

LOCAL AND GLOBAL MINIMALITY OF LEVEL SETS IN PHASE TRANSITIONS

DIMITRIOS GAZOULIS

ABSTRACT. In this work we study the level sets of entire solutions of the Allen-Cahn equation and we prove local and global minimality of the zero level set with respect to certain perimeter functional with density. This provides a direct relationship between phase transition type problems and minimal surfaces with some weight. In particular, we establish that the zero level set of minimizers of the Allen-Cahn equation locally minimizes a perimeter type functional. As an application, we establish the De Giorgi conjecture, proved by O. Savin, by reducing it to a Bernstein type result for anisotropic perimeter functionals obtained by L. Simon, thus directly linking it to the geometric problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin by illustrating one of the main ideas of this work. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth solution of

$$\Delta u = W'(u) , \tag{1.1}$$

where $W : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and such that

$$(i) \ u \cdot W'(u) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \ |\nabla u| \neq 0.$$

Consider the set

$$E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) < 0\} ,$$

the vector field $X = \nabla u$ and the perimeter functional with density

$$\mathcal{P}(\Omega, B_R) = \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R} g(x) \cdot |\nu_\Omega| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} , \quad \nu_\Omega \perp \partial\Omega , \tag{1.2}$$

where B_R is a ball of radius R and $g(x) = |\nabla u(x)|$.

Then we have that the divergence of X has the same sign as u in \mathbb{R}^n and in addition, by a modified calibration type argument it follows that

$$\mathcal{P}(E, B_R) \leq \mathcal{P}(\Omega, B_R) , \quad \forall B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n , \tag{1.3}$$

and for all Ω regular enough such that the symmetric difference $E \Delta \Omega$ is compactly contained in B_R (see [10], [23], [5]). However, in the case where $W'(u) = u^3 - u$ and $|u| < 1$, condition (i) is not satisfied and therefore, we need further ideas to apply the arguments above.

Our aim is to establish local and global minimality of the level sets of entire solutions to phase transition type problems, and in particular, for the Allen-Cahn equation. The minimality of the level sets is with respect to certain perimeter functional with density (weighted or anisotropic perimeter functional). Note that, the previous setting can also be applied to many other nonlinear equations in divergence form.

So now, we assume that W in (1.1) is a double-well potential, $W \in C^2([-1, 1])$ satisfying

$$(H) \begin{cases} W > 0 \text{ in } (-1, 1), \{W = 0\} = \{-1, 1\}, W'(\pm 1) = 0 \text{ and } W''(-1) = W''(1) = 2, \\ \text{with exactly one critical point of } W' \text{ in } (-1, 1) \text{ which we assume to be zero.} \end{cases}$$

These assumptions on W guarantee that there exists a unique solution (modulo translations) to the one dimensional problem

$$g''(t) = W'(g(t)), \quad g(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm 1} g(t) = \pm 1.$$

The canonical potential in phase transitions is

$$W(u) = \frac{(1 - u^2)^2}{4} \tag{1.4}$$

Consider the quantity

$$|Q(u; x)|^2 = \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2 - |\nabla|\nabla u||^2}{|\nabla u|^2}, \quad \text{if } |\nabla u| \neq 0, \tag{1.5}$$

and zero otherwise.

The quantity Q appears in a condition equivalent to the stability condition. In particular, in [29], they proved that stability of solutions can be written equivalently as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \xi|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q(u; x)|^2 \xi^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad \forall \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{1.6}$$

Q is also related to the second fundamental form of the level sets of u .

In order to apply the modified calibration argument described in the beginning, we apply a diffeomorphism to equation (1.1) so that we construct a function w that satisfies a semilinear elliptic equation and we consider a vector field so that its divergence has the same sign as the solution w of the transformed equation. Then, utilizing a modified calibration type argument (see [10] or [23]) we can conclude that the zero level set of a solution of (1.1) locally minimizes the perimeter with some density. However, this argument can be applied by establishing of a lower bound in the gradient in the region $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$. This lower bound can be derived by the boundedness of the quantity Q defined in (1.5).

With this method we obtain local minimality of the zero level set if Q is bounded in the region $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$. The boundedness of Q in such region is guaranteed for minimizers of the Allen-Cahn equations (see Remark 1.4 in [9]) and in particular, for bounded solutions that satisfy $u_{x_n} > 0$ and the limiting assumption (1.8) below. This enables us to establish that the zero level set of u is contained in a slab, if $n \leq 8$, utilizing a

result of L. Simon in [27] for anisotropic perimeter functionals that are C^3 close to the area integrand. Finally, by the work of [15] (or [20]), it holds that then, all the level sets of u are hyperplanes. So, we establish the De Giorgi conjecture under the additional assumption (1.8) that has been proved in [24].

As it is well known, De Giorgi in 1978 [13] stated the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *(De Giorgi) Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 entire solution of*

$$\Delta u = u^3 - u, \tag{1.7}$$

such that: (i) $|u| < 1$ and (ii) $u_{x_n} > 0$.

Is it true that the level sets of u are hyperplanes at least if $n \leq 8$?

The conjecture has been proved in [18] and in [1] for dimensions $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ respectively and later, proved up to $n = 8$ in [24], under the additional hypothesis:

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x', x_n) = \pm 1, \text{ where } x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \tag{1.8}$$

Finally, a counterexample to the De Giorgi's conjecture was given in [14], for $n \geq 9$. This counterexample satisfies also the limiting assumption (1.8).

There are several other important contributions towards or related to this problem (see [8], [15], [16], [20], [30], [33] to cite a few).

The novelty of this work is that it provides a direct relationship between the zero level set of entire solutions to (1.1) and minimal surfaces that minimize a perimeter functional with density, and thus reduces the diffuse interface problem to the Bernstein result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given open ball $B_R = B_R(x_0)$, consider the positive parametric elliptic functional \mathcal{P} ,

$$\mathcal{P}(E, B_R) = \int_{\partial E \cap B_R} G(x, \nu(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \tag{2.1}$$

for $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, regular enough, ν is the unit normal for ∂E and \mathcal{H}^{n-1} denoted the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Throughout this section we denote as ∂E for both the boundary of a set and the reduced boundary. G is a function defined on $B_R \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with locally Hölder continuous second derivatives on $B_R \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, that satisfy the following

conditions:

- (a) $G(x, ap) = aG(x, p)$, $\forall a > 0$, $(x, p) \in B_R \times \mathbb{R}^n$,
- (b) $G(x, p) \geq \mu_0|p|$, for some $\mu_0 > 0$, $(x, p) \in B_R \times \mathbb{R}^n$,
- (c) $\sum_{i,j=1}^n G_{p_i p_j}(x, p) \xi_i \xi_j \geq |p|^{-1} |\xi'|^2$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\text{where } \xi' = \xi - \frac{p}{|p|} \left(\xi \cdot \frac{p}{|p|} \right) , \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n .$$

We say that E minimizes \mathcal{P} in B_R , for every competitor F such that $E \Delta F \subset\subset B_R$ it holds

$$\mathcal{P}(E, B_R) \leq \mathcal{P}(F, B_R) \quad (2.2)$$

where $E \Delta F$ is the symmetric difference, i.e. $E \Delta F = (E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)$. We say that E locally minimizes \mathcal{P} in \mathbb{R}^n if (2.2) is satisfied $\forall B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $R < R_0$ for some $R_0 > 0$ fixed. If (2.2) holds $\forall B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that E globally minimizes \mathcal{P} in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let \mathcal{A} be the area functional,

$$\mathcal{A}(E, B_R) = \int_{\partial E \cap B_R} A(\nu_E(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) , \quad (2.3)$$

where $A(p) = |p|$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We also assume that G is C^3 close to the area functional, that is,

$$(d) \quad \|G(x, \nu) - A(\nu)\|_{C^3(B_R \times S^n)} < \Lambda ,$$

for some fixed $\Lambda > 0$.

Then, by Corollary 2 in [27], when ∂E is a graph, say the graph of v , and in addition minimizes $\mathcal{P}(E, B_R)$ defined in (2.1) for every $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\dim(\partial E) \leq 7$, then ∂E is a hyperplane and v is linear.

For the proof of this Bernstein result, the following lemma is utilized.

Lemma 2.1. ([27]) *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that ∂E is a C^2 hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n that minimizes \mathcal{P} defined in (2.1) for some $B_R(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that G satisfies (a)-(d) and ∂E is a graph. If $n \leq 8$, then there exist $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\partial E \cap B_{\theta R}(x_0) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, H_E) < \varepsilon \theta R\} , \quad (2.4)$$

where H_E is some hyperplane with $x_0 \in H_E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof in Lemma 1 in [27], where the case with $G = G(\nu(x))$ is considered. The Harnack inequality for ν_{n+1} in [26] can be applied similarly in the proof if the integrand depends on x . The other difference is that, in the proof of Lemma 1 in [27], we apply Theorem 1 in [28] instead of Theorem A in the appendix of [27]. Note also that since ∂E is a C^2 hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n represented by a graph, if $n \leq 8$, we have that by the regularity theorem in [26] (see Theorem in II.8) we have that $\mathcal{H}^1(\text{Sing}(\partial E)) = 0$, where $\text{Sing}(\partial E)$ is the singular set of the hypersurface ∂E (see [26] or [27]). \square

Theorem 2.2. ([27]) *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that ∂E is a C^2 hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n that minimizes \mathcal{P} defined in (2.1) $\forall B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that G satisfies (a)-(d) and ∂E is a graph. If $n \leq 8$, then ∂E is a hyperplane.*

Proof. The proof of the theorem can be found in [27], where this result is an direct consequence of Lemma 2.1. \square

We also recall Theorem 4.5 in [17], that gives the lower semicontinuity of the anisotropic perimeter functional. We denote as \mathcal{L}^n the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2.3. ([17]) *Let $F_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a sequence of bounded sets with finite perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n .*

If $(\mathcal{L}^n(F_k) + \mathcal{A}(F_k))$ is bounded and if $\chi_{F_k} \rightarrow \chi_F$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

then

$$\int_{\partial F} G(x, \nu_A(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial F_k} G(x, \nu_{F_k}(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \quad (2.5)$$

for every non negative, continuous function G , such that $G(x, \cdot)$ is convex and homogeneous of degree one $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

3. THE LOCAL MINIMALITY

The first main result is the local minimality of the zero level set. For simplicity, we consider the potential W be defined in (1.4) and we mention the appropriate modifications for extending the results in the general case where assumption **(H)** is satisfied in Remark 3.2. So, we consider solutions of

$$\Delta u = u^3 - u, \quad |u| < 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth entire solution of (3.1) such that $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ and $Q(u; x)$ defined in (1.5) is bounded in $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$.*

Then the zero level set of u locally minimizes the perimeter with density

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_R) = \int_{\partial E \cap B_R} G(x, \nu(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \quad (3.2)$$

where $G(x, p) = e^{u^2(x)/2\theta_0^2} |\nabla u(x)| \cdot |p|$, for some $\theta_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$.

Proof. To begin with, we have

$$|\nabla u| \leq C \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3.3)$$

by the gradient estimates in [19] we have

$$|u_{x_i}(x_0)| \leq \frac{n}{r} \sup_{\partial D} |u| + \frac{r}{2} \sup_D |\Delta u|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x^i - x_0^i| < r\}, \quad (3.4)$$

and by the fact that $|u|$, $|\Delta u|$ are bounded in \mathbb{R}^n .

Next, we will show that there exist $\theta_0 > 0$ so that

$$|\nabla u| \geq \theta_0 \text{ in } \{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\} \text{ for some } \delta \in (0, 1). \quad (3.5)$$

If $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ is bounded, (3.5) follows from the condition $|\nabla u| > 0$ and the assumption on the boundedness of Q in this region is not necessary.

So, we consider the case where $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ is unbounded. By [6], we have that

$$\text{osc}_{B_R(x)}(u) \geq \frac{1}{4}, \quad (3.6)$$

for some large R and x inside the region $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$. Thus, there exist some $\theta = \theta(R, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$\theta \leq \sup_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u|. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $P(u; x) = |\nabla u|$, then

$$\Delta P = \frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2 - |\nabla P|^2}{|\nabla u|} + (3u^2 - 1)P \quad (3.8)$$

that is

$$\Delta P = (Q(u; x) + (3u^2 - 1))P \quad (3.9)$$

where Q defined in (1.5) that is bounded in $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$, say $Q \leq \tilde{C}$.

Then by the Krylov-Safonov Harnack inequality (see Theorem 4.1 in [4]) we have

$$\sup_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u| \leq C \inf_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u| \quad (3.10)$$

where C depends only on n and $R^2 \tilde{C}$.

Therefore (3.5) holds.

Now, we set

$$u = \varphi(w), \quad \text{with } \varphi'(t) = e^{-\frac{\varphi^2(t)}{2\theta_0^2}}, \quad \varphi(0) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

and by (3.1), we have

$$\Delta w = \frac{1}{\varphi'(w)} (u^3 - u - \varphi''(w)|\nabla w|^2)$$

which gives

$$\Delta w = \frac{u}{\varphi'(w)} \left(u^2 - 1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\theta_0^2} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

from the definition of φ .

Thus, if $0 < u < 1 - \delta$,

$$\Delta w \geq \frac{u^3}{\varphi'(w)} \geq 0$$

by (3.5).

On the other hand, if $-1 + \delta < u < 0$,

$$\Delta w \leq \frac{u^3}{\varphi'(w)} \leq 0$$

That is, Δw and w have the same sign in the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi^{-1}(-1 + \delta) < w(x) < \varphi^{-1}(1 - \delta)\}.$$

The function $f(x) := |\nabla u(x)| \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f is non negative, bounded and $f \geq \theta_0$ in $\{|u| < 1 - \delta\}$. In particular, $f \geq \theta_0$ in the level set $\{u = 0\}$ and note that since u is non constant by the assumption that ∇u does not vanish, we have that the zero level set of u is non empty.

Differentiating (3.1),

$$\Delta u_{x_i} = (3u^2 - 1)u_{x_i} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and by the gradient estimate in [19], we have

$$|u_{x_j x_i}(x_0)| \leq \frac{n}{r} \sup_{\partial D} |u_{x_i}(x_0)| + \frac{r}{2} \sup_D |\Delta u_{x_i}(x_0)|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.13)$$

which gives that $|\nabla^2 u|$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n and $f_{x_i} \leq \sum_j u_{x_j x_i}^2$, so $f_{x_i} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Similarly we get $f_{x_i x_j}$, $f_{x_i x_j x_k}$ are bounded in \mathbb{R}^n .

Since $|\nabla w| = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varphi'(w)}$, the same $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounds hold for

$$g(x) := |\nabla w(x)|, \quad (3.14)$$

perhaps with different constants and

$$g(x) \geq \tilde{\theta}_0 \text{ in } \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi^{-1}(-1 + \delta) < w(x) < \varphi^{-1}(1 - \delta)\}.$$

Set

$$E := \{w < 0\}, \quad X := \nabla w \text{ and} \quad (3.15)$$

$$d_0 := \min [\text{dist}(\{w = 0\}, \{w = \varphi^{-1}(1 - \delta)\}), \text{dist}(\{w = 0\}, \{w = \varphi^{-1}(-1 + \delta)\})]$$

and consider the perimeter with density

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega, B_R) = \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R} g(x) |\nu_\Omega| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \quad (3.16)$$

where $\nu_\Omega \perp \partial\Omega$ and g defined in (3.14) .

To conclude, we will prove the following Claim.

Claim: The set $E = \{w < 0\}$ locally minimizes $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

Proof of the Claim: Let $B_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $r < d_0/2$ be an open ball, take a competitor F of E with $F \setminus E \subset\subset B_r$ then, X defined in (3.15) satisfies

$$1) \operatorname{div} X = \Delta w \geq 0 \text{ in } B_r \setminus E \text{ and } \operatorname{div} X = \Delta w \leq 0 \text{ in } B_r \cap E,$$

$$2) X = |\nabla w| \cdot \nu_E, \quad \nu_E = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \perp \partial E = \{w = 0\}.$$

Choose now a sequence of functions $\psi_j \in C_c^1(B_r; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\psi_j(x) = 1 \text{ for } F \setminus E, \quad 0 \leq \psi_j(x) \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in B_r \quad (3.17)$$

and such that the sequence of sets $\Omega_j = \{x \in B_r : \psi_j(x) = 1\}$ is increasing and $\cup_j \Omega_j = B_r$. If we define $X_j = \psi_j X$ and $\Omega = F \setminus E$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \int_\Omega \operatorname{div} X = \int_\Omega \operatorname{div} X_j = \int_{F \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} X_j - \int_{E \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} X_j \quad (3.18)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{E \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} X_j \leq \int_{F \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} X_j. \quad (3.19)$$

Since $X_j \in C_c^1(B_r; \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{F \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} X_j \leq \sup \left\{ \int_{F \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} \phi : \phi \in C_c^1(B_r; \mathbb{R}^n), |\phi| \leq g \right\} \leq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(F, B_r). \quad (3.20)$$

Moreover ∂E is smooth since w is smooth and

$$\int_{E \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} X_j = \int_{\partial E \cap B_r} X_j \nu_E d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{\partial E \cap B_r} \psi_j g(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, \Omega_j),$$

where $\Omega_j = \{x \in B_r : \psi_j(x) = 1\}$.

In addition, ψ_j are chosen so that $\Omega_j \nearrow B_r$ and by Theorem 2.3 in Preliminaries we have

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \cap B_r} \operatorname{div} X_j \geq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_r) \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore by (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21) we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_r) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(F, B_r) \quad \forall F \text{ with } F \setminus E \subset\subset B_r. \quad (3.22)$$

On the other hand, if we take F such that $E \setminus F \subset\subset B_r$ and set $U = E \setminus F$, by the fact that $\operatorname{div} X = \Delta w \leq 0$ in U , we can argue similarly and obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_r) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(F, B_r) \quad \forall F \text{ with } E \setminus F \subset\subset B_r, \quad (3.23)$$

thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_r) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(F, B_r) \quad \forall F \text{ with } E \Delta F \subset\subset B_r \quad (3.24)$$

and the Claim is proved.

Observe also that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_r)$ defined in (3.16) is of the form (2.1) with

$$G(x, \nu_E(x)) = g(x)|\nu_E(x)|$$

that satisfies (a) in the conditions of the elliptic integrand and by (3.5), (b) and (c) are satisfied. Furthermore, by the boundedness of g_{x_i} , $g_{x_i x_j}$, $g_{x_i x_j x_k}$, where recall that $g(x) = |\nabla w(x)|$, condition (d) is also satisfied. \square

Remark 3.2. 1) If W is a potential satisfying **(H)**, we can write $W'(u) = uF(u)$ for some function F (by Hadamard's lemma) in some neighborhood $\{|u| < \varepsilon\}$ and choose φ so that

$$-\varphi''(t) = \frac{\varphi(t)(\varphi'(t))^2}{\theta_0^2 h(t)} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{h(t)} \geq -F(t)$$

and proceed similarly in the proof.

2) In Theorem 3.1, instead of assuming the boundedness of $Q(u; x)$ we could directly assume that $|\nabla u| \geq \theta_0$ in $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$. This condition is expected to hold since the gradient term in the respective energy functional is mostly concentrated in this transition part (see Lemma B.3 in [30]). Furthermore, if

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |u(x)| > 1 - \delta\} = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } \delta \in (0, 1), \quad (3.25)$$

then Q is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n and θ in (3.7) do not depend on δ , therefore $|\nabla u| \geq \theta_0$ in \mathbb{R}^n and Theorem 3.1 gives the global minimality of the zero level set, since no restriction on the radius of the ball B_r is needed in the Claim in this case.

4. THE GLOBAL MINIMALITY

Next, we proceed to our second main result. But first, we provide a motivation for one of the assumptions. Assuming that the quantity Q defined in (1.5) is bounded in the region $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ for some $\delta > 0$, by the main result in [31], i.e. Theorem 1.1, we have that for stable solutions of (1.1) (if $n \leq 10$)

$$|\mathcal{H}(u_R)| \leq \frac{C}{R}, \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{R}{2}},$$

where \mathcal{H} is the mean curvature of the level set of u_R .

This implies that

$$\frac{\Delta u}{|\nabla u|} - \frac{\sum_{i,j} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} u_{x_i x_j}}{|\nabla u|^3} \leq \frac{nC}{R}, \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{R}{2}},$$

for some $R \geq R_0$ and we obtain

$$|\nabla^2 u| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{R} |\nabla u| + \Delta u. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus, we assume either that

$$|\nabla^2 u| \leq C_1 |\nabla u|, \text{ for some } C_1 \in (0, 1). \quad (4.2)$$

or the estimate

$$Q(u; x) \leq C_2(1 - u^2), \text{ for some } C_2 \in (0, 1). \quad (4.3)$$

see also the curvature estimate in Theorem 3.5 in [32].

We now state the global minimality of the zero level set of an entire solution of (3.1), with respect to a perimeter functional with density.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an entire solution of (3.1) such that $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ and that either (4.2) or (4.3) is satisfied. Then the zero level set of u globally minimizes the perimeter functional*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_R) = \int_{\partial E \cap B_R} G(x, \nu(x)) dH^{n-1}(x) \quad (4.4)$$

where $G(x, p) = (1 - u^2)^{-\alpha} |\nabla u(x)| \cdot |p|$ and either $\alpha = \max\{\frac{1}{C_1}, \frac{4}{C_1 + 2}\}$ or $\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - C_2}$.

Proof. In view of 2) in Remark 3.2, without loss of generality we consider the case where for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |u(x)| > 1 - \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (4.5)$$

since otherwise the zero level set of u globally minimizes the functional (3.2).

Then, there exist $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$|u(x_k)| \rightarrow 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Consider the case where assumption (4.2) holds and let

$$P(u; x) = \frac{c_0}{2}(1 - u^2)^2 - |\nabla u|^2, \text{ with } c_0 = \min\{1 - C_1, \frac{C_1 + 2}{4}\} \quad (4.7)$$

where C_1 as in (4.2). It holds that $c_0 \in (0, 1)$ and by (3.1),

$$\Delta P = 2c_0 |\nabla u|^2 (3u^2 - 1) + 2c_0 u^2 (1 - u^2)^2 - 2|\nabla^2 u|^2 - 2(3u^2 - 1) |\nabla u|^2 \quad (4.8)$$

$$\Delta P \geq 2|\nabla u|^2 [(3u^2 - 1)(c_0 - 1) - C_1] + 2c_0 u^2 (1 - u^2)^2 =: I \quad (4.9)$$

by (4.2).

Also, by the Modica inequality in [22] we have

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - u^2)^2 \quad (4.10)$$

and so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I}{2|\nabla u|^2} &= (3u^2 - 1)(c_0 - 1) - C_1 + 2c_0u^2 \frac{(1 - u^2)^2}{|\nabla u|^2} \\ &\geq u^2(5c_0 - 3) + 1 - c_0 - C_1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

If $C_1 < \frac{2}{5}$, $c_0 = \frac{C_1 + 2}{4}$ and if $C_1 \geq \frac{2}{5}$, $c_0 = 1 - C_1$ by (4.7). In both cases by the boundedness of u and (4.11) it holds that $I \geq 0$.

Therefore

$$\Delta P \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Plugging the sequence x_k in (4.6) into the P -function $P(u; x)$,

$$P(u; x_k) = \frac{c_0}{2}(1 - u^2(x_k))^2 - |\nabla u(x_k)|^2 \leq \frac{c_0}{2}(1 - u^2(x_k))^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.12)$$

and utilizing Theorem 3.1 in [12] we obtain $P(u; x) \leq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , that is

$$\frac{c_0}{2}(1 - u^2)^2 \leq |\nabla u|^2 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.13)$$

where $c_0 = \min\{1 - C_1, \frac{C_1 + 2}{4}\}$.¹

Set now

$$u = \varphi(w) \text{ with } \varphi'(t) = (1 - \varphi^2(t))^{1/c_0} \quad (4.14)$$

and $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Then,

$$\Delta w = \frac{u}{\varphi'(w)} \left[u^2 - 1 - \frac{\varphi''(w)}{\varphi(w)(\varphi'(w))^2} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \quad (4.15)$$

by (4.14), that is,

$$\Delta w = \frac{u}{\varphi'(w)} \left[u^2 - 1 + \frac{2}{c_0(1 - u^2)} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \quad (4.16)$$

and observe that by (4.13) and $\varphi' > 0$, we have that Δw and w have the same sign in \mathbb{R}^n .

Finally, we consider the set $E = \{w < 0\}$ and the vector field $X = \nabla w$ and arguing as in the Claim in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we conclude that E globally minimizes the perimeter with density defined in (4.4) with $\alpha = 1/c_0$, since there is no restriction in the radius of the ball.

On the other hand, if assumption (4.3) holds instead of (4.2), let

$$\tilde{P}(u; x) = \frac{c_3}{2}(1 - u^2)^2 - |\nabla u|^2, \text{ with } c_3 = 1 - C_2 \quad (4.17)$$

¹If the Modica inequality (4.10) holds even at a single point in \mathbb{R}^n , by Theorem 5.1 in [8] we have that the level sets of u are hyperplanes. Note however that $c_0 \in (0, 1)$.

where C_2 as in (4.3).

Then, by (4.8) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 u|^2 &= Q(u; x)|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla|\nabla u||^2 \quad \text{and} \\ |\nabla|\nabla u||^2 &= \frac{1}{4|\nabla u|^2} [|\nabla\tilde{P}|^2 - 4c_3(u^3 - u)\nabla u\nabla\tilde{P} + 4c_3^2 u^2(u^2 - 1)^2|\nabla u|^2] \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

we have

$$\Delta\tilde{P} + \sum_i B_i \tilde{P}_{x_i} = 2|\nabla u|^2[(c_3 - 1)(3u^2 - 1) - Q(u; x)] + 2c_3(1 - c_3)u^2(u^2 - 1)^2 \quad (4.19)$$

where $B_i = \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|^2} \tilde{P}_{x_i} - \frac{2c_3(u^3 - u)}{|\nabla u|^2} u_{x_i}$.

Set

$$C(u; x) = \min\{2(c_3 - 1)(3u^2 - 1) - 2Q(u; x), 0\} \quad (4.20)$$

and (4.19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} LP &:= \Delta\tilde{P} + \sum_i B_i \tilde{P}_{x_i} + C(u; x)\tilde{P} = \\ &2|\nabla u|^2[(c_3 - 1)(3u^2 - 1) - Q(u; x) - \frac{C(u; x)}{2}] + [2c_3(1 - c_3)u^2 + \frac{c_3}{2}C(u; x)](u^2 - 1)^2 =: J \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

If $(c_3 - 1)(3u^2 - 1) - Q(u; x) > 0$, then $C(u; x) \equiv 0$ and by (4.21) it holds that $LP \geq 0$.

In the other case, $(c_3 - 1)(3u^2 - 1) - Q(u; x) \leq 0$, then $C(u; x) = 2(c_3 - 1)(3u^2 - 1) - 2Q(u; x)$ and J in the right hand side of (4.21) is non negative:

$$J = [2c_3(1 - c_3)u^2 + \frac{c_3}{2}C(u; x)](u^2 - 1)^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{where } c_3 = 1 - C_2 \quad (4.22)$$

and this inequality holds by (4.3).

Thus, \tilde{P} is a P -function and

$$P(u; x_k) \leq \frac{c_3}{2}(1 - u^2(x_k))^2 \rightarrow 0$$

so by Theorem 3.1 in [12] we obtain that $\tilde{P}(u; x) \leq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n and we conclude as before. \square

Remark 4.2. 1) Instead of assuming (4.2) or (4.3), we could assume a lower bound for the gradient of the form

$$|\nabla u|^2 \geq A(u), \quad \text{where } 0 < A(u) < \frac{1}{2}(1 - u^2)^2, \quad (4.23)$$

set $u = \varphi(w)$ and choose φ so that $\varphi'(t) = e^{-B(\varphi(t))}$ with $B'(t) = \frac{\varphi(t)(1 - \varphi^2(t))}{A(\varphi(t))}$.

Note that (4.23) holds with $A(u) = \frac{c}{2}(1 - u^2)^2$ if the level sets of u are Lipschitz graphs but

then, in this case it holds that all the level sets of u will be hyperplanes (see [6]).

2) In the case of a potential W satisfying **(H)**, (4.3) is replaced by

$$Q(u; x) \leq C_2 \left(\frac{(W'(u))^2 - 2W(u)W''(u)}{2W(u)} \right)$$

as long as $(W'(u))^2 - 2W(u)W''(u) > 0$.

5. APPLICATIONS

Theorem 5.1. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 solution of (3.1) such that $u_{x_n} > 0$ and assume*

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(x', x_n) = \pm 1. \quad (5.1)$$

If $n \leq 8$, then the level sets of u are hyperplanes.

Proof. To begin with, observe that by the assumptions $u_{x_n} > 0$ and (5.1) we have that the zero level set is a graph in the e_n -direction, that is, there exist a function $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\{u = 0\} = \{x_n = \Gamma(x')\} \quad (5.2)$$

where $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. This reduces to the “multiplicity one” case in [32], i.e. we can apply Theorem 2.7 in [32]. Therefore the quantity Q defined in (1.5) is bounded in a region $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, B_r)$ defined in (3.2) or (4.1) is of the form (2.1) with

$$G(x, \nu_E(x)) = g(x)|\nu_E(x)|$$

and satisfies (a) in the conditions of the elliptic integrand and by (3.5), (b) and (c) are satisfied (perhaps up to rescale). Note that condition (b) is satisfied in the region $\{|u| \leq 1 - \delta\}$, but it suffices to be satisfied locally in x (see [28], [25]). Moreover, by (3.3) and the boundedness of g_{x_i} , $g_{x_i x_j}$, $g_{x_i x_j x_k}$, where recall that $g(x) = |\nabla w(x)|$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1, condition (d) is also satisfied.

Next, we have that $\partial E = \{w = 0\} = \{u = 0\}$ is a graph and by Theorem 3.1 locally minimizes the functional defined in (3.2). Thus, if $n \leq 8$, by Lemma 2.1 in the Preliminaries section (i.e. Lemma 1 in [27]), we obtain that $\{u = 0\}$ is contained in a slab $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x \cdot e| < A\}$ for some unit vector e and some real number $A > 0$.

To conclude, we utilize Theorem 1.1 in [15] and deduce that

$$u(x) = h(x \cdot \alpha + b), \quad |\alpha| = 1, b \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.3)$$

□

Remark 5.2. 1) As stated in the Remark 1.4 in [9], the “multiplicity one” case in [32] also holds for minimizers of the Allen-Cahn equation (see [21]). Therefore, Theorem 5.1 can be slightly generalized by assuming monotonicity in x_n together with minimality of solutions instead of (5.1). Note that by the work in [2], monotonicity with respect to x_n and the limiting assumption (5.1) implies minimality.

2) As mentioned in the beginning, the modified calibration argument can be applied directly to equations of the form (1.1) if the potential W satisfies (i) in the Introduction. Then, we obtain the global minimality of the zero level set and thus by Theorem 2.2, this level set is a hyperplane if $n \leq 8$. So, if we utilize a half space theorem (see [3] or [11]) we can obtain that then all the level sets will be hyperplanes.

By Remark 3.2, we note that Theorem 5.1 holds for general potentials satisfying assumption **(H)**. This method can also be applied to various other nonlinear equations in divergence form, but this might be a project for a future work.

In the end, we note that if we assume that the following holds for any non constant stable solution, we can obtain the stable De Giorgi conjecture.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a non constant stable solution of (3.1) and } n \leq 7, \\ \text{then } |\nabla u| \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Corollary 5.3. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non constant stable solution of (3.1) and assume (5.4). If $n \leq 7$, then the level set of u are hyperplanes.*

Proof. By (5.4) we have that arguing as in Lemma 3.2 in [32], we get $|\nabla u| \geq C(\theta) > 0$ in $\{|u| \leq 1 - \theta\} \setminus B_R(0)$, where $R = R(\theta)$ (see also Proposition 3.7 in [9]). In addition, $|\nabla u|$ is bounded away from zero also in $B_R(0)$, by (5.4). Therefore (3.5) holds and we proceed similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to obtain the local minimality of the zero level set of u (see Remark 3.2). Then, by the first part of Lemma 1 in [27] since $n \leq 7$ and (a)-(d) in the Preliminaries section are satisfied, in view of the first part of Corollary 2 in [27] (where the minimal hypersurface is not necessarily a graph), under the appropriate modifications mentioned in Lemma 2.1, we have that the zero level set is contained in a slab $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x \cdot e| < A\}$. Thus, by Theorem 1.1 in [15] we conclude. \square

Acknowledgments: I wish to thank professor N. Alikakos for his guidance and his valuable suggestions that improved this work significantly. Also, I would like to thank K. Leskas for our useful discussions. The author acknowledges the “Basic research Financing” under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan “Greece 2.0” funded by the European Union-NextGeneration EU (H.F.R.I. Project Number: 016097).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, X. Cabré: *Entire Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Equations in \mathbb{R}^3 and a conjecture of De Giorgi*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society Vol 13 (2000)
- [2] G. Alberti, L. Ambrosio and X. Cabré, *On a Long-Standing Conjecture of E. De Giorgi: Symmetry in 3D for General Nonlinearities and a Local Minimality Property*. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 65, 9–33 (2001).
- [3] H. Berestycki, L. Caffarelli, and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry for elliptic equations in a half space*, Boundary value problems for partial differential equations and applications, RMA Res. Notes Appl. Math. ,vol. 29, (1993), pp.27-42.
- [4] X. Cabré, *Topics in regularity and qualitative properties of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 2002, Volume 8, Issue 2: 331-359.
- [5] X. Cabré , A. Henrot , D. Peralta-Salas , W. Reichel , H. Shahgholian *Geometry of PDEs and Related Problems*, Cetraro, Italy 2017, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Cham.
- [6] L. Caffarelli, and A. Córdoba, *Phase transitions: uniform regularity of the intermediate layers*, (2006): 209-235.
- [7] L. Caffarelli, and A. Córdoba, *Uniform convergence of a singular perturbation problem*. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 48.1 (1995): 1-12.
- [8] L. Caffarelli , N. Garofalo and F. Segala, *A Gradient Bound for Entire Solutions of Quasi-Linear Equations and Its Consequences* , Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XLVII, 1457-1473 (1994)
- [9] O. Chodosh, Christos Mantoulidis *Minimal surfaces and the Allen-Cahn equation on 3-manifolds: index, multiplicity, and curvature estimates*, Ann. of Math. (2) 191 (1) 213 - 328, January 2020.
- [10] A. Davini, *On calibrations for Lawson’s cones*, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova 111 (2004): 55-70.
- [11] L. Dugaigne, *Stable Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 143 (2011)
- [12] D. Gazoulis, *Applications of P-functions to Fully Nonlinear Elliptic equations: Gradient Estimates and Rigidity Results*, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.06497>
- [13] E. De Giorgi, *Convergence problems for functionals and operators* , Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Non linear Analysis pp.131-188 (1978)
- [14] M. del Pino , M. Kowalczyk , J. Wei , *On De Giorgi’s conjecture in dimension $N \geq 9$* . Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 3, 1485–1569.
- [15] A. Farina, *Rigidity and one-dimensional symmetry for semilinear elliptic equations in the whole of \mathbb{R}^N and in half spaces*, Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications 13.1 (2003): 65-82.
- [16] A. Farina, E. Valdinoci, *1D Symmetry for solutions of semilinear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 363, no. 2, 2011, pp. 579–609.
- [17] I. Fonseca, *The Wulff Theorem Revisited*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 1991 432, 125-145.

- [18] N. Ghoussoub, C. Gui, *On a conjecture of De Giorgi and some related problems*, Math. Ann. 311, 481-491(1998)
- [19] David Gilbarg, Neil Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer
- [20] F. Hamel, Y. Liu, P. Sicbaldi, K. Wang and J. Wei, *Half-space theorems for the Allen–Cahn equation and related problems*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), vol. 2021, no. 770, 2021, pp. 113-133.
- [21] J. Hutchinson, Y. Tonegawa *Convergence of phase interfaces in the van der Waals-Cahn-Hilliard theory*. Calc Var 10, 49–84 (2000).
- [22] L. Modica, *A gradient bound and a liouville theorem for nonlinear poisson equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 38: 679-684 (1985).
- [23] G. Philippis, E. Paolini, *A short proof of the minimality of Simons cone*. Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, Volume 121 (2009), pp. 233-241.
- [24] O. Savin, *Regularity of flat level sets in phase transitions*, Annals of Mathematics, 169, pp. 41-78 (2009).
- [25] R. Schoen, L. Simon, *Regularity of stable minimal hypersurfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 34, Issue 6, pp. 741-797 (1981)
- [26] R. Schoen, L. Simon and F. J. Almgren, *Regularity and singularity estimates on hypersurfaces minimizing parametric elliptic variational integrals*. Acta Math. 139, 217 - 265 (1977).
- [27] L. Simon, *On some extensions of Bernstein's theorem*. Math Z 154, 265–273 (1977).
- [28] L. Simon, *On a theorem of de Giorgi and Stampacchia*. Math Z 155, 199–204 (1977).
- [29] P. Sternberg, K. Zumbrun, *Connectivity of phase boundaries in strictly convex domains*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 141(4) (1998) 375–400.
- [30] K. Wang, *A new proof of Savin's theorem on Allen–Cahn equations*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 19 (2017), no. 10, pp. 2997–3051
- [31] K. Wang, J. Wei, *Second order estimate on transition layers*, Advances in Mathematics, Volume 358, 2019.
- [32] K. Wang, J. Wei, *Finite Morse Index Implies Finite Ends*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 72 (2017).
- [33] Wei, Juncheng, *Geometrization program of semilinear elliptic equations*. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math 51 (2012): 831-857.

NATIONAL AND KAPODISTRIAN UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PANEPIS-
TEMIOPOLIS 15784, ATHENS, GREECE

Email address: dgazoulis@math.uoa.gr