# Simulation studies of a high-repetition-rate electron-driven surface muon beamline at SHINE

Fangchao Liu,<sup>1</sup> Yusuke Takeuchi,<sup>1</sup> Siyuan Chen,<sup>1</sup> Kim Siang Khaw,<sup>1,\*</sup> Meng

Lyu,<br/>1 Dong Wang,<br/>² Jiangtao Wang,<br/>1 Liang Wang,<br/>1 and Wenzhen  $\rm Xu^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>Tsung-Dao Lee Institute and School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 201210, China <sup>2</sup>Shanghai Advanced Research Institute,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201210, China

(Dated: March 4, 2025)

# Abstract

A high-repetition-rate pulsed muon source operating at approximately 50 kHz holds the potential to significantly enhance the sensitivity of various particle physics and material science experiments involving muons. In this article, we propose utilizing the high-repetition-rate pulsed electron beam at the SHINE facility to generate a surface muon beam. Our simulation studies indicate that an 8 GeV, 100 pC charge pulsed electron beam impinging on a copper target can produce up to  $2 \times 10^3$  muons per pulse. Beamline optimization results demonstrate that approximately 60 surface muons per electron bunch can be efficiently transported to the end of the beamline. This translates to a surface muon rate of  $3 \times 10^6 \mu^+/s$  when the pulsed electron beam is operated at 50 kHz, which is comparable to existing muon facilities. This high-repetition-rate pulsed muon beam, with its ideal time structure, represents a unique and pioneering effort once constructed. It serves as a model for building cost-effective muon sources at existing electron machines with GeV electron energies. The main challenge of positron removal is also discussed.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Muons play a pivotal role in various scientific domains, including particle physics, nuclear physics, and condensed matter physics [1, 2], due to their unique properties and interactions. The increasing importance and demand for muons in these fields have led to a growing emphasis on their production and application. Today, muons can be generated in large quantities by bombarding target materials with intense proton beams from advanced accelerator facilities. This process primarily involves the production of pions through strong nuclear interactions, which then decay into muons.

Of particular interest are "surface muons," which originate from pions decaying near the target surface. These muons exhibit nearly 100% polarization due to the parity-violating weak decay of pions, and their momentum remains almost monochromatic at approximately 29.8 MeV/c. These distinctive properties make surface muons highly valuable for a wide range of experimental studies. Current state-of-the-art muon facilities could deliver up to  $O(10^7)/s$  usable surface muon beam to the experimental area of muon spin rotation ( $\mu$ SR) experiments.

<sup>\*</sup> kimsiang84@sjtu.edu.cn

However, the repetition rates of muon beams currently available at these facilities are non-ideal and essentially limited to two modes: pulsed mode (25-50 Hz, e.g., J-PARC) and continuous mode (e.g., PSI). Considering that a typical muon experiment lasts for about ten muon lifetimes, current operation modes are not ideal for those muon experiments [3– 5]. For example, the duty cycle is low in the pulsed mode, and the continuous mode is disadvantageous in terms of statistics. The typical time structure of currently available muon beams is shown in Fig.1. Many authors have mentioned that the most suitable muon source for experiments — such as  $\mu$ SR [3], muon electric dipole moment [4], muonium to anti-muonium conversion [5], muon lifetime [6], and muon spin force [7] — operates in a pulsed mode with a repetition rate of several tens of kHz, as shown in Fig. 1(c).

To meet this demand, improvements to the time structure in muon production using existing proton beams are being considered. There are several plans to generate proton beams with a time structure suitable for muon sources with ideal repetition rates. For instance, the development of a non-scaling fixed-field alternating gradient (FFAG) proton accelerator operating at frequencies of a few kHz has been proposed [8, 9]; in the highenergy beam transport of a spallation neutron source (SNS) accelerator, proton pulses with a repetition rate of 50 kHz have been successfully extracted based on laser neutralization of a 1 GeV hydrogen ion (H<sup>-</sup>) beam [10]; the proton beam for the Mu2e experiment at Fermilab has a proton bunch repetition rate of 0.59 MHz, which is achieved by resonant slow extraction of the proton bunches from the delivery ring [11]. However, these attempts have not yet been realized or are not versatile enough.

On the other hand, muon sources utilizing electron beams have recently emerged as a promising alternative to traditional proton-driven sources. Nagamine notably proposed the use of electron microtrons for a compact  $\mu$ SR beamline [12]. Recent advancements have established high-repetition-rate (kHz to MHz) electron beams generated by linear accelerators. When combined with a muon production target, these electron beams can create muon sources with an optimal time structure.

Unlike proton beam-driven sources, electron beam-driven schemes produce muons as tertiary beams through photo-nuclear processes. In this method, Bremsstrahlung generates real photons, which subsequently induce photo-excitation of nuclei, leading to pion production and subsequently decay muon. Additionally, muons can be produced via the Bethe-Heitler pair production process, although this method has a lower production cross-section. Despite

(a) J-PARC RCS, 25 Hz pulsed beam: all protons/muons in one bunch



FIG. 1. Typical time structure of (a) pulsed and (b) continuous muon sources. (c) An ideal pulsed muon source with a high repetition rate. Adapted from [3].

this, the Bethe-Heitler process yields higher-energy muons with better directionality, as it bypasses pion production and decay stages.

This concept is particularly attractive because it does not require a dedicated facility and can be implemented at any facility that produces electron beams for various research applications. It is especially compatible with synchrotron radiation and X-ray free electron laser (XFEL) facilities, where GeV electron beams are typically dumped, thus offering a sustainable and innovative approach to muon production.

Furthermore, recent advances in laser wakefield acceleration (LWFA) technology have reduced the size of electron accelerators from kilometers to meters, further driving research



FIG. 2. A conceptual schematic of the SHINE muon source project. A large number of 8 GeV electron beam bunches fed from the superconducting accelerator at repetition rates up to 1 MHz are distributed by a fast kicker in the beam switch yard to three FEL beamlines and several beam dumps. The beam going to the beam dump is irradiated to the muon production target, which is a combination of target and dump, to produce muons.

into compact muon source concepts. The anticipated availability of high-repetition-rate femtosecond multi-PW lasers has fueled this research momentum. However, most studies utilizing laser technology [13–15] have focused on generating high-energy muons through the pair production process rather than low-energy muons for  $\mu$ SR applications.

In this article, we explore the use of high-repetition-rate electron beams from the "Shanghai High repetition rate XFEL and Extreme Light facility" (SHINE) [16] as a driver for muon sources. This cutting-edge facility, currently under construction in Zhangjiang, Shanghai, will feature a continuous-wave (CW) superconducting electron linac capable of delivering an 8-GeV bunched electron beam with a bunch charge of 100 pC and a repetition rate of up to 1 MHz, resulting in an average current of 100  $\mu$ A. The beamline will include three undulator lines capable of generating hard X-rays up to 25 keV, and a fast kicker system will distribute electron bunches, supplied at up to 1 MHz, to the respective beamlines and beam dumps.

The interaction between the electron beam and either the beam dump or a pre-beam dump thin target holds the potential for producing muons and other secondary particles. Figure 2 illustrates the configuration of the electron beamline at the SHINE facility and provides a conceptual diagram of the muon source using this beam.

This paper outlines the concept of a high-repetition-rate muon source and a transport beamline for the muon beam, utilizing the high-repetition electron beam from the SHINE facility. Additionally, we discuss the expected surface muon beam intensity and its potential applications.

#### **II. MUON PRODUCTION TARGET**

#### A. Material selection

For the production target material, we compared graphite, widely used in proton-driven muon sources, with copper and tungsten, which have been suggested in previous studies, to evaluate their effectiveness in muon production using an electron beam. Bremsstrahlung and pair production induce electromagnetic showers, which significantly influence muon production by the electron beam. Therefore, understanding the properties of these showers is critical. The characteristics of electromagnetic showers in different target materials, based on SHINE's design parameters (8 GeV,  $100 \,\mu$ A), are summarized in Table I. We evaluated three materials based on key properties: the depth of the shower maximum ( $X_{max}$ ), the heat load (dE/dz) at this depth, and the depth at which 99% of the beam energy is absorbed ( $L_{99}$ ).

Materials	Graphite	Cu	W
Ζ	6	29	74
A	12	64	184
$ ho~[{ m g/cm^3}]$	1.82	8.94	19.25
$X_{\max}$ [mm]	839	73.9	20.7
dE/dz [kW/cm]	0.24	3.26	13.2
$L_{99}$ [mm]	3090	281	79.8

TABLE I. The electromagnetic shower characteristics for  $8 \text{ GeV} \times 100 \ \mu\text{A}$  beam and material properties.

Graphite requires a longer length to fully develop the electromagnetic shower, as it must absorb substantial energy. Since surface muons are emitted from the target surface, an overly large target is undesirable due to the initial beam size dependency on target dimensions. Tungsten, on the other hand, allows electromagnetic showers to develop over shorter lengths, concentrating the heat and increasing the heat load. Thin tungsten targets present technical challenges in heat management. Copper strikes a balance, with electromagnetic showers developing over a moderate length and a heat load nearly 25% lower than tungsten. While technical difficulties remain to be fully addressed, copper currently stands out as a promising candidate for the target material.

Based on these considerations, we will proceed with copper as the target material for further studies.

#### B. Target geometry

The thin slab targets used at PSI [17] and in the CSNS target design studies [18] are considered standard for proton-based muon sources. These facilities must maintain a material budget in the proton beam and scale the target length accordingly due to downstream spallation neutron sources. Occasionally, targets need to be tilted slightly (approximately 5 degrees) to increase the target size while maintaining the interaction length. Thin targets pose challenges in heat management, sometimes necessitating rotating targets, which can introduce additional mechanical issues.

In contrast, our muon source utilizes an electron beam that is dumped directly, eliminating the need for a thin target to maintain the material budget. Instead, with the FEL beamline on another side, it is crucial to surround the target with shielding material to stop the beam effectively. This configuration allows the use of a box-shaped thick target and a beam dump without significant disadvantages, facilitating cooling by increasing the target's heat capacity.

The beam position and target length were optimized to maximize the surface muon yield. Particle interactions and transport processes in the target were simulated using FLUKA [19] to estimate the yield. The simulation assumed an 8 GeV, 100 pC/bunch electron beam with an RMS beam size of 2 mm impinged on the target. A virtual detector, measuring 100 cm  $\times$  100 cm, was positioned 35 mm perpendicular to the electron beam to record muon counts. The target configurations in the simulation are shown in Fig. 3.

A variance reduction technique, specifically mean-free path biasing (LAM-BIAS), was introduced into the computational model to improve efficiency. An energy threshold of 10 MeV was set to ignore the production and transport of low-energy electrons, positrons, and photons, which do not contribute to muon production, enhancing calculation speed. Each target geometry simulation involved  $3.0 \times 10^8$  electrons, with the biasing method



FIG. 3. The schematic view of the target at SHINE surface muon source. In our setup, the 8 GeV electron beams impinging on the thick copper target along the positive direction of the x-axis, and a virtual detector is put in to monitor muon beam information at the positive-half z-axis, 35 mm from the electron beam. The centroid of the muon beam falls on the central axis of the solenoid.

shortening the photon hadronic interaction length by a factor of 0.02 to improve statistics. Results were scaled to correspond to the number of electrons in one bunch.

The beam position was varied from 0.5 to 5.5 mm away from the target surface in 1 mm increments. Figure 4 shows the surface muon yield at each point normalized by the yield at 0.5 mm. The yield increased rapidly until the beam position reached 2.5 mm, beyond which it gradually decreased. This suggests that up to 2.5 mm, the increased beam area on the target enhances muon yield, but beyond this thickness, it becomes too thick for muons to exit the surface.

With the beam position fixed at 2.5 mm, the target length along the beam direction



FIG. 4. Dependence of surface muon yield on electron beam spot position.

was scanned, examining seven points between 50 mm and 300 mm. As shown in Fig. 5, surface muon yield increased up to a target length of 200 mm before decreasing. Notably, the enhancement from 50 to 75 mm was substantial. As shown in Tab. I,  $X_{max}$  is 73.9 mm, suggesting significant benefits from increasing the target length up to this point. Given that  $L_{99}$  is 281 mm, extending the target length can further increase yield, with a 200 mm target length set as our baseline based on these findings.

#### C. Surface muon yield

The surface muon yield with optimized baseline target designs was thoroughly investigated. The yield of positive muons (below 300 MeV/c) resulting from photo-nuclear processes was estimated to be approximately  $10^4$  per bunch. The momentum distribution of these muons, generated through photo-nuclear processes, is depicted in Fig. 6.

Muons exhibit a broad energy distribution, decreasing from low to high energy regions.



FIG. 5. Dependence of surface muon yield on target length.

Notably, the momentum distribution shows two distinct peaks, corresponding to the production of surface muons from the decay of pions and kaons, with momentum peaks around 30 MeV/c and 230 MeV/c, respectively. The yield of surface muons derived from pion decay in the 25 to 30 MeV/c range is approximately  $2 \times 10^3$  muons per bunch. Operating at a frequency of 50 kHz, this translates to an intensity of  $1 \times 10^8 \mu^+/\text{s}$ . Although the muon yield per bunch is relatively low, the high repetition rate compensates for this, resulting in an overall intensity per second comparable to existing proton beam-driven muon sources.

Surface muons produced from kaon decay offer a unique opportunity to investigate extremely dense materials due to their high penetrating power, allowing for deeper examination of such materials.



FIG. 6. Momentum distribution of the detected secondary and tertiary particles at the virtual detector.

### III. SURFACE MUON BEAMLINE

#### A. Characteristics of the Initial Muon Beam

The design of the transport beamline for the surface muon beam was carried out using a multi-particle tracking simulation G4beamline [20]. Initially, the characteristics of the muon beam emerging from the target were extracted using a virtual detector, with a simulation setup akin to the FLUKA setup.

Figure 7 illustrates the horizontal and vertical phase space (x - x', y - y'), the real space (x - y) of the beam, and the polarization dependence on momentum. From Fig. 7 (d), it is evident that the muons with the highest polarization relative to the centerline-z axis possess the highest momentum, as these high-momentum muons are predominantly forward-going



FIG. 7. (a) Horizontal phase space at the target (x, x'). (b) Vertical phase space at the target (y, y'). (c) Beam distribution at the target (x, y). (d) Momentum dependence of muon polarization, showing that high-momentum, forward-going muons exhibit maximum polarization.

and abundant.

Selected phase space parameters of the muon beam are summarized in Tab. II. Given that the incident electron beam is aligned along the x-axis, the muon beam exhibits asymmetry in the horizontal plane, with a mean horizontal position shift of approximately -45.2 mm from the center of the target. To maximize acceptance, the solenoid's cross-sectional center is aligned with the centroid of the beam.

#### B. Design concept of the beamline

Beamlines are essential for collecting produced muons and selectively transporting muons with specific energies and properties to the experimental area, tailored for various scientific applications. While a straight, short beamline would offer the highest transmission rate, radiation safety concerns render such a layout impractical. Consequently, the beamline must incorporate several bending sections to eliminate direct line-of-sight from the experimental area to the target, also helping to minimize contamination by particles other than muons.

Parameters	Value	
Mean Momentum $P_0$ / Momentum Spread $\sigma_I$	> 22.9  MeV/c / 5.5  MeV/c	
Horizontal Position $\langle x \rangle$ / Width $\sigma_x$	-45.2 mm / 73.7 mm	
Horizontal Divergence $< x' > /$ Width $\sigma_{x'}$	-14.6 mrad / 696.7 mrad	
Horizontal RMS Emittance	3814 $\pi~{\rm cm}~{\rm mrad}$	
Vertical Position $< y > /$ Width $\sigma_y$	-0.1 mm / 67.5 mm	
Vertical Divergence $< y' > \!/$ Width $\sigma_{y'}$	-1.2 mm / 696.9 mrad	
Vertical RMS Emittance	3180 $\pi$ cm mrad	
Mean Polarization	-0.63	

TABLE II. Phase space characteristics of the muon beam detected in the simulation by the virtual detector, placed centrally 35 mm at a 90-degree angle with respect to the electron beam.

To streamline the optimization process, the beam optics design employs only solenoids and bending magnets, inspired by the beamline designs from PSI [21] and CSNS [18]. Despite the use of multiple bending magnets, contamination by positively charged particles with the same momentum as surface muons remains a possibility. This issue can be effectively mitigated with a Wien filter.

Additionally, the available space for the beamline and experimental area is constrained to  $30 \text{ m} \times 12 \text{ m}$ , which must be factored into the design. Considering these constraints, the beamline optics should be optimized to maximize the intensity of surface muons transported to the experimental area, ensuring both safety and efficiency.

#### C. Beamline optics

Based on these design concepts, a 13.6-meter beamline, as shown in Fig. 8, was constructed in the simulation to transmit surface muons from the target to the final experimental area. This beamline includes seven large-aperture solenoids (one capture solenoid and six focusing solenoids) and three dipole magnets with a 40-degree bending angle. Each solenoid is 373 mm long with a 500 mm aperture to ensure high acceptance.

To simplify the design, three 640-mm rectangular bending dipoles of identical geometry were used for momentum selection and the elimination of negatively charged and neutral



FIG. 8. The surface muon beamline layout.

particles, instead of sector dipoles. This approach allows for adjustments to the bending angle by simply changing the magnetic field inside the dipole, without the need for redesign. A Wien filter is placed between the last two solenoids to filter out positrons further.

The optics parameters applied in the transport beamline to optimize the transmission of the surface muon beam are summarized in Tab. III.

#### D. Optimization of the beamline optics

To optimize the beamline optics, an algorithm integrating the pattern search method with the coordinate descent method was employed. The coordinate descent method optimizes a multivariate objective function by solving a series of univariate optimization problems sequentially. To facilitate the implementation, a cyclic approach was adopted, where each

Components	Position (m)	Length (mm)	Aperture (mm)	Field (T)
Capture solenoid	0.47	373	500	0.432
Dipole1	1.93	640	400	-0.100
Solenoid1	3.17	373	500	0.241
Solenoid2	4.40	373	500	0.173
Dipole2	6.05	640	400	0.100
Solenoid3	7.17	373	500	0.136
Solenoid4	8.00	373	500	0.199
Dipole3	9.45	640	400	-0.104
Solenoid5	10.82	373	500	0.226
Wien Filter	11.75	1500	300	
Solenoid6	13.18	373	500	0.440
Exit	13.58			

TABLE III. The optics parameters applied in the extraction beam line to transport surface muon beam.

univariate problem is solved in turn, and once a complete cycle over all variables is finished, the process restarts with the first variable. Each univariate problem refines the solution estimate by optimizing selected variables while holding the others constant.

A pattern search method was chosen for solving the univariate optimization problems. This method relies solely on function evaluations and does not require the gradient of the function, making it particularly suitable for functions with hard-to-compute or unknown derivatives. Additionally, it is straightforward to implement. However, it is prone to converging to local maxima, and its effectiveness depends heavily on the initial value settings. Details of the implementation can be found in the Appendix.

#### E. Expected surface muon beam properties

Figure 9 illustrates typical simulated results for the beam envelope and transport efficiency of surface muons along the beamline. The transport efficiency for surface muons from the target to the experimental area, with standard beam optics tuning, is  $3.1\% \pm$ 

0.03%. This efficiency includes a capture efficiency of approximately  $21.2\% \pm 0.1\%$  for surface muons in the capture solenoid and a transmission efficiency of about  $14.8\% \pm 0.03\%$  along the transport beamline. The anticipated surface muon intensity at the final focus is  $60 \ \mu^+$ /bunch or  $3.1 \times 10^6 \mu^+$ /s (for a repetition rate of 50 kHz), with an RMS beam size of 25.6 mm (horizontal)  $\times 26.3$  mm (vertical), a momentum bite ( $\Delta p/p$ ) of 3.69% and a polarization of 85%. The beam distribution and phase space at this beam location are illustrated in Fig. 10. Given that the sample size in a typical  $\mu$ SR experiment is around 30 mm in diameter, the distribution of surface muons within this range is particularly important. The muon intensity in this region is  $4.7 \times 10^5 \mu^+$ /s. The mean parameters of the surface muon beam spot are summarized in Tab. IV.

TABLE IV. Parameters of the surface muon beam spot at the experimental area, assuming a 50 kHz operation for the pulsed electron beam.

Parameters	Value		
x/x' (RMS)	$25.6\mathrm{mm}$ / $221\mathrm{mrad}$		
y/y' (RMS)	$26\mathrm{mm}$ / $246\mathrm{mrad}$		
$\Delta \mathrm{p}/\mathrm{p}$	3.69%		
Polarization	85%		
$\mu^+$ rate (All)	$3.1 \times 10^6 \mu^+/\mathrm{s}$		
$\mu^+$ rate ( $\phi 30 \mathrm{mm}$ )	$4.7 \times 10^5 \mu^+/\mathrm{s}$		

#### F. Positron removal schemes

In our target, approximately  $1 \times 10^{10}$  positrons per bunch are generated. Some of these may contaminate the experimental area. Since positrons serve as the signal in  $\mu$ SR experiments, this presents a major background issue. To reduce positron contamination, we implemented a system that combines multiple bending magnets with a Wien filter. The main parameters of the Wien filter are provided in Table. V.

Using a simulation with  $1 \times 10^7$  electrons-on-target, we detected 62 positrons at the  $\phi 30 \text{ mm}$  beam spot following their transportation through the beamline that has been optimized for the surface muon. Scaling this result to SHINE's design electron bunch charge



FIG. 9. (Top) Transport efficiency along the surface muon beamline from the target to the experimental area. (Bottom) Beam envelopes  $(2 \times RMS)$  along the surface muon beamline from the target to the experimental area in g4beamline simulation with optimized optics. The optics and their apertures are depicted. Green represents the solenoid magnets, gray indicates the bending magnets, and blue shows the Wien filter.



FIG. 10. The beam and phase-space distribution at the beam spot for all muons. From left to right are the x - y, x - x', and y - y' distributions.

Parameter	Value
Electric field $(E_y)$	$-2.67\mathrm{MV/m}$
Magnetic field $(B_x)$	$0.0328\mathrm{T}$
Length $(L)$	$1.5\mathrm{m}$

TABLE V. Main parameters of t	the V	Vien	filter
-------------------------------	-------	------	--------

 $(6.25 \times 10^8 \text{ electrons})$ , we projected that approximately 3,880 positrons would reach the beam spot per bunch while only around 10 muons can be transported. This calculation assumes linear scaling. Although this positron-to-muon ratio significantly decreases from the initial 10<sup>6</sup> near the target, it still presents a major challenge for  $\mu$ SR experiments, which usually require positron contamination to be below 1%.

Therefore, in addition to the Wien filter, we are exploring an alternative approach using a fast kicker with strip lines and a septum magnet to eliminate positrons from the beamline. The positron background in the beamline has the same momentum as the muon but experiences a different time of flight due to its varying mass. This difference could be utilized to remove positrons. As shown in Fig. 11, the time-of-flight difference between positrons and muons at 11 m from the target in this beamline is approximately 100 ns.

Advanced XFEL facilities employ fast kicker systems to distribute high-energy electron beams across multiple beamlines at MHz-level repetition rates. For instance, at DESY's European XFEL, 20 GeV electron beams operate at 4.5 MHz, with excess electrons not used for lasing being dumped by fast kickers that have maximum pulse widths of 30 ns and rise/fall times of 15 ns [22]. By designing a similar fast kicker system specifically for muon



FIG. 11. Simulated time-of-flight distribution comparing positrons and muons 11 meters downstream from the target. Each histogram is normalized to its peak value. A distinct separation of approximately 100 ns exists between the positron peak and the leading edge of the subsequent muon distribution.

beamlines, we believe the positron contamination issue can be effectively resolved. This promising approach, along with design optimization studies and comprehensive performance analysis, should be thoroughly explored in an upcoming publication dedicated to positron removal for muon experiments.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

This article introduced the concept of a muon source utilizing a high-repetition-rate electron beam and a transport beamline design. A muon beam with a repetition rate of approximately 50 kHz, optimal for typical muon experiments, can be generated. Compared to current proton-driven muon sources, the relatively low muon production rate is compensated by the high repetition rate, resulting in a muon yield comparable to existing sources.

Using a straightforward beamline configuration consisting of solenoids, bending magnets, and a Wien filter, the surface muon intensity delivered to the experimental area was estimated to be approximately  $4.7 \times 10^5$ /s for a beam spot  $\phi 30$  mm. The realization of this concept requires further detailed design studies, including optimization of target geometry, thermo-mechanical calculations for the target, and proof-of-principle experiments. The removal of positrons is particularly challenging. Once constructed, this high-repetition-rate pulsed muon beamline is well-suited for applications in  $\mu$ SR spectrometer [23], muon electric dipole moment (EDM) searches [24, 25], and muonium-to-antimuonium conversion experiments [26–28]. Additionally, its unique timing structure complements the Chinese Muon Facilities currently being constructed and studied at the Chinese Spallation Neutron Source (CSNS) [29] and the Initiative Accelerator Driven Subcritical System (CiADS) [30].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are extremely grateful to Yu Bao, Vadim Grinenko, Hong Ding, Ziwen Pan, Bo Liu, Zhi Liu, Jian Tang, Dao Xiang, and Zhentang Zhao for fruitful discussions regarding the beamline optimization and physics potential of the SHINE muon beam. F. Liu, S. Chen, and L. Wang were supported by the Participation in Research Program (PRP) of Shanghai Jiao Tong University (Program number T426PRP43001). The work of M. Lyu, Y. Takeuchi, J. Wang, and K. S. Khaw was supported by the Shanghai Pilot Program for Basic Research (Grant number 21TQ1400221).

# APPENDIX: PATTERN SEARCH ALGORITHM FOR THE BEAMLINE OPTI-MIZATION

This algorithm ensures a balanced search throughout the variables in a multi-dimensional optimization problem. An example of the procedure for the algorithm is described below. In this example, we consider maximizing the value of the multivariate function  $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ , where i = 1, 2, ..., n, and n is the number of variables.

- 1. Initialization:
  - Set the initial search points  $x_i$  and initial step sizes  $\Delta_i$ .

#### 2. Iteration:

- For each variable  $x_i$  and step size  $\Delta_i$ , proceed with the following steps.
- Evaluate the function at three points  $x_i \Delta_i$ ,  $x_i$ ,  $x_i + \Delta_i$ .
- Select the point with the highest function value and update the search point  $x_i$  to this point if it is different from the current search point.
- Then, adjust the step size Δ<sub>i</sub>; maintain if there was an update of the search point, halves it if not.
- 3. Update:
  - After completing a cycle of searches across all variables, return to the first variable and repeat the search process from the updated search point  $x_i$ .
- 4. Termination:
  - Terminate the algorithm if the step size falls below a pre-defined threshold.

Here, the objective function was the number of surface muons transported to the experimental area, the variables were the position and field strength of each optics component. To avoid local maxima as much as possible, simulations were initially performed using several randomly generated beamline configurations, and the configuration with the highest number of surface muon transported was used as the initial search point.

- T. P. Gorringe and D. W. Hertzog, Precision Muon Physics, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 84, 73 (2015), arXiv:1506.01465 [hep-ex].
- [2] A. D. Hillier, S. J. Blundell, I. McKenzie, I. Umegaki, L. Shu, J. A. Wright, T. Prokscha,
   F. Bert, K. Shimomura, A. Berlie, H. Alberto, and I. Watanabe, Muon spin spectroscopy,
   Nature Reviews Methods Primers 2, 4 (2022).
- [3] R. Cywinski *et al.*, Towards a dedicated high-intensity muon facility, Physica B 404, 1024 (2009).
- [4] A. Adelmann, K. Kirch, C. J. G. Onderwater, and T. Schietinger, Compact storage ring to search for the muon electric dipole moment, J. Phys. G 37, 085001 (2010).

- [5] L. Willmann and K. Jungmann, Muonium-Antimuonium Conversion, SciPost Phys. Proc. 5, 009 (2021).
- [6] S. Kanda, Toward a high-precision measurement of the muon lifetime with an intense pulsed muon beam at J-PARC, PoS NuFact2021, 215 (2022).
- [7] Y. Ema, T. Gao, and M. Pospelov, Muon spin force (2023), arXiv:2308.01356 [hep-ph].
- [8] Y. Kuno, Physics opportunities of a very intense low-momentum muon source, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 451, 233 (2000).
- M. Seidel, Cyclotrons and Fixed Field Alternating Gradient Accelerators (2021) arXiv:2105.04477 [physics.acc-ph].
- [10] Y. Liu, A. Rakhman, C. D. Long, Y. Liu, and T. J. Williams, Laser-assisted high-energy proton pulse extraction for feasibility study of co-located muon source at the SNS, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 962, 163706 (2020), arXiv:2004.03517 [physics.acc-ph].
- [11] L. Bartoszek *et al.* (Mu2e), Mu2e Technical Design Report (2014), arXiv:1501.05241
   [physics.ins-det].
- [12] K. Nagamine, H. Miyadera, A. Jason, and R. Seki, Compact muon source with electron accelerator for a mobile mu SR facility, Physica B 404, 1020 (2009).
- [13] A. I. Titov, B. Kampfer, and H. Takabe, Dimuon production by laser-wakefield accelerated electrons, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 12, 111301 (2009), arXiv:0907.3038 [physics.acc-ph].
- [14] W. Dreesen, J. A. Green, M. Browder, J. Wood, D. Schwellenbach, T. Ditmire, G. Tiwari, and C. Wagner, Detection of petawatt laser-induced muon source for rapid high-z material detection, in 2014 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference (NSS/MIC) (2014) pp. 1–6.
- [15] B. S. Rao, J. H. Jeon, H. T. Kim, and C. H. Nam, Bright muon source driven by GeV electron beams from a compact laser wakefield accelerator, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 60, 095002 (2018), arXiv:1804.03886 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [16] Z. Zhao, D. Wang, Z.-H. Yang, and L. Yin, SCLF: An 8-GeV CW SCRF Linac-Based X-Ray FEL Facility in Shanghai, in 38th International Free-Electron Laser Conference (2018) p. MOP055.
- [17] F. Berg *et al.*, Target Studies for Surface Muon Production, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 19, 024701 (2016), arXiv:1511.01288 [physics.ins-det].
- [18] C. Chen, Y. Bao, and N. Vassilopoulos, Design of the surface muon beamline of MELODY,

J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2462, 012027 (2023).

- [19] A. Ferrari, P. R. Sala, A. Fasso, and J. Ranft, FLUKA: A multi-particle transport code (Program version 2005) (2005).
- [20] T. J. Roberts and D. M. Kaplan, G4Beamline Simulation Program for Matter dominated Beamlines, Conf. Proc. C 070625, 3468 (2007).
- [21] G. D. Maso *et al.*, Future facilities at PSI, the High-Intensity Muon Beams (HIMB) project, EPJ Web Conf. 282, 01012 (2023).
- [22] F. Obier et al., Fast Kicker System for European XFEL Beam Distribution, in 39th International Free-Electron Laser Conference (2019) p. WEP013.
- [23] Q. Li, Z. Pan, Y. Bao, T. Yang, H. Cheng, Y. Li, H. Hu, H. Liang, and B. Ye, Design of the First μSR Spectrometer at China Spallation Neutron Source, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2462, 012022 (2023).
- [24] A. Adelmann *et al.*, Search for a muon EDM using the frozen-spin technique (2021), arXiv:2102.08838 [hep-ex].
- [25] A. Adelmann *et al.*, A compact frozen-spin trap for the search for the electric dipole moment of the muon, (2025), arXiv:2501.18979 [hep-ex].
- [26] C. Han, D. Huang, J. Tang, and Y. Zhang, Probing the doubly charged Higgs boson with a muonium to antimuonium conversion experiment, Phys. Rev. D 103, 055023 (2021), arXiv:2102.00758 [hep-ph].
- [27] A.-Y. Bai et al., Snowmass2021 Whitepaper: Muonium to antimuonium conversion, in Snowmass 2021 (2022) arXiv:2203.11406 [hep-ph].
- [28] A.-Y. Bai *et al.*, Conceptual Design of the Muonium-to-Antimuonium Conversion Experiment (MACE), (2024), arXiv:2410.18817 [hep-ex].
- [29] Y. Bao et al., Progress report on Muon Source Project at CSNS, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2462, 012034 (2023).
- [30] H.-J. Cai *et al.*, Towards a high-intensity muon source, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 27, 023403 (2024), arXiv:2309.01520 [physics.acc-ph].