Universality of discontinuous bifurcations in collisionless dynamics

Yoshiyuki Y. Yamaguchi^{1*} and Julien Barré^{2†}

¹Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

²Institut Denis Poisson, Université d'Orléans, Université de Tours and CNRS, 45067 Orléans, France

We investigate the universality in collisionless nonlinear dynamics of a codimension-two bifurcation where two eigenvalues collide at the origin, and two lines of continuous bifurcation and discontinuous jump meet. Through linear analysis and direct numerical simulations, we show that this bifurcation does occur, both for two-dimensional shear flows and for repulsive systems mimicking plasmas.

I. INTRODUCTION

Collisionless kinetic equations of Vlasov type typically describe the continuous limit of Hamiltonian systems of particles interacting at long range. As such, they appear in many different fields of physics; plasma physics and astrophysics are two obvious examples, but there are actually many others: two-dimensional ideal fluids (Euler equation is formally very similar to Vlasov equation [1]), non linear optics (see for instance the review [2], section 2), bubbly fluids [3], and Free Electron Lasers physics (the particles-wave interaction model developed in [4] would be described at the continuous level by an appropriate Vlasov equation).

These equations typically have many stationary states. The stability of these states, as well as the non linear behavior of instabilities, are then natural questions of interest. These are bifurcation problems, and, given the common mathematical structure of the underlying equations, one may hope to reach generic results, valid uniformly across the different physical fields considered. Investigating bifurcations in Vlasov equation indeed has a long and rich history in plasma physics, and is still an active subject, see for instance [5–10]. It is also an old and active topic in the astrophysical literature (see for instance [11–15]) and for two-dimensional fluids, where it is closely linked with "critical layer theory" and often regularized by a small viscosity, see for instance [16-18]. Among the conclusions of these studies, let us stress an important point: resonances between the growing unstable mode and some particles, which are mathematically described by a continuous spectrum, play an important role, and typically make these bifurcations very different from the standard bifurcations of dissipative systems. As a major result, these efforts eventually led to the unified understanding of a generic continuous bifurcation for Vlasovlike systems [19–21] through the "Single Wave Model" [22, 23]. It is characterized by i) "trapping scaling": the saturation of the unstable mode amplitude scales as the square of the instability rate (as opposed to its square root for a standard pitchfork or Hopf bifurcation for instance), and ii) a reduced dynamics described by the

coupling of the unstable mode with the resonant particles close to the instability threshold. This bifurcation is generic, but there exist other types of continuous bifurcations, when resonance is strong [24, 25], or when it is weak or absent [26, 27]. It is also known that bifurcations can be discontinuous [28], i.e. the saturated amplitude of the unstable mode shows a jump at the instability threshold; this behavior is sometimes termed "subcritical". In particular, discontinuous bifurcations have been found when the stationary state has a flat top shape (Sec. III gives a precise definition), see [29] and [21] section 8. In [30], it has been shown that the appearance of a discontinuous bifurcation is associated to the presence of a codimension-two bifurcation, reached for a flat top distribution. This codimension-two point is characterized at the linear level by the collision of two eigenvalues precisely at the origin. At the non linear level, the dynamics in the neighborhood of this point is quite peculiar: in the two-dimensional space of parameters, there is a curve of continuous bifurcations which meets a curve of jump only at the codimension-two point; the jump curve is singular at the codimension-two point. These findings are summarized on Fig.1.

As made clear from Fig.1, the codimension-two point may shape the parameter space well beyond its immediate neighborhood, making it an important feature for the qualitative description of Vlasov bifurcations. However, the study in [30] is limited to Vlasov equations describing simple one-dimensional attractive models. In the present work, we thus address the natural questions: (i) How general is this codimension-two bifurcation? (ii) Can the phenomenology highlighted in [30], with a collision of eigenvalues at the origin and the non linear behavior described by Fig. 1, be found in other models?

We answer these questions by computing eigenvalue diagrams and performing precise numerical simulations for a shear flow in a two dimensional Euler fluid, and for simple repulsive models (thus mimicking a plasma rather than a self-gravitating system). For the two dimensional Euler equation, we conclude that the scenario of [30] summarized in Fig.1 is fully valid; we are even able to compute the exponents 1 and 3/2 characterizing the singularity of the jump curve around the codimension-two point. For the repulsive model, we do confirm the eigenvalue diagram, and the existence of a jump at the codimension-two point. The jump is very small however, making further numerical analysis very demanding.

^{*} yyama@amp.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp

 $^{^\}dagger$ julien.barre@univ-orleans.fr



 $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$

FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the two-dimensional parameter space as found in [30] around the codimension-two bifurcation point. The parameters are $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$, where λ is the most unstable eigenvalue, and the flatness α of the reference state (see the text for the definition). The negative side $\alpha < 0$ induces a steady bifurcation (the trapped state is steady), and the positive side $\alpha > 0$ an oscillatory bifurcation (the trapped state is oscillatory). The bifurcation line $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = 0$ meets the jump line at the codimension-two point only. The jump line is non analytic at the codimension-two point, with an exponent 1 on the right, and 3/2 on the left [30]. (b) Sketch of a curve representing the saturation amplitude of the instability as a function of $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$, along the dashed vertical lines on panel (a). The bifurcation is continuous with the trapping scaling $O((\operatorname{Re}(\lambda))^2)$, except for the codimension-two bifurcation point, and the asymptotic amplitude then shows a jump for larger $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$.

Jump point

 $O((\text{Re}\lambda)^2)$

0

(a)

Trapping

(Steady)

(b)

Bifurcation

point

scaling region

Bifurcation line

Saturation amplitude

Jump line

 $O(|\alpha|^{3/2})$

An explanation for the smallness of the jump is also discussed.

This paper is organized as follows. The Euler fluid is studied in Sec. II, and the repulsive case in Sec. III. In each of the two sections, we perform the linear analysis to obtain eigenvalues and numerical tests to produce bifurcation diagrams. The last section IV is devoted to a summary.

II. EULER FLUID

A. Model

We consider the two-dimensional Euler equation on a two-dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^2 ,

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \omega = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where the vorticity field ω and the velocity field $\boldsymbol{u} = (u, v)$ are related to a stream function ψ through

$$\omega = -\nabla^2 \psi, \quad u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.$$
 (2)

The periods for the x and y directions are set as $2\pi L_x$ and $2\pi L_y$ respectively, and from now on we fix $L_x = 1$. The initial base stream function, representing a shear flow, is set as

$$\psi_0(y) = \cos\frac{y}{L_y} - a_2 \cos\frac{2y}{L_y} - a_3 \cos\frac{3y}{L_y}, \qquad (3)$$

and the corresponding vorticity is denoted by

$$\omega_0(y) = \frac{1}{L_y^2} \left(\cos \frac{y}{L_y} - 4a_2 \cos \frac{2y}{L_y} - 9a_3 \cos \frac{3y}{L_y} \right), \quad (4)$$

where $a_2, a_3 \ge 0$. We assume that a_2 and a_3 are sufficiently small so that the velocity field $u_0(y) = \psi'_0(y)$ vanishes only at y = 0 and $y = \pi L_y$. The explicit condition is

$$4a_2 + 9a_3 < 1. \tag{5}$$

The vorticity ω is analogous to the one-body distribution function F of the Vlasov equation, and the shear profile $\omega_0(y)$ is stationary, as is a spatially homogeneous distribution $F_0(p)$ in the Vlasov equation.

B. Linear Analysis

The linear stability of $\omega_0(y)$ is a classical but delicate problem, as it involves analytical continuations in order to compute the "quasimodes", analogues of Landau poles [31, 32]. In the following, for simplicity we will use the term "eigenvalue" to denote both true eigenvalues and Landau poles. We first briefly sketch how to obtain the continued spectrum function, whose roots are eigenvalues or quasimodes (Landau poles).

The expansion $\psi(x, y, t) = \psi_0(y) + \psi_1(x, y, t)$ provides the linearized Euler equation

$$\frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial t} + u_0(y)\frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial x} + u_0''(y)\frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x} = 0.$$
 (6)

We expand $\psi_1(x, y, t)$ into a Fourier series with respect to x. Eigenvalues or Landau poles denoted by λ are obtained for each Fourier mode k as roots of the continued spectrum function $D_k(\lambda)$, which is computed from solutions of the homogeneous Rayleigh equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\phi}{\mathrm{d}y^2} - q(y)\phi = 0, \quad q(y) = k^2 + \frac{u''(y)}{u(y) - i\lambda/k}.$$
 (7)

Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be solutions with boundary conditions

$$\phi_1(0) = 1, \quad \phi_1'(0) = 0, \quad \phi_2(0) = 0, \quad \phi_2'(0) = 1.$$
 (8)

The spectrum function $D_k(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$D_k(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(2\pi L_y) - 1 & \phi_2(2\pi L_y) \\ \phi_1'(2\pi L_y) & \phi_2'(2\pi L_y) - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

The integral contour to solve the Rayleigh equation is on the real axis of y for $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$, but it is continuously modified in the complex y plane to avoid the singularities in q(y) for $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \leq 0$. This modification corresponds to the analytical continuation, and we call $D_k(\lambda)$ the continued spectrum function. See [33] for details on the analytical and numerical procedures.

In the Vlasov case [30], assuming that a homogeneous momentum distribution $F_0(p)$ is even, the codimensiontwo bifurcation corresponds to a collision of two eigenvalues at the origin. This collision occurs if and only if the maximum of $F_0(p)$ is flat: $F_0''(0) = 0$ (see Appendix A for a proof). In the 2D Euler case the analog of the momentum distribution $F_0(p)$ is the vorticity profile $\omega_0(y)$, and it has two extrema at y = 0 and $y = \pi L_y$. The second derivative

$$\omega_0''(y) = -\frac{1}{L_y^4} \left(\cos \frac{y}{L_y} - 16a_2 \cos \frac{2y}{L_y} - 81a_3 \cos \frac{3y}{L_y} \right)$$
(10)

vanishes simultaneously at the two extrema if and only if $(a_2, a_3) = (0, 1/81)$. And indeed, as expected, for



FIG. 2. Movement of eigenvalues on the complex λ plane varying L_y . (a) $a_2 = 0.00$. (b) $a_2 = 0.02$. L_y decreases from left to right.

these parameters an eigenvalue collision at the origin occurs [see the green points in Fig. 2(a)]. However, as reported in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 3, an eigenvalue collision at the origin actually occurs for a one-dimensional set of (a_2, a_3) parameters: this is in line with the fact that a codimension-two bifurcation can be found by tuning just two parameters, here L_y and, say, a_3 ; it also shows that the eigenvalue collision at the origin is not strictly related to the flatness of the vorticity profile, which is a remarkable difference with the previous Vlasov case. Clearly, the collision at the origin occurs at a critical point, where the real part of the eigenvalue is zero. Figure 3 shows the critical length L_y^c as a function of a_3 , at fixed a_2 . Each of the curves has a nonsmooth point, which corresponds to the eigenvalue collision at the origin, illustrating that there is a whole family of codimension-two bifurcations. We denote a_3 at the nonsmooth point as a_3^{col} for fixed a_2 ; $\Delta a_3 = a_3 - a_3^{\text{col}}$ thus plays the role of the α parameter of Fig. 1. This nonsmooth point at the codimension-two bifurcation point was also found in [30], suggesting it is a general feature.

C. Numerical tests

We use a semi-Lagrangian algorithm to simulate the 2D Euler system. The phase space $(x, y) \in [0, 2\pi L_x) \times [0, 2\pi L_y)$ is divided into an $M \times M$ mesh, and the temporal backward evolution of a mesh point is computed using a second-order Runge-Kutta method with time step $\Delta t = 0.01$. A bicubic interpolation is used to estimate the value of $\omega(x, y)$ at a backwards evolved point. Details on the procedure are given in the Appendix B.



FIG. 3. Critical point L_y^c as a function of a_3 . The numbers in the panel represent $10^2 a_2$. The minimum (nonsmooth) points (black circles) corresponds to the eigenvalue collision at the origin. The vertical black line marks $a_3 = 1/81$. Inset: Points of collision at the origin on $(a_3 - 1/81, a_2)$ plane in logarithmic scale. The orange line is a guide for $a_2 = O(\sqrt{a_3 - 1/81})$.

The base stationary flow $\psi_0(y)$ is perturbed as

$$\psi_0^{\epsilon}(x,y) = \psi_0(y) + \epsilon \cos \frac{x}{L_x},\tag{11}$$

where the initial perturbation amplitude ϵ ranges from 10^{-3} to 10^{-6} . The emergence of a spatial pattern in the x direction is observed through the Fourier coefficient

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi L_x} \frac{1}{2\pi L_y} \int_0^{2\pi L_x} dx \int_0^{2\pi L_y} dy \,\omega(x, y, t) e^{-ix/L_x}$$
(12)

We use its absolute value $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)|$ as the order parameter. Three examples in the unstable side are demonstrated in Fig. 4 (note the different scales for the different curves). We use three indicators to characterize the size of a pattern: a time average $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\text{av}}$ for the codimensiontwo point, the first peak height $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\text{fp}}$ for the steady bifurcation side, and the maximum height $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\text{max}}$ for the oscillatory side; precise definitions are given below.



FIG. 4. Examples of temporal evolution of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)|$ in three regions. $a_2 = 0$. (Codimension-two point) $a_3 = 0.0123$ and $L_y = 0.840$. $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$. s = 0. (Steady bifurcation side) $a_3 = 0.011$ and $L_y = 0.8612$. $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$. s = 1. (Oscillatory bifurcation side) $a_3 = 0.0126$ and $L_y = 0.840$. $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$. s = 3. The mesh size is M = 256.

1. Codimension-two discontinuous bifurcation

To assess the existence of the codimension-two discontinuous bifurcation, we monitor the time average

$$|\widetilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\rm av} = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} |\widetilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)| dt, \qquad (13)$$

where $T_1 = 100$ and $T_2 = 300$. We find in Fig. 5 a clear discontinuous bifurcation at points where collisions at the origin occur.

In attractive Vlasov systems, around a codimensiontwo bifurcation point, the bifurcation is continuous, with trapping scaling, and closely followed by a jump in the order parameter, as recalled in Fig. 1. We now investigate the existence of this jump on both sides of the



FIG. 5. Discontinuous codimension-two bifurcation. The vertical axis represents the time average of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|$. (a_2, a_3^{col}, L_y^c) is (a) (0, 0.0123, 0.84892) and (b) (0.02, 0.0134, 0.84586). These two points are on the line reported in the inset of Fig. 3. The mesh size is M = 128 (blue triangles), 256 (purple squares), and 512 (green circles). The vertical straight lines indicate the critical points L_y^c , which should be the discontinuous bifurcation points. Inset: Zoom around the predicted collision points. $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.

codimension two point. For a given a_2 , $a_3^{\rm col}$ corresponds to the eigenvalue collision at the origin, i.e. the codimension two point. We call $a_3 < a_3^{\rm col}$ ($\alpha < 0$ on Fig. 1) the steady bifurcation side (it corresponds to a critical eigenvalue crossing the imaginary axis at the origin, hence the critical mode is non oscillating), and $a_3 > a_3^{\rm col}$ ($\alpha > 0$ on Fig. 1) the oscillatory bifurcation side (it corresponds to non zero complex conjugate eigenvalues crossing the imaginary axis, hence the critical mode is oscillating); see Fig. 2 for an illustration.

2. Steady bifurcation side

We fix three values of a_2 : 0.00, 0.02, and 0.04. Then, a_3 is chosen as $a_3 = 0.011$, 0.012, and 0.015 respectively, which are smaller than $a_3^{\text{col}} = 0.0123$, 0.0134, and 0.0164. For a fixed pair of (a_2, a_3) , we compute the L_y dependence of the first peak height of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)|$, denoted by $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\text{fp}}$. The first peak height is reported in Fig. 6, and



FIG. 6. Trapping scaling and jump in the steady bifurcation side. The vertical axis $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\rm fp}$ represents the first peak height of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|$. $(a_2, a_3, L_y^{\rm c})$ is (0, 0.011, 0.864471) (purple inverse triangles), (0.02, 0.012, 0.862672) (green triangles), and (0.04, 0.015, 0.854632) (blue circles), while the eigenvalue collision point is $(a_2, a_3) = (0, 0.0123)$, (0.02, 0.0134), and (0.04, 0.0164). The mesh size is M = 256. The orange straight lines have slope 2.

we have two conclusions. First, a jump does follow a continuous bifurcation for each a_2 . Second, the order parameter scaling right after the continuous bifurcation is $O((L_y^c - L_y)^2)$, which is equivalent to $O(\lambda^2)$, i.e. trapping scaling.

We call $L_y^{\rm J}$ the length at which the order parameter jump occurs, and we compute the distance between the jump and the bifurcation: $L_y^{\rm c} - L_y^{\rm J}$. The scaling of this quantity is reported in Fig. 7. We numerically find an exponent 3/2, which confirms the findings of [30].

3. Oscillatory bifurcation side

The oscillatory bifurcation side requires higher precision. We fix $a_2 = 0$ and choose $a_3 = 0.0126$, which is greater than $a_3^{\text{col}} = 0.0123$. We observe the maximum value of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)|$ in the time interval [0, 3000], denoted by $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\text{max}}$. The maximum value is reported in Fig. 8(a) and reveals three facts. First, a jump follows a continuous bifurcation as in the steady bifurcation side. The jump point L_y^J is numerically indistinguishable from L_y^{col} , the point where the two eigenvalues collide on the positive real axis, see Fig. 2. Second, a continuous regime is sandwiched between the critical point L_y^c and L_y^{col} (or L_y^J). Third, the trapping scaling is unclear, but compatible with our simulations, which used up to M = 512mesh points. A definite answer would require higher resolution, which we leave as a future work.

In the attractive Vlasov system in [30], the emergence of the jump is explained by the merging of two clusters close to the eigenvalue collision. These clusters form at the frequency of the two unstable complex conjugate eigenvalues. We can test this scenario by computing the



FIG. 7. Jump scaling in the steady bifurcation side. (a) First peak height of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)|$ for $a_2 = 0$. $a_3 = 0.011$ (purple inverse triangles), 0.010 (green triangles), 0.008 (blue circles), and 0.004 (red squares) from left to right. The vertical short and long straight lines mark the critical and the jump points, respectively. (b) The distance between the critical point and the jump point, $L_y^c - L_y^J$ as a function of the distance from the collision point, $-\Delta a_3 = a_3^{\rm col} - a_3 > 0$. The orange straight line is a guide for the eyes and has slope 3/2.

frequency Ω of $|\widetilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)|$. There are four "clusters" in this case (two positive and two negative small vortices), and two each run in opposite directions with the same speed, hence the expected frequency is twice the imaginary part of the unstable eigenvalues. Figure 8(b) convincingly confirms this scenario for the 2D Euler shear flow.

Finally, we investigate the behavior of the distance between the jump and the bifurcation: $L_y^c - L_y^J$. Figure 9 shows that this quantity scales as $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$, which again fully confirms the findings of [30].

III. REPULSIVE CASE

A. Model

We now consider a spatially one-dimensional periodic system. The two-body interaction potential $\phi(q)$ is written in Fourier series $\phi(q) = -\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_m \cos(mq)$. For



FIG. 8. Trapping scaling and frequency in the oscillatory bifurcation side. (a) $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|^{\max}$, the maximum value of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}|$ in the interval $t \in [0, 3000]$. $(a_2, a_3) = (0, 0.0126)$. The critical point is $L_y^{col} = 0.865$ and the eigenvalue collision point is $L_y^{col} = 0.845$. (b) Frequency of $|\tilde{\omega}_{1,0}(t)|$ and 2 Im(λ). The frequency is obtained as the peak position of the power spectrum for $\omega > 0.003$. We removed points for $L_y > L_y^c$ since no pattern is formed in these cases. The mesh size is M = 256 (purple squares) and 512 (green circles).



FIG. 9. Jump scaling in the oscillatory bifurcation side. The distance between the critical point and the jump point, $L_y^c - L_y^J$ as a function of the distance from the collision point, $\Delta a_3 = a_3 - a_3^{col} > 0$. The orange straight line has slope 1. The mesh size is M = 128.

simplicity, we keep only the first two terms in the series:

$$\phi(q) = -K_1 \cos q - K_2 \cos(2q), \tag{14}$$

where $K_1, K_2 < 0$ to mimic a repulsive interaction. In the following $K_2 = -1$ is fixed, and K_1 is considered a bifurcation parameter. We call F(q, p, t) the distribution function in position/momentum; Vlasov equation reads

$$\partial_t F + p \partial_q F - \partial_q \Phi[F] \partial_p F = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\Phi[F](q,t) = \iint F(q',p,t)\phi(q-q')dq'dp.$$
(16)

Any spatially homogeneous distribution F(p) is stationary for (15)–(16), and our goal is to investigate bifurcations from such stationary states. We will detect bifurcations through the order parameter

$$M_1(t) = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dq e^{iq} F(q, p, t) \right|.$$
(17)

 $M_1 = 0$ indicates a homogeneous phase in space, and $M_1 > 0$ a non homogeneous phase. A unimodal symmetric momentum distribution is never unstable in a repulsive system, hence we consider a family of bimodal distributions mimicking a two beams instability, with or without a small bump at zero velocity:

$$F_0(p) = \frac{1-r}{2} \left[G_{\sigma_0}(p-p_0) + G_{\sigma_0}(p+p_0) \right] + rG_{\sigma}(p),$$
(18)

where $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $p_0, \sigma, \sigma_0 > 0$ are real parameters. Note that $F_0(p)$ is normalized as $\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_0(p) dp = 1$. The function $G_{\sigma}(p)$ defined by

$$G_{\sigma}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-p^2/(2\sigma^2)} \tag{19}$$

is the normalized Gaussian distribution with zero-mean and standard deviation σ . We will fix $\sigma_0 = 1$ and the family (18) has three parameters r, p_0 , and σ . F_0 is flat around p = 0, i.e. $F_0''(0) = 0$, if $\sigma = \sigma^{\text{flat}}(r, p_0)$, where

$$\sigma^{\text{flat}}(r, p_0) = \left[\frac{r}{1-r}\frac{\sigma_0^5}{p_0^2 - \sigma_0^2}e^{p_0^2/(2\sigma_0^2)}\right]^{1/3}.$$
 (20)

See Fig.10(a) for illustrations.

B. Linear analysis

We first compute the eigenvalues of the linearized Vlasov equation, close to the instability threshold. We assume $K_1 < K_2 < 0$, which ensures the instability is in the first Fourier mode. The computation of the continued spectrum function of the first Fourier mode is classical, and it reads

$$D_1(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{K_1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{F'_0(p)}{p - i\lambda} dp,$$
 (21)

where the Landau integral contour L gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{F_0'(p)}{p - i\lambda} dp \qquad (\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0)$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{F_0(p)}{p - i\lambda} dp = \begin{cases} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{F_0(p)}{p - i\lambda} dp + i\pi F_0'(i\lambda) & (\operatorname{Re}\lambda = 0), \\ \int F_0'(p) dp + i\partial F_0'(i\lambda) & (\operatorname{Re}\lambda = 0), \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{p - i\lambda} dp + i2\pi F_0'(i\lambda) \qquad (\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0),$$
(22)

and PV represents the Cauchy principal value integral. We need to solve $D_1(\lambda) = 0$. Strictly speaking, roots with positive real part are eigenvalues, and roots with negative real part are Landau poles. We shall call all of them eigenvalues for simplicity.

We expect that the eigenvalue passes through the origin at the codimension-two bifurcation point which we are looking for. Setting then $\lambda = 0$, the critical point K_c is obtained from the equation

$$1 + \frac{K_c}{2} \operatorname{PV} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{F'_0(p)}{p} dp = 0.$$
 (23)

Since $K_{\rm c} < 0$ (repulsive system), this imposes

$$I(r, p_0, \sigma) = \operatorname{PV} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{F'_0(p)}{p} dp > 0.$$
 (24)

We take a set (r, p_0) so that $I(r, p_0, \sigma^{\text{flat}}) > 0$. A representative example is chosen as $(r, p_0) = (0.01, 2)$, which corresponds to $\sigma^{\text{flat}} \simeq 0.2919$. The critical point of the flat case is $K_c \simeq -12.51$. We remark that a small r and a large p_0 is preferable to satisfy I > 0; otherwise $F_0(p)$ becomes close to a unimodal distribution.

We report on Fig. 10 the eigenvalues with largest real part, as a function of K_1 , varying σ around σ^{flat} . For $\sigma > \sigma^{\text{flat}}$, the bifurcation is non oscillatory: a real eigenvalue crosses the imaginary axis at the origin. For $\sigma < \sigma^{\text{flat}}$, the bifurcation is oscillatory: at the critical point, two purely imaginary eigenvalues cross the imaginary axis. For $\sigma = \sigma^{\text{flat}}$, i.e. when the reference distribution $F_0(p)$ has a flat bottom at p = 0, two eigenvalues collide precisely at the origin. $\Delta \sigma = \sigma^{\text{flat}} - \sigma$ hence plays the role of the α parameter of Fig. 1.

C. Numerical tests

The Vlasov equation is numerically integrated using the semi-Lagrangian algorithm described in [34] with the timestep $\Delta t = 0.01$. The phase space (q, p) is truncated as $(q, p) \in [-\pi, \pi) \times [-7, 7]$, and the truncated phase space is divided in a $N \times 2N$ mesh.

We observe the temporal evolution of $M_1(t)$ and compute its first peak height $M^{\rm fp}$ for the flat bottom distribution, $(r, p_0, \sigma) = (0.01, 2, \sigma^{\rm flat})$ with $\sigma_0 = 1$. For these parameters, different values of K_1 are scanned around the critical value K_c . Hence $\Delta K := K_1 - K_c = 0$ corresponds to the expected codimension-two bifurcation point, where two eigenvalues collide at the origin. Figure 11 suggests



FIG. 10. (a) Reference homogeneous distributions $F_0(p)$ [see Eq.(18)]. $\Delta \sigma = \sigma^{\text{flat}} - \sigma$. Inset: Zoom in the interval $p \in [-0.5, 0.5]$. (b) Movement of eigenvalues, root of $D_1(\lambda)$, on the complex λ plane. $(r, p_0) = (0.01, 2), \sigma^{\text{flat}} \simeq 0.2919$, and $\sigma_0 = 1$.

that the bifurcation is discontinuous. However, the order parameter jump at the codimension-two bifurcation point is very small, and a higher precision is required to reproduce the critical point precisely. To reproduce the diagram in Fig. 1, for $\sigma \neq \sigma^{\text{flat}}$ but close to it, we expect to see a region of continuous bifurcation with trapping scaling of the order parameter, followed by an order parameter jump when $|K_1|$ is increased further. Figure 12 confirms the existence of a region with trapping scaling around σ^{flat} , but due to lack of numerical precision, it is hard to reproduce the jump. A complete reproduction of the codimension-two bifurcation diagram is left as a future work.

D. Size of the jump

We discuss now why the jump is so small in this case. We base our discussion on the self-consistent equation [30, 35–39], a useful heuristic to understand the asymp-



FIG. 11. Codimension-two discontinuous bifurcation in a repulsive system. First peak height $M^{\rm fp}$ as a function of $\Delta K = K_1 - K_c$. $(r, p_0, \sigma) = (0.01, 2, \sigma^{\rm flat})$ with $\sigma_0 = 1$. The flat distribution is realized following Eq. (20). The orange curve represents $M^{\rm fp} = 0.0216\sqrt{0.001 - \Delta K} + 0.0006$, which suggests the existence of a very small jump. Inset: $M^{\rm fp}$ vs $0.001 - \Delta K$ in logarithmic scale.



FIG. 12. First peak height as a function of $-\Delta K = K_c - K_1$. Steady bifurcation side. $(r, p_0) = (0.01, 2)$ with $\sigma_0 = 1$. $\Delta \sigma = \sigma^{\text{flat}} - \sigma = 0$ (purple triangles), -0.0001 (red inverse triangles), -0.0003 (orange diamonds), -0.001 (green squares), and -0.01 (blue circles). Mesh size is N = 256 (open symbols) and N = 512 (filled symbols). Orange straight lines are guide of eyes with slope 2.

totic state of the system in the vicinity of a bifurcation point.

At the critical point for the flat distribution, the selfconsistent equation gives (see appendix C)

$$0 = L_{5/2} |K_1 M|^{5/2} + L_3 |K_1 M|^3 + \cdots, \qquad (25)$$

where the K_1 factors appearing in $L_{5/2}$ and L_3 have been normalized to the unity, by replacing M with K_1M . The jump at the critical point is approximated by

$$|M|^{\text{jump}} = \left(-\frac{L_{5/2}}{\sqrt{|K_{\text{c}}|}L_{3}}\right)^{2} \sim \frac{1}{|K_{\text{c}}|} \left(\frac{F_{0}^{(4)}(0)}{\int_{\infty}^{\infty} [F_{0}^{(5)}(p)/p]dp}\right)^{2}$$
(26)

where $F_0^{(n)}$ is the *n*th derivative of F_0 . In our repulsive case the critical point is $|K_c| \simeq 12.51$, while the previous study in an attractive case gives $K_c \simeq 0.97$. Furthermore, the remaining factor coming from $F_0(p)$ also contributes to have a smaller estimation for $|M|^{\text{jump}}$. In Appendix D, we show that (26) is indeed roughly consistent with the very small numerical observation.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have tested the scenario of [30] for the appearance of discontinuous bifurcations at a codimension-two point, both for 2D shear flows described by Euler equation and for repulsive particles systems described by Vlasov equation, mimicking a two-streams instability. The two systems are analyzed in the linear regime analytically and in the nonlinear regime numerically.

For 2D shear flows, we have been able to reproduce almost completely the scenario of [30]: the bifurcation is discontinuous when two eigenvalues collide at the origin; close to this codimension two point, the bifurcation is continuous, followed by a jump. The location of this jump follows a peculiar scaling, predicted in [30] and again found here. The order parameter seems to follow a trapping scaling in the continuous region, as expected, although we have not been able to confirm this clearly on the oscillatory side of the codimension-two bifurcation. In addition, the shear flow case shows that a flat vorticity profile (the analog of a flat velocity distribution in the Vlasov case) is not necessary for this codimensiontwo bifurcation point.

For the repulsive Vlasov equation, we have found the expected eigenvalue collision at the origin, and we have seen numerically a jump at this codimension two point. The jump is however very small, which precludes a more detailed numerical analysis, as in the shear flow case. The size of the jump could be increased by suitably choosing the reference homogeneous stationary state.

In both cases, and even when the discontinuity of the order parameter is very small, the codimension-two bifurcation point strongly limits in its neighborhood the validity of the trapping scaling, and hence of the Single Wave Model picture. Many models with a collisionless dynamics similar to the ones studied in this article present possible discontinuous bifurcations, for instance [4, 13]; it would be interesting to see if they can be related to a codimension-two bifurcation point analogous to the one discussed in this paper.

Appendix A: Eigenvalue collision and flatness of reference state

We show the equivalence between a collision of two eigenvalues at the origin and the flatness of the reference even momentum distribution $F_0(p)$ in a one-dimensional spatially periodic Vlasov system. The analytically continued spectrum function for the Fourier mode $k \ (k \neq 0)$ is

$$D_k(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{K_k}{2} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{F'_0(p)}{p - i\lambda/k} dp, \qquad (A1)$$

where the integral contour L is the real axis for $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$ but is continuously modified to avoid the singularity at $p = i\lambda/k$ for $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \leq 0$. The constant K_k represents the coupling strength in the Fourier mode k, and we assume that $K_k \neq 0$ as a necessary condition to have instability. The normalization condition is $\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_0(p) dp = 1$.

The collision of two eigenvalues implies that $D_k(\lambda)$ has a double root at $\lambda = 0$, hence $D_k(0) = D'_k(0) = 0$. The first condition $D_k(0) = 0$ determines the critical value for K_k , and we consider the second condition $D'_k(0) = 0$. Performing the integration by parts, the derivative is

$$D'_k(\lambda) = \frac{iK_k}{2k} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{F''_0(p)}{p - i\lambda/k} dp.$$
(A2)

For $\lambda = 0$, the modification of the integral contour gives half the residue contribution at p = 0 and the remaining principal value part vanishes by symmetry. Therefore, we have

$$D'_{k}(0) = \frac{iK_{k}}{2k}i\pi\,\mathrm{sgn}(k)F''_{0}(0),\tag{A3}$$

and the second condition $D'_k(0) = 0$ is equivalent with $F''_0(0) = 0$.

Appendix B: Semi-Lagrangian method

The Euler equation is expressed by using the total derivative as

$$\frac{D\omega}{Dt} = \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial y} = 0; \quad (B1)$$

the value of ω is then conserved along a solution (x(t), y(t)) to the Hamiltonian equations of motion

$$\dot{x} = u(x,y) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \qquad \dot{y} = v(x,y) = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.$$
 (B2)

A semi-Lagrangian method uses the evolution relation from the time $t - \Delta t$ to t:

$$\omega(x(t), y(t), t) = \omega(x(t - \Delta t), y(t - \Delta t), t - \Delta t).$$
 (B3)

Numerically, we divide the (x, y) plane into a lattice, and keep the values of ω only at the lattice points. Therefore, (x(t), y(t)) should be a lattice point. Hence the basic building blocks of a semi-Lagrangian method are a backward evolution according to Eq. (B2) from a lattice point $(x_m, y_n) = (x(t), y(t))$ to $(x(t - \Delta t), y(t - \Delta t))$, which is not a lattice point in general, and an interpolation of ω to get $\omega(x(t - \Delta t), y(t - \Delta t), t - \Delta t)$. See [34] for a one-dimensional separable Hamiltonian system, which allows us to use an explicit symplectic integrator and a one-dimensional interpolation. The Hamiltonian ψ in the two-dimensional Euler is not separable in general, and we use other algorithms to realize the two building blocks.

1. Temporal evolution

Since the Hamiltonian ψ is not separable in general, we use the Taylor series expansion with respect to the time t. The point $(x(t - \Delta t), y(t - \Delta t))$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t - \Delta t) &= x(t) - \Delta t \ u(x, y) \\ &+ \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y)u(x, y) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y)v(x, y) \right] + O(\Delta t^3), \\ y(t - \Delta t) &= y(t) - \Delta t \ v(x, y) \\ &+ \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y)u(x, y) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y)v(x, y) \right] + O(\Delta t^3), \end{aligned}$$
(B4)

where we used the equations of motion (B2) repeatedly, and (x, y) is evaluated at the time t and at a lattice point in the right-hand side. We remark that a second order Runge-Kutta algorithm requires an interpolation to obtain the values of u and v at a non-lattice point.

The velocity field and its derivatives are obtained using the fast Fourier transform (FFT). We write the Fourier series expansion of the stream function $\psi(x, y)$, for instance, as

$$\psi(x,y) = \sum_{k,l} \widetilde{\psi}_{k,l} e^{ikx/L_x} e^{ily/L_y}.$$
 (B5)

The relation $\omega = -\nabla^2 \psi$ implies the relation for the (k, l)-Fourier components of $\tilde{\psi}_{k,l}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{k,l}$:

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{k,l} = \begin{cases} 0 & (k,l) = (0,0) \\ \frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{k,l}}{k^2/L_x^2 + l^2/L_y^2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(B6)

The physically meaningless constant $\psi_{0,0}$ is assumed to be zero. Noting that $u, v, \partial_x u, \partial_y u, \partial_x v$, and $\partial_y v(= -\partial_x u)$ are expressed as derivatives of ψ , their Fourier components are obtained through the multiplication of $\widetilde{\psi}_{k,l}$ by ik/L_x (resp. il/L_y) for the derivative ∂_x (resp. ∂_y). Finally, the values of u at the lattice points, for instance, are obtained by performing the inverse FFT of $\{\widetilde{u}_{k,l}\}$.

2. Bicubic interpolation

Let the lattice spacings be Δx and Δy for the x and y axes respectively, and (x_0, y_0) be a lattice point. A lattice point (x_m, y_n) is defined by $(x_0 + m\Delta x, y_0 + n\Delta y)$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We change the variables as

$$\bar{x} = \frac{x - x_0}{\Delta x}, \quad \bar{y} = \frac{y - y_0}{\Delta y},$$
 (B7)

and map a lattice point (x_m, y_n) to an integer lattice point $(\bar{x}_m, \bar{y}_n) = (m, n)$. By shifting the indices, we may assume without loss of generality that the inversely evolved point $(x(t - \Delta t), y(t - \Delta t))$ is on a rectangle $[x_0, x_1] \times [y_0, y_1]$, which is mapped to $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

For simplicity of notation, we denote the scaled variables (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) by (x, y) and perform an interpolation at a point $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ for a function f(x, y) whose values are known only on a two-dimensional integer lattice. The key idea is to approximate f(x, y) by a bicubic function

$$p(x,y) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{3} a_{ij} x^{i} y^{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & x^{2} & x^{3} \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ y \\ y^{2} \\ y^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (B8)$$

where the matrix A is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & a_{03} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{30} & a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (B9)

To determine the 16 coefficients a_{ij} , we choose 16 lattice points around the rectangle $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ as (m,n) $(m,n \in \{-1,0,1,2\})$ (see Fig. 13). Requiring the equality f(m,n) = p(m,n) for all the 16 lattice points, we have the relation

$$F = C^{\mathrm{T}}AC, \tag{B10}$$

where

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f(-1,-1) & f(-1,0) & f(-1,1) & f(-1,2) \\ f(0,-1) & f(0,0) & f(0,1) & f(0,2) \\ f(1,-1) & f(1,0) & f(1,1) & f(1,2) \\ f(2,-1) & f(2,0) & f(2,1) & f(2,2) \end{pmatrix},$$
(B11)

 C^{T} is the transpose of C, and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (B12)

The coefficient matrix A is obtained as

$$A = C^{-T} F C^{-1}, (B13)$$

where C^{-T} is the transpose of C^{-1} and

$$C^{-1} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 3 & -1 \\ 6 & -3 & -6 & 3 \\ 0 & 6 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (B14)

The above algorithm used 16 lattice points of 9 small rectangles to interpolate f(x, y) at $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, but an interpolation can be performed by using only 4 lattice points of 1 small rectangle, if we use the values of f, $\partial_x f$, $\partial_y f$, and $\partial_{xy} f$ at the 4 lattice points. On a periodic two-dimensional lattice, the Fourier coefficient $(\widehat{\partial_{xy}f})_{k,l}$ is obtained by multiplying $\widetilde{f}_{k,l}$ by a second order term in k and l, and $\partial_{xy} f$ is obtained from the inverse FFT of $\widehat{\partial_{xy}f}$. However, this multiplication induces large errors for large k or l, and it is better to use the values of f on the extended region $(x, y) \in [-1, 2] \times [-1, 2]$.

Appendix C: Self-consistent equation

The self-consistent equation [30, 35–39] predicts the final stationary state $F^{\text{fin}}(q, p)$ from the initial state $F_0(p)$. Let us denote the final one-body Hamiltonian by

$$H^{\text{fin}}(q,p) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q),$$
 (C1)

where V(q) is the one-body potential. For instance, in the Hamiltonian mean-field (HMF) model, the potential is

$$V(q) = -K_1 M \cos q, \tag{C2}$$

where M is defined by

$$M = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_0^{2\pi} dq \ \cos q F^{\text{fin}}(q, p).$$
(C3)

we performed a suitable rotation to satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \int_0^{2\pi} dq \sin q F^{\text{fin}}(q,p) = 0$ without loss of generality. In the repulsive HMF system, we have $K_1 < 0$ and M < 0, and the final Hamiltonian is read as

$$H^{\text{fin}}(q,p) = \frac{p^2}{2} - |K_1||M|\cos q.$$
 (C4)



FIG. 13. Schematic picture for bicubic interpolation on the scaled plane (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) . An interpolation at a target point (green triangle) is performed by using 16 lattice points (purple circles).

This is formally the same expression as the attractive HMF model, and we replace K_1 and M with $|K_1|$ and |M| in the following.

The final state $F^{\text{fin}}(q, p)$ is assumed to depend on (q, p) only through the final Hamiltonian, which makes it stationary for the Vlasov equation. We note that the final Hamiltonian $H^{\text{fin}}(q, p)$ is integrable, so that we can introduce angle-action variables (θ, J) . The self-consistent theory predicts the final state $F^{\text{fin}}(q, p)$ as

$$F^{\operatorname{fin}}(q,p) = \langle F_0(p) \rangle_J, \qquad (C5)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_J$ represents the average over the angle variable, in other words along a contour with constant action variable J, associated with the final Hamiltonian $H^{\text{fin}}(q,p)$. Both sides of (C5) depend on the final state, and it must be solved self-consistently.

Recalling that M < 0 and that we replace M with |M|, an expansion of (C5) gives the self-consistent equation for |M| as

$$-D_1(0)|M| = L_{3/2}|M|^{3/2} + L_{5/2}|M|^{5/2} + L_3|M|^3 + \cdots$$
(C6)

Coefficients are

$$L_{3/2}|M|^{3/2} = \frac{F^{(2)}(0)}{2} \iint p^2 \left(\langle \cos q \rangle_J - \frac{c_1(q)}{p^2} \right) dqdp$$

$$L_{5/2}|M|^{5/2} = \frac{F^{(4)}(0)}{4!} \iint p^4 \left(\langle \cos q \rangle_J - \frac{c_1(q)}{p^2} - \frac{c_2(q)}{p^4} \right) dqdp$$

$$L_3|M|^3 = \frac{1}{5!} \int c_3(q)dq \int \frac{F^{(5)}(p)}{p}dp$$
(C7)

and $c_n(q)$'s are defined by

$$\langle \cos q \rangle_J = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n(q)}{p^{2n}} \quad (|p| \to \infty).$$
 (C8)

A remarkable difference with the attractive case is the sign of the left-hand side in (C6). This opposite sign requires that the coefficients on the right hand side also have opposite signs in order to keep the same scenario as in the attractive case [30]: $F^{(4)}(0)$, for instance, must be negative in an attractive system, but it must be positive in a repulsive system.

The right-hand side of (C5) is defined by $H^{\text{fin}}(q, p)$, which depends on $|K_1M|$, and the coefficients depend on K_1 . We can eliminate the K_1 dependence by replacing |M| with $|K_1M|$ in the right-hand side of (C6). Ensuring the conditions for the codimension two points are met leads to Eq. (25).

Appendix D: Estimation of the jump size

For the repulsive system investigated in Sec. III, we use the parameters $(r, p_0, \sigma_0) = (0.01, 2, 1)$ and the cor-

responding flat distribution with $\sigma^{\text{flat}} \simeq 0.2919$. They give the values

$$F_0^{(4)}(0) \simeq 5.37748, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{F_0^{(5)}(p)}{p} dp \simeq -128.773.$$
 (D1)

Since the critical point is $K_{\rm c} \simeq -12.51$, an estimation of the jump size is

$$|M|^{\text{jump}} \simeq \frac{1}{12.51} \left(\frac{5.37748}{-128.773}\right)^2 \simeq 1.394 \times 10^{-4}.$$
 (D2)

This estimation is smaller than the observed jump, which is approximately 10^{-3} (see Fig. 11). Recall that we neglected contribution from c_n factors. We will compare this estimation with the attractive case investigated in the previous work [30], under the assumption that the contributions from the c_n factors are of the same order of magnitude in both cases.

In the attractive system the reference homogeneous distribution was

$$F_{\rm att}(p) = C \exp\left[-\beta_2 p^2 / 2 - (\beta_4 p^2 / 2)^2\right], \quad \beta_4 = 3.$$
(D3)

The flat distribution is realized with $\beta_2 = 0$ and the normalization factor C is in this case $C = \Gamma(1/4)/\sqrt{2\beta_4}$, where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt.$$
 (D4)

For this flat distribution we obtain

$$F_{\rm att}^{(4)}(0) = -6C\beta_4^2, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_{\rm att}^{(5)}(p)}{p} dp = 24C\beta_4^2\sqrt{2\beta_4}\Gamma(3/4)$$
(D5)

With the critical point $K_{\rm c} \simeq 0.97$, an estimation of the jump size is

$$|M|_{\rm att}^{\rm jump} \simeq \frac{1}{0.97} \left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\beta_4}\Gamma(3/4)}\right)^2 \simeq 0.00715.$$
 (D6)

Again, this estimation is smaller than the observed jump, which is approximately 0.1.

Now we have estimations of jump sizes for the repulsive case (D2) and for the attractive case (D6). The ratio between the two estimations is

$$\frac{|M|^{\text{jump}}}{|M|^{\text{jump}}_{\text{att}}} \simeq 0.0195 \tag{D7}$$

and is of the same order as the observed ratio 0.01.

- P. H. Chavanis, J. Sommeria and R. Robert, Statistical mechanics of two-dimensional vortices and collisionless stellar systems, Astrophys. J. 471, 385 (1996).
- [2] A. Picozzi, J. Garnier, T. Hansson, P. Suret, S. Randoux, G. Millot, and D. N. Christodoulides, Optical wave turbulence: Towards a unified nonequilibrium thermodynamic formulation of statistical nonlinear optics, Phys. Rep. 542, 1 (2014).
- [3] P. Smereka, A Vlasov equation for pressure wave propagation in bubbly fluids, J. Fluid Mech. 454, 287 (2002).
- [4] R. Bonifacio, C. Pellegrini and L. M. Narducci, Collective instabilities and high-gain regime in a free electron laser, Opt. Commun. 50, 373 (1984).
- [5] T. M. O'Neil, J. H. Winfrey, and J. H. Malmberg, Nonlinear interaction of a small cold beam and a plasma, Phys. Fluids 14, 1204 (1971).
- [6] R.L. Dewar, Saturation of kinetic plasma instabilities by particle trapping, Phys. Fluids 16, 431 (1973).
- [7] J. D. Crawford, Universal trapping scaling on the unstable manifold for a collisionless electrostatic mode, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 656 (1994).
- [8] J. D. Crawford, Amplitude equations for electrostatic waves: Universal singular behavior in the limit of weak instability, Phys. Plasmas 2, 97 (1995).
- [9] C. Lancellotti and J. J. Dorning, Time-asymptotic wave propagation in collisionless plasmas, Phys. Rev. E 68, 026406 (2003).
- [10] M. Tacu and D. Bénisti, Nonlinear adiabatic electron plasma waves: I. General theory and nonlinear frequency shift, Phys. Plasmas 29, 052108 (2022).
- [11] A. G. Morozov and I. G. Shukhman, Nonlinear interaction of a spiral wave with stars near the corotation radius in disks of galaxies, Sov. Astron. Lett. 6, 48 (1980); Translation Pisma v Astronomicheskii Zhurnal 6, 87 (1980).
- [12] P. L. Palmer, J. Papaloizou and A. J. Allen, Neighbouring equilibira to radially anisotropic spheres-possible endstates for violently relaxed stellar systems, Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 246, 415 (1990).
- [13] K. Kaur, M. V. Kazandjian, S. Sridhar and J. R. Touma, Secular instabilities of Keplerian stellar discs, Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 476, 4104 (2018).
- [14] J. A. Sellwood and R. G. Carlberg, Spiral instabilities: mode saturation and decay, Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 517, 2610 (2022).
- [15] C. Hamilton, Saturation of spiral instabilities in disc galaxies, Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. 528, 5286 (2024).
- [16] P. Huerre, On the Landau constant in mixing layers, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 409, 369 (1987).
- [17] S. M. Churilov and I. G. Shukhman, Note on weakly nonlinear stability theory of a free mixing layer, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 409, 351 (1987).
- [18] N. J. Balmforth, D. del-Castillo-Negrete and W. R. Young, Dynamics of vorticity defects in shear, J. Fluid Mech. 333, 197 (1997).
- [19] D. del-Castillo-Negrete, Nonlinear evolution of perturbation in marginally stable plasmas, Phys. Lett. A 241, 99 (1998).

- [20] D. del-Castillo-Negrete, Weakly nonlinear dynamics of electrostatic perturbations in marginally stable plasmas, Phys. Plasmas 5, 3886 (1998).
- [21] N. J. Balmforth, P. J. Morrison, and J.-L. Thiffeault, Pattern formation in Hamiltonian systems with continuous spectra; A normal-form single-wave model, arXiv:1303.0065.
- [22] J. L. Tennyson, J. D. Meiss, and P. Morrison, Selfconsistent chaos in the beam-plasma instability, Physica D 71, 1 (1994).
- [23] Y. Elskens and D. Escande, *Microscopic dynamics of plasmas and chaos* (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 2003).
- [24] J. D. Crawford and A. Jayaraman, Nonlinear saturation of an electrostatic wave: mobile ions modify trapping scaling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3549 (1996).
- [25] N. J. Balmforth and R. R. Kerswell, Saturation of electrostatic instability in two-species plasma, J. Plasma Phys. 68, 87 (2002).
- [26] J. Barré, D. Métivier, Y. Y. Yamaguchi, Trapping scaling for bifurcations in the Vlasov systems, Phys. Rev. E 93, 042207 (2016).
- [27] J. Barré, D. Métivier, and Y. Y. Yamaguchi, Towards a classification of bifurcations in Vlasov equations, Phys. Rev. E 102, 052208 (2020).
- [28] A. Antoniazzi, D. Fanelli, S. Ruffo, and Y. Y. Yamaguchi, Nonequilibrium tricritical point in a system with longrange interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 040601 (2007).
- [29] N. J. Balmforth, A. Roy, and C. P. Caulfield, Dynamics of vorticity defects in stratified shear flow, J. Fluid Mech. 694, 292 (2012).
- [30] Y. Y. Yamaguchi and J. Barré, Discontinuous codimension-two bifurcation in a Vlasov equation, Phys. Rev. E 107, 054203 (2023).
- [31] R. J. Briggs, J. D. Daugherty, and R. H. Levy, Role of Landau Damping in Crossed? Field Electron Beams and Inviscid Shear Flow, The Physics of Fluids 13, 421 (1970).
- [32] R. L. Spencer and S. N. Rasband, Damped diocotron quasi-modes of non-neutral plasmas and inviscid fluids, Physics of Plasmas 4, 53 (1997).
- [33] S. Ogawa, J. Barré, H. Morita, and Y. Y. Yamaguchi, Dynamical pattern formation in two-dimensional fluids and Landau pole bifurcation, Phys. Rev. E 89, 063007 (2014).
- [34] P. de Buyl, Numerical resolution of the Vlasov equation for the Hamiltonian Mean-Field model, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat. 15, 2133 (2010).
- [35] X. Leoncini, T. L. Van Den Berg, and D. Fanelli, Out-ofequilibrium solutions in the XY-Hamiltonian mean-field model, Europhys. Lett. 86, 20002 (2009).
- [36] P. de Buyl, D. Mukamel, and S. Ruffo, Self-consistent inhomogeneous steady states in Hamiltonian mean-field dynamics, Phys. Rev. E 84, 061151 (2011).
- [37] S. Ogawa and Y.Y. Yamaguchi, Nonlinear response for external field and perturbation in the Vlasov system, Phys. Rev. E 89, 052114 (2014).
- [38] S. Ogawa and Y. Y. Yamaguchi, Landau-like theory for universality of critical exponents in quasistationary states of isolated mean-field systems, Phys. Rev. E 91, 062108 (2015).

[39] M. Tacu and D. Bénisti, Nonlinear adiabatic electron

plasma waves: I. General theory and nonlinear frequency shift, Phys. Plasmas ${\bf 29},\,052108$ (2022).