to check that ξ preserves $\text{Ker } b$ and $\text{Im } a$:

1. On an affine patch $U_i = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^n : x_i = 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ fix $p \in U_i$. Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_l)^T \in \text{Ker } b_p \subset B_p$ and $v_i = \lambda_i \cdot s_i(p)$ for $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and local sections s_i of $\mathcal{O}(b_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ with the property that they, viewed as homogeneous rational functions, have real coefficients. Thus we can view $v_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and $v \in \text{Ker } b_p$, then this means that

$$b(p) \cdot v = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^m, \tag{2.11}$$

where \cdot denotes the ordinary matrix multiplication of $b(p) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times l}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^l$. Then

$$b(\bar{p}) \cdot \xi(v) = b(\bar{p}) \cdot \xi((\lambda_i s_i(p))_{1 \leq i \leq l}) = b(\bar{p}) \cdot (\bar{\lambda}_i s_i(\bar{p}))_{1 \leq i \leq l} = \overline{b(p)} \cdot (\overline{\lambda_i s_i(p)})_{1 \leq i \leq l} = \overline{b(p) \cdot v} = 0,$$

where in the second step we used that ξ is complex anti-linear; and in the third step we used that b and s_i have real coefficients; and in the last step we used Eq. (2.11).

2. Similar to before, let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_k)^T \in A_p$. Now write also $a = (a_{ij})$, where the matrix entries a_{ij} are homogeneous polynomials. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(a(p) \cdot w) &= \xi \left(\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} a_{ij}(p) \cdot w_j \right) \right)_i \\ &= \left(\xi \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} a_{ij}(p) \cdot w_j \right) \right)_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \overline{a_{ij}(p)} \cdot \xi(w_j) \right)_i \\ &= a(\overline{p}) \cdot \xi(w), \end{aligned}$$

where in the third step we used that ξ is complex anti-linear, and in the last step we used that the matrix entries a_{ij} are polynomials with real coefficients. Thus, $v = a(p) \cdot w \in \text{Im}(a(p))$ implies $\xi(v) \in \text{Im}(a(\overline{p}))$, which proves the claim. \square

2.4 Stable bundles on covering spaces

Let X be a K_3 surface, $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic involution and $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ be anti-holomorphic involution commuting with ι . We can view X as a branched double cover over the space $X/\langle \iota \rangle$, where the branch locus is $\text{Fix}(\iota)$. Later we will construct stable bundles on the base space $X/\langle \iota \rangle$ and pull them back to X . The following two results give two sufficient criteria for this pullback to be stable:

Proposition 2.12 (Lemma 9.1.9 in [5]). *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a branched double cover with non-empty branch locus. Let $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a rank 2 vector bundle that is stable with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . Then π^*E is stable with respect to the polarisation $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ on X .*

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a branched cover of a smooth projective variety. Assume that the map $\pi^* : \text{Pic } Y \rightarrow \text{Pic } X$ is an isomorphism. Then the following is true: let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of any rank satisfying the condition from part 1 of Theorem 2.6 with respect to the polarisation H on Y . Then π^*E is stable with respect to the polarisation π^*H on X .*

Proof. By assumption we have that

$$H^0(X, (\Lambda^s E) \otimes L) = 0$$

for all $L \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ and all $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ with $\deg_H(L) \leq -s\mu_H(E)$.

Now let $B \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ with $\deg_{\pi^*H}(B) \leq -s\mu_{\pi^*H}(\pi^*E)$. We now show that

$$H^0(X, (\Lambda^s \pi^*E) \otimes B) = 0.$$

By assumption, $B = \pi^*L$ for some $L \in \text{Pic}(Y)$, and

$$\deg_H(L) = \frac{1}{2} \deg_{\pi^*H}(\pi^*L) \leq \frac{1}{2} (-s\mu_{\pi^*H}(\pi^*E)) = -s\mu_H(E). \quad (2.14)$$

The first equality holds because

$$\deg_{\pi^*H}(\pi^*L) = c_1(\pi^*L) \cdot [\pi^*H] = \pi^*c_1(L) \cdot [\pi^*H] = 2c_1(L) \cdot [H] = 2 \deg_H(L).$$

The last equality in Eq. (2.14) is checked analogously. The inequality in the middle of Eq. (2.14) is precisely the assumption for B . Thus, by Theorem 2.6 we have that π^*E is stable with respect to π^*H . \square

3 K₃ surfaces with small Picard group

The surjectivity of the period map (see [11, Theorem 7.4.1]) for K₃ surfaces ensures that every hyperbolic sublattice of Λ_{K_3} is realised as the Picard lattice of a K₃ surface, see [15, Corollaries 2.9 and 2.10]. Unfortunately, the proof is not constructive and in general, given a hyperbolic sublattice N of Λ_{K_3} , it is not easy to exhibit a geometric construction of a K₃ surface X such that $\text{Pic } X \cong N$.

Since we are interested in K₃ surfaces with low Picard numbers but not further specifications about the lattice, we focus on the other features requested by the construction above: the existence of a holomorphic involution and a real structure. The easiest way to ensure the existence of a holomorphic involution on a K₃ surface is to realise it as a double cover of another surface. There are two classical such constructions:

- double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified above a smooth sextic curve; and
- double covers of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified above a smooth curve of bidegree (4, 4).

Since \mathbb{P}^2 as well as $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ can be defined over \mathbb{R} , in order to ensure the real structure on X it is enough to have a branch locus also defined over \mathbb{R} . In the next subsections, we review the theory of these two constructions and provide some explicit examples. While the first construction is very well known and there is an abundant literature about it, the second construction, although classical, is encountered less frequently and for this reason, we will give more details about it.

3.1 A branched double cover of \mathbb{P}^2

Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane curve of degree 6 and define X to be the double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified above B . In this way X can be viewed as the surface in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$ defined by

$$X: w^2 = f(x, y, z),$$

where w is the variable of weight 3 and f is the polynomial defining B in \mathbb{P}^2 . Let L be a line in \mathbb{P}^2 and $H := \pi^*L \subset X$ its pull-back to X . Then H is a double cover of L ramified above the points $L \cap B$. As B has degree 6, the curve H is a double cover of a line ramified above 6 points, i.e., H is a curve of genus 2.

Proposition 3.1. *X is a K₃ surface. If $\rho(X) = 1$ then $\text{Pic } X \cong \langle 2 \rangle$ is generated by the class of $\mathcal{O}(H)$.*

Proof. This is a very classical result. As B is smooth, so is X . For a proof for $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ see [1, Section V.22]. If we consider H as above, then the adjunction formula on X yields $H^2 = 2g(H) - 2 = 2$. As $\text{Pic } X$ is an even lattice and by hypothesis, it is of rank 1, thus, we conclude that $\text{Pic } X \cong \langle 2 \rangle$. \square

Remark 3.2. Using the Veronese embedding of weighted degree 3 of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 3)$ inside \mathbb{P}^{10} , one can view X as a surface in \mathbb{P}^{10} .

Example 3.3. The first example of a K₃ surface given by a double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 and having Picard number 1 was given in [6]. Nowadays, it is easy to construct many more examples, see e.g. [7, Example 3.4].

3.2 A branched double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

Let B be a smooth curve of bidegree (4, 4) in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and define X to be the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified above B .

Lemma 3.4. *X is a K₃ surface.*

Proof. To prove that X is a K₃ surface we need to show that X is smooth, its canonical divisor is trivial, and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. First, notice that $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is smooth and that the singularities of a double cover of a smooth variety are determined by the singularities of the branch locus. As B is smooth, this means that X is smooth as well. To show that $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ one can use the theory of double coverings developed in [1, Section V.22]; in particular, see the beginning of [1, Section V.23]. \square

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the two-to-one projection and let $\iota \in \text{Aut } X$ denote the induced involution. We now describe $\text{Pic } X$ of X .

We start by recalling that $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree 8 and its Picard group is generated by the equivalence classes of two line bundles $\mathcal{O}(D_1), \mathcal{O}(D_2)$, where D_1, D_2 are divisors defined by $D_1 := \{p_1\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $D_2 := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p_2\}$ for some points $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$. It is easy to compute the intersection numbers $D_1^2 = D_2^2 = 0$ and $D_1 \cdot D_2 = 1$. For $i = 1, 2$, denote by $E_i \in \text{Cl}(X)$ the pull-back of D_i .

Lemma 3.5. *The sublattice of $\text{Cl } X \cong \text{Pic } X$ generated by E_1 and E_2 is isomorphic to $U(2) = [0 \ 2 \ 0]$.*

Proof. The curve E_i is the double cover of the line D_i ramified above $D_i \cap B$. As B is smooth of bidegree $(4, 4)$, for a generic choice of D_i one has $\#(D_i \cap B) = 4$. This means that E_i is an elliptic curve, i.e., $g(E_i) = 0$ and hence, using the adjunction formula on X , it follows that $E_i^2 = 0$.

The intersection points in $E_1 \cap E_2$ are the pullback of the intersection points of $D_1 \cap D_2 = \{(p_1, p_2)\}$. For a generic choice of p_1, p_2 , the point (p_1, p_2) does not lie on B . It follows that $E_1 \cdot E_2 = 2$, concluding the proof. \square

If $R \subset X$ represents the pre-image $\pi^{-1}(B)$, then by construction the fixed locus of ι is $\text{Fix } \iota = R$.

Lemma 3.6. *The curve R has genus $g(R) = 9$.*

Proof. As B is the branch locus of π , it is isomorphic to its preimage R , hence $g(B) = g(R)$. The genus of B is determined by the bidegree-genus formula, $g(B) = (4 - 1)(4 - 1) = 9$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *We have $R = 2E_1 + 2E_2$ in $\text{Cl } X$.*

Proof. As R has genus 9, its self-intersection is $R^2 = 2 \cdot 9 - 2 = 16$. We have already seen that the intersection $E_i \cap B$ contains 4 points, because B has bidegree $(4, 4)$. As E_i and R are the pull-backs of D_i and B respectively, and B is the branch locus of π , it follows that $E_i \cdot R = 4$. Hence the sublattice generated by E_1, E_2 and R has Gram matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 16 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix has the determinant equal to 0 and hence $R \in \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$. Looking at the intersection numbers it is immediate to see that $R = 2E_1 + 2E_2$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Assume that $\rho(X) = 2$, then $\text{Cl } X = \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \cong U(2) = [0 \ 2 \ 0]$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.5 tells us that $U(2)$ is a sublattice of $\text{Cl } X$. By initial assumption, $\rho(X) = 2$ and hence $U(2)$ is a finite-index sublattice of $\text{Cl } X$. As $\det U(2) = -4$ we only have two options for $\text{Cl } X$: it is isomorphic to either $U(2)$ or U .

The involution ι on X has a curve of genus 9 as fixed locus; as symplectic automorphisms of $K3$ surface only fix finitely many points (see [17, Proposition 1.2]) we conclude that ι is non-symplectic. The involution ι naturally induces a Hodge isometry ι^* of order two on $\text{Cl } X$. The induced involution ι^* acts as the identity on the sublattice $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \subset \text{Cl } X$, as E_i is the double cover of $D_i \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The classification of $K3$ surfaces with a non-symplectic involution provided by Nikulin in [18, §4] then tells us that the sublattice of $\text{Cl } X$ fixed by ι^* is isomorphic to $U(2)$, hence it is exactly $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$.

Assume $\text{Cl } X \cong U$ and let F_1, F_2 be two generators of $\text{Cl } X$. Without loss of generality we may and do assume that F_1, F_2 are effective. This means that $F_1^2 = F_2^2 = 0$ and $F_1 \cdot F_2 = 1$. Up to isometries of U , there is only one embedding of $U(2)$ into U that preserves effectiveness, namely $E_1 = F_1$ and $E_2 = 2F_2$. It follows that ι^* fixes the whole Picard lattice U , contradicting Nikulin's classification. We then conclude that $\text{Cl } X \cong U(2)$. \square

Remark 3.9. With a complete description of $\text{Cl } X$, it is also possible to understand the ample divisors of X . It is easy to see that $U(2)$ does not admit any -2 -divisor and hence any effective divisor with positive self-intersection is ample, see [11, Corollary 8.1.6]. The divisor $F = E_1 + E_2$ is effective and has self-intersection

4, so it is ample. Let C be a (smooth) curve in the linear system $|F|$. Then C has genus 3. We claim that C is hyperelliptic: indeed it is fixed by the involution ι^* and $C.R = 8$ tells us that $\pi_*(C)$ has genus 0, i.e., C is a double cover \mathbb{P}^1 or, in other words, C is a hyperelliptic curve. This means that $|F|$ induces a map $\phi_F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ which is 2:1 onto its image (see [11, Remark 2.2.4.ii]). The divisor $2F = 2E_1 + 2E_2 = R$ is also effective and with positive self-intersection, and so it is also ample. In fact, it is *very* ample. As $g(R) = 9$, it induces an embedding of $\phi_R: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$. This embedding can also be described in an explicit way. Consider the Segre embedding $\sigma: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. If x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 are the projective coordinate of \mathbb{P}^3 , then the image $V \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of σ is the quadric defined by $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0$. Let $B' \subset V$ be the push-forward of B in V . Then $B' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a curve defined by the intersection of V with an hypersurface $S: f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$. We can then view X as a double cover of V ramified above $B' = S \cap V$, that is, X can be viewed as the following variety in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$

$$X \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0 \\ y^2 = f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \end{array} \right. \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2) \quad (3.10)$$

where x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 are the coordinates of weight 1 and y has weight 2. This is the map ϕ_F above. The weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ can be embedded into \mathbb{P}^{10} using the Veronese embedding of (weighted) degree 2

$$v: \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{10} .$$

Under this embedding, the quadric of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ defined by $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0$ is sent to a hyperplane and hence $v(X)$ can be embedded into \mathbb{P}^9 , that is, we retrieve the embedding ϕ_R .

Example 3.11. Let X be the K3 surface obtained as the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified above the curve

$$\begin{aligned} B: & 2x_0^3x_1y_0^4 + x_0^4y_0^3y_1 + 2x_0^3x_1y_0^3y_1 + x_0^2x_1^2y_0^3y_1 + x_0x_1^3y_0^3y_1 + 2x_1^4y_0^3y_1 + \\ & x_0^4y_0^2y_1^2 + 2x_0^3x_1y_0^2y_1^2 + x_0^2x_1^2y_0^2y_1^2 + 2x_1^4y_0^2y_1^2 + x_0^3x_1y_0y_1^3 + x_0^2x_1^2y_0y_1^3 + \\ & x_0x_1^3y_0y_1^3 + x_1^4y_0y_1^3 + 2x_0^4y_1^4 + 2x_0^3x_1y_1^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \end{aligned}$$

of bidegree $(4, 4)$, where x_0, x_1 and y_0, y_1 are the projective coordinates of the two copies of \mathbb{P}^1 , respectively. By reducing modulo 3 and computing the number of points over \mathbb{F}_{3^n} for $n = 1, \dots, 9$, one can prove that X has Picard number 2. See the ancillary Magma file to see how we obtained the equation defining B and the proof that $\rho(X) = 2$. In the same file, we also provide equations of X as a surface in \mathbb{P}^{10} and \mathbb{P}^9 , using the embeddings presented in Remark 3.9.

4 Stable bundles on K3 surfaces with small Picard group

We will now put everything together to construct several examples of stable bundles on K3 surfaces that are invariant under a holomorphic and an anti-holomorphic involution. In Section 4.1 and Section 4.2, we will construct real stable bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, respectively. The pullbacks of these bundles to suitable branched double covers will then give stable bundles on K3 surfaces. Last, in Section 4.3, we will write down a real stable bundle on a K3 surface directly. This will *not* be invariant under a holomorphic involution of the K3 surface, but by taking the direct sum with its pullback one obtains a polystable bundle that is invariant under the holomorphic involution.

4.1 Examples of bundles on the branched double cover of \mathbb{P}^2

The double cover $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ branched over a smooth sextic f is a classical example of a K3 surface that was reviewed in Section 3.1. We have the holomorphic involution $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ swapping the sheets of the branched cover. If the sextic f is real, then there exists a lift $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ of the complex conjugation $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Importantly, one can choose real sextics so that $\text{Pic}(X) = \pi^*(\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2))$, this was Example 3.3. For

the rest of the section, we assume this is the case. In this case, real stable bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 pull back to real stable bundles on X by Proposition 2.13.

Knowing all this, we will now construct stable bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . Each of these examples corresponds to a stable bundle on a branched double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 with the property that $\text{Pic}(X) = \pi^*(\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2))$.

That said, a whole lot is known about stable bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 , see [19] for an overview of the field. In [28, Section 2.2], even the moduli space of stable bundles *with real structure* on \mathbb{P}^2 was identified in the case of rank 2 and $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 2$.

In this section, we present two examples: the cotangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^2 , which is the only rigid stable bundle of rank 2 on \mathbb{P}^2 and another (non-rigid) rank 2 bundle.

4.1.1 The pullback of the cotangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^2

The Euler sequence is the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.1)$$

so $T^*\mathbb{P}^2$ is a kernel monad bundle. The differential of the complex conjugation $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ defines a real structure on $T^*\mathbb{P}^2$. It is easy to check that $T^*\mathbb{P}^2$ is stable on \mathbb{P}^2 , so by Proposition 2.12 we have that $\pi^*(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)$ is also stable. By construction, it is invariant under the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic involution on X . It is also easy to check that it is infinitesimally rigid: this follows from Theorem 2.5 together with the smoothness of the moduli space on a K3 surface. Up to twisting by the polarisation, it is the *unique* stable and infinitesimally rigid bundle of rank 2 on X . This proves the first part of the first point in Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.2. *Every infinitesimally rigid stable bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank 2 is isomorphic to $\pi^*(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)(k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $c_1(E) = \pi^*\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $c_2(E) = y$. By Section 2.2, we have

$$0 = \dim \mathcal{M}_X^s(2, c_1(E), c_2(E)) = \exp.\dim(2, c_1(E), c_2(E)) = 4c_2(E) - c_1(E)^2 - 6 = 4y - 2x^2 - 6,$$

using $c_1(\pi^*\mathcal{O}(1)) \smile c_1(\pi^*\mathcal{O}(1)) = 2$ on X . One easily checks that all solutions of this equation are of the form $x = 2k + 1$ and $y = 2 + 2k + 2k^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. These are precisely the Chern classes of the bundle $\pi^*(T^*\mathbb{P}^2(k + 2))$, i.e.

$$c_1(\pi^*T^*\mathbb{P}^2(k + 2)) = \pi^*\mathcal{O}(2k + 1) \text{ and } c_2(\pi^*T^*\mathbb{P}^2(k + 2)) = 2 + 2k + 2k^2,$$

which one quickly verifies using $c_1(\pi^*(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)) = \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-3)$ and $c_2(\pi^*(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)) = 6$.

Thus, whenever the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_X^s(2, c_1(E), c_2(E))$ is zero, it contains a twist of $\pi^*(T^*\mathbb{P}^2)$. By Theorem 2.5, this is the only point in the moduli space, hence E is isomorphic to this twist. \square

4.1.2 Another rank 2 example

Here is a generalisation of the previous example. It is still of rank 2, so by Lemma 4.2 it cannot be infinitesimally rigid. For $s > 0$ consider K defined via

$$K := \text{Ker}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{a = \begin{pmatrix} x^s & y^s & z^s \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(s). \quad (4.3)$$

Note that for $s = 1$ we have that $K \cong T^*\mathbb{P}^2(1)$, which can be seen from tensoring Eq. (4.3) by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and obtaining Eq. (4.1). We then have the following proposition, which is the second part of the first point in Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 4.4. *The bundle K defined in Eq. (4.3) is real and stable.*

Proof. The bundle K has a real structure by Proposition 2.10. We will prove that K^* is stable. From Proposition 2.7 we have that $c_1(K^*) = s$ and $c_2(K^*) = s^2$. By Theorem 2.6, we have that K^* is stable if $H^0(K^*(k)) = 0$ for $k \leq -\frac{s}{2}$. Let $k_0 = \lfloor -\frac{s}{2} \rfloor$. Taking the dual of Eq. (4.3), tensoring by $\mathcal{O}(k_0)$ and passing to the long exact sequence in cohomology gives

$$\dots \rightarrow \underbrace{H^0(\mathcal{O}(k_0)^{\oplus 3})}_{=0} \rightarrow H^0(K^*(k_0)) \rightarrow \underbrace{H^1(\mathcal{O}(-s+k_0))}_{=0} \rightarrow \dots$$

Thus, $H^0(K^*(k_0)) = 0$ which implies $H^0(K^*(k)) = 0$ for $k \leq k_0$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, we have that K^* is stable. By [25, Proposition 1.4 (iv)], we have that also K is stable, which proves the claim. \square

4.2 Examples of bundles on the branched double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

The double cover $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ branched over a smooth curve of bi-degree $(4, 4)$ is another example of a K_3 surface, see Section 3.2. As in the case of branched covers of \mathbb{P}^2 , if one choose a *real* branching curve, then X has a real structure. Again, one can choose branching curves so that $\text{Pic}(X) = \pi^*(\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1))$, see Example 3.11. Hence, we are led to construct examples of real stable bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, as every such bundle corresponds to a real stable bundle on a branched double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with the property $\text{Pic}(X) = \pi^*(\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1))$ by Proposition 2.13.

Stable bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ have also been studied previously. The complex surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 and the special case \mathbb{F}_0 in the family of Hirzebruch surfaces. Two classical references studying stable bundles are [2, 22], and a recent account can be found in [10]. In particular, [10] gives an explicit description of some moduli spaces of rank 2 bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

In this subsection, we present three examples: one rank 2 example that is non-rigid, one rigid rank 3 example, and a family of non-rigid rank 3 examples.

4.2.1 A rank 2 example

Consider the complex

$$A = \mathcal{O}(0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow B = \mathcal{O}(1, 0)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{\oplus 2} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ -x_1 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow C = \mathcal{O}(1, 1) \quad (4.5)$$

and its cohomology monad

$$E := \text{Ker} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ -x_1 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix} / \text{Im}(x_1 \ x_2 \ y_1 \ y_2). \quad (4.6)$$

Proposition 4.7. *The bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined in Eq. (4.6) is real and stable.*

Proof. The bundle E has a real structure by Proposition 2.10. Splitting the complex from Eq. (4.5) into two long exact sequences gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow K \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow A \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $K = \text{Ker} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ -x_1 \\ -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Using Proposition 2.7, one checks

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(K) &= c_1(B) - c_1(C) = (1, 1), \quad \text{and} \\ c_1(E) &= c_1(K) - c_1(A) = (1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Hoppe criterion Theorem 2.6, E is stable if $H^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(k, l)) = 0$ for $k + l \leq -1$. Let $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k + l \leq -1$.

- **Computation of finitely many cohomology groups.** A Macaulay2 calculation shows:

$$h^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)) = h^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(0, -1)) = h^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)) = 0.$$

- **Computation of the remaining cohomology groups.** Let $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k + l \leq -1$ and $(k, l) \notin \{(-1, 0), (0, -1), (-1, -1)\}$. Then $k \leq -2$ or $l \leq -2$. We can assume without loss of generality that $l \leq -2$. For $x = [0 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ we have:

$$h^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(k, l)) \leq h^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(k, l) |_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}) = h^0(E |_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(l)) \leq h^0(E |_{\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)) = 0,$$

where the last equality is again obtained by a Macaulay2 calculation. \square

Using Proposition 2.7, one checks that $c_2(K) = 2$ and $c_2(E) = 2$. Thus, the bundle constructed from Eq. (4.6) is dual to the bundle with $c_1(E) = (-1, -1)$, $c_2(E) = k$ studied in [10] for the choice of $k = 2$. The dimension of its moduli space is $4 \cdot c_2(E) - 5 = 3$.

4.2.2 Rank 3 examples

Define the rank 3 vector bundle K over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows:

$$K := \text{Ker} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 y_1 \\ x_1 y_2 \\ x_2 y_1 \\ x_2 y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Proposition 4.9. *The bundle $K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined in Eq. (4.8) is real, stable, and its pullback under the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is infinitesimally rigid.*

Proof. The bundle K has a real structure by Proposition 2.10. We again check stability of K using the Hoppe criterion Theorem 2.6. To this end, let first $s = 1$. We have $c_1(K) = (-4, -4)$. Thus we must check $H^0(K(k, l)) = 0$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k + l \leq 8/3$.

We have that K fits into the short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, -2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, -1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, -2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2, -1) \xrightarrow{a} K = \text{Im}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{for } a = \begin{pmatrix} -y_2 & -x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & 0 & 0 & -x_2 \\ 0 & x_1 & -y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The assignment of bi-graded $R_\bullet = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$ -modules to coherent sheaves is an exact functor (see e.g. [9, Proposition II.5.2a]), and the above short exact sequence of sheaves corresponds to the following sequences of modules:

$$0 \rightarrow R_{\bullet+(1,2)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(2,1)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(1,2)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(2,1)} \xrightarrow{a} \text{Im}(a) \rightarrow R_{\bullet+(1,1)}^4 \rightarrow 0.$$

By abuse of notation, we used the same symbol a to denote the map of modules corresponding to the map of sheaves from Eq. (4.17). We also used the notation $R_{\bullet,+(k,l)}$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ to denote the graded ring R_{\bullet} with the shifted grading that makes the unit in R_{\bullet} have degree (k, l) . Note that $R_{\bullet,+(k,l)}$ is no longer a graded ring, but still a graded module.

- **Computation of finitely many cohomology groups.** Using this commutative algebra formulation we use Macaulay2 to compute $h^0(K(k, l)) = 0$ for $(k, l) \in \{(2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1), (1, 0), (0, 0), (0, 2)\}$.
- **Computation of the remaining cohomology groups.** Thus, it remains to check those values of $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $k + l \leq 8/3$ and $(k, l) \notin \{(2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1), (1, 0), (0, 0), (0, 2)\}$. In this case, $k \leq -1$ or $l \leq -1$. Without loss of generality assume that $k \leq -1$. Explicit calculation using Macaulay2 shows for $z = [0 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^1$

$$H^0(K|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Thus

$$h^0(K(k, l)|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}}) = h^0(K|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(k)) \leq h^0(K|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0 \text{ for } k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } k \leq -1.$$

It remains to check the $s = 2$ case, i.e., we must check that $h^0((\wedge^2 K)(k, l)) = 0$ for $k + l \leq \frac{16}{3}$.

- **Computation of finitely many cohomology groups.** Explicit calculation using Macaulay2 shows

$$H^0((\wedge^2 K)(k, l))_{0 \leq k, l \leq 5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 12 & 22 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 8 & 15 & 22 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 8 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.12)$$

- **Computation of the remaining cohomology groups.** Thus, it remains to check the values of k and l where $k + l \leq \frac{16}{3}$ and $k \leq -1$ or $l \leq -1$. Assume without loss of generality that $k \leq -1$. As before, an explicit calculation using Macaulay2 shows for $z = [0 : 1]$:

$$H^0(\wedge^2 K|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}}(-1)) = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

and we deduce that $H^0((\wedge^2 K)(k, l)) = 0$ for $k + l \leq 16/3$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The bundle K has $c_1(K) = (-4, -4)$ and $c_2(K) = 12$. The expected dimension of the moduli space of bundles with the same rank and Chern classes as $\pi^*(K)$ is $\text{expdim} = 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 12 - 2 \cdot 2(16 + 16) - 8 \cdot 2 = 0$. By Theorem 2.5 we have that the moduli space consists of one point only. Obstruction spaces on moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves on $K3$ surfaces vanish (see [11, p.168]), so π^*K is also infinitesimally rigid. \square

Remark 4.14. We fixed $z = [0 : 1]$ in the above proof. Other choices for z also work, but may require computing more or fewer twists in the *Computation of finitely many cohomology groups* part.

Another rank 3 example is given by the following construction. Define the rank 3 vector bundle K over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows:

$$K := \text{Ker} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^n \\ x_2^n \\ y_1^n \\ y_2^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n, 0)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -n)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 0)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Proposition 4.16. *The bundle $K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined in Eq. (4.15) is real and stable for $n = 2$.*

Proof. The bundle K has a real structure by Proposition 2.10. We have that K fits into the short exact sequence of sheaves

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2n, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n, -n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n, -n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -2n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n, -n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n, -n) \\
&\xrightarrow{a} K = \text{Im}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n, 0)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -n)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow 0 \\
\text{for } a &= \begin{pmatrix} -x_2^n & 0 & -y_1^n & 0 & 0 & -y_2^n \\ x_1^n & -y_1^n & 0 & 0 & -y_2^n & 0 \\ 0 & x_2^n & x_1^n & -y_2^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1^n & x_2^n & x_1^n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

The above sequence corresponds to the following sequence of modules

$$0 \rightarrow R_{\bullet+(2n,0)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(n,n)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(n,n)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(0,2n)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(n,n)} \oplus R_{\bullet+(n,n)} \xrightarrow{a} \text{Im}(a) \rightarrow R_{\bullet+(n,0)}^2 \oplus R_{\bullet+(0,n)}^2 \rightarrow 0 .$$

We check stability of K using the Hoppe criterion (Theorem 2.6). To this end, let first $s = 1$. We have $c_1(K) = (-2n, -2n)$. Thus we must check $H^0(K(k, l)) = 0$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k + l \leq 4n/3$, i.e. $k + l \leq \frac{8}{3}$ in the $n = 2$ case.

- **Computation of finitely many cohomology groups.** We compute using Macaulay2 that $H^0(K(k, l)) = 0$ for $(k, l) \in \{(0, 2), (2, 0), (1, 1), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$.
- **Computation of the remaining cohomology groups.** It remains to check the case where $l \leq -1$ or $k \leq -1$. Without loss of generality we assume $k \leq -1$ and as before fix $z = [0 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and compute

$$H^0(K|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0.$$

which as in Proposition 4.9 implies that $H^0(K(k, l)) = 0$ for $k + l \leq 8/3$.

Now for $s = 2$ we need that $H^0(\Lambda^2 K(k, l)) = 0$ for $k + l \leq 16/3$.

- **Computation of finitely many cohomology groups.** Using Macaulay2 we compute

$$H^0((\Lambda^2 K)(k, l))_{0 \leq k, l \leq 5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 13 & 24 & 35 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 16 & 24 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 8 & 13 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **Computation of the remaining cohomology groups.** It only remains to check $H^0(\Lambda^2 K(k, l)) = 0$ when $k \leq -1$ or $l \leq -1$. As before we check that for $z = [0 : 1]$

$$H^0(\Lambda^2 K|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{z\}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0.$$

Thus we have that $H^0(\Lambda^2 K(k, l)) = 0$ for $k + l \leq 16/3$. □

Remark 4.18. We found the above proof to work for every value of n we tried, for example for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$, so Proposition 4.16 also holds at least for these cases. However, in the step *Computation of finitely many cohomology groups* we require that n be some concrete value and we have no proof that the proposition holds for every $n \geq 1$.

Propositions 4.9 and 4.16 prove the second point of Theorem 1.2.

4.3 An example on a quartic

Consider a K3 surface X with holomorphic involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ and anti-holomorphic involution $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$. In the two subsections preceding this subsection, we constructed ι -invariant bundles on X by pulling back bundles from $X/\langle \iota \rangle$. Alternatively, one may do the following: let $E \rightarrow X$ be any bundle on X , then the bundle $\iota^*E \oplus E$ is ι -invariant, because a canonical lift of ι to $\iota^*E \oplus E$ is given by the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota^*E \oplus E)_x &= E_{\iota(x)} \oplus E_x \rightarrow (\iota^*E \oplus E)_{\iota(x)} = E_x \oplus E_{\iota(x)} \\ (v, w) &\mapsto (w, v). \end{aligned}$$

The bundle $\iota^*E \oplus E$ is never stable, but it is polystable if E is stable. Furthermore, if E has a real structure, then so has $\iota^*E \oplus E$. We are thus motivated to construct a real stable bundle on X that is not ι -invariant. To this end, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3(x, y, z, w)$ be the K3 surface from [7, Section 6], defined as:

$$X = Z(-x(x+z-w)(xw-yz) + z(x+z)(xy-z^2) + (xy+w^2)(y^2-zw)).$$

It has Picard rank 2, which is the smallest rank possible for a quartic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 admitting an involution, see [11, Corollary 15.2.12]. This and other properties of X are collected in the following lemma:

Lemma 4.19. [7, Lemma 6.2, Proposition 6.3] *The surface X_4 contains the following curves:*

$$C: \begin{cases} xw - yz = 0 \\ xy^2 + x^2z - z^3 - xyw + yw^2 - w^3 = 0 \\ xz^2 + z^3 + xyw + w^3 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad D: \begin{cases} xw - yz = 0 \\ xy - z^2 = 0 \\ y^2 - zw = 0 \end{cases}.$$

The curve C has degree 5 and genus 2; the curve D is a twisted cubic, hence it has degree 3 and genus 0. The divisor class group $\text{Cl}(X)$, is generated by the class of C and the hyperplane section and is isometric to the lattice [4 5 2].

Let K be the rank 2 vector bundle over X defined via

$$0 \rightarrow K = \text{Ker} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Proposition 4.21. *The sheaf $K \rightarrow X$ defined in Eq. (4.20) is a stable rank two vector bundle and has a real structure.*

Proof. The rank of the matrix (x, y, w) is equal to one everywhere on X , as there is no point of the form $[0 : 0 : z : 0] \in X$, so K is a vector bundle of rank 2.

The sequence Eq. (4.20) defines a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \{[0 : 0 : 1 : 0]\}$ and Proposition 2.10 gives it a real structure. The restriction to X inherits this real structure, thus $K \rightarrow X$ is a real bundle.

To check stability, we again apply the Hoppe criterion Theorem 2.6.

We find $c_1(K) = -3\mathcal{O}(1)|_X$ and $\deg(\mathcal{O}(1)|_X) = 4$ as well as $\deg(C) = 5$ by Lemma 4.19. Thus, by Theorem 2.6 we have that K is stable if

$$H^0(X, K \otimes \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(l)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 4k + 5l \leq \frac{12}{2} = 6.$$

- **Computation of finitely many cohomology groups.** A Macaulay2 calculation shows that

$$\dim H^0(X, K \otimes \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(l)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (k, l) = (1, 0).$$

- **Computation of the remaining cohomology groups.** Now take (k, l) such that $4k + 5l \leq 6$ and $(k, l) \neq (1, 0)$. Tensoring Eq. (4.20) by $\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(ID)$ and passing to the long exact sequence in cohomology gives

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, K \otimes \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(IC)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(k-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(IC))^{\oplus 3}. \quad (4.22)$$

The assumption $4k + 5l \leq 6$ and $(k, l) \neq (1, 0)$ implies $k \leq 0$ or $l \leq -1$. Thus, the divisor $\mathcal{O}(k-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(IC)$ is not linearly equivalent to an effective divisor, and we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(k-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(IC)) = 0$, see [9, Proposition II.7.7(a)]. Therefore, Eq. (4.22) gives $H^0(X, K \otimes \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(IC)) = 0$. By Theorem 2.6, the bundle K is stable. \square

This proves the third part of Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, and A. van de Ven. *Compact Complex Surfaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2015. 8
- [2] N. P. Buchdahl. Stable 2-bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces. *Math. Z.*, 194(1):143–152, 1987. doi.org/10.1007/BF01168013. 12
- [3] S. K. Donaldson. Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 50(1):1–26, 1985. doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-50.1.1. 1
- [4] S. K. Donaldson. Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature. *Duke Math J.*, 54:231–247, 1987. doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05414-7. 1
- [5] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer. *The geometry of four-manifolds*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1990. Oxford Science Publications. 7
- [6] A.-S. Elsenhans and J. Jahnel. $K3$ surfaces of Picard rank one which are double covers of the projective plane. In *Higher-dimensional geometry over finite fields*, volume 16 of *NATO Sci. Peace Secur. Ser. D Inf. Commun. Secur.*, pages 63–77. IOS, Amsterdam, 2008. 8
- [7] D. Festi, W. Nijgh, and D. Platt. $K3$ surfaces with two involutions and low Picard number. *Geom. Dedicata*, 218(2):Paper No. 55, 28, 2024. doi.org/10.1007/s10711-024-00900-8, [arXiv.org:2210.14623](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.14623). 8, 16
- [8] R. Hartshorne. Stable vector bundles and instantons. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 59(1):1–15, 1978. projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103901556. 6
- [9] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013. 3, 13, 17
- [10] S. Huh. Jumping conics on a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}_3 . *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 190(2):195–208, 2011. doi.org/10.1007/s10231-010-0143-4, [arXiv:0910.2053](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.2053). 12, 13
- [11] D. Huybrechts. *Lectures on $K3$ surfaces*, volume 158 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316594193. 3, 8, 9, 10, 14, 16
- [12] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2010. doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511711985. 4

- [13] M. Jardim, G. Menet, D. M. Prata, and H. N. Sá Earp. Holomorphic bundles for higher dimensional gauge theory. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 49(1):117–132, 2017. doi.org/10.1112/blms.12017, [arXiv:1109.2750](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.2750). 2, 3, 5
- [14] D. Joyce and S. Karigiannis. A new construction of compact torsion-free G_2 -manifolds by gluing families of Eguchi-Hanson spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 117(2):255–343, 2021. doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1612975017 [arXiv:1707.09325](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.09325). 2
- [15] D. R. Morrison. On $K3$ surfaces with large Picard number. *Invent. Math.*, 75(1):105–121, 1984. doi.org/10.1007/BF01403093. 8
- [16] S. Mukai. On the moduli space of bundles on $K3$ surfaces. I. In *Vector bundles on algebraic varieties (Bombay, 1984)*, volume 11 of *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math.*, pages 341–413. Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1987. 4
- [17] S. Mukai. Finite groups of automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces and the Mathieu group. *Invent. Math.*, 94(1):183–221, 1988. doi.org/10.1007/BF01394352. 9
- [18] V. V. Nikulin. Factor groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms with respect to subgroups generated by 2-reflections. Algebraic-geometric applications. *J. Sov. Math.*, 22:1401–1475, 1983. doi.org/10.1007/BF01094757. 9
- [19] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, and H. Spindler. *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. Corrected reprint of the 1980 edition, With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand. 5, 11
- [20] D. Platt. G_2 -instantons on resolutions of G_2 -orbifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 405(3):Paper No. 81, 63, 2024. doi.org/10.1007/s00220-024-04947-2, [arXiv:2208.10903](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.10903). 2
- [21] R. Silhol. *Real algebraic surfaces*, volume 1392 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. doi.org/10.1007/BFb0088815. 5
- [22] S. Soberon-Chavez. Rank 2 vector bundles over a complex quadric surface. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 36(142):159–172, 1985. doi.org/10.1093/qmath/36.2.159. 12
- [23] A. Strominger. Superstrings with Torsion. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 274:253, 1986. [10.1016/0550-3213\(86\)90286-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90286-5). 1
- [24] H. N. Sá Earp and T. Walpuski. G_2 -instantons over twisted connected sums. *Geometry & Topology*, 19(3):1263–1285, May 2015. dx.doi.org/10.2140/gt.2015.19.1263, [arXiv:1310.7933](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.7933). 2
- [25] F. Takemoto. Stable vector bundles on algebraic surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 47:29–48, 1972. projecteuclid.org/euclid.nmj/1118798682. 12
- [26] Y. Tanaka. A construction of $Spin(7)$ -instantons. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 42(4):495–521, 2012. doi.org/10.1007/s10455-012-9324-2, [arXiv:1201.3150](https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.3150). 2
- [27] K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau. Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39:suppl. S257–S293, 1989. doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160390714. 1
- [28] S. Wang. Moduli spaces over manifolds with involutions. *Math. Ann.*, 296(1):119–138, 1993. doi.org/10.1007/BF01445098. 2, 11

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI ‘RENATO CACCIOPOLI’, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI ‘FEDERICO II’, VIA CINTIA, MONTE S. ANGELO I-80126 NAPOLI, ITALY
E-MAIL: dino.festi@unina.it

IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 180 QUEEN'S GATE, SOUTH KENSINGTON, LONDON SW7
2RH, THE UNITED KINGDOM

E-MAIL: d.platt@imperial.ac.uk

UNIVERSITY OF MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

E-MAIL: rsinghal@uni-muenster.de

BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS (BIMSA), NO. 544, HEFANGKOU VILLAGE, HUAIBEI
TOWN, HUAIROU DISTRICT, BEIJING 101408, CHINA

E-MAIL: ytanaka@bimsa.cn