

HARDY'S THEOREM FOR THE $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -FOURIER TRANSFORM

HANEN JILANI AND SELMA NEGZAOU

ABSTRACT. By comparing a function and its $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier transform to a Gaussian analogue, we establish a Hardy-type uncertainty principle. We extend these results to an $L^p - L^q$ framework, proving a Cowling-Price-type theorem for the $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier transform. Optimal cases are identified and discussed in detail for both theorems. Furthermore, we investigate the heat equation in this context, deriving a dynamical version of Hardy's theorem that illustrates the temporal evolution of the uncertainty principle in this generalized setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Heisenberg uncertainty principle states that the position and momentum of a quantum particle cannot be measured simultaneously with arbitrary precision. This fundamental concept has been widely analyzed through the interplay between a function and its Fourier transform. In 1933, Hardy [12] demonstrated a striking mathematical manifestation of this principle by showing that a function and its Fourier transform cannot both decay too rapidly compared to the Gaussian, which is optimally localized in both time and frequency domains. More precisely, let a and b be two positive constants, and let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$|f(x)| \lesssim e^{-ax^2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\widehat{f}(x)| \lesssim e^{-bx^2}.$$

Then $f = 0$ almost everywhere if $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, and $f(x) = Ce^{-ax^2}$ for some constant C if $ab = \frac{1}{4}$. Hardy presented two distinct proofs of his theorem, both involving holomorphic functions and relying on results from complex analysis. The first proof utilizes the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle for entire functions. The second proof also involves entire functions but relies solely on Liouville's theorem, particularly in the case when $ab > \frac{1}{4}$. Building on a Phragmén-Lindelöf-type lemma, Cowling and Price [4] extended Hardy's theorem by replacing pointwise Gaussian bounds on f with Gaussian decay conditions in the L^p norm for f and the L^q norm for \widehat{f} . Explicitly, for $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ such that $\min(p, q)$ is finite, they established the following $L^p - L^q$ version of Hardy's theorem: Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$e^{ax^2} f \in L^p \quad \text{and} \quad e^{bx^2} \widehat{f} \in L^q,$$

where $a, b > 0$. Then: If $ab \geq \frac{1}{4}$, then it follows that $f = 0$ almost everywhere. If $ab < \frac{1}{4}$ then there exist infinitely many linearly independent functions satisfying these conditions. Recently, a significant advancement in understanding this fundamental theorem was achieved by [7], which provided a proof that does not rely on complex analysis but instead uses real-variable methods by considering Schrödinger evolutions. This result highlights the deep

Date: March 4, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42A38, 44A15, 33C10 Secondly 35A22.

Key words and phrases. Hardy's Theorem, Generalized Fourier transform, Cowling-Price Theorem, Bessel functions, Heat equation.

connection between harmonic analysis and partial differential equations. References [14] and [8] explore various dynamical versions of the Hardy uncertainty principle for the Fourier transform, including applications to the heat equation.

In this paper, we aim to establish Hardy's theorem in the setting of the one-dimensional $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -generalized Fourier transform, introduced by Ben Saïd, Kobayashi, and Ørsted in [16] and [17]. Their approach provides a deformation of the classical setting by considering the Hamiltonian

$$\Delta_{k,a} = \|x\|^{2-a} \Delta_k - \|x\|^a,$$

where the deformation parameter a is a positive real number arising from the interpolation of minimal unitary representations of two distinct reductive groups, and Δ_k is the Dunkl Laplacian. In the one-dimensional case, it states as:

$$\Delta_k f(x) = f''(x) + \frac{2k}{x} f'(x) - k \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.1)$$

The generator $\Delta_{k,a}$ allows the construction of a (k, a) -generalized Fourier transform, given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,a} = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2a}(2\langle k \rangle + N + a - 2)} \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{2a} \Delta_{k,a}\right).$$

It was shown in [17] that $\mathcal{F}_{k,a}$ admits an integral representation involving a kernel $B_{k,a}$ and shares several properties with the classical case, such as the Plancherel formula and others.

Many challenging questions remain open, even in the one-dimensional case. For instance, one can mention the invariance of the Schwartz space by $\mathcal{F}_{k,a}$ and the boundedness of the kernel $B_{k,a}$ as discussed in [10]. The Hardy uncertainty principle has been established only for the case $a = 2$, which corresponds to the Dunkl transform for arbitrary $k > 0$ (cf. [9]) and to the classical Fourier transform when $k = 0$. In this work, we extend the result to the case $a = \frac{2}{n}$, where n is a positive integer, in dimension $N = 1$. For clarity, we simplify the notation by writing $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$ and $B_{k,n}$ instead of $\mathcal{F}_{k, \frac{2}{n}}$ and $B_{k, \frac{2}{n}}$ respectively.

The kernel $B_{k,n}(x, \lambda)$ has the following expression in terms of normalized Bessel functions of indices $\alpha = kn - \frac{n}{2}$ and $\alpha + n$:

$$B_{k,n}(x, \lambda) = j_{kn - \frac{n}{2}}(n|\lambda x|^{\frac{1}{n}}) + (-i)^n \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^n \frac{\Gamma(kn - \frac{n}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(kn + \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \lambda x j_{kn + \frac{n}{2}}(n|\lambda x|^{\frac{1}{n}}). \quad (1.2)$$

Clearly, the fractional power of λx in the expansion of the kernel $B_{k,n}(\cdot, \lambda)$ prevents $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f$, from being an entire function—a crucial hypothesis for proving Hardy's theorem via complex analysis. To address this challenge, we developed two specific deformations of $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$, denoted by \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 (cf. Section 3). By introducing these deformations, we are able to apply a Phragmén-Lindelöf-type lemma to the new operators and thereby extend Hardy's theorem to the generalized Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$.

This approach has enabled us to establish the following Hardy-type theorem for the $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier transform:

Theorem 1.1. *Let a and b be positive real numbers. Consider a measurable function f on \mathbb{R} satisfying the following inequalities:*

$$|f(x)| \leq C e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f(x)| \leq C e^{-nb|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}. \quad (1.4)$$

Then:

1. If $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, then $f \equiv 0$.
2. If $ab = \frac{1}{4}$, then $f(x) = \lambda e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}$ for some constant λ .
3. If $ab < \frac{1}{4}$, there exist infinitely many functions satisfying the given conditions.

Using similar techniques, we obtain an analog of the Cowling-Price theorem. Note that the Hardy theorem was proved for the Dunkl transform (i.e. $n = 1$ and $k > 0$) in [9]. In [15], several qualitative uncertainty principles, including a Hardy-type theorem, were studied for the linear canonical deformed Hankel transform, particularly for $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$, but only in the case $n = 1$. Many other works have explored Hardy's theorem in different settings; see, for instance, [18, 11, 20, 19, 5]. The Phragmén-Lindelöf lemma plays a crucial role in the proofs of these results. However, dynamic versions of the Hardy uncertainty principle for the most recent transformations have not yet been explored.

In Section 4, we develop a dynamic version of Hardy's theorem within the framework of the heat equation, providing new insights into the evolution of the uncertainty principle in this setting. The obtained result holds, encompassing the cases of the Dunkl transform and the Hankel transform, representing an entirely new contribution.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present some background information and derive key technical result necessary for our main objective. The core result is an integral representation of $u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u)$, which extends the Poisson integral representation of the normalized Bessel function for indices $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$.

2.1. $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier transform and the Gaussian analogue. Consider n a positive integer, $a = \frac{2}{n}$, and $k \geq \frac{n-1}{2n}$. The one-dimensional $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -generalized Fourier transform has the integral form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) B_{k,n}(x, \lambda) d\mu_{k,n}(x), \quad (2.1)$$

where $B_{k,n}(x, \lambda)$ is the kernel given by (1.2) and $d\mu_{k,n}(x)$ is the weighted measure defined as:

$$d\mu_{k,n}(x) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(kn - \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{kn - \frac{n}{2}} |x|^{2k + \frac{2}{n} - 2} dx. \quad (2.2)$$

For $1 \leq p < +\infty$, let's denote $L_{k,n}^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_{k,n})$, the space of measurable functions on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L_{k,n}^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p d\mu_{k,n}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

and for $p = +\infty$,

$$\|f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| = \inf \{ M \geq 0; |f| \leq M \ \mu_{k,n} \text{ a.e.} \}.$$

It is well known from [17] that the operator $\mathcal{F}_{k,n} : L_{k,n}^2 \longrightarrow L_{k,n}^2$ is unitary and satisfies the Plancherel formula:

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f\|_{L_{k,n}^2} = \|f\|_{L_{k,n}^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Furthermore, the inversion formula is given in [17, Theorem 5.3]:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}^{-1}f(x) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n}f((-1)^n x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

For even functions, [3, Proposition 5.2] establishes the relation:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2n^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1}} \mathcal{H}_{kn-\frac{n}{2}}(g_n)(|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{n}}) \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where g_n is the function defined on $(0, +\infty)$ by $g_n(t) = f((\frac{t}{n})^n)$, and the Hankel transform is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha(f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} f(t)j_\alpha(t\lambda)t^{2\alpha+1}dt.$$

We claim that the function $e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}$, where a is a positive real number, serves as the analogue of the Gaussian function in our setting. Indeed, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} \left(e^{-an|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \right) (x) = \frac{1}{2n^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1}} \mathcal{H}_{kn-\frac{n}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{a}{n}x^2} \right) \left(|x|^{\frac{1}{n}} \right).$$

That is, from [11, (14)]

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} \left(e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \right) (x) = \frac{1}{(2a)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1}} e^{-\frac{n|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}{4a}}. \quad (2.5)$$

This function plays a central role in proving Hardy's theorem.

It is worth mentioning that when $a = \frac{2}{n}$ and $k \geq \frac{n-1}{2n}$, the kernel $B_{k,n}$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} . This allows us to conclude that there exists a positive constant $C(k, n) = \|B_{k,n}\|_\infty$ satisfying, for all $f \in L_{k,n}^1$,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} \leq C(k, n)\|f\|_{L_{k,n}^1}. \quad (2.6)$$

Further discussions on the boundedness of the kernel $B_{k,a}$ for arbitrary a can be found in the references [10, 6, 13].

2.2. Generalized integral representation of Bessel function. Regarding (1.2), while the even part of the kernel $B_{k,n}$ is provided by the normalized Bessel function j_α of index α :

$$j_\alpha(z) = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) z^{-\alpha} J_\alpha(z) = \Gamma(\alpha+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(\alpha+k+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k},$$

the odd part can, after making an appropriate substitution, be expressed in terms of $u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u)$. This splitting has served to establish a product formula for $B_{k,n}$ in [3]. Indeed it was shown that the product of two kernels can be written as an integral of the kernel with weighted measure involving Gegenbauer polynomials of order α and degree n ,

$$C_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(n-k+\alpha)}{k!(n-2k)!} (2t)^{n-2k}. \quad (2.7)$$

By virtue of [21, (3) p.48], for $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, the normalized Bessel function j_α has the Poisson integral representation

$$j_\alpha(x) = 2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1 - t^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \cos(xt) dt. \quad (2.8)$$

For the odd part, we establish a generalized Poisson integral representation (2.8), which provides an integral formula for $u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u) = a_{\alpha,n} \int_0^1 C_n^{(\alpha)}(t) (1 - t^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \cos(ut + n\frac{\pi}{2}) dt, \quad (2.9)$$

where the constant $a_{\alpha,n}$ is given by

$$a_{\alpha,n} = \frac{2^{2\alpha+n} n!}{\pi} (\alpha + n) \beta(\alpha, \alpha + n), \quad (2.10)$$

and $C_n^{(\alpha)}$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of order α and degree n given by (2.7).

Proof. Starting by the Poisson integral representation (2.8), we assert that

$$u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2})} u^n \int_0^1 (1 - t^2)^{\alpha+n-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(ut) dt.$$

Using a partial integration, we find, since

$$\left[u^{n-1} (1 - t^2)^{\alpha+n-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(tu + \frac{\pi}{2}) \right]_0^1 = 0,$$

that

$$u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u) = -\frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2})} u^{n-1} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \left[(1 - t^2)^{\alpha+n-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \cos(ut + \frac{\pi}{2}) dt.$$

After n integrations by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u) &= \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2})} \left[\sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^{m-1} R_{m-1}(t) u^{n-m} \cos(ut + m\frac{\pi}{2}) \right]_0^1 \\ &\quad + \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2})} (-1)^n \int_0^1 R_n(s) \cos(ut + n\frac{\pi}{2}) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where, for $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$,

$$R_m(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m (1 - x^2)^{\alpha+n-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Rodrigues' formula [21, p.114] enables us to express $R_m(x)$ in terms of the Gegenbauer polynomial $C_m^{(\alpha)}$ as follows:

$$R_m(x) = \frac{m!(m + 2\alpha)_m}{(-2)^m (\alpha)_m} C_m^{(\alpha)}(x) (1 - x^2)^{n-m+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $(\alpha)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is the Pochhammer symbol.

Notice that when $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $R_{m-1}(1) = 0$. On the other hand, from (2.11), we can derive that if m is even, then R_{m-1} is an odd polynomial, which implies that $R_{m-1}(0) = 0$. If m is odd, we can write $m = 2p + 1$, leading to $\cos(ut + m\frac{\pi}{2}) = (-1)^p \sin(ut)$, which vanishes when $t = 0$. Therefore, in both cases, the expression inside the brackets evaluates to zero, and we obtain

$$u^n j_{\alpha+n}(u) = (-1)^n \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 R_n(s) \cos(ut + \frac{n\pi}{2}) dt. \quad (2.12)$$

Combining (2.12) and (2.11), we get (2.9), where

$$a_{\alpha,n} = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{n!\Gamma(2\alpha + 2n)\Gamma(\alpha)}{2^n\Gamma(2\alpha + n)\Gamma(\alpha + n)}.$$

Finally we deduce (2.10) by using the duplication formula for the Gamma function.

$$\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha + 2n)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n)\Gamma(\alpha + n + \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{2^{2\alpha+2n-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

□

3. HARDY THEOREM

Denote f_e and f_o the even and the odd parts of f , respectively:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f_e(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad f_o(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}.$$

Consider the transformations \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 , which act on the space $L_{k,n}^1$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_e(u) j_{kn - \frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}) d\mu_{k,n}(u), \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_2 f(z) = \frac{(-i)^n \Gamma(kn - \frac{n}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(kn + \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_o(u) u z^n j_{kn + \frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}) d\mu_{k,n}(u). \quad (3.2)$$

These transformations are closely related to $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$. Indeed, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(x^n) = \mathcal{T}_1 f_e(x) + \mathcal{T}_2 f_o(x). \quad (3.3)$$

For these transformations, we have the following lemmas that ensure the analyticity of $\mathcal{T}_1 f$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 f$ in the complex plane \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$, $a > 0$, and f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} satisfying*

$$e^{na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f \in L_{k,n}^p. \quad (3.4)$$

Then the function $\mathcal{T}_1 f$ is well-defined and entire on \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, $\mathcal{T}_1 f$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)| \leq C(k, n) e^{\frac{n}{4a}\Im(z)^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $C(k, n)$ is a positive constant depending only on k and n .

Proof. Consider a function f satisfying (3.4). Note that the mapping $z \mapsto f_e(u)j_{kn-\frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}})$ defines an entire function on \mathbb{C} . For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have:

$$|\cos(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t)| = \left| \frac{e^{inz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t} + e^{-inz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t}}{2} \right| \leq e^{n|\Im(z)||u|^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Using the fact that

$$\int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} dt = \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}, kn - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(kn - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}{2\Gamma(kn - \frac{n}{2} + 1)},$$

we can derive from (2.8) that

$$|j_{kn-\frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}})| \leq e^{n|\Im(z)||u|^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, for all $R > 0$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\Im(z)| \leq R$, we have

$$\left| f_e(u)j_{kn-\frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}})|u|^{2k+\frac{2}{n}-2} \right| \leq \varphi_R(u) = \left| f_e(u)e^{nR|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}}|u|^{2k+\frac{2}{n}-2} \right|.$$

It suffices to show that φ_R belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ in order to conclude that $\mathcal{T}_1 f$ is well-defined and entire on \mathbb{C} . This can be demonstrated using Hölder's inequality along with the condition (3.4) as follows:

$$\|\varphi_R\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_e(u)|e^{nR|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}} d\mu_{k,n}(u) \leq \|e^{na|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f_e\|_{L_{k,n}^p} \|e^{-na|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}+nR}|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{n}}}\|_{L_{k,n}^{p'}} < +\infty,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Now, let's prove inequality (3.5).

Applying the Poisson integral representation (2.8) and performing a change of variables, we obtain for $\alpha = kn - \frac{n}{2}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_1 f(z) = \frac{2n}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^\alpha \int_0^\infty \int_0^t f_e(t^n)(t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(nuz) du t dt. \quad (3.8)$$

Fubini's theorem and equation (3.6) yield

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)| \leq \frac{2n}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} \int_u^{+\infty} |f_e(t^n)|(t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} t dt e^{nu|\Im(z)|} du. \quad (3.9)$$

Let's denote the integral

$$I(u) = \int_u^{+\infty} |f_e(t^n)|(t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} t dt.$$

Note that

$$nu|\Im(z)| = \frac{n}{4a}|\Im(z)|^2 + anu^2 - an\left(u - \frac{|\Im(z)|}{2a}\right)^2,$$

then applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain for $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$,

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)| \leq \frac{2n}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^\alpha e^{\frac{n}{4a}\Im(z)^2} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{napu^2} [I(u)]^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-p'an(u - \frac{|\Im(z)|}{2a})^2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Making a change of variable, one can easily find when $p' \in [1, +\infty)$, that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-p'an(u-\frac{|\Im(z)|}{2a})^2} = \int_{-\frac{|\Im(z)|}{2a}}^{+\infty} e^{-p'anx^2} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-p'anx^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{p'an}}.$$

Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)| \leq C(k, n, p) e^{\frac{n}{4a} \Im(z)^2} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{napu^2} [I(u)]^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Hölder's inequality leads to

$$I(u) \leq \left[\int_u^{+\infty} e^{anpt^2} |f_e(t^n)|^p (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} t dt \right] \left[\int_u^{+\infty} e^{-anp't^2} (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} t dt \right]^{\frac{p}{p'}}.$$

Making a change of variable allows us to compute the last integral as:

$$\int_u^{+\infty} e^{-np'at^2} (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} t dt = \frac{e^{-nap'u^2}}{2(anp')^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x} x^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})}{2(anp')^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}} e^{-anp'u^2}, \quad (3.11)$$

which gives

$$[I(u)]^p \leq C \left[\int_u^{+\infty} e^{anpt^2} |f_e(t^n)|^p (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} t dt \right] \left[e^{-anpu^2} \right].$$

Hence, by (3.10)

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)|^p \leq C(k, n, p, a) e^{\frac{np}{4a} \Im(z)^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_u^{+\infty} e^{anpt^2} |f_e(t^n)|^p (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} t dt \right) du.$$

Using Fubini's theorem

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)|^p \lesssim e^{\frac{np}{4a} \Im(z)^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_0^t (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} du \right) |f_e(t^n)|^p e^{anpt^2} t dt,$$

which leads to

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)|^p \lesssim e^{\frac{np}{4a} \Im(z)^2} \int_0^{+\infty} |f_e(t^n)|^p e^{anpt^2} t^{2kn-n+1} dt.$$

Finally, the fact that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |f_e(t^n)|^p e^{anpt^2} t^{2kn-n+1} dt \lesssim \left\| e^{an|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f \right\|_{L_{k,n}^p}$$

allows to deduce (3.5) for $1 < p < +\infty$.

The case $p = 1$: It follows from (3.8) and by making a change of variable that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)| &\lesssim e^{\frac{n}{4a} |\Im(z)|^2} \int_0^{+\infty} |f_e(t^n)| t \int_0^t e^{an|u|^2} (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-na(|u| - \frac{|\Im(z)|}{2a})^2} du dt, \\ &\lesssim e^{\frac{n}{4a} |\Im(z)|^2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{nat^2} |f_e(t^n)| t^{2\alpha+1} dt, \end{aligned}$$

A change of variables gives that

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f(z)| \lesssim \left\| e^{na|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f \right\|_{L_{k,n}^1} e^{\frac{n}{4a} |\Im(z)|^2}.$$

In the case $p = +\infty$, we obtain:

$$I(u) \leq \|e^{na|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} \int_u^{+\infty} e^{-nat^2} (t^2 - u^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} t dt$$

As we have (3.11), one can easily deduce

$$I(u) \lesssim \|e^{na|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} e^{-nau^2}$$

Thus, (3.9) leads to

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f_\epsilon(z)| \lesssim \|e^{na|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} e^{\frac{n}{4a}|\Im(z)|^2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-na(u - \frac{|\Im(z)|}{2a})^2} du.$$

Which proves

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 f_\epsilon(z)| \lesssim \|e^{na|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} e^{\frac{n}{4a}|\Im(z)|^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

□

We proceed similarly to prove the result for the second transformation \mathcal{T}_2 .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$ and $a > 0$. Consider f a measurable function on \mathbb{R} verifying relation (3.4). Then the function $\mathcal{T}_2 f$ is well-defined and entire on \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, $\mathcal{T}_2 f$ satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\mathcal{T}_2 f(z)| \leq C(k, n) e^{\frac{n}{4a}|\Im(z)|^2}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $C(k, n)$ is a positive constant depending only on k and n .

Proof. According to Lemma 2.1, for $\alpha = kn - \frac{n}{2}$, we have

$$n|u|z^n j_{\alpha+n}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}) = a_{\alpha,n} \int_0^1 C_n^{(\alpha)}(t) (1-t^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \cos(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t + n\frac{\pi}{2}) dt.$$

Since $\cos(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t + n\frac{\pi}{2})$ can be seen as $\pm \cos(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t)$ or $\pm \sin(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t)$, then in both cases, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and for all $t \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\left| \cos(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}t + n\frac{\pi}{2}) \right| \leq e^{n|\Im(z)||u|^{\frac{1}{n}}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Note that, for $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, the Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^{(\alpha)}$ are bounded on $[0, 1]$, which leads to the following upper bound

$$|uz^n j_{kn+\frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}})| \leq C(k, n) e^{n|\Im(z)||u|^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $C(k, n)$ denotes a constant depending on k and n . Hence, if we consider $R > 0$ then, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\Im(z)| \leq R$,

$$\left| f_o(u) nuz^n j_{kn+\frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}) \right| \leq \psi_R(u) = C(k, n) \left| f_o(u) e^{nR|u|^{\frac{1}{n}}} |u|^{2k+\frac{2}{n}-2} \right|.$$

Similar argument as for φ_R ensures that ψ_R belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, together with the fact that the mapping $z \mapsto f_o(u)uz^n j_{kn+\frac{n}{2}}(nz|u|^{\frac{1}{n}})$ is an entire function on \mathbb{C} , prove that $\mathcal{T}_2 f_0$ is well defined and entire on \mathbb{C} .

Now, let's prove (3.13). Note that $xf_o(x)$ is an even function. Then a change of variable and Lemma 2.1 lead to

$$\mathcal{T}_2 f(z) = C(k, n) \int_0^\infty f_o(t^n) \int_0^1 C_n^{kn-\frac{n}{2}}(s) (1-s^2)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(nzts + n\frac{\pi}{2}) ds t^{2kn-n+1} dt.$$

Therefore, by a change of variable,

$$|\mathcal{T}_2 f(z)| \lesssim \int_0^\infty |f_o(t^n)| \int_0^t (t^2 - u^2)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} |\cos(nzu + n\frac{\pi}{2})| du t dt.$$

Using Fubini's theorem and inequality (3.14), we obtain:

$$|\mathcal{T}_2 f(z)| \lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_u^{+\infty} |f_o(t^n)| (t^2 - u^2)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} t dt e^{n|u||\Im(z)|} du. \quad (3.16)$$

Here we recognize similar integral as provided in the inequality (3.9), the only difference resides in considering f_o instead of f_e . Since, for all $p \in [1, +\infty]$,

$$\|e^{an|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f_o\|_{L_{k,n}^p} \leq \|e^{an|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f\|_{L_{k,n}^p},$$

similar discussions as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 allows to derive

$$|\mathcal{T}_2 f_o(z)| \lesssim \|e^{an|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f\|_{L_{k,n}^p} e^{\frac{n}{4a}|\Im(z)|^2}.$$

□

Before addressing the proof of our main result, we shall recall the Phragmén-Lindelöf type lemmas (cf. [9, 11]).

Lemma 3.3 (Phragmén-Lindelöf for $p \geq 1$). *Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$ and h be an entire function on \mathbb{C} . We assume that*

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |h(z)| \leq C e^{a\Re(z)^2},$$

and

$$\|h|_{\mathbb{R}}\|_{L_\alpha^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

where C and a are positive constants. Then $h \equiv 0$.

Lemma 3.4 (Phragmén-Lindelöf for $p = +\infty$). *Let h be an entire function \mathbb{C} , we suppose:*

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |h(z)| \leq C e^{a\Re(z)^2},$$

and

$$\|h|_{\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |h(x)| < +\infty.$$

for some positive constants a and C . Then h is a constant on \mathbb{C} .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Consider the functions h_1 and h_2 , defined by:

$$h_1(z) = e^{\frac{n}{4a}z^2} \mathcal{T}_1 f(z), \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(z) = e^{\frac{n}{4a}z^2} \mathcal{T}_2 f(z). \quad (3.17)$$

One can see, according to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, that h_1 and h_2 are entire functions on \mathbb{C} . Moreover from (3.5) and (3.13), we have:

$$|h_1(z)| \lesssim e^{\frac{n}{4a}\Re(z)^2}, \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$|h_2(z)| \lesssim e^{\frac{n}{4a}\Re(z)^2}. \quad (3.19)$$

Note that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\mathcal{T}_1 f(x) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(x^n) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(x^n) + \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(-x^n))$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 f(x) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x^n) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(x^n) - \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(-x^n))$. Condition (1.4) implies that

$$e^{nbx^2} |\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(x^n)| \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad e^{nbx^2} |\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(-x^n)| \leq C.$$

Hence

$$\left| e^{nbx^2} \mathcal{T}_l f(x) \right| \leq C, \quad l = 1, 2.$$

Consequently, for $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $ab > \frac{1}{4}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_l(x)|^p |x|^{2kn-n+1} dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-np(b-\frac{1}{4a})x^2} |x|^{2kn-n+1} dx < +\infty.$$

Lemma 3.3 implies that h_1 and h_2 are identically zero. That is $\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(\cdot^n) = 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(\cdot^n) = 0$. Specifically, for all $x \in (0, +\infty)$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(x^n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x^n) = 0$$

As the mapping $x \mapsto x^n$ establishes a bijection from \mathbb{R}_+ into itself, it follows that for all $x \in (0, +\infty)$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x) = 0.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e = (\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_e \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o = (\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_o,$$

we deduce that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_e(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_o(x) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f = 0,$$

which leads to $f = 0$ a.e.

2. The case $a.b = \frac{1}{4}$. The inequalities (3.18) and (3.19) remain valid. In accordance with condition (1.4), we obtain

$$|h_1(x)| = |\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(x^n) e^{\frac{n}{4a}x^2}| \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad |h_2(x)| = |\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x^n) e^{\frac{n}{4a}x^2}| \leq C$$

Applying Lemma 3.4, we conclude that:

$$h_1(z) = \lambda_1 \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(z) = \lambda_2,$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{T}_1 f(z) = \lambda_1 e^{-nbz^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_2 f(z) = \lambda_2 e^{-nbz^2}.$$

In particular, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_e(x^n) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(x^n) = \lambda_1 e^{-nbx^2} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_o(x^n) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x^n) = \lambda_2 e^{-nbx^2}. \quad (3.20)$$

Note that if n is an odd integer then $\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x^n)$ becomes an odd function and the equality (3.20) holds only when $\lambda_2 = 0$. Consequently,

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f(x^n) = \lambda_1 e^{-nb|x|^2}.$$

Using that the mapping $x \mapsto x^n$ establishes a bijection from \mathbb{R} into itself for n odd integer,

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f(x) = \lambda_1 e^{-nb|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}. \quad (3.21)$$

By inverting (3.21) and from relation (2.5), we obtain

$$f(x) = C e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \quad a.e.$$

If n is even integer, (3.20) still true for $x > 0$, which yield

$$(\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f)_e(x) = \lambda_1 e^{-nb|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f)_o(x) = \lambda_2 e^{-nb|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}.$$

Thus, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we derive that

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f(x) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n}f_e(x) + \mathcal{F}_{k,n}f_o(x) = (\lambda_1 + \text{sgn}(x)\lambda_2)e^{-nb|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}.$$

By applying the inverse formula, we obtain

$$f_e(x) = C e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \quad a.e.$$

and

$$f_o(x) = \lambda_2 \frac{(-i)^n n}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\alpha+n} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-nbu^2} x u^n j_{\alpha+n}(nu|x|^{\frac{1}{n}}u) u^{2\alpha+1} du,$$

Using formula [21, p.394], we get

$$f_o(x) = \lambda_2 x \frac{(-i)^n \Gamma(kn + 1) n^{kn + \frac{n}{2}}}{2(nb)^{\frac{1}{2}(kn - \frac{n}{2} + 2)} \Gamma(kn + \frac{n}{2} + 1)} (\sqrt{na})^{kn + \frac{n}{2}} e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}; kn + \frac{n}{2} + 1; na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}\right),$$

where ${}_1F_1$ is the confluent hypergeometric function. Since $\frac{n}{2}$, $kn + \frac{n}{2} + 1$ and $na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}$ are positive, it follows that

$${}_1F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}; kn + \frac{n}{2} + 1; na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}\right) \geq {}_1F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}; kn + \frac{n}{2} + 1; 0\right) = 1.$$

This implies that f_o satisfies condition (1.3) of Hardy's theorem if and only if $\lambda_2 = 0$.

Consequently, we conclude that when $ab = \frac{1}{4}$, the only functions that can be controlled by a Gaussian-type function, along with their $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier transform, are those of the form $f(x) = C e^{-na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}$.

3. When $ab < \frac{1}{4}$, we take $a < \delta < \frac{1}{4b}$ and we consider the family of functions $f_\delta(x) = e^{-\delta n|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}$. These functions satisfy the conditions (1.3) and (1.4). \square

Using similar techniques, we derive an $L^p - L^q$ version of Hardy's theorem, which we refer to as the Cowling-Price theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Consider $a, b > 0$, $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ such that $\min(p, q) < +\infty$. Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} satisfying*

$$\|e^{na|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} f\|_{L^p_{k,n}} < +\infty, \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\|e^{nb|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \mathcal{F}_{k,n}f\|_{L^q_{k,n}} < +\infty. \quad (3.23)$$

Then we have

1. If $a.b > \frac{1}{4}$, then $f = 0$ almost everywhere.

2. If $a.b < \frac{1}{4}$, then there exist infinitely many linearly independent functions satisfying the conditions (3.22) and (3.23).

Proof. For $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, we proceed similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider h_1 and h_2 as in (3.17), which satisfy the relations (3.18) and (3.19).

Let $q < +\infty$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1(x)|^q |x|^{2kn-n+1} dx \leq C \|e^{nb|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f\|_{L_{k,n}^q}^q < +\infty.$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_2(x)|^q |x|^{2kn-n+1} dx \leq C \|e^{nb|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f\|_{L_{k,n}^q}^q < +\infty.$$

According to Lemma 3.3, it follows that:

$$h_1 = h_2 = 0$$

As in the proof of Hardy's theorem, by using the arguments of evenness and oddness, we find that

$$f = 0 \quad a.e.$$

Let $q = +\infty$ and $p \in [1, +\infty)$.

$$\|h_1\|_{L^\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| e^{nbx^2} (\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_e(x^n) e^{-nbx^2} e^{\frac{n}{4a}x^2} \right| \leq \|e^{nb|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} < +\infty$$

and

$$\|h_2\|_{L^\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| e^{nbx^2} (\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f)_o(x^n) e^{-nbx^2} e^{\frac{n}{4a}x^2} \right| \leq \|e^{nb|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} < +\infty.$$

Then, Lemma 3.4 establishes that:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(x^n) = C_1 e^{-\frac{n}{4a}x^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x^n) = C_2 e^{-\frac{n}{4a}x^2}.$$

But the assumption (3.23) on $\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f$ gives that:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_e(x^n) \lesssim e^{-nbx^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{k,n} f_o(x^n) \lesssim e^{-nbx^2}.$$

This is impossible since $ab > \frac{1}{4}$ unless if $C_1 = C_2 = 0$. Then $f = 0$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} .
2. When $ab < \frac{1}{4}$, the same family of functions provided in the proof of Theorem 1.1 satisfies the conditions (3.22) and (3.23). \square

4. A DYNAMICAL VERSION OF HARDY'S UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

By considering the Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k , defined by (1.1), the heat operator associated with $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier transform is given as follows:

$$H_{k,n}(t, x) := n|x|^{2-\frac{2}{n}} \Delta_k^x u(t, x) - \partial_t u(t, x), \quad (4.1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$. Here, the superscript in Δ_k^x indicates the relevant variable. Note that a slight modification of the operator (4.1) was considered in [1, p.12-14] to introduce a heat function that serves to approximate functions in $L_{k,n}^2$. Our choice in this paper is based on the Gaussian analogue in Hardy's theorem. The generalized heat equation stands as

$$H_{k,n} u(t, x) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

As in the classical case, we introduce a Sobolev-type space to utilize the $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier transform in solving (4.2). Let $W_{k,n}^2$ denote the Sobolev space constructed via the operator $|x|^{2-\frac{2}{n}}\Delta_k$, defined as the subspace of $L_{k,n}^2$ such that $|x|^{2-\frac{2}{n}}\Delta_k f \in L_{k,n}^2$.

Notably, the operator $|x|^{2-\frac{2}{n}}\Delta_k$ can be introduced in $L_{k,n}^2$ under the condition $2k + \frac{2}{n} - 2 > 0$, by

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}(|x|^{2-\frac{2}{n}}\Delta_k) = -|x|^{\frac{2}{n}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{k,n}. \quad (4.3)$$

This property, along with others arising from the representation-theoretic construction of $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$, can be found in [17, Theorem 5.6]. Hence, applying $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$ to the heat equation (4.2), we obtain:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{F}_{k,n}(u_t)(\xi) = -n|\xi|^{\frac{2}{n}} \mathcal{F}_{k,n}(u_t)(\xi). \quad (4.4)$$

If we consider the initial condition

$$u_0(x) = u(0, x) \in L_{k,n}^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

then we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}(u_t)(\xi) = e^{-n|\xi|^{\frac{2}{n}}t} \mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_0(\xi). \quad (4.5)$$

Invoking relation (2.5), we assert, for $t > 0$, that

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2t} \right)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{n}{4t}|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \right) (\xi) = e^{-n|\xi|^{\frac{2}{n}}t}.$$

Consequently using the convolution structure, studied in [1, 2], which holds for $f \in L_{k,n}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L_{k,n}^2(\mathbb{R})$, as

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}(f \star_{k,n} g) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n}(f) \mathcal{F}_{k,n}(g),$$

we infer

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}(u_t)(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_{k,n} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2t} \right)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{n}{4t}|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \star_{k,n} u_0 \right) (\xi).$$

Finally, by applying the inversion formula (2.4), we deduce that a solution of the heat equation (4.2) takes the form

$$u(t, x) = \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{n}{4t}|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \star_{k,n} u_0((-1)^n x), \quad t > 0. \quad (4.6)$$

The dynamical version of Hardy theorem invoking heat operator states as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u \in C^1([0, T], W_{k,n}^2)$ be a solution of the heat equation*

$$\begin{cases} H_{k,n}u(t, x) = 0 \\ u_0(x) = u(0, x) \in L_{k,n}^2(\mathbb{R}), \end{cases} \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.7)$$

Suppose that $u_0(x) \in L_{k,n}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$|u(T, x)| \leq C e^{-n\delta|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Then : if $\delta \geq \frac{1}{4T}$ then $u = 0$.

Proof. To enhance readability, we denote by u_t the function $x \mapsto u(t, x)$.

Note that (4.8) ensures that u_T satisfies the first condition (1.3) of Hardy's theorem. Moreover, combining equation (4.5) with inequality (2.6), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}(u_T)(\xi) \leq C \|u_0\|_{L_{k,n}^1} e^{-n|\xi|^{\frac{2}{n}}T}.$$

Hence the function u_T satisfies (1.4), which implies, due to Theorem 1.1, that if $\delta > \frac{1}{4T}$ then $u_T = 0$. By examining equation (4.5), we deduce that the cancellation of u_T at time T means the initial function u_0 must also be zero. Thus, this condition propagates to all times, which implies that $u_t = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

On the other hand, when $\delta = \frac{1}{4T}$, we find that

$$u_T(x) = \lambda e^{-n\delta|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}},$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_T(x) = \lambda (2T)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-nT|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}.$$

(4.5) allows us to determine that

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_0(x) = \lambda (2T)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1}.$$

Since u_0 belongs to $L_{k,n}^2$, by Plancherel formula, it will be the same for $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_0$, which follows that $\lambda = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_0 = 0$ and $u_0 = 0$ a.e. \square

Remark 4.2. In the proof of Theorem 4.1, it is clear that Hardy's Theorem 1.1 implies Theorem 4.1. Reversely, let f be a function that satisfies the conditions (1.3) and (1.4) of Hardy's theorem. Consider the function $u(t, x)$ defined for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_t(x) = f(x) e^{-nt|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}. \quad (4.9)$$

(4.9) and (1.3) lead to $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_t$ and $|\cdot|^{\frac{2}{n}}\mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_t$ belong to $L_{k,n}^2$, which implies by the inversion formula that u_t belongs to the Sobolev-type space $W_{k,n}^2$, and $u \in C^1([0, T], W_{k,n}^2)$, where $T > 0$. Note also that by virtue of (1.4), $u_0 = \mathcal{F}_{k,n}^{-1}f = \mathcal{F}_{k,n}f((-1)^n \cdot)$ belongs to $L_{k,n}^2 \cap L_{k,n}^1$.

Moreover, applying the derivative with respect to t to (4.9), we obtain:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{F}_{k,n}u_t(x) = n\mathcal{F}_{k,n}(|x|^{2-\frac{2}{n}} \Delta_k u_t)(x).$$

Then, by the $(k, \frac{2}{n})$ -Fourier inversion formula, we obtain that u is a solution of (4.7). Specifically, for $T > 0$,

$$u(T, x) = \frac{1}{(2T)^{kn-\frac{n}{2}+1}} e^{-\frac{n}{4T}|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}} \star_{k,n} u_0((-1)^n x).$$

Using Young inequality [3]

$$|u(T, x)| \lesssim \|e^{-\frac{n}{4T}|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}\|_{L_{k,n}^1} \|u_0\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty}.$$

Since

$$\|u_0\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} = \|\mathcal{F}_{k,n}^{-1}f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} = \|\mathcal{F}_{k,n}f\|_{L_{k,n}^\infty} \lesssim e^{-nb|x|^{\frac{2}{n}}}$$

then we infer according to Theorem 4.1, when $T = a > 0$, that : if $ab > \frac{1}{4}$ then $u_t = 0$. So, by (4.9), we derive that $f = 0$.

This observation confirms the interaction between Hardy's theorem and dynamic systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ben Said and S. Negzaoui. Norm inequalities for maximal operators. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2022(1):134, 2022.
- [2] S. Ben Saïd and S. Negzaoui. Flett potentials associated with differential-difference laplace operators. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 63(3):033504, 03 2022.
- [3] M. A. Boubatra, S. Negzaoui, and M. Sifi. A new product formula involving bessel functions. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 33(3):247–263, 2022.
- [4] M. Cowling and J. F. Price. Generalisations of heisenberg’s inequality. In G. Mauceri, F. Ricci, and G. Weiss, editors, *Harmonic Analysis*, pages 443–449, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [5] M. Cowling, A. Sitaram, and M. Sundari. Hardy’s uncertainty principle on semisimple groups. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 192(2):293–296, 2000.
- [6] H. De Bie, P. Lian, and F. Maes. Bounds for the kernel of the (κ, a) -generalized fourier transform. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 288(4):110755, 2025.
- [7] L. Escauriaza, C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. The sharp Hardy uncertainty principle for Schrödinger evolutions. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 155(1):163 – 187, 2010.
- [8] A. Fernández-Bertolin and E. Malinnikova. Dynamical versions of hardy’s uncertainty principle: A survey. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 58(3):357–375, 2021.
- [9] L. Gallardo and K. Trimèche. Un analogue d’un théorème de hardy pour la transformation de dunkl. *Comptes rendus. Mathématique*, 334(10):849–854, 2002.
- [10] D. Gorbachev, V. Ivanov, and S. Tikhonov. On the kernel of the (κ, a) -generalized fourier transform. volume 11, page e72, 2023.
- [11] S. Hamem, L. Kamoun, and S. Negzaoui. Cowling–price type theorem related to bessel–struve transform. *Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 19(2):187–198, 2013.
- [12] G. H. Hardy. A theorem concerning fourier transforms. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-8(3):227–231, 1933.
- [13] T. R. Johansen. Weighted inequalities and uncertainty principles for the (k,a) -generalized fourier transform. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 27(03):1650019, 2016.
- [14] C. E. K. G. P. L. V. M. Cowling, L. Escauriaza. The hardy uncertainty principle revisited. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 59:2007–2026, 2010.
- [15] H. Mejjaoli and S. Negzaoui. Linear canonical deformed hankel transform and the associated uncertainty principles. *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*, 14(2):29, 2023.
- [16] S. B. Saïd, T. Kobayashi, and B. Ørsted. Generalized fourier transforms fk, a . *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 347(19-20):1119–1124, 2009.
- [17] S. B. Saïd, T. Kobayashi, and B. Ørsted. Laguerre semigroup and dunkl operators. *Compositio Mathematica*, 148(4):1265–1336, 2012.
- [18] A. Sitaram and M. Sundari. An analogue of hardy’s theorem for very rapidly decreasing functions on semi-simple lie groups. *pacific journal of mathematics*, 177(1):187–200, 1997.
- [19] K. Smaoui and K. Abid. Hardy’s theorem for gabor transform on nilpotent lie groups. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 28(3):56, 2022.
- [20] M. Sundari. Hardy’s theorem for the n -dimensional euclidean motion group. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 126(4):1199–1204, 1998.
- [21] G. N. Watson. *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, volume 3. The University Press, 1922.

(Hanen Jilani) UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS., LABORATOIRE D’ANALYSE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS LR11ES11, 2092 TUNIS, TUNISIE

Email address: hanen.jilani@fst.utm.tn

(Selma Negzaoui) PREPARATORY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING STUDIES OF MONASTIR, UNIVERSITY OF MONASTIR, AND UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS. LABORATOIRE D’ANALYSE MATHÉMATIQUE ET APPLICATIONS LR11ES11, 2092 TUNIS, TUNISIE.

Email address: selma.negzaoui@fst.utm.tn