Stabilization of three-body resonances to bound states in a continuum

Lucas Happ^{*} and Pascal Naidon

Few-body Systems in Physics Laboratory, RIKEN Nishina Center, Wakō, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

(Dated: March 5, 2025)

Three-body resonances are ubiquitous in few-body physics and are characterized by a finite lifetime before decaying into continuum states of their composing subsystems. In this work we present a theoretical study on the possibility to stabilize three-body resonances to so-called bound states in a continuum: resonances with vanishing width that do not decay. Within a simple two-channel approach we unveil the underlying mechanism and suggest that the lifetime can be varied by a continuous tuning of system parameters. The validity of our theory is illustrated in two rather different examples, a mass-imbalanced system in one dimension and a system of three identical bosons in three dimensions, relevant to Efimov physics. Crucially, for the latter we find that one of the tunable parameters to achieve a three-body bound state in a continuum is an external magnetic field, a common tunable variable in cold-atom experiments. Due to the fundamental nature of our theory, it is expected to hold in other few-body systems, possibly opening new avenues for basic studies of otherwise unstable systems, and elevating few-body systems to interesting candidates for quantum technology.

Introduction Few-body resonances are crucial in many fields of physics, spanning systems of ultracold atoms [1-4], nuclear [5, 6] and hadron physics [7, 8], and few-body analog systems of excitons in semiconductors [9, 10], among others. Few-body resonances are metastable states that can spontaneously decay into one or several configurations of their subsystems [11, 12]. One of the arguably most remarkable few-body states, Efimov trimers [13, 14], are in fact usually resonances and not true bound states. Indeed, their first observation [15], performed in a system of ultracold atoms, was based on measurements of the three-body recombination rate, a phenomenon only possible due to the existence of deeply bound two-body states and decay into the associated continua [16, 17]. In contrast to the majority of works that focus on the resonance position, only few studies have focused on the resonance width [3, 18, 19]. This is mostly due to experimental difficulties in determining the trimer lifetime, which is often below the available resolution. However, advancements in spectroscopy [20, 21] and interferometric implementations [22] show great prospect for near-future measurements of trimer lifetimes. Besides the Efimov scenario, three-body resonances of cold atoms and their stability are also relevant for possible trimer condensates [23, 24], and collisions between ultracold atoms and molecules, which has recently attracted considerable experimental and theoretical interest [25-34].

The central property that distinguishes resonances from bound states is their finite width and associated decay into one or possibly many continuum states. However, there can be special scenarios when a resonance defies decay and remains stable, despite being embedded in a continuum. Such states are called bound states in a continuum (BIC) [35] and have been proposed to exist already about a century ago [36–38], and realized in several systems, most notably in photonics [35, 39, 40]. There have also been proposals for cold-atom systems [41, 42], however so far without experimental realization. These proposals are based on the interference of two or several resonances or decay pathways. In Ref. [19] we have found an indication for a three-body BIC in a heteronuclear system by considering various mass ratios. However, this study could neither reveal whether the state is actually stable, nor its stabilization mechanism.

In this letter we employ a two-channel Feshbach-like model [43, 44] for three-body resonances and unveil the underlying physical mechanism that can stabilize them to BICs. We find that the effect can be traced back to a transition element which can be tuned to become zero. Critically, our two-channel model reveals that stabilization can be achieved for a single resonance in a single continuum. No interference of several resonances is required. The key tuning parameter turns out to be the relative momentum between outgoing subsystems which can indeed be tuned via the mass ratio, but also other parameters. We demonstrate three-body BICs in two example systems: (i) a mass-imbalanced system in one dimension, and (ii) three identical bosons in three dimensions, relevant for the Efimov scenario. Crucially, for the latter we find that stabilization of a three-body resonance to a BIC can occur via variation of an external magnetic field, a parameter commonly tuned in cold-atom experiments [45, 46].

The ability to create three-body BICs might open the path towards realization of a trimer condensate [23, 24], and allow studying effective atom-dimer potential surfaces and three-body interactions in much greater detail [47, 48]. If one can identify an experimentally tunable parameter also for other three-body systems, e.g. in nuclear or hadron physics, a tool to extend the lifetime of such systems would remove a major bottleneck and enable groundbreaking improvements in their studies. Apart from fundamental interest, non-decaying threebody systems might elevate their relevance for the implementation of quantum technology [49, 50]. In addition, besides the point of stability itself, the region around it can be used to manipulate their lifetime at will over several orders of magnitude.

Two-channel framework We start from a two-channel description

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{o,o} & H_{o,c} \\ H_{c,o} & H_{c,c} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

of the total few-body Hamiltonian, where the subscripts indicate the *open* and *closed* channels, respectively. The bare states in each channel are defined from the uncoupled equations

$$(H_{o,o} - E_{o,m})|\Phi_{o,m}\rangle = 0$$

$$(H_{c,c} - E_{c,n})|\Phi_{c,n}\rangle = 0$$
(2)

whose Hamiltonians can be expressed as

$$H_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}} = T + U_{\mathcal{C}}, \qquad \mathcal{C} \in \{o, c\}$$
(3)

with the kinetic energy operator T, and $U_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the interaction potential in each channel. This effective potential can be obtained e.g. within a Born-Oppenheimer (BO) [51] or hyperspherical [5, 52] approach.

Then, after including the off-diagonal coupling terms $H_{o,c}$, the previously bare bound states in the closed channel $(E_{c,n} > U_o(r \to \infty))$ become resonances with a finite width Γ_n since they can decay into the continuum induced by the open channel $(U_o < U_c)$. For an isolated resonance the width can be calculated via [11, 53]

$$\Gamma_n = 2\pi \left| \left\langle \Phi_{o,k} | w \right\rangle \right|^2, \qquad |w\rangle = H_{o,c} |\Phi_{c,n}\rangle. \tag{4}$$

We highlight here that only the open-channel continuum state $|\Phi_{o,k}\rangle$ of energy $E_{c,n} \propto k^2$ has to be considered for the overlap integral.

In this letter we focus on the qualitative nature of the stabilization effect. Hence, for illustrative purposes we employ the WKB approximation [54–57]

$$\Phi_{o,k}(r) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{k(r)}} \cos\left(\alpha + \int_{\mathcal{D}} (r) \mathrm{d}^d r' k(r')\right)$$
(5)

which captures the main essence of the continuum state. Here, the domain $\mathcal{D}(r)$ of integration is chosen according to the dimensionality d, e.g. $\mathcal{D}(r) = 0 \dots r$ for 3D. The WKB phase α ensures the appropriate boundary condition, and the WKB wave number reads

$$k(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}} \Big[E - U_o(r) \Big]. \tag{6}$$

The reduced mass μ depends on how the channels are defined and on the particular scaling in use [58]. The overlap integral in Eq. (4) can then be expressed as

$$\langle \Phi_{o,k} | w \rangle \propto \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}^d r \, \frac{\cos\left(\alpha + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}^d r' k(r')\right)}{\sqrt{k(r)}} w(r).$$
 (7)

This describes the integral of an oscillating function times w(r). The frequency of the oscillations is mainly governed by k(r). The overlap integral *can* become zero due to cancelations of subsequent positive and negative contributions of the integrand. In that case, the width of the resonance is zero, i.e. the state is a bound state in the continuum. It is however not guaranteed that total cancelation takes place. Indeed, in 3D a simple counterexample would be the integral of a pure sine function times a monotonically decaying exponential.

It is hard to formulate general and precise conditions for the occurrence of a BIC. Nevertheless, we observe that at least some non-monotonicity in the function w(r)is required. In few-body systems this requirement is usually fulfilled since e.g. within the BO or hyperspherical approach, the coupling terms $H_{o,c}$ contain non-adiabatic derivative operators which ensure a non-monotonic behavior of w(r), even if the bare bound state $|\Phi_{c,n}\rangle$ is nodeless.

We have identified that the WKB wave number is the crucial ingredient that determines whether the overlap integral vanishes. For three-body resonances this is closely related to the relative atom-molecule momentum

$$p_{\rm rel} = \sqrt{2\mu \left[E^{(3)} - E^{(2)} \right]}, \quad E^{(3)} = E^{(2)} + \frac{p_{\rm rel}^2}{2\mu}.$$
 (8)

This momentum is affected by the reduced mass μ and the energy difference $\Delta E = E^{(3)} - E^{(2)}$. Whence, variation of this momentum enables one to tune the value of the overlap integral and therefore allows the width Γ of the three-body resonance to vanish. We highlight that this effect originates from a *single* resonance in a *single* continuum. Stabilization stems from tuning of the system parameters. No interference of two or more resonances or decay pathways is required.

Needless to say, vanishing transition elements are not unprecedented in physics. A standard example are the selection rules of (dipole-) transition elements in atomic hydrogen, or spin- and angular momentum algebra in nuclear systems. Indeed, in these systems many transition elements vanish due to symmetry arguments or because of addition rules of a discrete parameter. In this sense, one can understand our phenomenon as an analog effect, however based on a continuously tunable parameter.

In the following we demonstrate the generality of our theory in two different scenarios.

Illustration in 1D For the first example, we reconsider the system from Refs. [19, 59], consisting of two identical bosons of mass $M = \beta m$ and a third particle of mass m, all confined to one dimension. The mass ratio is given by β . The identical bosons do not interact directly, while the interspecies interaction is modeled by

$$V_{BX}(z) = -|v_0| \exp(-z^2/z_0^2).$$
(9)

We define z as the relative distance between the bosons and Z as the distance of the third particle from their center of mass. In the three-body center-of-mass frame, the system dynamics are fully described by these two coordinates, with reduced masses μ_{bb} and $\mu_{x,bb}$ for the boson pair and the third particle relative to the pair, respectively.

To define the two channels in our theory, we apply the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, particularly suited for $\beta \gg 1$, but in principle applicable down to the equal-mass case [60]. The channel-defining functions are solutions to the light-particle equation

$$H_0\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(Z;z) = U_{\mathcal{C}}(z)\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(Z;z), \qquad \langle \phi_{\mathcal{C}} | \phi_{\mathcal{C}'} \rangle = \delta_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}'}$$
(10)

with the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_{bb,x}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} + V(Z_+) + V(Z_-), \qquad (11)$$

where $Z_{\pm} = Z \pm z/2$. The semicolon in $\phi(Z; z)$ indicates that in the adiabatic BO approximation, z enters only as a parameter. The eigenvalues $U_{\mathcal{C}}(z)$ then define the effective potential curves for the heavy-particle dynamics. We retain only the two lowest-energy states and assign them to the open and closed channel. The heavy-particle Hamiltonian then reads

$$H_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}'} = \delta_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}'} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_{bb}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + U_{\mathcal{C}}(z) \right] \\ - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_{bb}} \left[2\langle \phi_{\mathcal{C}} | \frac{\partial}{\partial z} | \phi_{\mathcal{C}'} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \langle \phi_{\mathcal{C}} | \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} | \phi_{\mathcal{C}'} \rangle \right].$$
(12)

The closed-channel bound states $|\Phi_{c,n}\rangle$ and open-channel states $|\Phi_{o,k}\rangle$ follow from solving Eq. (12) for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}' = c$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}' = o$, respectively. Finally, the resonance width Γ is obtained from Eq. (4).

In Fig. 1 we present our results for the three-body resonance position $E_R^{(3)}$ and its width Γ as functions of the relative momentum $p_{\rm rel}$. The upper panel shows that while $E_{R}^{(3)}$ varies only slowly, Γ exhibits a distinct damped-oscillatory behavior with several zero points. These are the points where the resonance behaves like a stable bound state in the atom-molecule continuum. For this figure, $p_{\rm rel}$ is varied via the mass ratio in the range $1/20 \leq \beta \leq 20$. Moreover, all energies are scaled in units of the characteristic two-body energy $B_2 = \hbar^2/2\mu_{bx}z_0^2$, which eliminates the mass-ratio dependence of the twobody binding energies $E_{g,e}^{(2)}$. The lower panel compares the width Γ from our BO two-channel model with a full three-body calculation, which is similar to Ref. [19] and based on the complex scaling method [12]. The width Γ really goes to zero and is limited only by numerical accuracy. Overall, we find good agreement between the curves. For small $p_{\rm rel}$, corresponding to small mass ratios, the discrepancy between the curves is expected from the BO treatment and gradually decreases as $p_{\rm rel}$ increases. To summarize, we find that by varying $p_{\rm rel}$, the threebody resonances can be stabilized to bound states in a continuum.



FIG. 1. Position $E_R^{(3)}$ and width Γ of a three-body resonance in a 1D, 2+1 boson system as a function of the relative momentum $p_{\rm rel}$, Eq. (8). All energies are presented in units of the characteristic two-body energy $B_2 = \hbar^2/2\mu_{bx}z_0^2$. Accordingly, $p_{\rm rel} = \sqrt{(1+\beta) [E^{(3)} - E^{(2)}]/B_2} \hbar / z_0$ is given in units of \hbar/z_0 , and the momentum is scanned by varying the mass ratio in the range $1/20 \le \beta \le 20$. Upper panel: The three-body resonance (solid red line) is located in the atom-dimer continuum induced by the two-body ground state at energy $E_g^{(2)}$ (dashed black line). The resonance width (shaded area) shows oscillatory behavior with several zero-points (green crosses). For better visibility Γ is scaled by the mass ratio β . Lower panel: resonance width in log-scale as a function of $p_{\rm rel}$, for the BO two-channel model (red line) and a full three-body calculation (blue line) similar to Ref. [19]. Lower bounds of Γ are limited by numerical accuracy.

Efimov scenario As a second example we consider a different regime where the three-body resonance lies close to the three-body threshold of binding. The system consists of three identical bosons of mass m in 3D, making the results relevant to Efimov physics.

For the pair-interactions we consider a contact model with momentum-dependent scattering length [53]

$$a(k) = a_{\rm bg} - \frac{1}{R^*(e_b - k^2)}.$$
 (13)

This model is well-suited to describe interactions between ultracold atoms close to a Feshbach resonance [45, 46, 61], since it corresponds to two coupled channels parametrized by the following quantities: the background scattering length a_{bg} , the parameter R^* related to the strength of the resonance (i.e. the coupling of the two channels), and the shifted energy e_b of the bare bound state causing the resonance. We emphasize that the terminology here refers to a two-channel description of the subsystem of *two* bosons, not the full *three* boson system. Crucially, these quantities are directly related to experimental observables and parameters of a two-body magnetic Feshbach resonance. Indeed, $e_b = \delta \mu_B (B - B_0)$ is directly proportional to an external magnetic field Bvia the magnetic moment $\delta \mu_B$ [62] and the magnetic field B_0 where the two-body Feshbach resonance takes place.

Then, we employ this model to obtain the solutions for the three-body system via the Skorniakov – Ter-Martirosian equation [63]. By simultaneously applying the complex-scaling method [12], we can extract both real part (position) and imaginary part (width) of the three-body resonance energy.

The results are displayed in Fig. 2: the upper panel shows the resonance position and its width, together with relevant energies of the two-body Feshbach resonance described by Eq. (13). The lower panel solely depicts the width in log-scale. Both panels show the behavior as a function of the magnetic field B. One can clearly identify a zero of the resonance width, i.e. a point where the resonance turns into a stabilized bound state in the continuum (green cross). In addition, Fig. 3 tracks the location of the BIC in the parameter space spanned by $1/a_{\rm bg}$ and B. This demonstrates that a BIC can be found by tuning of several variables and for several values of the background scattering length. We emphasize that one of the parameters is the magnetic field B. Tuning the external magnetic field is a standard technique in cold-atom experiments, e.g. for controlling interactions through a magnetic Feshbach resonance.

Conclusion To summarize, we have presented a general theory for the stabilization of few-body resonances to bound states in a continuum. Based on a two-channel model, we have traced back its origin to a transition element of a *single* isolated resonance in a *single* continuum. This transition element can be made to vanish by variation of several system parameters. For now, a rigorous condition for the existence of a zero remains elusive and most likely depends on the details of the particular system. Moreover, we have showcased the existence of three-body BICs in two rather different scenarios, demonstrating the generality of our results. Most notably, we have shown that stabilization occurs in a system of three identical bosons in 3D, a system highly relevant to Efimov physics. Crucially, the relevant tuning parameter to achieve stabilization and existence of a three-body BIC is directly related to an external magnetic field, a variable commonly tuned in cold-atom experiments.

Finally, let us mention that the width of a three-body resonance, especially in the Efimov scenario, can be interpreted as the imaginary part of the three-body parameter. Its real part has attracted many studies, e.g. on the van-der-Waals universality [64, 65]. It would be interesting to investigate whether the imaginary part and hence the stabilization of three-body resonances can show a similar universal behavior.

Acknowledgments L. H. is supported by the RIKEN special postdoctoral researcher program. P. N. acknowl-



FIG. 2. Position $E_R^{(3)}$ and width Γ of a resonance of three identical bosons in 3D, as a function of the magnetic field *B*. Energies are given in units of $E^* = \hbar^2/mR^{*2}$. Upper panel: The location, where the resonance width vanishes is marked by a green cross. Additionally, three lines characterizing the two-body Feshbach resonance are displayed: the shifted energy of the bare bound state, e_b (dashed blue line), the openchannel bound state energy e_o (dash-dotted orange line), and the the dressed two-body state e_d (solid black line). Lower panel: width Γ of the three-body resonance in log-scale. The lower bound indicates the numerical accuracy.



FIG. 3. BIC-location (green line) for three identical bosons in 3D, in the parameter space of the inverse background scattering length $1/a_{bg}$ and the magnetic field *B*. The scattering length is given in units of R^* . Additionally, the three-body binding threshold E = 0 (dashed purple line) is displayed.

edges support from the JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (No.JP23K03292)

* lucas.happ@riken.jp

 P. Naidon and S. Endo, Efimov physics: a review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 056001 (2017).

- [3] E. Nielsen, H. Suno, and B. D. Esry, Efimov resonances in atom-diatom scattering, Phys. Rev. A 66, 012705 (2002).
- [4] S. Knoop, F. Ferlaino, M. Mark, M. Berninger, H. Schöbel, H.-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Observation of an Efimov-like trimer resonance in ultracold atom-dimer scattering, Nature Phys 5, 227 (2009).
- [5] E. Nielsen, D. V. Fedorov, A. S. Jensen, and E. Garrido, The three-body problem with short-range interactions, Physics Reports 347, 373 (2001).
- [6] A. S. Jensen, K. Riisager, D. V. Fedorov, and E. Garrido, Structure and reactions of quantum halos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 215 (2004).
- [7] T. Hyodo, Structure and compositeness of hadron resonances, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1330045 (2013).
- [8] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao, and B.-S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 015004 (2018).
- [9] T. Kazimierczuk, D. Fröhlich, S. Scheel, H. Stolz, and M. Bayer, Giant Rydberg excitons in the copper oxide Cu2O, Nature **514**, 343 (2014).
- [10] P. A. Belov, F. Morawetz, S. O. Krüger, N. Scheuler, P. Rommel, J. Main, H. Giessen, and S. Scheel, Energy states of Rydberg excitons in finite crystals: From weak to strong confinement, Phys. Rev. B 109, 235404 (2024).
- [11] V. I. Kukulin, V. M. Krasnopol'sky, and J. Horáček, *Theory of Resonances* (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1989).
- [12] N. Moiseyev, Quantum theory of resonances: calculating energies, widths and cross-sections by complex scaling, Physics Reports **302**, 212 (1998).
- [13] V. Efimov, Energy levels arising from resonant two-body forces in a three-body system, Physics Letters B 33, 563 (1970).
- [14] V. Efimov, Energy levels of three resonantly interacting particles, Nuclear Physics A 210, 157 (1973).
- [15] T. Kraemer, M. Mark, P. Waldburger, J. G. Danzl, C. Chin, B. Engeser, A. D. Lange, K. Pilch, A. Jaakkola, H.-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Evidence for Efimov quantum states in an ultracold gas of caesium atoms, Nature 440, 315 (2006).
- [16] B. D. Esry, C. H. Greene, and J. P. Burke, Recombination of Three Atoms in the Ultracold Limit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1751 (1999).
- [17] J. P. D'Incao, H. Suno, and B. D. Esry, Limits on Universality in Ultracold Three-Boson Recombination, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 123201 (2004).
- [18] F. M. Pen'kov, Lifetime of Efimov states of negative twoatom ions, Phys. Rev. A 60, 3756 (1999).
- [19] L. Happ, P. Naidon, and E. Hiyama, Mass Ratio Dependence of Three-Body Resonance Lifetimes in 1D and 3D, Few-Body Syst 65, 38 (2024).
- [20] T. Lompe, T. B. Ottenstein, F. Serwane, A. N. Wenz, G. Zürn, and S. Jochim, Radio-Frequency Association of Efimov Trimers, Science **330**, 940 (2010).
- [21] A. Y. Chuang, H. Q. Bui, A. Christianen, Y. Zhang, Y. Ni, D. Ahmed-Braun, C. Robens, and M. W. Zwierlein, Observation of a Halo Trimer in an Ultracold Bose-Fermi Mixture (2024), arXiv:2411.04820 [cond-mat].
- [22] Y. Yudkin, R. Elbaz, J. P. D'Incao, P. S. Julienne, and L. Khaykovich, Reshaped three-body interactions and the observation of an Efimov state in the continuum, Nat

Commun 15, 2127 (2024).

- [23] E. Braaten, H.-W. Hammer, and M. Kusunoki, Efimov States in a Bose-Einstein Condensate near a Feshbach Resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 170402 (2003).
- [24] S. Musolino, H. Kurkjian, M. Van Regemortel, M. Wouters, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, and V. E. Colussi, Bose-Einstein Condensation of Efimovian Triples in the Unitary Bose Gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 020401 (2022).
- [25] S. Knoop, T. Schuster, R. Scelle, A. Trautmann, J. Appmeier, M. K. Oberthaler, E. Tiesinga, and E. Tiemann, Feshbach spectroscopy and analysis of the interaction potentials of ultracold sodium, Phys. Rev. A 83, 042704 (2011).
- [26] M. Mayle, B. P. Ruzic, and J. L. Bohn, Statistical aspects of ultracold resonant scattering, Phys. Rev. A 85, 062712 (2012).
- [27] A. Christianen, T. Karman, and G. C. Groenenboom, Quasiclassical method for calculating the density of states of ultracold collision complexes, Phys. Rev. A 100, 032708 (2019).
- [28] X.-Y. Wang, M. D. Frye, Z. Su, J. Cao, L. Liu, D.-C. Zhang, H. Yang, J. M. Hutson, B. Zhao, C.-L. Bai, and J.-W. Pan, Magnetic Feshbach resonances in collisions of ²³Na⁴⁰K with ⁴⁰K, New J. Phys. **23**, 115010 (2021).
- [29] M. A. Nichols, Y.-X. Liu, L. Zhu, M.-G. Hu, Y. Liu, and K.-K. Ni, Detection of Long-Lived Complexes in Ultracold Atom-Molecule Collisions, Phys. Rev. X 12, 011049 (2022).
- [30] H. Son, J. J. Park, Y.-K. Lu, A. O. Jamison, T. Karman, and W. Ketterle, Control of reactive collisions by quantum interference, Science **375**, 1006 (2022).
- [31] R. Bause, A. Christianen, A. Schindewolf, I. Bloch, and X.-Y. Luo, Ultracold Sticky Collisions: Theoretical and Experimental Status, J. Phys. Chem. A 127, 729 (2023).
- [32] T. Karman, M. Gronowski, M. Tomza, J. J. Park, H. Son, Y.-K. Lu, A. O. Jamison, and W. Ketterle, Ab initio calculation of the spectrum of Feshbach resonances in nali + na collisions, Phys. Rev. A 108, 023309 (2023).
- [33] J. J. Park, H. Son, Y.-K. Lu, T. Karman, M. Gronowski, M. Tomza, A. O. Jamison, and W. Ketterle, Spectrum of Feshbach Resonances in \$\mathrm{Na}\mathrm{Li}+\mathrm{Na}\$ Collisions, Phys. Rev. X 13, 031018 (2023).
- [34] J. Cao, B.-Y. Wang, H. Yang, Z.-J. Fan, Z. Su, J. Rui, B. Zhao, and J.-W. Pan, Observation of Photoassociation Resonances in Ultracold Atom-Molecule Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 093403 (2024).
- [35] C. W. Hsu, B. Zhen, A. D. Stone, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Bound states in the continuum, Nat Rev Mater 1, 1 (2016).
- [36] J. von Neumann and E. P. Wigner, Über merkwürdige diskrete Eigenwerte, in *The Collected Works of Eugene Paul Wigner: Part A: The Scientific Papers*, edited by A. S. Wightman (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1993) pp. 291–293.
- [37] F. H. Stillinger and D. R. Herrick, Bound states in the continuum, Phys. Rev. A 11, 446 (1975).
- [38] H. Friedrich and D. Wintgen, Interfering resonances and bound states in the continuum, Phys. Rev. A 32, 3231 (1985).
- [39] D. C. Marinica, A. G. Borisov, and S. V. Shabanov, Bound States in the Continuum in Photonics, Phys. Rev.

Lett. 100, 183902 (2008).

- [40] P. S. Pankin, B.-R. Wu, J.-H. Yang, K.-P. Chen, I. V. Timofeev, and A. F. Sadreev, One-dimensional photonic bound states in the continuum, Commun Phys 3, 91 (2020).
- [41] B. Deb and G. S. Agarwal, Creation and manipulation of bound states in the continuum with lasers: Applications to cold atoms and molecules, Phys. Rev. A 90, 063417 (2014).
- [42] M. Chilcott, S. Gayen, J. Croft, R. Thomas, and N. Kjærgaard, Observing S-Matrix Pole Flow in Resonance Interplay, Few-Body Syst 65, 61 (2024).
- [43] H. Feshbach, Unified theory of nuclear reactions, Annals of Physics 5, 357 (1958).
- [44] U. Fano, Effects of Configuration Interaction on Intensities and Phase Shifts, Phys. Rev. 124, 1866 (1961).
- [45] S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Observation of Feshbach resonances in a Bose–Einstein condensate, Nature **392**, 151 (1998).
- [46] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Feshbach resonances in ultracold gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1225 (2010).
- [47] H. Yang, J. Cao, Z. Su, J. Rui, B. Zhao, and J.-W. Pan, Creation of an ultracold gas of triatomic molecules from an atom–diatomic molecule mixture, Science **378**, 1009 (2022).
- [48] S. Endo, E. Epelbaum, P. Naidon, Y. Nishida, K. Sekiguchi, and Y. Takahashi, Three-body forces and Efimov physics in nuclei and atoms, Eur. Phys. J. A 61, 9 (2025).
- [49] A. G. J. MacFarlane, J. P. Dowling, and G. J. Milburn, Quantum technology: the second quantum revolution, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences **361**, 1655 (2003).
- [50] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and S. Nascimbène, Quantum simulations with ultracold quantum gases, Nature Phys 8, 267 (2012).
- [51] M. Born and R. Oppenheimer, Zur Quantentheorie der Molekeln, Ann. Phys. 389, 457 (1927).

- [52] C. D. Lin, Hyperspherical coordinate approach to atomic and other Coulombic three-body systems, Physics Reports 257, 1 (1995).
- [53] P. Naidon, Closed-channel parameters of Feshbach resonances, SciPost Physics 18, 036 (2025).
- [54] G. Wentzel, Eine Verallgemeinerung der Quantenbedingungen für die Zwecke der Wellenmechanik, Z. Physik 38, 518 (1926).
- [55] H. A. Kramers, Wellenmechanik und halbzahlige Quantisierung, Z. Physik 39, 828 (1926).
- [56] L. Brillouin, La mécanique ondulatoire de Schrödinger; une méthode générale de résolution par approximations successives, CR Acad. Sci 183, 24 (1926).
- [57] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (Pergamon, New York, 1958).
- [58] In a Born-Oppenheimer description μ would indicate the heavy-particles reduced mass, whereas in a hyperspherical approach often the *N*-body reduced mass $\mu_N = (\mu_{12}\mu_{12,3}...)^{1/(N-1)}$ is employed, or even $\mu = 1$ when the mass is used to rescale the coordinates.
- [59] L. Happ, M. Zimmermann, and M. A. Efremov, Universality of excited three-body bound states in one dimension, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 55, 015301 (2022).
- [60] L. Happ, M. Zimmermann, S. I. Betelu, W. P. Schleich, and M. A. Efremov, Universality in a one-dimensional three-body system, Phys. Rev. A 100, 012709 (2019).
- [61] E. Tiesinga, B. J. Verhaar, and H. T. C. Stoof, Threshold and resonance phenomena in ultracold ground-state collisions, Phys. Rev. A 47, 4114 (1993).
- [62] Here, $\delta\mu_B$ refers to the difference $\delta\mu_B = \mu_{\text{atoms}} \mu_{\text{molecule}}$ between the respective magnetic moments μ_{atoms} and μ_{molecule} of separated atoms and the molecular bare bound state. For further details, see Ref. [46].
- [63] G. V. Skorniakov and K. A. Ter-Martirosian, Three Body Problem for Short Range Forces. I. Scattering of Low Energy Neutrons by Deuterons, Sov. Phys. JETP 4, 648 (1957).
- [64] J. Wang, J. P. D'Incao, B. D. Esry, and C. H. Greene, Origin of the Three-Body Parameter Universality in Efimov Physics, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 263001 (2012).
- [65] S. Endo, M. Ueda, and P. Naidon, Microscopic Origin and Universality Classes of the Efimov Three-Body Parameter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 105301 (2014).