

On the Hauptvermutung of Causal Set Theory

Olaf Müller*

March 4, 2025

Abstract

We formulate the Hauptvermutung of Causal Set Theory in two mathematically well-defined but different ways one of which turns out to be wrong and the other one turns out to be true. A further result is that the Hauptvermutung is true if we replace finite by countable sets.

The idea of Causal Set Theory [1], [8], [2] is the intent to replace Lorentzian geometries by order relations on subsets of \mathbb{N} . In particular if the subset is taken to be finite (but still large), the hope is that a hypothetical integral over all Lorentzian geometries (a gravitational path integral) could be replaced by a finite sum. The Hauptvermutung (main conjecture) of Causal Set Theory (in a not entirely mathematically precise formulation) is that spacetime should be reconstructible from the finite data if they arise from some appropriate statistical process, see e.g. [8]. The present article tries to elaborate some related well-defined statements and check their validity. The following results very likely still do not correspond exactly to what in Causal Set Theory has been expected to be a solution but should be considered as an honest first intent to make some version of the Hauptvermutung rigorous and mathematically accessible. Probably other versions will arise in subsequent debates within the respective scientific communities.

In our context, a **Cauchy slab** is a Lorentzian spacetime (X, g) such there is an isometric embedding $f : (X, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ into a globally hyperbolic spacetime (N, h) with $f(X) = I^+(S_-) \cap I^-(S_+)$ for two connected spacelike Cauchy hypersurfaces S_- and $S_+ \subset I^+(S_-)$ of (N, h) . In previous publications, Cauchy slabs have been defined as containing the future and past boundary of the image of f , but here we want to exclude them for technical reasons. Also for technical reasons, we want to focus on *precompact* examples in this first step: A Cauchy slab is called **spatially compact** iff the closure of $f(X)$ is compact, or equivalently, if S_+ (and thus also S_-) is compact.

Let CS be the category of normalized (i.e., unit-volume) spatially compact Cauchy slabs. Let t be a Cauchy temporal function t adapted to the boundary (existence is guaranteed by the results in

*Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, D-10099 Berlin, Email: o.mueller@hu-berlin.de

[6]). The flip metric to $g = -udt^2 + g_t$ and t is denoted by $g^t := udt^2 + g_t$ and has the same volume form as g . Let D_+ be the metric space metric derived from g^t . Now for a metric space (X, D) , call a sequence $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ **Hausdorff covering sequence of (X, D)** iff there is $b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ increasing such that $a|_{[b(k), b(k+1)-1]}$ is a minimal covering of X by $1/k$ -balls¹ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $HCS(X)$ be the set of all Hausdorff covering sequences in of (X, D_+) . Then we have the *volume law*

$$\forall a \in HCS(X) \forall (p, q) \in X^2 : \#(a^{-1}(J(p, q)) \cap \mathbb{N}_n) / n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{vol}_{g^t}(J(p, q)) = \text{vol}_g(J(p, q)). \quad (1)$$

Now we define a relation C between CS and the set $P(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ of binary relations on \mathbb{N} by relating to each $X \in \text{CS}$ each element of the set $\{a^{-1}(\leq) | a \in HCS(X)\}$.

C is very much like a functor in the sense of existence of morphism *relations*. If we jump up in the hierarchy of sets and describe the relation C as a set-valued map from CS to $P(P(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}))$ then this becomes a true functor.

Theorem 1 *The relation C between CS and $P(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ is left-unique (injective). In other words, for each $U \in P(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ there is up to isometry at most one element X of CS such that $(X, U) \in C$.*

Proof. Each $U \in C(\text{CS})$ is an order relation on \mathbb{N} . For $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ put $J_U^-(A) := \{m \in \mathbb{N} | mUa \forall a \in A\}$. Let K be the set of (\leq, U) -increasing maps² from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} . Then we define $L := K / \sim$ where $k \sim l :\Leftrightarrow J_U^+(k(\mathbb{N})) = J_U^+(l(\mathbb{N})) \wedge J_U^-(k(\mathbb{N})) = J_U^-(l(\mathbb{N}))$ for all $k_1, k_2 \in K$. Then two subsequences are equivalent if and only if their limit (always from below by monotonicity) is the same point of X , thus L is in bijection to X . On L we define an order relation \leq by $l_1 \leq l_2 :\Leftrightarrow J^-(l_1) \subset J^-(l_2)$. We induce a relation $\ll = \beta(\leq)$ on L by

$$(x, y) \in \beta(\leq) :\Leftrightarrow (x \leq y \wedge (\exists u, v \in X : x < u < v < y \wedge J^+(u) \cap J^-(v) \text{ not totally ordered}))$$

We denote $I^\pm(x) := \{y \in L | x \leq^{\pm 1} y\}$. We induce a topology on L as generated by the timelike diamonds $I(u, v) := I^+(u) \cap I^-(v)$ for $u, v \in L$ as a subbasis. Define a Borel measure m on L by $m(I(u, v)) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{k \in \mathbb{N}_n | uUa(k)Uv\}$.

The preceding argument shows that the bijection between X and L preserves the chronological and the causal relation as well as the measure. By the Malament-Hawking-King-McCarthy Theorem [4], [3] we conclude that any other Cauchy slab inducing the same structures on L is isometric to X , which shows injectivity of C . ■

So indeed we could reformulate Einstein's theory of relativity as a theory on $P(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$.

¹this could be modified by instead considering maximal disjoint subset of $1/k$ -balls, or other variants more closely related to the box-counting measure.

²We could include partial maps, of finite and infinite domain of definition, but this makes no difference.

However, as mentioned above, Causal Set Theory intends to be a theory for a *finite* number K (apparently K is typically taken to be around 10^{240}) of points. In that case, we can only hope to *approximately* reconstruct the spacetime, if at all. This calls for the notion of a distance between spacetimes. There is a notion of Gromov-Hausdorff distance of spacetimes we can use, defined in [7] and independently in [5]. It is the following: Given two Cauchy slabs (M, g) and (N, h) we define $d^-(M, N) := \{\text{dist}(\rho) | \rho \in \text{Corr}(M, N)\}$ where $\text{Corr}(M, N) := \{A \in M \times N | \text{pr}_1(A) = M, \text{pr}_2(A) = N\}$ is the set of correspondences (right-total and left-total relations) between M and N and $\text{dist}(\rho) := \sup\{|\tau_g(m_1, m_2) - \tau_h(n_1, n_2)| | (m_1, n_1), (m_2, n_2) \in \rho\}$ is called the distortion of ρ , where for a globally hyperbolic (Y, k) , the map $\tau_k : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0; \infty)$ defined by $\tau_k(x, y) := \sup\{\ell_k(c) | c : x \rightsquigarrow y\}$ for $x \leq y$ extended by 0 on $Y \times Y \setminus J^+$ is called the **Lorentzian distance function**. It has been shown in [7] that d^- is a metric at least on the set of compact Cauchy slabs.

Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, then $Q_K := P(\mathbb{N}_K \times \mathbb{N}_K)$ is finite. Let $M_K := \{f : Q_K \rightarrow [0; 1] | \sum_{q \in Q_K} f(q) = 1\}$ be the set of probability measures on Q_K . For the product measure μ_K on X^K , define $C_K : CS \rightarrow M_K$, $C_K(X)(q) := \mu_K(A_q(X))$, $A_q(X) := \{A \subset X^K | a^{-1}(\leq) = q \forall a \in A\}$.

In the proof of the following theorem, the real number $\text{tdiam}(Y) := \text{diam}_{\mathbb{R}}(\tau_g(Y \times Y))$ is called **timelike diameter** of (Y, g) , and it is not hard to see that for $Y, Z \in CS$ we have $d^-(Y, Z) \geq |\text{tdiam}(Y) - \text{tdiam}(Z)|$. On $M_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_k}$ we consider the L^1 -norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Theorem 2 (Hauptvermutung wrong for d^-) *Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0; 1)$. For each $D > 0$ there are normalized Cauchy slabs X, Y with $d^-(X, Y) > D$ and $\|C_K(X) - C_K(Y)\|_1 < \varepsilon$, even with fixed past and future boundary.*

Proof. Let X be any Cauchy slab. There is $x \in X$ with $\text{vol}(J^+(x)) < v/2$ with $(1 - v)^K > \varepsilon$. Let $q \in (X \setminus J^+(x))^K$, then $C_K(X)(q) > \varepsilon$. Let c be a maximizer from x to the future boundary of X of length r . We modify the Lorentzian metric in a sufficiently thin neighborhood of c by a conformal factor u in a way that the volume of $(J^+(x), ug)$ is smaller than v and the length of c w.r.t. ug is greater than $r + D$. We call the resulting Cauchy slab Y . Consequently, $C_K(Y)(q) > \varepsilon$, and $d^-(X, Y) > \text{tdiam}(J^+(x), ug) - \text{tdiam}(J^+(x), g) = D$. ■

In some articles (e.g. not in [8] but in [2]) the Hauptvermutung appears with additional hypotheses: In [2] it is required that 'The characteristic distance over which the continuum geometry (M, g) varies appreciably is everywhere much greater than the Planck length/time'. However, it seems difficult to provide a rigorous Lorentzian-geometric reformulation of this requirement.

There is another requirement in [2] called 'Planck-scale uniform' and defined by 'The number of causal set elements embedded in any sufficiently large, physically nice region of M is approximately equal to the spacetime volume of the region in fundamental, Planckian scale, volume units.' which could be translated to $a^{-1}(U) \in K[\text{vol}(U) - s; \text{vol}(U) + s]$ for $s = h^n$ where h is the Planck length,

and at least for U being a finite union and intersections of past and future cones (possibly for all causally convex subset).

From the Planck-scale requirement follows that for every cone $J^\pm(x)$ and its complement, there is an inner and an outer approximation by some $J^\pm(a(B))$ where $B \subset \mathbb{N}_n$ with error at most twice the Planck unit volume $s = h^n$ (just take $B := \{m \in \mathbb{N} | a(m) \leq x\}$ and observe that $C := \{m \in \mathbb{N} | a(m) \in J^-(a(B))\} = B$).

This is an a priori requirement on the approximation goodness of a , which could be unsatisfactory as it could mean that we either cannot fix K or else we would have to disregard certain possibly physically relevant spacetimes. For fixed K , such an approximation goodness should follow *a posteriori*. The only thing we assumed in Theorem 2 about a was that each single of the independent choices in a is according to the probability measure vol . Another slightly unsatisfactory property of this additional requirement is that the induced measures on M_k are no probability measures any more in general. Maybe this could be overcome by considering conditional probabilities. Anyway, this defect does not affect the well-definedness of the further results on the conjecture.

The construction in Theorem 2 also shows that under the additional requirement of Planck-scale uniformness alone the Hauptvermutung would still be wrong.

However, we could pursue two mathematically sound loopholes to circumvent the result of Th. 2:

1. *Impose energy conditions on the class of admissible spacetimes.* We could hope that the modification above is less easily to perform if we wanted to satisfy energy conditions, too.
2. *Apply another notion of convergence for Lorentzian length spaces,* e.g. measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, in which we require that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, a subset of measure $1 - \varepsilon$ Gromov-Hausdorff converges, or the distance d^\times described below, tailored for the application to ordered measure spaces.

Let us first exclude a too direct implementation of the first loophole. Let $S(r)$ be the one-sphere of radius r and let $C(T) = ((0, T) \times S(T^{-1/n})^n)$ be the flat normalized Lorentzian cylinder of timelike diameter T . What we should consider on the right-hand side of the functor is *isomorphism classes* of elements of $P(\mathbb{N}_K \times \mathbb{N}_K)$: An isomorphism I is an order-preserving bijection of \mathbb{N}_K . Let S_K be the space of bijections of \mathbb{N}_K then the measure $PC_K(M)(U) := \sum_{I \in S_K} \mu_K(I^*U)$ is the probability for an order-preserving embedding for each element of the class, we call it **probability of the class**. For example, a small calculation reveals:

Theorem 3 (Hauptvermutung wrong for d^- , Part 2) *For any $\varepsilon \in (0; 1)$, there is $T > 0$ such that for all $S > T$ the probability $E := PC_K(C(S))(R)$ of the isomorphism class R of totally ordered relations on \mathbb{N}_K satisfies $E > \varepsilon$. Furthermore, all C_S are flat, in particular Einstein-vacuum solutions. However, $d^-(C_S, C_U) \geq |\text{tdiam}(C_S) - \text{tdiam}(C_U)| = |S - U|$. ■*

Still, it is possible that with energy conditions plus an additional requirement like a bound on the Lorentzian diameter one could get a version of the Hauptvermutung.

As to the second loophole, let \mathbf{POM}^I be the category of isomorphism classes of ordered measure spaces. Furthermore, let $\text{LBM}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of locally bounded measurable real functions on \mathbb{R} and let $f \in \text{LBM}(\mathbb{R})$. To a point in an object X of CS we can assign the element $f \circ \tau_x$ of the space $\text{AE}(X)$ of Borel-almost everywhere defined real functions on X (where $\tau_x := \tau(x, \cdot)$ is the Lorentzian distance from x), then defining ($p \in [1; \infty]$ fixed) a map $\Phi_{f,p}$ takes the class of an object (X, g) of CS to the class of $(X, d_{f,p})$ by

$$\Phi_f : X \ni x \mapsto f \circ \sigma_x, \quad d_{f,p}(x, y) := \Phi_{f,p}(\sigma) := ((\Phi_f)^* d_{L^p})(x, y) = |f \circ \tau_x - f \circ \tau_y|_{L^p(X)} \in [0; \infty] \quad (2)$$

For $x \in X$, let σ_x^+ resp. σ_x^- denote the positive resp. negative part of σ_x . For $r \in [-1; 1]$ we define

$$F_r := -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2}\right)\chi_{(-\infty; 0)} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{2}\right)\chi_{(0; \infty)} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \quad D_r := d_{F_r, 2},$$

then D_r interpolates between the past metric (taking into account only the past cones) D_{-1} with

$$D_{-1}(x, y) := \|\chi_{(-\infty; 0)} \circ \sigma_x - \chi_{(-\infty; 0)} \circ \sigma_y\|_{L^2(X)} = \sqrt{\mu(J^-(x) \Delta J^-(y))}$$

for $F_{-1} = (1 - \theta_0)$ and the future metric D_1 (taking into account only the future cones) for $F_1 = \theta_0$, passing through $D_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \|\text{sgn} \sigma_x - \text{sgn} \sigma_y\|_{L^2}$. We define

$$\Phi^\times(X, \sigma) := (X, D_{-1/2}) \sqcup (X, D_0) \sqcup (X, D_{1/2})$$

and $d_{\text{GH}}^\times := (\Phi^\times)^* d_{\text{GH}}$ where d_{GH} is the usual Gromov-Hausdorff metric applied to the three-component metric spaces on the right-hand side. Let POM_{fv}^I be the subset of POM^I of all those classes s.t. the measure of future and past cones is finite. It was proven in [7] that d_{GH}^\times is an extended pseudometric on POM^I and a metric on POM_{fv}^I . And CS is a subcategory of \mathbf{POM} .

Let the measures on the order relations on \mathbb{N}_K induced by assuming Planck-scale uniformness be denoted by $LPC_K(M)$ for a Cauchy slab M .

Theorem 4 (The Planck-scale uniform Hauptvermutung is true for d^\times) *Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $\delta > 8s$ there is $\varepsilon \in (0; 1)$ (and $\varepsilon := (\delta - 8s)/8$ works) such that for each two normalized Cauchy slabs X, Y with $\|LPC_K(X) - LPC_K(Y)\|_1 < \varepsilon$ we get $d^\times(X, Y) < \delta$.*

Proof. Let X and Y be as in the hypothesis of the theorem, let $q \in M_K$, then we define a correlation between X and Y in the following way: For each $x \in X$ and each $y \in Y$ we define $x \sim y$ if and only if $q_k^X \leq x \Leftrightarrow q_k^Y \leq y$ and $q_k^X \geq x \Leftrightarrow q_k^Y \geq y$ for all $q^X \in A_q(X)$ and all $q^Y \in A_q(Y)$. Let two points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ be given and let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ with $x_1 \sim y_1$ and $x_2 \sim y_2$. Let us first focus on D_{-1} . Then we have to compare the volume of the symmetric difference $\Delta(J^-(x_1), J^-(x_2))$ of the pasts of x_1 and x_2 to the same thing w.r.t. y_1 and y_2 . To that purpose, note that $\Delta(J^-(x_1), J^-(x_2)) := (J^-(x_1) \setminus J^-(x_2)) \cup (J^-(x_2) \setminus J^-(x_1))$. We conclude that for each $\delta > 0$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $\|LPC_K(X) - LPC_K(Y)\|_1 > \varepsilon$, any such correspondence has Φ^0 -, Φ^- - and Φ^+ -distortion bounded by δ . ■

At a first sight, the difference between d^- and d^\times apparent in Theorems 2 (and the persistence of its result even under the assumption of Planck-scale uniformness) and 4 may surprise a bit, considering that in [7] it has been shown that d^\times and d^- generate the same topology on the closure of the class CS of Cauchy slabs w.r.t. either metric, but one should take into account that this does not mean that they generate the same uniformity on CS , and in fact Theorems 2 and 4 show that they do not.

The author wants to acknowledge helpful comments of Jonah Röhrig on a first version of this paper.

This research was funded in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [Grant DOI 10.55776/EFP6].

References

- [1] L. Bombelli, J. Lee, D. Meyer, R. Sorkin (1987) Space-Time as a Causal Set. *Phys Rev Lett* 59:521 — 524, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521
- [2] Faye Dowker, Sumati Surya: *The Causal Set Approach to the Problem of Quantum Gravity*, in *Handbook of Quantum Gravity* ed. by Cosimo Bambi, Leonardo Modesto, Ilya Shapiro. Springer (2019)
- [3] S.W. Hawking, A.R. King, P.J. McCarthy: *A new topology for curved space-time which incorporates the causal, differential, and conformal structures..* *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 17, no 2 (1976)
- [4] D.N. Malament: *The class of continuous timelike curves determines the topology of spacetime.* *J Math Phys* 18:1399 — 1404 (1977)
- [5] Ettore Minguzzi, Stefan Suhr: *Lorentzian metric spaces and their Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.* arXiv:2209.14384
- [6] Olaf Müller: *A note on invariant temporal functions* (2015). *Letters in Mathematical Physics* 106 (7), pp 959—971 (2016). arXiv: 1502.02716
- [7] Olaf Müller: *Gromov-Hausdorff metrics and dimensions of Lorentzian length spaces.* arXiv: 2209.12736
- [8] Sumati Surya: *The causal set approach to quantum gravity.* *Living Rev.Rel.* 22 no.1, 5 (2019). arXiv: 1903.11544