

Insights into new mechanosensitive behaviors of G protein-coupled receptors

Aakanskha J Shetty¹, Alexei Sirbu^{1,2}, Paolo Annibale^{1*}

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St Andrews

² ISAR Bioscience, Munich-Planegg

*pa53@st-andrews.ac.uk

5869 words excluding references

Abstract

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent a diverse and vital family of membrane proteins that mediate intracellular signaling in response to extracellular stimuli, playing critical roles in physiology and disease. Traditionally recognized as chemical signal transducers, GPCRs have recently been implicated in mechanotransduction, the process of converting mechanical stimuli into cellular responses. This review explores the emerging role of GPCRs in sensing and responding to mechanical forces, with a particular focus on the cardiovascular system. Cardiovascular homeostasis is heavily influenced by mechanical forces such as shear stress, cyclic stretch, and pressure, which are central to both normal physiology and the pathogenesis of diseases like hypertension and atherosclerosis. GPCRs, including the angiotensin II type 1 receptor (AT1R) and the β 2-adrenergic receptor (β 2-AR), have demonstrated the ability to integrate mechanical and chemical signals, potentially through conformational changes and/or modulation of lipid interactions, leading to biased signaling. Recent studies highlight the dual activation mechanisms of GPCRs, with β 2-AR now serving as a key example of how mechanical and ligand-dependent pathways contribute to cardiovascular regulation. This review synthesizes current knowledge of GPCR mechanosensitivity, emphasizing its implications for cardiovascular health and disease, and explores advancements in methodologies poised to further unravel the mechanistic intricacies of these receptors.

Introduction

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent one of the most extensive and diverse families of membrane proteins in the human body (Maudsley, Martin, and Luttrell 2005). These receptors play crucial roles in transmitting extracellular signals into intracellular responses, mediating a wide range of physiological processes (Lefkowitz 2013). These are versatile, seven-transmembrane-domain proteins that regulate a diverse array of intracellular signaling cascades in response to hormones, neurotransmitters, ions, photons, odorants and other stimuli (Hilger, Masureel, and Kobilka 2018). GPCRs are thus attractive drug targets as they play an important role in physiology and disease. Among the many physiological processes they regulate, their role in the cardiovascular system is particularly significant, as they modulate blood pressure, heart rate, and vascular tone. Recent advances in cellular biomechanics have highlighted an additional, less-explored aspect of GPCR regulation: their ability to sense and respond to mechanical stress and stimuli (Hardman, Goldman, and Pliotas 2023), (Wilde et al. 2022), (Storch, Schnitzler, and Gudermann 2012). This mechanotransduction process, where mechanical stimuli are converted into cellular signals, represents a novel dimension of GPCR function that extends beyond their classical role as chemical signal transducers. This review will focus on recent results covering GPCR response to external mechanical stimuli in a cardiovascular setting.

Mechanical forces play a pivotal role in maintaining cardiovascular homeostasis. The heart, blood vessels, and

other components of the cardiovascular system are constantly exposed to mechanical stimuli, including shear stress, cyclic stretch, and pressure. These forces are critical for normal physiological function and contribute to the pathophysiology of various cardiovascular diseases, such as hypertension, atherosclerosis, and heart failure (Garoffolo and Pesce 2019). For decades, it was assumed that mechanical stimuli primarily exert their effects through mechanosensitive ion channels (Friedrich et al. 2012), integrins (Friedrich et al. 2012) (Shyy and Chien 2002), cell-cell adhesion molecules or cytoskeletal filaments (Ingber 2006). GPCRs are thus a relatively recent addition to the list of mechanotransducers. The $G_{q/11}$ -coupled angiotensin II type 1 receptor (AT1R) was the first GPCR to qualify as a mechanosensitive receptor (Zou et al. 2004), suggesting that these receptors not only respond to traditional ligands but are also activated or modulated by mechanical forces such as stretch or shear stress (Hardman, Goldman, and Pliotas 2023). This dual activation mechanism allows GPCRs to integrate mechanical and chemical signals, contributing to their complex role in cardiovascular physiology.

The mechanistic underpinnings of how mechanical forces activate non-adhesion GPCRs are still being elucidated, but several models have been proposed. One such model suggests that mechanical stress directly induces conformational changes in the receptor structure, particularly within the transmembrane helices, leading to receptor activation. This mechanical deformation could alter the receptor's interaction with intracellular G proteins and other signaling molecules, triggering downstream signaling cascades similar to those initiated by ligand binding (Wilde et al. 2022). Another model proposes that mechanical stimuli might modulate the interactions between GPCRs and other membrane molecules, such as lipids, which could in turn influence receptor function (Poudel and Vanegas 2024; Candelario and Chachisvilis 2012). Furthermore, emerging evidence indicates that mechanical activation of GPCRs can promote biased signaling, in favour of specific intracellular pathways over others (Tang et al. 2014) suggesting that mechanical activation of GPCRs could occur both in a ligand-dependent or -independent way, defining two distinct modes of mechanoactivation. This form of allostery could have important implications for cardiovascular function and disease, as it may lead to distinct physiological outcomes while receptors are undergoing canonical ligand-induced activation (Cullum et al. 2024; Sirbu et al. 2024a). The β 2-AR plays a key role in regulating cardiovascular function by mediating the effects of the sympathetic nervous system on heart rate, contractility, and vascular tone. When activated by catecholamines like adrenaline and noradrenaline, β 2-AR primarily causes vasodilation in the blood vessels, particularly in the skeletal muscles, heart, and lungs. This vasodilation reduces peripheral resistance, which helps decrease blood pressure and increases blood flow to these critical tissues during times of stress or exercise. In the heart, β 2-AR activation contributes to a mild increase in heart rate and myocardial contractility, although this effect is less pronounced than that for the highly homologous β 1-AR. The β 2-AR's function in modulating vascular tone helps balancing systemic vascular resistance and supports the body's ability to respond to physical or emotional stress. Interestingly, two independent reports from this year have addressed these two modes of activation for the same receptor, namely the β 2-adrenergic receptor (β 2-AR) (Cullum et al. 2024; Sirbu et al. 2024a).

In this review, we shall briefly highlight the key findings related to GPCR mechanosensitive behavior, already summarized in several excellent recent reviews (Wilde et al. 2022) (Hardman, Goldman, and Pliotas 2023) (Xiao, Liu, and Shawn Xu 2023), with a specific focus on the cardiovascular system, before addressing specifically the case of the β 2-AR and some of the upcoming methodological developments that may allow the field to move further.

Mechanism of GPCR signalling

For most GPCRs, binding of the endogenous hormone or ligand leads to conformational changes at the cytoplasmic ends of the transmembrane (TM) segments that provide an interaction interface for cytosolic proteins including heterotrimeric G proteins, G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) and arrestins (Manglik and Kobilka 2014). Structural comparative studies of class A GPCRs highlight common activation mechanism, underpinned by tilt, rotation, elongation of the transmembrane domains (TM) that create a network of interactions between specific residues that stabilize the receptors in each conformation (Hauser et al. 2021). These studies highlighted conserved motifs of receptor conformational change upon binding of an agonist to the orthosteric pocket, the most obvious being movements of TM3 (Classes B and C) and TM6 (A, B and C). According to the cubic ternary model, inactive [R] and active [R*] states of a receptor are in equilibrium. In absence of a ligand some critical amino acid residues in the TM domains interact with one another, keeping receptors preferentially locked in an inactive state, corresponding to conformations that typically do not favor G protein binding and are incapable of catalyzing G protein nucleotide exchange (Manglik and Kruse 2017). Rather, the activated [R*] state represents conformations that enable the receptor to interact with heterotrimeric G proteins or other effectors. The binding of the agonist changes the helix-helix interactions in the GPCR pushing the receptor towards [R*] (Gether 2000; Yasuda et al. 2008) (Zhang, Frangos, and Chachisvilis 2009). To create a cavity on the receptor intracellular face that can accommodate the G protein, GPCR activation involves a rotation and displacement of transmembrane (TM) helix 6. Cys²⁶⁵ located in the third intracellular loop (IC3) at the cytoplasmic end of the transmembrane 6 (TM6) α helix is important for G-protein coupling and the constitutive receptor activation (Ghanouni et al. 2001). TM5 also rotates away from the receptor, further enlarging the G protein binding cavity. This enables movement of helices 5, 6, and 7, translating into conformational changes in the third cytoplasmic loop that subsequently activate G proteins (Mahoney and Sunahara 2016). Intermediate changes in conformational states are sometimes denoted as [R'] and [R'']. Every interaction of the agonist stabilizes one or more TM domains until the receptor attains a stabilized active conformation [R*] (Gether 2000).

Approximately 34% of the drugs approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) target GPCRs and are used to treat cardiovascular, neuropsychiatric, neurodegenerative, metabolic, and inflammatory diseases. Most of these drugs are small molecules that bind to the orthosteric ligand binding sites of the GPCR, altering the receptor conformation and modulating the intracellular signaling responses (Persechino et al. 2022). Allosteric modulators, unlike orthosteric drugs, bind to receptor sites that are evolutionarily less conserved and spatially distinct from orthosteric sites. They mediate responses by selecting or stabilizing specific conformations of GPCRs when an orthosteric ligand is bound. GPCRs are well-known examples of allosteric proteins because the ligand binding at the extracellular orthosteric site promotes the binding of the G protein at the cytoplasmic side of the GPCR. This coupled equilibrium of agonist and G protein increases the affinity of the ligand binding (Weis and Kobilka 2018) (Pani et al. 2021). Small molecules can also serve as allosteric modulators, such as a positive allosteric modulator (PAM) compd-6, (Pani et al. 2021) that enhances the pharmacological activity of carvedilol, at β 2-AR and β 1-AR sites. Carvedilol is a drug used in the treatment of cardiac dysfunction, and the modulation by compd-6 to stimulate β 2-AR mediated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation in a β -arrestin dependent manner stabilizes the carvedilol-bound distinct conformation of β 2-AR and inhibits β 2-agonist coupled G α s-protein stimulation and cAMP signaling. This allosteric modulation results in cardioprotective effects in heart failure. Molecules from the membrane environment also serve as typical allosteric modulators of receptor function (Zocher et al. 2012).

Mechanical stress-induced activation of GPCR signaling: the prototypical case of the AT1R

In the light of such mechanisms of receptors activation, it is reasonable to argue that either direct or indirect mechanical stimuli acting on one or more domains of an individual receptor may either alter its conformation or modulate how it responds to a canonical (e.g. ligand-mediated) activation. Mechanical stimuli may not induce the same conformational changes in the receptor structure that orthosteric ligands cause. However, it has been established that they can induce different active states or allosterically modulate the canonical signaling (Hardman, Goldman, and Pliotas 2023). While the sources of mechanical stimuli acting on cells in a physiological setting are extremely broad, the result at the receptor level would be a change in the forces exerted on individual receptor domains.

One of the prototypical cases where those effects have been initially studied is the angiotensin type 1 receptor (AT1R). AT1R plays an important role in mediating the external load into intracellular responses. When cardiomyocytes are stretched *in vitro*, they release Ang II (All) which induces hypertrophic responses, indicating an indirect form of signaling response to mechanical stress. This stress induced autocrine response is suppressed by AT1R antagonists (Sadoshima et al. 1993) (Sadoshima and Izumo 1993). However, subsequent studies identified also a stretch induced mechanostimulation of the AT1R leading to an All independent activation of ERKs, in what was the first observation of mechanosensitivity of a GPCR (Zou et al. 2004). Mechanical stretch did not activate ERKs in HEK293 cells or COS7 cells, cells endogenously devoid of AT1R; however heterologous expression of the AT1R gave these cells the ability to respond to stretch (**Figure 1a**). On the other hand, cells transfected with the β 2-AR did not display any similar level of mechanical activation (**Figure 1b**). Activated AT1R upon stretching interacts with the heterotrimeric G protein, resulting in G α q11 redistribution in the cytosol and this was inhibited by AT1R blocker candesartan. Mechanical stress also activated the AT1R in pressure overload induced cardiac hypertrophy mice model without the involvement of All (Zou et al. 2004).




Figure 1 Evidence of mechanoactivation of the AT1R A) HEK293-AT1-WT cells were stretched in the absence (-) or presence of 100 nM (Sar₁,Ile₈)-All. B) COS7 cells transiently transfected with β 2-AR receptors were pretreated with the β -AR blocker propranolol (Prop) or vehicle (-) and then stimulated with mechanical stretch or Isoproterenol. Adapted from (Zou et al. 2004).

In order to elucidate the conformational changes taking place at the AT1R under mechanical stress, the receptor was investigated using a Substituted Cysteine Accessibility Method assay, which allowed to track the relative conformational changes of the receptor. It was found that mechanical stretch increases the accessibility of Cys289 by inducing anticlockwise rotation of TM7. The inverse agonist, candesartan suppressed this stretch-induced activation of AT1R. With candesartan treatment the clockwise rotations of TM6 and TM7 shift the receptor to an inactive state (Yasuda et al. 2008).

Another factor that was investigated as a source of mechanoactivation for the AT1R is the change of the

local lipid bilayer thickness. Molecular dynamics simulations of AT1R were performed incorporating the AT1R into equilibrated membrane patches consisting of 50 lipids per leaflet, maintained under physiological conditions of 37°C and 1 atm pressure. The conformational changes of the AT1R as a function of the change in membrane thickness was monitored by MD of the TM1-TM6 and TM3-TM6 distances. TM6 is a major player in binding of effector G proteins or β -arrestins. It was found that large thickness 1-stearoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (SOPC) membrane increased the values of TM1-TM6 distances favoring the outward movement of TM6. The thinner dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) membranes present a greater hindrance to the mobility of TM6, as these membranes would require a higher local deformation than SOPC. Hence, membrane deformations near the AT1R cause a hydrophobic mismatch which plays an important role in the movement of TM6 which could activate or stabilize conformational changes that could facilitate downstream signaling (Poudel and Vanegas 2024).

Further to these findings, Rakesh *et al.* found that, in cells and ex vivo heart preparations, mechanical stress activated a signaling pathway that required neither angiotensin II nor G proteins. Instead, β -arrestin was recruited to AT1R, the complex was internalized, and β -arrestin mediated activation of an antiapoptotic signaling pathway through ERK and Akt unfolded. Treating mice with the angiotensin receptor blocker losartan led to increased cardiomyocyte apoptosis, leading the authors to suggest that these drugs may block β -arrestin-mediated protective signaling in response to mechanical stress (Rakesh *et al.* 2010).

To better investigate this process, Tang *et al.* generated an AT1R- β -arrestin2 fusion protein. Upon osmotic swelling (143 mOsm) the AT1R- β -arrestin2 fusion protein displayed increased ligand binding when cells were stimulated with the biased agonist TRV120023 (Figure 2). Membrane stretch preferentially favours the biased agonist-dependent ERK1/2 phosphorylation. BRET measurements displayed that after the osmotic swelling, the AT1R is allosterically biased into a β -arrestin2 activating conformation which cannot be changed into a different stable conformation even with addition of AngII. (Tang *et al.* 2014)). It shall be noted that in these experiments the authors observed also a ligand independent receptor activation, as reported earlier (Zou *et al.* 2004).




Figure 2 Allosteric modulation of hypotonic swelling on β -arrestin recruitment to the AT1R. A), competition binding isotherms for the balanced agonist AngII. No curve shift was observed under hypotonic osmotic stretch (143 mOsm/kg). B), competition binding isotherms for the β -arrestin-biased ligand TRV120023 showing that it bound with 9.7-fold greater affinity to the AT1R- β -arrestin2 under isotonic condition, with a further 3.5-fold shift in binding affinity under hypotonic conditions (Tang et al. 2014).

The AT1R thus embodies both pathways of mechanosensitive GPCR response, namely modulation of ligand-dependent activation as well as ligand-independent, constitutive activation. Up to 2023, it was the only non-adhesion mechanosensitive GPCR to display this behavior, as opposed to the other non-adhesion mechanosensitive GPCRs like bradykinin 2 (Chachisvilis, Zhang, and Frangos 2006), PTHR1(Zhang, Frangos, and Chachisvilis 2009), 5-HT1R (Candelario and Chachisvilis 2012), H1R(Erdogmus et al. 2019), formyl peptide receptor(Makino et al. 2006), apelin receptor (Scimia et al. 2012), GPR68(Xu et al. 2018) that have been observed to display mainly a ligand independent activation by mechanical stimulation.

Mechano-response of the β 2-AR

Two reports this year have however highlighted this dual mechanism in another class A GPCR, also of notable cardiovascular relevance, namely the β 2-AR. The β 2-AR is one of the first GPCRs to be studied and provided the blueprint for interpretation of behavior of most class A GPCRs. In this context, any observation of mechanosensitivity is clearly of great relevance. Cullum et al have reported its ligand-independent activation upon mechanical stress (Cullum et al. 2024), whereas Sirbu et al. observed the ligand-dependent regulation of its downstream response upon osmotic swelling (Sirbu et al. 2024b).

Cullum et al. investigated the β 2-AR mediated cAMP responses using a luminescence-based biosensor assay: upon receptor activation, cAMP induces conformational alterations in the cAMP-binding domain of the protein kinase A (PKA) regulatory subunit (R11 β B), which are quantified by the change in luminescence of the biosensor. The basal cAMP levels of both endogenous and overexpressed β 2-AR cell lines were similar. However, variations were observed when the plate hosting HEK293 recombinant TS-SNAP- β 2AR

cell line was removed and reinserted into the plate reader, suggesting that mechanical stimulation induced the changes in cAMP levels (**Figure 3**). Sequential mechano-stimulation of the receptor in HEK293G- β 2AR by movement of the plate in and out of the plate-reader resulted in rapid increase in baseline luminescence. This was inhibited by the addition of the inverse agonist ICI-118551.




Figure 3 mechanical activation of β 2-AR, dependence on overexpression A) Impact of repeated mechanical stimulation on the basal GloSensor™ time-course responses in a clonal HEK293G cell line overexpressing recombinant TS- SNAP- β 2AR, with and without the presence of the adrenergic inverse antagonist ICI118'551. B) mechanical response for HEK293G cell line overexpressing recombinant TS-SNAP- β 2AR and HEK293 cells expressing endogenous (lower) level of receptor. C) Mechanical response in HEK293 cells expressing endogenous (lower) level of receptor, with and without application of the adrenergic inverse antagonist ICI118'551. Adapted from (Cullum et al. 2024)

Notably the peak response to the vehicle HBSS was significantly higher in HEK293G overexpressing β 2-AR than in the cell line displaying endogenous β 2-AR expression (Figure 3d) and the difference of luminescence values taken after the initial plate loading with respect to basal luminescence readings in HEK293G was non-significant (Figure 3d). Of interest, four β 2-AR inverse agonists- ICI-118551, carvedilol, carazolol, propranolol added by removing the plate and re-inserting into the plate reader reversed the initial basal cAMP responses. These results suggest that mechanical stimulation enhances the constitutive R* active state of the receptor, as confirmed by the fact that altering the residue D113 which is the catecholamine binding domain of β 2-AR, reduced the basal cAMP responses upon mechanical stimulation and reduced the affinity of ICI-118551. Overall, the study by Cullum et al highlights a mechanical activation of the β 2-AR, that can be countered by the use of an inverse agonist for this receptor, suggesting for the mechanical stress/force a role akin to an orthosteric ligand. We shall however note that, as expected, the magnitude of the cAMP response is dependent on the overall β 2-AR (over)-expression of the cells, raising questions about the physiological significance of this specific mechanism.

This result stands in contrast with previous work where the role of extracellular β 2-AR-associated glycan chains has shown to play an important role in mechanical stimulation of β 2-AR in the form of an allosteric modulation of the receptor signaling along its β -Arrestin - ERK activation pathway. Notably, no significant increase in cAMP levels was observed in cells incubated with meningococcal type IV pili, shown to interact with N-terminal receptor glycosylation domains (Figure 4), as opposed to substantial recruitment of β -Arrestin2 (Coureuil et al. 2010).




Figure 4 Production of cAMP in hCMEC/D3 cells assessed by a cAMP reporter assay in response to bacterial adhesion or β -adrenergic ligands. WTNm, wild-type 2C43 bacteria; WTNm propra, wild-type 2C43 bacteria in the presence of 100 nM propranolol; PiiE- Nm, 2C43 DPiiE bacteria devoid of type IV pili. iso, 10 mM isoproterenol; iso - propra, 10 mM isoproterenol and 100 nM propranolol; *** $p < 0.001$. Data are expressed as average values \pm SEM. Adapted from Coureuil et al (Coureuil et al. 2010)

In a follow up study by the same group, Virion et al investigated the role of receptor glycosylation by analysing mutants in which asparagine residues were substituted with alanine. These mutations occurred at the N-terminal (N6A and N15A) and in the second extracellular loop (N187A) of the β 2-AR. Mutants showed an attenuated basal cAMP response to the mechanical stimulation by bacterial pili and were also insensitive to inverse agonist (prop) treatment (Virion et al. 2019). In summary, this set of studies observed a ligand independent β -arrestin mechanoactivation mediated by the β 2-AR, but no orthosteric activation of the receptor along the Gs-cAMP axis by such mechanical stimulation.

Part of these observations were recapitulated in a recent study by Sirbu et al. The authors employed osmotic swelling (also referred to as hypotonic shock) as a probe to alter the local biophysical environment of endogenous adrenoreceptors. Most of the cells in the body are highly sensitive to osmotic stress which leads to a diverse range of mechanisms like rearrangement of the cell membrane components, modulation of the actin cytoskeleton and overall increase in the cell area (Hoffmann, Lambert, and Pedersen 2009; Groulx et al. 2007). We shall note here that osmotic swelling has been routinely used as a proxy for causing cellular stretching, including in several of the studies referred to in this review. Nonetheless, both the strength of the treatment and the time of measurement from the onset of the swelling vary largely between different laboratories (Hoffmann, Lambert, and Pedersen 2009; Groulx et al. 2007). Sirbu et al used values in line with those that demonstrated previous ligand-independent Gq activation (Erdogmus et al. 2019). To study the effect of osmotic swelling on Gas coupled β 2-AR, the cAMP levels were monitored first in HEK293 cells using a FRET-based cAMP fluorescence biosensor Epac-SH187. The kinetic cAMP response to 200mOsm hypotonic solution as compared to 300mOsm isotonic solution in presence of the agonist isoproterenol was observed to reach a significantly higher peak in HEK293 cells, thereby subsiding to a steady state level comparable between hypotonic and isotonic conditions. After ruling out changes to the adenylyl cyclase activation, potential inhibition of PDE activity as well as effects related to altered receptor internalization Gas was suspected to be the key player in mediating the response. This possibility was investigated by measuring the recruitment of nanobody 37 to the cell's plasma membrane which binds to the nucleotide-free Gas in the agonist-bound β 2-AR Gas signaling complex. Osmotic swelling of cells co-

transfected with β 2-AR and Gs was found to be beneficial in the Iso - β 2-AR - Gs ternary complex formation as the Nb37 recruitment was almost double as compared to the non-swollen cells. It shall be noted that β -Arrestin2 recruitment was also increased by almost two-fold in swollen cells and it was found to be independent of Gs activation. Next, fluorescent anisotropy studies revealed the affinity towards the agonist was significantly higher in swollen cells. These findings confirm that osmotic swelling promotes active β 2-AR conformations favorable both to Gs and β -Arrestin2 recruitment and ultimately leads to an increased cAMP level (Sirbu et al. 2024b).

This mechanism was further observed in swollen adult cardiomyocytes isolated from transgenic mouse expressing the Epac1-camps cAMP sensor (Figure 5).




Figure 5 Mechanical effects on β -AR-mediated cAMP response in adult cardiomyocytes A) Representative confocal images (out of 6 cells from 3 independent experiments) of CM under isotonic conditions (upper inset) and after 20 minutes of exposure to swelling medium (lower inset), with an overlay of cell edges under both conditions (main); B) representative curve (out of 3 independent experiments, 42 cells control and 47 cells swelling) showing kinetics of acceptor/donor ratio measured in CM stably expressing Epac1-camps under an epifluorescence microscope (normalized to baseline and 10 μ M forskolin + 100 μ M IBMX); Adapted from Sirbu et al. (Sirbu et al. 2024b)

Cardiomyocytes are known to physiologically experience swelling during reperfusion after ischemic shock; the concomitant observation that the chronotropic response upon swelling in human induced pluripotent stem cells-derived cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-CMs) was also increased (this is consistent with improved Ca^{2+} handling caused by a downstream activation of PKA in response to the transient increase in cAMP levels in swollen cells), upholds the relevance of this mechanoactivation of the β 2-AR in cardiac physiology.

Taken together, these three studies on the mechanical sensitivity of the β 2-AR paint a complex picture of the activation landscape of this receptor, and of how this can be modulated by mechanical cues. Sirbu et al, Coureuil et al & Virion et al point to an allosteric modulatory effect of the mechanical stimuli at the receptor. Moreover, these works also highlight that mechanical stimulation clearly elicits a β -arrestin dependent pathway. Somewhat differently to what is observed by Cullum et al, β -blockade does not abolish the effect of hypotonic shock on receptor Gs-mediated signaling; instead, in osmotically swollen cells, it is

easier for orthosteric agonists to break the blockade (Figure 6).




Figure 6 Effect of mechanical stimulation of β 2-AR on adrenergic blockade A) Representative time-sequence (out of 3 independent experiments) of the relative increase of Nb80-eYFP fluorescence signal at the basolateral membrane, as isoproterenol is added after 60 min incubation with 100 μ M propranolol; B) relative fluorescence increase measured upon Nb80-eYFP recruitment upon 1 μ M and 10 μ M isoproterenol stimulation in swollen vs non-swollen cells (mean \pm SEM; n = 21 cells (control) and 23 cells (swelling) from 3 independent experiments; unpaired two-tailed t-test; p values: <0.0001 (1 μ M Iso), <0.0001 (10 μ M Iso) . Adapted from (Sirbu et al. 2024a)

Prominently, membrane stretch caused by osmotic swelling does not cause any increase in receptors constitutive activity, when measured by downstream cAMP readouts, in line with the action of the bacterial pili on the N-terminal glycans of the β 2-AR, which does not cause an increase in basal cAMP level. On the other hand, the mechanical stimulation by linear movement of the plate in and out of a plate reader was sufficient to cause substantial increases in cAMP, as observed by Cullum et al, which in turn appears to be associated to a fully active conformation of the receptor, since inverse agonists are able to shift the equilibrium back from the active to the inactive state. We shall also note here that in the study by Cullum et al, while the effects are most prominent in case of receptor overexpression, they approach the limit of statistical significance when receptors are endogenously expressed. Moreover, it was not investigated whether the mechanical loading of the plate also triggers the activation of β -Arrestins to check if there is also biased activation of the β 2-AR.

It is possible that mechanical stimulation leads to different conformational outcomes at the β 2-AR depending on whether the stimulus is enacted via extracellular domains (Virion et al. 2019) and associated glycans, or by intracellularly driven changes in osmotic pressure, membrane curvature and actin remodeling.

As observed in the β 1-AR, the application of pressure shifts the equilibrium of the agonist-bound receptor towards a fully active conformation. This was a result of the intracellular G protein binding pocket widening in the fully active state to accommodate helix α 5 of the G protein. This increased hydration of the G protein binding pocket due to the reduction in the void volume was shown to enhance the agonist affinity by approximately 100- fold (Abiko, Grahl, and Grzesiek 2019).

Overall, the broader implications of such mechanosensitive behavior in the β 2-AR are still unclear, as well as their role in maintaining vascular and cardiac health. The ability of GPCRs to sense and respond to mechanical forces has profound implications for cardiovascular health and disease. In conditions such as hypertension and heart failure, the cardiovascular system experiences increased mechanical stress, which can alter GPCR signaling and contribute to disease progression. For instance, mechanical activation of AT1R in response to elevated blood pressure can exacerbate vasoconstriction and hypertrophy, promoting adverse cardiovascular remodeling. Similarly, mechanical activation of β -adrenergic receptors in heart failure may contribute to pathological changes in cardiac contractility and arrhythmias, whereas mechanical β 2-AR activation in the vascular system could lead to vasodilation and act as a positive feedback loop to relieve pressure. Understanding how mechanical stimuli influence GPCR function in these contexts could provide new insights into disease mechanisms and reveal novel therapeutic targets. Additionally, the concept of biased signaling, whereby mechanical forces preferentially activate specific GPCR signaling pathways, opens up exciting possibilities for the development of biased agonists that selectively target beneficial pathways while avoiding harmful ones.

Mechanisms and novel methodological avenues

Part of the reason why, even for a single receptor, a relative diversity of mechanosensitive behaviors has been observed, may hinge on the fact that application of a defined, controllable and localized mechanical stimulus remains challenging. The aforementioned studies on β 2-AR do not fall into this category. To better understand the molecular mechanisms of mechanosensitive responses, such as in the case of β 2-AR, it is crucial to employ precise and controllable approaches to apply mechanical stimuli combined with techniques that allow for quantitative measurements at the single cell level. Novel microscopic techniques facilitate the studies on GPCR mechanosensitivity. Single-molecule fluorescence can track individual GPCRs in live cells, revealing changes to their dynamics (Scarselli et al. 2015). By providing precise measurements at the single-molecule level, single molecule force spectroscopy tools like Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) or optical tweezers offer insights into the fundamental forces and dynamics that govern biological processes (Zocher et al. 2013).

Force spectroscopy approaches, such as optical tweezer (OT) technologies, coupled to microscopy, have been employed over the last three decades to study various biological phenomena but seldom to GPCR-related research. Since the invention of optical tweezers by Arthur Ashkin in 1970 (Ashkin and Dziedzic 1971), OTs have been used to study many biological processes and also to study the effect of mechanical forces on cells (Ashkin and Dziedzic 1989). Compared to other mechanical spectroscopy techniques such as atomic force microscopy (AFM) and magnetic tweezers, OT provide high-resolution position and force measurements in the piconewton (pN) range, making them ideal for studying the dynamic properties of cells (Arbore et al. 2019). OTs have enabled the study of red blood cell deformations, which is essential for linking the cell's mechanical properties like viscoelasticity, bending modulus and stretching force to diseases such as malaria and sickle cell anemia (Lim et al. 2004). Tethers, i.e. thin membrane tubules pulled between a microbead and the bulk of the cell, allowed to establish an important connection between membrane tension and actin cytoskeletal remodeling. Tethers pulled from NIH3T3 cells revealed the presence of actin within, which indicated that the cell responds to the force application by reorganization of its cytoskeletal filaments (Pontes et al. 2011). More recent studies, using a dual trapping geometry (De Belly et al. 2023) showed that using tethers pulled from only the membrane of cells (e.g. from blebs), the overall actin cortex opposes membrane tension propagation but forces that engage the actin cortex cause

rapid long-range actin driven membrane tension propagation.

In the context of GPCR studies, tether pulling has been used to monitor selected GPCRs redistribution. The neuropeptide Y2 receptor (Y2R), was expressed transiently in HEK293 cells and the receptor distribution was measured by means of fluorescence intensity as a function of tether radius. The receptor sorting was inversely proportional to the radius of the tether. Follow up experiments in spontaneous PC12 cells filopodia displayed that agonist bound β 1-AR, β 2-AR and Y2R have different preference for the curved membrane of the tethers, i.e. agonist addition decreased the intrinsic curvature and increased the bending rigidity for Y2R and β 2-AR which could be a mechanism for stabilizing the extracellular ligand binding pocket of the receptor. This seminal work thus suggested a role of membrane curvature as a regulator of GPCR activation and signalling (Rosholm et al. 2017). These data further matched confocal microscopy mapping of the basolateral membrane of β 1-AR-transfected HEK293 cells, indicating a strong correlation between receptor density and the membrane's mean curvature. The lipid composition and interleaflet asymmetry of the membrane enhances receptor coupling to shallow membranes; however, shallow curvature alone is sufficient to establish GPCR-enriched and GPCR-depleted domains. An unambiguous curvature-dependent sorting of GPCRs in shallow membranes was observed for β 1-AR, β 2-AR, Y2R and glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor (GLP1R). Ligand induction shifted the distribution of GLP1R from receptor-depleted to receptor-enriched domains at regions of negative membrane curvature, likely due to a conformational change in the receptor (Kockelkoren et al. 2023). Thus, a strong correlation exists between membrane curvature and the spatial distribution of GPCR density, again indicating a geometry sensing feature of these receptors that could suggestively be connected to the above-reported mechanosensitive features; however, the mechanisms responsible for the formation of these high-density domains is still under investigation (Kockelkoren et al. 2023).

We argue that combining tether pulling experiments using optical tweezers to dynamic measurements of receptor diffusion as well as activation, e.g. using fluorescence biosensors, is a key avenue to unravel several of the challenges outlined in this review to address the mechanosensitive nature of these important receptors. Three important questions that can be addressed using this technology are: 1) the molecular determinants of receptor rearrangement in the curved compartments, which is important towards better understanding receptor compartmentalization processes at the membrane, e.g. β 2-AR segregation in the t-tubules of adult cardiomyocytes (Bathe-Peters et al. 2021). 2) Decoupling the role of curvature and cytoskeletal interaction in affecting GPCR dynamics and trafficking. 3) Determine whether the downstream signaling cascade in regions that are mechanically deformed changes with respect to areas of the cell that are mechanically at rest.




Figure 7 OT deformations of cells expressing GPCR and cAMP biosensors A) Confocal image of a HEK293 cell expressing a fluorescently tagged membrane receptor, with a pair of tethers being pulled by a 2 μm bead using a Lumicks C-trap. B) A kymograph of a tether measured by a line-scan highlighted by the dashed line in panel A. The kymograph displays a fluorescent bleaching step followed by fluorescence recovery (FRAP). C) Profile of the fluorescent intensity recovery along the tether postbleaching that provides information on receptors diffusion rate on the tethers. D) Confocal section of a HEK293 cell expressing the cAMP biosensor PinkFlamindo. Here, upon application of mechanical pressure in a portion of the cell, by means of a trapped 2 μm bead, an increase in cAMP levels is recorded in the proximal region (A. Shetty and P. Annibale, unpublished data).

These ideas are graphically summarised in Figure 7. Here, OT combined with confocal microscopy are used to pull tethers from HEK293 cells transfected with a fluorescently tagged membrane receptor (Figure 7A). Once a tether is established, it is possible to conduct a confocal linescan across the tether to generate a kymograph (Figure 7B). Then, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (Edidin, Zagayansky, and Lardner 1976) can be used to monitor the diffusion rate of the receptors in the tether, and compare their diffusion to that observed on the basal membrane (Figure 7C). If the cells are additionally transfected with a biosensor for downstream second messenger, such as cAMP, one could monitor membrane conformation-dependent changes to downstream signaling (Figure 7D).

In contrast to the earlier study by Rosholm et al (Rosholm et al. 2017), which calculated receptor density based on tether radius, kymographs offer dynamic information on local receptor abundance and its time dependence.

We believe that these new approaches will help shed further light into the mechanosensitive behavior of receptors and how this affects their subcellular downstream signaling profiles, with generalisation possible beyond the cardiovascular field.

Disclosure of interest

We declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the

research reported

Funding

PA gratefully acknowledges support from the Leverhulme Trust (RL-2022-015) and from the UKRI BBSRC (BB/X019047/1).

References

- Abiko, Layara Akemi, Anne Grahl, and Stephan Grzesiek. 2019. 'High Pressure Shifts the β 1-Adrenergic Receptor to the Active Conformation in the Absence of G Protein', *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 141: 16663-70.
- Arbore, Claudia, Laura Perego, Marios Sergides, and Marco Capitanio. 2019. 'Probing force in living cells with optical tweezers: from single-molecule mechanics to cell mechanotransduction', *Biophysical Reviews*, 11: 765-82.
- Ashkin, A., and J. M. Dziedzic. 1971. 'Optical Levitation by Radiation Pressure', *Applied Physics Letters*, 19: 283-85.
- . 1989. 'Internal cell manipulation using infrared laser traps', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 86: 7914-18.
- Bathe-Peters, Marc, Philipp Gmach, Horst-Holger Boltz, Jürgen Einsiedel, Michael Gotthardt, Harald Hübner, Peter Gmeiner, Martin J. Lohse, and Paolo Annibale. 2021. 'Visualization of β -adrenergic receptor dynamics and differential localization in cardiomyocytes', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118.
- Candelario, Jose, and Mirianas Chachisvilis. 2012. 'Mechanical Stress Stimulates Conformational Changes in 5-Hydroxytryptamine Receptor 1B in Bone Cells', *Cellular and Molecular Bioengineering*, 5: 277-86.
- Chachisvilis, Mirianas, Yan-Liang Zhang, and John A. Frangos. 2006. 'G protein-coupled receptors sense fluid shear stress in endothelial cells', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103: 15463-68.
- Coureuil, Mathieu, Hervé Lécuyer, Mark G. H. Scott, Cédric Boullaran, Hervé Enslin, Magali Soyer, Guillain Mikaty, Sandrine Bourdoulous, Xavier Nassif, and Stefano Marullo. 2010. 'Meningococcus Hijacks a β 2-Adrenoceptor/ β -Arrestin Pathway to Cross Brain Microvasculature Endothelium', *Cell*, 143: 1149-60.
- Cullum, Sean A., Simon Platt, Natasha Dale, Oliver C. Isaac, Edward S. Wragg, Mark Soave, Dmitry B. Veprintsev, Jeanette Woolard, Laura E. Kilpatrick, and Stephen J. Hill. 2024. 'Mechano-sensitivity of β 2-adrenoceptors enhances constitutive activation of cAMP generation that is inhibited by inverse agonists', *Communications Biology*, 7.
- De Belly, Henry, Shannon Yan, Hudson Borja da Rocha, Sacha Ichbiah, Jason P. Town, Patrick J. Zager, Dorothy C. Estrada, Kirstin Meyer, Hervé Turlier, Carlos Bustamante, and Orion D. Weiner. 2023. 'Cell protrusions and contractions generate long-range membrane tension propagation', *Cell*, 186: 3049-61.e15.
- Edidin, M., Y. Zagyansky, and T. J. Lardner. 1976. 'Measurement of Membrane Protein Lateral Diffusion in Single Cells', *Science*, 191: 466-68.
- Erdogmus, Serap, Ursula Storch, Laura Danner, Jasmin Becker, Michaela Winter, Nicole Ziegler, Angela Wirth, Stefan Offermanns, Carsten Hoffmann, Thomas Gudermann, and Michael Mederos y Schnitzler. 2019. 'Helix 8 is the essential structural motif of mechanosensitive GPCRs', *Nature Communications*, 10.
- Friedrich, Oliver, Soeren Wagner, Andrew R. Battle, Sebastian Schürmann, and Boris Martinac. 2012. 'Mechano-regulation of the beating heart at the cellular level – Mechanosensitive channels in normal and diseased heart', *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, 110: 226-38.
- Garoffolo, Gloria, and Maurizio Pesce. 2019. 'Mechanotransduction in the Cardiovascular System: From Developmental Origins to Homeostasis and Pathology', *Cells*, 8.
- Gether, Ulrik. 2000. 'Uncovering Molecular Mechanisms Involved in Activation of G Protein-Coupled Receptors', *Endocrine Reviews*, 21: 90-113.
- Ghanouni, Pejman, Jacqueline J. Steenhuis, David L. Farrens, and Brian K. Kobilka. 2001. 'Agonist-induced conformational changes in the G-protein-coupling domain of the β adrenergic receptor', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98: 5997-6002.
- Groulx, Nicolas, Francis Boudreault, Sergei N. Orlov, and Ryszard Grygorczyk. 2007. 'Membrane Reserves and Hypotonic Cell Swelling', *Journal of Membrane Biology*, 214: 43-56.
- Hardman, Katie, Adrian Goldman, and Christos Pliotas. 2023. 'Membrane force reception: mechanosensation in G

- protein-coupled receptors and tools to address it', *Current Opinion in Physiology*, 35.
- Hauser, Alexander S., Albert J. Kooistra, Christian Munk, Franziska M. Heydenreich, Dmitry B. Veprintsev, Michel Bouvier, M. Madan Babu, and David E. Gloriam. 2021. 'GPCR activation mechanisms across classes and macro/microscales', *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 28: 879-88.
- Hilger, D., M. Masureel, and B. K. Kobilka. 2018. 'Structure and dynamics of GPCR signaling complexes', *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 25: 4-12.
- Hoffmann, Else K., Ian H. Lambert, and Stine F. Pedersen. 2009. 'Physiology of Cell Volume Regulation in Vertebrates', *Physiological Reviews*, 89: 193-277.
- Ingber, Donald E. 2006. 'Cellular mechanotransduction: putting all the pieces together again', *The FASEB Journal*, 20: 811-27.
- Kockelkoren, Gabriele, Line Lauritsen, Christopher G. Shuttle, Eleftheria Kazepidou, Ivana Vonkova, Yunxiao Zhang, Artù Breuer, Celeste Kennard, Rachel M. Brunetti, Elisa D'Este, Orion D. Weiner, Mark Uline, and Dimitrios Stamou. 2023. 'Molecular mechanism of GPCR spatial organization at the plasma membrane', *Nature Chemical Biology*, 20: 142-50.
- Lefkowitz, Robert J. 2013. 'A Brief History of G-Protein Coupled Receptors (Nobel Lecture)', *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 52: 6366-78.
- Lim, C. T., M. Dao, S. Suresh, C. H. Sow, and K. T. Chew. 2004. 'Large deformation of living cells using laser traps', *Acta Materialia*, 52: 1837-45.
- Mahoney, Jacob P., and Roger K. Sunahara. 2016. 'Mechanistic insights into GPCR–G protein interactions', *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 41: 247-54.
- Makino, Ayako, Eric R. Prossnitz, Moritz Bünemann, Ji Ming Wang, Weijuan Yao, and Geert W. Schmid-Schönbein. 2006. 'G protein-coupled receptors serve as mechanosensors for fluid shear stress in neutrophils', *American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology*, 290: C1633-C39.
- Manglik, Aashish, and Brian Kobilka. 2014. 'The role of protein dynamics in GPCR function: insights from the β 2AR and rhodopsin', *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 27: 136-43.
- Manglik, Aashish, and Andrew C. Kruse. 2017. 'Structural Basis for G Protein-Coupled Receptor Activation', *Biochemistry*, 56: 5628-34.
- Maudsley, S., B. Martin, and L. M. Luttrell. 2005. 'The origins of diversity and specificity in g protein-coupled receptor signaling', *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*, 314: 485-94.
- Pani, Biswaranjan, Seungkirl Ahn, Paula K. Rambarat, Shashank Vege, Alem W. Kahsai, Andrew Liu, Bruno N. Valan, Dean P. Staus, Tommaso Costa, and Robert J. Lefkowitz. 2021. 'Unique Positive Cooperativity Between the β -Arrestin–Biased β -Blocker Carvedilol and a Small Molecule Positive Allosteric Modulator of the β 2-Adrenergic Receptor', *Molecular Pharmacology*, 100: 513-25.
- Persechino, Margherita, Janik Björn Hedderich, Peter Kolb, and Daniel Hilger. 2022. 'Allosteric modulation of GPCRs: From structural insights to in silico drug discovery', *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 237.
- Pontes, B., N. B. Viana, L. T. Salgado, M. Farina, V. Moura Neto, and H. M. Nussenzveig. 2011. 'Cell Cytoskeleton and Tether Extraction', *Biophysical Journal*, 101: 43-52.
- Poudel, Bharat, and Juan M. Vanegas. 2024. 'Structural Rearrangement of the AT1 Receptor Modulated by Membrane Thickness and Tension', *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 128: 9470-81.
- Rakesh, Kriti, ByungSu Yoo, Il-Man Kim, Natasha Salazar, Ki-Seok Kim, and Howard A. Rockman. 2010. ' β -Arrestin–Biased Agonism of the Angiotensin Receptor Induced by Mechanical Stress', *Science Signaling*, 3.
- Rosholm, Kadla R., Natascha Leijnse, Anna Mantsiou, Vadym Tkach, Søren L. Pedersen, Volker F. Wirth, Lene B. Oddershede, Knud J. Jensen, Karen L. Martinez, Nikos S. Hatzakis, Poul Martin Bendix, Andrew Callan-Jones, and Dimitrios Stamou. 2017. 'Membrane curvature regulates ligand-specific membrane sorting of GPCRs in living cells', *Nature Chemical Biology*, 13: 724-29.
- Sadoshima, J., and S. Izumo. 1993. 'Mechanical stretch rapidly activates multiple signal transduction pathways in cardiac myocytes: potential involvement of an autocrine/paracrine mechanism', *The EMBO Journal*, 12: 1681-92.
- Sadoshima, Jun-ichi, Yuhui Xu, Henry S. Slayter, and Seigo Izumo. 1993. 'Autocrine release of angiotensin II mediates stretch-induced hypertrophy of cardiac myocytes in vitro', *Cell*, 75: 977-84.
- Scarselli, Marco, Paolo Annibale, Peter J. McCormick, Shivakumar Kolachalam, Stefano Aringhieri, Aleksandra Radenovic, Giovanni U. Corsini, and Roberto Maggio. 2015. 'Revealing G-protein-coupled receptor oligomerization at the single-molecule level through a nanoscopic lens: methods, dynamics and biological

- function', *The FEBS Journal*, 283: 1197-217.
- Scimia, Maria Cecilia, Cecilia Hurtado, Saugata Ray, Scott Metzler, Ke Wei, Jianming Wang, Chris E. Woods, Nicole H. Purcell, Daniele Catalucci, Takeshi Akasaka, Orlando F. Bueno, George P. Vlasuk, Perla Kaliman, Rolf Bodmer, Layton H. Smith, Euan Ashley, Mark Mercola, Joan Heller Brown, and Pilar Ruiz-Lozano. 2012. 'APJ acts as a dual receptor in cardiac hypertrophy', *Nature*, 488: 394-98.
- Shyy, John Y.-J., and Shu Chien. 2002. 'Role of Integrins in Endothelial Mechanosensing of Shear Stress', *Circulation Research*, 91: 769-75.
- Sirbu, A., M. Bathe-Peters, J. L. M. Kumar, A. Inoue, M. J. Lohse, and P. Annibale. 2024a. 'Cell swelling enhances ligand-driven beta-adrenergic signaling', *Nat Commun*, 15: 7822.
- Sirbu, Alexei, Marc Bathe-Peters, Jothi L. M. Kumar, Asuka Inoue, Martin J. Lohse, and Paolo Annibale. 2024b. 'Cell swelling enhances ligand-driven β -adrenergic signaling', *Nature Communications*, 15.
- Storch, Ursula, Michael Mederos y Schnitzler, and Thomas Gudermann. 2012. 'G protein-mediated stretch reception', *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 302: H1241-H49.
- Tang, Wei, Ryan T. Strachan, Robert J. Lefkowitz, and Howard A. Rockman. 2014. 'Allosteric Modulation of β -Arrestin-biased Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor Signaling by Membrane Stretch', *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 289: 28271-83.
- Virion, Zoe, Stéphane Doly, Kusumika Saha, Mireille Lambert, François Guillonneau, Camille Bied, Rebecca M. Duke, Pauline M. Rudd, Catherine Robbe-Masselot, Xavier Nassif, Mathieu Coureuil, and Stefano Marullo. 2019. 'Sialic acid mediated mechanical activation of β_2 adrenergic receptors by bacterial pili', *Nature Communications*, 10.
- Weis, William I., and Brian K. Kobilka. 2018. 'The Molecular Basis of G Protein-Coupled Receptor Activation', *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 87: 897-919.
- Wilde, Caroline, Jakob Mitgau, Tomáš Suchý, Torsten Schöneberg, and Ines Liebscher. 2022. 'Translating the force—mechano-sensing GPCRs', *American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology*, 322: C1047-C60.
- Xiao, Rui, Jie Liu, and X. Z. Shawn Xu. 2023. 'Mechanosensitive GPCRs and ion channels in shear stress sensing', *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 84.
- Xu, Jie, Jayanti Mathur, Emilie Vessières, Scott Hammack, Keiko Nonomura, Julie Favre, Linda Grimaud, Matt Petrus, Allain Francisco, Jingyuan Li, Van Lee, Fu-li Xiang, James K. Mainquist, Stuart M. Cahalan, Anthony P. Orth, John R. Walker, Shang Ma, Viktor Lukacs, Laura Bordone, Michael Bandell, Bryan Laffitte, Yan Xu, Shu Chien, Daniel Henrion, and Ardem Patapoutian. 2018. 'GPR68 Senses Flow and Is Essential for Vascular Physiology', *Cell*, 173: 762-75.e16.
- Yasuda, Noritaka, Shin-ichiro Miura, Hiroshi Akazawa, Toshimasa Tanaka, Yingjie Qin, Yoshihiro Kiya, Satoshi Imaizumi, Masahiro Fujino, Kaoru Ito, Yunzeng Zou, Shigetomo Fukuhara, Satoshi Kunimoto, Koichi Fukuzaki, Toshiaki Sato, Junbo Ge, Naoki Mochizuki, Haruaki Nakaya, Keijiro Saku, and Issei Komuro. 2008. 'Conformational switch of angiotensin II type 1 receptor underlying mechanical stress-induced activation', *EMBO reports*, 9: 179-86.
- Zhang, Yan-Liang, John A. Frangos, and Mirianas Chachisvilis. 2009. 'Mechanical stimulus alters conformation of type 1 parathyroid hormone receptor in bone cells', *American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology*, 296: C1391-C99.
- Zocher, Michael, Christian A. Bippes, Cheng Zhang, and Daniel J. Müller. 2013. 'Single-molecule force spectroscopy of G-protein-coupled receptors', *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42.
- Zocher, Michael, Cheng Zhang, Søren G. F. Rasmussen, Brian K. Kobilka, and Daniel J. Müller. 2012. 'Cholesterol increases kinetic, energetic, and mechanical stability of the human β_2 -adrenergic receptor', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109.
- Zou, Yunzeng, Hiroshi Akazawa, Yingjie Qin, Masanori Sano, Hiroyuki Takano, Tohru Minamino, Noriko Makita, Koji Iwanaga, Weidong Zhu, Sumiyo Kudoh, Haruhiro Toko, Koichi Tamura, Minoru Kihara, Toshio Nagai, Akiyoshi Fukamizu, Satoshi Umemura, Taroh Iiri, Toshiro Fujita, and Issei Komuro. 2004. 'Mechanical stress activates angiotensin II type 1 receptor without the involvement of angiotensin II', *Nature Cell Biology*, 6: 499-506.