

# An Accessible Formulation for Defining the SI Second Based on Multiple Atomic Transitions

Claudio E. Calosso

Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica (INRIM)  
Torino, Italy  
c.calosso@inrim.it

Nils Nemitz

National Institute of Information  
and Communications Technology (NICT)  
Tokyo, Japan  
Nils.Nemitz@nict.go.jp

*Summary*— This work presents a novel formulation for a redefinition of the second based on the weighted arithmetic mean of multiple normalized frequencies. We demonstrate that it is mathematically equivalent to the previously discussed implementation employing a geometric mean. In our reformulation, the normalization of frequencies provides the defining constants with immediate physical meaning, while maintaining the decoupling of assigned weights from the frequencies of the reference transitions. We believe that a definition based on this formulation would be significantly more accessible to both experts and non-specialists, enhancing understanding and facilitating broader acceptance. We hope that this approach will help overcome barriers to the adoption of a redefinition that effectively values all state-of-the-art atomic clocks.

*Keywords* — *SI second; redefinition; normalized frequencies; arithmetic mean*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The forthcoming redefinition of the second, driven by the rush of progress in optical clocks over the last decades [1], is occurring in a very different landscape than the preceding one in 1967. Then, the challenge lay in transitioning from an astronomical definition of time to an atomic standard. But once this decision was made, cesium was clearly the preferred choice, and its advantages are evident in the longevity of that definition.

For the redefinition now envisaged for the 29<sup>th</sup> General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM) in 2030 [2], the challenge is how to best take advantage of multiple transitions and technologies with comparable performance that all surpass the limits of the cesium standard. In addition to the choice of simply replacing the reference transition (Option 1), an alternative (Option 2) has consequently been proposed [3] to leverage the diversity of available state-of-the-art clocks.

This approach is undoubtedly beneficial, as an ensemble-based definition allows for a realization with better accuracy through the averaging of uncorrelated components. Furthermore, it places the frequency standards on an equal footing, promoting the development of co-primary standards utilizing diverse technologies, an outcome that would be less likely with a definition based on a single atomic transition. Increased diversity would also reduce the impact of presently unknown physical phenomena, as occurred in the discovery and

handling of the Black Body Radiation shift in the case of the definition based on cesium [4].

## II. DRAWBACKS OF THE PROPOSED OPTION 2

The proposed definition of the second in Option 2 [2, 3] is formulated as a weighted geometric mean over the selected clock transition frequencies  $\nu_i$ :

$$\prod_{i=1}^M \nu_i^{w_i} = N \text{ Hz} \quad (1)$$

Certain aspects of this proposal are subject to intense debate, which might delay the roadmap, postpone the redefinition of the second or result in an unwarranted exclusion of Option 2.

*First*, the redefinition based on a weighted geometric mean appears more complex than the usual weighted arithmetic mean, even to experts in the field and is certainly less accessible to the general audience that will encounter the updated definition of the second, be it in school, university, or their own investigations.

*Second*, this formulation of Option 2 condenses all information on atomic transition frequencies into a single frequency  $N$ , which would represent the weighted geometric average of the best estimates of the frequencies of the atomic transitions involved in the redefinition (see equation (6) in [7]), in a generalization of the form and style of the current definition. Unfortunately, this quantity is weak in its physical significance. The weights involved in its computation are tied not to the physical properties of the transitions themselves, but rather to the technical properties of the clocks implemented to measure them, expressed in their accuracy budgets. It is difficult and generally unnecessary to determine these uncertainty budgets to more than 2 or 3 significant digits, but when they determine the weights in the calculations of  $N$ , its value clearly no longer represents the 17 to 18 significant digits to which the contributing frequency ratios have been measured. Fixing the weights by definition makes the duration of the second exact, but does not address this loss of physical meaning. It has been demonstrated [5] that this poses a serious problem when attempting the inverse process of determining the frequencies of the participating standards from  $N$ .

### III. NORMALIZED GEOMETRIC MEAN

Formulating the geometric mean in terms of individually normalized frequencies addresses this issue by separating physical and technical quantities:

$$\prod_{i=1}^M \left( \frac{\nu_i}{N_i} \right)^{w_i} = 1 \text{ Hz} \quad (2)$$

This increases the number of defining constants in the definition from  $M+1$  to  $2M$ , as in addition to the fixed weights, a numerical constant  $N_i$  is now included for each standard so that  $1 \text{ Hz} \times N_i$  represents its nominal frequency. The exact  $N_i$  would be determined using the same least-squares procedure [6] as applied in the current proposal [7], where they are condensed into  $N = \prod_{i=1}^M N_i^{w_i}$ .

Including the individual  $N_i$  clearly shows Option 2 as the ensemble of the knowledge considered for a definition based on each individual reference. It also clarifies the continuity with frequency measurements before the redefinition.

### IV. NORMALIZED ARITHMETIC MEAN

The use of the geometric mean was driven by the significant differences among the frequencies, which rendered the arithmetic average impractical or inelegant. We feel that this perception of the problem stems from a clock maker's mindset, focused on the frequency of the physical transition.

We propose to consider the *application* of the clock instead, which is exemplified by its realization of the second through a 1-PPS signal – the actual output of today's clocks and the starting point for generating time scales. By shifting the focus to the clock's purpose, we recognize that this 1 pulse per second




Fig. 1. Frequency measurements in a toy-model definition of the second based on the  $^{27}\text{Al}^+$  ion and neutral  $^{87}\text{Sr}$  and  $^{171}\text{Yb}$ . (a) The definition includes each clock transition by a fixed reference value  $N_i$  and weight  $w_i$ . The measured frequencies  $\nu_i$  are expected to differ from  $1 \text{ Hz} \times N_i$  within the measurement uncertainties. (b) The implementation of the SI second will be such that the weighted mean of the normalized frequencies  $\nu_i / N_i$  is precisely 1 Hz. (c) This is most easily visualized and calculated in terms of a fractional deviation  $y_i = \nu_i / (1 \text{ Hz} \times N_i) - 1$ .

equates to the normalized frequency of the atomic transition in (2), which is extremely close to 1 Hz in value. The geometric mean is then no longer needed; instead, we can directly utilize the more familiar and user-friendly arithmetic mean. This leads to our proposed formulation of the definition of the second based on multiple atomic transitions

$$\sum_{i=1}^M w_i \frac{\nu_i}{N_i} = 1 \text{ Hz}. \quad (3)$$

We are working to present the advantages of this reformulation, demonstrating how its simplicity can alleviate the concerns now holding back the adoption of a redefinition that values all state-of-the-art frequency standards, rather than singling out one of them. We will show that (3) is equivalent to (2) and (1) up to second-order terms at a level below  $1 \times 10^{-30}$ , rendering them completely negligible. This ensures that all the work investigating Option 2 by its proponents and by the CCTF Task Force on the 'Roadmap to the redefinition of the second' remains completely valid. We underline that the complexity of determining the values  $N_i$  from measurements of frequency ratios, both for pairs of optical transitions and relative to cesium, is already addressed by the methods used now to find the recommended frequencies for secondary representations of the second as described in [8].

We hope that our work can help expedite the roadmap to the redefinition of the SI second by providing a more accessible understanding of the proposed Option 2.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are sincerely grateful to Patrizia Tavella for insightful and stimulating discussions, as well as Jérôme Lodewyck and Tetsuya Ido for their tireless advocacy of the benefits of an ensemble definition.

This work presents scientific findings and perspectives that do not necessarily reflect the political stance of the authors' respective Institutions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Riehle, "Towards a redefinition of the second based on optical atomic clocks", *C. R. Phys.* **16** 506–515, 2015.
- [2] N. Dimarcq *et al.*, "Roadmap towards the redefinition of the second", *Metrologia* **61** 012001, 2024.
- [3] J. Lodewyck, "On a definition of the SI second with a set of optical clock transitions", *Metrologia* **56** 055009, 2019.
- [4] W. M. Itano, L. L. Lewis and D. J. Wineland, "Shift of  $^2S_{1/2}$  hyperfine splittings due to blackbody radiation", *Phys. Rev. A* **25** 1233–1235, 1982.
- [5] T. Ido, "Intuitive understanding of the redefinition of the second through multiple transitions", *IEEE IFCS-UFFC Joint Symposium*, 2024.
- [6] H. S. Margolis and P. Gill, "Least-squares analysis of clock frequency comparison data to deduce optimized frequency and frequency ratio values", *Metrologia* **52** 628, 2015.
- [7] J. Lodewyck, "A definition of the SI second based on several optical transitions", *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2889** 012026, 2024.
- [8] H. S. Margolis, G. Panfilo, G. Petit, C. Oates, T. Ido and S. Bize, "The CIPM list 'Recommended values of standard frequencies': 2021 update", *Metrologia* **61** 035005, 2024.